

# City of Hamilton GENERAL ISSUES COMMITTEE ADDENDUM

Meeting #: 19-004

Date: February 20, 2019

**Time:** 9:30 a.m.

**Location:** Council Chambers, Hamilton City Hall

71 Main Street West

Stephanie Paparella, Legislative Coordinator (905) 546-2424 ext. 3993

Pages

10. DISCUSSION ITEMS

\*10.7 Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide)

\*10.8 Bill 66 - Restoring Ontario's Competitiveness Act, 2018 (LS19011) (City Wide)

### 14. PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

\*14.3 Bill 66: Schedule 9: "Non Construction Employer" (LS19001(a)) (City Wide)

Pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-sections (d) and (f) of the City's Procedural By-law 18-270, and Section 239(2), Sub-sections (d) and (f) of the *Ontario Municipal Act*, 2001, as amended, as the subject matter pertains to labour relations or employee negotiations; and, the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.



# CITY OF HAMILTON PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Economic Development Division

то:	Mayor and Members General Issues Committee
COMMITTEE DATE:	February 20, 2019
SUBJECT/REPORT NO:	Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) (Outstanding Business List Item)
WARD(S) AFFECTED:	City Wide
PREPARED BY:	Glen Norton (905) 546-2424 Ext. 5780 Michael Kyne (905) 546-2424 Ext. 4716 Ed VanderWindt (905) 546-2424 Ext. 2574 Tom Hewitson (905) 546-2424 Ext. 4159 Anita Fabac (905) 546-2424 Ext. 1258 Chris Phillips (905) 546-2424 Ext. 5304 Ray Kessler (905) 546-2424 Ext. 7019
SUBMITTED BY: SIGNATURE:	Glen Norton Director, Economic Development Planning and Economic Development Department

### **RECOMMENDATION**

- (a) That the Mayor be directed, on behalf of the City of Hamilton, to request a meeting with the federal Minister of Transport to discuss this proposed amalgamation and outline the City of Hamilton's objectives and concerns;
- (b) That Transport Canada be requested to provide to the City of Hamilton, the draft Letters Patent proposed for the newly amalgamated port authority for the City's review and input prior to finalization;
- (c) That the Mayor be directed, on behalf of the City of Hamilton, to make written representations to the Minister regarding the amalgamation respectfully requesting that the:
  - (i) Corporate name of the amalgamated port authority be known as the 'Hamilton-Oshawa Port Authority" and have its registered offices located in Hamilton, Ontario;

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 2 of 14

- (ii) Board of Directors of the amalgamated port authority have no more than seven members and that the Cities of Burlington, Hamilton and Oshawa each be granted the authority to appoint one member; and,
- (iii) Newly amalgamated port authority be directed to continue the close working relationship established between the Hamilton Port Authority and the City of Hamilton, its citizens and stakeholders particularly with respect to the transparency of port operations, project reviews, development planning, site plan approvals and the issuance of building permits.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

This Report responds to Planning Committee's February 5, 2019 direction to staff to report on the implications of the proposed merger of the Hamilton Port Authority (HPA) and the Oshawa Port Authority (OPA).

This staff review indicates that:

- 1. With little prior notice or explanation, on February 9, 2019, the federal Minister of Transport formally proposed the amalgamation of the HPA and OPA to continue as a single port authority named the "Oshawa-Hamilton Port Authority". Interested persons have 30 days to make representations to the Minister subsequent to which the Minister may propose that the federal Governor in Council issue a Certificate of Amalgamation formally amalgamating the two authorities;
- 2. The federal government has the specific authority under the *Canada Marine Act* to amalgamate two or more port authorities the effect of which would be that the newly amalgamated authority would assume the geographical jurisdictions, assets, liabilities and obligations of the HPA and OPA;
- 3. Recent financial reports indicate that the HPA is in a stronger financial position than the OPA;
- 4. The City and the HPA have long enjoyed a cooperative, consultative and mutually beneficial relationship—particularly with respect to land use planning and development—that the City of Oshawa does not apparently enjoy with the OPA;
- 5. The City has a number of ongoing agreements with the HPA (which will be assumed by the newly amalgamated port authority) and is currently resolving a few issues of common interest (including the lease of part of Pier 22 and the acquisition of water lots to facilitate the rehabilitation of the Pier 8 shore-wall); and,

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 3 of 14

6. In order to provide useful input to the federal government regarding this proposed amalgamation, the City requires a better understanding of the federal government's motivations and objectives. To that end, staff recommend that the City meet with the federal Minister of Transport to obtain that information—including a copy of the draft Letters Patent for the newly amalgamated port authority—and directly communicate the City's concerns to the Minister. The City will then be better informed to provide its formal written submissions.

#### Alternatives for Consideration – N/A

### FINANCIAL - STAFFING - LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: While the financial implications are more fully outlined in this Report, a review of recent financial statements indicates that the HPA currently enjoys a stronger financial position than the OPA particularly in terms of asset value under management, annual revenues/deficits, debt and borrowing limits.

Staffing: There are no known staffing implications for the City of Hamilton.

Legal: The complete legal implications are more fully outlined in this Report. Significantly, the newly amalgamated port authority will assume the geographical jurisdictions, assets, liabilities and obligations of the HPA and the OPA.

### HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

### **Planning Committee's Direction**

Subsequent to the February 5, 2019 announcement by the federal Minister of Transport (Minister) that the federal government intended to amalgamate the Hamilton Port Authority (HPA) and the Oshawa Port Authority (OPA), Planning Committee directed staff "to report back to the General Issues Committee on the legal, financial, economic and development implications of the recently announced merger of the Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority".

### Formal Announcement of Intent—Canada Gazette (February 9, 2019)

On February 9, 2012, the federal government formally announced its intent to merge the HPA and OPA by publishing a Certificate of Intent to Amalgamate in the Canada Gazette (<a href="http://gazette.gc.ca/rp-pr/p1/2019/2019-02-09/html/order-decret-eng.html">http://gazette.gc.ca/rp-pr/p1/2019/2019-02-09/html/order-decret-eng.html</a>) which noted that:

## SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 4 of 14

- Pursuant to section 59.1 of the Port Authorities Management Regulations the Minister recommended that the OPA and the HPA be amalgamated and continue as one port authority to be named the "Oshawa-Hamilton Port Authority";
- This "proposal seeks to strengthen the Canadian supply chain in Ontario by providing a coordinated approach to port development, land use and marketing" and "would allow the amalgamated port authority to leverage the operations of the" HPA and "the established operations of the" OPA "to successfully broaden multimodal transportation options in the Greater Toronto Area";
- The benefits of amalgamating Canadian Port Authorities (CPAs) have been raised in the 2016 review of the *Canada Transportation Act* (which recommended "that work be conducted to further the amalgamation of CPAs guided by 'common user principles embodied in the *Canada Marine Act*' and also noted the success of the previous Port Metro Vancouver amalgamation") as well as the Minister of Transport's Transportation 2030 vision which "proposed a broad agenda for the future of Canada's transportation system that includes examining the governance and the optimization of CPAs";
- Canada's national port system is made up of 18 CPAs—non-share capital corporations incorporated under the Canada Marine Act (CMA). CPAs handle about 60% of Canada's marine commercial cargo tonnage and contribute over 213,000 direct and indirect jobs and over \$25 B to Canada's GDP;
- This amalgamation is administrative in nature and would represent no further financial costs for the Government of Canada or to the Canadian public. Operations at both ports would continue without disruption and the amalgamated port would be in a strong financial position and forecast positive growth;
- There are no expected environmental implications, as no changes to the current land holdings, infrastructure, or real property of the OPA and HPA are being proposed. Available industrial land at both ports would complement one another in terms of business coordination and development planning to strengthen the Ontario regional supply chain. Continuity of operations at both ports would result in continued direct and indirect economic benefits for surrounding communities; and,
- Consultations will be conducted after the posting of this Certificate of Intent. Interested persons may make written representations to the Minister within 30 days after publication of the Certificate. "The results of these consultations would be considered within the context of a second submission to the Governor in Council

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 5 of 14

on whether to seek the issuance of a Certificate of Amalgamation that officially amalgamates the two ports. Consultation with entities directly affected by a proposed amalgamation will be conducted by Transport Canada within the consultation period."

### The Creation of the Hamilton and Oshawa Port Authorities

The federal government created the HPA in 2001 to replace the Hamilton Harbour Commission (which had been in operation since 1912) and created the OPA in 2012 to replace the Oshawa Harbour Commission (which had been in place since 1960).

The Port Authorities Management Regulations (PAMR) are issued under the *Canada Marine Act* (CMA) which was enacted in 1998 with the stated purpose of establishing a system of "competitive, efficient and commercially oriented" Canadian ports and commercializing the St. Lawrence Seaway.

CPAs are intended operate at arm's length from the federal government and are governed by a board of directors chosen by port users and the municipal, provincial and federal governments. Each board:

- Sets the business direction and makes commercial decisions for the port;
- Sets fees (e.g. berthage and wharfage fees);
- Is responsible for maintaining and dredging commercial shipping channels; and,
- Acts as a landlord, leasing port operations to private operators.

Transport Canada states that CPAs must also be financially self-sufficient. They don't receive federal funding to meet operating costs or deficits but finance capital projects using their own revenues. However, CPAs can also partner with the private sector, borrow from commercial lenders or apply for certain federal grants related to infrastructure, the environment or security.

### POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

### **RELEVANT CONSULTATION**

- Ian Hamilton, CEO, Hamilton Port Authority;
- Financial Policy and Planning Division and Legal Services Division, Corporate Services;

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 6 of 14

- Building Division, Planning Division, Real Estate Section of the Economic Development Division, Planning and Economic Development Department;
- City Manager's Office.

### ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

### **The Amalgamation Process**

The PAMR were specifically amended in 2007 to permit the amalgamations of two or more port authorities using the following process:

- The government must publish a Certificate of Intent to Amalgamate in the Canada Gazette and at least one major newspaper that is distributed in the municipalities where the affected ports are situated. The Certificate shall also state that interested persons may make written representations to the Minister within 30 days of publication;
- 2. The government may, at any time after the 30-day period, amalgamate the port authorities by issuing a Certificate of Amalgamation which shall specify the day on which the amalgamation takes effect and contain the Letters Patent of the amalgamated port authority; and,
- The government may also revoke a proposed amalgamation by issuing a Certificate of Revocation of Intent to Amalgamate at any time before the Certificate of Amalgamation is issued.

### The Legal Effect of Amalgamation

On the day on which an amalgamation takes effect:

- (a) Every director of an amalgamating port authority who remains in office continues as a director of the amalgamated port authority for the balance of their term or until s/he ceases to hold office. However, the federal government may remove any director of an amalgamating port authority during the period that begins on the day on which the federal government requires the amalgamation and ends on the day before the day on which the amalgamation takes effect;
- (b) The navigable waters within the jurisdiction of each amalgamating port authority continue to be within the jurisdiction of the amalgamated port authority;

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 7 of 14

- (c) The property, rights and interests of each amalgamating port authority continue to be the property, rights and interests of the amalgamated port authority. However, this does not constitute a disposition of the property, rights and interests of an amalgamating port authority to the amalgamated port authority;
- (d) The amalgamated port authority continues to manage any real property or immovable (i.e. a fixture) that the Minister has given to an amalgamating port authority;
- (e) The real property or immovables occupied by each amalgamating port authority continue to be occupied by the amalgamated port authority;
- (f) The amalgamated port authority continues to be liable for the obligations of each amalgamating port authority;
- (g) An existing cause of action, claim or liability to prosecution is unaffected;
- (h) A civil, criminal or administrative action or proceeding pending by or against an amalgamating port authority may be continued to be prosecuted by or against the amalgamated port authority;
- A conviction against, or ruling, order or judgment in favour of or against, an amalgamating port authority may be enforced by or against the amalgamated port authority;
- (j) Every fee fixed by an amalgamating port authority continues in force until the expiry date specified in the provision that fixes the fee or until the amalgamated port authority repeals that provision or replaces the fee; and,
- (k) The letters patent contained in the Certificate of Amalgamation are the letters patent of the amalgamated port authority.

### The Importance of the Letters Patent

Under the CMA, the power of a port authority to operate a port is limited to the power to engage in:

- (a) Port activities related to shipping, navigation, transportation of passengers and goods, handling of goods and storage of goods, to the extent that those activities are specified in the Letters Patent; and,
- (b) Other activities that are deemed in the Letters Patent to be necessary to support port operations.

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 8 of 14

Letters Patent are the official documents issued by the federal government confirming the creation/incorporation of the new amalgamated port authority as well as its official name, headquarters and the composition/authority of its Board of Directors.

The CMA specifies that the Letters Patent shall set out:

- (a) The corporate name of the port authority;
- (b) The place where the registered office of the port authority is located;
- (c) The navigable waters that are within the port authority's jurisdiction;
- (d) The federal real property and federal immovables under the management of the port authority;
- (e) The real property and immovables, other than the federal real property and federal immovables, held or occupied by the port authority; and,
- (f) The number of directors, between seven and eleven, to be appointed, to be chosen as follows:
  - (i) One individual nominated by the Minister;
  - (ii) One individual appointed by the municipalities mentioned in the Letters Patent;
  - (iii) One individual appointed by the province in which the port is situated; and,
  - (iv) The remaining individuals nominated by the Minister in consultation with the users selected by the Minister or the classes of users mentioned in the Letters Patent;
- (g) A code of conduct governing the conduct of the directors and officers of the port authority;
- (h) The charge on the gross revenues of the port authority, or the formula for calculating it, that the port authority shall pay each year to the Minister on the day fixed by the Minister to maintain its Letters Patent in good standing;

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 9 of 14

- (i) The extent to which the port authority and a wholly-owned subsidiary of the port authority may undertake port activities referred to in paragraph 28(2)(a) and other activities referred to in paragraph 28(2)(b);
- (j) The maximum term of a lease or licence of federal real property or federal immovables under the management of the port authority;
- (k) The limits on the authority of the port authority to contract as agent for Her Majesty;
- (I) The limits on the power of the port authority to borrow money on the credit of the port authority for port purposes or a code governing that power, as the case may be; and,
- (m) Any other provision that the Minister considers appropriate to include in the Letters Patent and that is not inconsistent with this Act.

### Comparing the Current Letters Patent for the HPA and the OPA

Except for expected differences outlining their respective geographical jurisdictions, the current Letters Patent for both the HPA and the OPA are largely similar and meet the legislated requirements listed above. That said, the HPA's present Letters Patent:

- 1. Provides more precise instruction regarding the appointment of directors. For example, although both Boards are composed of seven members appointed as follows:
  - the Governor in Council appoints one individual nominated by the Minister;
  - the Province of Ontario appoints one individual;
  - The local municipality appoints one individual. However, the City of Oshawa appoints its member independently while the City of Hamilton is required to consult with the City of Burlington; and,
  - The Governor in Council appoints the four remaining individuals. For the OPA, all four are appointed from one 'User' group (described as "Major Business Users") whereas, for the HPA, these appointments come from three "User" groups—"Private Docks" (one position), "Port Authority Docks and Related Businesses" (two positions) and "All other port users, including but not limited to labour, environmental and recreational users" (one position);

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 10 of 14

- 2. Grants the HPA the explicit authority to operate or maintain a railway within the port;
- Provides the HPA with more explicit authority to delegate activities to any subsidiary corporations it creates. For example, a HPA subsidiary company can operate a freight forwarding facility or a dry dock;
- 4. In certain circumstances, limits the length of a licence/lease of federal real property granted by the HPA to 40 years (OPA's limit is 60 years) but both the HPA and OPA can extend this limit to 99 years with Minister's approval; and,
- 5. Permits the HPA to borrow up to \$5 M while the OPA is limited to \$500 K and a 365-day term and must borrow from a member of the Canadian Payments Association.

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 11 of 14

### Financial Comparison of the HPA and the OPA

A review of the financial statements of both the HPA and OPA reveals a very dissimilar picture. The HPA is in a relatively strong financial position marked by operating surpluses, significant assets and little debt. The OPA, in turn, would appear to be in a relatively weak financial position marked by operating losses, declining asset base and increasing short term debt.

The chart below provides highlights of the financial information:

### Port Authority Financial Informaton (2017)

	Hamilton		Oshawa	
Financial Indicator	\$	Trend	\$	Trend
Assets	145,425,725	<b>↑</b>	9,909,613	<b>→</b>
Current Assets (CA)	14,555,887	<b>^</b>	234,658	lack lack lack
Equity	134,202,739	<b>1</b>	3,812,220	$\mathbf{\downarrow}$
Net Income	3,645,543	$\checkmark$	(230,306)	×
Cash/Investment	11,807,642	$\checkmark$	0	×
Liabilities				
Current Liabilities (CL)	5,211,705	lack lack	5,525,220	lacksquare
Non-Current Liabilities	6,011,281	<b>1</b>	21,141	<b>1</b>
Debt (Borrowings)	0	$\checkmark$	551,032	<b>1</b>
Ratios:				
Liquidity Measurement Ratio				
Current Ratio (CA/CL)	2.79	$\checkmark$	0.04	×
Debt Ratio				
Debt to Equity Ratio	0.08	$\checkmark$	1.60	×

In general, the HPA's financial measures are healthy and trending positively while the OPA's measures are less healthy and trending negatively. With respect to assets, the HPA has significantly more resources including current assets which include cash and investments. The OPA's assets are declining and include no cash or investments. With respect to liabilities, the HPA's Liquidity Current Ratio (Current Assets vs. Current Liabilities) is fairly strong at 2.79 (2.79 times the assets versus liabilities) while the OPA's ratio is quite weak with current liabilities far exceeding current assets. A ratio over 1.0 is considered positive.

Debt to Equity Ratio is also a common measure of financial health. In general, a measure less than 1.0 is considered positive (indicating equity surpasses debt), while a measure

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 12 of 14

over 1.0 is considered poor (more debt than equity). HPA's ratio of 0.08 is quite strong, while OPA's ratio of 1.60 is quite weak.

The HPA's net income of \$3.6 M in 2017 (\$8.6 M in 2016) is modest given the assets, but none-the-less positive. The OPA had a deficit of about -\$230 K in 2017 (-\$4.4 M in 2016).

With respect to this industry in general, the majority of port authorities have annual surpluses with revenue exceeding expenditures. In a 2015-2016 report entitled "Federal Port Review" by Canadian Sailings, there were only two ports that had operating losses (deficits) that year: Oshawa and Nanaimo. The report noted the challenging financial circumstances of the OPA identifying that their current liabilities exceed its current assets by more than \$6.0 M. The article quotes the OPA's auditor as indicating that this situation would "cast significant doubt on the Port Authority's ability to continue as a going concern".

The primary driver for the OPA's liabilities is a recent arbitration ruling that directed the OPA to pay \$4.4 M related to a development issue. The terms and conditions of this payment have not been finalized and certainly would transfer to the merged corporation.

Based on the OPA's financial challenges, it is possible that the primary reason for the Federal Government to propose this merger is financially motivated (the announcement indicates increased supply chain efficiencies). The significantly different financial positions give cause for concern that the merger may lead to HPA's financial strength being diminished in the short-term as its assets become merged and possibly used to support the OPA's operations. HPA's assets could also be used to make investments in the OPA lands which may possibly divert potential business from Hamilton to Oshawa.

### **Land Use Planning and Development Implications**

In August 2000, Report PDC00137 was approved by Council which established a "project review" or Site Plan Control process for the area known as Eastport. The report established the mutual goals and objectives of the City and the HPA (then the Hamilton Harbour Commission) and laid out the process for development proposals which required the HPA to attend pre-consultation meetings with staff and submit Site Plan applications.

When the City established its current Site Plan Control Process, the previous direction remained in place. City staff and the HPA continue to have pre-consultation meetings to discuss the HPA's land use plan, vision and upcoming projects, and the HPA utilizes the City's Site Plan Control process for its projects, including attending Development Review

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 13 of 14

Team meetings and consulting Building Division decision-makers. While the City is not the formal approval authority for HPA developments, this cooperative process results in jointly developed recommendations related to matters such as engineering requirements, urban design, landscaping treatments and other site planning matters which the HPA typically implements.

City of Oshawa Planning staff recently confirmed that Oshawa does not enjoy a similar established process with the OPA. City of Oshawa staff do not have pre-consultation meetings and the OPA does not utilize the site plan process for its projects nor does it receive recommendations from City staff on development proposals.

City Building Division and Planning staff are particularly concerned that the long established, cooperative and mutually beneficial relationship and consultations between the City and the HPA may be lost if the newly amalgamated port authority does not accord the relationship the same priority as the HPA. The continuation of this relationship is particularly critical given the extensive developments presently underway on the Hamilton waterfront.

### **Economic Development Implications**

The Economic Development Division acknowledges the potential value of an amalgamated port network. However, the Division think it appropriate to seek a commitment from the new port authority that any prospective investments introduced to new port authority by City staff would not be solicited to locate in Oshawa, unless the City first concluded that there is no other viable location anywhere within Hamilton.

### Other Issues of Common Interest Between the City and the HPA

The City has several commercial agreements with the HPA including, importantly, the 2000 Dispute Resolution Agreements and the 2014 Marina Management Agreement. In addition, City is presently negotiating with the HPA regarding a lease of a portion of the Pier 22 lands, the City's acquisition of water lot property for the construction of the new Pier 8 shore-wall and pedestrian way and the potential realignment of Ship and Niagara Streets to accommodate a new rail spur.

While the legislation dictates that the newly amalgamated port authority would assume the HPA's rights and responsibilities under these agreements, staff reiterates the benefit of the established relationships with current HPA representatives and is concerned that any change in management philosophy may alter the tone of the relationship and adversely impact the traditionally successful cooperation between the parties.

# SUBJECT: Proposed Amalgamation of Hamilton Port Authority and Oshawa Port Authority (PED19065) (City Wide) - Page 14 of 14

### Summary

While the federal government has the legal authority to amalgamate port authorities, it is noted that the City has little prior notice of, and still lacks a complete insight into the motivation for, this proposed amalgamation. As such, staff recommends that the City promptly request a meeting with the federal Minister of Transport in order to better understand the federal government's plans and objectives regarding this proposed amalgamation and to directly outline the City's concerns. The City can then incorporate that new information and its known concerns into its formal written submissions on a more informed basis. City staff also recommend that the federal government be specifically requested to provide the draft Letters Patent proposed for the newly amalgamated port authority for the City's review and input prior to finalization.

#### ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

#### ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 - 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN

### **Economic Prosperity and Growth**

Hamilton has a prosperous and diverse local economy where people have opportunities to grow and develop.

#### **Built Environment and Infrastructure**

Hamilton is supported by state of the art infrastructure, transportation options, buildings and public spaces that create a dynamic City.

#### APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

N/A

GN:dt



### CITY OF HAMILTON

# CORPORATE SERVICES DEPARTMENT Legal and Risk Management Services Division

то:	Mayor and Members General Issues Committee
COMMITTEE DATE:	February 20, 2019
SUBJECT/REPORT NO:	Bill 66 - Restoring Ontario's Competitiveness Act, 2018 (LS19011) (City Wide)
WARD(S) AFFECTED:	City Wide
PREPARED BY:	Nicole Auty (905) 546-2424 Ext. 4636
SUBMITTED BY:	Nicole Auty City Solicitor Legal and Risk Management Services
SIGNATURE:	

### **RECOMMENDATIONS**

(a) That Report LS19011 be forwarded to the Minister of Economic Development as the City of Hamilton's comments on Bill 66 – Restoring Ontario's Competitiveness Act, with the exception of Schedule 10 which was addressed in report PED19027.

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

On December 6th, 2018, Minister of Economic Development, Job Creation and Trade, the Honourable Todd Smith, introduced Bill 66, the Restoring Ontario's Competitiveness Act (Bill 66). This proposed legislation has interest for municipal governments. Bill 66 has only had First Reading at this time and will continue through the debate and hearing process. The Legislature is scheduled to proceed with second reading of the Bill on Tuesday, February 19, 2019.

Report PED19027 provided comments on Schedule 10, which the provincial government has since communicated that it does not intend to proceed with.

This report provides an overview of the proposed amendments in Bill 66 and provides comments on those areas of particular interest to the City of Hamilton.

### Alternatives for Consideration - N/A

### SUBJECT: Bill 66 – Restoring Ontario's Competitiveness Act (LS19011) (City Wide) Page 2 of 6

#### FINANCIAL - STAFFING - LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: There are no known financial implications at this time.

Staffing: There are no known staffing implications for the City of Hamilton.

Legal: The legal implications are more fully outlined in this report.

### HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Bill 66 has only had First Reading at this time and will continue through the debate and hearing process. The Legislature is scheduled to return for the next Session on Tuesday, February 19, 2019. Bill 66 appears on the Parliamentary Agenda for Second Reading on that day.

Report PED19027 was before Planning Committee on February 4, 2019 and provided comments on Schedule 10 which will be provided to the Minister of MMAH separately.

#### POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

Bill 66 provides amendments to several pieces of Legislation over 12 Ministries. The amendments proposed by the twelve schedules are summarized below and where they directly impact the City of Hamilton, they are discussed in the Analysis section of this report.

**Schedule 1**: Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs makes changes to the *Agricultural Employees Protection Act, 2002,* to include employees who engage in ornamental agriculture; the *Farm Registration and Farm Organizations Funding Act, 1993* dealing with farming registration requirements, and *Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs Acts* to include loan guarantees by entities that provide loans to farmers in loan guarantee programs.

**Schedule 2**: Ministry of the Attorney General repeals the *Pawnbrokers Act* and amendment to the *Personal Property Security Act*.

**Schedule 3**: Ministry of Education amends the *Child Care and Early Years Act, 2014* and the *Education Act*. This schedule has a potential impact to the City of Hamilton and is discussed in the Analysis section of this report.

**Schedule 4**: Ministry of Energy, Northern Development and Mines, amends section 78 of the *Ontario Energy Board Act, 1998* to remove references to unit sub-metering, and to add a reference to unit smart meter providers in subsection 78 (9). A consequential amendment is made to the regulation-making authority.

### SUBJECT: Bill 66 – Restoring Ontario's Competitiveness Act (LS19011) (City Wide) Page 3 of 6

**Schedule 5**: Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, amends the *Toxics Reduction Act, 2009* to provide that the Act is repealed on December 31, 2021 and revokes the regulations made under the Act as of the same day. The legislation applied to facilities in the manufacturing and mineral processing sectors.

**Schedule 6**: Ministry of Finance, repeals subsection 80.4 (1) of the *Pension Benefits Act* provides that the conversion of single employer pension plans to jointly sponsored pension plans, implemented through a transfer of assets and liabilities, is only available with respect to plans that are public sector plans and with respect to prescribed pension plans or classes of pension plans.

**Schedule 7**: Ministry of Government and Consumer Services amends the *Technical Standards and Safety Act, 2000* so that it no longer applies to upholstered or stuffed articles. The Schedule also allows alternate rules made by a director and approved by the Minister under the Act to regulate any matter to which the regulations made under the Act apply. It also repeals the *Wireless Services Agreements Act, 2013* and regulations.

**Schedule 8**: Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care amends sections of the *Long-Term Care Homes Act, 2007* dealing with notice if approval for admission is withheld, allowing the Director to establish how public consultations are conducted, allowing the director to issue temporary emergency licenses.

**Schedule 9**: Ministry of Labour amends the *Employment Standards Act, 2000 and makes* changes to the *Labour Relations Act*. The Changes to the ESA remove the Director's approval for employers to make agreements that allow their employees to exceed 48 hours of work in a work week and for employers to make agreements that allow them to average their employee's hours of work for the purpose of determining the employee's entitlement to overtime pay. The latter changes are addressed in report LS19011a.

**Schedule 10**: Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing (MMAH), the Minister of MMAH has communicated the government will not proceed with Schedule 10 of the Bill.

**Schedule 11**: Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities *Private Career Colleges Act,* 2005 is amended to provide that the term of a registration or renewal of a registration shall be specified by the Superintendent in accordance with the regulations or, if there are no regulations, shall be one year unless otherwise specified by the Superintendent.

**Schedule 12**: Ministry of Transportation the *Highway Traffic Act* requires a driver of a vehicle to carry the original permit for the vehicle or a true copy of it, and to surrender the permit to a police officer, upon demand. The Act is amended to provide that where the permit is a permit issued by the Ministry or another jurisdiction pursuant to the International Registration Plan, this requirement may also be satisfied with an electronic version of the permit, provided that the permit complies with the requirements of the International Registration Plan and with any requirements established by the Ministry.

SUBJECT: Bill 66 – Restoring Ontario's Competitiveness Act (LS19011) (City Wide)

Page 4 of 6

### **RELEVANT CONSULTATION**

Corporate Services
Healthy and Safe Communities
Planning and Economic Development
City Manager's Office, Human Resources

### ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATIONS

**Schedule 2**: Ministry of the Attorney General repeals the Pawnbrokers Act and amendment to the *Personal Property Security Act*.

The repeal of this legislation does not directly impact matters within the jurisdiction of the City of Hamilton. The City will continue to have the authority to regulate these businesses. However, staff will review the City's Business Licensing by-law, Schedule 22 which deals with Salvage and Second hand Goods, Pawnbroker and Jewellery and Precious Metal Businesses and report back on any changes needed to the by-law as a result of this amendment.

**Schedule 3**: Ministry of Education amends the *Child Care and Early Years Act, 2014* and the *Education Act*.

The Hamilton Early Years Community Plan acknowledges the need for responsive and integrated before and after school programs across the community. As such, the City works closely with community partners to ensure authorized recreational and skill building programs are offered in all elementary schools in Hamilton. The proposal to reduce the age requirement for authorized recreational and skill building programs from six to four years old aligns with the kindergarten program in schools and provides families with greater access to this service.

Currently, families in receipt of child care fee subsidy are eligible for in-home care. This allows a licensed provider to care for the child in the child's home if there is a need. Removing the restriction that a parent must receive fee subsidies may increase the demand for in-home services, but would accommodate children where care is best, based on their needs. There are no home child care agencies that offer in-home services in Hamilton and the impact is expected to be minimal.

While Bill 66 presents some positive changes in early years and child care; staff do have concerns around children's safety and quality of child care proposed that should be addressed. The proposed changes to increase in number of children under the age of two years, from two to three children in home child care, is intended to increase access to child care spaces for infants, as they are in high demand and can be hard for families to find. However, this change presents some concerns regarding the quality, health and

## SUBJECT: Bill 66 – Restoring Ontario's Competitiveness Act (LS19011) (City Wide) Page 5 of 6

safety, supervision and overall well-being of children in a home care setting. It is recommended that the number of children under the age of two not be increased.

Furthermore, the CCEYA requires that licensed home child care providers' own children that are in the home must be counted, except where a child is six years old or older, or a child under the age of six years old is attending full-day kindergarten in a publicly-funded school, and the provider cares for more than one child under the age of two. If Bill 66 is passed, it will amend the CCEYA to reduce the age where the provider's own children are counted from six years old to four years old without any conditions. While this change aligns with the age that children are enrolled in a school setting, there are concerns with reducing the age at which the provider's children are included in the allowable maximum number of children, particularly if the number of children under two years of age increases. In an emergency, it may be difficult for the one adult to safely evacuate all children. It is recommended that the provider's own children under six years old be counted towards the maximum allowable children when the provider cares for more than one child under the age of two.

Bill 66 also proposes amendments to the *Education Act* that would ensure a licensed child care centre or another program set out under the *Education Act* is responsible for delivering before and after school programs or PD day programs in schools. As a result, these programs would be required to meet licensing standards outlined by the Ministry of Education. Currently, third-party programs in Hamilton schools are delivered by licensed child care centres or recreational programs. It is anticipated that there will be little to no impact in Hamilton.

In summary, staff agree with the need to increase access by creating new cost-effective licensed child care spaces but feels strongly that increased access cannot be achieved at the expense of children's safety and quality of care.

### ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

Not Applicable

### ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 - 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN

### **Economic Prosperity and Growth**

Hamilton has a prosperous and diverse local economy where people have opportunities to grow and develop.

### **Built Environment and Infrastructure**

Hamilton is supported by state of the art infrastructure, transportation options, buildings and public spaces that create a dynamic City.

### APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

SUBJECT: Bill 66 – Restoring Ontario's Competitiveness Act (LS19011) (City Wide) Page 6 of 6

N/A