1. CEREMONIAL ACTIVITIES

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
   (Added Items, if applicable, will be noted with *)

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING
   4.1 June 4, 2019

5. COMMUNICATIONS
   5.1 Correspondence respecting the Renaming of a Portion of North Service
       Road (Commonly known as Drakes Drive) (Item 7.2)
       (a) George McCowan
           (b) T. McClelland
           (c) Judith Duncan

6. DELEGATION REQUESTS
   6.1 James Lafferty respecting Concerns with Southbrook Golf Club regarding
       Ongoing Issues, Zoning, Permits and Dumping (For the July 9th meeting)

   6.2 Lakewood Beach Community Council respecting Renaming a Portion of
       North Service Road (Commonly known as Drakes Drive) (Item 7.2) (For
today's meeting)
7. CONSENT ITEMS

7.1 To Rename Highway No. 5 East to Dundas Street East from Highway No. 6 to the Municipal Boundary with the City of Burlington / Halton Region (Flamborough) (PED19096) (Ward 15) 36

7.2 To Rename a Portion of North Service Road (Commonly Known as Drakes Drive) to Parkedge Drive Within the Former City of Stoney Creek (PED19097) (Ward 10) 42

7.3 Active Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment and Plan of Subdivision Applications (PED19072) (City Wide) 49

7.4 Hamilton Community Cat Network (HCCN) (PED19123) (City Wide) 67

7.5 Expanding Administrative Penalty System (APS) to Include the Lottery Licensing By-law 15-051 (PED19102) (City Wide) 91

7.6 Expanding Administrative Penalty System (APS) to Include the Streets By-law 86-077 (PED19095) (City Wide) 97

7.7 Amendments to the 2012 Ontario Building Code (PED19126) (City Wide) 103

7.8 Update on Staffing Vacancies within the Planning Division (PED19127) (City Wide) 106

7.9 Agriculture and Rural Affairs Advisory Committee Reports/Minutes

7.9.a March 18, 2019 - Report 19-001 110

7.9.b May 13, 2019 - Minutes 19-002 116

8. PUBLIC HEARINGS / DELEGATIONS

8.1 David Braden respecting Potential and Positive Consequences of Reducing Planning Restrictions (approved at the June 4th meeting) (no copy)

8.2 Amber Lindsay, UrbanSolutions, respecting an Exemption to Apply for a Minor Variance at 100 Hamilton Street North, Flamborough (approved at the June 4th meeting) (no copy)

8.3 Axel Binneboese, Swisscan Properties Inc. / Halton Place Horse & Country Ltd., respecting a Community, Wellness and Tourism Oriented Business Proposal (Approved at the June 4th meeting) (no copy)
8.4 Applications for an Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands Located at 305 and 311 Garner Road West (Ancaster) (PED19104) (Ward 12)

8.5 Application for Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands Located at 116 and 120 Barnesdale Avenue North (PED19117) (Ward 3)

9. STAFF PRESENTATIONS

10. DISCUSSION ITEMS

10.1 23-25 King Street East, Stoney Creek (Hamilton Municipal Heritage Report 19-003) (Deferred from the June 4th meeting)

10.2 Official Plan Amendment - Urban Boundary Expansion: Studies and Fees (To be distributed)

11. MOTIONS

11.1 Corporate Policy for Official Planning Notification During Mail Strikes

11.2 1 Main Street North, Waterdown (The Royal Coachman) - Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest

11.3 Demolition Permit for 175 Margaret Avenue (Stoney Creek)

11.4 Demolition Permit for 176 Millen Road (Stoney Creek)

12. NOTICES OF MOTION
13. **GENERAL INFORMATION / OTHER BUSINESS**

13.1 Outstanding Business List

**Items Requiring New Due Dates:**

12A - Regulation of Rental Housing  
Current Due Date: May 14, 2019  
Proposed New Due Date: September 17, 2019

17E - Family Friendly Housing  
Current Due Date: January 15, 2019  
Proposed New Due Date: February 2020

18D - Framework for Inclusionary Zoning  
Current Due Date: TBD  
Proposed New Due Date: November 19, 2019

18G - 8475 English Church Road Planning Applications  
Current Due Date: April 16, 2019  
Proposed New Due Date: September 3, 2019

18I - Designation of 3789 Main St. East, Hamilton  
Current Due Date: June 18, 2019  
Proposed New Due Date: November 5, 2019

18K - Using Pier 7 as a "People Place"  
Current Due Date: TBD  
Proposed New Due Date: August 13, 2019

18M - Designation of 828 Sanitorium Road, Hamilton  
Current Due Date: June 18, 2019  
Proposed New Due Date: November 5, 2019

19B - Updates to Zoning By-law 05-200  
1. Self Storage Facilities Locations  
Current Due Date: TBD  
Proposed New Due Date: October 15, 2019

2. Site Specific Zoning at 336-338 King St., Dundas  
Current Due Date: TBD  
Proposed New Due Date: August 13, 2019

19J - Zoning By-law Amendment for 1400 Baseline Road  
Current Due Date: TBD  
Proposed New Due Date: November 19, 2019

**Items to be Removed:**
19I - Ways to Better Protect Hamilton Trees on Private Property
(Item 10.3 on the June 4 agenda)

14. PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

14.1 Closed Session Minutes - June 4, 2019 (Distributed under separate cover)

14.2 Appeal to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal on the City of Hamilton’s Refusal or Neglect to Adopt an Amendment to the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 6593 and Draft Plan of Condominium 25-CDM-201705 (Hamilton) (LS19027 / PED18055(a)) (Ward 4) (Distributed under separate cover)

Pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-sections (e) and (f) of the City’s Procedural By-law 18-270, and Section 239(2), Sub-sections (e) and (f) of the Ontario Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, as the subject matters pertain to litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the City; and, the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

14.3 Update regarding LPAT Appeal of 195 Wellington Street South (PL171389) (LS19025) (Ward 2) (Distributed under separate cover)

Pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-sections (e) and (f) of the City's Procedural By-law 18-270, and Section 239(2), Sub-sections (e) and (f) of the Ontario Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, as the subject matters pertain to litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the City; and, the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose

15. ADJOURNMENT
THE FOLLOWING ITEMS WERE REFERRED TO COUNCIL FOR CONSIDERATION:

1. To Incorporate City Lands into Upper Red Hill Valley Parkway By-law (PED19103) (Wards 6 and 9) (Item 7.1)

(Collins/Clark)

(a) That the following City lands designated as Part 1 on Plan 62R-18783, Parts 7, 8, 14, 17, 18, and 19 on Plan 62R-18648, and Part 2 on Plan 62R-20603 be established as a public highway to form part of Upper Red Hill Valley Parkway;

(b) That the By-Law to incorporate the City lands to form part of Upper Red Hill Valley Parkway be prepared to the satisfaction of Corporate Counsel and be enacted by Council; and,

(c) That the General Manager of Public Works be authorized and directed to register the By-Law.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:

YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
YES - Councillor Chad Collins
NOT PRESENT - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

2. Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee Report 18-010 (Item 7.2)

(Whitehead/Farr)
(i) Inventory and Research Working Group Meeting Notes - October 29, 2018 (Item 7.2)

(a) Ferguson Pumping Station (Item 1)
That the Ferguson Pumping Station, 231 Ferguson Avenue, Hamilton, Ontario be Designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act and further be added to the Staff Work Plan.

(b) Jimmy Thompson Pool (Item 2)
That the Jimmy Thompson Pool, 1099 King Street, East, Hamilton, Ontario be Designated under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act and further be added to the Staff Work Plan.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 8 to 0, as follows:
YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
YES - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

3. Application to Amend the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 05-200 for Lands Located at 2040 Hall Road, Glanbrook (PED19105) (Ward 11) (Item 8.1)

(Johnson/Clark)
That Zoning By-law Amendment Application ZAA-19-012, by Jeff Barlow (Owner), for a change in zoning from the Agriculture (A1) Zone to the Agriculture (A1, 642) Zone in order to prohibit the construction of a single detached dwelling and residential care facility as required to clear a condition of consent approval as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED19105, be APPROVED on the following basis:

(a) That the draft By-law, attached as Appendix “B” to Report PED19105, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council;
(b) That the amending By-law be added to Schedule “C” of Zoning By-law No. 05-200;

(c) That the proposed modification in zoning is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014), conforms to the Greenbelt Plan (2017), and complies with the Rural Hamilton Official Plan (RHOP); and,

(d) That the public submissions received regarding this matter did not affect the decision.

Result: Main Motion, As Amended, CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:

YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
YES - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

4. Applications for an Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands Located at 514-516 Barton Street, and 293 Dewitt Road (Stoney Creek) (PED19106) (Ward 10) (Item 8.2)

(Pearson/Danko)

(a) That Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment Application UHOPA-17-36, by Khmer Kampuchea Krom Temple (c/o Alex Young, Owner), to redesignate the lands known as 514 Barton Street and 293 Dewitt Road from “Low Density Residential 2b” to “Institutional” in the Western Development Area Secondary Plan, to permit the lands to be used for institutional purposes in conjunction with an existing place of worship on the abutting lands known as 516 Barton Street (Stoney Creek), as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED19106, be APPROVED on the following basis:

(i) That the draft Official Plan Amendment, attached as Appendix “B” to Report PED19106 be adopted by City Council; and,

(ii) That the proposed Official Plan Amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014) and conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe.

(b) That Amended City of Stoney Creek Zoning By-law Amendment Application ZAC-17-079, by Khmer Kampuchea Krom Temple (c/o Alex Young, Owner), for a change in zoning from the Single Residential “R2” Zone in the Stoney Creek Zoning By-law No. 3692-92 (Block 1) and Neighbourhood Institutional (I1) Zone (Block 2) to the Neighbourhood Institutional (I1, 726) Zone to permit an institutional use with ancillary residential for the lands located at 514-516
Barton Street and 293 Dewitt Road (Stoney Creek), as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED19106, be APPROVED on the following basis:

(i) That the draft By-law, attached as Appendix “C” to Report PED19106, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council; and,

(ii) That the proposed change in zoning is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014), conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, and will comply with the Urban Hamilton Official Plan, upon finalization of Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment No. XX.

(c) That there were no public submissions received regarding this matter.

Result: Main Motion, As Amended, CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:
YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
YES - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

5. Applications for an Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands Located at 468-476 James St North, Hamilton (PED19116) (Ward 2) (Item 8.3)

(Farr/Whitehead)

(a) That Official Plan Amendment Application UHOPA-18-07 by JvN James St. G.P. Inc. c/o John Van Nostrand, Owner, for a change in building height permissions on Schedule “M-4” Building Heights to permit the redevelopment of the subject lands for an eight storey mixed use building containing 384 sq m of commercial gross floor area on the ground floor, 92 flexible housing units above and with three surface parking spaces and 36 parking space contained in one level of underground parking, for lands located at 468 to 476 James Street North, as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED19116, be APPROVED on the following basis:

(i) That the draft Official Plan Amendment, attached as Appendix “B” to Report PED19116, be adopted by City Council;

(ii) That the proposed Official Plan Amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014) and conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2017).
(b) That Amended Zoning By-law Amendment Application ZAC-18-020 by JvN James St. G.P Inc. c/o John Van Nostrand, Owner, for a further modification to the “H/S-978a” (Community Shopping and Commercial, Etc.) District, Modified, to permit the redevelopment of the subject lands for an eight storey mixed use building containing 384 sq. m. of commercial gross floor area on the ground floor, 92 flexible housing units above, and with three surface parking spaces and 36 parking spaces contained in one level of underground parking, for lands located at 468 to 476 James Street North as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED19116, be APPROVED, subject to the following:

(i) That the draft By-law, attached as Appendix “C” to Report PED19116, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council;

(ii) That the proposed change in zoning is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014), conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2017), and complies with the City of Hamilton Official Plan upon approval of Official Plan Amendment No. ___;

(iii) That the amending By-law apply the Holding Provision of section 36(1) of the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990 to the subject lands by introducing the Holding symbol ‘H1’ as a suffix to the proposed zoning for the following:

(a) The Owner enters into a conditional building permit agreement with respect to completing a Record of Site Condition or a signed Record of Site Condition (RSC) being submitted to the City of Hamilton and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MOECP). This RSC must be to the satisfaction of the Director of Planning and Chief Planner, including a notice of acknowledgement of the RSC by the MECP, and submission of the City of Hamilton’s current RSC administration fee;

(b) For such time as the Holding Provision ‘H2’ symbol is applicable to the lands referred to in Section 2, the lands shall only be used in accordance with “H/S-978a” (Community Shopping and Commercial, etc.) District except where in conflict with the following:

(i) Regulations

The maximum dwelling units and live work units shall be restricted to 99 units.

(ii) Condition for Holding Provision Removal

That the applicant submit and receive approval of a Traffic Impact Study where greater than 99 dwelling units/live work
(c) That the public submissions received regarding this matter did not affect the decision.

Result: **Main Motion, As Amended, CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:**

- YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
- YES - Councillor Jason Farr
- YES - Councillor Chad Collins
- YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
- YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
- NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
- YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
- NOT PRESENT - Councillor Brenda Johnson
- YES - Councillor Brad Clark


(Clark/Whitehead)

(a) That Council adopt the submissions and recommendations as provided in Report LS19020/PED19125 regarding Schedules 5, 9, 11 and 12 of Bill 108, *More Homes, More Choice Act, 2019*;

(b) That the Director of Planning and Chief Planner be authorized and directed to confirm the submissions made to the Province attached as Appendix "A" to Report LS19020/PED19125; and,

(c) That the Director of Planning and Chief Planner and the City Solicitor be authorized to make submissions on Bill 108, *More Homes, More Choice Act, 2019* and any associated regulations consistent with the concerns raised in Report LS19020/PED19125.

Result: **Motion CARRIED by a vote of 8 to 0, as follows:**

- YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
- YES - Councillor Jason Farr
- YES - Councillor Chad Collins
- YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
- YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
- NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
- YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
- YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
- YES - Councillor Brad Clark
7. **A Place to Grow: Growth Plan, 2019 (PED19033(a)) (City Wide) (Item 10.1)**

(Whitehead/Clark)
That Report PED19033(a) respecting A Place to Grow: Growth Plan, 2019, be received.

CARRIED

8. **Amendments to Property Standards By-law 10-221 Respecting Development and Grading Plans (PED19113) (City Wide) (Item 10.2)**

(Whitehead/Danko)
(a) That the procedural and housekeeping changes to the City of Hamilton Property Standards By-law 10-221 regarding landscaping requirements for approved developments and grading plans, and revising the penalty provisions described in Report PED19113, detailed in the proposed amending by-law attached as Appendix “A” be approved;

(b) That the amending by-law attached as Appendix “A” to Report PED19113, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor be enacted by Council.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 6 to 0, as follows:
YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Jason Farr
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

9. **Hamilton Urban Forest Strategy Update (PD02229(h)) (City Wide) (Item 10.3)**

(Farr/Wilson)
That Report PD02229(h) respecting Hamilton Urban Forest Strategy Update, be received.

CARRIED

10. **Year Round Live-Aboards at West Harbour Marinas / Yacht Clubs (Item 11.1)**

(Farr/Whitehead)
WHEREAS, Year-round live-aboard residents have resided in the west harbour for over two decades;

WHEREAS, the City of Hamilton recently permitted 2018-19 off-season live-aboard residents with a willing host at Macassa Bay Yacht Club/Marina and there
were no complaints or impacts respecting this permission; and,

WHEREAS, The Mission Statement from the year-round live-aboards currently residing on the water in Hamilton is to "promote a living alternative lifestyle on the waters of Hamilton Harbour within the Community of Hamilton’;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

(a) That should a willing host be available (for example, if Macassa Bay Yacht Club expresses written consent as a sub-landlord), a Live-Aboard sub-committee of the Planning Committee be established, with an objective to create a feasibility study over a two-year period;

(b) That the sub-committee be comprised of the appropriate City of Hamilton staff, including but not limited to Planning, Finance, Legal and Emergency Services, the Ward Councillor, representatives from each interested marina/yacht club and representatives from the current live-aboard residents;

(c) That the issue of year-round live-aboards related to any ongoing negotiations respecting City of Hamilton long-term leases with Marinas and Yacht Clubs be held in abeyance until such time as the feasibility study report is reported back to the Planning Committee; and,

(d) That live-aboards continue to be permitted to live year-round until the Planning Committee deals with the matter once the feasibility study is finalized.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:
YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

11. Amendment to Nuisance By-law No. 09-110 respecting Cannabis Growing Operations (Item 11.2)

(Clarke/Johnson)

WHEREAS, the City of Hamilton has experienced increased nuisance complaints about properties growing cannabis for the purpose of personal use as authorized by Health Canada;

WHEREAS, section 128 of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, provides that a local municipality may prohibit and regulate with respect to public nuisances,
including matters that in the opinion of Council are or could become public
nuisances;

WHEREAS, pursuant to section 129 of the Municipal Act, 2001, S.O. 2001, a
municipality may prohibit and regulate with respect to noise, vibration, odour, dust
and outdoor illumination, including indoor lighting that can be seen outdoors;

WHEREAS, Cannabis growing operations that are not regulated as Licensed
Producers by Health Canada are creating significant public nuisances in relation
to odour and outdoor light illuminations; and,

WHEREAS, the City of Hamilton By-law No. 09-110, being a By-law to prohibit and
regulates certain public nuisance did not previously consider public nuisance
created by cannabis growing operations;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That the Director of Licensing and By-law Services be directed to bring an
amending By-law to the current City of Hamilton By-law No. 09-110 to include the
violations of; cause or permit any public nuisance; cause or permit any activity on
one property which are obnoxious or which substantially reduce the enjoyment of
another property, including without limiting the generality of activities such as the
creation of vibration or the emission of smoke, dust, airborne particulate matter or
objectionable odour and light that is broadcasted directly from one property onto
another property.

Result:  Motion CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:
YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

12. Demolition Permit for 743 Green Mountain Road East (Stoney Creek) (Item
11.3)

(Clark/Pearson)
That the Chief Building Official be authorized and directed to issue a demolition
permit for 743 Green Mountain Road East (Stoney Creek) in accordance with By-
law 09-208, as amended by By-law 13-185, pursuant to Section 33 of the Planning
Act, subject to the following conditions:
Planning Committee
Minutes 19-009
June 4, 2019
Page 10 of 24

(a) That if a replacement building is not erected on this property within two years of the demolition of the existing building, the City be paid the sum of $20,000 which sum:

(i) the City Clerk is authorized to enter on the collector’s roll and collect in like manner as municipal taxes;

(ii) is a lien or charge on the property until paid; and

(b) That the applicant be required to register on title to the subject property (prior to issuance of the said demolition permit), notice of these conditions in a form satisfactory to the Chief Building Official and the City Solicitor.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:

YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

13. Electric Charging Stations in Ward 5 (Item 11.4)

(Farr/Clark)

(a) That Hamilton Municipal Parking staff be directed to install 4 electric charging stations in Ward 5 (2 stations in Municipal Carpark 3 located within the boundaries of the Stoney Creek BIA and 2 stations on Van Wagners Beach Road located in the parking lot next to Hutch’s on the Beach);

(b) That the estimated $30,000 cost of installing the 2 charging stations at Confederation Beach Park be funded from the Beach Neighbourhood Capital Reserve Account (108037) and the estimated $25,000 cost of installing the 2 charging stations in Downtown Stoney Creek be funded from the Stoney Creek Terrapure Reserve Account (117036); and,

(c) That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized and directed to execute any required agreement(s) and ancillary documents, with such terms and conditions in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:

YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
14. Development of a Rural Fill By-law (Added Item 12.2)

(Johnson/Clark)
WHEREAS, this Motion was passed at the Agriculture and Rural Affairs Advisory Committee; and,

WHEREAS, this Motion is time sensitive;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

That Staff be directed to develop a rural fill by-law to stop illegal fill movement while minimizing the impact on normal farm practices.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:

YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

FOR INFORMATION:

(a) APPROVAL OF AGENDA (Item 2)

The Committee Clerk advised of the following changes to the agenda:

1. DELEGATION REQUESTS (Item 6)

6.3 Carolyn Zanchetta, Hamilton Naturalists' Club, respecting Bill 108, Schedule 5 Changes to the Endangered Species Act (For today's meeting)

6.4 Gary Birch respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal) (Item 14.1) (For today's meeting)

6.5 Brett Harrington respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal) (Item 14.1) (For today's meeting)

6.6 Axel Binneboese, Swisscan Properties Inc. / Halton Place Horse & Country Ltd., respecting a Community, Wellness and Tourism Oriented Business Proposal (For the June 18th meeting)
6.7 Katherine Golightly respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal) (Item 14.1) (For today's meeting)

6.8 Lynda Lukasik, Environment Hamilton, respecting Item 9.1 (Bill 108) and Item 10.1 (A Place to Grow: Growth Plan, 2019) (For today's meeting)

2. PUBLIC HEARINGS/DELEGATIONS (Item 8)

8.3 Applications for an Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands Located at 468 – 476 James Street North, Hamilton (PED19116 (Ward 2)

(i) Added Written Submissions:

   (b) Per Kleefisch
   (c) Stephen Watson
   (d) Rashmi Nathwani
   (e) Robyn Gillam
   (f) Nick Dika
   (g) Jo-Ann Tetreault
   (h) Kate Berry
   (i) Bill Johnston

(ii) Added Registered Delegations:

   (a) Shawn Selway
   (b) Bill Johnston (WITHDRAWN)

3. NOTICES OF MOTION (Item 12)

12.1 Corporate Policy for Official Planning Notification During Mail Strikes

4. GENERAL INFORMATION/OTHER BUSINESS (Item 13)

13.1 Outstanding Business List

   (b) Items to be Removed:

      17D – Sign Variance Appeal – 430 McNeilly Road, Stoney Creek (Settled at the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal July 3, 2018)

(Wilson/Johnson)
That the agenda for the June 4, 2019 meeting be approved, as amended.
Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:
YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
YES - Councillor Chad Collins
NOT PRESENT - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

(b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 3)
None declared.

(c) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Item 4)
(i) May 14, 2019 (Item 4.1)
(Whitehead/Collins)
That the Minutes of the May 14, 2019 meeting be approved, as presented.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:
YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
YES - Councillor Chad Collins
NOT PRESENT - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

(d) DELEGATION REQUESTS (Item 6)
(Johnson/Clark)
That the following Delegation Requests be approved as follows:

(i) David Braden respecting Potential and Positive Consequences of Reducing Planning Restrictions (For the June 18th meeting) (Item 6.1)

(ii) Amber Lindsay, UrbanSolutions, respecting an exemption to Apply for a Minor Variance at 100 Hamilton Street North (For the June 18th meeting) (Item 6.2)

(iii) Carolyn Zanchetta, Hamilton Naturalists’ Club, respecting Bill 108, Schedule 5 Changes to the Endangered Species Act (For today’s meeting) (Item 6.3)
(iv) Gary Birch respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal) (Item 14.1) (For today’s meeting) (Item 6.4)

(v) Brett Harrington respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal) (Item 14.1) (For today’s meeting) (Item 6.5)

(vi) Axel Binneboese, Swisscan Properties Inc. / Halton Place Horse & Country Ltd., respecting a Community, Wellness and Tourism Oriented Business Proposal (For the June 18th meeting) (Item 6.6)

(vii) Katherine Golightly respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal) (Item 14.1) (For today’s meeting) (Item 6.7)

(viii) Lynda Lukasik, Environment Hamilton, respecting Item 9.1 (Bill 108) and Item 10.1 (A Place to Grow: Growth Plan, 2019) (For today's meeting) (Item 6.8)

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:

YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
YES - Councillor Chad Collins
NOT PRESENT - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

(e) PUBLIC HEARINGS / DELEGATIONS (Item 8)

(i) Application to Amend the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 05-200 for Lands Located at 2040 Hall Road, Glenbrook (PED19105) (Ward 11) (Item 8.1)

In accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act, Chair Pearson advised those in attendance that if a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting or make written submissions to the Council of the City of Hamilton before Council makes a decision regarding the Zoning By-law Amendment the person or public body is not entitled to appeal the decision of the Council of the City of Hamilton to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal, and the person or public body may not be added as a party to the hearing of an appeal before the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal unless, in the opinion of the Tribunal, there are reasonable grounds to do so.

No members of the public came forward.
(Johnson/Clark)
That the public meeting be closed.

CARRIED

(Johnson/Clark)
That the staff presentation be waived.

CARRIED

(Johnson/Clark)
That the recommendations in Report PED19105 be amended by adding the following sub-section (d):

(d) That the public submissions received regarding this matter did not affect the decision.

Result: Amendment CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:
YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
YES - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 3.

(ii) Applications for an Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands Located at 514-516 Barton Street, and 293 Dewitt Road (Stoney Creek) (PED19106) (Ward 10) (Item 8.2)

In accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act, Chair Pearson advised those in attendance that if a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting or make written submissions to the Council of the City of Hamilton before Council makes a decision regarding the Official Plan Amendment and the Zoning By-law Amendment the person or public body is not entitled to appeal the decision of the Council of the City of Hamilton to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal, and the person or public body may not be added as a party to the hearing of an appeal before the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal unless, in the opinion of the Tribunal, there are reasonable grounds to do so.

No members of the public came forward.
That the public meeting be closed.  

CARRIED

That the staff presentation be waived.  

CARRIED

Stuart Hastings, GSP Group, agent, was in attendance and indicated that the applicant is in agreement with the staff report. Stuart Hastings provided an overview of the proposal with the aid of a PowerPoint presentation. A copy of the presentation is available online at www.hamilton.ca or through the Office of the City Clerk.

That the overview of the proposal by Stuart Hastings, be received.  

CARRIED

That the by-law be amended to reduce the required parking spaces from 23 to 21.

Result:  
Motion CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:

YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson  
YES - Councillor Jason Farr  
YES - Councillor Chad Collins  
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko  
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson  
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge  
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Terry Whitehead  
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson  
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

That the recommendations in Report PED19106 be amended by adding the following sub-section (c):

(c) That there were no public submissions received regarding this matter.

Result:  
Amendment CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:

YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson  
YES - Councillor Jason Farr  
YES - Councillor Chad Collins  
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko  
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson  
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge  
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Terry Whitehead
For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 4.

(iii) Applications for an Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands Located at 468 to 476 James Street North, Hamilton (PED19116) (Ward 2) (Item 8.3)

In accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act, Chair Pearson advised those in attendance that if a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting or make written submissions to the Council of the City of Hamilton before Council makes a decision regarding the Official Plan Amendment and the Zoning By-law Amendment the person or public body is not entitled to appeal the decision of the Council of the City of Hamilton to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal, and the person or public body may not be added as a party to the hearing of an appeal before the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal unless, in the opinion of the Tribunal, there are reasonable grounds to do so.

Daniel Barnett, Planning Technician I, addressed the Committee with the aid of a PowerPoint presentation. A copy of the presentation is available online at www.hamilton.ca or through the Office of the City Clerk.

(Farr/Danko)
That the staff presentation be received.

CARRIED

John van Nostrand, JvN/d, agent, addressed the Committee with the aid of a PowerPoint presentation. A copy of the presentation is available online or through the Office of the City Clerk.

(Farr/Wilson)
That the presentation from John van Nostrand, be received.

CARRIED

(Farr/Collins)
That the following delegations be received:

(a) Shawn Selway addressed the Committee and expressed concerns with the proposal.

(b) Herman Turkstra addressed the Committee and expressed concerns with the proposal.

(c) Rob Fiedler addressed the Committee and expressed concerns with the proposal.
(d) Carol Peters addressed the Committee and expressed concerns with the proposal.

(e) Bryan Ritskes addressed the Committee and expressed concerns with the proposal.

(f) Bill Majoros addressed the Committee and expressed concerns with the proposal.

CARRIED

(Collins/Farr)
That the following written submissions be received:

(a) Central Neighbourhood Association
(b) Per Kleefisch
(c) Stephen Watson
(d) Rashmi Nathwani
(e) Robyn Gillam
(f) Nick Dika
(g) Jo-Ann Tetreault
(h) Kate Berry
(i) Bill Johnston

CARRIED

(Wilson/Danko)
That the public meeting be closed.

CARRIED

(Farr/Whitehead)
That the recommendations in Report PED19116 be amended by adding the following sub-section (c):

(c) That the public submissions received regarding this matter did not affect the decision.

Result: Amendment CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:

YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
YES - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 5.
8.4 **Carolyn Zanchetta, Hamilton Naturalists' Club, respecting Bill 108, Schedule 5 Changes to the Endangered Species Act (Added Item 6.3)**

Carolyn Zanchetta addressed the Committee respecting Bill 108, Schedule 5 Changes to the Endangered Species Act, with the aid of a PowerPoint presentation. A copy of the presentation is available online at www.hamilton.ca or through the Office of the City Clerk.

(Danko/Wilson)
That the delegation from Carolyn Zanchetta, Hamilton Naturalists' Club, respecting Bill 108, Schedule 5 Changes to the Endangered Species Act, be received.

CARRIED

8.5 **Gary Birch respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal) (Item 14.1) (Added Item 6.4)**

Gary Birch addressed the Committee respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal).

(Collins/Johnson)
That the delegation from Gary Birch respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal), be received.

CARRIED

8.6 **Brett Harrington respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal) (Item 14.1) (Added Item 6.5)**

Brett Harrington addressed the Committee respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal).

(Johnson/Clark)
That the delegation from Brett Harrington respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal), be extended by five minutes.

CARRIED

(Johnson/Clark)
That the delegation from Brett Harrington respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal), be received.

CARRIED

8.7 **Katherine Golightly respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal) (Item 14.1) (Added Item 6.7)**

Katherine Golightly addressed the Committee respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal).
That the delegation from Katherine Golightly respecting 3033, 3047, 3055 and 3063 Binbrook Road (LPAT Appeal), be received.

CARRIED

8.8 Lynda Lukasik, Environment Hamilton, respecting Item 9.1 (Bill 108) and 10.1 (A Place to Grow: Growth Plan, 2019) (Added Item 6.8)

Lynda Lukasik, Environment Hamilton, addressed the Committee respecting Item 9.1 (Bill 108) and Item 10.1 (A Place to Grow: Growth Plan, 2019).

That the delegation from Lynda Lukasik, Environment Hamilton, respecting Bill 108 and A Place to Grow: Growth Plan, 2019, be received.

CARRIED

(f) STAFF PRESENTATIONS (Item 9)


Anita Fabac, Manager of Development Planning, Heritage and Design, and Joanna Wice, Solicitor, addressed the Committee with the aid of a PowerPoint presentation. A copy of the presentation is available online at www.hamilton.ca or in the Office of the City Clerk.

That the staff presentation from Anita Fabac, Manager of Development Planning, Heritage and Design, and Joanna Wice, Solicitor, be received.

CARRIED

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 6.

(g) DISCUSSION ITEMS (Item 10)

(i) A Place to Grow: Growth Plan, 2019 (PED19033(a)) (City Wide) (Item 10.1)

Heather Travis, Senior Project Manager, addressed the Committee respecting A Place to Grow: Growth Plan, 2019.

That staff be directed to review the Planning Application fees for Private Urban Boundary Expansion applications and Private Employment Lands Conversion applications pursuant to changes in the Ontario Growth Plan;
and,

(a) That staff be directed to report back to the Planning Committee with requisite studies and a corresponding fee structure; and,

(b) That staff be directed to report back to the Planning Committee with recommendations on June 18, 2019.

Result: **Motion CARRIED by a vote of 6 to 0, as follows:**

YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Jason Farr
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 7.

(ii) **Hamilton Urban Forest Strategy Update (PD02229(h)) (City Wide) (Item 10.3)**

Catherine Plosz, Natural Heritage Planner, addressed the Committee respecting Hamilton Urban Forest Strategy Update, with the aid of a PowerPoint presentation. A copy is available online at www.hamilton.ca and at the Office of the City Clerk.

**(Farr/Wilson)**
That the staff presentation from Catherine Plosz respecting Hamilton Urban Forest Strategy Update, be received.

**CARRIED**

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 9.

(iii) **Designation of 23-25 King Street East, Stoney Creek (Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee Report 19-003) (Deferred from the May 14 meeting) (Item 10.4)**

Councillor Collins was not present when this matter was addressed and the Committee agreed to defer the matter to the June 18th Planning Committee agenda.
(h) NOTICES OF MOTION (Item 12)

(i) Corporate Policy for Official Planning Notification During Mail Strikes (Added Item 12.1)

Councillor Farr introduced the following Notice of Motion respecting Corporate Policy for Official Planning Notification During Mail Strikes:

WHEREAS, the Planning Act prescribes the options the City of Hamilton can use for giving notice of an application to the Committee of Adjustment for a minor variance or severance;

WHEREAS, the two statutory options available to the City of Hamilton are to give notice by placing an advertisement in the newspaper or by first class mail to property owners combined with posting a sign on the property;

WHEREAS, as a result of the most recent mail disruption at Canada Post which required the City of Hamilton to give notice by placing an advertisement in the newspaper; and,

WHEREAS, not all affected residents read the newspaper or what appear to be technical notices placed in the newspaper and residents miss the opportunity to participate in the Committee of Adjustment decision making process;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That Planning staff report back to Planning Committee on a strategy for informing residents that goes beyond the traditional newspaper advertisement in the event of future disruptions in mail delivery service.

(ii) Development of a Rural Fill By-law (Added Item 12.2)

Councillor Johnson introduced a Notice of Motion respecting Development of a Rural Fill By-law.

(Johnson/Clark)

That the Rules of Order be waived to allow for the introduction of a Motion respecting Development of a Rural Fill By-law.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 7 to 0, as follows:
YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

For disposition of the matter, refer to Item 14.

(i) GENERAL INFORMATION / OTHER BUSINESS (Item 13)

(i) Outstanding Business List (Item 13.1)

(Whitehead/Collins)
That the following changes to the Outstanding Business List, be approved:

Items Requiring New Due Dates:

18A - Development Approval Fees re Affordable Housing
Current Due Date: TBD
Proposed New Due Date: September 3, 2019

18P - EV Chargers in Hamilton Municipal Parking Lot Systems
Current Due Date: May 14, 2019
Proposed New Due Date: August 13, 2019

19C - On Street Parking Permits - Wellington Street North
Current Due Date: July 9, 2019
Proposed New Due Date: August 13, 2019

Items to be Removed:

19F - 310 Frances Avenue
(Addressed as Item 7.3 on the May 14th agenda)

17D - Sign Variance Appeal - 430 McNeilly Road, Stoney Creek
(Settled at the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal July 3, 2018)

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 8 to 0, as follows:
YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
YES - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

(j) PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL (Item 14)

(Johnson/Clark)
That Committee move into Closed Session respecting Item(s) 14.1 pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-section(s) (e) and (f) of the City's Procedural By-law 18-270, and Section 239(2), Sub-section(s) (e) and (f) of the Ontario Municipal Act, 2001, as
amended, as the subject matters pertain to litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the City; and, the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 8 to 0, as follows:
YES - Councillor Maureen Wilson
YES - Councillor Jason Farr
YES - Councillor Chad Collins
YES - Councillor John-Paul Danko
YES - Councillor Maria Pearson
NOT PRESENT - Councillor Judi Partridge
YES - Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Councillor Brenda Johnson
YES - Councillor Brad Clark

(i) Appeal to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal (LPAT) for Lack of Decision on Urban Hamilton Official Plan Applications (UHOPA-16-18) and Township of Glanbrook Zoning By-law No. 464 Application (ZAC-16-051) for Lands Located at 3033, 3047, 3055, 3063 Binbrook Road (LS19003(a)/PED19031(a)) (Glanbrook) (Ward 11) (Item 14.1)

Staff was provided with direction in Closed Session with nothing further to report in Open Session.

(k) ADJOURNMENT (Item 15)

(Whitehead/Danko)
That there being no further business, the Planning Committee be adjourned at 7:53 pm.

CARRIED

Councillor Maria Pearson
Chair, Planning Committee

Lisa Chamberlain
Legislative Coordinator
Office of the City Clerk
From: George McCowan  
Sent: June 10, 2019 1:38 PM  
To: clerk@hamilton.ca  
Subject: renaming of portion of Drakes Drive

I would suggest Lakewood Beach Road.

George McCowan
From: Chamberlain, Lisa  
Sent: June 11, 2019 10:56 AM  
To: Chamberlain, Lisa  
Subject: FW: Renaming the portion of Drakes road Stoney Creek to Lakewood Beach Road!!

-----Original Message-----
From: TMcClelland  
Sent: June 10, 2019 1:51 PM  
To: clerk@hamilton.ca  
Subject: Renaming the portion of Drakes road Stoney Creek to Lakewood Beach Road!!

Dear City Clerk  
Please present a copy of this letter at the Council meeting on Tuesday, June 18, 2019.

Regarding the road name change proposal / decision for renaming a portion of Drakes Rd.

As a resident of The Lakewood Beach Community, I would like to request that the road name change for the portion of Drakes Rd to be renamed & called “Lakewood Beach Road”
We are a strong & committed Community & based on respect for the history of the area of our Community, The name of Lakewood Beach Road is the best choice & my Vote!!

Best regards  
T McClelland  
Stoney Creek
I wish to have my letter placed in the agenda for the June 18th meeting. As a resident in the neighbourhood at The Shoreliner I wish to recommend that Drakes Drive be renamed Lakewood Beach Drive.
Form: Request to Speak to Committee of Council
Submitted on Wednesday, June 5, 2019 - 5:12 pm

==Committee Requested==
Committee: Planning Committee

==Requestor Information==
Name of Individual: James Lafferty
Name of Organization:
Contact Number:
Email Address:
Mailing Address:

Reason(s) for delegation request:
I was a Delegate last June because Southbrook Golf Club dumping 100 trucks a day ruined our road, culvert issues, dust problems and work not finished north of Corlis Rd. Nothing has been done. I've tried working with Southbrook Superintendent. I've emailed City Hall. I've emailed Councillor Johnson and was lisaalso a Delegate. I would like to address the chamber again to resolve ongoing issues, zoning, permits and use of NPCA lands for dumping.

Will you be requesting funds from the City? No

Will you be submitting a formal presentation? Yes
Good Morning Everyone,

As per the attached Public Notice in yesterday's edition of the Stoney Creek News, a motion is coming forward from Cllr Pearson to rename a portion of the municipally signed Drakes Drive (registered on title as North Service Road) to Parkedge Drive.

Coincidentally, we were scheduled to speak to Committee on May 14th with a request to waive the street naming fee, so we could engage the community and allow those of us who live in the area submit suggestions.

No disrespect to Councillor Pearson or the request of Parkedge Drive, but we've had some suggestions already which we'd like considered. These suggestions might be more significant to the area, it's history and the community. By way of b.c.c. to the members of LBCC, we are also seeking additional suggestions from the residents. A list of pre-approved street names is also attached, however we've been told suggestions do not have to match the list.

To date, the following suggestions have been made (in no particular order) along with some context:

1. **Sears Drive** - Although the city tends to shy away from naming streets after politicians, in the 80s Bill Sears was also a principle of the engineering firm involved in developing Lakewood Landing subdivision (Grays, Drakes, Oceanic, Lakegate) - the adjacent lands to the north. Bill also lives in our community.

2. **McLeod Road** - Back 100 years ago, a W. MacLeod owned land just west of the Big Pond (spelled Mac) One of the first residents in our developed area was the McLeods. Mrs. McLeod passed away last year in her 98th year. however her children, grandchildren and great grandchildren are still very much of the community and live here.

3. **Maria's Way** - For our dear friend Maria Ferreira who we love dearly & is an inspiration to everyone who's lives she touched in the community

4. **Linkage St** - Prior to developments, this particular road use to be an environmental 'linkage' in our official plan.

5. **Lakewood Beach Road** - Community Beach Gardens subdivision was the first reg subdivision in this area. It was registered in 1921 (coming up to 100 yr anniversary). That housing development encompassed the lands to the east & south (Frances, Community Ave, Pinelands, Teal, North & South Service Roads and even the QEW when it was a dirt road - Burlington St). The next major subdivision was Lakewood Landing, the lands to the north. A combination of those 2 Subdivisions is Lakewood Beach.
We will submit more suggestions by the deadline of June 17th if any come forward, and/or you may also receive some suggestions directly from others residents who we've engaged with, but for now we would like this correspondence included in the agenda for consideration during your June 18th Planning Committee meeting.

Thank you,

Viv / Anna / Nancy
Lakewood Beach Community Council
RECOMMENDATION

That Highway No. 5 East, between Highway No. 6 and the municipal boundary with the City of Burlington, be renamed Dundas Street East, as identified on Appendix “A” to Report PED19096, and that the draft By-law, attached as Appendix “B” to Report PED19096, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In the 1920’s Dundas Street East was taken over by the Province and renamed as Highway No. 5 East. However, currently, all addresses and local street signs of Dundas Street East have not been changed. This has created confusion on overlapping street names ever since then. In 1997, the Province downloaded Highway No. 5 East to the Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth, which later amalgamated into the City of Hamilton. The Regional Government did not pass a By-law to revert the street name back to Dundas Street East.

Staff were unable to find any business or residence that uses Highway No. 5 East as their address. They all use Dundas Street East. Therefore, this recommendation is
being made to revert Highway No. 5 East back to Dundas Street East and be legally consistent with existing addresses.

Alternatives for Consideration – See Page 3

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: There are costs associated with placing an advertisement in the Flamborough Review. However, street signs indicating “Dundas Street East” are already installed, so there is no cost for street signs.

Staffing: None

Legal: The Municipal Act does not require any public notification for a municipal street name change. However, notice of the proposed street name change has been given in accordance with the City of Hamilton Local Street Naming Policies, Guidelines and Procedures, as approved by Council on March 9th, 2005.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Dundas Street East is an old roadway that ran from Toronto to Brant County. In the 1920’s, the Province of Ontario created a system of highways known as the King’s Highway System. The Province would retain ownership and maintenance of these highways. Legally, Dundas Street East in Waterdown was renamed as Highway No. 5 East by the Province, however, existing addresses and local street signs were never changed. This is still the case today.

In 1997, the Province downloaded Highway No. 5 East, between Highway No. 6 and the municipal boundary with the City of Burlington, to the Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth, which later amalgamated into the City of Hamilton. The Regional Government did not pass a By-law to revert the street name back to Dundas Street East from Highway No. 5 East.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

The proposed street name change is consistent with the Local Street Naming Policies, Guidelines and Procedures document to avoid and remove contradictory street names.
RELEVANT CONSULTATION

A copy of the By-law has been reviewed by the City of Hamilton Legal Division and the Ward 15 Councillor was consulted.

ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

Since the 1920’s, when the Province took over Dundas Street East, there have been two street names overlapping this same portion of roadway. Legally the street name is still Highway No. 5 East and was recognized as that by the Province until 1997. Locally the street signs and property addressing have always stated Dundas Street East. In anticipation of this recommendation, staff have continued to assign Dundas Street East addresses to new developments to save the business owners and residents the trouble of going through an address change.

A review of addresses with the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation, Canada Post and Bell Canada found that nobody is using the street name of Highway No. 5 East as their address. Numerous other signs and maps also refer to this road as Dundas Street East. Therefore, this recommendation is being made to revert Highway No. 5 East back to Dundas Street East and be legally consistent with existing addresses.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

The only alternative is not to change the name and leave it as Highway No. 5 East. This is not recommended, as we have already seen cases where maps and signs are giving conflicting and contradictory information over the proper street name. Private, corporate and governmental databases have become address reliant and exact, where submitting the wrong street name could lead to services not being delivered or potential confusion, especially for Emergency Services. The City could be held responsible for any loss of life or property if delivery personnel or Emergency Services were unable to locate a property due to a contradictory street name, than what a user has in their database.

ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 – 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN

Economic Prosperity and Growth
Hamilton has a prosperous and diverse local economy where people have opportunities to grow and develop.
Built Environment and Infrastructure
Hamilton is supported by state of the art infrastructure, transportation options, buildings and public spaces that create a dynamic City.

Our People and Performance
Hamiltonians have a high level of trust and confidence in their City government.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix “A” – Location Map of Highway No. 5 East
Appendix “B” – By-law to Rename Highway No. 5 East to Dundas Street East

PT:as
CITY OF HAMILTON

BY-LAW NO.

To rename Highway No. 5 East to Dundas Street East from Highway No. 6 to the Municipal Boundary with the City of Burlington / Halton Region (Flamborough)

WHEREAS notice of the proposal to pass this By-law was published in the Flamborough Review prior to the passing of this by-law;

AND WHEREAS the Council of the City of Hamilton, through the Planning Committee, has heard all persons who applied to be heard no matter whether in objection to or in support of this by-law;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

1. The name of the street municipally known as Highway No. 5 East, from Highway No. 6 to the Municipal Boundary with the City of Burlington / Halton Region, more particularly described as follows:

   PIN's 175630188(LT); 175620633(LT); 175090146(LT); 175080033(LT);
   175070261(LT); 175070264(LT); 175060308(LT); 175050325(LT);
   175050321(LT); 175030361(LT); 175020041(LT);

   City of Hamilton;

   is hereby changed to Dundas Street East.

2. That this By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its registration in The Hamilton Land Registry Office for the Land Titles Division of Wentworth 62.

PASSED and ENACTED this day of , 2019.

Fred Eisenberger
Mayor

Janet Pilon
Acting City Clerk
RECOMMENDATION

That the portion of North Service Road (commonly known as Drakes Drive) between Frances Avenue and North Service Road, in the former City of Stoney Creek, be renamed Parkedge Drive as identified on Appendix “A”, to Report PED19097, in accordance with the draft By-law, attached as Appendix “B” to Report PED19097, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, to be enacted by City Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Drakes Drive is a local road located in the former City of Stoney Creek that originally ran from Frances Avenue to Lakegate Drive. An extension to North Service Road was constructed in the mid-1980s by the Ministry of Transportation of Ontario as a connection road from North Service Road to Frances Avenue, which was later unofficially referred to as an extension to Drakes Drive. The addressing sequence of the original Drakes Drive began northward from the beginning of Frances Avenue without anticipating a future extension, thus resulting in properties south of Frances Avenue fronting Drakes Drive being out of sequence with respect to municipal numbering.
The City has received Formal Consultations, Zoning By-law amendments, and Site Plan Control applications regarding the two vacant properties that will be utilizing the Drakes Drive / North Service Road extension as their primary access. This provides the City an opportunity to formally rename the Drakes Drive / North Service Road extension to Parkedge Drive and reassigning municipal numbers to the two vacant properties for the purpose of rectifying out of sequence addresses and avoiding any confusion to emergency and delivery services.

Staff has consulted with property owners of the two vacant lands regarding the change of street name and municipal address. The owners have no objections toward this initiative and have been made aware that the street name and address change will be included as Site Plan Approval conditions.

Alternatives for Consideration – See Page 4

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: Costs will be incurred by the City by placing an advertisement on the Stoney Creek News notifying the street name change, and installation of two new street signs indicating “Parkedge Drive”.

Staffing: N/A

Legal: The Municipal Act does not require any public notification for a municipal street name change. However, notice of proposed street name change has been given in accordance to the City of Hamilton Local Street Naming Policies, Guidelines, and Procedures, as approved by Council on March 9th, 2005.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Drakes Drive was originally constructed as part of the Wright Place Addition Phase One subdivision in 1982, where addressing began with number one on the odd side and twelve on the even side starting from north of Frances Avenue. A new connection road between North Service Road and Frances Avenue was constructed by the Ministry of Transportation in the mid-1980s. Officially the road carried over the name North Service Road, however, it became commonly known as an extension to Drakes Drive by name. Staff was unable to identify any By-laws that officially recognized this extension as Drakes Drive.

As a result of the original Drakes Drive’s address sequence beginning from the North of Frances Avenue without anticipating a southward extension, out of sequence municipal
numbers would have to be assigned to Drakes Drive properties south of Frances Avenue, given the two proposed developments.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

The proposed street name change is consistent with the Local Street Naming Policies, Guidelines, and Procedures, which will rectify the addressing sequence.

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

Two vacant properties subject of development applications, will require full address changes if developments were to occur, as they would have access restricted to this southerly portion of Drakes Drive.

Both property owners have been made aware of the proposed street name and address changes. No objections have been received up to the time of writing of this Report.

Accordingly, a copy of the draft By-law has been prepared and reviewed by the City of Hamilton Legal Division and attached as Appendix “B” to Report PED19097.

Lastly, the Ward 10 Councillor has been consulted and made aware of this recommendation.

ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

The municipal addressing of the original Drakes Drive prior to the construction of the North Service Road extension began north of Frances Drive with 1 and 12, and ended just south of Lakegate Drive with 41 and 42. These numbers are in order and in proper sequence.

However, number 6 Drakes Drive is a vacant property fronting the Drakes Drive / North Service Road extension between Frances Avenue and North Service Road. This number is not in proper sequence with the remainder of Drakes Drive. A formal consultation application where the owner expressed interests in developing the property was received and the Development Review Team had provided the comment that a street name change will be required to rectify the out of sequence address. If a change of street name is not in place, the property will remain out of sequence regardless of an address reassignment, since a property associated with an even number address should be located north of Frances Avenue.

In addition, number 560 Grays Road is a vacant property that will be reassigned a municipal address fronting the Drakes Drive / North Service Road extension between
Frances Avenue and North Service Road outlined in the staff comments to Zoning By-law Amendment application ZAC-18-017 and Site Plan Control application DA-19-056. Due to topographic and traffic constraints, the property can only be accessed from the Drakes Drive / North Service Road extension and thus warranting the need for a change of address. Without a change of street name, the property will be assigned a Drakes Drive address between zero and one, such as ½, in order to stay in proper sequence. Staff has received feedback from the owner that the half number address is unappealing and likely to cause confusion.

Staff has also received feedback that the aforementioned addressing issues will become more significant as the two vacant lands become developed. A formal Site Plan Control application DA-19-056 has already been submitted by the owner of 560 Grays Road. To maintain proper addressing sequence, staff recommends that the Drakes Drive / North Service Road extension be renamed Parkedge Drive and that the two vacant properties abutting the road be reassigned municipal numbers using the new name.

**ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION**

1. Refuse the proposed street name change and proceed with a municipal address change instead. The property known as 560 Grays Road will be assigned the municipal number of ½ Drakes Drive and the property 6 Drakes Drive will be reassigned 2 Drakes Drive. This is not being recommended, as not only will the new 2 Drakes Drive remain out of sequence regardless of the address change, but it will also be out of sequence with the vacant property across the street. This alternative may cause confusion to emergency and postal services.

2. Refuse the proposed street name change on Drakes Drive and rename the portion of Frances Avenue between Grays Road and Pinelands Avenue instead. The two vacant properties will be reassigned municipal addresses from the renamed Frances Avenue. This is not recommended as there are topographic and traffic concerns restricting any access for the two properties from Frances Avenue, as per Development Review Team comments to Zoning By-law Amendment application ZAC-18-017.

3. Refuse the proposed street name change on Drakes Drive and reassign North Service Road municipal addresses to the two vacant properties. This is not recommended due to traffic, topographic, and environmental challenges. North Service Road is a major collector road and is known to experience high traffic volumes during peak periods. The vacant property known as 6 Drakes Drive has limited frontage onto North Service Road and access from the road may be obstructed by a creek running through the property.
ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 – 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN

Economic Prosperity and Growth
Hamilton has a prosperous and diverse local economy where people have opportunities to grow and develop.

Built Environment and Infrastructure
Hamilton is supported by state of the art infrastructure, transportation options, buildings and public spaces that create a dynamic City.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix “A” – Location Map of North Service Road (also known as Drakes Drive)
Appendix “B” – By-law to Change North Service Road (also known as Drakes Drive) to Parkedge Drive

PT:as
CITY OF HAMILTON

BY-LAW NO.

To rename North Service Road (commonly known as Drakes Drive) to Parkedge Drive

WHEREAS notice of the proposal to pass this By-law was published in the Stoney Creek News prior to the passing of this By-law;

AND WHEREAS the Council of the City of Hamilton, through the Planning Committee, has heard all persons who applied to be heard no matter whether in objection to or in support of this by-law;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

1. The name of the street municipally known as North Service Road (commonly known as Drakes Drive), more particularly described as follows:

   PIN 173300164 (LT)
   Part of North Service Road lying between Queen Elizabeth Way and Frances Avenue; part of Lot 22, Broken Front Concession, Saltfleet, Parts 13, 14, 16 and part of Part 10 on Plan 62R-5744; lying south of Frances Avenue; Stoney Creek, City of Hamilton.

   is hereby changed to Parkedge Drive.

2. That this By-law comes into force and takes effect on the date of its registration in The Hamilton Land Registry Office for the Land Titles Division of Wentworth 62.

PASSED and ENACTED this _day of_ , 2019.

Fred Eisenberger
Mayor

Janet Pilon
Acting City Clerk
Council Direction:

At the June 16, 2015, Planning Committee, staff were “directed to report back to the Planning Committee with a reporting tool that seeks to monitor applications where the 120 or the 180 day statutory timeframe applies”.

This Report provides a status of all active Zoning By-law Amendment, Official Plan Amendment and Plan of Subdivision applications relative to the statutory timeframe provisions of the Planning Act for non-decision appeals.

Background:

On April 19, 2016, Information Report (PED16096) was forwarded to the Planning Committee, which provided a status of all active Zoning By-law Amendment, Official Plan Amendment and Plan of Subdivision applications relative to the 120 or the 180 statutory timeframe provisions of the Planning Act for non-decision appeals and outlined a process for future reporting to the Planning Committee. The Report included a table outlining the active applications, sorted by Ward, from oldest application to newest. In addition, the Report summarized OMB appeals over the previous five years.

Commencing February 28, 2017, similar Information Reports were forwarded to the Planning Committee on a monthly basis in accordance with the process outlined in Information Report (PED16096). An analysis of the information was also included in the
Policy Implications and Legislative Requirements

In accordance with the Planning Act, an applicant may appeal an Official Plan Amendment application after 210 days (subsection 17 (40)), Zoning By-law Amendment application after 150 days (subsection 34 (11)) and a Plan of Subdivision after 180 days (subsection 51 (34)).

In accordance with subsection 17(40.1) of the Planning Act, the City of Hamilton extends the approval period of Official Plan Amendment applications from 180 days to 270 days for applications received after July 1, 2016 as prescribed in Bill 73 and from 210 to 300 days for applications received after December 12, 2017 as prescribed in Bill 139. It should be noted that either the City or the applicant can terminate the 90-day extension period if written notice to the other party is received prior to the expiration of the 180 day or 210 day statutory timeframes.

In addition, Zoning By-law Amendment applications that are submitted together with a required Official Plan Amendment application are also subject to the statutory timeframe of 210 days.

Information:

Staff were directed to report back to Planning Committee with a reporting tool that seeks to monitor applications where the applicable statutory timeframes apply. This reporting tool would be used to track the status of all active Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment and Plan of Subdivision Applications.

For the purposes of this Report, the status of all active Zoning By-law Amendment, Official Plan Amendment and Plan of Subdivision applications have been divided, relative to the statutory timeframe provisions of the Planning Act, prior to December 12, 2017 and after December 12, 2017.

Applications Deemed Complete Prior to Royal Assent (December 12, 2017)

Attached as Appendix “A” to Report PED19072 is a table outlining the active applications received prior to December 12, 2017 sorted by Ward, from oldest application to newest. As of April 24, 2109, there were:

- 15 active Official Plan Amendment applications, all of which were submitted after July 1, 2016, and therefore subject to the 90 day extension to the statutory timeframe from 180 days to 270 days;
24 active Zoning By-law Amendment applications; and,

9 active Plan of Subdivision applications.

Within 60 to 90 days of June 18, 2019, all 24 development proposals have passed the 120, 180 and 270 day statutory timeframes.

Applications Deemed Complete After Royal Assent (December 12, 2017)

Attached as Appendix "B" to Report PED19072 is a table outlining the active applications received after December 12, 2017 sorted by Ward, from oldest application to newest. As of April 24, 2109, there were:

- 21 active Official Plan Amendment applications, all of which were submitted after December 12, 2017, and therefore subject to the 90 day extension to the statutory timeframe from 210 days to 300 days;

- 45 active Zoning By-law Amendment applications; and,

- 6 active Plan of Subdivision applications.

Within 60 to 90 days of June 18, 2019, 19 applications will be approaching the 150, 180 or the 300 day statutory timeframe and will be eligible for appeal. Twenty-six applications have passed the 150, 180 and 300 day statutory timeframe.

Combined to reflect property addresses, there are 70 active development proposals. Nineteen proposals are 2019 files, while 27 proposals are 2018 files and 24 proposals are pre-2018 files.

Staff are currently working with the AMANDA Implementation Team to add enhancements that will allow for the creation of more detailed reporting. As a result, future tables will include a qualitative analysis of the status of active applications. It is anticipated that these enhancements will be available in Q3 of 2019 and this information will be incorporated into the monthly report to Council. Furthermore, the long-term goal of the Planning Division is to make this information available on an interactive map accessed through the City of Hamilton website.

Appendices and Schedules Attached:

Appendix “A” – List of Active Development Applications (prior to December 12, 2017)
Appendix “B” – List of Active Development Applications (after December 12, 2017)
# Active Development Applications
## Deemed Complete Prior to December 12, 2017
### (Effective April 24, 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Complete</th>
<th>120 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub)</th>
<th>270 day cut off OPA*</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days Since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ward 1</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-18</td>
<td>644 Main St. W., Hamilton</td>
<td>31-Mar-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>28-Apr-17</td>
<td>29-Jul-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>26-Dec-17</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>809</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-036</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ward 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-008</td>
<td>117 Forest Ave. &amp; 175 Catharine St. S., Hamilton</td>
<td>23-Dec-16</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>05-Jan-17</td>
<td>22-Apr-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ward 7</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-33</td>
<td>125 - 129 Robert St., Hamilton</td>
<td>06-Oct-17</td>
<td>30-Oct-17</td>
<td>14-Nov-17</td>
<td>03-Feb-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>11-Aug-18</td>
<td>IBI Group</td>
<td>581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-073</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-31</td>
<td>1625 - 1655 Upper James St., Hamilton</td>
<td>27-Sep-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>02-Oct-17</td>
<td>25-Jan-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>24-Jun-18</td>
<td>MB1 Development Consulting Inc.</td>
<td>629</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-071</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-089</td>
<td>1351 Upper James St., Hamilton</td>
<td>28-Nov-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>05-Dec-17</td>
<td>28-Mar-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Patrick Slattery</td>
<td>567</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Active Development Applications
**Deemed Complete Prior to December 12, 2017**
(Effective April 24, 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Complete</th>
<th>120 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub)</th>
<th>270 day cut off OPA*</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days Since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ward 9</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-16-26</td>
<td>478 &amp; 490 First Rd. W., Stoney Creek</td>
<td>12-Oct-16</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>02-Nov-16</td>
<td>09-Feb-17</td>
<td>10-Apr-17</td>
<td>09-Jul-17</td>
<td>T. Johns Consultants Inc.</td>
<td>979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-16-065</td>
<td>25T-201611</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-16-27</td>
<td>464 First Rd. W., Stoney Creek</td>
<td>12-Oct-16</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>02-Nov-16</td>
<td>09-Feb-17</td>
<td>10-Apr-17</td>
<td>09-Jul-17</td>
<td>T. Johns Consultants Inc.</td>
<td>979</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-16-066</td>
<td>25T-201612</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-01</td>
<td>15 Ridgeview Dr., Stoney Creek</td>
<td>02-Dec-16</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>16-Dec-16</td>
<td>01-Apr-17</td>
<td>31-May-17</td>
<td>29-Aug-17</td>
<td>A.J. Clarke &amp; Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>928</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-001</td>
<td>25T-201701</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-16-21</td>
<td>56 Highland Rd. W., Stoney Creek</td>
<td>31-Aug-16</td>
<td>29-Sep-16</td>
<td>27-Mar-17</td>
<td>29-Dec-16</td>
<td>27-Feb-17</td>
<td>22-Dec-17</td>
<td>Metropolitan Consulting Inc.</td>
<td>813</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-16-057</td>
<td>25T-201608</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ward 10</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-15-040</td>
<td>9 Glencrest Ave., Stoney Creek</td>
<td>02-Jul-15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>10-Aug-15</td>
<td>30-Oct-15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>WEBB Planning Consultants Inc.</td>
<td>1447</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-36</td>
<td>ZAC-17-079</td>
<td>27-Oct-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>23-Nov-17</td>
<td>24-Feb-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>24-Jul-18</td>
<td>GSP Group</td>
<td>599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-36</td>
<td>ZAC-17-079</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
<td>Deemed Incomplete</td>
<td>Date Deemed Complete</td>
<td>120 day cut off (Rezoning)</td>
<td>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub)</td>
<td>270 day cut off OPA*</td>
<td>Applicant/ Agent</td>
<td>Days Since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward 10 cont’d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-16-016</td>
<td>1313 Baseline Rd., Stoney Creek</td>
<td>15-Jan-16</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>15-Feb-16</td>
<td>14-May-16</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>A.J. Clarke &amp; Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>1250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-05</td>
<td>1, 19, 20, 21, 23, 27 &amp; 30 Lakeside Dr. &amp; 81 Waterford Cres., Stoney Creek</td>
<td>23-Dec-16</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>17-Jan-17</td>
<td>22-Apr-17</td>
<td>21-Jun-17</td>
<td>19-Sep-17</td>
<td>IBI Group</td>
<td>907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-015</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2ST-201703</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-076</td>
<td>1216, 1218 and 1226 Barton St. E. and 1219 Hwy. 8, Stoney Creek</td>
<td>30-Oct-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>24-Nov-17</td>
<td>27-Feb-18</td>
<td>28-Apr-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Glen Schnarr &amp; Associates Inc.</td>
<td>596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2ST-201711</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-12</td>
<td>2341 &amp; 2365 Regional Rd. 56 &amp; Tanglewood Dr., Glanbrook</td>
<td>23-Feb-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>06-Mar-17</td>
<td>23-Jun-17</td>
<td>02-Sep-17</td>
<td>20-Nov-17</td>
<td>A.J. Clarke &amp; Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>845</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-027</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2ST-210706</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Active Development Applications
Deemed Complete Prior to December 12, 2017
(Effective April 24, 2019)
### Active Development Applications
#### Deemed Complete Prior to December 12, 2017
**(Effective April 24, 2019)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Complete</th>
<th>120 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub)</th>
<th>270 day cut off OPA*</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days Since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-16-006 2ST-201602</td>
<td>285, 293 Fiddlers Green Rd., Ancaster</td>
<td>23-Dec-15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>06-Jan-16</td>
<td>21-Apr-16</td>
<td>20-Jun-16</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Liam Doherty</td>
<td>1273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-062</td>
<td>45 Secinaro Ave., Ancaster</td>
<td>28-Jul-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>01-Aug-17</td>
<td>25-Nov-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>T. Johns Consultants Inc.</td>
<td>690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-25 ZAC-17-058</td>
<td>305 Garner Rd. W., Ancaster</td>
<td>11-Jul-17</td>
<td>17-Jul-17</td>
<td>08-Aug-17</td>
<td>08-Nov-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>05-May-18</td>
<td>MHBC Planning Limited</td>
<td>679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-22 ZAC-17-051</td>
<td>280 Wilson St. E., Ancaster</td>
<td>05-Jun-17</td>
<td>22-Jun-17</td>
<td>23-Aug-17</td>
<td>03-Oct-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>20-May-18</td>
<td>GSP Group</td>
<td>664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-32 ZAC-17-072</td>
<td>35 Londonderry Dr., Ancaster</td>
<td>06-Oct-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>01-Nov-17</td>
<td>03-Feb-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>03-Jul-18</td>
<td>A.J. Clarke &amp; Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>620</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Active Development Applications

**Deemed Complete Prior to December 12, 2017**

(Effective April 24, 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date Deemed Complete</th>
<th>120 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub)</th>
<th>270 day cut off OPA*</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days Since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ward 13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAR-15-004</td>
<td>64 Hatt St., Dundas</td>
<td>02-Dec-14</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>02-Jan-15</td>
<td>01-Apr-15</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>336477 Ontario Ltd.</td>
<td>1659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-064</td>
<td>655 Cramer Rd., Flamborough</td>
<td>09-Aug-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>17-Aug-17</td>
<td>07-Dec-17</td>
<td>05-Feb-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>A.J. Clarke &amp; Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward 15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-17-06</td>
<td>157 Parkside Dr., Flamborough</td>
<td>23-Dec-16</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>17-Jan-17</td>
<td>22-Apr-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>19-Sep-17</td>
<td>MHBC Planning Limited</td>
<td>907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-016</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHOPA-17-38</td>
<td>1633 Highway 6, Flamborough</td>
<td>08-Nov-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>21-Nov-17</td>
<td>08-Mar-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>05-Aug-18</td>
<td>1685486 ONTARIO INC.</td>
<td>587</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-17-081</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Active Development Applications

1. When an application is deemed incomplete, the new deemed complete date is the day the new materials are submitted. In these situations, the 120, 180 & 270 day timeframe commences on the date the new materials were submitted. In all other situations, the 120, 180 & 270 day timeframe commences the day the application was received.

* In accordance with Section 17 (40.1) of the Planning Act, the City of Hamilton has extended the approval period of Official Plan Amendment applications by 90 days from 180 days to 270 days. However, applicants can terminate the 90 day extension if written notice to the Municipality is received prior to the expiration of the 180 statutory timeframe.
### Active Development Applications
Deemed Complete After December 12, 2017
(Effective April 24, 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Complete</th>
<th>150 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub.)</th>
<th>300 day cut off (OPA)</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-005* ZAC-18-012</td>
<td>235 Main St. W., Hamilton</td>
<td>22-Dec-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>19-Jan-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>18-Oct-18*</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-015* ZAC-18-035</td>
<td>69 Sanders Blvd. &amp; 1630 Main St. W., Hamilton</td>
<td>18-Jun-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>13-Jul-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>14-Apr-19*</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>365</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-19-004* ZAC-19-009</td>
<td>804-816 King St. W., Hamilton</td>
<td>21-Dec-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>18-Jan-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>17-Oct-19*</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-19-006* ZAC-19-023</td>
<td>196 George St., Hamilton</td>
<td>20-Mar-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>16-Apr-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>14-Jan-20</td>
<td>GSP Group</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Active Development Applications
#### Deemed Complete After December 12, 2017
**(Effective April 24, 2019)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Complete</th>
<th>150 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub.)</th>
<th>300 day cut off (OPA)</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ward 2</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-004* ZAC-18-009</td>
<td>299 - 307 John St. S., Hamilton</td>
<td>22-Dec-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>19-Jan-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>18-Oct-18*</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>543</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-013</td>
<td>122 &amp; 126 Augusta St. &amp; 125 &amp; 127 Young St., Hamilton</td>
<td>21-Dec-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>25-Jan-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-007* ZAC-18-020</td>
<td>468, 470, 474 and 476 James St. N., Hamilton</td>
<td>09-Mar-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>27-Mar-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>03-Jan-19*</td>
<td>SvN Architects + Planners</td>
<td>466</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-008* ZAC-18-024</td>
<td>600 James St. N., Hamilton</td>
<td>29-Mar-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>23-Apr-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>23-Jan-19*</td>
<td>Bousfields Inc.</td>
<td>446</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-017* ZAC-18-041</td>
<td>225 John St. S., Hamilton</td>
<td>13-Jul-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>16-Aug-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>09-May-19*</td>
<td>GSP Group</td>
<td>340</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Active Development Applications
#### Deemed Complete After December 12, 2017
**(Effective April 24, 2019)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ward 2 cont’d</th>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date(^1) Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date(^1) Deemed Complete</th>
<th>150 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub.)</th>
<th>300 day cut off (OPA)</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-023* ZAR-18-057</td>
<td>130 Wellington St. S., Hamilton</td>
<td>07-Nov-18</td>
<td>06-Dec-18</td>
<td>24-Dec-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>20-Oct-19*</td>
<td>MBI Development Consulting INC.</td>
<td>176</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAR-19-008</td>
<td>124 Walnut St. S., Hamilton</td>
<td>21-Dec-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>18-Jan-19</td>
<td>20-May-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>IBI Group</td>
<td>179</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Ward 3

| ZAC-19-014 | 116 Barnesdale Ave. N., Hamilton | 31-Jan-19 | n/a | 25-Feb-19 | 30-Jun-19 | n/a | n/a | IBI Group | 138 |
| ZAR-19-016 | 11 Grosvenor’s Ave. S., Hamilton | 28-Feb-19 | n/a | 28-Mar-19 | 28-Jul-19 | n/a | n/a | T. Johns Consulting Group | 110 |

### Ward 5

| UHOPA-19-001* ZAC-19-001 | 2782 Barton St. E., Hamilton | 30-Nov-18 | n/a | 13-Dec-18 | n/a | n/a | 26-Sep-19* | A.J. Clarke & Associates Ltd. | 200 |

### Ward 6

| ZAC-19-004 | 560 Highland Rd. W., Hamilton | 10-Dec-18 | n/a | 18-Jan-19 | 09-May-19 | n/a | n/a | WEBB Planning Consultants Inc. | 190 |
### Active Development Applications
Deemed Complete After December 12, 2017
(Effective April 24, 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date² Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Complete</th>
<th>150 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub.)</th>
<th>300 day cut off (OPA)</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ward 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-008</td>
<td>370 Concession St., Hamilton</td>
<td>21-Dec-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>22-Jan-18</td>
<td>20-May-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>544</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25T-19002</td>
<td>70 Bobolink Rd., Hamilton</td>
<td>3-Jan-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>18-Jan-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>02-Jul-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>IBI Group</td>
<td>166</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAR-19-026</td>
<td>18 Miles Rd., Hamilton</td>
<td>01-Apr-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>18-Apr-19</td>
<td>29-Aug-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>A.J. Clarke &amp; Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward 8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-022</td>
<td>35 Sabrina Blvd., Hamilton</td>
<td>15-Mar-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>09-Apr-18</td>
<td>12-Aug-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-010*&lt;br&gt; ZAC-18-025&lt;br&gt; 25T-201803</td>
<td>221 Genoa Dr. and 1477 Upper James St., Hamilton</td>
<td>12-Apr-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>10-May-18</td>
<td>09-Sep-18</td>
<td>09-Oct-18</td>
<td>06-Feb-19*</td>
<td>MHBC Planning Limited</td>
<td>432</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>File</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Date Received</td>
<td>Date Deemed Incomplete</td>
<td>Date Deemed Complete</td>
<td>150 day cut off (Rezoning)</td>
<td>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub.)</td>
<td>300 day cut off (OPA)</td>
<td>Applicant/Agent</td>
<td>Days since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-046</td>
<td>360 Mohawk Rd. W., Hamilton</td>
<td>30-Aug-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>14-Sep-18</td>
<td>27-Jan-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>IBI Group</td>
<td>292</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-055</td>
<td>808 West 5th St., Hamilton</td>
<td>31-Oct-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>08-Nov-18</td>
<td>30-Mar-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>A.J. Clarke &amp; Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-19-017</td>
<td>1020 Upper James St., Hamilton</td>
<td>28-Feb-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>11-Mar-19</td>
<td>28-Jul-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Wellings Planning Consultants Inc.</td>
<td>110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-011*</td>
<td>1912 Rymal Rd. E., Glanbrook</td>
<td>04-May-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>22-May-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>28-Feb-19*</td>
<td>Wellings Planning Consultants Inc.</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-005</td>
<td>42, 44, 48, 52 and 54 Lakeshore Dr., Stoney Creek</td>
<td>15-Dec-17</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>16-Jan-18</td>
<td>14-May-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>A.J. Clarke &amp; Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Active Development Applications
### Deemed Complete After December 12, 2017

(Effective April 24, 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Complete</th>
<th>150 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub.)</th>
<th>300 day cut off (OPA)</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ward 10 cont’d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-013*</td>
<td>461 Green Road, Stoney Creek</td>
<td>8-Jun-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>18-Jul-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>04-Apr-19*</td>
<td>IBI Group</td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-034</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-049</td>
<td>860 and 884 Barton St., Stoney Creek</td>
<td>01-Oct-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>11-Oct-18</td>
<td>28-Feb-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>MHBC Planning Limited</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-025*</td>
<td>466-490 Highway No. 8, Stoney Creek</td>
<td>23-Nov-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>06-Dec-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>19-Sep-19</td>
<td>SvN Architects + Planners</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-059</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-19-003*</td>
<td>238 Barton St., Stoney Creek</td>
<td>19-Dec-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>02-Jan-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>17-Jun-19</td>
<td>15-Oct-19*</td>
<td>A.J. Clarke &amp; Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>181</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-19-007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25T-2019001</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAA-18-006</td>
<td>3600 Guyatt Rd., Glanbrook</td>
<td>20-Dec-17</td>
<td>18-Jan-18</td>
<td>24-Jan-18</td>
<td>23-Jun-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Larry Freeman</td>
<td>510</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Active Development Applications
### Deemed Complete After December 12, 2017
(Effective April 24, 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Complete</th>
<th>150 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub.)</th>
<th>300 day cut off (OPA)</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-016*</td>
<td>9511 Twenty Rd., W., Glanbrook</td>
<td>10-Jul-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>15-Aug-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>06-Jan-19</td>
<td>06-May-19*</td>
<td>Corbett Land Strategies</td>
<td>343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-040</td>
<td>25T-2018007</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAA-18-053</td>
<td>2282 Westbrook Rd., Glanbrook</td>
<td>23-Oct-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>14-Nov-18</td>
<td>22-Mar-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>IBI Group</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ward 12</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-048</td>
<td>387, 397, 405 and 409 Hamilton Dr., Ancaster</td>
<td>09-Sep-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>28-Sep-18</td>
<td>06-Feb-19</td>
<td>08-Mar-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Fothergill Planning &amp; Development Inc.</td>
<td>282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-056</td>
<td>25T-2018009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-022*</td>
<td>26 Southcote Rd., Ancaster</td>
<td>05-Nov-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>15-Nov-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>04-May-19</td>
<td>01-Sep-19*</td>
<td>A.J. Clarke &amp; Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>225</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-056</td>
<td>25T-2018010</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-18-024*</td>
<td>154 Wilson St. E., Ancaster</td>
<td>28-Nov-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>10-Dec-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>24-Sep-19*</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-18-058</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Active Development Applications
#### Deemed Complete After December 12, 2017
##### (Effective April 24, 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Complete</th>
<th>150 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub.)</th>
<th>300 day cut off (OPA)</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>UHOPA-19-002*</td>
<td>1173 and 1203 Old Golf Links Rd., Ancaster</td>
<td>03-Dec-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>01-Dec-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>29-Sep-19*</td>
<td>A.J. Clarke &amp; Associates Ltd.</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-19-010</td>
<td>527 and 629 Shaver Rd., Ancaster</td>
<td>21-Dec-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>10-Jan-19</td>
<td>20-May19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Ward 13**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Complete</th>
<th>150 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub.)</th>
<th>300 day cut off (OPA)</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ZAR-19-013</td>
<td>574 NorthCliffe Ave., Dundas</td>
<td>31-Jan-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>21-Feb-19</td>
<td>30-Jum-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>IBI Group</td>
<td>138</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAr-19-020</td>
<td>366 King St. W., Dundas</td>
<td>19-Mar-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>27-Mar-19</td>
<td>24-Aug-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>MHBC Planning</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Active Development Applications
**Deemed Complete After December 12, 2017**
*(Effective April 24, 2019)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date(^1) Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date(^1) Deemed Complete</th>
<th>150 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub.)</th>
<th>300 day cut off (OPA)</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ward 14</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAR-19-003</td>
<td>630 Stone Church Rd. W., Hamilton</td>
<td>07-Dec-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>07-Jan-19</td>
<td>06-May-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>IBI Group</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAR-19-006</td>
<td>1269 Mohawk Rd., Ancaster</td>
<td>14-Dec-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>11-Jan-19</td>
<td>13-May-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>MBI Development Consulting INC.</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-19-011</td>
<td>1933 Old Mohawk Rd., Ancaster</td>
<td>12-Dec-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>10-Jan-19</td>
<td>11-May-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>Urban Solutions Planning &amp; Land Development</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAC-19-021</td>
<td>974, 980 Upper Paradise Rd., Hamilton</td>
<td>18-Mar-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>22-Mar-19</td>
<td>15-Aug-19</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>T. Johns Consulting Group</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ward 15</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZAR-18-019</td>
<td>167 Highway 5 West, Flamborough</td>
<td>23-Feb-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>22-Mar-18</td>
<td>23-Jul-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>IBI Group</td>
<td>480</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Active Development Applications Deemed Complete After December 12, 2017

(Effective April 24, 2019)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>File</th>
<th>Address</th>
<th>Date Received</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Incomplete</th>
<th>Date¹ Deemed Complete</th>
<th>150 day cut off (Rezoning)</th>
<th>180 day cut off (Plan of Sub.)</th>
<th>300 day cut off (OPA)</th>
<th>Applicant/Agent</th>
<th>Days since Received and/or Deemed Complete as of June 18, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RHOPA-18-020*</td>
<td>173 &amp; 177 Dundas St. E., Flamborough</td>
<td>23-Jul-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>15-Aug-18</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>19-May-19*</td>
<td>MHBC Planning Limited</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Active Development Applications**

1. When an application is deemed incomplete, the new deemed complete date is the day the new materials are submitted. In these situations, the 150, 180, 210 & 300 day timeframe commences on the date the new materials were submitted. In all other situations, the 150, 180, 210 & 300 day timeframe commences the day the application was received.

2. In accordance with Section 34 (11.0.0.0.1), of the Planning Act, the approval period for Zoning By-law Amendment applications submitted concurrently with an Official Plan Amendments, will be extended to 210 days.

3. In accordance with Section 17 (40.1) of the Planning Act, the City of Hamilton has extended the approval period of Official Plan Amendment applications by 90 days from 210 days to 300 days. However, applicants can terminate the 90 day extension if written notice to the Municipality is received prior to the expiration of the 210 statutory timeframe.
COUNCIL DIRECTION

The purpose of this Report is to advise Council of the progress of the Hamilton Community Cat Network (HCCN) and the City of Hamilton’s Animal Services commitment as one of the founding members.

INFORMATION

Hamilton is one of many communities across Canada that has a concern with overpopulation of cats. The impacts of cat overpopulation are serious for both the cats and the communities. An unaltered female can give birth to approximately 15 kittens a year. Kittens born to the street with no socialization grow to be feral cats. Feral and community cats are responsible for 80 percent of kittens born each year and are the largest contributing source of cat overpopulation¹.

Community cats are unowned cats living in Hamilton neighbourhoods often in groups called colonies. Community cats include:

- Stray/homeless cats: lost or abandoned pets who may be suitable for a home; and,

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully.
OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.
OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.

- Feral cats: cats with little or no socialization with people, are fearful and generally unsuitable for a home.

In November 2016, community wide discussions commenced with a focus on collaboration for action strategies for community cats. In September 2017 the HCCN was formed.

The Hamilton Community Cat Network (HCCN)

The HCCN is a community based voluntary association of key stakeholders focused on strategies to reduce the community cat population in Hamilton. The founding members include:

- City of Hamilton Animal Services;
- City of Hamilton Public Health;
- Hamilton/Burlington SPCA;
- Hamilton Street Cats;
- Veterinary Community - Dr. Elizabeth O’Brien and Dr. Alyssa Libstug; and,
- Community volunteer member representatives.

The purpose of the network is to:

- Create a healthier Hamilton for people and cats;
- Reduce the population of community cats; and,
- Enhance knowledge and understanding about Trap-Neuter-Vaccinate-Return (TNVR) and spay/neuter for all cats.

A formal 12 month development phase started in March 2018. The review period permitted the group to understand each member’s different skill sets, interests and ideas and what they bring to the HCCN. The HCCN 12 Month Development Phase Review is attached as Appendix “A” to this Report.

Network Members Interests

In 2018, only 5% of stray cats brought in and cared for by Hamilton Animal Services were claimed by owners. Unclaimed cats that are adoptable, enter the city adoption program stream or are transferred to rescue partners. Feral cats that enter the City shelter are not adoption candidates nor is there an owner looking for them. The number of cats euthanized in the City shelter has steadily and significantly declined because of successful implementation of progressive best practices. This includes not removing healthy feral cats from the street to house and euthanize.
Statistics show that this past practice of removing cats from an area does not work to control cat population.

Hamilton Animal Services provides 24/7 response for service calls to deceased and sick or injured stray cats. When veterinary attention is required for illness or medical issues, care is provided by and paid for by Hamilton Animal Services. In 2018, Officers picked up 726 deceased stray cats and responded to 464 sick or injured stray cats on public right of way. The majority of these cats had no owner and were intact; not spayed or neutered.

The City also receives calls related to nuisance behaviours including fighting, roaming and cats spraying and defecating on private property. These are all issues related to community cats.

Hamilton Public Health has identified free roaming cats as a concern for the spread of rabies. Hamilton is currently a rabies epicentre. Free roaming community cats are at a higher risk of mixing with rabies vector species such as raccoons, skunks and bats.

The City is also responsible for the enforcement of the by-law regarding the feeding of stray cats. The feeding of cats is prohibited unless the feeder is an approved, registered caregiver of the Hamilton-Burlington Society for the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals (HBSPCA) Trap-Neuter-Vaccinate-Return (TNVR) program. The cats are humanely trapped by volunteers, spayed/neutered, vaccinated, microchipped and the left ear is tipped to visually identify them. The cats are then returned to their original territory. A registered caregiver then oversees and manages the colony and adheres to best practices for healthy cats. TNVR helps to reduce the number of cats in the area while also vaccinating them against rabies. Properly delivered TNVR can improve the health of community cats, address community concerns, reduce complaints about cats, and reduce population.

The HBSPCA, community partners and citizens have been coordinating and utilizing their resources to operate the TNVR program. Last year 500 community cats were serviced through the HBSPCA's TNVR program. Surgery is largely resourced through the HBSPCA, capture and transfer of cats for surgery is dependent on volunteers, and ongoing shelter and oversight of a colony is provided by the registered colony caregiver.

The HBSPCA coordinates colony applications, approvals and site inspections, all surgery appointments and some food provisions for colonies. Donations to the HBSPCA subsidize all TNVR for community cats at $50 each, resulting in a lowered $45 per cat fee which the registered caregiver covers. The HBSPCA also provides a range of options for affordable pet cat spay/neuter programming.
Hamiton Street Cats is a 100% volunteer run community based group that supports a significant number of colony caregivers through hands on mentoring, problem solving, cat trapping and transportation. Hamilton Street Cats provides neighborhood education and engagement. They financially assist caregivers who wish to participate but do not have the personal financing to complete a colony. They assist owners with intact pet cats without the finances to spay/neuter their pet. In 2018, Hamilton Street Cats assisted with co-pay and stopped the breeding cycle for 359 cats; 130 were serviced through the HBSPCA programming and 229 were identified as unowned adoption candidates and placed with rescue partners.

Veterinarian members hold the skill and expertise to inspire action among the greater veterinary community to contribute to population solutions for community cats as well as be the source for ensuring spay/neuter and preventative care is maintained with cat owners for optimal pet retention. Some local veterinarians also provide services at a reduced cost to individual colony caregivers and rescue groups.

Community member’s desire reduced cat populations on the streets for many reasons. Many volunteer their time, effort and finances to ensure cats that are not theirs, but living in their neighbourhoods are sterilized, vaccinated and managed and educate the neighbourhoods about solutions. There are also a number independent rescue groups and partners who assist in resolution for the prevention of pets abandoned to the street, and in-take friendly unowned street cats.

The HCCN has been working in collaboration to develop proper and consistent messaging to the public, safe policies and procedures for caregivers and a format to address public concerns and awareness regarding community cats. The HCCN Brochure is attached as Appendix “B” to this Report.

Progress Update

Communication and Education
- Common messaging on Network member websites;
- Educational brochure for public awareness; and,
- Co-developed interactive training and education workshops facilitated by Network members and trained volunteers held monthly throughout the City.

Access to TNVR
- HBSPCA increasing access to surgeries both on site and in coordination with member veterinarian off site.

Community Cat, Caregiver and Neighbourhood Safety
- Hamilton Animal Services and HBSPCA cooperation on colony caregiver approval processes and compliance requirements;
• Continued focus on rabies safety;
• Currently there are over 100 registered colony caregiver volunteers;
• March 2018 - March 2019: no complaints about registered colonies received by Hamilton Animal Services; and,
• Increased coordination of stakeholder and partner referral and communications.

**Stakeholder Engagement**

• Topical presentations at association and neighbourhood hub meetings; and,
• Network consultation with and learning from related parties e.g. cat rescue groups, Nature Canada.

**Future Engagement**

Community cat programs are considered as one component of a multi-pronged approach to cat issues in the community. A community wide cat strategy is within our collective grasp with a commitment to shared responsibility for cat populations. The HCCN is increasingly focused on measuring the reach and impact of strategies in place for healthy, safe and wanted cats. A series of public engagements and workshops have been scheduled for 2019.

Some of the challenges in search of solutions include:

• What revenue sources will sustain plans, strategies and actions?
• How can social media channels and community based engagement be optimized for education and best care for cats?
• What are the best ways to report back to the community the progress being made on cat overpopulation?

Community cats are a community challenge that requires community based solutions. No one entity holds the solutions. The HCCN draws upon the insight, experience and innovation among all members and beyond to increase cats’ value in our community.

**APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED**

Appendix “A”: 12 Month Development Phase Review
Appendix “B”: HCCN Brochure

KL:st
Hamilton Community Cat Network

Twelve Month Development Phase Review

March 2018 - March 2019
November 2016 - September 2017
Hamilton Cat Strategy Working Group
Communications. Networking.

September 2017 - March 2018
The Hamilton Community Cat Network

March 2018 - March 2019
HCCN Action Plan and Strategies

March 2019
Development Phase Completion
Assess needs. Determine what other organizations, perspectives, skills and knowledge are required to achieve deliverables.
# Founding Membership

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participants include:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>City of Hamilton Animal Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>City of Hamilton Public Health</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Community Members</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton/Burlington SPCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamilton Street Cats</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Veterinarians</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
September 2017 to March 2018
Foundation Work Accomplishments

Terms of reference. Guiding principles

Best practices

Skills and services - Matrix of members

Understanding cat populations. Sub-populations

Purpose
Goals


Common language

Plans developed and initiated

Definitions

Appendix "A" to Report PED19
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Purpose and Goals

Create a healthier community for people and cats

Reduce the population of free roaming cats

Enhance knowledge and understanding about TNVR and spay/neuter

Education Awareness Outreach

Enhance Services

Understanding our community
Safe and healthy colonies, caregivers and neighbourhoods
- Rabies awareness
- TNVR and spay/neuter
- Responsible Cat ownership
- Best Practices
- Common messaging
- Best Practices

Coordinated TNVR
- Affordable TNVR
- Identify gaps for TNVR
- Consistent and accessible information
- Leverage Resources

- Listen
- Communicate
- Define and understand cat sub populations
- Monitor, measure, evaluate

Education Awareness Outreach
Enhance Services
Understanding our community
Notable Work/Accomplishments

March 2018 - March 2019

- **EDUCATION AWARENESS OUTREACH**
  - Increased support and communication with colony caregivers
  - Development, printing and distribution of HCCN brochures
  - Revamped, monthly TNVR (trap-neuter-vaccinate-return) 101 Workshops
  - Increased support and communication with colony caregivers
### Education Awareness Outreach

**March 2018 - March 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNVR 101 Workshops</td>
<td>More Workshops. Flexible locations-better reach. Comprehensive materials.</td>
<td>Co developed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hub talks</td>
<td>HCCN presentations/talks at local hubs</td>
<td>Continues to expand</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Network brochure</td>
<td>HCCN Street Cat information for community</td>
<td>Developed, printed, distributed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shelter builds</td>
<td>In place</td>
<td>Ongoing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook page</td>
<td>@HamiltonCommunityCatNetwork</td>
<td>Evaluate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>Member websites/Newsletter/Media</td>
<td>WORKING GROUP</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status</th>
<th>Completed</th>
<th>In progress</th>
<th>Delayed</th>
<th>On Hold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Notable Work/Accomplishments

March 2018 - March 2019

- Increased communication among stakeholders
- Participation in continuing education for Hamilton Veterinary Community
- Research and report completed into Toronto’s recovery center. Model and lessons learned
- Collaboration on caregiver applicants resulted in zero complaints for registered caregivers
## Enhance Services

**March 2018 - March 2019**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Recovery Space</td>
<td>Adequate space for hold and recovery for TNVR cats.</td>
<td>Research and network learning report has been completed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volunteers</td>
<td>Role descriptions. Recruitment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Funding</td>
<td>Resources to support HCCN initiatives.</td>
<td><strong>WORKING GROUP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinated TNVR services</td>
<td>Optimize surgery volume.</td>
<td>Action plan for dedicated second TNVR site</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diversion and Return to Field</td>
<td>Complimentary services to TNVR</td>
<td><strong>WORKING GROUP</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Decision/Process Trees</td>
<td>Solution based strategies among members. Approvals. Dispositions. Complaint Mitigation</td>
<td><strong>WORKING GROUP</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NOTABLE WORK/ACCOMPLISHMENTS

March 2018 - March 2019
## Understanding our community

### Item Goals Status

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monitor, measure, evaluate</td>
<td>Data to guide program needs, improvement and success</td>
<td>WORKING GROUP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best practice guidelines</td>
<td>Caretaker guidelines and TNVR practices that address reducing the population and provide for safe and healthy communities.</td>
<td>Strengthened working relationships Continually evolving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stakeholder communication</td>
<td>General public. Neighbourhood. Special Interest. Cat interest and rescue groups beyond current members</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## WORKING GROUPS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMMUNICATIONS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DATA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DIVERSION</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FUNDING</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCESS TREES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WORKSHOPS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
“The founding members will form initial membership of the Hamilton Community Cat Network for the development phase which is anticipated to be for the first 12 months of operations with the option of extending up to 18 months. After the first year the HCCN will assess its needs and determine what other organizations and/or community perspectives, skills and knowledge are required by the HCCN to enable it to achieve its purpose and goals.”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sat. January 19th</td>
<td>Dominic Agostino Riverdale Community Centre – Room 3 150 Violet Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed. February 20th</td>
<td>Churchill Park Club House 167 Cline Ave N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat. March 23rd</td>
<td>Pat Quinn Parkdale Arena 1770 Main St E</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed. April 17th</td>
<td>Hamilton/Burlington SPCA 245 Dartnell Road</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat. May 11th</td>
<td>Central Memorial Recreation Centre 93 West Avenue S</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed. June 19th</td>
<td>East End - TBD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat. July 13th</td>
<td>Ryerson Recreation Centre 251 Duke Street</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed. August 21st</td>
<td>Glanbrook Arena 4300 Bimbrook Rd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sat. September 14th</td>
<td>Dominic Agostino Riverdale Community Centre 150 Violet Drive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wed. October 16th</td>
<td>Bennetto Community Centre 450 Hughson St N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Neighbourhood engagement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Month</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>Gibson and Landsdale Neighbourhood Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>January 2019</td>
<td>Riverdale Hub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>Sherman Hub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>March 2019</td>
<td>Davis Creek Hub</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>April 2019</td>
<td>Beasley Neighbourhood Association</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• The member groups will continue to work on the current areas of resource communication and co-operation that are in place.

• The member groups will examine additional needed areas for communication, co-operation and co-ordination.

• Prioritize network activities with additional action plans.

• The member groups will seek to have a bigger impact with a longer term vision including a refined network skills matrix, community resource inventory in order to identify the best leveraged resources.

• Community cats remain the focus with a priority on trap-neuter-vaccinate-return for unowned street cat populations.

• As organization sharing and communication increases so does organization effort, skill and investment for a collaboration towards a complete cat strategy.

• Strategic directions for all populations of cats including owned and sheltered that compliment the overarching purpose and goals.
What is the Hamilton Community Cat Network (HCCN)?
The HCCN is a collaborative of stakeholders committed to healthy, safe and wanted cats.

Participants include:
- The Cat Clinic
- Copetown Animal Hospital
- Hamilton Animal Services
- Hamilton Public Health
- Community Volunteers
- Hamilton/Burlington SPCA
- Hamilton Street Cats

What are the benefits of TNVR – fixing community cats?
- A gradual decrease in the numbers of community cats.
- Improved health of community cats.
- Reduced nuisance behaviours such as fighting, yowling and spraying.
- Reduce risk of rabies spread.
- Reduced risks for birds’ and other small mammal lives.

DID YOU KNOW?
Just removing cats from the streets does not work. Other cats will move in. Fixed cats protect their “territory”.

905-574-7722 ext. 303
www.hbspca.com/services
@HamiltonCommunityCatNetwork

Improving the lives of our community cats for a healthier Hamilton
WHY ARE COMMUNITY CATS?

- Community cats are unowned cats living in Hamilton neighbourhoods and other cities.
- Community cats include:
  - STRAY CATS: lost and abandoned pets who may be suitable for a home.
  - FERAL CATS: cats with little or no contact with people, are fearful and generally unsuitable for a home.

WHY ARE THERE SO MANY COMMUNITY CATS?

- Lots of cats aren’t fixed (spayed or neutered).
- This results in more cats than there are homes for them.
- These cats often end up on the street.
- One female cat, as young as 5 months old, can have an average 3 litters of kittens a year (that might be up to 15 kittens!), and the cycle carries on.

WHAT ARE COMMUNITY CATS?

- Community cats are unowned cats living in Hamilton neighbourhoods and other cities.
- Community cats include:
  - STRAY CATS: lost and abandoned pets who may be suitable for a home.
  - FERAL CATS: cats with little or no contact with people, are fearful and generally unsuitable for a home.

WHAT’S THE SOLUTION? REDUCE THE NUMBER OF UNOWNED CATS.

Take care of your cat

- Spay/neuter and vaccinate your cat.
- Microchip your cat. (Don’t forget! Keep your microchip information updated.)
- Keep your cat safe inside. Remember, owned cats are not allowed to roam unsupervised outside. (City By-law # 12-031).
- If you are having difficulty caring for your cat, reach out for help to your local SPCA.

Fix community cats

Trap, Neuter, Vaccinate, Return (TNVR) Program: What is it?
Community cats are humanely trapped by volunteers and taken to a veterinarian. The cats are spayed/neutered, vaccinated, microchipped and the left ear tipped. Left ear tipping is the universal sign that a community cat has been spayed/neutered and vaccinated. After a short recovery, the cats are returned to their original territory. Community cats should not be brought to Hamilton Animal Services unless they are sick or injured.

I want to help community cats. How can I get involved?

- Volunteer caregivers sign up to care for groups of cats living in colonies.
- Colony caregivers are registered with the HBSPCA.
- They commit to getting the cats fixed and vaccinated through the TNVR program.
- They follow best practices for feeding, sheltering and monitoring.
- Not a colony caregiver? Become a volunteer and help trap, transport, recover, educate and more!

Is there help for volunteer cat colony caregivers? Yes!

- Training in community cat care and trapping
- Health and safety guidelines caring for and trapping cats
- Donated food and outdoor shelters
- A network of caregivers and supporters
- Scheduled low cost spay neuter

Take care of your cat

- Spay/neuter and vaccinate your cat.
- Microchip your cat. (Don’t forget! Keep your microchip information updated.)
- Keep your cat safe inside. Remember, owned cats are not allowed to roam unsupervised outside. (City By-law # 12-031).
- If you are having difficulty caring for your cat, reach out for help to your local SPCA.

Hints for trap, neuter, vaccinate, return

- If you find a feral cat, trap it, neuter it, microchip it, vaccinate it, and release it back to where it was living.
- If you find a stray cat, trap it, microchip it, keep it for 48 hours, and if it still has no home, take it to a vet to be spayed/neutered and vaccinated.
- If you find a stray cat with a microchip, take it to a vet to get it fixed and vaccinated.
- If you find a stray cat without a microchip, take it to a vet to get it fixed and vaccinated.

The TNVR program in Hamilton is coordinated by the Hamilton/Burlington SPCA.
TO: Chair and Members
Planning Committee

COMMITTEE DATE: June 18, 2019

SUBJECT/REPORT NO: Expanding Administrative Penalty System (APS) to Include the Lottery Licensing By-law 15-051 (PED19102) (City Wide)

WARD(S) AFFECTED: City Wide

PREPARED BY: Luis Ferreira (905) 546-2424 Ext. 3087

SUBMITTED BY: Ken Leendertse
Director, Licensing and By-law Services
Planning and Economic Development Department

SIGNATURE:

SUBMITTED BY: Brian Hollingworth
Director, Transportation Planning and Parking
Planning and Economic Development Department

SIGNATURE:

RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Administrative Penalty System By-law 17-225 (APS) be amended to include regulations contained within the Lottery Licensing By-law 15-051 as Table 18 to Schedule A, in accordance with the amending by-law attached as Appendix “A” to Report PED19102 to be enacted by Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At its meeting of September 27, 2017 Council approved Item 3 of Planning Committee Report 17-015 directing staff to implement APS to Municipal By-laws. Staff is now seeking approval to include the Lottery Licensing By-law 15-051 to deal with minor by-

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully.
OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.
OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.
law infractions such as conducting lottery events without a licence, adherence to proper financial reporting procedures and the use of bona fide members.

Applying APS to the Lottery Licensing By-law will provide the Municipal Law Enforcement Officers (MLEOs) with an option to encourage compliance to regulations contained in the Lottery Licensing By-law. The prescribed regulations provide offences for non-compliance if an organization fails to conduct themselves according to all laws with honesty and integrity.

The issuance of Administrative Penalty Notices (APNs) is a fair, effective and efficient process supported by the Enforcement Officers of the Planning and Economic Development Department to achieving a healthy, vibrant and fun lottery experience.

Alternatives for Consideration – Not Applicable

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial / Staffing / Legal: N/A

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

At its meeting of September 27, 2017, Council approved Planning Committee Report 17-015 directing staff to implement APS to Municipal By-laws.

In November 2017, Staff introduced the first of several City By-laws into the APS program and today there are 17 By-laws of which 7 are for vehicular violations which was introduced in 2015 and the additional 10 are for various other by-laws enforced by Animal Services, Licensing and By-law Service staff.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

The APS By-law 17-225 will be amended to include the Lottery Licensing By-law 15-051 as Table 18 to Schedule A.

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

N/A

ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION(S)

The Municipal Act, 2001 authorizes the use of APS for designated by-laws. The City of Hamilton APS By-law currently holds seven Parking By-laws, two Animal Services By-laws, one Licensing By-law and seven Municipal By-laws. The APS By-law has been
written in a manner to allow for other Municipal By-laws to be added as additional tables to Schedule A to By-law 17-225.

In 2018 licensing staff issued a total 405 lottery licences for Bingos, Bazaars, Nevada and Raffle events generating approximately $370,000 in licence revenue. The total cost of a lottery licence includes an up-front application fee for new applicants (not for renewals) and a three percent charge of total prize awarded. This means that lottery licences can range from less than $100 to several $1000’s of dollars depending on the total prize value.

Throughout the year licensing staff receive, review and issue lottery licences. They must ensure that proper documents are submitted and calculate the cost of the initial licence. The Licensing Compliance Officers role is to ensure participants adherence to regulations before, during and after the event. There is also a financial reconciliation process at the end of the event to ensure adherence to all federal, provincial and municipal regulations.

Licensing staff take great care to ensure the organization conducts themselves in a transparent manner with honesty and integrity. The use of APNs will promote and foster positive relationships as minor noncompliance issues can be dealt with quickly without heavy punitive action. Bringing the Lottery Licensing By-law into APS will deal with minor by-law infractions in a manner that is fair, effective and efficient requiring far less resources to achieve desired behaviour.

This complies with Council’s direction to expand the APS process to by-laws enacted under the Municipal Act, 2001 as well as support our mission statement of providing high quality cost conscious public service that contributes to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

N/A

ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 – 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN

Community Engagement and Participation
Hamilton has an open, transparent and accessible approach to City government that engages with and empowers all citizens to be involved in their community.

Healthy and Safe Communities
Hamilton is a safe and supportive City where people are active, healthy, and have a high quality of life.

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully.
OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.
OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.
APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix “A”: By-law Adding Table 18 to Schedule A of the APS By-law 17-225

KL:BH:st
CITY OF HAMILTON

BY-LAW NO.

To Amend By-law 17-225, a By-law to Establish a System of Administrative Penalties

WHEREAS Council enacted a By-law to Establish a System of Administrative Penalties, being By-law No. 17-225; and

WHEREAS this By-law amends By-law No. 17-225;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

1. The amendments in this By-law include any necessary grammatical, numbering and lettering changes.

2. Schedule A of By-law No.17-225 is amended by adding a new Table 18 titled BY-LAW NO. 15-051 Lottery Licensing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>COLUMN 1 DESIGNATED BY-LAW &amp; SECTION</th>
<th>COLUMN 2 SHORT FORM WORDING</th>
<th>COLUMN 3 SET PENALTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>15-051 13.(1)</td>
<td>conduct/allow lottery scheme without a licence</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(a) sections 206/207 of Criminal Code</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(b) Order in Council 1413/08</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(c) Registrar’s requirements</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(d) of this By-law</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(e) written notice of changes under 7(2)(c)</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(f) only lottery schemes authorized by licence</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(g) only bona fide member to conduct lottery</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 18: BY-LAW 15-051 LOTTERY LICENSING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>COLUMN 1 DESIGNATED BY-LAW &amp; SECTION</th>
<th>COLUMN 2 SHORT FORM WORDING</th>
<th>COLUMN 3 SET PENALTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(h) permit person conducting the lottery to be a player</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(i) permit person under 18 as a player</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(j) permit inquiries/inspection of licence or use of proceeds</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(k) only valid for term not transferable</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee/person fail to comply with conditions under subsection 10(l) stop conducting lottery when directed</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee fail to comply with conditions under subsection 11(1) place licence in conspicuous place on premises</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee fail to comply with conditions under subsection 11(2) produce licence on demand</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee fail to comply with conditions under subsection 12(a) keep records of personnel/ costs</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee fail to comply with conditions under subsection 12(b) retain written receipt</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee fail to comply with conditions under subsection 12(c) provide written information of unpaid prize winners</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee fail to comply with conditions under subsection 12(d) financial reports/information required by licence</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee fail to comply with conditions under subsection 12(e) deliver licence upon revocation/suspension</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>15-051 13.(2)</td>
<td>Licensee fail to comply with conditions under subsection 12(f) provide Lottery Trust Account Bank Statements/cancelled cheques within 30-days of month end</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PASSED this __________ _____ , ______

_________________________  __________________
F. Eisenberger              J. Pilon
Mayor                      Acting City Clerk
TO: Chair and Members Planning Committee
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Planning and Economic Development Department

SIGNATURE:
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RECOMMENDATION(S)

That the Administrative Penalty System By-law 17-225 (APS) be amended to include various regulations contained within the Streets By-law 86-077 as Table 17 to Schedule A, in accordance with the amending by-law attached as Appendix “A” to Report PED19095 to be enacted by Council.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

At its meeting of September 27, 2017 Council approved Item 3 of Planning Committee Report 17-015 directing staff to implement APS to Municipal By-laws. Staff is now seeking approval to include the Streets By-law 86-077 to deal with minor by-law
infractions such as fouling and obstructing City Highways, including the hundreds of complaints received regarding mud tracking.

Applying APS to the Streets By-law will provide the Municipal Law Enforcement Officers (MLEOs) with an option to encourage compliance. The prescribed regulations contained within the Streets By-law ensures the health and safety of our residents and reduce the City’s exposure to possible liability.

The issuance of Administrative Penalty Notices (APNs) is a fair, effective and efficient process supported by the Enforcement Officers of the Planning and Economic Development Department.

Alternatives for Consideration – Not Applicable

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial / Staffing / Legal: N/A

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

At its meeting of September 27, 2017, Council approved Planning Committee Report 17-015 directing staff to implement APS to Municipal By-laws.

In November 2017, Staff introduced the first of several City By-laws into the APS program. The first APN was issued in January 2018 and by year end, a total of eight By-laws were enacted resulting in 2,632 APN’s issued and $114,893 collected in penalties for minor contraventions.

There were also 826 Screenings conducted which provided appellants the opportunity to dispute their APN’s in a non-adversarial manner. In some cases the penalty amount was reduced to address extenuating circumstances and financial hardship.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

The APS By-law 17-225 will be amended to include the Streets By-law 86-007 as Table 17 to Schedule A.

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

N/A
ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION(S)

The Municipal Act, 2001 authorizes the use of APS for designated by-laws. The City of Hamilton APS By-law currently holds seven Parking By-laws, two Animal Services By-laws, one Licensing By-law and six Municipal By-laws. The APS By-law has been written in a manner to allow for other Municipal By-laws to be added as additional tables to Schedule A to By-law 17-225.

In 2018 MLEOs responded to approximately 231 mud tracking calls, 326 calls for objects left on the road or road allowance and 90 calls for oil and fluid spill for a total of 647 investigations.

In most cases compliance was obtained voluntarily while others had to be persuaded through tactical communication and in some cases legal action before adherence to the By-law was obtained.

In the cases of mud tracking, MLEOs were required to reattend on multiple occasions during construction, to remind the person in charge of the site of the requirement to keep the roadways clean. The MLEOs who responded to these types of complaints did not initiate legal action as the offence is considered minor in nature and not warranted a summons to appear in court.

The current court process for disputing these types of charges by way of summons under the Provincial Offences Act mirrors a criminal trial, which involves significant public resources such as, the requirement of a Justice of the Peace, a Prosecutor, court support staff, security and the MLEO who provides evidence. There is also the time spent by Enforcement Staff (Officers and Clerks) who prepare case files and other court documents.

Bringing the Streets By-law into APS will deal with minor by-law infractions in a manner that is fair, effective and efficient requiring far less resources. This complies with Council’s direction to expand the APS process to by-laws enacted under the Municipal Act, 2001 as well as support our mission statement of providing high quality cost conscious public service that contributes to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

N/A
ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 – 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN

Community Engagement and Participation
Hamilton has an open, transparent and accessible approach to City government that engages with and empowers all citizens to be involved in their community.

Healthy and Safe Communities
Hamilton is a safe and supportive City where people are active, healthy, and have a high quality of life.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix “A”: By-law Adding Table 17 to Schedule A of the APS By-law 17-225

KL:BH:st
CITY OF HAMILTON

BY-LAW NO.

To Amend By-law 17-225, a By-law to Establish a System of Administrative Penalties

WHEREAS Council enacted a By-law to Establish a System of Administrative Penalties, being By-law No. 17-225; and

WHEREAS this By-law amends By-law No. 17-225;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

1. The amendments in this By-law include any necessary grammatical, numbering and lettering changes.

2. Schedule A of By-law No. 17-225 is amended by adding a new Table 17 titled BY-LAW NO. 86-077 The Streets By-law

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>COLUMN 1 DESIGNATED BY-LAW &amp; SECTION</th>
<th>COLUMN 2 SHORT FORM WORDING</th>
<th>COLUMN 3 SET PENALTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>86-077 4.(3)(c)</td>
<td>permit hedge/other obstruction to effect clear view of drivers of vehicles upon side-boulevards</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>86-077 6.1(15)(e)</td>
<td>fail to comply with all City By-laws</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>86-077 6.1(15)(g)(x)</td>
<td>fail to comply with provisions of the Streets By-law</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>86-077 6.1(17)</td>
<td>fail to comply with an Order</td>
<td>$300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>86-077 9.(1)</td>
<td>obstruct a Highway</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>86-077 9.(1)</td>
<td>encumber a Highway</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>86-077 9.(1)</td>
<td>injure a Highway</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>86-077 9.(1)</td>
<td>foul a Highway</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>86-077 9.(1)</td>
<td>obstruct a drain upon a Highway</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>86-077 9.(1)</td>
<td>obstruct a ditch upon a Highway</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>86-077 9.(1)</td>
<td>obstruct a culvert upon a Highway</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>86-077 9.(2)</td>
<td>erect/install/place shrub/tree/any plant material upon a Highway</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(a)</td>
<td>foul Highway by throwing litter</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(a)</td>
<td>foul Highway by placing/depositing dirt</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(a)</td>
<td>foul Highway by placing/depositing filth</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 17: BY-LAW 86-077 THE STREETS BY-LAW

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ITEM</th>
<th>COLUMN 1 DESIGNATED BY-LAW &amp; SECTION</th>
<th>COLUMN 2 SHORT FORM WORDING</th>
<th>COLUMN 3 SET PENALTY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(a)</td>
<td>foul Highway by placing/depositing glass</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(a)</td>
<td>foul Highway by placing/depositing handbill</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(a)</td>
<td>foul Highway by placing/depositing paper</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(a)</td>
<td>foul Highway by placing/depositing rubbish/refuse</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(a)</td>
<td>foul Highway by placing/depositing animal carcass</td>
<td>$75.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(aa)</td>
<td>foul Highway by placing/depositing mud/soil/building material by spilling from or tracking by vehicle</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(b)</td>
<td>foul Highway by throwing/placing/depositing hay</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(b)</td>
<td>foul Highway by throwing/placing/depositing straw</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(b)</td>
<td>foul Highway by throwing/placing/depositing coal</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(b)</td>
<td>foul Highway by throwing/placing/depositing manure</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(b)</td>
<td>foul Highway by throwing/placing/depositing earth</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(b)</td>
<td>foul Highway by throwing/placing/depositing other matter or thing</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(c)</td>
<td>foul Highway by throwing/placing/depositing liquid waste</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>86-077 9.(4)(d)</td>
<td>foul Highway in any manner</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>86-077 9.(5)</td>
<td>owner of adjacent land fail to immediately remove the fouling and restore Highway to prior condition</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>86-077 13.(7)</td>
<td>fail to restore highway to as good a condition as before, after underground work completed</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>86-077 13.(9)(b)</td>
<td>fail to restore sodded/planted boulevard back to as good a condition as before</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>86-077 14.(7)(a)</td>
<td>mixing mortar/concrete or other such substance upon highway</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>86-077 14.(7)(b)</td>
<td>pace material that obstructs the free passage of water in any drain</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>86-077 14.(7)(c)</td>
<td>produce unnecessary dust/noise or unreasonable annoyance to the public</td>
<td>$100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>86-077 14.(7)(e)</td>
<td>fail to remove all building materials from highway and restore to as good a condition as before</td>
<td>$200.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PASSED this __________ ____ , ______

__________________________________________  ______________________________________
F. Eisenberger                          J. Pilon
Mayor                                  Acting City Clerk
On May 2, 2019, the Ontario Government filed Ontario Regulations 87/19 and 88/19, amending Ontario’s 2012 Building Code. These amendments come into effect on several different dates. This Information Report will provide members of the Planning Committee and Council with the highlights of these amendments together with the in-effect date.

**Amendments that come into effect on May 2, 2019 (O. Reg. 88/19)**

- The applicable law list has been updated by removing an outdated reference to repealed legislation.
- Sewage system provisions have been revised to address pipe spacing for different types of absorption trenches.
- The current electric vehicle charging requirements for houses and all other buildings have been deleted.

The major change that comes into effect on May 2, 2019, is the removal of the requirement to include the infrastructure for electric vehicle charging stations in new homes and non-residential buildings. The Ontario Government has stated that this will reduce unnecessary costs.
Amendments that come into effect on July 1, 2019 (O. Reg. 87/19)

- New provisions have been added to address farm buildings containing hazardous operations where flammable liquids, combustible liquids or flammable gases are used as extraction solvents related to cannabis processing.

These amendments are to address farm buildings with cannabis operations and are consistent with amendments made to the Fire Code under Ontario Regulation 33/19.

Amendments that come into effect on January 1, 2020 (O. Reg. 88/19)

- A number of standards referenced in the Building Code have been updated, added or withdrawn. They are now more consistent with those listed in the National Building and Plumbing Codes.

- A number of Fire safety measures have been added including fire prevention, early detection and warning systems. This has been done to address concerns with fire protection and life safety functions.

- Several revisions have been made to the barrier free section related to accessible controls in the operation of safety devices, and accessibility requirements in washrooms.

- Additional requirements have been added to address the structural sufficiency of buildings to withstand external forces, and improve resilience under earthquake loads and effects.

- A new sound transmission rating, Apparent Sound Transmission Class (ASTC), has been introduced in addition to the existing Sound Transmission Class (STC) rating. This provides for additional compliance paths that can be used to meet the sound transmission requirements of the Building Code.

- Additional requirements have been added, or modified, to address public health and safety requirements such as indoor air contaminants and cooling towers.

- Water conservation improvement to plumbing fixtures. Flow rate requirements have been revised and more efficient water supply fittings for lavatories are now required. Additionally, lavatory faucets located in public washrooms will be required to be equipped with automatic shut-off when not in use.
Most of the amendments that come into effect on January 1, 2020, are technical in nature, and are meant to update regulations to ensure they address changes that have been proposed through public consultation and to implement government priorities.

**Amendments that come into effect on January 1, 2020 (O. Reg. 88/19)**

- The run dimensions of stairs serving single dwelling units have been increased.
- Harmonization of stair requirements between Part 3 buildings (large complex buildings) and Part 9 buildings (house and small buildings).
- The maximum height of a handrail has been increased, and clarification has been added regarding ergonomic design provisions.
- A new article has been added that allows spiral stairs in buildings under certain conditions.

These amendments that come into force on January 1, 2022, will have the most impact on the construction industry. The changes to the stair dimensions will mean that a set of stairs in a new dwelling may have to be increased in length by up to 300 mm (12 inches), depending on the stair configuration. This may result in either the floor layout or building size having to be changed to accommodate the increased dimension. The greatest impact would be on a straight set of stairs, and on back to back townhouses. Other stair configurations may have minimal changes to their overall dimensions.

Builders, designers and stair manufacturers will be the most impacted since these new requirements may result in longer overall stair runs and a reduction in usable floor space. These changes may also require a redesign of standard floor plans and layouts, and the retooling of stair production facilities which will potentially increase the cost of construction.

However, studies have shown that increasing the run dimension on a set of stairs will reduce the number of injuries and deaths that are a direct result of falls on residential stairs. The Ontario Government has delayed these amendments until January 1, 2022, to give the construction industry time to adjust to these new stair requirements.

EV:jc
COUNCIL DIRECTION

This Report is in response to the request of the Chair, Planning Committee, for an update on staffing vacancies within the Planning Division and the actions being undertaken to fill vacant positions.

The Planning Division is comprised of three sections (Community Planning and GIS, Development Planning, Heritage and Urban Design, and Policy Planning and Zoning By-law Reform). The approved staff complement is 73.5 Full Time Equivalences (FTEs). On January 1, 2019, there were 21 vacancies within the Division. As of May 1, 2019, the number of vacancies had been reduced to 13 (this total includes capital funded positions that were being held vacant due to funding constraints).

INFORMATION

The Planning Division is comprised of three sections (Community Planning and GIS, Development Planning, Heritage and Urban Design, and Policy Planning and Zoning By-law Reform).

Over the course of the January to May, 2019 time period, the Planning Division leadership team has been working to identify and fill key vacancies within the Planning Division. A
summary and status of the positions that were vacant as of January 1, 2019, or have subsequently become vacant since January 1, 2019, is attached as Appendix “A”.

On average, managers and supervisors spend 10 – 15 hours per vacancy to complete the recruitment process. Based on the seven vacancies initially identified in January, 2019, this translates into 70 – 105 hours (10 – 15 work days out of 220 works days per annum) to complete the recruitment process for these vacancies.

To develop staff and to assist staff with their career development plans in conjunction with the requirements of the applicable collective agreement, for union positions the pool of qualified internal applicants must be given first consideration prior to recruiting external candidates. This can result in additional vacancies that arise as a result of internal applicants applying for and accepting positions within the Planning Division. Ultimately, a single vacancy at a senior planner level can result in two or three subsequent internal vacancies. Given that it may be 2 – 3 months between a resignation being announced and the position filled, this translates into the hiring process to fill the subsequent process taking a total of 6 – 9 months.

Staff within the Policy Planning and Zoning Reform Section are entirely funded from capital. Positions are filled when immediate work is required to be completed. It is challenging to fill these positions because people from outside the corporation are not willing to leave permanent jobs to go to a temporary position due to uncertainty of employment. As a result, the most qualified applicants do not apply so the City is missing out on planners and technicians that may have valuable experience.

As a consequence of the significant number of vacancies in the Development Planning, Heritage and Design Section, work was prioritized as follows:

- LPAT appeals (approximately 20 non-decision LPAT appeals were submitted prior to Bill 139 taking affect);
- Site Plans;
- Minor Variance Applications; and,
- Development applications.

In addition, both the Community Planning and Policy Planning groups have been focused on resolving appeals of Council adopted secondary plans and policy initiatives (e.g. Commercial Mixed Use Zoning) and participating in and monitoring the discussions with the Province regarding changes to the planning process.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix “A” – Summary and Status of Positions in the Planning Division
### Community Planning & GIS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Manager, Community Planning &amp; GIS</td>
<td>Temporary vacancy. Alissa Mahood has accepted the position on a temporary basis until the incumbent returns to work.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Project Manager (SPM), Community Planning &amp; GIS</td>
<td>Vacant. This is a temporary vacancy due to Alissa Mahood assuming the Manager position on a temporary basis.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Planner, Community Planning &amp; GIS</td>
<td>Position filled. Vacancy due to previous incumbent assuming SPM position in Development Planning, Heritage and Design.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Planner, Community Planning &amp; GIS</td>
<td>One position became vacant as a result of parental leave.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate Planner, Community Planning &amp; GIS</td>
<td>One position became vacant because incumbent was the successful applicant for Senior Planner, Community Planning position. This position has subsequently been filled internally.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Technician</td>
<td>One position became vacant as a result of internal staff movement.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Development Planning, Heritage and Urban Design

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Senior Project Manager (Rural)</td>
<td>Temporary Vacancy. Position has been filled as an Expression of Interest/Career Development opportunity. Trudy Kennedy was the successful internal applicant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Planner, Development Planning, Heritage and Urban Design (3 Vacancies)</td>
<td>Two of the three vacant positions were the result of the incumbent accepting employment with another municipality. The third vacancy was the result of the incumbent accepting a temporary position within PED as a career development opportunity. All three vacant positions were posted and have been filled. One position was filled internally and the remaining two positions were filled by external applicants.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planner I (Intermediate Planner)</td>
<td>There are currently 4 Planner I positions. Two positions became vacant as a result of internal staff movement. A third position became vacant as a result of the incumbent accepting a position with a consulting firm in Toronto. The positions will be posted in May.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
One vacant position was filled internally.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planner II (Junior Planner)</td>
<td>One position became vacant as a result of internal staff movement. The position has been recently filled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Technician II</td>
<td>This is a newly created position from the Assistant Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment. The position has been posted and interviews are being scheduled.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assistant Secretary, Committee of Adjustment</td>
<td>There are two positions. One position became vacant as a result of the retirement of the incumbent and has been changed to Planning Technician II.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Administrative Secretary</td>
<td>The position has recently become vacant. The position will be posted in May 2019 and interviews will be scheduled for June 2019.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Policy Planning and Zoning By-law Reform

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Status</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Planning Technician II (Policy Group)</td>
<td>Vacant – Position will be filled in Q3. Limited capital funds.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planner II (Policy Group)</td>
<td>One position vacant due to move to another municipality.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planner I (Policy Group)</td>
<td>Two positions vacant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior Planner (Policy Group)</td>
<td>One position vacant.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning Technician II (Zoning Group)</td>
<td>One position vacant.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Agriculture & Rural Affairs Advisory Committee presents Report 19-001 and respectfully recommends:

1. Appointment of Chair and Vice-Chair

   (a) That A. Spoelstra be appointed as Chair of the Agriculture and Rural Affairs Advisory Committee for the 2018-2022 Term of Council; and,

   (b) That D. Smith be appointed as Vice-Chair of the Agriculture and Rural Affairs Advisory Committee for the 2018-2022 Term of Council.

2. Hamilton Agriculture Profile Update (PED19075) (City Wide) (Item 7.1)

   That Report PED19075, respecting Hamilton Agriculture Profile Update, be received.

3. Development Charges By-law Policy - 2019 Development Charges Proposed Amendments to Agriculture (FCS18062(c)) (City Wide) (Item 9.1)

   That Report FCS18062(c), respecting Development Charges By-law Policy - 2019...
Development Charges Proposed Amendments to Agriculture, be received.

4. **Planning and Engineering Development Application Fees Review Project Update Regarding Agricultural Development Proposals (Item 10.1)**

That the Memorandum, respecting Planning and Engineering Development Application Fees Review Project Update Regarding Agricultural Development Proposals, be received.

5. **Review of Terms of Reference (Item 10.2)**

That the Terms of Reference for the Agriculture & Rural Affairs Advisory Committee, be amended as follows:

(a) That subsection 4 of the Mandate be deleted in its entirety and the balance of the subsections be re-numbered accordingly:

4. To serve as the Tree Committee, as set forth in the Hamilton-Wentworth Woodland Conservation By-law, to make recommendations to Council on Minor Exception tree cutting applications.

4. To serve as an advisory committee on nutrient management issues.

5. At the request of Council, to provide a forum for the review and resolution of rural land use and farm management disputes.

6. At the request of Council, to provide input and assistance to rural land stewardship programs or projects which are proposed by the City, other agencies and community groups.

(b) That the Membership & Terms section be amended to read as follows:

The Agricultural Advisory Committee shall be composed of:

- Three (3) members appointed by the Hamilton-Wentworth Federation of Agriculture;
- One (1) member appointed by the Brant Wentworth Christian Farmers Association;
- One (1) member appointed by the Hamilton-Wentworth Women’s Institute;
- One (1) member appointed by the Agricultural Societies (Rockton, Binbrook and Ancaster);
- Two (2) Members appointed by the Wentworth Soil and Crop Improvement Association;
- Four (4) “At Large” members appointed by Council.
- **Minimum of** two (2) councillors (non-voting) appointed by Council from Wards 11, 12, 13, 14 or 15

The terms shall of membership be for **four years the current Term of Council** or until a successor has been appointed by Council. No member of the Agricultural Advisory Committee shall be re-appointed for a continuous term that exceeds Nine (9) years.

6. **Andrea Sinclair – Resignation from the Agriculture and Rural Affairs Advisory Committee (Item 11.1)**

That the resignation from Andrea Sinclair from the Agriculture and Rural Affairs Advisory Committee, be received.

**FOR INFORMATION:**

(a) **APPROVAL OF AGENDA (Item 1)**

The Committee Clerk advised of the following changes to the agenda:

1. **ADDED COMMUNICATIONS (Item 5)**

   5.2 Correspondence from John Hawker respecting Committee Interests of the Agriculture & Rural Affairs Advisory Committee and the Hamilton Farmers' Market Board

   Recommendation: For Committee's consideration.

2. **ADDED DELEGATION REQUEST (Item 6)**

   6.1 Ian Graham, National Farmers Union Ontario Local 351, respecting Recommendations to Address Climate Change as it is an Emergency and of Concern to Farmers (for today’s meeting)

   The agenda for the March 18, 2019 meeting of the Agriculture and Rural Affairs Advisory Committee was approved, as amended.

(b) **APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Item 3)**

(i) **June 21, 2018 (Item 3.1)**

   P. Krakar, J. Mantel, and R. Shuker noted that they should have been recorded as present at the June 21, 2018 meeting of the Agriculture and
Rural Affairs Advisory Committee. The Committee Clerk advised that the omission would be corrected in the official record.

The Minutes of the June 21, 2018 meeting of the Agriculture and Rural Affairs Advisory Committee were approved, as amended.

(c) COMMUNICATIONS (Item 5)

(i) **Correspondence from Janice Currie respecting the Planning Committee Meeting of July 10 - Complaint lodged against Councillor Ferguson (Referred from Council - August 17, 2018) (Item 5.1)**

The correspondence from Janice Currie, respecting the Planning Committee Meeting of July 10 - Complaint lodged against Councillor Ferguson, was received.

(ii) **Correspondence from John Hawker respecting Committee Interests of the Agriculture & Rural Affairs Advisory Committee and the Hamilton Farmers' Market Board (Added Item 5.2)**

The correspondence from John Hawker, respecting Committee Interests of the Agriculture & Rural Affairs Advisory Committee and the Hamilton Farmers' Market Board, was received.

That an invitation to attend a meeting of the Agriculture & Rural Affairs Advisory Committee be extended to the various Farmers' Markets within the City of Hamilton.

(d) DELEGATION REQUESTS (Item 6)

(i) **Ian Graham, National Farmers Union Ontario Local 351, respecting Recommendations to Address Climate Change as it is an Emergency and of Concern to Farmers (For today's meeting) (Added Item 6.1)**

The delegation request, submitted by Ian Graham, National Farmers Union Ontario Local 351, respecting Recommendations to Address Climate Change as it is an Emergency and of Concern to Farmers, was approved for today’s meeting.

(e) PUBLIC HEARINGS/DELEGATIONS (Item 8)
(i) Ian Graham, National Farmers Union Ontario Local 351, respecting Recommendations to Address Climate Change as it is an Emergency and of Concern to Farmers (For today’s meeting) (Added Item 8.1)

Ian Graham, National Farmers Union Ontario Local 351, addressed the Committee respecting Recommendations to Address Climate Change as it is an Emergency and of Concern to Farmers, with the aid of a presentation.

The presentation from Ian Graham, National Farmers Union Ontario Local 351, respecting Recommendations to Address Climate Change as it is an Emergency and of Concern to Farmers, was received.

A copy of the presentation is available on the City’s website at [www.hamilton.ca](http://www.hamilton.ca) or through the Office of the City Clerk.

(f) STAFF PRESENTATIONS (Item 9)

(i) Development Charges By-law Policy - 2019 Development Charges Proposed Amendments to Agriculture (FCS18062(c)) (City Wide) (Item 9.1)

Brian McMullen, Acting General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services, addressed the Committee respecting Report FCS18062(c) - Development Charges By-law Policy - 2019 Development Charges Proposed Amendments to Agriculture, with the aid of a presentation.

The presentation, respecting Report FCS18062(c) - Development Charges By-law Policy - 2019 Development Charges Proposed Amendments to Agriculture, was received.

A copy of the presentation is available on the City’s website at [www.hamilton.ca](http://www.hamilton.ca) or through the Office of the City Clerk.

For further disposition of this matter, please refer to Item 3.

(g) DISCUSSION ITEMS (Item 10)

(i) Review of Terms of Reference (Item 10.2)

That the Terms of Reference for the Agriculture & Rural Affairs Advisory Committee be included on a future agenda for further consideration.

For further disposition of this matter, please refer to Item 5.
(h) GENERAL INFORMATION/OTHER BUSINESS (Item 13)

(i) Comments from H. Swierenga respecting Bio-Security Protocol on Rural Farm Visits (Added Item 11.1)

H. Swierenga reminded City of Hamilton staff that bio-security protocol must be followed during rural farm visits.

(ii) Comments from Councillor Ferguson respecting a Draft By-law on the Placing or Dumping of Fill (Added Item 11.2)

Councillor Ferguson noted that a by-law is being drafted to prohibit the placing or dumping of fill without a permit. It is being modelled after the Town of Halton Hills’ Site Alteration By-law 2017-0040.

Tony Sergi, Senior Director of Growth Management, and Carlo Ammendolia, Manager, Development Engineering – Construction will be invited to the next meeting of the Agriculture & Rural Affairs Advisory Committee to present the draft by-law.

(i) ADJOURNMENT (Item 15)

The meeting of the Agriculture & Rural Affairs Advisory Committee was adjourned at 8:56 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew Spoelstra, Chair
Agriculture and Rural Affairs
Advisory Committee

Alicia Davenport
Legislative Coordinator
Office of the City Clerk
Present: Councillors L. Ferguson and A. VanderBeek

A. Spoelstra (Chair), D. Smith (Vice-Chair), J. Groen, P. Krakar, C. McMaster, N. Mills, A. Payne, R. Pearce, R. Shuker, G. Smuk, and M. Switzer

Absent
With Regrets: Councillor B. Clark – City Business
Councillor B. Johnson – Personal
J. Mantel and C. Roberts

Also Present: H. Swierenga

FOR INFORMATION:

(a) APPROVAL OF AGENDA (Item 1)

The Committee Clerk advised that there were no changes to the agenda.

(Switzer/Smith)
That the agenda for the May 13, 2019 meeting of the Agriculture and Rural Affairs Advisory Committee be approved, as presented.

CARRIED

(b) Review of the Draft Site Alteration By-law Proposal (Item 5.1)

(Switzer/Payne)
That Staff be requested to incorporate the following into the Draft Site Alteration By-law:

(a) Addition of an Appeal process;

(b) Establish cut-to-fill land leveling on existing agricultural operations as a permitted use which does not require a permit;
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(c) Amendment of Section 5, Sub-section 4 by deleting the words “fill or”, to read as follows:

(i) This By-law does not apply to the stockpiling of fill or topsoil for sale or exchange or use as an incidental part of a commercial operation undertaken as a permitted or legal non-conforming use of land, provided that any such stockpiles;

(d) Definition of areas that fall within the purview of the by-law;

(e) Receipt of a letter of compliance or letter of final inspection upon completion of work;

(f) Implementation of a graduated application fee system based on the quantity of imported earth; and,

(g) Amendment of Section 26, Sub-section 1 by changing the validity of a site alteration permit from one year to two years, to read as follows:

(i) A site alteration permit shall be valid for a period of one (1) two (2) years from the date of issuance.

CARRIED

(c) ADJOURNMENT (Item 7)

(Groen/Smith)
That the meeting of the Agriculture & Rural Affairs Advisory Committee be adjourned at 8:46 p.m.

CARRIED

Respectfully submitted,

Andrew Spoelstra, Chair
Agriculture and Rural Affairs Advisory Committee

Alicia Davenport
Legislative Coordinator
Office of the City Clerk
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TO: Chair and Members
Planning Committee

COMMITTEE DATE: June 18, 2019

SUBJECT/REPORT NO: Applications for an Official Plan Amendment and Zoning
By-law Amendment for Lands Located at 305 and 311
Garner Road West (Ancaster) (PED19104) (Ward 12)

WARD(S) AFFECTED: Ward 12

PREPARED BY: George T. Zajac (905) 546-2424 Ext. 3933

SUBMITTED BY: Steve Robichaud
Director, Planning and Chief Planner
Planning and Economic Development Department

SIGNATURE: 

RECOMMENDATION

(a) That **Amended Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment Application UHOPA-17-025, by LIV Developments Ltd. (Owner)** to re-designate a portion of the subject lands from Open Space to Neighbourhoods in Volume 1 of the Urban Hamilton Official Plan and from Medium Density Residential 2a, Site Specific Policy – Area B and Natural Open Space to Low Density Residential 3a in Volume 2 (Secondary Plans) of the Urban Hamilton Official Plan in order to permit the development of 40 maisonette dwelling units and 78 townhouse dwellings for a total of 118 units at 305 and 311 Garner Road West, as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED19104, be **APPROVED**, on the following basis:

(i) That the draft Official Plan Amendment, attached as Appendix “B” to Report PED19104, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council;

(ii) That the proposed Amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014) and conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019).
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(b) That Amended Zoning By-law Amendment Application ZAC-17-058 by LIV Developments Ltd., (Owner) for changes in zoning from Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified, Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified to Residential Multiple “RM4-700” Zone, Modified (Block 1); from Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified to the Residential Multiple “RM4-701” Zone, Modified (Block 2); from Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified to the Residential Multiple “RM4-702” Zone, Modified (Block 3); from Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified to the Residential Multiple “RM4-703” Zone, Modified (Block 4) and from Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified to the Residential Multiple “RM4-704” Zone, Modified (Block 5) within the Town of Ancaster Zoning By-law No. 87-57, in order to permit the development of 40 maisonette dwelling units and 78 townhouse dwellings for a total of 118 units at 305 and 311 Garner Road West, as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED19104, be APPROVED on the following basis:

(i) That the draft By-law, attached as Appendix “C” to Report PED19104, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council;

(ii) That the proposed change in zoning is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014), conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019), and will comply with the Urban Hamilton Official Plan, upon finalization of UHOPA No.__.

(c) That Amended Zoning By-law Amendment Application ZAC-17-058 by LIV Developments Ltd., (Owner) for a change in zoning from the Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified within Zoning By-law No. 87-57 to the Conservation / Hazard Land (P5, 719) Zone within Zoning By-law No. 05-200 to permit a stormwater management pond, natural open space and vegetation protection zone for lands located at 305 and 311 Garner Road West, as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED19104, be APPROVED on the following basis:

(i) That the draft By-law, attached as Appendix “D” to Report PED19104, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council;

(ii) That the proposed change in zoning is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014), conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019), and will comply with the Urban Hamilton Official Plan, upon finalization of UHOPA No.__.
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EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The proposed Official Plan Amendment is to re-designate a portion of the subject lands at 305 and 311 Garner Road West from Open Space to Neighbourhoods and from Medium Density Residential 2a, Site Specific Policy – Area B and Natural Open Space to the Low Density Residential 3a designation in order to permit the development of 40 maisonette dwelling units and 78 townhouse dwellings, for a total of 118 units, 31 visitor parking spaces will be provided, in addition to one space per dwelling unit in the private driveways and one space per unit in the attached garages.

The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment to the former Town of Ancaster Zoning By-law No. 87-57 is to change the zoning from the Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified, Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified to a site specific Residential Multiple “RM4” Zone in order to permit the development of 40 maisonette dwelling units and 78 townhouse dwellings, for a total of 118 units, with site-specific modifications to accommodate the subject proposal.

The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment under Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 05-200 is to rezone a portion of the subject lands to a site specific Conservation / Hazard Land (P5) Zone in order to permit a stormwater management pond, natural open space and vegetation protection zone.

Based on an average of 2.7 persons per unit, the 118 proposed residential units would translate into 319 people or 98 people per hectare.

The proposed Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendments have merit, and can be supported, since the proposal is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014), conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019), and complies with the Urban Hamilton Official Plan, as well as the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan, subject to the recommended Amendment.

Alternatives for Consideration – See Page 34

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: N/A

Staffing: N/A

Legal: As required by the Planning Act, Council shall hold at least one Public Meeting to consider applications for an amendment to the Official Plan and Zoning By-law.
HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Proposal

The subject lands, 305 and 311 Garner Road West (Ancaster), are an assembly of two separate properties located on the north side of Garner Road West. The Ancaster Paving Ltd. operation is located at 305 Garner Road West and a vacant single detached dwelling and the natural feature are located at 311 Garner Road West. The subject lands have a combined area of approximately 3.2 ha (Residential - 2.73 ha; P5 Zone – 0.5 ha), with approximately 60 m of frontage along Garner Road West and a depth of approximately 252 m extending from Garner Road West.

The applicant proposes to redevelop the subject lands at a density of approximately 44 units per hectare with 40 maisonette townhouse dwelling units and 78 townhouse dwellings for a total of 118 units. In addition, 31 visitor parking spaces are proposed, as well as access driveways, an amenity area, and a stormwater management pond. The natural feature and a surrounding vegetation protection zone will be protected areas.

The proposed Official Plan Amendment to the Urban Hamilton Official Plan is to re-designate a portion of the subject lands from Open Space to Neighbourhoods and from Medium Density Residential 2a, Site Specific Policy – B, and Natural Open Space to the Low Density Residential 3a designation within the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan of the Urban Hamilton Official Plan.

The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment is to change the zoning from the Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified, Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified to a site specific Residential Multiple “RM4” Zone within the Town of Ancaster Zoning By-law No. 87-57 to permit the proposed development. An Amendment to the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 05-200 is also proposed to zone a portion of the subject lands as a site specific Conservation / Hazard Land (P5) Zone to permit a stormwater management pond, and to protect the natural feature and surrounding vegetation protection zone.

Site specific modifications to the “RM4” and “P5” Zones have been requested and include:

- built form;
- to recognize the proposed townhouses on a condominium road;
- to recognize the lot lines;
- an increase to the maximum permitted density;
- a decrease to the minimum front, side and rear yards;
- a decrease to the minimum setback from the front lot line for air conditioning units;

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully.
OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.
OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.
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- an increase to the maximum permitted building height;
- decrease to the minimum landscaping area required;
- decrease to the minimum required planting strip;
- decrease to the required minimum privacy area;
- decrease in the required minimum number of visitor parking spaces and parking space width;
- decrease in the required minimum setback from a P5 Zone; and,
- decrease in the required minimum children’s play area and building separation.

Chronology:

July 4, 2017: Submission of Applications UHOPA-17-025 and ZAC-17-058.

July 17, 2017: Applications UHOPA-17-025 and ZAC-17-058 deemed incomplete.

August 8, 2017: Applications UHOPA-17-025 and ZAC-17-058 deemed complete.

August 17, 2017: Public Notice Sign installed on subject lands.

August 22, 2017: Circulation of Notice of Complete Application and Preliminary Circulation for Applications UHOPA-17-025 and ZAC-17-058 to 109 property owners within 120 m of the subject lands.

May 22, 2019: Public Notice Sign updated with Public Meeting Information.

May 31, 2019: Circulation of the Notice of Public Meeting to 109 property owners within 120 m of the subject lands.

Details of Submitted Application:

Location: 305 and 311 Garner Road West (see Appendix “A” to Report PED19104).

Owner / Applicant: LIV Developments Ltd.

Agent: MHBC Planning (c/o: Dana Anderson)

Property Description: Lot Frontage: Approximately 60 m (Garner Road West)
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Lot Depth: 252 m (irregular)

Lot Area: 3.24 Ha

Servicing: Existing Municipal Water Available
Municipal Sanitary / Storm Available

Existing Land Use and Zoning:

Existing Land Use

Subject Lands: Single Detached Dwelling & Industrial Operation

Existing Zoning

Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified, Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified, and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified

Surrounding Land Uses:

North

Townhouse Dwellings and Single Detached Dwellings

Residential Multiple “RM4-492” Zone, Modified and Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified

South

Cemetery and Single Detached Dwelling

Open Space “P4” Zone, Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified and Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified

East

Commercial, Townhouse Dwellings and City Utility Pumping Station

Neighbourhood Commercial “C1-491” Zone, Modified, Neighbourhood Commercial “C1-680” Zone, Modified, Residential Multiple “RM2-493” Zone, Modified and Residential Multiple “RM4-492” Zone, Modified

West

Vacant Land

Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

Provincial Policy Statement (2014)

The Provincial Planning Policy framework is established through the Planning Act (Section 3) and the Provincial Policy Statement (2014) (PPS). The Planning Act requires that all municipal land use decisions affecting planning matters be consistent...
with the PPS. The following policies, amongst others, apply to the proposed development.

“1.1.1 Healthy, liveable and safe communities are sustained by:

a) promoting efficient development and land use patterns which sustain the financial well-being of the Province and municipalities over the long term;

b) accommodating an appropriate range and mix of residential (including second units, affordable housing and housing for older persons), employment (including industrial and commercial), institutional (including places of worship, cemeteries and long-term care homes), recreation, park and open space, and other uses to meet long-term needs;

c) avoiding development and land use patterns which may cause environmental or public health and safety concerns;

d) avoiding development and land use patterns that would prevent the efficient expansion of settlement areas in those areas which are adjacent or close to settlement areas;

e) promoting cost-effective development patterns and standards to minimize land consumption and servicing costs;”

The subject proposal is consistent with Policy 1.1.1, as the subject proposal is within the urban area, contributes to a range and mix of residential uses, is designed to contain appropriate buffers and setbacks from natural features, proposes to develop an underutilized former commercial site for residential development, thereby utilizing existing services and infrastructure.

“1.1.3.1 Settlement areas shall be the focus of growth and development, and their vitality and regeneration shall be promoted.

1.1.3.2 Land use patterns within settlement areas shall be based on:

a) densities and a mix of land uses which:

1. efficiently use land and resources;
2. are appropriate for, and efficiently use, the infrastructure and public service facilities which are planned or available, and avoid the need for their unjustified and/or uneconomical expansion;
3. minimize negative impacts to air quality and climate change, and promote energy efficiency;
4. support active transportation;
5. are transit-supportive, where transit is planned, exists or may be developed; and,
6. are freight-supportive; and,

b) a range of uses and opportunities for intensification and redevelopment in accordance with the criteria in policy 1.1.3.3, where this can be accommodated.”

As per Policy 1.1.3.2, the proposed land use pattern will contribute to a mix of densities that efficiently use the land and resources, and is appropriate for the infrastructure and public service facilities planned or available for this area, including transit.

“2.1.8 Development and site alteration shall not be permitted on adjacent lands to the natural heritage features and areas identified in policies 2.1.4, 2.1.5, and 2.1.6 unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the natural features or on their ecological functions.”

Core Areas have been identified within and adjacent to the subject properties as an unevaluated wetland, a tributary of Big Creek and Significant Woodland. The wetland and watercourse are also regulated by the Grand River Conservation Authority (GRCA). The proposed stormwater management pond is to be located within the Core Area.

An EIS was prepared by Myler Ecological Consulting (May 2017; revised October 2017). This EIS was reviewed by Natural Heritage Planning staff and the City’s Environmentally Significant Areas Impact Evaluation Group (ESAIEG) on November 9, 2017. Based on this review, an Addendum was required to specifically address concerns with the following:

- Significant wildlife habitat (seeps and springs);
- Width of the vegetation protection zone;
- Location of the stormwater management pond;
- Other mitigation measures (i.e. restoration plan, invasive species removal, transplant plan);
- Location of grading; and,
- Location of fill removal.

An EIS Addendum was submitted in December, 2017. Based on a review of the Addendum, further clarification was required, and a revised Concept Plan and Landscape Plan was submitted. Based on a review of the revised concept plan, Staff are satisfied that the Core Areas will be protected and will require a Restoration Plan, Invasive Species Management Plan and a Locally Rare and Uncommon Species
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Transplant Plan at the Site Plan Stage. In addition, appropriate lighting, fencing and stewardship interpretative signage will also be required.

Subject to the above and required permit from the GRCA for Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses Regulation prior to development, which will be addressed with a future Site Plan Control application, staff are satisfied that the policies with respect to natural heritage have been adequately addressed. It is noted that the GRCA staff are satisfied that their initial concerns have also been addressed.

“2.6.2 Development and site alteration shall not be permitted on lands containing archaeological resources or areas of archaeological potential unless significant archaeological resources have been conserved.”

A Stage 1 - 2 archaeological report P383-0021-2013 and P383-0055-2013 has been submitted to the City of Hamilton and Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport. City staff have reviewed the report and have received the Ministry letter of concurrence which confirms the City’s opinion that the pre-contact material located in findspot 1 does not exhibit sufficient cultural heritage value to warrant further stages of study. As such, staff can confirm that the City’s interest in the site’s archaeological potential has been satisfied.

“3.2.2 Sites with contaminants in land or water shall be assessed and remediated as necessary prior to any activity on the site associated with the proposed use such that there will be no adverse effects.”

A portion of the subject property is recognized as a potentially contaminated site due to the current and past use of the property for commercial purposes given that the lands known as 305 Garner Road West were previously used by Ancaster Paving Ltd and for industrial activities. As a result, City staff have received and reviewed the Record of Site Condition acknowledged by the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks, dated July 3, 2018 which demonstrated that the site has been remediated and suitable for residential uses.

Based on the foregoing, as the subject lands are located within a settlement area, and the subject proposal is to be developed with appropriate infrastructure, while also protecting the Provincial interest with respect to human-made hazards, natural and cultural heritage resources, the subject proposal is consistent with the PPS.

Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019)

The Growth Plan supports intensification within built-up urban areas, particularly in proximity to transit. As noted in Section 2.1 of the Plan:

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully.
OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.
OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.
“To support the achievement of complete communities that are healthier, safer, and more equitable, choices about where and how growth occurs in the GGH need to be made carefully. Better use of land and infrastructure can be made by directing growth to settlement areas and prioritizing intensification, with a focus on strategic growth areas, including urban growth centres and major transit station areas, as well as brownfield sites and greyfields. Concentrating new development in these areas provides a focus for investments in transit as well as other types of infrastructure and public service facilities to support forecasted growth, while also supporting a more diverse range and mix of housing options. However, to protect public safety and prevent future flood risks, growth should generally be directed away from hazardous areas, including those that have been identified as Special Policy Areas in accordance with the PPS.”

Furthermore, as noted in Section 2.2.1.2 (d):

“Development will be directed to settlement areas, except where the policies of this Plan permit otherwise.”

The subject lands are located within a settlement area where it will be developed with full municipal services, will provide for a complete community through a compact design that includes an additional housing type and form for the area and is located on an existing transit route. Therefore, the proposal conforms to the policies of the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019).

Urban Hamilton Official Plan (UHOP)

The subject property is identified as “Neighbourhoods” on Schedule “E” – Urban Structure, designated as “Neighbourhoods” and “Open Space” on Schedule “E-1” – Urban Land Use Designations in the UHOP and shown outside of the Built Boundary on Appendix “G” – Boundaries Map. The subject property is also designated as “Medium Density Residential 2a”, “Low Density Residential 3a” and “Natural Open Space” as well as Site Specific Policy Area B on Map B.2.2-1 – Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan – Land Use Plan. The following policies, amongst others, are applicable to the subject applications.

Neighbourhoods

E.3.1.1 Develop compact, mixed use, transit-supportive, and active transportation friendly neighbourhoods.

E.3.1.2 Develop neighbourhoods as part of a complete community, where people can live, work, shop, learn, and play.

E.3.1.3 Plan and designate lands for a range of housing types and densities, taking into account affordable housing needs.
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E.3.1.4 Promote and support design which enhances and respects the character of existing neighbourhoods while at the same time allowing their ongoing evolution.

E.3.1.5 Promote and support residential intensification of appropriate scale and in appropriate locations throughout the neighbourhoods.

E.3.2.3 The following uses shall be permitted on lands designated Neighbourhoods on Schedule E-1 – Urban Land Use Designations:

a) residential dwellings, including second dwelling units and housing with supports;

b) open space and parks;"

The proposed development complies with the above-noted policy goals as the proposed maisonettes and townhouses contributes to a compact urban form and complete community, is transit supportive as it is located on a major arterial roadway, contributes to a range of housing types, respects the existing character of the neighbourhood with a compatible form of residential dwellings and provides an appropriate scale and location for the development by incorporating appropriate setbacks and buffers to the existing residential development and natural heritage features.

Residential Greenfield Design

As the subject proposal is located outside of the Built Boundary on Appendix “G” – Boundaries Map, the Residential Greenfield Design policies apply.

“E.3.7.1 New greenfield communities shall be designed with a unique and cohesive character. Buildings, streetscapes, street patterns, landscaping, open spaces, and infrastructure shall be designed to contribute to this character.

E.3.7.2 New greenfield communities shall be designed to include a focal point. All elements of the design of the community including the layout of streets, trails, pedestrian connections, and transit routes as well as the location of land uses and transit stops, shall contribute to creation of the community focal point.

E.3.7.3 The configuration of streets, trails, and open spaces shall ensure clear and convenient pedestrian, cycling, and vehicular connections from within the greenfield community to the focal point and adjacent neighbourhoods.
E.3.7.4 The City may consider alternative development standards in new residential development in greenfield areas. Such alternative development standards may include:

c) use of public lanes, private lanes, and on-street parking to promote more compact residential development and to provide more attractive streetscapes;

d) greater reliance on common open space areas to facilitate more compact development patterns;

E.3.7.5 New residential development in greenfield areas shall generally be designed and planned to:

a) minimize changes to existing topography;

b) preserve existing trees and natural features;

E.3.7.6 New development or redevelopment adjacent to open spaces shall:

a) minimize the impacts on natural heritage features;

b) maintain or enhance public access to trails, bikeways, and parks within these features;

c) preserve or enhance public views to these features; and,

d) use native plant material adjacent to these features."

The proposed development has limited frontage on Garner Road West. Dwellings are proposed oriented towards the street, providing a streetscape and sufficient landscape in the form of an amenity area and planting strips is also being proposed. In addition, the development will provide the proper connections for vehicles and pedestrians, as well as an amenity area that will be the focal point of the development. A mix of dwelling units (i.e. maisonettes and townhouses) will contribute to a compact urban form, while protecting the natural feature and vegetation protection zone through appropriate setbacks.

Urban Design

“B.3.3.3.2 New development shall be designed to minimize impact on neighbouring buildings and public spaces by:
a) creating transitions in scale to neighbouring buildings;

b) ensuring adequate privacy and sunlight to neighbouring properties; and,

c) minimizing the impacts of shadows and wind conditions.

B.3.3.3.3 New development shall be massed to respect existing and planned street proportions.

B.3.3.3.5 Built form shall create comfortable pedestrian environments by:

a) locating principal façades and primary building entrances parallel to and as close to the street as possible;

b) including ample glazing on ground floors to create visibility to and from the public sidewalk;

c) including a quality landscape edge along frontages where buildings are set back from the street;

d) locating surface parking to the sides or rear of the sites or buildings, where appropriate; and,

e) using design techniques, such as building step-backs, to maximize sunlight to pedestrian areas."

The proposed development is appropriate in respect to the transition in scale to the neighbouring dwellings to the east, which maintain a height of two and a half and three storeys, and the development is massed to respect the existing street proportions. Given that the dwellings will be a maximum of three storeys in height and maintain proper setbacks from existing residential development, adequate privacy will be maintained and the proposed townhouses will not create adverse shadow and wind impacts. Parking is within the interior of the property, off of the private condominium road and townhouses will be parallel to and close to Garner Road West. The proposed development will include landscaping within the site and along the street edge. Further, a detailed landscape plan will be required with the future Site Plan Control application.

Archaeology

With respect to archaeological concerns, the UHOP identifies the applicable policy under Section B.3.4.4.2:
“B.3.4.4.2 In areas of archaeological potential identified on Appendix F-4 – Archaeological Potential, an archaeological assessment shall be required and submitted prior to or at the time of application submission for the following planning matters under the Planning Act:

a) official plan amendment or secondary plan amendment unless the development proposed in the application in question or other applications on the same property does not involve any site alteration or soil disturbance;

b) zoning by-law amendments unless the development proposed in the application in question or other applications on the same property does not involve any site alteration or soil disturbance; and,”

As noted previously in this Report, the City’s interest has been satisfied.

Noise Attenuation

“B.3.6.3.1 Development of noise sensitive land uses, in the vicinity of provincial highways, parkways, minor or major arterial roads, collector roads, truck routes, railway lines, railway yards, airports, or other uses considered to be noise generators shall comply with all applicable provincial and municipal guidelines and standards.

B.3.6.3.2 Any required noise or vibration study shall be prepared by a qualified professional, preferably a professional engineer with experience in environmental acoustics, in accordance with recognized noise and vibration measurement and prediction techniques, to the satisfaction of the City, and in accordance with all applicable guidelines and standards.”

A noise feasibility study was submitted with the subject applications entitled, “Environmental Noise Study” prepared by Valcoustics Canada Ltd. The study was reviewed by City staff and based on the results of the study, noise warning clauses are required as well as noise mitigation measures from the commercial uses on the adjacent lands at 21 Panabaker Drive. The applicants have an agreement with the owners of 21 Panabaker Drive, dated June 12, 2018 regarding the noise mitigation required on the property. The warning clauses and any other noise mitigation required will be implemented at the Site Plan Control stage.

City staff further notes that future development applications will be required to facilitate the proposed development. Site Plan Control and Draft Plan of Condominium applications are required and therefore, an updated noise study will also be required to
be submitted and implemented with these applications to refine window and wall requirements and to have specific noise warning clauses registered on title.

Open Space

An Official Plan Amendment is required to re-designate a portion of the subject lands from the Open Space designation to the Neighbourhoods designation.

“C.3.3.1 Lands designated as Open Space are public or private areas where the predominant use of or function of the land is for recreational activities, conservation management and other open space uses.

C.3.3.4 The following uses shall be permitted on lands designated Open Space on Schedule E-1 – Urban Land Use Designations:

a) parks for both active and passive recreational activities;

b) pedestrian pathways, trails, bikeways and walkways;

C.3.3.6 Where land is designated Open Space and is under private ownership, it is not intended this land shall necessarily remain so indefinitely, nor shall the Plan be construed as implying these areas are free and open to the general public or shall be purchased by the City.”

A portion of the subject lands will remain Open Space surrounding the stormwater management pond and will remain passive. The core features of the woodlot and wetland will be protected through the Open Space designation, while through the EIS a portion of the subject lands can be developed. The Amendment to redesignate a portion of the subject lands for residential uses is discussed in greater detail below and in the Analysis and Rationale for Recommendation section of this report.

Core Areas

Core Areas have been identified within and adjacent to the subject lands. The relevant policies with respect to Core Areas within the UHOP are as follows.

“C.2.3 It is the intent of this policy to preserve and enhance Core Areas and to ensure that any development or site alteration within or adjacent to them shall not negatively impact their natural features or their ecological functions.

C.2.3.3 The natural features and ecological functions of Core Areas shall be protected and where possible and deemed feasible to the satisfaction of
the City enhanced. To accomplish this protection and enhancement, vegetation removal and encroachment into Core Areas shall generally not be permitted, and appropriate vegetation protection zones shall be applied to all Core Areas.

C.2.5.4 New development and site alteration shall not be permitted within significant woodlands, significant valleylands, significant wildlife habitat and significant areas of natural and scientific interest unless it has been demonstrated that there shall be no negative impacts on the natural features or on their ecological functions.

C.2.5.8 New development or site alteration subject to Policies C.2.5.3 to C.2.5.7 requires, prior to approval, the submission and approval of an Environmental Impact Statement which demonstrates to the satisfaction of the City and the relevant Conservation Authority that:

a) There shall be no negative impacts on the Core Area’s natural features or their ecological functions.

b) Connectivity between Core Areas shall be maintained, or where possible, enhanced for the movement of surface and ground water, plants and wildlife across the landscape.

c) The removal of other natural features shall be avoided or minimized by the planning and design of the proposed use or site alteration wherever possible.

C.2.5.9 An Environmental Impact Statement shall propose a vegetation protection zone which:

a) has sufficient width to protect the Core Area and its ecological functions from impacts of the proposed land use or site alteration occurring during and after construction, and where possible and deemed feasible to the satisfaction of the City, restores or enhances the Core Area and/or its ecological functions; and,

b) is established to achieve, and be maintained as natural self-sustaining vegetation.

C.2.5.10 Where vegetation protection zones widths have not been specified by watershed and sub-watershed plans, secondary Environmental assessments and other studies, the following vegetation protection zone widths shall be evaluated and addressed by Environmental Impact
Statements. Other agencies, such as Conservation Authorities, may have different vegetation protection zone requirements.

f) Significant woodlands – 15-metre vegetation protection zone, measured from the edge (drip line) of the significant woodland.

C.2.5.11 Vegetation protection zone widths greater or less than those specified in a) to i) above may be required if ecological features and functions warrant it, as determined through an approved Environmental Impact Statement. Widths shall be determined on a site-specific basis, by considering factors such as the sensitivity of the habitat, the potential impacts of the proposed land use, the intended function of the vegetation protection zone, and the physiography of the site.

C.2.5.12 Permitted uses within a vegetation protection zone shall be dependent on the sensitivity of the feature, and determined through approved studies. Generally, permitted uses within a vegetation protection zone shall be limited to low impact uses, such as vegetation restoration, resource management, and open space. Permitted uses within the vegetation protection zone shall be the same uses as those within the Core Area in Policy C.2.5.1 and the vegetation protection zone should remain in or be returned to a natural state.”

As discussed previously, based on a review of the EIS and subsequent addendum, and in consultation with the GRCA, staff are satisfied that the Core Areas will be protected.

Road Widening

“C.4.5.6.1 The City shall require, as a condition of site plan approval, subdivision approval, condominium approval and land severance consent, that sufficient lands are conveyed to provide for a road right-of-way dedication in accordance with the designated widths as set out in Section C.4.5.2 or Schedule C-2 – Future Right-of-Way Dedications.”

A right-of-way widening dedication to the City of Hamilton will be required as a condition of future Site Plan Approval. The widening shall be across the frontage of the subject property on Garner Road West in order to achieve a right-of-way width of 18.288 m (approximately 5.0 m to 5.24 m from the subject property) from the original centreline of this roadway in accordance with Schedule “C-2” of the City of Hamilton Urban Official Plan. The current Concept Plan for the subject proposal provides for the required right-of-way widening.
Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan

The subject lands are designated “Medium Density Residential 2a”, “Low Density Residential 3a” and “Natural Open Space” as well as Site Specific Policy Area B on Map B.2.2-1 – Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan – Land Use Plan. The following policies within Volume 2 of the UHOP, Chapter B – Ancaster Secondary Plans, amongst others, apply.

“B.2.2.1.2 General Residential Policies

a) Residential buildings shall have no more than three occupied storeys entirely above grade.

B.2.2.1.3 Low Density Residential Designations

Notwithstanding Polices E.3.4.3 and E.3.4.4 of Volume 1, the following policies shall apply to the Low Density Residential designations identified on Map B.2.2-1 – Shaver Neighbourhood – Land Use Plan:

d) In the Low Density Residential 3a designation:

i) the permitted uses shall be street townhouses, block townhouses, and other ground-oriented attached housing forms; and,

ii) the density shall not exceed 50 dwelling units per gross / net residential hectare.

B.2.2.1.4 Medium Density Residential Designations

Notwithstanding Policies E.3.5.2 and E.3.5.7 of Volume 1, the following policies shall apply to the Medium Density Residential designations identified on Map B.2.2-1 – Shaver Neighbourhood – Land Use Plan:

a) In the Medium Density Residential 2a designation:

i) the permitted use shall be low rise apartment buildings; and,

ii) the density shall not exceed 62 dwelling units per gross / net residential hectare.

B.2.2.3.1 In addition to Sections B.3.5.3 – Parkland Polices and C.3.3 – Open Space Designation of Volume 1, the following polices shall apply:
a) The open space system for the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan area includes the following:

i) Neighbourhood Parks;

ii) Natural Open Space;

iii) Notwithstanding Section C.3.3 – Open Space Designations of Volume 1, school playfields;

iv) pedestrian walkways; and,

v) Big Creek valleylands and wooded areas.

b) Notwithstanding Section 3.3 – Open Space Designations of Volume 1, the City shall encourage the development of a linked open space system in the Shaver Neighbourhood incorporating the Community Park, Neighbourhood Park, schools, Big Creek valleylands, wooded areas and pedestrian walkways through the approval of plans of subdivision.

c) Within the Shaver Neighbourhood the planned residential areas, the westerly Neighbourhood Park, the Community Park / schools complex and the publicly owned Natural Open Space lands shall be linked by a pedestrian / bikeway system utilizing a combination of open space walkways and sidewalks within the roadways. The internal pedestrian / bikeway system shall be connected to the planned Duff’s Corners mixed use commercial / industrial area to the west and the Maple Lane Annex subdivision in the Garner Neighbourhood Secondary Plan area.

Site Specific Policy – Area B

2.2.5.2 In addition to the permitted uses set out in Policy B.2.2.1.3 d) and Policy B.2.2.1.4 a) – Medium Density Residential 2a, a paving contractor’s equipment supplies and storage business shall be permitted on lands located at No. 305 Garner Road West, and identified as Site Specific Policy – Area B on Map B.2.2-1 – Shaver Neighbourhood – Land Use Plan.”

An Amendment to the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan is required to redesignate a portion of the subject lands from the Medium Density Residential 2a, Site Specific Policy – Area B and Natural Open Space to the Low Density Residential 3a designation. A large portion of the property is currently designated Medium Density
Residential 2a within the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan, which limits the use of the subject lands to low rise apartment buildings and a density of not more than 62 dwelling units per gross / net residential hectare. The subject proposal is to apply the Low Density Residential 3a designation to the lands in order to permit the development of 40 maisonette dwelling units and 78 townhouse dwellings for a total of 118 units, which equates to a density of 44 units per net residential hectare.

The Secondary Plan shows Vansickle Street terminating at the edge of this site. The existing subdivision development to the east (Marshall Estates) was approved by the City in March, 2003 (Report PD03088), and includes a Draft Plan of Subdivision condition for Vansickle Street to be terminated as a temporary turn-around with barricade and sign. In addition, the developer was required to convey sufficient easements and a 0.30 m reserve to the City leaving the option open of Vansickle Street being extended west. Due to Vansickle Street being higher than the subject lands with a grade difference of approximately 3 m (9.8 ft), the applicants have since determined that it would not be feasible to continue Vansickle Street through the proposed development, as it would result in a large slope throughout the site that may impact the natural heritage feature to the west with a significant grade increase, as well as requiring a re-evaluation of the stormwater management strategy for the site. After discussions with City staff, the applicants have instead proposed a private pedestrian ramp walkway connecting the two developments in keeping with orderly development. In addition, to the west of the subject property is open space as well as residential dwellings serviced by Hamilton Drive and therefore, an extension of Vansickle Street to service these lands is not required. With respect to overlook issues, existing side yards to the east are facing the rear yards of some of the proposed dwellings. A rear yard setback of 6.5 m and privacy screening will be provided, thereby, limiting any overlook concerns.

The proposed Official Plan Amendments are discussed in greater detail in the Analysis and Rationale for Recommendations section of this report.

**Town of Ancaster Zoning By-law No. 87-57**

The lands are currently zoned Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified, Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified within the Town of Ancaster Zoning By-law No. 87-57.

The current zoning permits Agricultural uses, buildings and structures accessory thereto, including one detached dwelling, and uses, buildings and structures accessory to the dwelling. In addition, an Elementary School within the Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified is permitted, however, piggeries, poultry operations, feed lot operations, mushroom farms, and mink farms are prohibited within the Agricultural “A-
216" Zone, Modified. The portion of the lands zoned Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified are restructured to a paving contractor’s equipment, supplies and storage. The applicants have requested a rezoning to a site specific Residential Multiple “RM4” Zone in order to permit the development of 40 back to back townhouse dwelling units and 78 townhouse dwellings for a maximum of 118 units.

In addition, a number of site specific modifications have been requested, including:

- built form;
- to recognize the proposed townhouses on a private condominium road;
- to recognize the lot lines;
- an increase to the maximum permitted density;
- decrease to the minimum front, side and rear yards;
- decrease to the minimum setback from the front lot line for air conditioning units;
- increase to the maximum permitted building height;
- decrease to the minimum landscaping area required;
- decrease to the minimum required planting strip;
- decrease to the required minimum privacy area;
- decrease in the required minimum number of visitor parking spaces and parking space width; and,
- decrease in the required minimum children’s play area and building separation.

An analysis of the site specific modifications is included in Appendix “E” to Report PED19104.

**City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 05-200**

The Zoning By-law Amendment also proposes to incorporate lands into Zoning By-law No. 05-200 for the stormwater management pond and recognize the vegetation protection zone and conservation lands. These lands will be zoned a site specific Conservation / Hazard Land (P5) Zone, which permits Conservation, Flood and Erosion Control Facilities, Recreation and Passive uses. In addition, Zoning By-law No. 05-200 contains a general provision which requires any building or structure on a property to be setback a minimum of 7.5 m from a Conservation / Hazard Land (P5) Zone. The applicants have requested a setback of 0 m from the Conservation / Hazard Land (P5) Zone, instead of the required 7.5 m. This modification is discussed in Appendix “E” to Report PED19104.

**RELEVANT CONSULTATION**

The following Departments and Agencies had no comments or objections to the applications:

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully.
OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.
OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.
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- Recreation Planning, Public Works Department; and,
- Horizon / Alectra Utilities.

The following Departments and Agencies have provided comments on the applications:

**Forestry & Horticulture Section, Public Works Department** has approved the Tree Protection Plan, dated April 2017 with no amendments required. In addition, Forestry documented a 10 cm crab apple within the municipal right of way that was removed without permit contravening By-law 15-125. Loss of canopy compensation of $900.00 is required for this tree removed within the planning process. Total Payment of $900.00 (loss of canopy) + $265.30 (permit fee) + $151.49 (HST) = $1316.79 payable to City of Hamilton will be required (2019 Fees). Upon receipt of payment a permit will be issued satisfying the Tree Management Plan condition. The Forestry & Horticulture Section requires that a detailed Landscape Planting Plan prepared by a Registered Landscape Architect, showing the placement of trees on internal / external City property be provided at the Site Plan Approval stage.

**Grand River Conservation Authority (GRCA)** provided comments on the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), as well as stormwater, requiring the stormwater management plan include infiltration to predevelopment levels. A Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses Regulation (Ontario Regulation 150 / 06) Permit from the GRCA prior to development is also required. GRCA staff are satisfied that their concerns have been addressed.

**Recycling & Waste Disposal, Environmental Services Division** commented that the development is eligible for municipal waste collection service subject to meeting the City’s requirements.

**Transit Division (HSR), Public Works Department** commented that HSR currently operates the Route #44 Rymal buses past this site with no planned changes in service.

**Capital Budgets & Development, Public Works Department** commented that the applicant pay the Municipal Act Sanitary Sewer Charge of $117,499.59, Garner Road By-Law No. 06-130, as at September 30, 2017 to the satisfaction of the Capital Budgets Section of Corporate Services. Should payment be made at a later date, the applicant shall confirm the value with Corporate Services staff.

**Public Health Services, Healthy Environments Division** provided that a pest control plan will be required at the Site Plan Approval stage.

**Transportation Planning Services, Public Works Department** commented that an updated TDM and TIS will be required at the Site Plan Approval stage. In support of the
proposed development, the applicants have submitted a Transportation Impact Study & Parking Study, prepared by Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited, dated August, 2017. The Study concludes that the intersections of Garner Road West and Panabaker Drive, as well as Garner Road West and Hamilton Drive are operating within acceptable levels of service (LOS B or better) and well within capacity during both the weekday AM and PM peak hours, with the exception of the weekday PM peak hour at Garner Road West and Hamilton Drive, for the southbound approach only.

The Study provides that the proposed development is expected to generate 59 new trips during the weekday AM peak hour and 68 trips during the weekday PM peak hour. The Study forecasts that the intersection of Garner Road West and Panabaker Drive will continue to operate at acceptable levels of service (LOS B or better) and within capacity. The intersection of Garner Road West and Hamilton Drive is also forecasted to continue to operate with acceptable levels of service, with again the exception of the weekday PM peak hour, where the southbound approach is forecasted to operate at LOS F, with a v/c ratio of 1.57. The Study also concludes that the proposed site driveway, which is located approximately 125 m west of Panabaker Drive, is forecasted to operate at acceptable levels of service (LOS C) and within capacity in both the weekday AM and PM peak hours.

Key Items

1. Transportation Planning can support one full movement at the west limit of the site fronting Garner Road West until the property at 339 Garner Road West develops; at which time the 305 Garner Road West access will be converted to right-in / right-out only. A full-moves access will be permitted with development of 339 Garner Road West and will include a joint use agreement with 305 Garner Road West.

2. Transportation Planning requires the extension of municipal pedestrian facilities on Vansickle Street. The applicant is to provide a continuous pedestrian route to the subject site and meet AODA compliant pedestrian facilities within the site.

3. Outstanding revisions are required for the Traffic Impact Study. Previously identified revisions to the TIS have been amended as noted below. Revisions are to be submitted to the satisfaction of the Manager of Transportation Planning.

4. The design and construction of an eastbound left turn lane on Garner Road West to the subject site are required and are to meet minimum Transportation Association of Canada (TAC) standards and to the satisfaction of the Manager of Transportation Planning, Manager of Transportation Operations and Manager of Engineering Approvals.
Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment

1. The Owner / Applicant proposes a full-moves access on the west side of the subject property fronting Garner Road West. Transportation Planning can support this access until such time as the adjacent property at 339 Garner Road West is developed. The driveway access for 305 Garner Road West will be converted to a right-in / right-out only with the development of 339 Garner Road West.

Traffic Impact Study

1. In July 2018 Transportation Planning reviewed and provided comments on the Traffic Impact Study by Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited, dated August 2017. Required revisions were identified and are outstanding. Previously identified revisions to the TIS have been amended as noted below. Revisions to the Traffic Impact Study are to be submitted to the satisfaction of the Manager of Transportation Planning.

2. Considering the access control negotiated between the Owner/Applicant, Transportation Planning and other City Sections, the required revisions to the Traffic Impact Study are amended and limited to updated analysis based on a design speed of 90 km/h (20 km/h over posted 70 km/h). The study assumed 10 km/h over the posted speed which is not acceptable.

   a. Sight lines at the proposed all-moves driveway access are to be reassessed using a design speed of 90 km/h. Departure sight distance, stopping sight distance, and decision sight distance must meet minimum TAC guidelines at the proposed driveway connection to Garner Road West.

   b. Left turn lane design requirements are to be reassessed using a design speed of 90 km/h. The taper and deceleration portion of the left turn lane must meet minimum TAC guidelines at the proposed driveway connection to Garner Road West. As part of the Site Plan Control application, the applicant has to identify the appropriate storage length details.

In addition, Transportation Planning and Development Engineering requests that at the Site Plan Approval stage:

1. As a condition of Site Plan Approval, the Owner / Applicant will be required to submit functional design drawings for the eastbound left turn lane at the
proposed driveway connection to Garner Road West. The drawings are to clearly identify and show the storage, taper and deceleration length requirements which are to meet minimum TAC standards. They are to be based on a rural cross-section and 90 km/h design speed, which is 20 km/h design speed over posted speed. The design drawings will extend to the traffic signal at Garner Road West and Panabaker Drive; modifications to the pavement markings and/or municipal infrastructure are to be brought into and match existing infrastructure; all to the satisfaction of the Manager of Transportation Planning, Manager of Transportation Operations and Manager of Engineering Approvals.

2. As a condition of Site Plan Approval, the Owner / Applicant will be required to design and construct a municipal sidewalk between the existing municipal sidewalk on Vansickle Street and the proposed private walkway on the subject lands; all to the satisfaction of the Manager of Transportation Planning and Manager of Engineering Approvals.

3. As a condition of Site Plan Approval, the Owner / Applicant will be required to design and construct a driveway access to Garner Road West that includes an interim full-moves access which can be converted to the ultimate right-in/right-out only access; all to the satisfaction of the Manager of Transportation Planning and Manager of Engineering Approvals.

4. The cul-de-sac on Vansickle Street was intended to be temporary until the street was extended with future development. The subject application proposes to retain the cu-de-sac and construct residential properties on lands originally intended for municipal right-of-way. The Owner / Applicant will be required to provide municipal sidewalk on Vansickle Street to extend to the subject lands and meet the proposed private pedestrian ramp and walkway. All sidewalks, ramps and walkways are to be to current AODA and City standards.

5. Additional lands may be required for dedication to the right-of-way to meet current City standards and to accommodate the required municipal sidewalk. The cul-de-sac at the westerly terminus of Vansickle Street may be modified and shall have a “turning circle of sufficient area to enable the turning of garbage trucks, snow removal equipment and emergency vehicles. For residential purposes, an 18.0 m minimum radius is required for road allowance with a minimum pavement radius of 13.0 m”.

6. All roadway and municipal infrastructure improvements for Garner Road West and / or Vansickle Street as a result of this development will be fully at the expense of the Owner / Applicant. This includes but is not limited to the Garner Road West widening to accommodate an eastbound left-turn lane; modifications to pavement surface and road structure; pavement markings, municipal sidewalk
on Vansickle Street, etc. The required municipal road works will necessitate an External Works Agreement through Engineering Approvals, Planning and Economic Development.

7. Any design, road work and modifications to the related municipal infrastructure will be fully at the expense of the Owner/Applicant. The Owner/Applicant is advised that any modifications required to the traffic signals and/or pavement markings and/or signage because of this development are to be completed by a qualified traffic signal/pavement markings design consultant and fully at the expense of the Owner/Applicant. The City will review and approve the engineering design and provide the final design detail requirements. The plan(s) must be in accordance with the City of Hamilton Traffic Signal and Pavement Marking Design Manual and be submitted in both PDF and MicroStation V8 format to the satisfaction of the Manager of Transportation Operations. It is the Owner/Applicant’s responsibility to contact trafficops@hamilton.ca for information regarding the City’s design standards and drawing format.

Public Consultation

In accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act and the Council Approved Public Participation Policy, Notice of Complete Application and Preliminary Circulation was sent to 109 property owners within 120 m of the subject property on August 22, 2017, for the proposed Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment applications.

To date, five letters/e-mails have been received. Issues and concerns raised in the letters/e-mails relate to: the density of the proposed development, the loss of green space, traffic, the possibility of overflow of parking and the design of the proposed development. These concerns are discussed further in the Analysis and Rationale for Recommendation section.

A Public Notice Sign was posted on the property on August 17, 2017, and updated on May 22, 2019, with the Public Meeting date. Finally, Notice of the Public Meeting was mailed out in accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act on May 31, 2019.

Public Consultation Strategy

The applicant held a Public Information Meeting on November 29, 2017 at the Ancaster Rotary Centre. Invitations were sent to properties within a 300 m radius of the subject lands. There were 17 attendees at the Information Meeting, including City staff and the Ward Councillor. Residents conveyed concerns at the meeting with an increase in traffic, insufficient visitor parking, density, environmental impacts and compatibility with the future development of 339 Garner Road West. These concerns are addressed in the Analysis and Rationale for Recommendation section of this Report.
ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

1. The proposed Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendments have merit and can be supported for the following reasons:

   (i) They are consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and conform to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2017);

   (ii) The proposed development represents good planning by, among other things, providing a compact and efficient urban form that is compatible with the surrounding area, protects the core area and is an efficient use of infrastructure.

2. **Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment**

   The subject properties are an assembly of two separate properties and are located on the north side of Garner Road West. The Ancaster Paving Ltd. operation is located at 305 Garner Road West and a vacant single detached dwelling is located at 311 Garner Road West. The applicant is proposing to replace the existing uses with 40 maisonette dwelling units and 78 townhouse dwellings for a total of 118 units (see Concept Plan attached as Appendix “F” to Report PED19104).

   The subject lands are identified as “Neighbourhoods” on Schedule “E” – Urban Structure, designated as “Neighbourhoods” and “Open Space” on Schedule “E-1” – Urban Land Use Designations in the UHOP and shown outside of the Built Boundary on Appendix “G” – Boundaries Map.

   The subject property is designated as “Medium Density Residential 2a”, “Low Density Residential 3a” and “Natural Open Space” as well as Site Specific Policy – Area B on Map B.2.2-1 – Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan – Land Use Plan.

   An Amendment to Schedule “E-1” in the UHOP and the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan is required to redesignate a portion of the subject lands from “Open Space” to “Neighbourhoods” on Schedule E-1 within Volume 1 the UHOP and from “Medium Density Residential 2a”, Site Specific Policy – Area B and “Natural Open Space” to the “Low Density Residential 3a” designation in Volume 2 (Secondary Plans) of the UHOP.

   The proposed amendment to the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan is to redesignate a portion of the subject lands from “Open Space” to
“Neighbourhoods” within Volume 1 of the Urban Hamilton Official Plan can be supported as the applicants have submitted the necessary studies and staff are satisfied that the Core Areas will be protected, which will include a 15 m Vegetation Protection Zone. A Restoration Plan, Invasive Species Management Plan and a Transplant Plan will also be required at the Site Plan Approval stage.

The proposed amendment to delete Site Specific Policy – Area B in its entirety, redesignating a portion of the subject lands from “Medium Density Residential 2a” to “Low Density Residential 3a” and redesignating a portion of the subject lands from “Natural Open Space” to “Low Density Residential 3a” can be supported. The existing neighbourhood is comprised of open space to the north and west and two and a half and three storey townhouses to the east. The proposed two and a half storey townhouse dwellings and three storey maisonette dwellings will be of a size and scale that is compatible with the existing scale of development in the area, and appropriate setbacks from the existing residential developments and natural heritage features have been incorporated into the design, which include a 15 m Vegetation Protection Zone. Adequate servicing is available from Garner Road West with a second proposed watermain feed from Vansickle Street; transportation capacity is also available to meet the needs of the proposed development. In addition, there are no anticipated shadowing, overlook, noise, lighting, and traffic issues. The height of the development will be similar to that of surrounding development and will maintain setbacks and building separations that will respect the streetscape pattern of the area. In addition, parking is within the interior of the property, off of the private condominium road and a block of townhouses will be parallel to Garner Road West. Also, the proposed development will include landscaping within the site and along the street edge.

The proposed use of townhouses and maisonette units on a condominium road contributes to a compact urban form, contributes to a variety of housing types, as well as a complete community. The development is located on a large parcel of land that is set back from Garner Road West and therefore, respects the existing character of the neighbourhood, as well as provides an appropriate scale and location for the proposed development.

The proposed residential density of 44 units per net hectare allows for the establishment of townhouse dwellings that are of a size and scale that will allow the lots to function with an appropriate sized dwelling, along with sufficient space to provide parking and amenity area for each dwelling unit.

The Low Density Residential 3a designation permits a maximum density of 50 dwelling units per gross / net residential hectare; the subject proposal has a density of 44 units per hectare and complies with the Low Density Residential 3a
density requirements. A large portion of the property is currently designated Medium Density Residential 2a within the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan, which permits low rise apartment buildings of a density of not more than 62 dwelling units per gross/net residential hectare.

The subject proposal is to re-designate the subject property to the Low Density Residential 3a designation in order to permit the development of 40 back to back townhouse dwelling units and 78 townhouse dwellings for a maximum of 118 units. The subject proposal is within the permitted density range and the amendment is required to permit additional housing forms with the proposed townhouse and back to back units. Staff note that this is in keeping with provincial policies and there are no adverse impacts regarding traffic, parking and servicing. In addition, sufficient Natural Open Space will be maintained. The proposed amendment is in keeping with the policies of the Urban Hamilton Official Plan and Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan to provide a diversity of housing opportunities that are suitable for different segments of the population in order to make the best use of urban lands, especially along a major arterial road.

As mentioned, the existing subdivision developed to the east (Marshall Estates) was approved by the City in March, 2003 (Report PD03088) and included a Draft Plan of Subdivision condition for Vansickle Street, requiring that the Owner provide a temporary turn-around with a barricade, signs and a 0.30 m reserve to the City. Due to the grade difference of 3 m (9.8 ft), the applicants determined that it would not be feasible to continue Vansickle Street through the proposed development, as it would result in a large slope throughout the site and would also require a re-evaluation of the stormwater management strategy for the site that could have impacts on the natural open space features to the west. After discussions with City staff, the applicants have proposed a ramp pedestrian walkway, in accordance with AODA requirements, connecting the two developments in keeping with orderly development and also ensures integration and connectivity without impacting traffic and the natural heritage feature. In addition, connections with 339 Garner Road West will be introduced when that property develops. Finally, at City staff’s request, the applicants have informed the property owners on Vansickle Street regarding the proposed ramp pedestrian walkway with a letter, dated April 18, 2019, and at the time of preparation of this Report, have received consent from 3, 4, 6, 7, 12, 13, 15, 17 and 18 Vansickle Street regarding the proposed connection in that the pedestrian connection will provide for access to the interior of the Shaver Neighbourhood without having pedestrians having to travel along Garner Road West.

With respect to overlook issues, existing dwellings having side yards facing the rear yards of some of the proposed dwellings, are separated by a setback of...
6.5 m and will also provide privacy screening, thereby, limiting any overlook concerns.

The proposed development complies with the UHOP under the policies established in the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan, however, due to the built form, an Amendment will be required to allow for the proposed development. Based on the foregoing, staff support the proposed Amendments.

3. **Zoning By-law Amendments**

The applicant has requested a change in zoning to the former Ancaster Zoning By-law No. 87-57 from the Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified, Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified to a site specific Residential Multiple “RM4” Zone. The Zoning By-law Amendment also proposes to incorporate the stormwater management pond, the vegetation protection zone and the remaining conservation lands into Zoning By-law No. 05-200.

The Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Holding provision is for the following:

(i) The determination of adequate setback limits have been established for the protection of the wetlands and watercourses and stormwater management has been approved, to the satisfaction of the Grand River Conservation Authority, as it applies only to the use of the elementary school; and,

(ii) That the owner / applicant shall carryout an archaeological assessment of the entire development property and mitigate, through preservation or resource removal and documentation, adverse impacts to any significant archaeological resources found all to the satisfaction of the City of Hamilton’s Planning and Development Department and the Ministry of Culture.

The subject proposal does not include an elementary school, however, as mentioned previously in this report the GRCA provided comments on the Environmental Impact Statement (EIS), as well as stormwater, requiring the stormwater management plan include infiltration to predevelopment levels. A Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to Shorelines and Watercourses Regulation (Ontario Regulation 150 / 06) Permit from the GRCA prior to development is required. GRCA staff are satisfied that their concerns have been addressed and therefore, the Holding provision is no longer required.

As previously mentioned, a Stage 1 - 2 archaeological report P383-0021-2013 and P383-0055-2013 has been submitted to the City of Hamilton and Ministry of...
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Tourism, Culture and Sport. City staff have reviewed the report and have received the Ministry letter of concurrence, confirming the City’s opinion that the pre-contact material located in findspot No. 1 does not exhibit sufficient cultural heritage value to warrant further stages of study. As such, staff can confirm that the City’s interest in the site’s archaeological potential has been satisfied. Therefore, this Holding provision is no longer required and will not be applied to the amending Zoning By-law.

The Amendment to Zoning By-law No. 05-200 can be supported by staff as proper mitigation measures will be applied to protect the natural open space. The Amendment to Zoning By-law No. 87-57 can be supported, as the proposed built form contributes to a complete community through a compact urban design with an additional housing type and form for the area, while located on an existing transit route. In addition, the Amendment complies with the UHOP density range, urban design provisions, as well as archaeological and noise requirements.

Staff’s analysis and recommendation on the requested site-specific modifications to the Residential Multiple “RM4” Zone and the Conservation / Hazard Land (P5) Zone is provided in Appendix “E” to Report PED19104.

4. Development Engineering staff have reviewed the subject applications and indicate that a secondary 200 mm watermain feed is proposed off of Vansickle Street to fulfill the City’s requirement of two watermain feeds when 100 lots or more are proposed. In addition, the hydro overhead wires at the site entrance appear to be at a low height and may negatively impact the site and construction. The Owner must coordinate with Hydro One if relocation of hydro is required. Development Engineering staff also indicate that a right-of-way widening dedication to the City of Hamilton would be required as a condition of future Site Plan Approval. The widening shall be across the frontage of the subject property on Garner Road West in order to achieve a right-of-way width of 18.288 m from the original centreline of this roadway in accordance with Schedule “C-2” of the Urban Hamilton Official Plan. The construction of the sidewalk along Garner Road West will also be required.

The applicants proposed full move access will be permitted, however, a Joint Use Agreement for vehicular and pedestrian access with the owner of 339 Garner Road West will be required when that property develops. Warning clauses will be required advising the future owners that one access has been provided with over 100 units and therefore, they may expect traffic delays. Additional warning clauses will be required regarding the ramp pedestrian connection to Vansickle Street, as well as the adjacent pumping station at the Site Plan Approval stage.
It is further noted that there are existing municipal services on Garner Road West and the adjacent Vansickle Street that the applicant will connect to and that the applicant shall pay the applicable urbanization costs on Garner Road West. All roadway and municipal infrastructure improvements for Garner Road West and/or Vansickle Street as a result of this development will be fully at the expense of the Owner/Applicant. This includes, but is not limited to, the Garner Road West widening to accommodate an eastbound left-turn lane; modifications to pavement surface and road structure; pavement markings, municipal sidewalk on Vansickle Street, etc. The required municipal road works will necessitate an External Works Agreement through Engineering Approvals, Planning & Economic Development.

Development engineering is satisfied with the Official Plan and Zoning By-Law Amendment applications. However, the following requirements shall be addressed at the Site Plan Approval stage:

- Updated Functional Servicing Report (FSR);
- Updated Grading and Servicing Plans;
- Hydraulic Analysis;
- Storm Drainage Area Plan;
- Wastewater Generation Assessment;
- External Works Agreement for the watermain extension within the municipal right-of-way on Vansickle Street and turn lane extensions within Garner Road West;
- Urbanization Fees; and,
- Right-of-way widening fronting the subject property on Garner Road West.

5. Concerns raised by area residents were an increase in traffic, insufficient visitor parking, density, environmental impacts and compatibility with the future development of 339 Garner Road West.

Parking

A concern was raised with respect to the lack of parking for the proposed uses on the property. Staff note that the applicant’s original proposal had 20 visitor parking spaces, which was insufficient. The required visitor parking based on the parent by-law provisions in the former Town of Ancaster Zoning By-law No. 87-57 is 78 parking spaces. After further discussions with City staff, the applicants are now providing 31 visitor parking spaces. This provides a parking ratio of 0.26 of which staff are supportive as it is in keeping with an accepted typical standard visitor parking rate of 0.25 spaces per unit applied in many new developments.
The subject property is also serviced by public transit. There are HSR bus routes immediately to the east at Garner Road West and Panabaker Drive offering alternatives to vehicular use.

Traffic

A concern was raised with respect to the amount of traffic being generated as a result of the subject proposal. In support of the proposed development, the applicants submitted a Transportation Impact Study & Parking Study, prepared by Paradigm Transportation Solutions Limited, dated August, 2017, which concludes that the intersections of Garner Road West and Panabaker Drive, as well as Garner Road West and Hamilton Drive are operating within acceptable levels of service (LOS B or better) and within capacity during both the weekday AM and PM peak hours, with the exception of the weekday PM peak hour at Garner Road West and Hamilton Drive, for the southbound approach only. The proposed development will be subject to Site Plan Control, where development details will be reviewed in greater detail for a required eastbound left turn lane at the proposed driveway connection, as well as construct a driveway access to Garner Road West that includes an interim full-moves access which can be converted to the ultimate right-in/right-out only access. Staff are satisfied that there are no further concerns.

Density

Concerns were raised regarding the amount of density proposed on the subject property. A large portion of the property is currently designated Medium Density Residential 2a within the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan, which permits low rise apartment buildings and a density of not more than 62 dwelling units per gross / net residential hectare. The subject proposal is to redesignate the subject property to the Low Density Residential 3a designation, which permits a lower density of 40 – 62 dwelling units per gross / net residential hectare, than the Medium Density Residential 2a designation. Staff note that the proposal is in keeping with provincial policies and there are no adverse impacts regarding traffic, parking, overlook and servicing. Therefore, staff are satisfied that the density concerns have been addressed.

Environmental Impacts

A concern was submitted regarding environmental impacts as caused by the proposed development. As mentioned in this report, the applicant submitted an Environmental Impact Statement in support of the proposed development. Staff reviewed the submitted materials and determined that there are no negative
impacts and that appropriate mitigation measures will be implemented through the Site Plan Control process.

Future Development of 339 Garner Road West

A concern was raised by the owners of 339 Garner Road West through their planning agent respecting the future development of 339 Garner Road West and the proposed development, including access and overflow parking.

As discussed, the proposed development will operate independently, however, will require a joint use agreement for pedestrian and vehicular access with the owners of 339 Garner Road West, once that property develops.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

Should the proposed Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment applications be denied, the property could be utilized in accordance with the Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified, Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified.

ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 – 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN

Community Engagement & Participation
Hamilton has an open, transparent and accessible approach to City government that engages with and empowers all citizens to be involved in their community.

Economic Prosperity and Growth
Hamilton has a prosperous and diverse local economy where people have opportunities to grow and develop.

Healthy and Safe Communities
Hamilton is a safe and supportive city where people are active, healthy, and have a high quality of life.

Clean and Green
Hamilton is environmentally sustainable with a healthy balance of natural and urban spaces.

Built Environment and Infrastructure
Hamilton is supported by state of the art infrastructure, transportation options, buildings and public spaces that create a dynamic City.
Culture and Diversity
Hamilton is a thriving, vibrant place for arts, culture, and heritage where diversity and inclusivity are embraced and celebrated.

Our People and Performance
Hamiltonians have a high level of trust and confidence in their City government.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix “A” – Location Map
Appendix “B” – Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment
Appendix “C” – Zoning By-law No. 87-57 Amendment
Appendix “D” – Zoning By-law No. 05-200 Amendment
Appendix “E” – Zoning By-law Amendment Assessment
Appendix “F” – Proposed Concept Plan
Appendix “G” – Public Submissions

GZ:mo

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully.
OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.
OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.
DRAFT Urban Hamilton Official Plan
Amendment No. XX

The following text, together with:

Appendix “A”  Volume 1, Schedule E-1 – Urban Land Use Designations
Appendix “B”  Volume 2, Map B.2.2-1 – Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan – Land Use Plan

attached hereto, constitutes Official Plan Amendment No. XX to the Urban Hamilton Official Plan.

1.0  Purpose and Effect:

The purpose and effect of this Amendment is to amend the land use designations within the Urban Hamilton Official Plan and the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan to permit the development of a maximum of one hundred and eighteen (118) Townhouse and Maisonette Dwellings on the subject lands.

2.0  Location:

The lands affected by this Amendment are known municipally as 305 and 311 Garner Road West, in the former Town of Ancaster.

3.0  Basis:

The basis for permitting this Amendment is:

- The proposed Amendment is in keeping with the policies of the Urban Hamilton Official Plan and Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan to provide a diversity of housing opportunities that are suitable for different segments of the population to make the best use of urban lands, especially along a major arterial road.

- The proposed development is considered to be consistent with, and complimentary to, the planned and existing development in the immediate area.

- The proposed Amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement, 2014 and conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, 2019.
4.0 **Actual Changes:**

4.1 **Volume 1 – Parent Plan**

4.1.1 **Schedule**

That Volume 1, Schedule E-1 – Urban Land Use Designations be amended by redesignating the subject lands from “Open Space” to the “Neighbourhoods” designation, as shown on Appendix “A” attached to this Amendment.

4.2 **Volume 2 – Secondary Plans**

4.2.1 **Text**

a. That Volume 2, Chapter B.2.0 – Ancaster Secondary Plans, Section B.2.2 – Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan be amended by deleting Policy B.2.2.5.2 in its entirety.

4.2.2 **Map**

a. That Volume 2, Map B.2.2-1 – Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan – Land Use Plan be amended by:

i) deleting Site Specific Policy – Area B in its entirety;

ii) redesignating a portion of the subject lands from “Medium Density Residential 2a” to “Low Density Residential 3a”; and,

iii) redesignating a portion of the subject lands from “Natural Open Space” to “Low Density Residential 3a”,

as shown on Appendix “A”, attached to this Amendment.

5.0 **Implementation:**

An implementing Zoning By-Law Amendment and Site Plan will give effect to the intended uses on the subject lands.
This Official Plan Amendment is Schedule “1” to By-law No. _____ passed on the ___th day of ___, 2019.

The
City of Hamilton

__________________________________  _________________________________
F. Eisenberger                  J. Pilon
MAYOR                          ACTING CITY CLERK
CITY OF HAMILTON

BY-LAW NO. 19-XXX

To Amend Zoning By-law No. 87-57
Respecting Lands Located at 305 and 311 Garner Road West (Ancaster)

WHEREAS the City of Hamilton Act, 1999, Statutes of Ontario, 1999 Chap. 14, Sch. C. did incorporate, as of January 1, 2001, the municipality “City of Hamilton”;

AND WHEREAS the City of Hamilton is the successor to certain area municipalities, including the former municipality known as the “The Corporation of the City of Hamilton” and is the successor to the former regional municipality, namely, “The Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth”;

AND WHEREAS the City of Hamilton Act, 1999 provides that the Zoning By-laws of the former area municipalities continue in force in the City of Hamilton until subsequently amended or repealed by the Council of the City of Hamilton;

AND WHEREAS Zoning by-law No. 87-57 (Ancaster) was enacted on the 22nd day of June, 1987, and approved by the Ontario Municipal Board on the 23rd day of January, 1989;

AND WHEREAS the Council of the City of Hamilton, in adopting Section of Report 19- of the Planning Committee at its meeting held on the 18th day of June 2019, recommended that Zoning By-law No. 87-57 (Ancaster), be amended as hereinafter provided; and,

AND WHEREAS this By-law will be in conformity with the Urban Hamilton Official Plan upon adoption of UHOPA No.____;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

1. That Map No. 1-B to Schedule “B”, appended to and forming part of By-law No. 87-57 (Ancaster) is amended by changing the zoning from the Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified, Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified to the Residential Multiple “RM4-700” Zone, Modified (Block 1); from the Agricultural “A-216” Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified to the Residential Multiple “RM4-701” Zone, Modified (Block 2); from the Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified to
To Amend Zoning By-law No. 87-57
Respecting Lands Located at 305 and 311 Garner Road West (Ancaster)

the Residential Multiple “RM4-702” Zone, Modified (Block 3); from the Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial “M5-262” Zone, Modified to the Residential Multiple “RM4-703” Zone, Modified (Block 4) and from the Agricultural (Holding) “H-A-496” Zone, Modified to the Residential Multiple “RM4-704” Zone, Modified (Block 5) on the lands the extent and boundaries of which are shown on a plan hereto annexed as Schedule “A”.

2. The Section 34: Exceptions, to Zoning By-law No. 87-57 (Ancaster), as amended, is hereby further amended by adding the following Sub-sections:

“RM4 – 700” (Block 1)

That notwithstanding the provisions of Section 7.11, 7.14 (a)(xii), (b), 17.1, 17.2 (b), (f), (g), (h), (i), (k), (m), (n) and (p) the following special provisions shall apply to the lands zoned “H-RM4-700”:

PERMITTED USES

(a) Street Townhouse and Multi-plex dwellings and uses, buildings and structures accessory thereto shall also be permitted.

REGULATIONS

(a) Maximum Density: 44 dwelling units per hectare.

(b) Minimum Front Yard: 3.9 metres to the front façade from Garner Road West.

(c) Minimum Easterly Side Yard: 2.0 metres.

(d) Maximum Height: 14 metres.

(e) Minimum Landscaping: 20 %

(f) Minimum Privacy Area: 9 square metres per unit.

(g) Parking:

i. For each block townhouse and multi-plex dwelling there shall be a minimum of 2 parking spaces one of which must be contained within an attached garage, plus 0.26 visitor parking spaces.

ii. A parallel parking space shall have minimum width of 2.5
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metres and a minimum length of 6.5 metres.

(h) Children’s Play Area: A curbed children’s outside play area that is a minimum of 800 square metres shall be provided and maintained on site.

(i) Building Separation: Any townhouse building shall be no closer to another townhouse building on the same lot in accordance with the following distance requirements:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Front Façade</th>
<th>Rear Wall</th>
<th>End Wall</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Front Façade</td>
<td>18.0 m</td>
<td>18.0 m</td>
<td>14.0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rear Wall</td>
<td>18.0 m</td>
<td>0 m (multi-plex units) 15.0 m (Block townhouse units)</td>
<td>7.0 m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End Wall</td>
<td>14.0 m</td>
<td>7.0 m</td>
<td>2.7 m</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(j) For the purpose of this By-law, the lot line abutting Garner Road West, shall be deemed to be the front lot line. The easterly side lot line shall be the lot line with the dimension of 252.90 metres in length and the westerly side lot line shall be the lot line with the dimension of 124.530 metres in length. All of the remaining lot lines are rear lot lines and have the following dimensions: 197.17 metres; 60.0 metres; 190.44 metres; 22.0 metres and 73.17 metres (northerly lot boundary).

(k) For the purpose of this By-law, the boundary of the Residential Multiple “RM4-700” Zone shall be deemed to be one lot the lot lines and the regulations of the “RM4-700” Zone shall be from the external boundaries of this zone, and not from the individual property boundaries of the dwelling units created by registration of a draft plan of subdivision / condominium plan or created by Part Lot Control.
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“RM4-701” (Block 2)

REGULATIONS

That notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 9.3(a), 17.2 (g) and (j), and in addition to the regulations of the “RM4-700” Zone, the following special provisions shall apply to the lands zoned “RM4-701”:

(a) Minimum setback for a central air conditioning unit, window air conditioning unit or heat pump from the front lot line: 1.5 metres.

(b) Minimum Westerly Side Yard: 12.0 metres.

(c) Minimum Easterly Side Yard: 2.0 metres.

(d) Planting Strip: A planting strip shall be provided between an internal road and a side lot line with a minimum width of 2 metres.

“RM4-702” (Block 3)

REGULATIONS

That notwithstanding the provisions of Section 17.2 (g) and in addition to the regulations of the “RM4-700” Zone, the following special provisions shall apply to the lands zoned “RM4-702”:

(a) Minimum Rear Yard: 4 metres.

“RM4-703” (Block 4)

REGULATIONS

That notwithstanding the provisions of Section 17.2 (g) and in addition to the regulations of the “RM4-700” Zone, the following special provisions shall apply to the lands zoned “RM4-703”:

(a) Minimum Rear Yard: 1.5 metres.

“RM4-704” (Block 5)
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REGULATIONS

That notwithstanding the provisions of Sections 7.20, 17.2 (g) and in addition to the regulations of the “RM4-700” Zone, the following special provisions shall apply to the lands zoned “RM4-704”:

(a) Minimum Rear Yard: 1.3 metres.

3. That no building or structure shall be erected, altered, extended, or enlarged, nor shall any building or structure or part thereof be used, nor shall any land be used, except in accordance with the Residential Multiple “RM4” Zone provisions, subject to the special requirements referred to in Section 2 of this By-law.

4. That the Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to proceed with the giving of notice of the passing of this By-law in accordance with the Planning Act.

PASSED this ____ day of _____, 2019.

_________________________________________  _________________________________________
Fred Eisenberger                      Janet Pilon
Mayor                                Acting City Clerk

UHOPA-17-025
ZAC-17-058
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This is Schedule "A" to By-law No. 19-
Passed the .......... day of ................, 2019

Schedule "A"
Map Forming Part of By-law No. 19—
to Amend By-law No. 87-57

Subject Property
305 and 311 Garner Road West

Block 1 - Change in zoning from Agricultural (Holding) "H-A-496" Zone, Modified, Agricultural "A-216" Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial "M5-262" Zone, Modified to Residential Multiple "RM4-704" Zone, Modified

Block 2 - Change in zoning from the Agricultural "A-216" Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial "M5-262" Zone, Modified to Residential Multiple "RM4-704" Zone, Modified

Block 3 - Change in zoning from the Agricultural (Holding) "H-A-496" Zone, Modified to Residential Multiple "RM4-704" Zone, Modified

Block 4 - Change in zoning from the Agricultural (Holding) "H-A-496" Zone, Modified and Rural Industrial "M5-262" Zone, Modified to Residential Multiple "RM4-703" Zone, Modified

Block 5 - Change in zoning from the Agricultural (Holding) "H-A-496" Zone, Modified to Residential Multiple "RM4-704" Zone, Modified

Lands to be added to Zoning By-law No. 05-230

PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT
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For Office Use Only, this doesn't appear in the by-law - Clerk's will use this information in the Authority Section of the by-law

Is this by-law derived from the approval of a Committee Report? No

Committee: Chair and Members  Report No.: PED19104  Date: 06/18/2019
Ward(s) or City Wide: Ward: 12  (MM/DD/YYYY)

Prepared by: George T. Zajac  Phone No: 905-546-2424, ext. 3933

For Office Use Only, this doesn't appear in the by-law
A by-law to amend Zoning By-law No. 05-200 respecting lands located at 305 and 311 Garner Road West (Ancaster)

WHEREAS Council approved Item_____ of Report_____ of the Planning Committee, at its meeting held on June 18th, 2019;

AND WHEREAS this By-law conforms to the Urban Hamilton Official Plan upon adoption of UHOPA No.____;

NOW THEREFORE Council enacts as follows:

1. That Map Nos. 1385 and 1436 of Schedule “A” – Zoning Maps, of Zoning By-law No. 05-200, be amended as follows:
   a. by adding to the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 05-200, the lands the extent and boundaries of which are shown as Schedule “A” to this By-law; and,
   b. by establishing a Conservation / Hazard Land (P5, 719) Zone, to the lands the extent and boundaries of which are shown as Schedule “A” to this By-law.

2. That Schedule “C” – Special Exceptions, of By-law No. 05-200 is hereby amended by adding an additional exception as follows:

   “719 Within the lands zoned Conservation / Hazard Land (P5) Zone, identified on Map Nos. 1385 and 1436 of Schedule “A’ – Zoning Maps and described as 305 and 311 Garner Road West, the following special provision shall apply:

   a) Notwithstanding Subsection 4.23 d), all buildings or structures located on a property shall be setback a minimum of 0.0 metres from a P5, P7 and P8 Zone Boundary.
3. That the Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to proceed with the giving of notice of the passing of this By-law, in accordance with the Planning Act.

PASSED AND ENACTED this ______day of July, 2019.

________________________________________  ______________________________
F. Eisenberger                              J. Pilon
MAYOR                                      Acting City Clerk

UHOPA-17-025
ZAC-16-058
This is Schedule "A" to By-law No. 19-
Passed the .......... day of ...................., 2019

Mayor

Clerk

Schedule "A"

Map Forming Part of
By-law No. 19-

to Amend By-law No. 05-200
Maps 1385 & 1436

Subject Property
305 and 311 Garner Road West

Change in zoning from
Agricultural (Holding) "H-A-496" Zone to
Conservation / Hazard Land (P5, 719) Zone

Refer to By-law No. 87-57
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For Office Use Only, this doesn't appear in the by-law - Clerk's will use this information in the Authority Section of the by-law

Is this by-law derived from the approval of a Committee Report? No
Committee: Chair and Members          Report No.: PED19104          Date: 06/18/2019
Ward(s) or City Wide: Ward: 12

Prepared by: George T. Zajac          Phone No: 905-546-2424, ext. 3933
For Office Use Only, this doesn't appear in the by-law
To Amend Zoning By-law No. 87-57 and No. 05-200
Respecting Lands Located at 305 & 311 Garner Road West (Ancaster)

**By-law Modifications – Block 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Provisions</th>
<th>Required 87-57 Zoning Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed (RM4) Zoning Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Permitted Uses</td>
<td>Block townhouse dwellings and uses, buildings and structures accessory thereto</td>
<td>Street Townhouses and Multi-plex dwellings The addition of street townhouses and multi-plex dwellings contributes to a complete community through a compact urban design with an additional housing type and form for the area, while located on an arterial road and existing transit route, as set out in the UHOP's &quot;Neighbourhood&quot; designation and further supported by the &quot;Low Density Residential 3a&quot; designation within the Shaver Neighbourhood Secondary Plan. For the purpose of the Zoning By-law, a maisonette dwelling is considered to be a multiplex dwelling. Therefore, staff can support the proposed modification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Density</td>
<td>30 dwelling units per hectare plus an additional 5 dwelling units per hectare where all parking spaces (excluding required visitor parking) are provided under landscaped grounds or inside a building, plus 2 additional dwelling units per hectare where the lot abuts lands zoned Public Open Space &quot;O2&quot; which lands are not less than 2 hectares in area, to a maximum density of 37 dwelling units per hectare</td>
<td>44 dwelling units per hectare A large portion of the subject property is currently designated Medium Density Residential 2a, which permits a density of not more than 62 dwelling units per gross / net residential hectare, whereas the Low Density Residential 3a permits a maximum of 50 dwelling units per gross / net residential hectare. In addition, the core feature will be protected and there is adequate servicing and transportation capacity. Therefore, staff support the subject modification.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Provisions</th>
<th>Required 87-57 Zoning Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed (RM4) Zoning Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum front, side and rear yards</td>
<td>Front Yard: 7.5 metres where the façade with a primary entrance faces the street or 10.5 metres, plus any applicable distance as specified in Schedule “C”&lt;br&gt;Side Yard: 7.5 metres</td>
<td>The proposed front yard setback will provide a consistent streetscape and complies with the UHOP policies as it will contribute to a comfortable pedestrian environment.&lt;br&gt;The proposed side yard will maintain adequate separation and buffers between the proposed use and existing residential developments and natural heritage features and will be landscaped to provide an appropriate interface.&lt;br&gt;Therefore, staff support the proposed modifications.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum permitted height</td>
<td>10.5 metres</td>
<td>The additional 3.5 metres is due to the proposed peaked roofs of the subject development. The peaked roofs will not contain any habitable area or windows, and therefore, no overlook issues will arise. Therefore, staff support the proposed modification.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum landscaping</td>
<td>45 percent of the lot area (including required privacy areas)</td>
<td>The subject proposal will contain a landscaped SWM pond and natural vegetated areas. While these areas contribute to the open space character, they do not get included in the percentage as they will be zoned P5. Staff can support the overall reduction as the overall open space is being provided. In addition, a common amenity area in a children’s play area is being provided.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Provisions</th>
<th>Required 87-57 Zoning Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed (RM4) Zoning Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum Privacy Area</td>
<td>35.0 square metres per unit</td>
<td>9 square metres per unit</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Parking | Street Townhouse: 2 spaces per unit  
Block Townhouse: 2 spaces per unit plus 0.66 visitor parking spaces  
Minimum width for a parallel parking space is 2.6 metres and a minimum length of 5.5 metres | All Units: 2 spaces per unit plus 0.26 visitor parking spaces per unit  
Minimum dimensions of 2.5 metres by 6.5 metres | After further discussions with City staff, the applicants are now providing 31 visitor parking spaces. This provides a parking ratio of 0.26, which is more in keeping with a typical standard accepted rate of 0.25. Staff also note that the subject property is serviced by public transit. There are HSR bus routes immediately to the east at Garner Road West and Panabaker Drive. Individual driveways to the dwellings can also be utilized for visitors to the subject development. As such, staff are of the opinion that adequate visitor parking spaces are being provided for the subject proposal.  
A variance of only 0.1 metres is required for the minimum width of a parallel parking space. This impacts 4 parking spaces. Staff are of opinion that this is minor in nature and can be supported. |
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Provisions</th>
<th>Required 87-57 Zoning Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed (RM4) Zoning Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children’s Play Area</td>
<td>A curbed or fenced children’s outside play area that is a minimum of 7 square metres per dwelling unit shall be provided and maintained (117 x 7 = 819 square metres)</td>
<td>Minimum of 800 square metres curbed area without fencing. Due to the compact urban design, a minor reduction is proposed. In addition, staff required that the play area not be fenced to provide a more flexible area and for safety and accessibility. Therefore, the proposed modification can be supported.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building Separation</td>
<td></td>
<td>The subject lands are irregular in shape, therefore, minor reductions are required and can be supported as they will not impact the proposed development with respect to privacy or amenity areas.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Provisions</th>
<th>Required 87-57 Zoning Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed (RM4) Zoning Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lot Lines</td>
<td>Lot Line, Front - means the lot line that divides the lot from the street; or in the case of a corner lot, the shorter street line; or if a corner lot has a partially curved street line, the front lot line shall be the shortest street line determined by the bisection of the curve, and the remaining portion of the street line shall be a side lot line, however if a corner lot has a continuously curved street line, the street line shall be the front lot line; or if a corner lot or through lot has two street lines of equal length, the owner of such lot may designate either street line as the front lot line, subject to reserve requirements. Lot Line, Side - means a lot line which meets the front lot line. Lot Line, Rear - means the lot line opposite the front lot line, or in the case of an irregular lot, the lot line or lot lines remaining after the determination of the side lot lines and on a lot with only three boundaries, there will be no rear lot line.</td>
<td>For the purpose of this By-law, the lot line abutting Garner Road West, shall be deemed to be the front lot line. The easterly side lot line shall be the lot line with the dimension of 252.90 metres in length and the westerly side lot line shall be the lot line with the dimension of 124.530 metres in length. All of the remaining lot lines are rear lot lines and have the following dimensions: 197.17 metres; 60.0 metres; 190.44 metres; 22.0 metres and 73.17 metres (northerly lot boundary). Due to the irregular configuration of the subject property, staff can support the proposed modification.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To Amend Zoning By-law No. 87-57 and No. 05-200
Respecting Lands Located at 305 & 311 Garner Road West (Ancaster)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Provisions</th>
<th>Required 87-57 Zoning Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed (RM4) Zoning Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lot Lines</td>
<td>Lot Line, Front - means the lot line that divides the lot from the street; or in the case of a corner lot, the shorter street line; or if a corner lot has a partially curved street line, the front lot line shall be the shortest street line determined by the bisection of the curve, and the remaining portion of the street line shall be a side lot line, however if a corner lot has a continuously curved street line, the street line shall be the front lot line; or if a corner lot or through lot has two street lines of equal length, the owner of such lot may designate either street line as the front lot line, subject to reserve requirements. Lot Line, Side - means a lot line which meets the front lot line. Lot Line, Rear - means the lot line opposite the front lot line, or in the case of an irregular lot, the lot line or lot lines remaining after the determination of the side lot lines and on a lot with only three boundaries, there will be no rear lot line.</td>
<td>For the purpose of this By-law, the boundary of the Residential Multiple “RM4-700” Zone shall be deemed to be the lot lines and the regulations of the “RM4-700” Zone shall be from boundaries of this zone, and not from the individual property boundaries of the dwelling units created by registration of a draft plan of subdivision / condominium plan or created by Part Lot Control. Due to the irregular shape of the subject property and the proposed condominium tenure of the development, staff can support the proposed modification.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### By-law Modifications – Block 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Provisions</th>
<th>Required 87-57 Zoning Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed (RM4) Zoning Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Minimum setback for a central air conditioning unit, window air conditioning unit or heat pump from the front lot line | 5 metres | 1.5 metres  
The proposed set back will still maintain adequate separation from the front lot line with respect to an air conditioning unit and no adjacent residential uses are impacted. Therefore, staff support the proposed modification. |
| Minimum front, side and rear yards | Front Yard: 7.5 metres where the façade with a primary entrance faces the street or 10.5 metres, plus any applicable distance as specified in Schedule “C”  
Side Yard: 7.5 metres | Westerly Side Yard: 12.0 metres  
Easterly Side Yard: 2.0 metres  
The proposed side yards will maintain adequate separation and buffers between the proposed use and existing residential developments and natural heritage features. Therefore, staff support the proposed modification. |
| Required Planting Strip | A planting strip of a minimum of 3.0 metres in width along the lot lines shall be provided | 2.0 metres along the side lot lines where there is a driveway  
The proposed development will have 2.0 metre planting strips where there is a driveway along the east and west lot lines. In addition to the 2.0 metre planting strips and fencing, the applicants are also proposing to include plantings at 21 Panabaker Drive along the common lot line which will provide further buffering and will be secured through an agreement between the two property owners. Therefore, staff support the proposed modification. |
By-law Modifications – Block 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Provisions</th>
<th>Required 87-57 Zoning Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed (RM4) Zoning Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum front, side and rear yards</td>
<td>Front Yard: 7.5 metres where the façade with a primary entrance faces the street or 10.5 metres, plus any applicable distance as specified in Schedule “C”</td>
<td>Minimum Rear Yard: 4 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Side Yard: 7.5 metres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rear Yard: 7.5 metres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

By-law Modifications – Block 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Provisions</th>
<th>Required 87-57 Zoning Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed (RM4) Zoning Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Minimum front, side and rear yards</td>
<td>Front Yard: 7.5 metres where the front façade with a primary entrance faces the street or 10.5 metres, plus any applicable distance as specified in Schedule “C”</td>
<td>Minimum Rear Yard: 1.5 metres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Side Yard: 7.5 metres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rear Yard: 7.5 metres</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### By-law Modifications – Block 5

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Provisions</th>
<th>Required 05-200 Zoning Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed (RM4) Zoning Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Minimum front, side and rear yards | Front Yard: 7.5 metres where the façade with a primary entrance faces the street or 10.5 metres, plus any applicable distance as specified in Schedule "C"  
Side Yard: 7.5 metres  
Rear Yard: 7.5 metres | Minimum Rear Yard: 1.3 metres  
Due to the lots irregular configuration, site specific rear yards are required, however, adequate amenity areas will be maintained, as all block townhouses in the proposed development will have rear yard depth of approximately 6.5 metres and would only apply to Unit 87 as it relates to the P5 Zone boundary line. Therefore, staff can support the proposed modification. |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>By-law Provisions</th>
<th>Required 05-200 Zoning Requirements</th>
<th>Proposed P5 Zoning Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Special Setbacks | All buildings or structures located on a property shall be setback a minimum of 7.5 metres from a P5, P7 and P8 Zone boundary | 0 metres  
Mitigation measures identified within the EIS and EIS Addendum will be taken into consideration as part of the Site Plan Approval process, including a Restoration Plan, Lighting Plan, Fencing, Stewardship Interpretative Signage and an Invasive Species Management Plan. In addition, no active recreation uses are proposed within the open space lands. Therefore, staff are supportive of this modification. |
September 12, 2017

George T. Zajac
City of Hamilton
Planning and Economic Development Department
Development Planning, Heritage and Design
71 Main Street West, 5th Floor
Hamilton, Ontario
L8P 4Y5

Re.: Files: UHOPA-17-25/ ZAC-17-058

Dear Mr. Zajac

We would like to express our great concern regarding the upcoming townhouse development proposed by LIV Developments Ltd.

Below are the main points we would like to address to the City of Hamilton Staff that needs to be considered while approving the proposed construction project:

1. The proposed plan presents the overdevelopment of the site (too dense) it does not harmoniously fit into the design of the existing townhouse complexes;
2. There are not enough amenities, such as parks (green areas), playgrounds, sports infrastructures, on the Concept Plan that does make the new development the healthy and attractive place to live;
3. The small children and babies that live in our complex, especially those who reside in the corner units, will be affected by noise and dust from this construction project;
4. The beautiful green space and the soccer field that are located in front of our complex will be destroyed as a result of this project.

When reviewing the proposed plan, we would like to ask the City Staff to follow the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS), 2014 and uphold interests of the Panabaker Dr. 39 residents as well as protect the natural resources located on the site.

Sincerely,
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The receipt of the neighbourhood notice regarding the application to re-designate subject lands on Garner Road West, compels several comments. This letter is not completely opposed to the plan, however, certain aspects should be carefully considered.

The land in question is one of very few remaining, rapidly diminishing greenspaces in Ancaster on the fringe of the Shaver Secondary Plan and bordering a small protected wetland, once a part of the great Carolinian wetland-prairie-forest ecosystem. The substantial losses of significant natural and cultural areas have completely transformed the character of this area. In a very short time the loss of heritage farm houses, arable farm land, old growth trees and green spaces north of Garner Road from Glancaster to Shaver Road has been breath-taking in scope and has considerably reduced whatever ecological services the areas had provided, such as carbon sequestration, shading and evaporative cooling, watershed protection, moderating of extreme weather, air filtration, erosion and flooding control, pollinator support and visible habitat linkages. Despite apparent approvals from the various city departments [Planning, Heritage, Environment, Cultural... etc.], the municipality should be cognizant of what is being irrevocably lost. Considering that Ancaster lies near the Niagara Biosphere/Greenbelt in an area containing remnants of surviving Carolinian species diversity [flora and fauna], one would think more caution would be exercised in planning and implementing ‘intensification.’ City councillors and planners are invited to drive out here and see first-hand this astonishing whitewind loss of greenspace and proliferation of hardscape. Recently wooded and agricultural areas have been utterly eliminated, replaced by enormous, generic residential blocks and commercial strips in vast swathes of brick, mortar and paving, paving, paving... entirely transformed in the last few years.

There is a much bigger issue at stake here that some conscientious, influential municipal or provincial authority should address and act upon.

Observed only in the last couple of years, huge tracts of visible, arable farm land, woodlots, wetlands and water ways have been destroyed or buried. Current signage along Garner peddles hundreds of hectares of farmland and greenspace; available for industrial, business and residential purposes. Remarkably, nature endures in minute micro habitats. As an example, Meadowlands development initiated significant draining and filling of local wetlands, yet, until recently, scraps of rare, unspoiled wetland habitat persisted along Garner’s increasingly busy transportation corridor. This was indicated by the proliferation of native plant species flourishing in roadside ditches, such as: Broad-leaved cattail (Typha spp), Blue Vervain (Verbena hastata), Arrowhead (Sagittaria spp), Boneset (Eupatorium perfoliatum) and Joe Pyeweed (Eupatorium maculatum) and, remarkably, the conspicuous absence of invasive Phragmites. In 2016 even these small fragments started to disappear, very few remain. Overzealous intensification policy will at some point create ecological stresses that will be too much for natural systems to bear and by the time the consequences are recognized it will be too late and it will be everyone’s loss. The benefits of intensification are theoretically intended to contain urban expansion and reduce pressure on farmland and natural areas. The Places to Grow Act advises... "Development of vacant, underutilized lots (brown spaces) within previously developed areas, growth made in ways to promote a healthy environment and culture of conservation; and not cause unacceptable negative impacts." This is not observed along Garner Road.

Although the subject lands in question were once a wetland-drained for a soccer field-the site lies perilously close to a small creek that flows to the Grand River. The concept plan shows the GRCA has established ‘limit of wetlands’, but what is not acknowledged is the existence and mobility of diverse species oblivious to these artificial boundaries. Planning to situate 117 cheek-by-jowl townhomes on 3.93 Hectares (7.80 acres) substantially encroaches on diminishing habitat affecting species health. Habitat fragments become too small for sustainable populations, a process of smudging chipping away that is so prevalent in southern Ontario.

What species are threatened by the ‘subject lands’ amendments, in this little oasis of habitat? Maybe investors, stake holders and politicians really don’t care, but in this small woodland north and west of the planned development... let it be documented that: Red-tailed hawks reliably nested, Coopers and Sharp-Shinned hawks frequented and great horned owls have been heard...
calling every late winter, early spring. Virginia Rails have foraged along the creek bed and over 45 species of songbirds frequent the area. Commonly observed priority land bird species, include: Willow Flycatcher, Rose-breasted Grosbeak and Baltimore Oriole. Minimizing any disturbance to breeding populations, and their habitat is an issue to be addressed. Many mammals are in relatively permanent residence, including: deer, fox, ground hog, raccoon, possum and skunk- even beaver and mink have made a rare appearance. Until recently there was an active turtle crossing on Garner Road from Panabaker to Shaver, where numbers of Snapping and Painted turtles were dependably seen every spring. Over the years after unremitting, seasonal road kill and dwindling sightings we believe the last, large breeding snapper was killed this summer (2017), this would indicate an actual extinction of a local population... as if it needs stating- this is an "unacceptable negative impact". However, several species of amphibian and reptile presently inhabit the area, including robust populations of: Spring Peepers, Gray Tree, Green, Wood and Leopard frogs, American toad (Frog Watch assessment record calling codes largely 2 & 3); also found, Milk, Garter, Ring-necked and de Kay's Brown snakes and an-as yet- unidentified species of salamander. The fireflies, wild turkeys, fox and fawns that once frequented this local woodland are diminishing, and for the first time, Eastern Brown bats have not been witnessed this summer... perhaps as a result of the removal of great numbers of mature trees and snags... bats are not ‘pests’ but beneficial to ecological equilibrium and a part of the natural food web. For the first time the red tailed hawks have not returned this year (2017) following the building of the Tandi homes on the hill west of this current proposed development. In addition, an actual logging truck packed with mature trees was removed from the site of a large estate home currently being built on Hamilton Drive (also west of the subject lands) where countless indigenous understory wild flowers, including: trifolium (spp.), Jack-in-the-pulpit (arisaema triphyllum) gay wings (fringed polygala), bloodroot (sanguinaria canadensis), bugbane (actaea recemosa), anemone (spp.), and wild ginger (asarum canadense), were bull-dozed in 2016. Presumably and tragically all applications were approved and there are no adequate protections in place for trees or for shrinking populations of native wildflowers. Just because something is legal doesn’t make it moral or ethical. Clearly, these constructions have deleterious effects on biodiversity. Combined with these builds and other similar projects promoted (ironically advertising ‘ravine/wooded/natural sites’ that will be ruined in development process) west of Hamilton Drive, this planned development (305 &311 Garner Road West) contributes immensely to the pressures on this land area... a death by a thousand cuts.

The Impact of LIV Developments’, large-scale concept plan footprint of the proposed ‘new community’ produces vast quantities of impermeable surface with obvious ramifications. (The LIV name, itself, a perverse irony given the Innumerable lives that will be negatively affected). These housing tracts create hectares of impermeable surface, causing harmful run off, contaminating watersheds and increasing incidents of flooding and erosion- particularly in this rare, presumably protected, small wetland pocket. Increasingly surrounded by a massive footprint of aggressive hardscaping, in addition, construction permanently degrades top soil, the valuable upper inches of biodiverse microbes destroyed... effectively terminating the beginnings of complex food webs. Additionally, non-native invasive plant species quickly establish (phragmites!) on disturbed land. These increasingly fractured natural green spaces create isolated habitat islands unfavourable to functioning ecological viability.

Quotes from Hamilton’s Plan and Vision Statement include, “maintain existing and establish new functional ecological linkages”... perhaps, this could be an opportunity. in fact, if done well, a sensitive plan for this area’s growth could conceivably offer more ecological benefits than the existing soccer field. Expanded green space and buffers (reduce quantity of townhomes), possibly installation of some permeable driveways/paths and extensive planting of native species, especially around the proposed pond and surrounds, could alleviate some environmental stress and serve to welcome wild species into new territory. This is a unique setting in a rapidly urbanized area that provides valuable habitat, as well as, the previously listed ecological services. If only builders, investors and stakeholders could “cultivate a pride and respect for the natural land”, as espoused in the Vision Statement, and use a sparing and lighter hand in construction with attention to environmental welfare. Obviously, there would be impacts on profit but it would be of immeasurable worth and benefit to all the living things that call it home... including humans!

Homo sapiens have never been very good sharing resources with other living organisms. Perhaps Hamilton could demonstrate its “promotion of healthy environments and culture of conservation” by enforcing some real control over expanding sprawl and supporting development that actually is “compatible with scale and character of existing neighbourhoods.” Rather than approving all the applications of minor and major variances, etc., that fly in the face of existing by-laws, policies could actually be enforced to enhance the community rather than diminish it.
It could be argued, “it’s only a few hectares” ... but in this region it’s more accurate to say ‘a few hectares to the ‘nth’, and this particular concept plan encroaches on and threatens an existing, relatively healthy natural habitat supporting many diverse species. It is not NIMBY-ism to want to protect shrinking natural spaces– for the benefit of all [recall “ecological services” cited in second paragraph]. Our increasingly rare, natural and cultural heritage spaces should be admired and protected against these cumulative urban pressures. It is an anthropocentric and grave mistake to eliminate what little remains of our fractured and unique Carolinian Forest Zone. In a seemingly land-rich, expansive province like Ontario it is all too easy to hastily develop human interests while vaguely assuming that “there’s adequate land left” somewhere else- while most of this ‘extra land’ is northern and boreal forest. It is clear that the ‘intensity of intensification’ is unequivocally, irreversibly devastating to diminishing natural lands and the city should acknowledge and act on this reality.
Dear George,

We received a letter from the city dated Aug 22, 2017 informing us of the city Zoning and By-law amendments that are proposed to take place in our area of Ancaster for lands located at 305 & 311 Garner Road West. I am a resident of 99 Panabaker Dr.

I am writing you to express my desire to be notified of all decisions in this matter.

I was also hoping for some clarification to the Amendment paragraph of page one of the letter for the ZAC-17-058 section. In this paragraph it mentions "Modified and Private Open Space "O2" Zone as under Zoning By-law 87-57". What does this mean? There is no expression of what is changing in this sentence that I can understand. This is close to or beside the storm water pond area I'm assuming is P1, but the picture is cut off. As a resident, this green space area is beautiful and we were under them impression it could not be touched or destroyed. I would like to understand what the intention is for O2 as it does not appear to be a part of the new residential area.

Appreciate any clarification you could bring to the subject.

Thanks,
Sent: September-18-17 4:51 PM  
To: Zajac, George  
Cc: Ferguson, Lloyd  
Subject: Still more construction in Ancaster?

Dear Mr. Zajac,

I have before me the memorandum/notice/ of 22 August 2017 that I received from your office, indicating the plan to build some 117 townhouse units along Garner Road.

Since Ancaster is already so tragically overcrowded with automobiles that passage along Highway 2 is difficult almost all mornings - and often throughout the day - , I am appalled by this plan. As a resident living in Ancaster for 42 years, while teaching at McMaster University, I have seen this once fine place eroded in the last five years or so by the extensive building of residences that have brought overcrowding and increased pollution to this Ancaster part of greater Hamilton. Ancaster can still be saved by a cessation of the massive building programs that threaten it and will destroy it.

Is it the case that Mr. Lloyd Ferguson, the hitherto experienced Councillor for Ancaster on the Hamilton City Council, could possibly be in support of this sadly misplaced plan? We have been informed that he is proud to be a “lifelong Ancaster resident”.

What construction firms intend to build these townhouses?

Please reconsider this planned and destructive building program.

Sincerely,
September 19, 2017

George T. Zajac
Development Planning, Heritage and Design Team
City of Hamilton Planning and Economic Development Department
Hamilton City Hall
5th floor - 71 Main St. West
Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5

RE:  #305/311 Garner Road West (Ancaster), UHOPA-17-25, ZAC-17-058
Liv Developments

Mr. Zajac,

I am the agent for the owner of #339 Garner Road West, Malatesta Brothers Construction. We have reviewed the proposed development plan as circulated on August 22, 2017.

We have some concerns with the project as proposed, including compatibility issues caused by the UHOPA, the low number of visitor parking spaces, and the access to the site.

The subject lands wrap around our lands. The Secondary Plan designation Medium Density 2a, (apartment buildings), extends over our lands and into the subject lands. The UHOP amendment will change the designation of lands abutting our northern and eastern property lines to Low Density Residential (Townhouses).

The interface between Medium Density and Low Density is sensitive, and has specific design requirements and constraints. The UHOP has specific design policies to minimize the impacts shadowing, overlook, lighting and other nuisances. If the City approves a change to Low Density for these lands then it will be imposing new design restrictions for our lands. This is exacerbated by the reasonable expectation, given the geometry of the subject lands, that rear yard privacy space will run along our northern property line.

We are opposed to an amendment that would leave development of 339 Garner Road handcuffed in this way. The owners of #339 Garner however are open an amendment that includes their lands. Simply speaking, the owners of #339 will support an amendment that would permit them to develop the northern part of their lands with similar low-density forms, to match up rear yard privacy areas with those on the subject lands. This would make the meeting of UHOP urban design guidelines far easier.

Secondly, the provision of visitor parking spaces within the concept plan greatly concerns us. The plan has 20 spaces for 117 units, or a rate of 0.17 per dwelling. There is and will not be street parking along Garner Road West, and the concept plan blocks its connection...
to Van Sickle Street and Panabaker Drive. This means our site, when developed as per the Secondary Plan, would become closest available parking and the default overflow parking for the project. We oppose a parking standard that will put a burden on our lands.

Lastly, we are concerned with the preliminary design for the access to the development. The access driveway appears to be narrower than the City standard pavement width of 7.5 metres and lacking a landscape strip. The plan does not show a normal entrance geometry with curved curbs to allow standard truck movements. A typical entrance could require crossing onto our lands to properly join a widened Garner Road. We oppose zoning provisions that would permit a sub-standard entrance and may compromise vehicular and pedestrian safety. We urge the proponent to re-examine using Vansickle Street as an access, as it provides both a more controlled vehicular flow through the neighbourhood and more amenable pedestrian/cycling route to Panabaker, the school, and the general area.

We are generally supportive of the development of the subject lands. We are happy to meet to discuss our concerns in greater detail with either the City or the proponent.

Sincerely,

Liam Doherty
Doherty Planning and Design
TO: Chair and Members
Planning Committee

COMMITTEE DATE: June 18, 2019

SUBJECT/REPORT NO: Application for Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands Located at 116 and 120 Barnesdale Avenue North (PED19117) (Ward 3)

WARD(S) AFFECTED: Ward 3

PREPARED BY: Daniel Barnett (905) 546-2424 Ext. 4445

SUBMITTED BY: Steve Robichaud
Director, Planning and Chief Planner
Planning and Economic Development Department

RECOMMENDATION(S)

(a) That Zoning By-law Amendment Application ZAC-19-014 by MIGMAR c/o Miguel Lima, Owner, for a modification to the “C” (Urban Protected Residential, Etc.) District for the westerly portion of the subject lands in order to permit the two existing semi detached dwelling units to be on separate lots (Block 1), and for a change in zoning from the “C” (Urban Protected Residential, Etc.) District to a site specific Neighbourhood Commercial (C2) Zone for the easterly portion of the subject lands to permit amenity area, an accessory structure, and a parking lot on the lands proposed to be severed to create a separate lot (Block 2), and these lands will be used in conjunction with adjacent lands known as 760 Barton Street East (Block 3), for lands located at 116 and 120 Barnesdale Avenue North, Hamilton, as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED19117, be DENIED on the following basis:

(i) That the proposed changes in zoning are not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement, do not conform with the Growth Plan (2019), and do not comply with the policies and intent of the Urban Hamilton Official Plan (UHOP).
(ii) The proposal would establish a parcel of land that does not have adequate access to a public road, does not have adequate access to municipal services and is not considered to be good planning.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The subject lands are located on the east side of Barnesdale Avenue North, south of Barton Street East. The property abuts a partially privately owned alleyway to the north. The subject lands consist of a single lot which contains two existing semi detached dwelling units fronting Barnesdale Avenue North.

Block 1

The proposal is to amend the existing "C" District zoning to permit the existing legal non-conforming semi detached dwelling units on the westerly portion of the subject lands having frontage on Barnesdale Avenue North, shown as Block 1 on Appendix "A" to Report PED19117.

The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment is to facilitate the future severance of the Block 1 lands from the Block 2 lands, and to facilitate the future severance of the Block 1 lands into two parcels establishing separate lots for the two existing semi detached dwelling units located along Barnesdale Avenue North.

Block 2 and 3

A site specific Neighbourhood Commercial (C2) Zone is proposed on the easterly portion of the lands (Block 2) to permit amenity space, an accessory structure and parking associated with Luso Canadian Charitable Society located at 760 Barton Street East (Block 3), shown as Blocks 2 and 3 on Appendix "A" to Report PED19117. Access to these lands is proposed from an alleyway that is partially under private ownership and therefore access cannot be guaranteed.

The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment is to facilitate the future severance of the Block 2 lands from the Block 1 lands, and to establish a parcel of land located at the rear of the two existing semi detached dwelling units and will have frontage of 1.37 m on Edward Street.

The establishment of a separate commercially zoned property within the neighbourhood is not compatible with the surrounding neighbourhood and does not comply with the policies of the UHOP.

The effect of the application will be to facilitate a future severance. The creation of a parcel of land that does not have adequate frontage on a municipal road and does not
have adequate access to municipal services does not comply with the policies of the UHOP, does not conform to the land division criteria under the Planning Act, and does not represent good planning.

Alternatives for Consideration – See Page 19

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: N/A

Staffing: N/A

Legal: As required by the Planning Act, Council shall hold at least one Public Meeting to consider an application for an amendment to the Zoning By-law.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Proposal

The subject property is located on the east side of Barnesdale Avenue North, south of Barton Street East. The subject lands are zoned “C” (Urban Protected Residential, Etc.) District. The property abuts a partially privately owned alleyway to the north. The subject lands consist of a single lot which contains two existing legal non-conforming semi detached dwelling units in that a semi detached dwelling is not permitted in the “C” (Urban Protected Residential, Etc.) District.

The applicant submitted a Zoning By-law Amendment to establish split zoning for the subject lands (see Appendix “B” to Report PED19117). The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment is to facilitate future severances to create three separate lots.

Block 1

The application seeks to rezone a portion of the lands along Barnesdale Avenue North from the “C” (Urban Protected Residential, Etc.) District to a site specific “C” (Urban Protected, Residential, Etc.) District to permit the existing semi detached dwellings units. Two of the proposed three lots will be for each of the semi detached dwelling units.

Block 2

The application also seeks to rezone the rear portion of the lands from the “C” (Urban Protected Residential, Etc.) District to a site specific Neighbourhood Commercial (C2) Zone. The proposed zoning is seeking to permit an amenity area (basketball court), an accessory structure (gazebo), and nine parking spaces, for the Luso Canadian Charitable
Society located at 760 Barton Street East. The third of the proposed three lots will be for the proposed commercial lands.

There is an existing paved alleyway located to the north of the subject property that extends from Barnesdale Avenue North to the west to Lott Ridge to the east, however the majority of the alleyway is not assumed by the City. As shown on Appendix “D” to Report PED19117 the alleyway located to the north of the subject lands is private on both the westerly and easterly portions of the alleyway. The only portion of the alleyway assumed by the City is the central portion of the alleyway. As a result, access to the subject property by way of the alleyway cannot be guaranteed.

In review of the proposed application staff has discussed with the applicant alternative options that do not result in create a lot for Block 2 that does not have adequate street frontage, including:

- Purchasing the portion of the alleyway that separate Block 2 from 760 Barton Street East and merging the lands in title; and,

- Preserving the frontage on Barnesdale Avenue North for Block 2 by keeping the lands tied to one of the semi detached dwellings and establishing a long term lease over the Block 2 lands in favour of 760 Barton Street East.

Staff have received no correspondence from the applicant advising that an alternative to establishing a separate lot for Block 2 is accepted.

**Chronology**

**January 31, 2019:** Submission of Zoning By-law Amendment application (ZAC-19-014).

**February 25, 2019:** Application ZAC-19-014 deemed complete.

**March 4, 2019:** Notice of Complete Application and Pre-circulation was mailed to 366 property owners within 120m of the subject property.

**March 12, 2019:** Public Notice Sign installed on the subject lands.

**May 22, 2019:** Notice of Public meeting posted in Hamilton Spectator.

**May 31, 2019:** Circulation of the Notice of Public Meeting mailed to 366 property owners within 120m of the subject property.
Details of Submitted Applications:

Owner: MIGMAR – c/o Miguel Lima
Applicant: IBI Group – c/o Julia Redfearn
Agent: IBI Group – c/o Julia Redfearn
Location: 116-120 Barnesdale Avenue North, Hamilton (see Appendix "A" to Report PED19117)

Property Description:

- Lot Frontage: 14.63 m (Barnesdale Avenue South); and, 1.37m (Edward Street)
- Lot Depth: 75.68 m (as measured from Barnesdale Avenue South)
- Lot Area: 1,136.5 sq m
- Servicing: Existing Full Municipal Services (Barnesdale)

Existing Land Use and Zoning:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Existing Land Use</th>
<th>Existing Zoning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject Property: Semi detached dwelling units</td>
<td>&quot;C&quot; (Urban Protected Residential Etc.) District</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surrounding Land Uses:

- North: Mixed use – commercial and residential uses, Neighbourhood Commercial (C2) Zone
- East: Single detached dwellings, "C" (Urban Protected Residential Etc.) District
- South: Single detached and semi detached dwellings, "C" (Urban Protected Residential Etc.) District
- West: Place of worship, Neighbourhood Institutional (I1) Zone
POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

Planning Act

The Provincial Planning Act, under Section “51 and 53” establishes the criteria for lot creation. The following sub sections apply:

"3 (5) A decision of the council of a municipality, a local board, a planning board, a minister of the Crown and a ministry, board commission of agency of the government, including the Tribunal, in respect to the exercise of any authority that affects a planning matter,

(a) Shall be consistent with the policy statements issued under subsection (1) that are in effect on the date of the decision; and,

(b) Shall conform with the provincial plans that are in effect on that date, or shall not conflict with them, as the case may be.

51(24) In considering a draft plan of subdivision, regard shall be had, among other matters, to the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality and to,

(c) whether the plan conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision, if any;

(f) the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;

(i) the adequacy of utilities and municipal services.

53(12) A council or the Minister in determining whether a provisional consent is to be given shall have regard to the matters under subsection 51(24) and has the same powers as the approval authority has under subsection 51(25) with respect to the approval of a plan of subdivision and subsections 51(26) and (27) and section 51.1 apply with necessary modifications to the granting of a provisional consent.”

As per Subsection 53(12) the criteria outlined in Subsection 51(24) apply to application of consent. Subsection 51(24) requires that when considering division of land regard shall be had to the health, safety, convenience, accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality.
The application is to facilitate a future severance to establish a parcel at the rear of the subject property the existing frontage of 1.37 m on a municipal road for access. The safety of users is impacted as a 1.37 m access is not sufficient for persons with disabilities and emergency vehicles.

As discussed further in this report, the proposal to establish a lot at the rear of the subject property is not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement and therefore the proposal does not comply with Subsection 3(5) of the Planning Act.

The applicant is proposing primary access to Block "2" on Appendix "A" to Report PED19117 be by way of the existing alleyway to the north. As portions of the alleyway are privately owned, access from the alleyway cannot be guaranteed in perpetuity. The alleyway does not represent a safe means of ingress and egress for persons with disabilities and it may not be maintained nor may snow removal occur and a property accessed only from an alleyway would present difficulties for emergency vehicles to not only locate the property but also to gain access to the property.

Subsection 51(24) (c) requires that a consent to subdivide land conform to the Official Plan, Subsection 51(24) (f) requires that regard be had for the dimensions and shapes of the proposed lot, and Subsection 51(24) (i) requires that there be adequate utilities and municipal services. As discussed further in this Report, the proposal to establish a lot at the rear of the subject property does not comply with the Official Plan. The proposed flag shaped lot and the proposed 1.37 m lot frontage are insufficient to provide adequate access and servicing to the proposed property.

Therefore, the proposal to develop the rear portion of the subject lands that do not have sufficient frontage and access, does not have regard for the criteria for lot creation under Section 51(24) of the Planning Act.

**Provincial Policy Statement (2014)**

The Provincial Planning Policy framework is established through the Planning Act (Section 3) and the Provincial Policy Statement (2014) (PPS). The Planning Act requires that all municipal land use decisions affecting planning matters be consistent with the PPS. The following policies, amongst others, apply to the proposed development.

**Managing and Directing Land Use to Achieve Efficient and Resilient Development and Land Use Patterns**

"1.1.1 a) promoting efficient development and land use patterns which sustain the financial well-being of the Province and municipalities over the long term;"
1.1.1 c) avoid development and land use patterns which may cause environmental or public health and safety concerns;

1.1.1 f) improving accessibility for persons with disabilities and older persons by identifying, preventing and removing land use barriers which restrict their full participation in society;

Settlement Areas

1.1.3.1 Settlement areas shall be the focus of growth and development, and their vitality and regeneration shall be promoted."

The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment is to facilitate the creation of a lot that does not have sufficient lot frontage and access. The proposal creates public safety concerns with respect to the accessibility of emergency vehicles and limited and guaranteed access to the subject lands for persons with disabilities (Policies 1.1.1 c) and f)).

While the subject lands are within a settlement area, the proposal is to establish land uses on a parcel of land that does not avoid the creation of Public Health and Safety concerns. Therefore, the proposal is not consistent with the PPS.

Cultural Heritage and Archaeology

With respect to Cultural Heritage and Archaeology, the PPS provides the following:

"2.6.1 Significant built heritage resources and significant cultural heritage landscapes shall be conserved.

2.6.2 Development and site alteration shall not be permitted on lands containing archaeological resources or area of archaeological potential unless significant archaeological resources have been conserved.

2.6.3 Planning authorities shall not permit development and site alteration on adjacent lands to protected heritage property except where the proposed development and site alteration has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that the heritage attributes of the protected heritage property will be conserved."

The subject property meets two of the ten criteria used by the City of Hamilton and Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport for determining archaeological potential. Accordingly, Section 2 (d) of the Planning Act and Section 2.6.2 of the PPS apply to the proposal. The subject property is:
1) In areas of pioneer EuroCanadian settlement; and,
2) Along historic transportation routes.

Should the Zoning By-law Amendment be approved, a written caution advising of the archaeological potential of the subject lands will need to be included as part of any future application.

The subject property is adjacent to 740 Barton Street East and 728-732 Barton Street East (Church of the Holy Spirit) which are properties included in the City’s Inventory of Buildings of Architectural and/or Historical Interest. As the proposal is to retain the existing semi detached dwelling units and establish parking and amenity area, for the lands at 760 Barton Street East, the heritage value of the adjacent properties will be conserved.

Noise

Regarding noise, the PPS provides the following:

“1.2.6.1 Major facilities and sensitive land uses should be planned to ensure they are appropriately designed, buffered and/or separated from each other to prevent or mitigate adverse effects from odour, noise and other contaminants, minimize risk to public health and safety, and to ensure the long-term viability of major facilities.”

The subject is located approximately 40 m from Barton Street East, a potential noise source. The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment is to permit the two existing semi detached dwellings units. No additional residential dwelling units are proposed, nor are there any changes proposed to the existing units. Therefore, the proposal will not alter the existing situation with respect to noise impacts on the existing dwelling units. The proposed parking and amenity area on the rear portion of the lands are not uses that are expected to generate significant levels of noise.

While the subject lands are within a settlement area, the proposal is to establish land uses on a parcel of land that does not avoid the creation of Public Health and Safety concerns. Therefore, the subject proposal is not consistent with the PPS, with regards to Policy 1.1.1 a), c) and f) and 1.1.3.1.

Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019)

The application was reviewed with respect to the policies of the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019) (Growth Plan). The following policies, amongst others, apply to the proposed development.
"2.2.1.2 Forecasted growth to the horizon of this Plan will be allocated based on the following:

a) The vast majority of growth will be directed to settlement areas that:
   i. have a delineated built boundary;
   ii. have existing or planned municipal water and wastewater systems; and,
   iii. can support the achievement of complete communities;

b) settlement areas, growth will be focused in:
   i. delineated built-up areas;
   ii. strategic growth areas;
   iii. locations with existing or planned transit; with a priority on higher order-transit where it exists or is planned; and,
   iv. areas with existing or planned public service facilities."

The application is to facilitate the creation of three separate lots to accommodate the two existing semi detached dwelling units and to permit parking and amenity area on the rear portion of the lands. The subject lands are within a settlement area (Policy 2.2.1.2 c)).

The proposal is to establish a lot that does not have sufficient frontage and will not have sufficient access to existing municipal water and wastewater systems (Policy 2.2.1.2 a)).

Based on the foregoing, the proposal does not conform to the policies of the Growth Plan.

**Urban Hamilton Official Plan (UHOP)**

**Neighbourhoods Designation**

The subject property is identified as "Neighbourhoods" on Schedule "E" – Urban Structures and designated "Neighbourhoods" on Schedule "E-1" Urban Land Use Designations in the UHOP. The following policies, amongst others, apply:

"E.3.2.3 The following uses shall be permitted on lands designated Neighbourhoods on Schedule E-1 – Urban Land Use Designations:

a) residential dwellings, including second dwelling units and housing with supports;

d) local commercial uses.
E.3.2.7 The City shall require quality urban and architectural design. Development of lands within the Neighbourhoods designation shall be designed to be safe, efficient, pedestrian oriented, and attractive, and shall comply with the following criteria:

c) Adequate and direct pedestrian access and linkages to community facilities / services and local commercial uses shall be provided.

E.3.4.3 Uses permitted in low density areas include single detached, semi detached, duplex, triplex and street townhouse dwellings.

E.3.4.4 For low density residential areas the maximum net residential density shall be 60 units per hectare.

E.3.8.2 The following uses shall be permitted:

a) Retail and service uses such as a craftsperson shop, day nursery, commercial school, financial establishment, office, motor vehicle service station, personal service, place of worship, repair service, restaurant, studio, art gallery, tradesperson shop, and veterinary service;

c) Residential uses, in accordance with Policy E.3.8.10.

E.3.8.9 Development and redevelopment of local commercial uses shall:

a) Front and have access to a major arterial, minor arterial, or collector road;

b) Provide safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists; and,

c) Be compatible with the surrounding area in terms of design, massing, height, setbacks, on-site parking, noise impact, landscaping, and lighting.

E.3.8.11 New local commercial uses shall be planned and designed to be integrated with and easily accessible from the surrounding neighbourhood.

E.3.8.12 New local commercial uses and properties shall be clustered and generally located at intersections with arterial and collector roads.”

The proposal to recognize the existing semi detached dwelling units with a density of approximately 41.5 units per hectare on the front portion of the subject property complies
with the policies of the Neighbourhoods Designation (Policies E.2.3.3, E.3.4.3 and E.3.4.4).

The proposal to establish commercial zoning for the rear portion of the lands, that does not front onto a major arterial, minor arterial, or collector road, nor located at the intersection of an arterial and collector road and does not provide safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists does not comply with the UHOP. As the proposed local commercial uses are to be located to the rear of property with limited frontage on a street, it will not be integrated with or easily accessible from the surrounding neighbourhood. The limitations on accessibility based on the frontage and use of the alleyway would negatively impact the functionality of any commercial use established on-site.

While the applicant has advised that the intention is to utilize the lands for parking and recreation uses that will be accessory to the property at 760 Barton Street East, because the lands will remain a separate parcel of land it cannot be guaranteed that the lands will always remain associated with 760 Barton Street East. The Zoning By-law cannot tie two parcels of land together in common ownership and to establish a permanent interest in the lands, approval for an easement and/or long term lease would require approval from the Committee of Adjustment. Block 2 lands could be sold which would create pressure to establish a residential or commercial use for the lands without adequate frontage. A standalone commercial use without adequate frontage on a municipal road and which is surrounded by existing low density residential uses would not be compatible with the surrounding area (Policy E.3.8.9, E.3.8.11, and E.3.8.12).

The proposal also creates safety concerns due to limited accessibility for emergency vehicles and pedestrians (Policy E.3.2.7).

Integrated Transportation Network

"C.4.5.6.8 No development or redevelopment shall be permitted which does not front on a public road of an acceptable standard of construction.

C.4.5.8.4 New development or redevelopment shall only be permitted on a property that has direct frontage on a publicly assumed road constructed to municipal standards.

Infrastructure

C.5.3.5 All new development and redevelopment within the urban area shall be connected to the City’s water and wastewater system.”
The proposal is to allow for development with 1.37 m of frontage on Edward Street and proposes access by way of a portion of an alleyway that is privately owned. As the alleyway is privately owned access cannot be guaranteed and furthermore an alleyway does not constitute a public road. The 1.37 m of frontage on Edward Street is not of sufficient width to allow vehicle access to the subject lands including emergency vehicles, nor is 1.37 m of frontage sufficient to provide pedestrian access that complies with the accessibility standards for persons with disabilities. Therefore, the proposal does not comply with Policies C.4.5.6.8 and C.4.5.8.4.

There are no municipal water and wastewater services along the alleyway nor will municipal services be extended along the alleyway. While there are municipal services along Edward Street, the 1.37 m of frontage is not sufficient to connect the proposed lot to the City's water and wastewater system. Therefore, the proposal does not comply with Policy C.5.3.5.

Lot Creation - Urban Area

"F.1.14.3.1 Consent for new lot creation, for both the severed and retained lands, for residential uses in the Neighbourhoods designation shown on Map E-1 – Urban Land Use Designation, shall be permitted provided the following conditions are met:

a) The lots comply with the policies of this Plan, including secondary plans, where one exists;

b) The lots comply with existing Neighbourhood Plans;

c) The lots are in conformity with the Zoning By-law or a minor variance is approved;

e) The lots are fully serviced by municipal water and wastewater systems; and,

f) The lots have frontage on a public road.

F.1.14.3.3 Consents for new lot creation for both the severed and retained lands for mixed uses, commercial, institutional or open space in the Neighbourhoods designation shall be permitted provided the following conditions are met;

a) The lots comply with the policies of this plan including secondary plans, where one exists;

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully.
OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.
OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.
b) The lots are in conformity with the Zoning By-law or a minor variance is approved;

c) The lots are fully serviced by municipal water and wastewater systems; and,

d) The lots have frontage on a public road.”

For the purpose of the proposed lot creation to establish two separate lots for the two existing semi detached dwellings Policy F.1.14.3.1 has been met.

The proposed lot creation to divide the rear portion of the subject lands from the existing semi detached dwelling units at the front of the property, does not fulfil the requirement of Policy F.1.14.3.3, as it:

• establishes a commercial lot at the rear of existing low density residential lots and therefore does not comply with the land use compatibility policies of the UHOP;
• does not conform to the transportation and infrastructure policies of the UHOP;
• would not be serviceable by municipal water or wastewater systems; and,
• would not have adequate frontage on a public road.

The proposal does not comply with the conditions for lot creation in the UHOP (Policy F.1.14.3.3).

Therefore, the proposal does not comply with the UHOP.

Stipley Neighbourhood Plan

The subject lands are designated “Single and Double” within the Stipley Neighbourhood Plan.

The existing semi detached dwelling units would conform to the “Single and Double” designation of the Stipley Neighbourhood Plan. The proposed parking and recreation uses associated with a commercial use would not conform to the “Single and Double” designation within the Stipley Neighbourhood Plan and therefore would require an amendment if the application is approved.

City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 6593

The subject property is currently zoned “C” (Urban Protected Residential, Etc.) District. Semi detached dwelling units are not permitted in the “C” (Urban Protected Residential, Etc.) District, nor are accessory parking and recreation uses associated with a commercial use.
While the existing semi detached dwellings on the same lot are legal non-conforming, the proposal to create separate lots for the semi detached dwellings impacts the existing legal non-conforming status. Therefore, in order to recognize the existing semi detached dwelling units the applicant has applied to establish a site specific “C” (Urban Protected Residential, Etc.) District for the front portion of the subject lands. The applicant has requested eight site specific modifications to accommodate the proposal:

Proposed modifications to legalize the existing building and use:

- permit a semi detached dwelling unit;
- reduced minimum front yard setback;
- reduced minimum side yard setback;
- increased maximum encroachment of a porch;
- reduced minimum side yard setback for an accessory building; and,
- no on-site parking.

Proposed modifications to facilitate the future severance of the lands:

- reduced minimum lot area; and,
- reduced minimum lot width.

In order to permit the accessory parking and recreation uses associated with a commercial use, the applicant has applied to change the zoning to a site specific Neighbourhood Commercial (C2) Zone under the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 05-200. The applicant has requested nine site specific modifications to accommodate the proposal:

- restrict the use of the lands to amenity area, accessory structures and parking accessory to the property at 760 Barton Street East;
- reduced minimum lot area;
- reduced minimum width of a planting strip;
- require a visual barrier;
- prohibit outdoor storage;
- minimum on-site parking;
- minimum on-site barrier free parking;
- reduced minimum parking space size; and,
- reduced minimum parking space size for barrier free parking.
Staff have also identified that an additional modification is also required regarding the minimum lot width and lot frontage on a street to recognize the existing 1.37 m of frontage for Block 2 on Edward Street.

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

The following Departments and Agencies had no comments or objections to the applications:

- Strategic Planning Section, Public Works Department;
- Transportation Planning Services (TPS), Planning and Economic Development Department;
- Alectra Utilities; and,
- Forestry and Horticultural, Public Works Department.

The following comments were received:

Recycling and Waste Disposal, Operations Division, Public Works Department staff advised that there will be no change in municipal waste disposal collection services for the existing semi detached dwelling units at 116 and 120 Barnesdale Avenue North.

Health Protection, Public Heath Services Department staff advised that they promote making it easier to walk, cycle or use public transit which has a positive influence on physical activity, safety, and air quality and ultimately health and quality of life. They also encourage the provision of short term bicycle parking for visitors.

Geomatics and Corridor Management, Public Works Department staff outlined the status of the east / west laneway running from Barnesdale Avenue North to Lottridge Street. The status of the alleyway varies, from west to east, the westerly 45.0 m of the alleyway are classified as private, the next 15.0 m is part of 754 Barton Street East, the next 30.0 m the alleyway is assumed by the City, the next 30.0 m are part of the properties at 764, 766 and 770 Barton Street East, and the easterly 30.0 m are classified as private (see Appendix “D” to Report PED19117).

Public Consultation

In accordance with the provisions of the Planning Act and the Council approved Public Participation Policy, Notice of Complete Application and Preliminary Circulation was sent to 366 property owners within 120 m of the subject property on March 4, 2019. A Public Notice sign was posted on the property on March 12, 2019. Finally, Notice of the Public Meeting was given by way of newspaper in accordance with the requirements of the Planning Act.
To date, one letter has been submitted requesting additional details and expressing an interest in attending the Public Meeting.

**Public Consultation Strategy**

The applicant and their agent plan to meet with the local Ward Councillor to discuss the proposed development. Despite the applicant indicating that they would consult with the Ward Councillor on community engagement on this application, at the time of preparation of this Report, the meeting has not been scheduled.

**ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION(S)**

1. The proposed Zoning By-law Amendment cannot be supported for the following reasons:

   (i) The proposed changes in zoning are not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement, do not conform with the Growth Plan (2019), and do not comply with the policies and intent of the Urban Hamilton Official Plan (UHOP); and,

   (ii) The proposal would establish a parcel of land that does not have adequate access to a public road, does not have adequate access to municipal services and is not considered to be good planning.

   These issues have been discussed in greater detail throughout Report PED19117 and are summarized below.

2. The proposed changes in zoning to facilitate future severances to create three separate lots cannot be supported as the rear portion of the subject property does not have sufficient lot frontage.

   **Block 2 Issues**

   Access to the rear portion of the lands is proposed by way of an existing alleyway. The majority of the alleyway is not assumed by the City and is privately owned and access to the lands by way of the alleyway cannot be guaranteed. Furthermore, an alleyway is not considered to be a public road, and therefore would not be appropriate for providing access for emergency vehicles. Finally, as there are no municipal services along the alleyway, the alleyway would not be able to provide services to the proposed lot.

   It is noted that the proposed lot would have 1.37 m of frontage on Edward Street. A frontage of 1.37 m is not sufficient for vehicular access, including emergency
vehicles, or pedestrian access that conforms to the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act requirements. In addition, the 1.37 m frontage does not provide sufficient space in which to establish private servicing lines to connect the subject lands to the municipal services on Edward Street.

The proposal is to bring the rear portion of the lands into Zoning By-law No. 05-200 to be zoned (C2) Zone. It should be noted that Section 4.3 a) of the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law 05-200 identifies a minimum lot frontage on a street of 4.5 m. The requirement for a 4.5 m minimum lot frontage identifies the minimum threshold necessary to provide access and services to a property. Therefore, the proposed 1.37 m frontage does not meet the minimum requirements of the Zoning By-law.

For lands designated “Neighbourhoods” local commercial uses are permitted but are required to front and have access to a major arterial, minor arterial, or collector road, provide safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists, and be compatible with the surrounding area. The property has inadequate frontage on a local road, and does not front on or have access to a major arterial, minor arterial or collector road. As the property does not have adequate frontage on a municipal road the proposed lot would not provide safe and convenient access for pedestrians and cyclists.

The applicant proposes to use the lands for recreation and parking associated with 760 Barton Street East the Luso Canadian Charitable Society. As the proposed lot would not be merged in title with 760 Barton Street East, it cannot be guaranteed that the property will remain associated with the property at 760 Barton Street East in perpetuity. As previously noted the Zoning By-law cannot tie two parcels of land together in common ownership. Should the land cease to be associated with 760 Barton Street East, the land would either become unutilized or would require the establishment of a principal commercial use on the lands. Establishing a parcel of land that may become unutilized or which establishes a principal commercial use to the rear of low density residential dwellings does not comply with the policies of the UHOP and does not represent good planning.

The proposal to establish a site specific “C” (Urban Protected Residential, Etc.) District to permit the existing legal non-conforming semi detached dwellings on separate lots complies with the policies of the UHOP in terms of use, scale and density. If the application for Zoning By-law Amendment were to be amended to establish a site specific “C” District over all of the subject lands to facilitate the severance of the existing semi detached dwelling units, the amended application would have merit.
3. **Engineering**

There is a 150 mm watermain and 450 mm combined sanitary and storm sewer on Barnesdale Avenue North. There is a 150 mm watermain and 300 mm combined sanitary and storm sewer on Edward Street. The proposed lot creation for the easterly portion of the subject lands would not have sufficient lot frontage and would present challenges from an access and servicing perspective. Engineering cannot currently recommend approval of the Zoning By-law Amendment application for the subject lands, until the applicant can demonstrate, to the satisfaction of the Senior Director of Growth Management, suitable storm outlets and site accesses for both the severed and retained lands.

**ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION**

1) Council could deny the proposed Zoning By-law amendment to establish a site specific (C2) Zone over Block 2 and grant an amended approval to establish a site specific “C” District over Blocks 1 and 2 to facilitate the severance of the existing semi detached dwellings, and that staff be directed to prepare an amending Zoning By-law consistent with this amended approval.

2) Council could grant an amended approval to establish site specific zoning over Blocks 1 and 2 to permit the proposed use of the lands and facilitate the future severance of the lands but apply an ‘H’ Holding Provision over the Block 2 lands to be lifted upon appropriate land assembly, and that staff be directed to prepare an amending Zoning By-law consistent with this amended approval.

3) Should the application be approved, staff be directed to prepare an amending Zoning By-law consistent with the concept plans proposed, and that the Stipley Neighbourhood Plan be amended.

4) Council could direct staff to negotiate revisions to the proposal with the applicant in response to the issues and concerns identified in the Report and report back to Council on the results of the discussion.

**ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 – 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN**

**Community Engagement and Participation**

Hamilton has an open, transparent and accessible approach to City government that engages with and empowers all citizens to be involved in their community.

**Economic Prosperity and Growth**

Hamilton has a prosperous and diverse local economy where people have opportunities to grow and develop.
Healthy and Safe Communities
Hamilton is a safe and supportive City where people are active, healthy, and have a high quality of life.

Clean and Green
Hamilton is environmentally sustainable with a healthy balance of natural and urban spaces.

Built Environment and Infrastructure
Hamilton is supported by state of the art infrastructure, transportation options, buildings and public spaces that create a dynamic City.

Culture and Diversity
Hamilton is a thriving, vibrant place for arts, culture, and heritage where diversity and inclusivity are embraced and celebrated.

Our People and Performance
Hamiltonians have a high level of trust and confidence in their City government.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix "A" – Location Map
Appendix "B" – Concept Plan
Appendix "C" – Public Submissions
Appendix "D" – Status of Alleyway
Barnett, Daniel

From: Barnett, Daniel
Sent: April-09-19 2:45 PM
To: Barnett, Daniel
Subject: RE: Requesting notification of decision of zoning bylaw amendment

Hi Darien,

The application is to change the zoning to allow the two existing semi-detached dwellings to be located on separate properties and to change the zoning on the rear portion of the property to permit parking and recreation uses that will be accessory to 760 Barton Street East on a separate lot. Separate severance application would also need to be applied for to divide the lands up. If there are additional details that you are looking for please feel free to ask.

At this point the public meeting date has not yet been scheduled, when it has been scheduled a separate notice will be sent out advising of the date and time of the meeting. As things stand now the earliest the public meeting would be held would be June.

Daniel

From: Barnett, Daniel
Sent: March-31-19 7:03 PM
To: Barnett, Daniel
Subject: Requesting notification of decision of zoning bylaw amendment

Hello Daniel,

I received a letter about my neighbor on 116 120 barnesdale ave north rezoning.

I request to be notice by the details and I would like to attend hearing.

Best Regards,

Darien
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THE HAMILTON MUNICIPAL HERITAGE COMMITTEE PRESENTS REPORT 19-003,
AND RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDS:

1. Inventory and Research Working Group Meeting Notes – March 25, 2019
   (Item 10.1)

   (a) That the recommendations in the Inventory and Research Working Group
       Meeting Notes of March 25, 2019, be approved as presented; and,

   (b) That the following properties be added to the City Register of Non-
       designated Properties of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest, and to the staff
       work plan:

       1. 745 Crooks’ Hollow Road, Dundas
       2. 7 Rolph Street, Dundas
       3. 23-35 King Street East, Stoney Creek
       4. 45 Amelia Street, Hamilton
2. Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee Heritage Recognition Awards Update (Item 10.2)

That the following Nominations for the 2018 Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee Heritage Recognition Awards, attached hereto as Appendix “A” and Appendix “B”, be approved, as amended:

(a) HMHC Heritage Property Recognition Awards

Presented to property owners demonstrating an outstanding contribution to the conservation, restoration and preservation of Hamilton’s built heritage.

(i) 493 Dundas Street, Waterdown (Pearson House)

(ii) 177 Sherman Avenue North, Hamilton (The Playhouse Theatre)

(iii) 77 Creighton, Dundas

(iv) 92 Melville, Dundas

(v) 96 Melville, Dundas

(vi) 1379 Concession Rd. 6 W., Flamborough

(vii) 1149 Westover Rd., Flamborough (Westover Baptist Church)

(viii) 183 James Street South, Hamilton (Wissnez Law)

(ix) 105 Aberdeen Avenue, Hamilton

(x) 254 Bay Street South, Hamilton (Maple Lawn)

(xi) 1 Turner Avenue, Hamilton

(xii) 474 Wentworth St. N., Hamilton (St. David’s Presbyterian Church)

(b) Cultural Heritage Landscape Award

Recognizing the efforts of an individual or team who has demonstrated an outstanding contribution to the conservation of Hamilton’s cultural heritage landscapes.

(i) 610 York Boulevard, Hamilton
(Dundurn National Historic Site, Including the Kitchen Garden, the Coach House and the Hamilton Military Museum, which can be viewed at https://www.hamilton.ca/attractions/hamilton-civic-museums/dundurn-national-historic-site)

(c) **Heritage Group, Society or Specialty Team**

Presented to a heritage group, society or specialty team demonstrating an outstanding contribution to the conservation, restoration and preservation of Hamilton’s built heritage and heritage landscapes

(i) **Canadian Headstone Project Team**

(Team of Art French, Team Leader; Frank Brittain, Claire Broderick, Ann Charlton, Jackie French, Laura Martin, Jim McKane, Al and Shirley Rumbles, Ron Sinclair)

For the documentation of over 19,000 gravestones as an historic project – making history more accessible to more people and preserving these landmarks before they are lost to limestone deterioration.

(ii) **The Residents of 13 Inglewood Drive, Hamilton**

For requesting designation and the development of a Heritage Conservation Plan.

(iii) **Durand Neighbourhood Association**

Established in 1972, the DNA has been an active group seeking the protection of their heritage and engagement between residents, developers and City officials.

In recognition of their many initiatives, including the Grand Durand Garden Tour, park restoration, support of St. Marks and many others within this historical neighbourhood.

http://www.durandna.com/

(d) **Education in Heritage Award**

Recognizing the efforts of local historians and educators who have played a significant role in educating people on the conservation, restoration and preservation of Hamilton’s built heritage or who have trained students in conservation, restoration and preservation best-practices.

(i) **Rob Hamilton**

- Former Chair of the Hamilton Historical Board Historian
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(ii) Stephanie Dumbreck
   - Founder of Haunted Hamilton (Celebrating 20th Anniversary)

(iii) Ray Carroll
   - Founder of Vintage Hamilton
   - Historian

(iv) Barbara Murray
   - Former member of the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee
   - President - Local Chapter of the Architectural Conservancy of Ontario
   - Founding Organizer of Doors Open Hamilton
   - Member of The Beach Lighthouse Group and Head of the Lake Society

(e) Making Heritage Accessible Award

Presented to a heritage property owner demonstrating an outstanding contribution to the conservation, restoration and preservation of Hamilton’s built heritage by making an inaccessible property accessible to all citizens of Hamilton.

(i) Provincial Offences Administration Building
   - Former Wentworth County Courthouse
   - 50 Main Street E., Hamilton
   - Circa 1958, re-opened after renovations August 2018

(ii) Eva Rothwell Centre
   - Former Robert Land Public School
   - 460 Wentworth St. N., Hamilton
   - Circa 1914
   - School Closed in 2004 – Re-opened as the Eva Rothwell Centre in 2006

(f) Sustainable Design in Heritage Award

Presented to a heritage property owner demonstrating an outstanding contribution to the conservation, restoration and preservation of Hamilton’s built heritage in a sustainable manner including energy conservation, and through the installation of sustainable features.
(i) 157 Catharine Street North, Hamilton

- Former Office of McCallum Sather Architects – former Davis Brothers Clothing Factory
- The building owner has worked to incorporate many sustainable features in order to make this former industrial building more efficient and environmentally responsible, including, but not limited to, solar hot water heating, eco-friendly landscape, energy efficient fixtures, green finishes, providing showers and access to the bike share program for staff, etc.

(g) Adaptive Re-Use of a Heritage Property Award

Presented to a heritage property owner demonstrating an outstanding contribution to the conservation, restoration and preservation of Hamilton’s built heritage through the adaptive re-use of a property.

(i) Eva Rothwell Centre

- Former Robert Land Public School
- 460 Wentworth St. N., Hamilton
- Circa 1914
- School Closed in 2004 – Re-opened as the Eva Rothwell Centre in 2006

(h) HMHC Developer of the Year Award

Presented to a heritage property developer demonstrating an outstanding contribution to the conservation, restoration and preservation of Hamilton’s built heritage.

(i) Nomination #1

- Developer: James Street Residences Inc.
- Project: William Thomas Student Residences, 48 James St. N., Hamilton

(ii) Nomination #2

- Developer: Valery Homes / Spallacci Homes (Ted Valeri and Rudi Spallacci)
- Project: The Residences of the Royal Connaught, 112 King Street East, Hamilton
(iii) Nomination #3
- Developer: Electric City Developments (Founder and Managing Partner, Meir Dick, Managing Partner Ray Hutton, and team)
- Project: Westinghouse Building, 286 Sanford Avenue North, Hamilton

(iv) Nomination #4
- Developer: Core Urban Inc. (Steve Kulakowsky, Maureen Sauve, Dave Sauve)
- Project: The Alley, 12 James Street North, Hamilton

FOR INFORMATION:

(a) CEREMONIAL ACTIVITIES (Item 1)

There were no ceremonial activities.

(b) CHANGES TO THE AGENDA (Item 2)

The Clerk advised the Committee of the following change:

6. DELEGATION REQUESTS

6.1 Mark Clem, respecting Item 10.1, Inventory and Research Working Group's recommendations for 45 Amelia Street, Hamilton (for today’s meeting)

6.2 Bruce Tucker, respecting Item 10.1, Inventory and Research Working Group’s recommendations for 23-25 King Street East, Stoney Creek (for today’s meeting)

Item 10.1, Inventory and Research Working Meeting Notes of March 25, 2019, was moved up the agenda to follow Item 6.1, Delegation Requests.

The Agenda for the April 18, 2019 Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee was approved, as amended.

(c) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 3)

C. Dimitry declared an interest in Item 10.1., Inventory and Research Working Meeting Notes of March 25, 2019, pertaining to 7 Rolph Street, Dundas, as he conducted an eco-assessment on the property in a former job.
A. Denham-Robinson declared an interest in Item 10.2, Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee Recognition Awards Update, pertaining to the nomination for Heritage Recognition Property, as she works for an architecture firm involved in the restoration.

K. Stacey declared an interest in Item 10.2, Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee Recognition Awards Update, pertaining to the nomination of The Residents of 13 Inglewood Drive, Hamilton, as she works for an architecture firm involved in the restoration.

K. Stacey declared an interest in Item 10.2, Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee Recognition Awards Update, pertaining to the nomination of the Westinghouse Building, as she is acting as a consultant on the project.

G. Carroll declared an interest in Item 10.2, Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee Recognition Awards Update, pertaining to the nomination of Ray Carroll, Founder of Vintage Hamilton, as he is involved in the maintenance of the Vintage Hamilton website.

R. Sinclair declared an interest in Item 10.2, Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee Recognition Awards Update pertaining to the Canadian Headstone Project Team, as he is a member of the Glanbrook Heritage Society.

(d) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Item 4)

(i) March 21, 2019 (Item 4.1)

The Minutes of the March 21, 2019 meeting of the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee were approved, as presented.

(e) DELEGATION REQUESTS (Item 6)

(i) Mark Clem, respecting Item 10.1, Inventory and Research Working Group’s recommendations for 45 Amelia Street, Hamilton (Added Item 6.1)

The delegation request from Mark Clem, respecting Item 10.1, Inventory and Research Working Group's recommendations for 45 Amelia Street, Hamilton, was approved, for today's meeting.

(ii) Bruce Tucker, respecting Item 10.1, Inventory and Research Working Group’s recommendations for 23-25 King Street East, Stoney Creek (for today’s meeting) (Added Item 6.2)
The delegation request from Bruce Tucker, respecting Item 10.1, Inventory and Research Working Group’s recommendations for 23-25 King Street East, Stoney Creek, was approved, for today’s meeting.

(f) CONSENT ITEMS (Item 7)

(i) Inventory and Research Working Group Meeting Notes – February 25, 2019 (Item 7.1)

The Inventory and Research Working Group Meeting Notes – February 25, 2019, was received.

(ii) 2019 Heritage Plaquing Call for Submissions: On the Waterfront – Stories from Cootes Paradise to Stoney Creek (Item 7.2)

Christopher Redford, Heritage Presentation Coordinator, addressed the Committee respecting the 2019 Heritage Plaquing Call for Submissions: On the Waterfront – Stories from Cootes Paradise to Stoney Creek. Committee members were asked to submit nominations through the City’s website.

The update respecting the 2019 Heritage Plaquing Call for Submissions: On the Waterfront – Stories from Cootes Paradise to Stoney Creek, was received.

(iii) Update respecting Designation Plaques for Heritage Properties (Item 7.3)

Christopher Redford, Heritage Presentation Coordinator, addressed the Committee respecting Designation Plaques for Heritage Properties.

The Update respecting Designation Plaques for Heritage Properties, was received.

(g) DELEGATIONS / PUBLIC HEARINGS (Item 8)

(i) Mark Clem, respecting Item 10.1, Inventory and Research Working Group’s recommendations for 45 Amelia Street, Hamilton (Added Item 8.1)

Mark Clem addressed the Committee with questions regarding the recommendation made by the Inventory and Research Working Group to include the property on the Register of Non-designated properties of Cultural and Heritage Value or Interest.
The delegation from Mark Clem, respecting Item 10.1, Inventory and Research Working Group's recommendations for 45 Amelia Street, Hamilton, was received.

CARRIED

For further disposition of this matter, refer to Item 1.

(ii) Bruce Tucker, respecting Item 10.1, Inventory and Research Working Group’s recommendations for 23-25 King Street East, Stoney Creek (Added Item 8.2)

Bruce Tucker addressed the Committee with questions regarding the recommendation made by the Inventory and Research Working Group, to include the property on the Register of Non-designated properties of Cultural and Heritage Value or Interest.

That the delegation from Bruce Tucker, respecting Item 10.1, Inventory and Research Working Group’s recommendations for 23-25 King Street East, Stoney Creek.

For further disposition of this matter, refer to Item 1.

A. Denham-Robinson relinquished the Chair to discuss the following items.

(h) DISCUSSION ITEMS (Item 10)

(i) Year-End Report from the Working Groups of the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee (Item 10.3)

The Education Working Group presented a draft of a Heritage Word search Puzzle. It is anticipated that the working group will continue with these types of education products for community outreach purposes.

The Heritage Permit Review Sub-Committee also presented their Year-End Report.

The Year-End Reports from the Education Working Group, and the Heritage Permit Review Sub-Committee, was received.

A. Denham-Robinson assumed the Chair.

(i) GENERAL INFORMATION/OTHER BUSINESS (Item 13)

(i) Buildings and Landscapes (Item 13.1)

The property located at 265 Mill Street, Dundas, was added to the Buildings and Landscapes of Interest (YELLOW).
Item 13.1(a)(x), Dunnington-Grubb Gardens, 1000 Main Street East, was moved to the Buildings and Landscapes of Interest (YELLOW) list.

T. Ritchie was recorded as OPPOSED to the above motion.

The following updates be received:

(a) **Endangered Buildings and Landscapes (RED):**
    
    (Red = Properties where there is a perceived immediate threat to heritage resources through: demolition; neglect; vacancy; alterations, and/or, redevelopment)

    (i) Tivoli, 108 James Street North, Hamilton (D) – T. Ritchie
        
        No report.

    (ii) Andrew Sloss House, 372 Butter Road West, Ancaster (D) – M. McGaw
        
        No report.

    (iii) Century Manor, 100 West 5th Street, Hamilton (D) – K. Garay
        
        No report.

    (iv) Beach Canal Lighthouse (D) – M. Pearson

    (v) 18-22 King Street East, Hamilton (R)(NOI) – K. Stacey
        
        K. Stacey advised that a Conservation Plan has been submitted to the City.

    (vi) 24-28 King Street East, Hamilton (R)(NOI) – K. Stacey
        
        K. Stacey advised that a Conservation Plan has been submitted to the City.

    (vii) 1 St. James Place, Hamilton (D) – K. Stacey
        
        Staff reported that a new owner has taken possession of the property.

    (viii) 2 Hatt Street, Dundas (R) – K. Stacey
        
        Staff are reviewing a report on the property which will be going to Council.
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(ix) James Street Baptist Church, 96 James Street South, Hamilton (D) – A. Denham-Robinson

No report.

(b) Buildings and Landscapes of Interest (YELLOW):
(Yellow = Properties that are undergoing some type of change, such as a change in ownership or use, but are not perceived as being immediately threatened)

(i) Delta High School, 1284 Main Street East, Hamilton (D) – D. Beland

No report.

(ii) St. Giles United Church, 85 Holton Avenue South (L) – D. Beland

No report.

(iii) 2251 Rymal Road East, Stoney Creek (R) – C. Dimitry

No report.

(iv) Former Valley City Manufacturing, 64 Hatt Street, Dundas – K. Stacey

No report.

(v) St. Joseph’s Motherhouse, 574 Northcliffe Avenue, Dundas (R) (ND) - K. Stacey

No report.

(vi) Coppley Building, 104 King Street West; 56 York Blvd., and 63-76 MacNab Street North (NOI) – G. Carroll

No report.

(vii) 1021 Garner Road East, Ancaster (Lampman House) (NOI) – M. McGaw

No report.

(viii) Dunnington-Grubb Gardens, 1000 Main Street East (within Gage Park) – D. Beland

Planning Committee – April 30, 2019
(c) Heritage Properties Update (GREEN):
(Green = Properties whose status is stable)

(i) The Royal Connaught Hotel, 112 King Street East, Hamilton (R) – T. Ritchie

R. Sinclair noted that the building may now be under the Registered Condominium Board, rather than the Development Company. Staff will review the Status of Ownership to work with the appropriate parties towards designation.

(ii) Auchmar, 88 Fennell Avenue West, Hamilton (D) – K. Garay

No report.

(iii) Treble Hall, 4-12 John Street North, Hamilton (R) – T. Ritchie

No report.

(iv) 104 King Street West, Dundas (Former Post Office) – K. Stacey

No report.

(v) 45 Forest Avenue, Hamilton – G. Carroll

No report.

(d) Heritage Properties Update (black):
(Black = Properties that HMHC have no control over and may be demolished)

(i) Auchmar Gate House, Claremont Lodge 71 Claremont Drive (R) – K. Garay

No report.
(j)  ADJOURNMENT (Item 15)

There being no further business, the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee adjourned at 2:10 p.m.

CARRIED

Respectfully submitted,

Alissa Denham-Robinson, Chair
Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee

Loren Kolar
Legislative Coordinator
Office of the City Clerk
CITY OF HAMILTON
NOTICE OF MOTION

Planning Committee Date: June 18, 2019

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR COLLINS..................................................

Corporate Policy for Official Planning Notification During Mail Strikes

WHEREAS, the Planning Act prescribes the options the City of Hamilton can use for giving notice of an application to the Committee of Adjustment for a minor variance or severance;

WHEREAS, the two statutory options available to the City of Hamilton are to give notice by placing an advertisement in the newspaper or by first class mail to property owners combined with posting a sign on the property;

WHEREAS, as a result of the most recent mail disruption at Canada Post which required the City of Hamilton to give notice by placing an advertisement in the newspaper; and,

WHEREAS, not all affected residents read the newspaper or what appear to be technical notices placed in the newspaper and residents miss the opportunity to participate in the Committee of Adjustment decision making process;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That Planning staff report back to Planning Committee on a strategy for informing residents that goes beyond the traditional newspaper advertisement in the event of future disruptions in mail delivery service.
CITY OF HAMILTON

MOTION

Planning Committee Date: June 18, 2019

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR PARTRIDGE.................................................................

SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR..............................................................................

1 Main Street North, Waterdown (the Royal Coachman) – Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest

WHEREAS, the owner of the lands located at 1 Main Street North, Waterdown, is considering selling the property for redevelopment;

WHEREAS, 1 Main Street North, Waterdown, known as the Royal Coachman property, is listed on the Inventory of Building of Architectural and/or Historic Interest, but is not currently recognized through registration or protected through designation under the Ontario Heritage Act.

WHEREAS, based on a preliminary evaluation of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest conducted as part the Waterdown Village Built Heritage Inventory, 1 Main Street North, Waterdown meet the criteria specified in Ontario Regulation 9/06 including, but not limited to:

- Historical associations with early transportation and lodging in the village. The property has a direct association with the Kirk family, who built and operated the Kirk House Hotel (now the Royal Coachman) for approximately 80 years (1880’s-1960’s). Additionally, the property has the potential to yield information that contributes to the understanding of the community through additional research.
- Physical and architectural design value as the property is a representative style of the Queen Anne Revival style, which was popular in Ontario between 1870 and 1910. The property displays a high degree of craftsmanship, including but not limited to the projecting bay with wood paneling and decorated barge board on the primary elevation.
- Contextual associations as it is important in defining the historical character of the area, is physically, functionally and historically linked to its surroundings, and is considered to be a local landmark.
THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

(a) That Council add the property located at 1 Main Street North, Waterdown, to the City’s *Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest* as a non-designated property, providing 60-days of interim protection from demolition; and,

(b) That Council direct staff to add 1 Main Street North (the Royal Coachman), Waterdown, to staff’s designation work plan and be assigned immediate priority for Heritage Designation in Q4.
CITY OF HAMILTON

MOTION

Planning Committee Date: June 18, 2019

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR M PEARSON..........................................................

SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR....................................................................

Demolition Permit for 175 Margaret Avenue (Stoney Creek)

That the Chief Building Official be authorized and directed to issue a demolition permit for 175 Margaret Avenue (Stoney Creek) in accordance with By-Law 09-208, as amended by By-law 13-185, pursuant to Section 33 of the Planning Act, subject to the following conditions:

(a) That if a replacement building is not erected on this property within three years of the demolition of the existing building, the City be paid the sum of $20,000.00 which sum:

(i) The City Clerk is authorized to enter on the collector’s roll and collect in like manner as municipal taxes;

(ii) Is a lien or charge on the property until paid; and

(b) That the applicant be required to register on title to the subject property (prior to issuance of the said demolition permit), notice of these conditions in a form satisfactory to the Chief Building Official and City Solicitor.
CITY OF HAMILTON

MOTION

Planning Committee Date: June 18, 2019

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR M PEARSON

SECONDED BY COUNCILLOR

Demolition Permit for 176 Millen Road (Stoney Creek)

That the Chief Building Official be authorized and directed to issue a demolition permit for 176 Millen Road (Stoney Creek) in accordance with By-Law 09-208, as amended by By-law 13-185, pursuant to Section 33 of the Planning Act, subject to the following conditions:

(a) That if a replacement building is not erected on this property within three years of the demolition of the existing building, the City be paid the sum of $20,000.00 which sum:

(i) The City Clerk is authorized to enter on the collector’s roll and collect in like manner as municipal taxes;

(ii) Is a lien or charge on the property until paid; and

(b) That the applicant be required to register on title to the subject property (prior to issuance of the said demolition permit), notice of these conditions in a form satisfactory to the Chief Building Official and City Solicitor.