1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA
   (Added Items, if applicable, will be noted with *)

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING
   3.1 June 19, 2020

4. COMMUNICATIONS

5. CONSENT ITEMS
   5.1 Ministry of Health Consultation Meetings (HSC20014) (City Wide)
   5.2 Paramedic Service Data Sharing and Network Services Agreement with Health Shared Services Ontario (HHSO) (HSC20017) (City Wide)
5.3 Leveraging a Provincial Contract for Digitizing Ontario Works Client Files (HSC20023) (City Wide)

Discussion of Appendix "A" of this report in Closed Session is pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-sections (b) and (d) of the City's Procedural By-law 18-270, as amended, and Section 239(2), Sub-sections (b) and (d) of the Ontario Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, as the subject matter pertains to personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees, and labour relations or employee negotiations.

5.4 Child Care Reopening Framework (HSC20027) (City Wide)

6. WRITTEN DELEGATIONS

7. STAFF PRESENTATIONS

7.1 Hamilton Paramedic Service 2019 Annual Report (HSC20021) (City Wide)

8. DISCUSSION ITEMS

8.1 Home for the Holidays Wrap Up (HSC20024) (City Wide)

8.2 Provision of Conditional Grants for the Purposes of Paying Development Charges for Two Non-Profit Affordable Rental Housing Projects (HSC1960(a)) (Ward 3)

9. MOTIONS

10. NOTICES OF MOTION

11. GENERAL INFORMATION / OTHER BUSINESS

12. PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

12.1 Appendix "A" to Report HSC20023, Leveraging a Provincial Contract for Digitizing Ontario Works Client Files (City Wide)

Pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-sections (b) and (d) of the City's Procedural By-law 18-270, as amended, and Section 239(2), Sub-sections (b) and (d) of the Ontario Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, as the subject matter pertains to personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees, and labour relations or employee negotiations.

13. ADJOURNMENT
EMERGENCY & COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE
MINUTES 20-003
9:30 a.m.
Friday, June 19, 2020
Council Chambers
Hamilton City Hall
71 Main Street West

Present: Councillors E. Pauls (Chair), B. Clark, T. Jackson, S. Merulla, and N. Nann

Absent with Regrets: Councillor T. Whitehead – Personal

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS WERE REFERRED TO COUNCIL FOR CONSIDERATION:

1. Canadian Medical Association Foundation COVID-19 Community Response for Vulnerable Populations Fund (HSC20022) (City Wide) (Item 5.2)

(Nann/Jackson)
(a) That the General Manager of Healthy and Safe Communities, or his designate, be authorized and directed to enter into and execute an Agreement with the Canadian Medical Association Foundation to administer the Canadian Medical Association Foundation COVID-19 Community Response for Vulnerable Populations Fund; and

(b) That any agreements with Community Services Provider(s), as well as any ancillary agreements, contracts, extensions and documents required to give effect thereto be in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair – Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark
2. **Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus Parts (SCBA) Parts Shortage (HSC20025) (City Wide) (Added Item 5.3)**

   *(Nann/Clark)*

   That Report HSC20025, respecting Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus Parts (SCBA) Parts Shortage, be received.

   **Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:**

   YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann  
   NOT PRESENT - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla  
   YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson  
   YES - Chair - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls  
   NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead  
   YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

3. **Basic Income Pilot (Added Item 6.1)**

   *(Nann/Pauls)*

   (a) That the Emergency and Community Services Committee reaffirm Council’s position supporting permanent basic income programs and encourage the federal government to pursue a pilot; and

   (b) That the Emergency and Community Services Committee reaffirm Council’s support to Basic Income Hamilton to continue its voluntary advocacy group.

4. **Expanding Housing and Support Services for Women and Transgender Community Sub-Committee Report 20-001 (Item 8.1)**

   *(Nann/Clark)*

   (a) Appointment of the Chair and Vice-Chair for 2020 (Item 1)

   (i) That Councillor Nrinder Nann be appointed Chair of the Expanding Housing and Support Services for Women and Transgender Community Sub-Committee for 2020.

   (ii) That Carol Cowan-Morneau be appointed Vice-Chair of the Expanding Housing and Support Services for Women and Transgender Community Sub-Committee for 2020.

   (b) Change to the Name of the Sub-Committee (Added Item 13.3)

   That the name of the Expanding Housing and Support Services for Women and Transgender Community Sub-Committee be changed to Expanding Housing and Support Services for Women, Non-Binary, and Transgender Community Sub-Committee.
Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

5. Ontario Works Facilities Update (HSC20015) (City Wide) (Item 8.2)

(Merulla/Clark)
That Report HSC20015, respecting Ontario Works Facilities Update, be received.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

6. Adaptation and Transformation of Services for People Experiencing Homelessness (HSC20020) (City Wide) (Item 8.3)

(Merulla/Jackson)
(a) That the General Manager of Healthy and Safe Communities, or his designate, be authorized to enter into agreements, in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, with Living Rock Ministries, Mission Services, Wesley Urban Ministries and the YWCA Hamilton to continue enhanced drop-in services at Living Rock, Willow’s Place, Wesley Day Centre and Carole Ann’s Place respectively to June 30, 2021 to a maximum of $3.2 M;

(b) That the General Manager of Healthy and Safe Communities, or his designate, be authorized to enter into an agreement, in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, with the Salvation Army Booth Centre in the amount of approximately $400 K to fund capital costs to renovate the facility to allow for appropriate physical distancing and create 30 additional single rooms for a total occupancy of 80 single men; and,

(c) That the Mayor formally request additional provincial Community Homelessness Prevention Initiative and federal Reaching Home funding in order to address the shortfall related to COVID-19 spending within Hamilton’s homelessness serving population.
Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

7. Hamilton Collaborative Partnership Group Multi-Sport Facility Proposal (HSC20026) (City Wide) (Outstanding Business List Item) (Added Item 8.4)

(Nann/Clark)
That Report HSC20026, respecting Hamilton Collaborative Partnership Group Multi-Sport Facility Proposal, be received.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

8. Let’s Get Growing Seed Share Program (Ward 3) (Item 9.1)

(Nann/Merulla)
WHEREAS, food security has emerged as a critical health equity issue during the COVID-19 pandemic;

WHEREAS, residents are engaging on a hyper-local and neighbourhood level to express and address needs and offer support to each other during these challenging times;

WHEREAS, community members have shown great interest in desire to become more food secure through gardening;

WHEREAS, these efforts increase the community resilience and provide sustainable models to support a more thriving community for years to come;

WHEREAS, Environment Hamilton has developed the Let’s Get Growing seed share program to increase food security through education & tools for self-sufficiency and will serve as the primary coordinating body of this effort;
THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

(a) That $1000 be allocated from the Ward 3 Bell Cell Tower (3301609603) to Environment Hamilton for costs associated with implementing the Let’s Get Growing Seed Share Program project through the 2020 growing and harvest season in Ward 3;

(b) That the Mayor and City Clerks be authorized and directed to execute any required agreement(s) and ancillary documents related to the Let’s Get Growing Seed Share Program project, with such terms and conditions in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Ninder Nann
YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

9. Residential Care Facility (RCF) Complaint Liaison (Added Item 10.2)

(Clark/Jackson)

(a) That a position of Residential Care Facility (RCF) Complaint Liaison be assigned within the Healthy and Safe Communities Department to receive complaints, concerns or questions about the services and care provided to residents in Hamilton’s licensed RCFs;

(b) This position will receive complaints, concerns or questions from:

   (i) individual residents;

   (ii) Anyone acting on behalf of a resident, including, roommates, peers, self-advocates, friends and family members of individual residents;

   (iii) Residential home staff, service coordinators, medical providers, hospitals, social service organizations and paraprofessionals regarding a resident(s) issue;

(c) The position will report to the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department;

(d) All complaints will be received at no cost;

(e) Complaints will be treated as confidential, unless required otherwise by legislation, including being to be released to the public under MFIPPA, or PHIPPPA or to another agency for investigation;
(f) Generally, the process for addressing a complaint or concern will involve:

(i) A review of the complaint to determine which agency or city department is responsible for investigation;

(ii) If the complaint is determined to be one which can be investigated by the City or another agency, the complaint will be forwarded to the appropriate group for investigation.

(iii) Complaints will be handled as quickly as possible. It is anticipated that some complaints can be resolved within a matter of days. Other complaints may take longer if they are complex;

(g) That Staff be directed to report back on the recommended accountability standards, service levels and process requirements for the various types of complaints that can be addressed by the RCF Liaison position, including details regarding:

(i) How the facility will be told that there is a complaint and given an opportunity to correct the situation.

(ii) If the investigation reveals non-compliance, how the facility will be required to correct the situation and ensure future compliance and how the public will be notified;

(iii) How the Complainant will be provided with follow up information regarding the outcome of the investigation;

(h) The General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department will report quarterly a summary of the complaints received, and the status of the complaints;

(i) This position will be in place until June 30, 2021 or such time as Council decides on a more permanent structure for this role based on the RCF modernization project and the Outstanding Business List item regarding the RCF tenant advocate role; and,

(j) RCF licensed by the City of Hamilton will be required to post, in a prominent location accessible to residents and their relatives, the contact information for the RCF Complaint Liaison.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark
10. Birch Avenue Greenspace (Added Item 10.2)

(Nann/Jackson)

WHEREAS, greenspace in the North end of Ward 3 is deficient;

WHEREAS, pollinator plants are much needed in order to encourage plant propagation across the city;

WHEREAS, a dedicated group of volunteers in the GALA neighbourhood have tended this land successfully for over seven years, adding to the beauty and pride of the neighbourhood;

WHEREAS, this group of community volunteers is willing and interested in further planting of native and pollinator species along the Birch Avenue greenspace;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

(a) $2000 be allocated from the Ward 3 Bell Tower Fund (3301609603) to the GALA Community Planning Team to purchase plants and to cover other costs associated with establishing and expanding a thriving garden;

(b) That the Mayor and City Clerks be authorized and directed to execute any required agreement(s) and ancillary documents, with such terms and conditions in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

FOR INFORMATION:

(a) APPROVAL OF AGENDA (Item 1)

The Committee Clerk advised of the following changes to the agenda:

5. CONSENT ITEMS (Item 5.3)

5.3 Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus Parts (SCBA) Parts Shortage (HSC20025) (City Wide)
6. WRITTEN DELEGATIONS (Item 6.1)

6.1 John Mills, Hamilton Basic Income Group, respecting cancellation of the Basic Income pilot

8. DISCUSSION ITEMS (Item 8.4)

8.4 Hamilton Collaborative Partnership Group Multi-Sport Facility Proposal (HSC20026) (City Wide) (Outstanding Business List Item)

10. NOTICES OF MOTION (Item 10.1)

10.1 Residential Care Facilities (RCF) Complaint Liaison

10.2 Birch Avenue Greenspace

11. GENERAL INFORMATION/OTHER BUSINESS (Items 11.1.a. and 11.1.c.)

11.1.a. Items Requiring a New Due Date (Items 11.1.a.d-e, and 11.1.a.h)

11.1.a.d. Opportunities and Flexibility of Existing Housing Programs – WITHDRAWN
This item is being withdrawn and added under Items to be Removed as Item 11.1.c.a.

11.1.a.e. Hamilton Housing Benefits – WITHDRAWN
This item is being withdrawn and added under Items to be Removed as Item 11.1.c.b.

11.1.a.h. Community Hub Proposal/Multi-Sport Indoor Facility – WITHDRAWN
As this item is being addressed on today’s agenda as Item 8.4, it is being withdrawn and added to Items to be Removed as Item 11.1.c.c.

11.1.c. Items to be Removed (Items 11.1.c.a-c.)

11.1.c.a. Opportunities and Flexibility of Existing Housing Programs

11.1.a.e. Hamilton Housing Benefits

11.1.a.h. Community Hub Proposal/Multi-Sport Indoor Facility
(Nann/Clark)
That the agenda for the June 19, 2020 Emergency and Community Services Committee meeting be approved, as amended.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 4 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
NOT PRESENT - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

(b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 2)

There were no declarations of interest.

(c) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Item 3)

(i) February 20, 2020 (Item 3.1)

(Jackson/Nann)
That the Minutes of the February 20, 2020 meeting of the Emergency and Community Services Committee be approved, as presented.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 4 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair – Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

(d) COMMUNICATIONS (Item 4)

(i) Correspondence from Shannon Fuller, Assistant Deputy Minister, Early Years and Child Care Division, Ministry of Education, regarding Child Care and EarlyON Sector Funding - COVID Outbreak (Item 4.1)

(Clark/Nann)
That Correspondence from the Shannon Fuller, Assistant Deputy Minister, Early Years and Child Care Division, Ministry of Education, regarding Child Care and EarlyON Sector Funding - COVID Outbreak, be received.
Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 4 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair – Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

(e) CONSENT ITEMS (Item 5)

(i) Hamilton Veterans Committee Minutes (Item 5.1)

(Jackson/Clark)
That the following Minutes of the Hamilton Veterans Committee, be received:

(a) September 24, 2019 (Item 5.1 (a))
(b) October 22, 2019 (Item 5.1 (b))
(c) November 26, 2019 (Item 5.1 (c))
(d) February 25, 2020 (Item 5.1 (d))

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 4 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair – Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

(f) WRITTEN DELEGATIONS (Item 6)

(i) John Mills, Hamilton Basic Income Group, respecting cancellation of the Basic Income pilot (Added Item 6.1)

(Nann/Clark)
That the Written Delegation, from John Mills, Hamilton Basic Income Group, respecting cancellation of the Basic Income pilot, be received.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair – Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
NOT PRESENT - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark
For further disposition of this matter, refer to Item 3.

(g) NOTICES OF MOTION (Item 10)

(i) Residential Care Facilities (RCF) Complaint Liaison (Added Item 10.1)

(Clark/Jackson)
That the Rules of Order be waived to allow for the introduction of a motion respecting Residential Care Facilities (RCF) Complaint Liaison.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a 2/3’s vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair – Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

For further disposition of this matter, refer to Item 9.

(ii) Birch Avenue Greenspace (Added Item 10.2)

(Nann/Jackson)
That the Rules of Order be waived to allow for the introduction of a motion respecting Birch Avenue Greenspace.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a 2/3’s vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair – Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

For further disposition of this matter, refer to Item 10.

(h) GENERAL INFORMATION/OTHER BUSINESS (Item 13)

(i) Amendments to the Outstanding Business List (Item 13.1)

(Merulla/Clark)
That the following amendment to the Emergency and Community Services Outstanding Business List, be approved:
(a) Items Requiring a New Due Date

(i) Poverty Reduction Investment Plan
   Item on OBL: 17-B
   Current Due Date: June 2020
   Proposed New Due Date: September 10, 2020

(ii) Hamilton Youth Engagement Collaboration
    Item on OBL: 17-C
    Current Due Date: March 26, 2020
    Proposed New Due Date: July 9, 2020

(iii) Home for Good
     Item on OBL: 17D
     Current Due Date: January 16, 2020
     Proposed New Due Date: July 9, 2020

(iv) All Seasons Soccer Facility
     Item on OBL: 19-B
     Current Due Date: January 16, 2020
     Proposed New Due Date: October 8, 2020

(v) Expanding Housing and Support Services for Women
    Item on OBL: 19-C
    Current Due Date: February 6, 2020
    Proposed New Due Date: December 10, 2020

(vi) Curling Facilities
     Item on OBL: 19-F
     Current Due Date: January 16, 2020
     Proposed New Due Date: December 10, 2020

(vii) Ministry's continued support for critical housing investments and leveraging federal funding under the National Housing Strategy through new provincial investments and outlining the City's funding for housing and homelessness programs as confirmed through the 2019 Ontario Budget
     Item on OBL: 19-H
     Current Due Date: January 16, 2020
     Proposed New Due Date: December 10, 2020

(viii) Correspondence from Janice Lewis, Board President, Native Women's Centre, respecting Mountainview Emergency Shelter Operations
      Item on OBL: 20-A
      Current Due Date: N/A
      Proposed New Due Date: July 9, 2020
(b) Items to be Referred

(i) Consumption and Treatment Services and Wesley Day Centre
Item on OBL: 19-K
To be referred to the Board of Health
Rationale: Consumption and Treatment Services falls under
Board of Health

(c) Items to be Removed

(i) Opportunities and Flexibility of Existing Housing Programs
Item on OBL: 18-B
Addressed as Item 10.6 at the General Issues Committee,
March 20, 2020

(ii) Hamilton Housing Benefits
Item on OBL: 19-A
Addressed as Item 10.6 at the General Issues Committee,
March 20, 2020

(iii) Community Hub Proposal/Multi-Sport Indoor Facility
Development
Item on OBL: 19-D
Addressed as Item 8.4 on today's agenda

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair – Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

(i) ADJOURNMENT (Item 13)

(Merulla/Nann)
That there being no further business, the Emergency and Community Services
Committee be adjourned at 11:17 a.m.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann
YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson
YES - Chair – Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls
YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla
NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead
YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark
Respectfully submitted,

Councillor E. Pauls
Chair, Emergency and Community Services Committee

Tamara Bates
Legislative Coordinator
Office of the City Clerk
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INFORMATION

In November 2019 the Ministry of Health (MOH) announced a series of consultation meetings based on the discussion paper “Emergency Health Services Modernization” (attached as Appendix “A” to Report HSC20014). These meetings were scheduled to occur across the Province, co-chaired by the Advisor on Public Health and Emergency Health Services Consultations (Advisor) and the Associate Deputy Minister (ADM).

The Ontario Association of Paramedic Chiefs (OAPC) engaged the land ambulance leadership group and representatives of the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) to develop a consolidated position. Several in person and virtual planning meetings were held to create a consensus position. This was followed by a consultation meeting with the Advisor and ADM in Toronto on February 6. Following this consultation meeting a written submission, the “OAPC EHS Modernization Submission” was provided by the OAPC to the MOH (attached as Appendix “B” to Report HSC20014).
A local consultation session was also held in Hamilton by the Advisor and ADM on March 3. The morning session dealt with modernization of Public Health, followed by a lunchtime session with elected officials and senior municipal officials, and then followed by an afternoon session specific to EHS modernization. In addition to a verbal presentation a written submission was provided to the panel on behalf of Hamilton Paramedic Service (attached as Appendix “C” to Report HSC20014). A summary of the submission, and the verbal presentation, is provided below:

1. The foundational principles of seamless, accessible, integrated, accountable, and responsive ambulance service delivery should continue to guide the direction of ambulance system development.

2. Three outstanding consensus recommendations from the Land Ambulance Transition Taskforce (LATT) should be resolved in the modernization process. These include:
   a. Establishment of an operational dispute resolution mechanism;
   b. Establishment of a College of Paramedics; and,
   c. Dispatch reform.

3. Recommendations provided are summarized as follows:
   a. Operational responsibility for land ambulance dispatch should be transitioned to the Land Ambulance Service Provider and core dispatch funding should remain a Ministry responsibility;
   b. Accreditation should be pursued as a replacement for the existing Ambulance Service Review (ASR) process;
   c. Delays in transfer of care on arrival at hospital continue to create systemic pressures as paramedics perform hospital hallway medicine. Cost of this hallway staffing should be reimbursed by the Ministry to the ambulance service provider, removing the additional cost burden from the municipal tax base;
   d. Inter-facility transfers should be the subject of a fully integrated Provincial working group.
      i. Terms of reference from successful implementation in another provincial jurisdiction is provided.
      ii. All inter-facility transfers should be coordinated through the respective
CACC and the process of booking and scheduling should be automated.

iii. Legislation should be considered to provide for the capacity to contract out delivery of low acuity non-urgent patient transfers to an appropriately qualified patient transfer service; and,

iv. The Ministry should fully fund the cost of all inter-facility patient transfer service.

a. Community Paramedic programs should continue to be developed to match specific community needs. These programs should be integrated fully with the respective Ontario Health Teams and funded through the respective Ontario Health regional delivery program.

b. Ministry funding of land ambulance delivery should continue at a minimum level of 50% of the respective council approved operational budget inclusive of municipal overhead costs. The current one-year lag in funding should be eliminated through implementation of one-time funding processes.

c. A College of Paramedicine should be established under the Regulated Health Care Practitioners Act. The scope of paramedic practice, and the performance of delegated medical acts should be revised to reflect a Certification – Registration – Authorization paradigm. Base hospital funding should be redistributed to the respective land ambulance service providers who would then be required to establish appropriate medical oversight for both delegation and quality review.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix “A” to Report HSC20014: Ministry of Health Emergency Health Services Modernization discussion paper

Appendix “B” to Report HSC20014: OAPC EHS Modernization Submission

Appendix “C” to Report HSC20014: Modernization Submission Hamilton Paramedic Service
Ministry of Health

Discussion Paper: Emergency Health Services Modernization

November 2019
Purpose

As the Ministry of Health works with our system partners to end hallway health care, it will be important to involve the organizations that deliver pre-hospital care in meeting that goal. Ontarians require timely access to Emergency Health Services in a system where these services are effective and integrated.

Whether it is a patient waiting on a stretcher to be triaged in the emergency department, a senior waiting for transport to an MRI or an accident victim needing lifesaving emergency services by land or air ambulance, high functioning emergency health services in our communities are vital.

This paper is intended to guide ongoing discussions with our municipal and service partners to develop solutions for well-established issues in both the dispatch and delivery of emergency health services, while at the same time sparking innovative ideas to build an emergency health system for a modern health care system.

In our conversations and upcoming in-person consultations, we are seeking advice and input on how we can improve emergency health services for our communities.

We look forward to hearing from you.

Context

The Ontario government is transforming the whole health care system to improve patient experience and strengthen local services. This means a connected health care system through the establishment of Ontario Health Teams, and a new model to integrate care and funding that will connect health care providers and services focused on patients and families in the community. These changes will strengthen local services, making it easier for patients to navigate the system and transition among providers. Changes will also include the integration of multiple provincial agencies into a single agency – Ontario Health – to provide a central point of accountability and oversight in the health care system.

It is key to the success of the broader health system that emergency health services be strengthened, better coordinated and modernized to respond to the changing needs of Ontario’s communities. That is why we are also proceeding with new models of care for
select 911 medical emergency patients, to expand treatment and transport options on scene and ensure Ontarians are receiving the care they need, when and where they need it.

Emergency Health Services in Ontario

Emergency Health Services (EHS) provide life-saving front-line services for Ontarians and support access to, and transportation of, patients within the health care system.

Each year, approximately 1.5 million 911 calls come to our ambulance dispatch centres, and land ambulances are dispatched to respond to both 911 and other calls for service. Over 8,800 paramedics and 1,100 ambulance communications officers work to provide front-line life-saving care to Ontarians. 50 municipal ambulance services, six First Nations ambulance services, 22 ambulance communications centres and Ornge air ambulance deliver these services to Ontarians across the province.

The Ambulance Act and its regulations and standards provide the framework for the operation and delivery of pre-hospital care in Ontario, including the certification of ambulance service operators (land and air) and regulation of paramedics. Regional base hospitals provide clinical oversight of the system, ensuring patient safety and service quality.

The Ministry of Health, along with municipal partners, provides funding for land ambulance services through a 50/50 cost sharing arrangement, while the ministry provides 100 per cent of funding for specific emergency health services such as ambulance communications centres, certified First Nations paramedic services and air ambulance services.

The Canadian Triage and Acuity Scale is used to prioritize the urgency of an emergency department patient’s required care. In 2018, there were approximately 1.2 million patients transported by land ambulances in Ontario. Of those patients treated and transported by paramedics, approximately one per cent needed resuscitation, 23 per cent needed emergent care, 52 per cent needed urgent care, 12 per cent needed less-urgent care, and three per cent needed non-urgent care. Nine per cent of patients were medically-stable patient transfers.
Key Challenges

The EHS system went through a significant transformation in the late 1990s when municipal land ambulance services were transferred to municipalities. Since that time, additional changes have been made to improve services, and legislative amendments in 2017 provided some needed updates to the *Ambulance Act*. However, some key challenges remain. The Auditor General, the Dispatch Working Group, the Association of Municipalities of Ontario and the Ontario Association of Paramedic Chiefs, among others, have identified challenges that affect delivery of critical EHS services, including:

- Outdated dispatch technologies;
- Lengthy ambulance offload times and delays in transporting medically-stable patients;
- Lack of coordination among EHS system partners;
- Need for innovative models that improve care; and
- Health equity, or access to services across regions and communities.

Outdated Dispatch Technologies

Reports from the Auditor General (2013), the Provincial-Municipal Land Ambulance Dispatch Working Group (2014) and other stakeholders have called for upgrades to the province’s Ambulance Communications Centre technologies to support improved responses, resource allocations and patient outcomes. Improvements to dispatch technologies will help ensure the right patients enter the hospital system at the right time.

Ensuring that ambulance services deliver only those who require hospital care to emergency departments is essential to addressing hallway health care.

Questions for Discussion

- Beyond the foundational technologies currently in implementation – Computer-Aided Dispatch, medical triage system, updated phone systems, updated radio network and equipment, and real-time data exchange – are there other technologies or technological
approaches that can help to improve responses to 911 calls and increase the efficient use of resources in the EHS system?

- How can communication between dispatch centres, land ambulance services, and air ambulance be improved?
- Are there local examples of good information sharing between paramedic services, hospitals and/or other health services?

**Lengthy Ambulance Offload Times and Delays in Transporting Medically-Stable Patients**

When paramedics must wait to transfer patients in emergency departments to the care of the hospital, it contributes to hallway health care. Paramedics and their ambulances waiting to offload patients are then not available to the community for emergency calls, nor are they able to move medically stable patients who need timely access to care, such as dialysis and medical imaging.

**Questions for Discussion**

- What partnerships or arrangements can improve ambulance offload times?
- What other interventions would be helpful to address ambulance availability?
- How can we best ensure that medically stable patients receive appropriate transportation to get the diagnostics and treatments they need?
- How do we respond to the transport of medically stable patients in a way that is appropriate to local circumstances (e.g., less availability of stretcher transportation services)?
- Should there be changes to oversight for private stretcher transport systems to ensure safety for medically-stable patients?
Lack of Coordination among EHS System Partners

Emergency health services are intended as a quick response to stabilize patients and safely transport them to hospital or help them safely access primary care at great distances. However, jurisdictional issues and communications between and among ambulance communications centres, land ambulance service operations and air ambulance can create challenges to getting appropriate services to patients. This also extends to connections between EHS and other parts of the health care system.

Questions for Discussion

- How can land ambulance and air ambulance systems be better coordinated to address transportation of medically-stable patients, especially in the North?
- How might municipal land ambulance services address “cross-border calls” to ensure that the closest ambulance is sent to provide care of patients?
- How can relationships be improved between dispatch centres and paramedic services?
- How can interactions between EHS and the rest of the health care system be improved (e.g., with primary care, home care, hospitals, etc.)?

Need for Innovations that Improve Care

Innovation at local levels can often be replicated to other regions and care situations. EHS is both a health and social service and can benefit from community integration and alignment. As part of this consultation, we are actively seeking where communities and regions have had success in delivering health related services or found ways to reduce barriers to care.

Questions for Discussion

- What evaluated, innovative models of care can be spread or scaled to other areas, as appropriate?
- Are there new or different approaches to delivery that could be considered as part of a modern EHS system?
As new models of care for selected 911 patients are piloted, how can we adapt these models to elsewhere in the province, and how can we encourage uptake? What needs to be standardized versus locally-designed?

How can community paramedicine fill gaps in health care services for Ontarians, and how should this be implemented, scaled, or spread across the province?

Health Equity: Access to Services Across Regions and Communities

The Indigenous population in Ontario is composed of First Nations, Métis and Inuit peoples who may live on and off reserve, in urban, rural and remote areas, each with their own histories, languages, cultures, organizational approaches and jurisdictional realities. All six First Nations paramedic services in Ontario are funded 100 per cent by the ministry. Services provided by municipal land ambulance services to First Nations are also funded at 100 per cent.

Health care access for remote and northern Indigenous communities is an ongoing issue and concern. In the north, land access issues create pressures on both land and air ambulance services where they are primary responders to communities that are difficult to reach by road.

There are new and innovative pilot programs in a number of remote communities that have shown initial promise in lowering call volumes and emergency hospital transport. However, there are ongoing concerns for regions where emergency health services are affected by jurisdictional issues, restrictions and lack of infrastructure.

Changes made to modernizing these services must reflect the needs of Indigenous communities and build partnerships in a meaningful and respectful way.

Under the French Language Services Act (FLSA), services provided in French-designated areas are subject to requirements for the provision of services and communications in French. Services delivered by the ministry, its agencies, or by a ‘third-party’ on behalf of the government have obligations under the FLSA. In the EHS sector, ambulance communications centres (both those delivered directly and those through transfer payment)
must adhere to these requirements, as well as air ambulance services delivered by Ornge.
The FLSA does not address municipally-delivered services.

Questions for Discussion

- What initiatives could improve delivery of emergency health services to Indigenous communities?
- How can EHS services be more sensitive to the unique needs of Indigenous people, including providing culturally safe care?
- How can EHS support First Nations in creating better services for pre-clinic services in far northern communities?
- What improvements to EHS can be made for rural areas?
- Are there opportunities for partnerships to align and improve health and social services in rural and northern areas?
- Are there opportunities to address social determinants of health and health disparities in rural, remote and Northern regions to reduce the need for EHS transport of patients out of these regions?
- What improvements could be made to the provision of services in French to Francophone communities?

Your Feedback

With the release of this paper we are beginning a consultation process to discuss modernizing emergency health services. We hope to receive your input on the questions in this paper. Feedback can be submitted by completing our survey by March 31, 2020.

We will also be conducting in-person consultation sessions where we look forward to continuing the conversation about how we build a modern emergency health service system.
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Introduction

The Ontario Association of Paramedic Chiefs is the voice of paramedic leadership in Ontario. Our members include chiefs from all 52 upper tier or single city municipalities or District Social Services Administration Board (DSSAB) operated services, the six First Nations services and Ornge. We also oversee the work of 8,800 primary, advanced and critical care paramedics.

Ours is a critical voice in the province’s efforts to modernize Emergency Health Services, end hallway health care, and transform the healthcare system. We use evidence and best practices to guide our decisions on a daily basis. As such, the Ministry can be confident the recommendations that follow are based on research and successes found in Ontario, Canada and internationally.

Paramedicine is health care, and paramedics are like no other health professional. Paramedics are healthcare professionals. We have a broad scope of skills to deliver quality care at the scene through to the transfer of care. We are on the front lines with patients during their most vulnerable moments. Our services sit at the centre of health care, public health, public safety and aging.
Paramedics are doing our part

It is important to recognize that since 2000, when paramedic services were downloaded, municipalities and District Social Services Administration Boards (DSSABs) have invested significantly to build high performing paramedic services. Each service ensures residents have access to high quality and timely paramedic services that meet local needs.

These investments have been borne by municipal taxpayers. They include, but are not limited to, the following:

- construction of stations and other facilities and associated annual operating costs
- increased staffing
- initiatives to reduce response times and offload delay
- enhancing clinical skills of primary care paramedics and advanced care paramedics to expand their scope of practice in order to improve patient outcomes in the field
- investing in information technology projects, such as automated vehicle locating, on-board network connected computers, electronic patient care reports, scheduling software, inventory software, automated dispensing systems for medical equipment, supplies and medication, and credential management software.
- providing education to upgrade primary care paramedics to advanced care paramedics
- rolling out public access defibrillation and cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR) and other public education programs.
- creating and implementing specialized tactical paramedic, marine paramedic and bike paramedic teams
- Community Paramedic programs to support vulnerable residents, including community referrals by EMS, CP@Clinic, CP@home, remote patient monitoring and the provision of influenza vaccines to high risk populations
- clinical research trials
- participation in numerous local community partnerships, most recently the formation of Ontario Health Teams

These efforts and commitments are working. We are making progress. All modernization efforts need to build on these successes and continue the positive movement forward.

Paramedic services have access to a rich system of medical evidence.

Our services are proud to lead and participate in pre-hospital and emergency healthcare-specific research. In the recent past, paramedic services have been a key stakeholder or conducted studies that have resulted in many efficiencies or improvements to patient care. Some highlights include:

- improved resuscitation outcomes
- validation of medications and new clinical guidelines for trauma and cardiac arrest through the Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium and the Canadian Resuscitation Outcomes Consortium
- provincial bypass protocols for STEMI, Stroke and Trauma patients

Bringing paramedic services into the circle of care, expands the data being used to make informed decisions and is another step forward in improving processes and leading to better patient outcomes.
Modernization means legislative and regulatory changes.
It is important to recognize that modernization will require legislative and regulatory changes. Current legislation is outdated and, is, in fact a barrier to needed change. They keep paramedics separate from the rest of the healthcare system and prevent province-wide systems of efficiency. They do not put patients at the centre of health care.

For example, the current response time performance plan requirements contained in legislation focus on response, not patient outcomes. They aren’t working and should be removed or modernized.

Changes are needed not only to the Ambulance Act and Reg. 257 but other pieces of legislation with accountabilities related to paramedicine, such as revisions to the Personal Health Information Protection Act.

As modernization efforts are rolled out, the OAPC calls on the province to take strong leadership in creating new legislation that breaks down silos, improves data sharing, opens the door to accreditation and allows alternate destinations to ensure patients can access the high quality of care they need and expect at each step in their journey. Many of these changes the OAPC has been advocating to previous governments for nearly two decades. We are hopeful, through this review and consultation, this government will take action. This is the time.

The changing landscape
As the Ministry considers its modernization efforts, it must remember that paramedics face greater challenges in the field than ever before. New legislation, protocols and systems need to be nimble and take into account that the landscape has shifted and will continue to shift in the delivery of emergency healthcare. These changes include:

- increased call volumes
- growing mental health needs
- the opioid crisis
- an aging population
- increased traffic congestion
- infrastructure intensification and growth: taller buildings
- direct impacts of emerging infectious diseases on paramedic services

Putting patients first
All of us, paramedic services and the province, want the same thing: coordinated and connected care that puts patients first.

Paramedic services across Ontario have been doing our part. We look forward to continuing to partner and work with the provincial government to see this vision achieved.
Overarching Principles

This submission is organized around key themes identified in the province’s EHS Modernization Discussion Paper as well as by Ontario’s paramedic chiefs. Recommendations are guided by six key, overarching principles.

- We all serve one patient through one healthcare system. Modernization should make paramedic services an efficient and proactive part of the healthcare system. We can help achieve Ontario’s vision for coordinated and connected care.

- Paramedic services are at the centre of health care, public health, and public safety. As both first responders and an integral part of provincial health care, paramedic services must remain municipally or DSSAB operated with appropriate provincial funding that reflects patient needs and respects municipal taxpayers.

- Today, paramedics offer seamless, highly skilled care across Ontario. Modernization should keep what is working well and fix what needs fixing by looking to best practices and proven solutions. There is no need to reinvent the wheel.

- Municipal paramedic services are innovative and have created strong local partnerships. These need to be recognized and protected because they are working well.

- Municipal governments, DSSABs and paramedic leadership are committed to running efficient services and will continue to look for ways to work collaboratively with other services and the province to reduce costs where possible.

- Paramedics have the skills, mobile outreach within the community and a breadth of medical evidence to support health care. Modernization can leverage this unique position to reduce hallway medicine and achieve broader goals, like offsetting the high costs of hospital use.
Improving Dispatch

Modernization starts with a reformed dispatch system. Better systems will reduce hallway medicine and improve patient care by putting the right resources in the right place, at the right time considering local needs.

Improving dispatch is the number one priority. Central Ambulance Communications Centres (CACC) need to be nimble and evolve into a system navigator to ensure that patients get the right care for their needs. Given the municipal and DSSAB role in paramedic services, the province needs to view municipalities and DSSABs as equal partners for dispatch to be effective and to use resources efficiently.

The inability to retain consistent, reliable staffing is a significant concern in CACCs. The province needs to address high attrition rates that are currently being tolerated, but are unacceptable. By working w with municipalities and DSSABs solutions can be found, which may include looking at options to change governance structures locally if appropriate.

Improving dispatch will be achieved in four ways: expediting technology improvements, supporting real-time data sharing, establishing stronger quality assurance and accountability structures, and increasing staffing.

The goals of a modernized dispatch need to be:

- Accessible to cutting edge and connected technology
- A realigned system that acts as a "navigator" for patient's accessing Ontario's health care system
- Resourced through proven technology to reduce in call processing and overall incident response times
- Enhancement to the roles of communications personnel
- Developed through integrated continuous quality improvement mechanisms
- Accountable

Technology

- Implement robust call triaging software that will allow the centre to act as a "System Navigator" ensuring that callers needs are properly assessed, triaged and supported with the appropriate resource.
- Implement predictive analytics and decision support software that better supports staff and enhances system performance, leading to improved efficiency, effectiveness, better use of resources and ensures that the overall system operates as efficiently as possible.
- Expand software to include functionality that alerts paramedics within the first few seconds of a call entering the communication centre, like that used in Niagara Region.
  - Note: The current provincial system mandates a two-minute response time to notify paramedics. Often that standard is closer to three to four minutes. Early alerts/notifications to paramedics would save valuable time, increase performance, and reduce the reliance on manual processes in the communications centre.
• Ensure that in-vehicle computers directly link to the Communication Centre and can receive real time information, mapping and updates. This would also cut down on work load of ambulance communication officers and save radio "air time".

• Deploy bio-surveillance software within the Communications Centre that could detect in real time:
  o Opioid events and clusters
  o Public Health Outbreaks (Influenza/COVID-19/food borne illness)
  o Local events – violent crime clusters, major events
  o Other trackable events

• Implement software that links paramedic services, dispatch and hospitals to a centralized information sharing system to better align all key stakeholders and emergency service partners in real time. A common technology platform would ensure interoperability and provide for better data sharing and improved reporting.

• The province must stay current with the advances in dispatch technology. The Ministry cannot afford to resist change nor allow bureaucratic barriers to slow progress. This information could be linked in real time to the Provincial Emergency Operations Centre, local Public Health Units, Hospitals, other agencies as designated. This would provide the Province with much need live intelligence reporting to deal with many emerging and ongoing issues.

• Fast track technology improvements as a critical investment in improved care and the better use of resources.

• Proven technology to support improved decision-making is readily available and in place in Toronto and Niagara.

Real-time data sharing

• Ensure full paramedic access to a single electronic patient record that is shared across the healthcare sector, so all health professionals work seamlessly towards the continuity of care for patients, while still protecting privacy. This concept of “one patient, one chart” would help ensure the province’s goal of coordinated and connected care.

• Improve the triage system not only with the rollout of MPDS, but also by including a clinician in the dispatch centre. This will reduce risk for patients and paramedics, and use resources more wisely. It would also provide more consistency province-wide in how calls are triaged.

• Dispatch needs to be a system navigator for patients. These improvements will help get us there.

Quality Assurance and Accountability

• Rigorous dispatch quality assurance programs should be in place to measure performance. This greater oversight of dispatch is needed to ensure patient need is more consistently aligned with the response.

• Implement stringent lines of accountability and a reporting framework so municipalities are better engaged and consulted on the governance of Land Ambulance Dispatch Centres that control their day-to-day operations.

• The province should further examine and explore with municipalities and DSSABs whether system efficiencies can be achieved through better consolidation of dispatch centres with improved technologies.

• Explore the most practical governance model for delivery and oversight which could include direct municipal oversight, a municipal partnership model or a provincial model which ensures
local resources are in the right place at the right time responding to the needs of the community.

- Establish a Quality Model to improve communication and accountability between dispatch and operations.
- As the Medical Priority Dispatch System (MPDS) is being deployed, the province should pursue Accredited Center of Excellence status from the National/International Academies of Emergency Dispatch.
- An accreditation model for paramedic services, rather than direct provincial oversight and management, would ensure consistency and unbiased assessment against a set of standards, with regular renewals. The accreditation model is used extensively across the healthcare system in Ontario.
- As MPDS is rolled out, all aspects of the protocol must be deployed by the Ministry, including the MPDS inter-facility transfer protocol.
- Integrate operations with dispatch by including an operations commander at the centre. This will ensure resources are deployed in the most efficient manner. (Good models include Toronto Paramedic Services and the use of Road Sergeants by Ontario Provincial Police in Provincial Communications Centres.)
- Work with us to establish a standardized provincial platform for tiered response agreements and deployment plans. These will relieve pressures on Ambulance Communications Officers, increase efficiencies and improve accountability in dispatch. Standardized plans will reduce layers of bureaucracy by removing the need for multiple individual response plans at each centre. They will also better clarify the role of fire services.
- The dispatch system should use a common provincial infrastructure and be fully funded by the province.

Staffing

- More dispatch staff resources and frontline supervision on the dispatch floor are needed to meet the needs of the public and paramedic services.
- Identify the number of incoming calls a centre can expect to manage efficiently as a critical component to ensuring sufficient staffing levels.
- Supervision needs to be provided 24/7.
- Address the fundamental reasons for high attrition rates and inability to hire appropriate replacement staff in Ministry operated CACCs.

Dispatch model examples include Niagara Region (clinician model), Toronto (MPDS, decision support, call diversion), and Ottawa (dashboard interoperability).

Refer to Appendix 1: Review of the Ontario Ambulance Communications Delivery Model: Deloitte, June 2017
Reducing Offload Delays

Paramedic services are needed in the field, not sitting in a hospital. Hospital transfer of care times must be established and measured consistently across the province and hospitals held to account by providing financial incentives when issues are addressed or penalties imposed when not.

- Offload delays are a symptom of a broken system. Proper system navigation is a significant part of the cure. Developing alternatives that would see patients treated by paramedics at the scene and referred for community-based care or transferred to a more appropriate type of healthcare facility would reduce pressures on hospital emergency rooms.
- Financial incentives are an important part of the solution to help address offload delays. Working with paramedic services and hospitals, offload delay time standards should be established. Another solution could be through allocation of municipal capital funding to hospitals granted based on meeting specific performance criteria. These would motivate senior hospital leadership to work with us to improve processes. (York Region is an example)
- Address root causes of hospital capacity and flow to get paramedics back on the road to serve the community sooner. Part of this can include looking at more protocols to expand the decision-making abilities of paramedics to determine to which hospital to send patients. Other enhancements should be made to the Patient Priority System to increase efficiencies and properly address the needs of the patient.
- Dedicated Offload Nurses (DON) play a role in addressing offload delays and was introduced as a stop gap measure in 2007 so that other measures could be put into place. This did not come to fruition. The ability to keep pace with the increase in volume within the ER and from paramedic services has not kept up. Paramedic services are responsible to negotiate wages and conditions for transferring patients directly with the hospitals. Offload delay is a symptom of a broader healthcare issue and a temporary solution does not work. DON funding can be a partner to other strategies that need to be put into place and provided to all paramedic services.
- Set up a consistent reporting mechanism to measure offload delays to ensure consistent data and accuracy across the province. Offload delays must be addressed across the province, not site by site.
- There needs to be local flexibility in how alternative models of care are used, based on what services are available in the community and their capacity to accept patients.
- Look at other strategies to improve initial triage so more options are available and decisions can be made where to best place patients transported by paramedics (examples – move to waiting room, place in Gerry chair, etc.)

Refer to Appendix 2: British Columbia Emergency Health Services Clinical Response Model Fact Sheet, July 2018
Managing Interfacility Transfers

Interfacility transfers are a provincial healthcare responsibility and should be treated equitably across the province.

- Hospitals and other healthcare facilities need plans and resources in place to transfer non-urgent patients to other facilities. This is especially needed in remote and northern communities. Cost incentives and penalties would ensure that municipal property taxpayers aren’t indirectly funding a provincial healthcare service through the use of ambulances for these services.
- Invest in dedicated Critical Care Land Resources to better support patient movement throughout Ontario’s healthcare system. Investment in transport services needs to be considered to relieve the current pressures on ORNGE and municipal systems.
- All non-urgent transfers serviced by paramedic services must be funded 100% by the province.
- Following the Vancouver model, give the CACC responsibility for dispatching private stretcher transfer companies. This ensures the right resource gets assigned to meet the patient’s needs and reduces pressure on paramedic services. These private services must also be regulated with provincial oversight, which includes standards that ensure quality patient care and reduce patient risk.
- Provide oversight to ensure that low-acuity patients are not “up coded” to ensure an interfacility transfer. Adopting MPDS Transfer algorithms would also ensure calls are appropriately prioritized in a manner consistent with how 911 calls are prioritized.
- In some cases, hospitals are also calling both private and land ambulance services at the same time for transfers. This duplication cannot be allowed. It puts a burden on the system and on taxpayers.
- As the province finds solutions to manage interfacility transfers, current paramedic resources cannot be negatively impacted.
Improving Coordination, Fostering Innovation and Efficiency

Put patients at the centre of solutions. Open the door to greater data sharing and expanded partnerships and service agreements, so all health professionals work seamlessly to direct patients to the care they need, relieving undue pressure on emergency departments.

New models of care, expanding scope of practice and using enhanced technology will fill gaps in the healthcare system.

Data sharing
- Remove barriers to ensure paramedics are in the circle of care and have full access to real-time data and personal health information that will improve response and patient care. This requires the province to formally provide clear statements and set clear expectations with all partners that data-sharing, including patient outcomes, is allowed and the protection of privacy is inherent and should not be a burden amongst the healthcare partners. It also requires upgraded technology across the system, and legislative/regulatory changes, such as to the Personal Health Information Protection Act (PHIPA). Paramedics must be deemed to be included in the “circle of care”.
- Systems are needed to better coordinate information sharing between paramedics, emergency departments, Ontario Health Teams, Primary Care, and other partners, like CritiCall and Ornge.
- Access to patient outcome data would lead to increased understanding of paramedic response success, better decisions and improved processes and protocols. Again, this requires legislative/regulatory changes to support access to the information.

Partnership and Integration with Ontario Health Teams
- Paramedics belong as part of Ontario Health Teams (OHT). They are uniquely positioned at the centre of health care and public health and safety. Current efforts to collaborate and be innovative can be leveraged to improve efficiency and address healthcare challenges.
- Through a written statement, the Ministry of Health should encourage Ontario Health Teams to engage paramedic services so they can explore opportunities to leverage paramedic clinical expertise as part of the local OHT service delivery mandates. (Note: Some services have already signed on to OHTs. This practice should be expanded to all.)
- Several healthcare providers are at the table to expand service partnerships to allow direct transfer of patients. Fast track these partnership agreements as a win to system modernization, efficiency and improved patient care, system navigation and outcomes.

Community Paramedicine
- Community Paramedicine keeps people out of hospitals and reduces hallway medicine. It allows people to be cared for in their homes and communities, where they should receive care.
- Community paramedicine should be expanded province wide, with flexibility at the local level. It should also be fully funded by the province and not cost-shared, as it is a healthcare service not an emergency response. A modest investment in community paramedics can mitigate growth in 911 calls, reduce readmissions and visits to hospitals, and support patients’ navigation through the system.
• The province needs to support community paramedics to be better integrated into the healthcare system, such as working with primary care, Family Health Teams and Ontario Health Teams.

• Community paramedics can be used as mobile healthcare providers seeing patients through both scheduled and unscheduled visits, even supporting transportation when necessary.

• Using community paramedics to provide patient monitoring and assessment, as well as to help people navigate health care and social supports is a cost-efficient way to divert patients from acute care.
  o It can be particularly helpful for those who are frequent users of 911 ambulance services or with mental health issues.
  o It can support more people to live longer independently, reducing pressures in long-term care.
  o It can be used to transition patients requiring alternative levels of care within hospitals back into the community while awaiting a permanent LTC placement/bed. This could be used as an interim option for Ontario as it continues to deal with a shortage in LTC beds in Ontario.

Refer to Appendix 3: Community Paramedicine, OAPC

Innovation

• Innovation needs to promote patient dignity and respect, recognizing that an emergency response can at times exacerbate patient issues. Paramedics need more tools beyond hospital triage.

• Leverage evolving technology to improve care in innovative ways. Initiatives such as bringing OTN “virtual care” on scene or into long-term care homes would help deal with low-acuity issues like flu, back pain, gastrointestinal pain, onsite.

• The system should be nimble enough to allow for future innovation and technology when they become available, such as the use of drones and FaceTime to facilitate care and medical advice. This would also include new products or research initiatives.

• Allowing self-regulation for paramedics under the Regulated Health Professionals Act will effectively protect the public interest and break down silos between paramedics and other health professionals.

• Expand paramedic scope of practice by allowing medics to initiate referrals, perform live birth registrations and prescribe some medications as examples. This will further relieve pressure on acute care.

• Work with the Ministry of Labour to support mental health programs to care for those that care for others. Mental wellness is critical for all staff on the frontline of emergency health services, both the municipally employed paramedics and provincially employed dispatch staff. There is a collective responsibility to provide the supports, resources and benefits to maintain their mental health. Recognize that a larger than expected subset of the workforce is off due to post-traumatic stress disorder. This has an impact on the municipal tax base and on resource capacity.

• Support collaboration efforts to partner with universities and other partners to help research and address mental health issues in emergency responders across the board, including fire, police and paramedics.
Efficiency

- Support municipalities and DSSABs with resources and incentives to review internal processes and find efficiencies. Together we can reduce non-frontline costs and look for opportunities to increase collaboration amongst services where possible.
- Look at joint buying powers for paramedic ubiservices to find efficiencies.

Health Equity

All Ontarians deserve access to the health services they need no matter where they live. This can be achieved by involving key stakeholders in decision-making and increasing partnerships, collaboration and training. It can also be achieved by alternative funding models beyond the “50/50” cost share.

Cultural Diversity

Ontario is a multi-cultural province. All diverse communities must be recognized. Resources and training are needed to ensure the needs of all Ontarians are respectfully and effectively met, and cultural barriers are removed.

- Enhance language and cultural training for paramedics based on the communities they serve.
- Use technology to support multi-lingual needs in the field.
- Engage religious and cultural leaders in helping inform how to administer care that is respectful and effective.

First Nations

All First Nations should have equitable access to emergency health services.

Modernization of Emergency Health Services for First Nations communities starts with funding preventive programs that address social determinants of health and provide alternate transportation in the north to access health care. These are direct factors resulting in high First Nations ambulance call volumes in relation to population sizes.

- Provide First Nations services with reliable, timely, and stable funding from the province and multi-year capital plans to operate efficiently and effectively.
- Consult with First Nations to find solutions, such as through a joint task force.
- Engage with and learn from health agencies currently working with First Nations communities.
- Conduct more robust research with First Nations communities to increase data and inform evidence-based decisions that will drive solutions and funding models that better meet the healthcare needs of these communities.
  - Conduct a comprehensive healthcare needs assessment that includes Emergency Health Services, of all First Nations communities in Ontario to better understand gaps in accessing equitable healthcare. Based on findings Increase the number of first response teams where necessary, especially in the North.
  - Evaluate the current paramedic deployment and response rates into First Nations communities when compared to nonindigenous communities.
- Improve cultural understanding and sensitivity through training for paramedics and the engagement of a Community Indigenous Patient Navigator to bridge cultural differences between the healthcare system and First Nations communities.
• Explore developing First Nations paramedic services where there is no road access, only access through Ornge (James Bay vs. Northwest Ontario).
• Develop and appropriately fund primary care programs including, but not limited to, preventative CP programs.

Refer to Additional Documents section: *Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada: Calls to Action*, 2015

Francophone
Addressing francophone needs includes increased training and resources.

• Evaluate current resources to better understand language skills and capacity. Support French language learning for existing staff, especially in the French Designated Areas of Ontario, and in paramedic college programs.
• Support expanded francophone services in dispatch, using technology to ensure 24-hour access to meet language needs of francophone communities.

Rural/remote
Rural and remote communities face unique challenges. They do not have capacity to pay for the same level of service as urban areas. Therefore, preventive programs play a more substantive role. These communities also require additional and/or targeted resources.

• Retain the “50/50” cost share model as a minimum in all communities. However, alternative funding models, beyond the “50/50” cost-share must be considered for rural, remote, First Nations and northern communities. The current cost-share program is inadequate for these communities.
• When considering solutions to address healthcare needs in rural and remote communities, it is critical to reemphasize that the province needs to invest in community paramedicine. It is especially important to help fill gaps to improve patient care and outcomes where access to healthcare services is limited. Partnering with Ontario Health Teams would be especially helpful in ensuring community-based care.
• Invest in technology, faster internet access, and special equipment to access patients. This would reduce risk and improve both quality of care and efficiency, resulting in savings down the road.
• Pre-booked flights to transport multiple patients requiring regular treatment would improve access for northern communities and reduce costs.

College of Paramedics
Self-regulation through a Regulatory College facilitates modernization, which will result in improved patient care. It increases public trust, safety, transparency and accountability for paramedic services, as it does for all other healthcare professions.

• Ontario can learn from successful models within Canada, including Alberta, Saskatchewan, Manitoba, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia, as well as other jurisdictions abroad.
• A self-regulating college would put paramedics on par with other healthcare professionals.
• A college could direct needed and comprehensive paramedic service-related research to better inform evidence-based practice that drive improvements in patient outcomes and improve efficiencies.
• Patients across Ontario expect and deserve consistent service. A college would ensure consistent standards for skills and competencies, licensing and registration and conduct. It would ensure portability of credentials across Ontario.
• A college facilitates best practices, which improves patient care and outcomes, e.g. community paramedicine, family health teams, medics in dispatch, healthcare system navigators, and rural/remote specializations.
• A college lends a professional voice to inform policy, practice, and inter-professional practice.
• A college model would allow paramedics to oversee paramedics and increase individual accountability resulting in a higher standard of care. It would also reduce red tape and bureaucratic layers with the Ministry of Health and reduce pressure at base hospitals by replacing a layer of oversight from those physicians.
• A college could be phased in, starting with title protection, registration and conduct/competencies, while working toward full responsibilities over time.
• Development of a college would need to address questions around paramedic fees, labour union impacts and impact on local training budgets.

Refer to Appendices 4 to 6:
- OAPC Paramedic Self-Regulation: cover letter and submission to the HPRAC, July 2013
- Glen E Randall paper: Understanding Professional Self-regulation, November 2000
Final Thoughts: Redefining the Patient Journey

Emergency health services modernization should focus on the patient, their journey through the system and their outcome. Paramedicine is part of the care model. It is not a focus on patient transportation.

For many patients, 911 is their access to the healthcare system, and they use it when they are vulnerable and need care. They expect and deserve a system that works and is seamless.

Under the current system, all patients are taken to the hospital emergency department, resulting in backlogs and delays. Patients should be at the centre of solutions. Strengthening dispatch, offering alternative response models and improving coordination across healthcare will better meet patient needs and reduce pressure on the system.

Partnership and collaboration between paramedic services, health agencies and the Ministry of Health will be key. Neither partner can do this alone. Paramedic services need to be aligned as a partner in the health system.
Additional Resources

The recommendations provided in this submission build on previous work by the sector. The following links provide examples of this work and additional resources that may be useful to the consultation. Additional appendices follow, which include further relevant studies and documentation.

- **Recommendations from the Provincial Municipal Land Ambulance Dispatch Working Group**
  Submission to the Minister of Health and Long-Term Care, May 28, 2015

- **Greater Toronto Area Emergency Medical Services Ambulance Communications and Dispatches Services Review, Final Report and Recommendations for the Regional Municipalities of Peel, Durham, Halton, York and the County of Simcoe**
  Prepared by POMAX Public Safety, December 2009

- **Improving Access to Emergency Services: A System Commitment**
  The Report of the Hospital Emergency Department and Ambulance Effectiveness Working Group, Submitted to the Honourable George Smitherman, Minister of Health and Long-Term Care Summer 2005

- **Truth and Reconciliation Commission of Canada: Calls to Action**: specifically refer to pages 2-3 for sections related to health, 2015

**Appendix 1**
*Review of the Ontario Ambulance Communications Delivery Model*: Deloitte, June 2017

**Appendix 2**
*Clinical Response Model* Fact Sheet, British Columbia Emergency Health Services, July 2018

**Appendix 3**
*Community Paramedicine*: Ontario Association of Paramedic Chiefs, February 2020

**Appendices 4 and 5**
*Paramedic Self-Regulation*: Ontario Association of Paramedic Chiefs cover letter and submission to Health Professions Regulatory Advisory Council, July 2013

**Appendix 6**
Review of the Ontario Ambulance Communications Delivery Model

June 2017
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Background and Context

The Vision for Change
**Vision for Change: Patients First**

The government is committed to providing Ontarians with the right care, at the right time, in the right place, that is fiscally responsible and sustainable.

- *Patients First: Action Plan for Health Care* was released in 2015 and is focused on the ongoing commitment to put people and patients first by improving the healthcare experience.
- The plan highlights four key objectives for the next phase of health care system transformation:
  1. **Access**: Improve access - providing faster access to the right care
  2. **Connect**: Connect services – delivering better coordinated and integrated care in the community, closer to home
  3. **Inform**: Support people and patients – providing the education, information and transparency they need to make the right decisions about their health
  4. **Protect**: Protect our universal public health care system – making decisions based on value and quality, to sustain the system for generations to come
- With the government’s commitment to provide patients with the right care, at the right time, and in the right place, there is a growing need for Emergency Health Services to evolve and align with the strategic objectives of *Patients First*.
- Emergency Health Services (EHS) is considered a key gateway to the broader health care system and system improvements are underway to align with *Patients First* and other health sector reforms including:
  - A multi-year transformation strategy
  - 2017-18 and 2018-19 planned technology system improvements, including: a new triage tool, upgraded CAD, bi-directional information sharing through central integrated platforms
- The transformation continues the progress towards improving the health system; it is acknowledged that EHS continues to make ongoing changes to operations, therefore findings and recommendations are based on a point in time.
Vision for Change: Enhancing Emergency Services in Ontario

In alignment with Patients First, Enhancing Emergency Services in Ontario (EESO) is a multi-year strategic reform of emergency health services

- **Enhancing Emergency Services in Ontario (EESO)** is a multi-year enterprise initiative that supports the strategic objectives of Patients First by proposing to "improve and sustain quality co-ordinated care across the patient’s journey, and implement more effective medical transportation and paramedic services with all health care delivery partners and providers in Ontario".

- The EHS system in Ontario is intended to provide timely response of pre-hospital and inter-facility care to address the needs of the sickest patients in 400+ municipalities and First Nations communities with 24/7/365 availability.

- EHS partners play a key role in the seamless delivery of land and air ambulance services, and helping improve access to the health care system.

- With this in mind, EESO is coordinating the EHS system transformation with a broad cross-section of service delivery components:
  - EESO vision for change is built on four key pillars of work: change, integrate, build and oversee.
The “911/811” Future State Roadmap of Ambulance Response

The future vision of patient interactions with the EHS/911 system supports a broader range of clinical pathways based on patient needs

- In alignment with Patients First, the future roadmap for EHS will enable access to the right care at the right time and in the right place.

The 911/”811” future state roadmap shows one segment of the multi-year journey.

- Better leverage home and community-based care (HCC) options
- Enable paramedics with expanded options on treatment decisions
- Improve efficiency and effectiveness of triage and dispatch functions
- Allow for multiple clinical pathways, including the decision not to transport
- Changes to the role of paramedic services in on-site interventions
- Enable the seamless transfer of a patient and his/her records

Legend:
- Immediate Response
- Prompt Response
- Scheduled Response
- Diverted Response
- No Transport
Background and context
The Emergency Health Services Branch is committed to improving the patient’s journey through the health care system

- “Central Ambulance Communications Centres (CACCs) are often the initial access point to Ontario’s emergency health services system for many patients who are ill or are injured”. *
  - Functions of EHSB include the establishment of province-wide standards, funding and inspection of dispatch services, as well as providing education and training for ambulance communications officers (ACOs).
- The CACC communication model includes both receiving calls and dispatching the appropriate emergency medical response
  - Ambulance call takers receive calls from citizens and health service providers, prioritize the urgency of need and provide pre-hospital instructions to the caller
  - Ambulance dispatchers deploy emergency vehicles nearest to the scene to provide pre-hospital care and facilitate transport to the closest, most appropriate health care facility
  - Ambulance Communications Officers (ACOs) coordinate with Ornge Communications Centre (OCC) air and critical care land ambulance transports, which are not accessible through 911
- In 2013 the Auditor General of Ontario made several recommendations regarding ambulance dispatch in Ontario.
- In 2014 the Ontario Association of Paramedic Chiefs approached the Ministry with a range of requests related to changes to the ambulance dispatch model. The Association of Municipalities of Ontario had also requested discussions related to improving ambulance dispatch.
  - In response to these requests, the Minister of Health and Long-Term Care announced that the Ministry would assess improvements to the ambulance dispatch system. The ministry has since began implementing system improvements with three main objectives:
    - Focus on consistency and standardization,
    - Operational improvements focused on efficiency and effectiveness, and
    - Improving quality coordinated care for patients.

*Source: Ministry of Health and Long-term Care website
Background and context

The Emergency Health Services Branch is committed to improving the patient’s journey through the health care system

- The **provision of air ambulance and related services** in Ontario is currently through **Ornge, a not-for-profit charitable organization**
  - Ornge Communications Officers, with the assistance of on-call doctors, centrally coordinates patient transports via aircraft or critical care land ambulance throughout the province.
- In March 2012, the Auditor General of Ontario released a special report, which raised issues around inadequate oversight of Ontario’s air ambulance and related services
  - The Ministry and Ornge have since made significant strides in moving forward to restore public confidence in Ontario’s air ambulance service, including the appointment of a permanent President and CEO as well as a new volunteer Board of Directors
  - Additionally, the ministry amended its performance agreement with Ornge to improve transparency and accountability through an increased emphasis on performance standards for operational and financial costs, increased reporting and disclosure of information
  - In July 2012, the ministry established the Air Ambulance Program Oversight Branch (now Air Ambulance Oversight Unit, within EHSB) to provide dedicated oversight over Ornge and to manage all current and future initiatives relating to the delivery of air ambulance related services in Ontario, including ensuring that terms and conditions of the amended Performance Agreement are successfully implemented.
- In July 2015, amendments to the *Ambulance Act* came into effect, which provide the government with the authority to take a number of actions including the ability to:
  - Appoint special investigators or a supervisor when it is in the public interest to do so, similar to the Ontario public hospitals
  - Appoint members to Ornge's board of directors
  - Prescribe terms in the performance agreement between the government and Ornge by regulation;
  - Provide whistle-blowing protection for staff who disclose information to an inspector, special investigator, supervisor, or the ministry
Purpose and Approach
Purpose of the Provincial Assessment

The purpose of this evaluation was to develop a series of options for the optimal delivery model for land and air ambulance communications in Ontario, which:

- Support a robust and flexible organization and delivery structure
- Improve the patient’s journey through the health care system
- Ensure a sustainable health care system province-wide

There is currently work underway to reform the emergency health system. The ministry recognized that there are opportunities for further growth and enhancement of the current system to better align with Patients First and the EESO Future State Roadmap, and key foundational work has begun including planning for the implementation of a new medical algorithm.

Vision for Transformation of Emergency Health Services

| Patients First | 2017-18 Technology System Improvements & EESO Multi-year Transformation | 911/811 Future State Roadmap of Ambulance Response |

The work undertaken to inform this report will be used to identify the next steps in the transformation of emergency health services in Ontario.
Project Objectives and Scope

The project scope includes a variety of strategic and operational elements when considering the future needs of Ontarians.

Specific objectives included:

• A review of current Emergency Medical Services (EMS) communication and dispatch models across the province;

• A jurisdictional scan evaluating various service delivery models and best practices for land and air ambulance systems outside of Ontario;

• Identification of opportunities to positively optimize resources and impact financial performance;

• Developing options for the optimal delivery model for land and air ambulance;

• Providing advice to the Director, EHSB, concerning the evolution of the organization including timelines, resource requirements, organization redesign and structure;

• Conducting an analysis of human resources (HR) data to determine the drivers for attrition and attendance issues within the land communications centres and field office support structure, and provide strategy/model options to effectively retain resources and enhance attendance.
Project Approach and Activities Completed
A model framework guided the activities to shape the current state of ambulance communications and future state model options

Phase 1: Project Initiation and Current State

Key Activities:

- **ANALYSIS** of CACC performance and HR data for land dispatch including dispatch times, call volumes, overtime, sick time and span of control
- **INTERVIEWS AND FOCUS GROUPS** with key internal and external stakeholders
- **ONLINE SURVEY** with ~550 respondents to understand current state and opportunities for future state
- **MODEL FRAMEWORK** to guide categorization of insights from current state

Current State of Ambulance Communications

Phase 2: Identification of Priorities and Opportunities

Gap Analysis informed by:

- **EXAMINATION** of practices across 6 jurisdictions in Canada, the USA, and the UK
- **CURRENT STATE AND LANDSCAPE** of emergency communications in Ontario

Priorities and Opportunities for Future of Ambulance Communications

Phase 3: Development of Future State Model Options

**GUIDING PRINCIPLES** to drive development of model options
We have established a framework to inform future potential models and guiding principles that will inform decision making around the future state.

**Model Framework**

- Greater value for Ontario citizens
  - Improved service quality and outcomes
  - Cost efficiency
- Improved utilization of Paramedic Services resources
- Promotes standardization of processes/practices
- Evidence informed and based on leading practices
- Promotes greater system integration
- Enhances future transformation potential for pre / post call stages of the process
- Ease and timeliness of implementation

**Guiding Principles**

Key priorities are driven from the synthesis of insights captured through the framework, jurisdictional practices, and guiding principles, to support an integrated, sustainable health system.
Current State

Description of Today’s Model
Our understanding of the current model was informed through analysis of data, interviews with stakeholders, discussions with EHSB leadership and survey responses.

### Current Model of Ambulance Communications in Ontario

| Structure | • **22 Central Ambulance Communication Centres** (CACCs) in Ontario, operating in a hybrid model  
  – 11 operated directly by the Ministry  
  – 6 operated by Hospitals  
  – 4 operated by Municipalities  
  – 1 private  
  • CACCs communicate with **56 Paramedic Services (PS)** providers across the province (50 Upper-tier Municipal services + 6 First Nations services)  
  • Ornge Communications Centre - dispatches air ambulance and critical care land ambulance resources. |
|---|---|
| Funding | • The Ministry currently funds **100% of dispatch centre costs**  
  • Funding for Municipal PS providers is split 50/50 between Ministry and Municipalities  
  • First Nations Paramedic Services are 100% Ministry funded  
  • Ministry funds 100% of air ambulance and critical care land ambulance services (Ornge is provider) |
| Technology/Supportive Tools | • **Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD)** technology is used at all CACCs and Ornge dispatch centre to support call taking, triage and dispatch, however varying versions of this technology are in use across CACCs  
  • While Medical Priority Dispatch System (MPDS) is used to triage patients at Niagara and Toronto CACCs and, all other CACCs currently use **Dispatch Priority Card Index (DPCI) II** to inform prioritization of patient needs.  
  • Ornge’s Flight Vector triages patients using a **5-point scale** for acuity |
Landscape of Ambulance Communications in Ontario

The current environment in which ambulance communications services exists includes direct partners, as well as elements of the broader health care system.
Performance Indicators
Description of Land Ambulance Communication Services

Ambulance dispatch is a key part of the emergency response to a 9-1-1 call from the time a call is received by the communications centre, to the delivery of the patient at the appropriate health care facility.

The Ambulance Communication Officer triages the call based on answers provided by caller to questions in the medical triage algorithm and remains in contact with the caller providing:

- Pre-arrival first aid and patient comfort instruction
- Reassessment of call priority, determining if further support (including air ambulance) is required
- Patient status updates to paramedics

Upon arrival of Paramedics on scene, the Ambulance Communication Officer may provide:

- Coordinated communication between paramedic and Regional Base Hospital if required
- Notifications to Emergency Department of incoming patient
Dispatch Performance Metrics – Land Ambulance

Dispatch performance is currently monitored through the response time standard data and posted publicly on the Ministry website.

**Time Intervals:**

- **Time 0 – Call Received:** time when the ambulance communications officer initially answers the telephone to commence call taking.
- **Time 2 – Crew Notified:** time at which the ambulance communications officer has completed selecting which ambulance resource to assign and provided the ambulance crew with the response code and sufficient call location information (by base page, radio, telephone, belt page, PDA) to begin responding.
- **Time 4 – Arrived Scene:** time at which the ambulance crew advises the ambulance communications officer (by radio or status messaging) that they have arrived at the call’s location.

**Dispatched Priority Code: 1, 2, 3, and 4**

- **Code 1 – Deferrable Call:** A non-emergency call which may be delayed without being physically detrimental to the patient.
- **Code 2 – Scheduled Call:** A non-emergency call which must be done at a specific time due to the limited availability of special treatment or diagnostic/receiving facilities. Such scheduling is not done because of patient preference or convenience.
- **Code 3 – Prompt Call:** An emergency call which may be responded with moderate delay. The patient is stable or under professional care and not in immediate danger.
- **Code 4 – Urgent Call:** An emergency call requiring immediate response. The patient is life, limb or function threatened, in immediate danger and time is crucial.

**Canadian Triage Acuity Scale (CTAS) Levels**

- **CTAS Level 1:** CTAS level assigned for resuscitation.
- **CTAS Level 2:** CTAS level assigned for emergent.
- **CTAS Level 3:** CTAS level assigned for urgent.
- **CTAS Level 4:** CTAS level assigned for less urgent.
- **CTAS Level 5:** CTAS level assigned for non urgent.
Dispatch Performance Metrics – Air Ambulance

Performance for Ornge is monitored according to dispatch and reaction time targets

**Dispatch time targets:**

**Scene calls:** Within 10 minutes of receipt of each call (T0), the caller will be advised on status of Ornge’s ability to dispatch an aircraft

**Acute care air transfers:** Within 20 minutes of receipt (CO-Medical Patient Details Complete (T1)) of each call, the caller will be advised on status of Ornge’s ability to dispatch an aircraft

**CCLA Transfers:** Within 20 minutes of receipt (CO-Medical Patient Details Complete (T1)) of each call, the caller will be advised on status of Ornge’s ability to dispatch a CCLA vehicle

**Reaction time targets:**

**Ornge aircraft, emergent and urgent calls:** If aircraft is fueled, within 15 minutes of pilot’s acceptance of the call, Air Traffic Control (ATC) clearance will be requested. If fuel is required, within 25 minutes of pilot’s acceptance of the call, Air Traffic Control (ATC) clearance will be requested

**SA carriers, emergent and urgent calls:** Within one hour of agreed-upon departure time, ATC clearance will be requested

**CCLA:** Within 10 minutes of request for CCLA response, the CCLA will be mobile
Ornge Triage Acuity Scale

Ornge Triage Acuity Scale (OTAS) differs from CTAS and has been developed specifically for Ornge’s transport environment

- OTAS is a 5-level triage acuity scale established by Ornge’s Medical Advisory Committee replacing Ornge’s 3-point scale (emergent, urgent and non-urgent) as of April 1, 2017
  - This scale is used in deployment decision-making for air ambulance

**OTAS Levels and Best Effort Time to Receiver Facility***:

**Level 1 – Resuscitation: 4 hours or less, without delay.** OTAS 1 calls are to be dispatched without delay and are automatically approved for shift extension or duty out. The most appropriate Critical Care Land Ambulance (CCLA) will be dispatched or aircraft will be weather checked within 10 minutes of Patient Details Complete

**Level 2 – Emergent: 6 hours or less.** OTAS 2 calls require TMP approval for shift extension or duty out. The most appropriate CCLA will be dispatch or aircraft will be weather checked within 10 minutes of Patient Details Complete

**Level 3 – Urgent: 12 hours or less.** OTAS 3 calls are not approved for shift extension or duty out pursuant to the current Collective Agreement provisions

**Level 4 – Less Urgent: 24 hours or less.** OTAS 4 calls are not approved for shift extension or duty out pursuant to the current Collective Agreement provisions

**Level 5 – Non-Urgent: 48 hours or less.** OTAS 5 calls are planned using the Long Term Planning tool

*Each call is assessed based on circumstances (e.g., weather, patient needs, etc.) and is assessed against all other pending calls for the same/similar assets. Any one of these numerous factors could impact time to Receiver.*
Performance and HR Data Analysis for Land Ambulance Dispatch
Performance and HR Data for Land Ambulance Dispatch

Our understanding of the current state was further informed through analysis of performance data for land ambulance and a review of available CACC HR data.

Performance Data Findings


- It was noted that call volumes have been steadily increasing by over 3% since 2014, with the distribution of call Priority Code remaining consistent.
  - The distribution of call volumes across CACCs in Ontario is variable with several CACCs receiving less than 20,000 calls annually.
  - Dispatch times across the province ranged from 2.0-3.3 minutes. Based on the overall data, there does not appear to be a direct correlation of performance relative to geography or call volumes.

HR Data Findings

- Due to inconsistencies in data collection around attrition, sick time and overtime, we were unable to conduct a detailed analysis of HR data and identify strengths and challenges of the current HR management processes.

The following slides provide a detailed view of the performance data analysis as well as a summary of data limitations. Methodology and further analysis can be found in the Appendix.
Ontario Volumes of Calls Received

CACC call volumes have been steadily increasing by over 3% year over year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Total Calls</th>
<th>Code 1</th>
<th>Code 2</th>
<th>Code 3</th>
<th>Code 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>1,279,458</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>1,323,237</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016*</td>
<td>1,373,856</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>34%</td>
<td>60%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When data from the Toronto CACC is excluded, the proportion of Code 4 calls increases to ~66% for each year

- The proportion of Code 1-4 calls has remained constant year over year from 2014 to 2016
- Majority of calls received are categorized as Code 4
- From 2015 to 2016, Parry Sound saw the largest increase in call volumes (9%) whereas Muskoka saw the largest decrease (8%)
- The Toronto CACC receives the largest number of calls on an annual basis (~273,000 in 2015), accounting for over 20% of total calls received in Ontario

Source: ARIS Reports
*Data from January-September 2016 was used to project the total volume for the year
Volumes of Calls Received by CACC in 2016*

- Volumes of calls received by CACC ranged from 3,400 – 287,000 calls
- The Toronto, Mississauga, Ottawa and Georgian CACCs received the highest volumes of calls in Ontario
- 7/22 CACCs received call volumes <20,000

*Data from January-September 2016 was used to project the total volume for the year, call volumes represent Code 1-4 calls received
Volumes of Calls Received and Corresponding Dispatch Times by CACC in 2016*

- 90th percentile dispatch times across Ontario ranged from 2.0 – 3.3 minutes
- There appears to be no direct relationship between call volumes and dispatch times

*Data from January-September 2016 was used to project the total volume for the year, call volumes represent Code 1-4 calls received, dispatch times are for Code 4 calls
Data Analysis Limitations

A review of performance and HR data revealed a number of challenges, limiting the ability to identify drivers for attrition and attendance issues in CACCs.

**We sought to review:**

- **CACC Performance**
  - Dispatch and response times across CACCs based on assigned Priority Code
  - Volumes of calls received by CACC
  - Volume of calls dispatched by Priority Code
- **CACC Financials**
  - Actual expenditures by CACC
- **Employee Data**
  - Attrition rates across CACCs
  - Attendance issues and associated contributors including:
    - Total sick time per employee
    - Overtime hours worked per employee
    - Span of control

**Our findings show:**

- Inability to compare calls received to calls dispatched due to variability in capturing data across CACCs
- Inability to track details of spend due to consolidated spend data vs. categorization and tracking of dollars
- Challenges in identification of attrition rates across CACCs due to variation in definitions and tracking
- Differences across CACCs in tracking sick time, overtime, and movement of employees within and outside of CACCs
- Inconsistent tracking of reasons for employees leaving CACCs

Due to the variability and inconsistencies in capturing performance and HR data, this review was unable to identify recommendations to retain resources and enhance attendance.
Key Priorities for Transformation
Priorities to Inform the Future Model of Ambulance Communications

The synthesis of the current state findings, jurisdictional practices and future vision led to the creation of key priorities to enhance service delivery.

Current State,
Landscape of Ambulance Communications in Ontario,
Future Vision for Emergency Services

Review of Jurisdictional Practices

Using the Model Framework to categorize the priorities and opportunities

Priorities and opportunities to evolve ambulance communications in Ontario
Key Priorities for Transformation

The following key priorities are recommended to transform the existing dispatch model to align with the desired future vision for emergency services.

The key priorities provide direction to shape the future of ambulance communications, regardless of the stage of transformation. It is recognized that, with the current technology system improvements and the EESO multi-year transformation strategy, the Emergency Health Services Branch has started the journey towards an evolved future and these priorities will allow EHSB to build upon the progress.

### Performance Management and Monitoring

**Comprehensive performance management**
Enhance relevant benchmarks for clinical and service performance targets to drive system performance
- Implement advanced management reporting systems to enable measurement of tangible KPIs and identification of potential issues, including patient experience indicators
- Enhance dedicated support/business analysts to conduct more robust performance analysis and identification of trends to inform future planning decisions

### Leadership and Structures

**Clear service expectations and accountability**
- Enhance the accountability frameworks by evolving service expectations and performance based contracts to increase accountability for dispatch services
- Identify appropriate organizational structure including direct governance, arms-length oversight, and/or contracted service agreements (may include private organizations)
Key Priorities for Transformation continued

The following key priorities are recommended (and in some cases underway) to transform the existing dispatch model to align with the desired future vision for emergency services

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure, Technology Requirements</th>
<th>Integrated technology and information management practices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Integrate technology between dispatch centres, paramedics, and services that arrange air and inter-facility transportation to support seamless ambulance communication</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Establish an integrated approach to information management to enable standardized reporting across all centres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Implement provincial standardization of triage methodologies and relevant technology platform to support accurate and consistent prioritization of calls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>People and Roles</th>
<th>Focus on HR management and standardization across sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Standardize policies and procedures across CACCs to enable a consistent approach to delivery of ambulance dispatch services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Advance HR management practices with a focus on leadership, succession and retention management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Achieve formal accreditation by a sector recognized entities, such as the International Academies of Emergency Dispatch</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Health Care System Integration Points</th>
<th>Collaboration with partner organizations and existing structures to enhance emergency health services</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Revisit roles for partner organizations regarding inter-facility transfers and other relevant services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Enhance future vision that includes integration with the broader health system to support the patient journey from pre-hospital to acute care</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Understanding key priorities and business process improvements

Suggested key priorities and business process improvements were informed by current state findings and jurisdictional practices

• The model framework guided the collection of current state data and identification of strengths and challenges with the current emergency health services system, which subsequently informed business process improvements

• The visual below illustrates the structure used to present findings and suggested improvements as highlighted on the following slides

Quantitative findings from survey and current state review

Practices from jurisdictions in Canada, USA, and the UK

Strengths associated with model framework category

Challenges associated with model framework category

Strengths

- Standardized approach and methodology to report performance indicators
- Select performance metrics are shared publicly and reported on an annual basis

Challenges

- Response times targets are based on prioritization by ambulance paramedics after they arrive on scene, rather than dispatch. CTAS indicators were not originally intended to be used in pre-hospital settings
- Limitations regarding available information – unable to track information real-time once an ambulance has been dispatched and is outside the local CAOC boundaries
- Currently collect rich data, but it is reported that ERHSB does not yet have the BI capabilities and capacity to generate reports that can inform ongoing performance by CAOC
- Unable to trace data trends that reflect the full patient journey, as there is not an interface between CAOC, IFS, and hospital records
- Currently different triage tools used at Toronto and Niagara CAOCs impact ability to compare performance data across all CAOCs

Survey: Please indicate which indicators should continue to be monitored.

Survey: Does your region collect patient feedback?
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Performance Management and Monitoring

**Strengths**

- Standardized approach and methodology to report performance indicators
- Select performance metrics are shared publicly and reported on an annual basis
- Ornge’s CAD system enables accurate reporting of key performance indicators as outlined in the Ministry/Ornge performance agreement

**Challenges**

- CACC response times targets are based on prioritization by ambulance paramedics after they arrive on scene, rather than on dispatch; CTAS indicators were not originally intended to be used in pre-hospital settings
- Limitations regarding available information – unable to track information real-time once an ambulance has been dispatched and is outside the local CACC boundaries
- Lack of comparability between measured targets for land and air
- Currently collect rich data, but it is reported that EHSB does not yet have the advanced business intelligence (BI) capabilities and capacity to generate reports that can inform ongoing performance by CACC
- Unable to track data trends that reflect the full patient journey, as there is not an interface between CACC, Paramedic Services (PS), and hospital records. Although, Ornge has initiated work to track patients journey based on its unique data sets, the interface with land services is not yet captured
- Currently different triage tools used at Toronto and Niagara CACCs impact ability to compare performance data across all CACCs. This issue is mitigated in air ambulance due to a single system coordinated centrally, however it is not comparable to CACC data

**Quantitative Findings**

Survey: Please indicate which indicators should continue to be monitored.

- Number of Patients Transported: 14.7%
- Volume of Ambulance 911 Calls - By Acuity: 17.3%
- Volume of ambulance 911 Calls (Emergency): 16.0%
- T2-T3 Response Times: 16.9%
- T1-T2 Response Times: 16.9%
- T0-T1 Response Times: 15.2%

Survey: Does your region collect patient/family/caregiver feedback?

- Yes: 16.7%
- No: 83.3%
Performance Management and Monitoring cont’d

Jurisdictional Practices

- Recommendations from the American Ambulance Association suggest that response times should be measured from the time the system’s providers receive sufficient information to initiate the response as the time taken to collect information can be variable depending on circumstances.
- Jurisdictional scan reveals that other regions/countries set targets and monitors performance through response times by priority (based on an advanced triage system) and do not consider CTAS assignments as these are assigned retrospectively.
- Consistent use of a single source of data and/or standardization of data, which can be used for decision making and monitoring performance, as this allows for valid review of trends.
  - While Alberta still has multiple data sets, the Province is moving towards a provincial PS data system to provide a reliable, comprehensive source of data.
- Effective Electronic Patient Care Record (EPCR) systems enable collection of valuable information on the quality of patient care being provided by ambulance services.
  - National Health Service (NHS) currently tracks a number of clinical outcome measures including % of cardiac arrest patients with return of spontaneous circulation, which is enabled through its EPCR system.
- Relationships with privately contracted companies enable accountability through performance-based contracts and independent oversight to monitor performance and compliance – incentivized through contract renewal (Nova Scotia) or financial incentives (Nova Scotia, NHS, U.S.A.).
  - Medavie reports indicators, such as call processing times and overall response times, to oversight body, while further breakdown of indicators is reviewed internally to identify opportunities to improve services.

Business Process Improvements

1. Enhance relevant performance targets that are reflective of activities associated with CACCs
   - Ensure alignment of metrics to evolving models of care
2. Enhance CACC and Ornge OCC performance metrics or scorecards
   - Review reports generated today and cease reporting on areas that are not relevant
3. Advance analytic reporting to generate additional insights based on current data
   - Consider updating or investing in technology infrastructure and analytics tools to enhance reporting
4. Improve the Quality Assurance framework/program to drive performance and quality in the service model
Leadership and Structures

**Quantitative Findings**

- 22 CACCs, 11 run by Ministry and 11 are non-Ministry CACCs
- Ornge OCC and OCC back-up location
- Municipalities currently fund 50% of ambulance services but not dispatch centres

**Strengths**

- Some support by leadership to front line staff in the form of training and mentorship
- For the smaller centres, inter-professional relationships are fostered between staff and management
- Each centre is familiar with the practices of municipality and service providers and can tailor local services to meet the needs of communities
- Ornge and CACCs regularly connect to collaborate on operations

**Challenges**

- With each of the interviews and focus groups conducted, all participants indicated that there are too many CACCs in the province and there is opportunity to consolidate, while maintaining quality service
- Varied standardization across the province with regards to practice and technology – different interpretations of policies due to large number of CACCs
  - This variation contributes to the inefficiencies when operating EHS systems
- With the current number of CACCs, it can be difficult to provide robust oversight and governance to introduce new programs or initiatives
- Some stakeholders reported the challenge with gaining full transparency provincially in understanding operations and expenditures by CACC, with the different accountability structures
- Within EHSB, it is reported that variation exists between the span of control at the supervisor or manager level, which impacts the ability to provide consistent oversight and performance management
- As some of the CACCs provide dispatch services other than PS (e.g., fire, police), a proportion of stakeholders report this can restrict access to ambulance service, as there are competing priorities
- Currently no established standard for management processes and operational functions, which could be achieved through accreditation
Leadership and Structures cont’d

Jurisdictional Practices

- Consolidation to reduce the overall number of land dispatch centres in various jurisdictions enabling achievement of efficiencies and ease of standardizing practices across centres
  - NHS moved from 31 dispatch centres in 2006 to 14 in 2016, in order to improve strategic capacity and achieve efficiency gains
    - Success of this initiative was largely due to advanced technology, which allowed dispatch centres to manage calls quicker and more efficiently, supported communication between dispatch centres, and enabled seamless transition of calls between dispatch centres; Challenges included concerns from community members that the dispatch centres were not in close proximity to them and fear of dispatch officers lacking local context knowledge
  - Similarly, Alberta attempted to consolidate the PS dispatch system, which was put on hold in March 2010
    - Reported benefits included the standardization of dispatch processes and consistent technology use across the province; Consolidating the PS dispatch system posed funding challenges for centres that previous dispatched multiple services (i.e., PS and fire), as these centres no longer received funding for their PS services
- Contracting private companies to provide ambulance dispatch services, using contracts to ensure accountability for meeting performance standards – e.g., Medavie in Canada
  - The trend for government, including other areas within the healthcare system, is to continue its evolution towards a stewardship model and empower other entities for direct service delivery, while maintaining ‘arms-length’ oversight; this model enables accountability for service provision and achievement of metrics to be placed on the service provider vs. the oversight body
- Achievement of accreditation by a national/international organization provides assurance that provider is aligned with recognized standards of excellence
- Centralized dispatch of air and land ambulance to enable transparency between providers and more efficient provision of transportation services – e.g., Manitoba, British Columbia, Nova Scotia

Business Process Improvements

1. Investigate opportunities to pursue accreditation for emergency dispatch communication across all CACCs and OCC from a recognized, international organization
2. Review current accountability frameworks and enhance service and performance expectations and monitoring
Infrastructure, Technology Requirements

**Strengths**

- Over the years, EHSB has invested in gradually improving technology to support communications
- MPDS system used in Niagara and Toronto is known to be reliable and accurate due to real-time data and allocation of paramedics
- It is reported that a number of CACCs may have the physical infrastructure to take on additional capacity
- A data sharing agreement and technology solution enables information from CritiCall to be pushed to Ornge to help populate the CAD and inform patient transfers

**Challenges**

- Delays in obtaining important patient information due to incompatibility of patient care record from ambulance to hospitals
- The majority of survey participants who provided additional comments reported that the current triage system is “risk averse” and there are scenarios where the priority response does not fully align with the triage assessment
  - It is perceived that there are too many calls assigned a Priority Code 4
- It is reported variability exists across the province regarding the process to re-route public-safety answering point (PSAP) calls when dispatch does not field calls: mix of automated re-routing through telecommunications company vs. manual calling by PSAP staff. This poses a key risk to timeliness of access to service
- Each CACC has a designated back-up centre, however almost all areas use manual processes (phone, radio, and paper) to manage calls when systems go down, which poses risks during downtime situations
- All CACCs currently use the same CAD platform but not the same instance of it, which impacts the efficiencies where collaboration across CACCs is needed or in shifting to new service models in the future. Further, Ornge’s CAD currently does not interface with the CACC CAD preventing integration

**Quantitative Findings**

Survey: The current dispatch triage tool could be improved to contribute to an enhanced patient experience during a 911 call

- 63.5%
- 22.6%
- 7.0%
- 5.8%
- 1.1%

- Strongly Disagree
- Disagree
- Neutral
- Agree
- Strongly Agree
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Infrastructure, Technology Requirements cont’d

**Jurisdictional Practices**

- Consistent advanced triage functionality across all dispatch centres enabling standardization of data collected, ease of integration across dispatch centres and comprehensive triage of emergency calls
  - MPDS is used in BC and Manitoba, enabling a standard of care protocol for medical emergency triage as well as pre-arrival instructions to patients/callers
  - MedStar in Forth Worth, Texas, and the Regional Emergency Medical Services Authority (REMSA) in Reno, Nevada are both accredited through the International Academy of Emergency Dispatch (IAED) and use MPDS as their triage tool
- CAD to CAD compatibility enabling communication between dispatch centres and across the continuum of patient care (Dispatch to ambulance to hospital)
  - Integrated CAD systems enable dispatchers to see location of ambulances, send information to mobile data terminals, and ensure that time stamps are accurately captured
- Seamless transfer of calls
  - Telecommunications company in NHS automatically re-routes calls where dispatch is unable to receive calls enabling timely response to emergency calls
  - In BC, peak demand rollover is seamless – unanswered calls go seamlessly to the backup centre

**Business Process Improvements**

1. Procure a standardized electronic triage system across all CACCs, in alignment with 2017-18 and 2018-19 system improvements
   - Procure a triage system with an advanced algorithm to assign priority status that reflects patient needs
2. Implement technology to allow seamless transition of calls to mitigate system or switch failure across all CACCs and Ornge’s OCC
3. Implement advanced dispatch technology functionality that aligns with the future model of services
   - Consider standardizing CAD instance across CACCs to enable effective sharing of information
   - Implement a system to enable two-way communication with PS mobile data terminal and CAD system, thus enabling a combined rich data set of EPCR and CAD data, in alignment with proposed 2017 system improvements
People and Roles

**Strengths**

- Regional centres foster strong interpersonal support amongst peers
- While not consistent across all CACCs, it was reported that clear lines of communication exist between field offices and head office, though there is ongoing work required to strengthen these
- Interviews indicate there are knowledgeable front-line staff fielding and managing calls from the public

**Quantitative Findings**

Survey: There are opportunities to improve the pre-arrival instructions given to patients prior to the paramedics’ arrival.

- 34% of survey respondents strongly agree/agree that staff receive enough training to effectively perform their jobs

**Challenges**

- As managers are not staffed 24/7 across all CACCs, this can be challenging to sustain performance management-related activities, as it is reported that staff may not see their managers for an extended timespan
- Overall, forum for all CACC staff to connect does not exist and currently regularly scheduled staff meetings within CACCs does not occur
- It is perceived that there is variation among CACCs with regards to general HR practices, e.g., hiring, management of staff, operations
- There is variability in capturing HR-related data across CACCs including sick time, overtime, and attrition
People and Roles cont’d

Jurisdictional Practices

- Dedicated resources for 911 dispatch vs inter-facility transport to provide clearer roles and reduce competition for resources – e.g., British Columbia
- Cross-training staff on other roles to provide alternate resources and cost efficiencies – e.g., Manitoba
- Providing access to a supervisor/management 24/7 to provide support to front line staff and ensure consistent local operations – e.g., Manitoba
- Focus on creating a workplace of excellence including providing effective education to ensure quality patient care through ongoing skills and knowledge evaluation – e.g., British Columbia

Business Process Improvements

1. Focus on enhancing an engaged culture within the CACCs
   – E.g., establish annual in-person meetings, webinars, social media sites, SharePoint sites, and/or blogs to support regular engagement, encourage connecting with other regions and sharing lessons learned, formal certification of ACOs through accreditation process, increased support for Supervisors and Managers to improve management skills and abilities
2. Explore models that can support management functions 24/7
   – Consider cross-coverage models across CACCs, and unionized vs. non-unionized environments
3. Examine current education practices to determine changes that may be required to increase adoption of training (e.g., alternate approaches, peer-based learning models)
4. Advance HR management practices
   – Consider implementing an electronic scheduling system to better track staff utilization and inform predictive scheduling
   – Stronger focus on development of leadership, succession and retention management using informal/formal methods
   – Conduct a review of staff utilization – particularly attrition, sick time, and overtime – to better understand drivers; this may include collection of quality data to conduct analytics
Health Care System Integration Points

**Strengths**

- Tiered response in place with police, fire, and ambulance to ensure that appropriate resources are dispatched for every call
- CritiCall and the CACCs have a well-established process to communicate and coordinate life and limb transfers
- Strong communication with Ornge, particularly for inter-facility transfers

**Challenges**

- Currently minimal integration of data between ED, Ambulance, CACCs, and LHINs – majority of survey respondents identified the need for open communication channels between Dispatch Services, paramedics, CACCs and the MOHLTC
- For transport other than life or limb, hospitals do not consistently know who to contact for transport (i.e., air vs. land)
- Lack of integration with parallel call systems such as Telehealth Ontario and 811
- While CritiCall is able to push personal health information to Ornge to populate their Patient Transfer Authorization Centre (PTAC) and CAD, CACCs do not have access to view this information, which increases risk and could impact timeliness of communication
  
  - It is noted that preliminary integration efforts are underway to integrate Ornge’s dispatch system with the CACCs; to date, a technical specifications document has been drafted for this work
- As there is variability among PS regarding their allocation plans, the CACCs must be cognizant of constraints when allocating PS to the airport for transport handoff with Ornge

**Quantitative Findings**

Survey: I believe there are opportunities to improve the integration between the ambulance dispatch centres and the broader healthcare system.
Jurisdictional Practices

- Emergency Communication Nurse System (ECNS) implemented with MPDS provides an algorithm to triage low-acuity calls and connect them to appropriate community resources or provide self-care instructions
  - This is currently in place Fort Worth, Texas, and Reno, Nevada, as well as in the UK and Australia
  - As there is a shared CAD, the model enables seamless transition to 9-1-1 dispatch to maintain the public safety, rather than repeating information and starting from the beginning
- Multiple centres in the USA and UK have air and land ambulance services dispatched from the same facility enabling a more coordinated dispatch for transports requiring both land and air services
- Within British Columbia, Emergency Health Services is responsible for the Ambulance Service as well as the Patient Transfer Network, which is a 24/7 services that collaborates with health care providers for an integrated approach to safe, efficient transfer of acute and critically ill patients
- Defining the vision for emergency response will support the shaping of the service model for the future
  - E.g., Perspectives on public safety as a priority vs. promoting an integrated health system

Business Process Improvements

1. In alignment with *Patients First* and EESO, establish a future vision of pre-hospital care to inform the roles and responsibilities of CACCs
   - Consider other referral options for the public for low acuity calls
2. Explore model options to strengthen the communication and coordination of critical care transport
3. Identify expanded support or guidance that ACOs can provide to patients and families to improve outcomes, as well as the patient experience
Future State Model Options
Development of Future State Models

The model framework and guiding principles inform the proposed future state models, and a set of operational criteria was developed to support discussions on siting and sizing of the dispatch centres.

**Guiding Principles**

- Greater value for Ontario citizens
  - Improved service quality and outcomes
  - Cost efficiency
- Improved utilization of Paramedic Services resources
- Promotes standardization of processes/practices
- Evidence informed and based on leading practices
- Promotes greater system integration
- Enhances future transformation potential for pre / post call stages of the process
- Ease and timeliness of implementation

**Key Priorities for Transformation**

- Performance Management and Monitoring
- Leadership and Structures
- Infrastructure and Technology Requirements
- People and Roles
- Health Care System Integration Points

**Operational Considerations**

1. The volume of calls received and ability of dispatch centres to manage increasing call volumes, particularly if there are fewer centres in operation
2. Consideration of the current size of communication centres and potential for growth to accommodate larger volumes of staff
3. Consideration of Academic Health Science Centres and other provincial transformation priorities to align with referral patterns
4. Availability of workforce to staff centres and consideration of impact on smaller communities
5. Location of back-up centres and distances between back-up centres to enable seamless transitions in the case of system outages

**Future Models**

Option #1  
Option #2  
Option #3
Descriptions of Model Options

Overview of potential future state models for ambulance communications

- As described earlier in the report, the implementation activities for the **Key Priorities for Transformation are required in all model options**

- Regardless of the number of CACCs that will be in operation, the future model will be **one, holistic interconnected system** that fosters coordinated collaboration with stakeholders across the emergency health services ecosystem (e.g., one number to call for help, regardless of the severity of the citizen’s need)

- In selecting the future state model for ambulance communications, consideration must be given to the **future vision and the capabilities required** to support this vision

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 1: Existing Dispatch Model Transformation</th>
<th>Maintenance of 22 land ambulance dispatch centres across Ontario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current CACC boundaries and relationships with existing paramedic services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current relationships with air services provider remain in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single or hybrid operational model – i.e. direct operation by Ministry, transfer-payment agency, or contractor, or a combination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 2: Regional Dispatch Model</th>
<th>Regional centres for ambulance dispatch that may align with relevant patient flow patterns</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Options to inform reduced number of centres include:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CACCs that align with three existing Field Offices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Alignment with Tertiary Centres in Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Consolidation to align with distribution of call volumes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Current relationships with air services provider remains in place</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single or hybrid operational model - i.e. direct operation by Ministry, transfer-payment agency, or contractor, or a combination</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Option 3: Centralized Dispatch Model</th>
<th>Centralized dispatch services for land and air, with back-up site redundancies built-in</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Single operational model – i.e., direct operation by Ministry, transfer-payment agency, or contractor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Evaluating Future Model Options

The following pages highlight implications of the three proposed model options relative to the guiding principles, key priorities, and operational considerations.

- The visual below illustrates the template used to describe the assessment of the future model options as presented on the following pages.
  - Each model option was assessed based on alignment with guiding principles, key priorities, operational considerations and the future vision for emergency health services.
  - Although model options may align with specific principles or priorities, the degree of alignment will vary with the number of communication centres.

![Diagram of model options]

Degree of alignment with Guiding Principles for the proposed model option

Degree of alignment with Key Priorities and Operational Considerations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implications related to Guiding Principles</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Leading practice: Existing backup contingency in the case of system failures as a result of multiple centres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Ease of Implementation: With the focus on transformation within the existing dispatch model, required changes will be easier relative to the other model options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Value: Inability to achieve economies of scale, as the number of centres will remain unchanged. Further, while staffing ratios can be optimized and standardized across sites, minimum staff requirements will limit the extent of efficiencies achieved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Utilization of Paramedic Service Resources: More challenging to employ system status management with many centres vs. fewer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Standardization: While processes and practices can be optimized and standardized across sites, this will require significant effort due to the large number of centres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Leading practice: Other jurisdictions are moving towards consolidation of centres to better optimize resources and standardize processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• System Integration: Different dispatch centres for land and air will require increased coordination for complex transports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• System Integration/Future Transformation: Due to the limited organizational changes, it may be challenging to seamlessly position for further system integration opportunities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Implications related to Key Priorities for Transformation and Operational Considerations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Effort and resources will be required to monitor and audit KPIs for 22 communications centres across the province vs. requirements with fewer centres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Performance based contracts will contribute to increased accountability across centres. However, oversight may be complicated due to the variation across multiple centres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• With the technology improvements underway with the ESSO transformation strategy, triage of calls, bi-directional information sharing, and reporting will be enhanced, with all model options</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• The model can achieve a level of standardization, however, the efforts and oversight required to evolve change may be easier to implement with fewer centres</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Local community partnerships can continue to be fostered to strengthen integrated services. However, the model will require regional or provincial entities to collaborate with multiple centres on deployment of future opportunities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Operational Considerations: as there are no changes to siting or re-organization of dispatch centres, the current workforce, call patterns, and back-up contingency plans continue. Depending on other regional/provincial transformation initiatives underway, EHSB may need to explore impacts to current boundaries to align with integration opportunities</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Option 1: Transformation of Existing Dispatch Model

Model characteristics relative to the guiding principles, key priorities for transformation, and operational considerations

**Implications related to Guiding Principles**

- **Leading practice**: Existing backup contingency in the case of system failures as a result of multiple centres
- **Ease of Implementation**: With the focus on transformation within the existing dispatch model, required changes will be easier relative to the other model options
  - **Value**: Inability to achieve economies of scale, as the number of centres will remain unchanged. Further, while staffing ratios can be optimized and standardized across sites, minimum staff requirements will limit the extent of efficiencies achieved
  - **Utilization of Paramedic Service Resources**: More challenging to employ system status management with many centres vs. fewer
  - **Standardization**: While processes and practices can be optimized and standardized across sites, this will require significant effort due to the large number of centres
  - **Leading practice**: Other jurisdictions are moving towards consolidation of centres to better optimize resources and standardize processes
  - **System integration**: Different dispatch centres for land and air will require increased coordination for complex transports
  - **System Integration/Future Transformation**: Due to the limited organizational changes, it may be challenging to seamlessly position for further system integration opportunities

**Implications related to Key Priorities for Transformation and Operational Considerations**

- Effort and resources will be required to monitor and audit KPIs for 22 communications centres across the province vs. requirements with fewer centres
- Performance based contracts will contribute to increased accountability across centres. However, oversight may be complicated due to the variation across multiple centres
- With the technology improvements underway and with the ESSO transformation strategy, triage of calls, bi-directional information sharing, and reporting will be enhanced, with all model options
- The model can achieve a level of standardization, however, the efforts and oversight required to evolve change may be easier to implement with fewer centres
- Local community partnerships can continue to be fostered to strengthen integrated services. However, the model will require regional or provincial entities to collaborate with multiple centres on deployment of future opportunities
- Operational Considerations: as there are no changes to siting or re-organization of dispatch centres, the current workforce, call patterns, and back-up contingency plans continue. Depending on other regional/provincial transformation initiatives underway, EHSB may need to explore impacts to current boundaries to align with integration opportunities
Option 2: Regional Dispatch Model

Model characteristics relative to the guiding principles, key priorities for transformation, and operational considerations

Implications related to Guiding Principles

- **Value:** Trend towards achieving great economies of scale with fewer centres; efficiencies gained through consolidation of sites as minimum staffing levels are no longer required due to critical mass being achieved

- **Utilization of Paramedic Service Resources:** Easier to employ system status management with fewer centres

- **Leading practice:** Existing backup contingency in the case of system failures as a result of multiple centres

- **Leading practice:** Aligns with the movement in other jurisdiction around consolidation

- **System Integration/Future Transformation:** With fewer regional centres, the Branch is better positioned for further system integration opportunities

  - **System integration:** Different dispatch centres for land and air will require increased coordination for complex transports

  - **Ease of implementation:** Changes to organizational structures and staffing will require robust planning and efforts

Implications related to Key Priorities for Transformation and Operational Considerations

- Consolidating communications centres will increase the likelihood of success of standardized performance monitoring due to the reduced number of centres requiring monitoring

- Performance based contracts will contribute to increased accountability across centres. However, oversight will be less complicated with fewer centres

- With the technology improvements underway and with the ESSO transformation strategy, triage of calls, bi-directional information sharing, and reporting will be enhanced, with all model options

- Consolidation of centres will support a structure to better standardize policies and procedures, as well as reinforce HR management practices

- Although knowledge of local communities may not be as comprehensive due to consolidation of centres, there is still opportunity to tailor centres to meet the needs of the geographical region. The model will require regional or provincial entities to collaborate with multiple centres on deployment of future opportunities, albeit fewer centres

- Operational Considerations: The EHSB will need to conduct an assessment on the size and physical capacity of the current centres, to support discussions on siting options. The consolidation to fewer centres will have an impact to the workforce in smaller communities, though potential technology supports could allow for virtual workplaces in the future
Option 3: Centralized Dispatch Model

Model characteristics relative to the guiding principles, key priorities for transformation, and operational considerations

### Implications related to Guiding Principles

- **Value:** Model enables achievement of great economies of scale with efficiencies gained through consolidation of sites as minimum staffing levels are no longer required due to critical mass being achieved
- **Utilization of Paramedic Service Resources:** System status management can be implemented in a seamless way with a centralized model
- **Leading practice:** Aligns with the movement in other jurisdiction around consolidation
- **System Integration/Future Transformation:** Implementation of future system integration opportunities may be easier with a common operational leadership to inform and implement transformation changes more broadly
- **System integration:** Consolidated land and air dispatch will support enhanced coordination for complex transports
  - **Leading practice:** Challenge to ensure sufficient backup contingency with potential system failures and the ability to manage overflow
  - **Ease of implementation:** Changes to organizational structures and staffing will require robust planning and efforts

### Implications related to Key Priorities for Transformation and Operational Considerations

- Consolidating air and land communications centres will increase the likelihood of success of standardized performance monitoring due to the reduced number of centres requiring monitoring. Furthermore, efficiencies may be achieved through consolidated decision support for air and land dispatch.
- Performance based contracts will contribute to increased accountability across centres. However, oversight can be maintained consistently with a centralized model
- With the technology improvements underway and with the ESSO transformation strategy, triage of calls, bi-directional information sharing, and reporting will be enhanced, with all model options
- Consolidation of centres will provide an opportunity to revisit and standardize policies and procedures across all centres and enable a consistent, streamlined approach for air and land dispatch. HR management practices can be reinforced in a standardized way, which can build capacity in the leaders
- Knowledge of local communities to meet the needs of geographical regions may not be as comprehensive due to consolidation of centres
- Consolidation of air and land communications centres aligns with the future vision of integration with other services and the broader health system. A centralized approach may accelerate collaboration opportunities in the future with other provincial or regional partners
- Operational Considerations: The EHSB will need to conduct an assessment on the size and physical capacity of the current centres, to support discussions on siting options. The consolidation to fewer centres will have an impact to the workforce in smaller communities, though potential technology supports could allow for virtual workplaces in the future
Provincial initiatives, including *Patients First* and EESO, will evolve the health system, allowing for new service models for communications.

**Innovation in Care Delivery Models**

Future models will transform care delivery to participate in community prevention interventions such as home visits and wellness clinics, in alignment with the objectives of *Patients First*. The future state roadmap of ambulance response is for communications centres to play a role in triaging callers and initiating an integrated response including connecting them with existing **community services** that are **closer to home**, such as Telehealth and Health Links, thereby minimizing the use of acute care resources.

**Disruptive Enabling Technologies**

Evolving technology will play a role in ambulance communications through increased automation of communications, use of artificial intelligence and machine learning, advanced capabilities through telemedicine technology, and virtualized technology to transform service delivery and enable innovative workforce models. The planned 2017-18 and 2018-19 system improvements will focus on technology enabled bi-directional data sharing between dispatchers and paramedics and a comprehensive pre-hospital patient record.

**Insights to Manage Performance and Inform Progressive Transformation**

Use of analytics will help inform decision-making to improve services offered, patient outcomes and achieve an end-to-end perspective on the patient journey through pre-hospital care. As part of EESO, an accountability structure will be established for emergency health services and benchmarks to measure system performance will be identified. The use of analytics will inform predictive modeling and enable faster and improved access to care for patient and better resource planning.
Summary of Survey, Focus Group, and Interview Participants

Stakeholder engagement informed our understanding of the current state of emergency communications in Ontario

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey</th>
<th>558 survey responses were received from the following organizations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- MOHLTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- LHIN</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CCSO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Critical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Municipal Organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Ornge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Paramedic Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CACC / ACC / OCC / ACS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Focus Groups</th>
<th>4 focus groups were conducted as follows:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- OAPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- ED LHIN Leads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Ornge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- EHSB SMT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interviews</th>
<th>7 interviews were conducted, with individuals representing the following organizations:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Rama First Nation Paramedic Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- MOHLTC, Direct Services Division</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- James Bay Ambulance Services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- MOHLTC, Health Services I&amp;IT Cluster</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Association of Municipalities Ontario</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Critical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- MOHLTC, Emergency Health Services Branch Leadership</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Performance and HR Data Methodology

The following methodology was used to analyze performance and HR data for CACCs as demonstrated on the following slides:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Call Volumes Received            | - Data pulled from ARIS Report by Ministry  
- Includes Code 1-4 calls  
- Date range: Jan 1, 2014 – Sept 30, 2016                                                                                                                                               |
| 90th Percentile Dispatch Times   | - Data pulled from ARIS Report by Ministry  
- Includes Code 4 calls  
- Calls with T0-T2 > 1800 seconds excluded  
- Calls share, double dispatch and unit transfer calls excluded                                                                                                                     |
| Actual Spend per CACC            | - Data provided by Ministry for FY 14/15 and FY 15/16  
- Includes CACC costs only, not costs associated with Paramedic Services                                                                                                                                                     |
| Sick Days                        | - Number of sick days provided by Ministry for Ministry-run CACCs and by individual CACCs for non-Ministry centres  
- Sick-time for part time employees was not included  
- Where sick-time was provided in hours, assumption was 8-hour shifts to convert to days  
- Date range: Apr 1, 2015 – Mar 31, 2016                                                                                                                                         |
| Span of Control                  | - Employee data provided by Ministry for Ministry-run CACCs and by individual CACCs for non-Ministry centres  
- Date range: Apr 1, 2015 – Mar 31, 2016  
- Number of employees determined based on data sent over  
- Span of control calculation as follows:  
  - (# of full-time + part-time employees) / # of Operations Managers                                                                                                           |
| Call Volumes/Dispatcher          | - Calculation as follows:  
  - Call volumes received / (# of full-time employees + sum of FTE of part-time employees)                                                                                                                |
Performance Data Analysis – Land Ambulance
Volumes of Calls Received by Code (2016)
The majority of calls received were categorized as Code 4, with the exception of Toronto Niagara, and Timmins CACCs

- The graphics illustrate the proportion of priority Code calls by CACC
- The majority of sites categorized the highest proportion of calls as Code 4 calls
- Toronto, Niagara, and Timmins were the only sites that categorized <50% of calls as Code 4
- Timmins had the greatest proportion of Code 2 calls (20% for 2016)

Source: ARIS Reports
*Data from January-September 2016 was used to project the total volume for the year
Actual Spend Per CACC and Corresponding Call Volumes

Spend varied from $1.7M to $21M relative to the number of calls received.

- Toronto CACC had the highest actual spend and highest call volumes in FY15/16, while Parry Sound had the lowest actual spend and call volume.

Source: ARIS Reports

*Call volumes represent Code 1-4 calls received.
HR Data Analysis – Land Ambulance
HR Data Limitations

Analysis of HR data is limited by availability and quality of information across CACCs

- As part of the current state analysis, our team reviewed HR-related data to gain insights into the operational practices and outcomes to determine impacts to trends, such as attrition, sick time, and overtime use.
- While the data request distributed to the CACCs included standardized HR data points, a number of issues emerged in the process to inform comparisons across regions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Limited standardization</th>
<th>Variation in methodology to capture data, including role categories, which poses challenges in comparing span of control and responsibilities</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data quality</td>
<td>Limited availability to extract typical HR data easily (e.g., number of FTEs by role, overtime usage, turnover by employee vs. at an aggregate level, etc.), thus manual calculations required to generate data</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Unable to extract overtime data for MOH-operated CACCs</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

With the limitations to the available HR data, only targeted analyses can be conducted and comparisons of CACC performance should be considered directional in nature.
Call Volumes and Corresponding Average Sick Days per Dispatcher by CACC*

Trend shows a correlation between increased sick time volume of calls per dispatcher

Note that on-call FTE information was not available for the Timmins and Muskoka CACCs, and number of sick days was not available for the Timmins CACC

*Call volumes represent Code 1-4 calls received
Span of Control in CACCs

Ratios of Operational Managers to Dispatch Officers is variable across CACCs

- The number of Operations Managers in CACCs ranges from 1-6, and is proportional to call volumes and number of employees
- Regardless of CACC size, at least one Operations Manager is required on staff
- Span of control for Operations Managers ranged from a ratio of 1 Operations Manager:13 ACOs to 1 Operations Manager:31 ACOs, averaging ~19 ACOs per Operations Manager

Further investigation is required into an optimal ratio for span of control, however opportunities for efficiencies of scale with regards to staffing exist in larger CACCs.
Jurisdictional Review
Summary of Review of Jurisdictional Practices

Key highlights from the review of ambulance communication models in different regions provide opportunities to consider for the future state

- All jurisdictions reviewed had a **single governance entity** for oversight of ambulance dispatch
  - Current dispatch models establish **government as the overall oversight body** with only municipalities, hospitals, or private companies operating as direct service providers
  - For jurisdictions with contracted out services (i.e., USA and Nova Scotia), **performance based contracts** with penalties and incentives are used to ensure accountability
    - Regular **review** of performance and a combination of **process and outcome measures** allow for evidence-based decision making and evaluation of service providers
- Use of a **standardized triage system** across all dispatch centres is common in most jurisdictions
- Jurisdictions with **CAD to CAD compatibility** have ‘borderless’ dispatch allowing dispatch of resources from neighbouring communities and seamless back-up in the event of a system failure
  - Advanced telecommunication systems automatically re-route calls when dispatch centres are not able to receive calls
- Many jurisdictions have moved to an **expanded role of ambulance dispatch centres** where low acuity calls are referred to existing community resources
  - Built-in referral criteria during triage for low acuity calls can optimize use of existing healthcare resources
- Clear criteria and roles for use of air ambulance and inter-facility transfers to streamline processes and ensure clear accountability in emergency health services system
  - Use of **integrated communication systems between service providers** to enable prompt and clear sharing of relevant patient information and performance data
- Advanced **management reporting systems** enable centralized capture of employee data and shift reports, with real-time updates to managers on performance at multiple levels
### Jurisdictional Overview – Nova Scotia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highlights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overview</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Provision of emergency services governed by Nova Scotia EHS through a privately owned company – Emergency Medical Care (EMC)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• One Medical Communications Centre (MCC) dispatches 160 ambulances from 60 ambulance bases</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Performance Management and Monitoring** |
| • EMC is obligated by a performance-based contract with the province |
| • Performance targets include response times and qualifications for paramedics |

| **Leadership and Structures** |
| • The MCC, land ambulances, and air medical transport operation are all operated by EMC |

| **Infrastructure, Technology Requirements** |
| • Standardized communication through Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD) with mapping capability and automatic vehicle location (AVL) through GPS |
| • Mobile terminals in trucks are able to communicate with CADs through specialized software |

| **People and Roles** |
| • All EHS Paramedics and dispatchers are employed by EMC and are unionized |

| **Health Care System Integration Points** |
| • Telecare – the government now contracts EMC to manage a standardized phone number where registered nurses provide advice to callers for their non-emergency scenarios |
| • While most RNs work out of their homes, EMC provides space for a contact centre that can house up to 5 nurses at any time |

---

Sources: Ross Patient Journey through Emergency Care in Nova Scotia (2010), Nova Scotia EHS website, Emergency Medical Care Inc., Auditor General Report
## Jurisdictional Overview – British Columbia

### Highlights

| Overview | • British Columbia Ambulance Service (BCAS) is the sole ambulance service provider and is managed by BC Emergency Health Services  
• Three dispatch centres in operation (Vancouver, Kamloops, and Vancouver Island), which dispatch both land and air ambulance  
• In total, the three dispatch operations centres receive ~1900 requests for emergency response per day |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Performance Management and Monitoring</td>
<td>• Measures response times according to dispatch priority with a goal of achieving 9 minutes or less 75% of the time for “highest acuity” patients and 15 minutes or less 75% of the time for medium acuity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership and Structures</td>
<td>• Local presence of front line leadership to ensure dispatchers have immediate access to on site supervisors for assistance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Infrastructure, Technology Requirements | • MPDS in place to triage calls at all BC dispatch centres  
• Standardized CAD technology connects all dispatch centres, while mobile CAD technology connects ambulances with dispatch centres  
• GPS/AVL in place in all ambulances |
| Health Care System Integration Points | • Dispatch Operations Centre operates the provincial Patient Transfer Coordination Centre (PTCC) which is the Central coordination hub for all inter-facility transfers across the province  
  – Coordinates air and ground critical care transports primarily within BC, but will coordinate for international transfers if needed |

### Jurisdictional Overview – Alberta

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highlights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Overview** | AHS is responsible for PS services across the province  
Three dispatch centres – 2 operated by AHS and one by the City of Calgary  
Three satellite centres – 1 operated by AHS, one by City of Red Deer, and one by City of Lethbridge |
| **Performance Management and Monitoring** | Currently two different provincial PS data sets – challenges in using this data for comprehensive performance, quality and safety management  
PS dispatch software in place to measure response times for a specific period of time, service, or geographical area |
| **Leadership and Structures** | While AHS is responsible for PS services in Alberta, there have been challenges with consolidation of dispatch, leading to a mixed governance structure where some dispatch centres are operated by AHS and others are under contract |
| **Infrastructure, Technology Requirements** | All dispatch centres currently use the same CAD platform but not the same instance of it, resulting in challenges with communication between centres  
Majority of ambulances have on-board computers that communicate with the dispatch centre’s CAD system, however there are still areas of the province that do not have this technology in place |
| **People and Roles** | Transition of PS system to AHS has resulted in more standardized staff training, however challenges included a loss of local community knowledge and challenges for staff adjusting to a new organizational culture |
| **Health Care System Integration Points** | Community Health and Pre-Hospital Support Program (CHAPS) allows Paramedics to refer patients to Home Care and other community services to reduce PS transport to emergency departments |

Sources: Health Quality Council of Alberta (2013 report), Alberta Health Services website, 2009 Alberta EMS Dispatch Centre Site Evaluation
# Jurisdictional Overview – Manitoba

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highlights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overview</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Performance Management and Monitoring</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Leadership and Structures</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Infrastructure, Technology Requirements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>People and Roles</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Health Care System Integration Points</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Sources: Manitoba EMS System Review (2013), Regional Health Authorities of Manitoba website, Medical Transportation Coordination Centre website, Winnipeg Fire Paramedics Service website
## Jurisdictional Overview – United States of America (select cities)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Highlights</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Overview** | • Ambulance dispatch in the United States is variable with some cities using “low-tech” approaches to dispatch, while others have very advanced technology in place  
• Systems range from publicly operated PS structures to private/for profit PS, depending on the needs of the population |
| **Performance Management and Monitoring** | • Both MedStar and RAA have set performance standards of responding to the highest priority calls within 9 minutes, 90 percent of the time  
• Recommendations by the American Ambulance Association include having performance based contracts in place that measure clinical excellence, response-time reliability, economic efficiency, and customer satisfaction |
| **Leadership and Structures** | • American Ambulance Association recommends arms length oversight for contracted emergency services to monitor performance against other high-performance systems, and ensuring established service requirements are met |
| **Infrastructure, Technology Requirements** | • MedStar and RAA both have System Status Management (SSM) tools in place, which use predictive modeling to determine the best placement of available vehicles  
• All systems utilize MPDS for ambulance dispatch triage levels – REMSA and RAA both use ProQA, which is the software version of MPDS |
| **People and Roles** | • REMSA has monthly continuing education in place as well as online training modules to educate staff |
| **Health System Integration Points** | • REMSA and MedStar: Low or no acuity 911 calls are transferred to a specially trained RN in the communications centre, who evaluates needs and connects patients to the best/most appropriate resource  
• REMSA: Integrated land and air ambulance dispatch centres – simultaneous dispatch while providing care instructions to callers |

Sources: Fort Worth, Texas (MedStar), Reno, Nevada (REMSA), and Richmond, Virginia (RAA) websites, American Ambulance Association website,
### Jurisdictional Overview – United Kingdom

#### Highlights

| Overview | NHS provides funding to Clinical Commissioning Groups, which come together to purchase ambulance services through NHS Trusts  
13 ambulance services trusts throughout the UK, operated by different organizations |
| Performance Management and Monitoring | Performance of every NHS ambulance provider is measure and benchmarked by the government  
Numerous benchmarked targets including time to answer calls, time until treatment by an ambulance, call abandonment rate, as well as outcome measures for stroke and cardiac arrest |
| Leadership and Structures | Department of Health governs legislation on Ambulance Trusts  
Clinical Commissioning Groups funded by NHS to purchase ambulance services for their regions |
| Infrastructure, Technology Requirements | Standardized communication through Computer Aided Dispatch (CAD), Mobile Data Terminals  
AMPDS in place for identifying dispatch priority as well as NHS Pathways in some dispatch centres  
999 calls are passed to British Telecom and then to designated emergency services – calls will be passed on to another ambulance dispatch centre if the initial centre does not respond  
– PSAP is operated by British Telecom |
| People and Roles | Volunteer community first responders (CFRs) in place – members of the public who have received training to answer ambulance 999 calls and respond immediately within their local area, during their own time |

Sources: Ambulance Care in Europe (2010), Transforming NHS Ambulance Services (2011), NHS website, Association of Ambulance Chief Executives website
## Jurisdictional Overview – Medavie

### Highlights

#### Overview
- Medavie is a health company, consisting of Medavie Health Services and Medavie Blue Cross
- Medavie Health Services manages a number of subsidiary companies in emergency medical services (EMS), mobile integrated health, telehealth, medical communications, public safety delivery and clinical training
- Medavie Health Services currently provides EMS services in six Canadian provinces and in Massachusetts in a number of different services models including end-to-end services in Nova Scotia; land and air ambulance services in New Brunswick; 911 call-taking services, pre-hospital emergency care and non-emergency transfers in Prince Edward Island; community paramedicine and call processing in Saskatoon; and ground ambulance services in a number of areas across Canada

#### Performance Management and Monitoring
- In Nova Scotia, Medavie operates under a performance based contract with annual performance reviews – while high level reporting is provided to the government (e.g., overall response times), this data is broken down and reviewed internally to identify limitations and mitigation strategies

#### Infrastructure, Technology Requirements
- Dispatch centres in Nova Scotia, New Brunswick and Saskatoon use MPDS to triage calls and have all achieved accreditation through the International Academies of Emergency Dispatch
- Communication centres can coordinate sending patient information to receiving hospital facilities, often through fax; currently exploring virtual whiteboard technology for better integration of services with hospitals

#### People and Roles
- Contracts often have an Accreditation requirement to drive quality and safety in the system – focus of Accreditation is on ensuring that appropriate advice is provided to callers and sufficient information is obtained to dispatch resources

---

Sources: Interview with Medavie Senior Leadership, Medavie website
# Jurisdictional Overview – Medavie

## Highlights

### People and Roles cont’d
- Medavie has a number of subsidiaries that have achieved accreditation including:
  - Prairie EMS – the first private Ambulance operator in Alberta to receive Qmentum accreditation
  - EHS in Nova Scotia – accredited by the Commission on Accreditation of Ambulance Services (CAAS), National Academies of Emergency Dispatch (NAED), and Commission on Accreditation of Medical Transport Systems (CAMTS)

### Health Care System Integration Points
- Have experience integrating EMS system with 811 in Nova Scotia, which is a provincial health care service offering 24/7 telecare service through a registered nurse (RN)
  - Medical dispatch centres are used as a hub for appropriately triaging and coordinating incoming calls in order to optimize coordination and improve the accessibility and delivery of primary health care
  - It is reported that this has reduced ambulance dispatch volumes by appropriately redirecting low priority calls

### Lessons Learned
- Important to identify a vision for service provision (i.e., public safety vs. alignment with health system transformation) and ensure that structure of emergency services aligns with vision
- Achieving true integration of a system requires uniformity and alignment across service providers; this will contribute to efficiencies in the system and allow for effective allocation of resources

---

Sources: Interview with Medavie Senior Leadership, Medavie website
FACT SHEET

Clinical Response Model

- As of May 30, 2018 BC Emergency Health Services (BCEHS) has updated the system for how it assigns paramedics, ambulances and other resources to 9-1-1 calls.
- The new Clinical Response Model (CRM) is aimed at more accurately matching resources to the needs of the patient.
- It is one of the many changes being made as part of the three-year BCEHS Action Plan to improve patient care.
- The focus of the CRM is to get paramedics to the most critically ill and injured patients as quickly as possible, and to improve the health-care experience for all patients.
- The CRM replaced the Resource Allocation Plan (RAP), which assumes ambulance transport for every patient.
- As with the previous system, the condition of the patient is categorized by dispatch staff using the Medical Priority Dispatch System (MPDS). Once the condition is categorized, resource assignment is determined using the Clinical Response Model.
- The CRM uses a colour-coding system with some similarities to the colour system used in hospitals (see chart below).
- The CRM provides for six categories (vs. RAP’s three) for assignment of resources for both emergency and non-emergency calls.
  - The RAP responses were: BLS 2 (Basic Life Support ambulance going non lights and sirens); BLS 3 (Basic Life Support ambulance going lights and sirens) or HL3 (Highest level paramedics and ambulances available going lights and sirens).
  - CRM responses include six colour codes. The colour indicates the resource and response type for an event and it also indicates the relative priority of the call, with Purple being the highest priority.
    - Calls that are assigned the colour Blue will not be immediately dispatched. Blue calls will be flagged for a patient callback and further clinical assessment by a nurse to determine if their need can be met without transportation.
    - At this time, no 9-1-1 calls will be categorized as Green. Including Green within the current Clinical Response Model allows for the future introduction of on-scene assessment and treatment protocols (“Treat and Release”).
- BCEHS receives approximately 140,000 calls per year that are non-urgent. BCEHS estimates that slightly more than half of these calls could be resolved without ambulance transport.
  - About 3,500 of these calls are already transferred to nurses at HealthLinkBC.
- In 2017, the Emergency Health Services Act was updated to allow BCEHS to provide alternative clinical responses to patients calling 9-1-1.
- The BCEHS CRM has been implemented in other major jurisdictions resulting in improvements in the patient experience and clinical outcomes. Examples of the CRM system can be found in Scotland, Wales and Victoria, Australia.
## FACT SHEET

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Condition</th>
<th>Colour</th>
<th>Contact</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Immediately life threatening (Eg. Cardiac Arrest)</td>
<td>Purple</td>
<td>BCEHS Communications <a href="mailto:media@bcehs.ca">media@bcehs.ca</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Immediately life threatening or time critical (Eg. Chest Pain)</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Media Line: 778-867-7472</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Urgent / Potentially serious, but not immediately life threatening (Eg. Abdominal Pain)</td>
<td>Orange</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-urgent (not serious or life threatening) (Eg. Sprained Ankle)</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-urgent (not serious or life threatening). Possibly suitable for treatment at scene ** NOT Being implemented immediately</td>
<td>Green</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-urgent (not serious or life threatening) Further clinical telephone triage and advice Referrals to HealthLink BC (8-1-1 calls)</td>
<td>Blue</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix 3: Community Paramedicine

Community paramedicine programs currently running throughout the province vary in scope depending on the needs in the community (1). Some offer more in-depth or wider ranging services than others. The Ontario Association of Paramedic Chiefs recommends expanding community paramedicine throughout the province retaining flexibility at the local level.

It is important to note that partnership with other healthcare professionals and alignment with Ontario Health Teams, primary care and Family Health teams are keys to the success of community paramedicine programs. Through collaboration and integration with healthcare teams, community paramedics can play a pivotal role in achieving positive patient and system efficiency outcomes.

Positive findings to date

For 9-1-1 callers, community paramedicine programs can provide timely and appropriately resourced navigation to specialized services. They can also help reduce hallway healthcare with effective management of short to mid-term episodic care.

As part of a healthcare team, community paramedicine programs have been shown to:

- reduce costs (2-5) **Example**: Mean reduction in health utilization costs of 56% for enrolled patients (5)
- improve efficiency (4, 6, 7) **Example**: Mean reduction in case management time of greater than two hours per patient, with greater efficiency realized over longer enrollment periods (4)
- reduce hallway healthcare by:
  - shortening length of stay via early detection of deterioration using paramedic-led remote patient monitoring (4) **Example**: Mean reduction in hospital length of stay of 7.1 days for enrolled patients (4)
  - reducing readmissions by connecting 9-1-1 callers to preventative community-based services (4, 8-12) **Example**: 78% of enrolled patients were evaluated, treated, and remained at home (8)
  - reducing emergency department visits by reducing avoidable emergency department visits) (4, 7, 9, 13) **Example**: Less than 6% of enrolled patients required treatment in the ED within 48h of calling 9-1-1 (9)
  - helping patients navigate the system (14-20) **Example**: Treatment and transport options were identified as contributing factors of improved health outcomes (17)

Community Paramedicine...

- **is a mobilized service able to respond in real time to unexpected events**. Leveraging existing expertise community paramedicine is able to respond to 911 callers and clients in a highly agile manner that cannot be duplicated by other “mobile” health teams, which often require pre-planned scheduled visits. Community paramedics, as mobile healthcare providers, are able to see patients through both scheduled and unscheduled visits, supporting patients with care “in-place,” and assisting with transportation when necessary.

- **is an adaptable element of patient-centered, integrated care**. Community paramedicine
programs work with multiple stakeholders across multiple disciplines and specialties to support, develop and implement care plans aimed at keeping people safe at home. Community paramedicine programs can include screening, assessment, and navigation to appropriate services for all major populations – chronic conditions, frequent fallers, frail elderly, palliative care at home patients, high risk emergency department discharges, and mental health & addictions.

- **... contributes to safe care transitions and supports other healthcare team members in ensuring a successful return to community settings.** Community paramedicine programs have been designed to include linkages with primary care providers, real-time notification processes, medication reviews, health promotion, patient and caregiver support and education, and integration and coordination with hospital discharge planners and/or home care coordinators.

## References


Re: Paramedic Self-Regulation

The Ontario Association of Paramedic Chiefs (OAPC) submits this letter to the Health Professions Regulatory Advisory Council (HPRAC) to provide input as to whether paramedics should become a self-regulated profession. To this end, the OAPC seeks a meeting with the HPRAC to provide its perspective on the merits of paramedic self-regulation and the potential establishment of a college of paramedics.

The OAPC was chartered as the Association of Municipal Emergency Medical Services of Ontario (AMEMSO). Rebranded in 2012, it represents all fifty-two (52) Ontario EMS designated delivery agents (municipalities), some first nations EMS, and Ornge. The OAPC’s members represent Ontario’s EMS leadership: chiefs, deputy chiefs, and other leadership personnel. Through its body of work, it is now recognized as an authority on matters relating to the delivery of paramedic emergency medical service to the residents of Ontario.

The mission of the OAPC is: “Promoting a culture of change surrounding paramedicine that is guided by evidence based decision-making and seeks best practices in the provision of service”. In its pursuit of a world-class EMS system for Ontario, the OAPC has the following goals:

1. To be recognized as the leading authority for developing evidence based expertise in system design and delivery;
2. To be recognized as a trusted advocate for patients as an advisor towards the development of responsible public policy; and
3. To recognize performance excellence and provide “best practice” management tools and resources to its members.

Attached, we offer a summary of our key points in this regard, and we request further discussion on these points.

Sincerely,

Norm Gale
President

Copy: OAPC Membership
Being recognized as the leading authority for developing evidence-based expertise in system design and delivery, the OAPC believes:

- The central issue related to paramedic self-regulation is that of improving patient safety
- That by establishing appropriate, evidence-based care guidelines, patients will receive equal access to the highest quality of paramedic care
- That a paramedic regulatory college would allow paramedics to deliver alternative models of paramedic care and that alternative care models will further enhance the lives, health and well-being of Ontarians
- That establishing a paramedic regulatory college would create system efficiencies and provide an opportunity to increase the efficiency of health care delivery and give the health care system greater flexibility and capacity
- That establishing a paramedic regulatory college will clearly define the lines of transfer of patient care between providers and that this definition will result in much improved, safer patient experience in their journey through the health care system

Being recognized as a trusted advocate for patients and as an advisor towards the development of responsible public policy, the OAPC believes:

- That clear definitions of responsibility for care improves patient safety
- The regulatory college of paramedics would provide for increased transparency in that there would be less political influence, processes would be streamlined and paramedic certification would have less influence on the funding provided to current base hospital programmes
- A centralised regulatory body would provide consistent over-sight to ensure that all paramedics across Ontario receive the same continuing medical education quality, quantity and requirements as well as a consistent approach to licensure - adding to the safety of the care provided by paramedics
- That establishing a paramedic regulatory college that replaces other regulatory agencies is most appropriate as a peer-based professional authority is best positioned to streamline existing processes and practices enhancing patient safety and continually improving paramedic patient care
- That paramedics in Ontario are currently heavily regulated by multiple agencies and that by managing the bureaucracy efficiencies will be found easily and naturally
- A clear definition of the profession would emerge from the formation of a regulatory college for paramedics
Recognising performance excellence and provide “best practice” management tools and resources to its members, the OAPC believes:

- That a paramedic regulatory college would be a responsive and agile system whereby current best practices could be implemented – ensuring care is up-to-date and most appropriate
- A centralised college of paramedics would ensure adequate over-sight of the profession ensuring all providers are engaged in the implementation of paramedic care best practices
- Peer review of any instances of complaints relating to paramedic care is a professional, appropriate and powerful tool to enhance and ensure patient safety
- A paramedic peer review structure within a regulatory college will bring forward clear research opportunities further bringing system efficiencies to the profession
Understanding Professional Self-Regulation

Glen E. Randall BA, MA, MBA, PhD candidate, Founding Registrar of the College of Respiratory Therapists of Ontario (CRTO) 1993 - Nov 2000

In the course of daily life, people routinely come together to make business transactions in which they buy and sell products and services ranging from groceries to dental care. When making these transactions, some people may be disadvantaged as compared to others, due to an imbalance of information and knowledge. While the average person will be able to determine when a piece of fruit has spoiled, they may have greater difficulty knowing if their car engine is beyond repair, or if they really require a root canal on a tooth. To address this problem, governments regulate a great deal of commercial activity within society, in order to create a more level playing field between experts and the general public.

Government has a wide range of mechanisms at its disposal to influence or control business transactions. When it comes to regulating transactions between the public and professionals, governments are expected to make sure that the public has some form of protection. For instance, government rules help to ensure that our legal system is fair, teachers are knowledgeable, accountants behave in an ethical manner, and physicians are competent. Examples of government regulation range from rules requiring informed consent when a member of the public has a medical procedure performed, to rules about insider trading for buying and selling stocks. Overall, it is believed that such rules create a fairer system. One of the most common approaches used by government to regulate the practice of professionals is through a system of professional self-regulation.

What is Professional Self-Regulation?

Professional self-regulation is a regulatory model which enables government to have some control over the practice of a profession and the services provided by its members. Self-regulation is based on the concept of an occupational group entering into an agreement with government to formally regulate the activities of its members. The agreement typically takes the form of the government granting self-regulatory status. This is done through a piece of legislation which provides a framework for the regulation of a specified profession, and identifies the extent of the legal authority that has been delegated to the profession’s regulatory body.

The specific legal authority transferred from government to the profession’s regulatory body varies with different regulatory models. In exchange for the benefits of professional status, the regulatory body of a profession is expected to develop, implement, and enforce various rules. These rules are designed to protect the public by ensuring that services from members of the profession are provided in a competent and ethical manner. This legal authority often includes: the right to set standards for who may enter the profession; the right to set standards of practice for those working in the profession; and the right to create rules for when and how members may be removed from the profession.
The self-regulatory model also generally requires that a regulatory body put in place a complaints and
discipline system. Such a system permits members of the public to raise concerns about services a
professional provides to them, as well as provides a process to investigate and, if necessary, discipline
any member of a profession who fails to meet professional standards of practice. It is expected that all
of a regulatory body’s decisions and activities will be done in the “public interest.” In other words, the
primary purpose behind all regulatory body decisions is to protect the public from incompetent or
unethical practitioners.

Approaches to professional self-regulation range from minimal to extensive control over a profession.
Governments select from among different regulatory approaches, based on the nature of the activities
performed by a profession’s members, and the extent to which the public might be harmed if an incompetent
member of a profession provided services. Professional self-regulation may take the form of licensure,
certification or registration. While the process of registration can be as simple as a requirement to ensure
that one’s name is recorded on some official record, the processes of licensure and certification have more
onerous requirements.

Licensure is one of the most restrictive forms of professional regulation. Specifically, licensure provides an
occupational group with monopoly control over who can practice a profession. Only those individuals who
have met specific requirements to enter a profession are issued a “license” to practice the profession. Entry
requirements are generally quite detailed and often include attaining specified educational requirements and
completion of some form of licensing examination.

Certification is essentially the stamp of approval given to an individual for meeting predetermined
requirements. Certification is often associated with monopoly use of a specific title or professional
designation. This model protects the public by providing information about qualifications so that the
public can make an informed decision about who they want to receive services from.

In recent years, in order to improve their accountability to the public and limit the monopoly control that
some professions had attained, many regulatory models around the world have undergone reform. These
reforms have attempted to provide the public with access to a more transparent regulatory system, as well
as greater choice in who can provide various services. As a result of this desire for transparency and
choice, more sophisticated forms of regulation have evolved, which might be described as hybrid models -
combining different features of licensure, certification and registration.

Ontario’s health professions, for example, are regulated under the Regulated Health Professions Act, 1991
. This piece of legislation has created a new and innovative model for professional self-regulation which no
longer gives professions an exclusive scope of practice. Rather, the legislation provides for overlapping
scopes of practice, whereby different professionals may carry out the same activities. This overlap offers
the public maximum flexibility to determine which professional he or she wants to provide a service.

At the same time, the regulatory model provides title protection for each of the professions, which
allows the public the ability to identify which individuals possess which skills.
Jurisdictions around the world have been interested in this new hybrid model for professional self-regulation. This is especially true of other Canadian jurisdictions. This interest suggests that any new occupation, to receive professional self-regulation, can expect to have aspects of a hybrid model incorporated into its regulatory framework.

Why Have Self-Regulation?
In Ontario, professional self-regulation has been used as a means of controlling the practice of some professions for more than 200 years. Government authority delegated to these professions has provided them with a great deal of autonomy and authority in determining both how many, and who, would be allowed to enter each profession. This control has also allowed the professions to limit the supply of professionals, which has ultimately translated into higher incomes for individual members.

Today in Ontario, there are more than three-dozen self-regulating professions, ranging from physicians and lawyers to architects and veterinarians. The majority of these self-regulating professions are health professions. This high percentage makes sense since incompetent or unethical health professionals run a high risk of causing harm to the public. Nonetheless, practitioners of other occupations can also cause harm to the public. For example, incompetent engineers can cause buildings to collapse and unethical accountants could embezzle your life savings.

In the later half of the Twentieth century, criticism of the self-regulating professions became widespread. The public came to see the monopoly control these professions had as simply a means of increasing the personal wealth of their members, rather than as a way to protect the public from incompetent or unethical practitioners. During this time, formal models of self-regulation have undergone fairly dramatic transformations. The emphasis of self-regulation has shifted from a focus on protection of the profession, to a focus on protection of the public.

Despite this greater emphasis on making the self-regulating professions more responsive and accountable to the public, numerous occupational groups continue to seek government support to become self-regulated professions. This raises the questions: why is self-regulatory status so desirable and what exactly does a profession gain from this exercise? The reality is that when an occupational group is granted the privilege of self-regulation, it gains a great deal. This includes greater autonomy and control, professional prestige and, in many cases, financial rewards.

Greater autonomy and control translates into independence of individual members of a profession to carry out activities with less or no supervision. It also means more autonomy and control for the profession as a whole. Under professional self-regulation, the regulatory body for a profession is able to set entry requirements and standards for practicing the profession, rather than having government, or another profession, impose requirements on the profession. In addition, the regulatory body provides the profession with a means of gaining access to government, which allows it to express its point of view and even negotiate for additional authority.

Prestige comes from attaining “professional” status and all of the benefits that go along with that status. Financial rewards resulting from self-regulation are difficult to quantify and they generally take several years to accrue. The financial benefits to professionals stem, in part, from the increase in demand for the services of a profession due to the public’s greater assurance that these professionals meet high standards.
Governments can also gain a great deal from allowing an occupational group to self-regulate. This form of regulation allows government to demonstrate that they have taken action to protect the public, but in a way that minimizes the government’s role. Regulating through a regulatory body also allows for greater flexibility in the regulatory process as rules can often be developed more quickly. The government saves the expense of hiring experts to assist with creating unique rules and standards for the profession. The self-regulatory model also transfers the cost of regulating from government to the profession itself. Most importantly, the self-regulatory model helps to insulate government from the actions of individual members of a profession or the rules put in place by its regulatory body.

One of the most persuasive arguments in favour of self-regulation is that an occupational group has evolved over time and developed a specialized body of knowledge which makes members of the group experts. Because the knowledge these members have is so specialized, it would be difficult and expensive, for the government to determine and monitor standards of practice for the profession. It is therefore thought that members of a profession are in the best position to set standards and to evaluate whether they have been met.

The regulatory body of a profession has significant autonomy from government in regulating its profession. Nonetheless, since a regulatory body’s legal authority is delegated from government, there needs to be some mechanism to ensure public accountability. This accountability of a profession is often facilitated through a reporting requirement to the government, usually through the Minister from the department which sponsored the legislation giving the group self-regulatory status. While the government generally has an arms-length relationship with the self-regulating profession - that is, it is not expected to interfere directly with the regulatory bodies decision making process - it often retains some ability to direct the regulatory body to do as it wishes under threat of removal of the profession’s self-regulatory status.

Another common method of holding a regulatory body accountable to the public is through the appointment of members of the public to its governing Board. Some organizations may have only one token public member, while others can have a majority of the Board appointed by government. In Ontario, self-regulatory legislation for the health professions mandates that just under half of each Board is composed of public appointees. Some would argue that such a large proportion of Board members need to be public members in order to ensure that there is effective public participation and that the organization makes its decisions in the public interest, as well as remains accountable to the public. Others would argue that having such a large proportion of public representatives on a regulatory body’s Board runs contrary to the principle of self-regulation. They would argue that only members of the profession, with specialized knowledge of the profession, are able to make decisions about the practice of the profession.

Qualifying for Self-Regulation
The move towards self-regulation is typically a long journey. In order to qualify for self-regulation, governments tend to consider several factors. First, government considers whether there is a risk of harm to the public from members of the occupational group. The basic philosophy of the self-regulatory model is that if there is no risk of harm to the public, there is no need for any form of government intervention, including self-regulation, which might limit who can provide a service. Under this circumstance, the greater choice of service provider the public has the better.
Second, the occupational group needs to be large enough to have adequate resources to implement a self-regulatory model. The resources required for self-regulation are quite significant. This means having adequate financial resources, as well as the commitment of enough members of the profession to assist with creating the standards and rules that will be necessary for the self-regulatory process to be implemented. Almost all self-regulating professions are expected to finance these activities through fees paid by members, who are required to maintain their memberships in order to practice the profession. As a result, it is uncommon for governments to allow smaller occupational groups to become self-regulated.

Lastly, the occupational group needs to have a defined body of knowledge that may be attained through specified education and does not overlap significantly with another occupational group. If the body of knowledge is too esoteric, or is already possessed by other occupational groups, it becomes impractical to set standards of practice for the profession.

**What Does a Regulatory Body Do?**

Regulatory bodies are expected to act in the public interest and not in the interest of the profession they regulate. In many situations, the public interest and the profession interest may be the same. In situations where they are not the same, it is the role of the professional association to represent the interests of the profession, while the regulatory body considers the public. Because of the conflict between making decisions in the interest of the public versus that of the profession, governments often require a separation between regulatory body and professional association. Despite this potential conflict, in some circumstances, such as the profession is newly regulated, fairly small, or the risk of harm to the public is relatively low, government may allow both the professional association and regulatory body to co-exist as one organization. Nonetheless, the public interest is expected to take precedence in making decisions related to regulatory functions. Failure to do so leaves the profession open to losing its self-regulatory status and potentially being regulated directly by government.

The main functions of a regulatory body include: (1) setting requirements for individuals to enter the profession; (2) setting requirements for the practice of the profession; (3) setting up a disciplinary process; and (4) setting up a process to evaluate the ongoing competence of members. For most occupational groups that are seeking professional self-regulation, they have already determined entry requirements and have developed standards of practice. In most cases, these requirements will have evolved over time and become informally adopted within the profession, despite lacking the same legal authority they will have under a regulatory body. Likewise, more advanced occupational groups will also already have a process in place for removing undesirable members. However, under a self-regulatory model, this process will probably have to become more formal and transparent.
Finally, a new regulatory body will need to implement some mechanism to assess the ongoing competence of members. Again, more advanced occupational groups may have some form of quality assurance already in place. Determining a method for evaluating continuing competence is often the most controversial activity performed by a regulatory body. There is controversy because quality assurance has such a dramatic impact on the individual members of a profession, due to the stress associated with complying with any requirements. Should a member fail to comply with the quality assurance process, or fail to meet current competency standards, the member might be compelled to undergo additional training or run the risk of being removed from the profession.

Quality assurance programs can also be controversial due to their high costs. One of the most common approaches to quality assurance has been to require a minimum number of education credits. This approach is the easiest to implement and is therefore often a starting point for new professions. Professions which use this approach are numerous and include health professions, lawyers, and real estate agents, to name a few. However, research questioning the value of this education credit approach is gaining support. While proponents see the education credit system as a good way of ensuring that professionals continue to expose themselves to ongoing education, critics argue that these systems are too focused on the process of education without having any knowledge of whether professional actually learn anything when they attend educational events.

One of the most popular methods of overcoming the deficit of credit systems has been to require professionals to maintain a professional portfolio. This portfolio not only documents a professional’s attendance at educational events, but also includes documentation of how those educational events relate to his or her specific educational needs as well as how what he or she learned is translated into the daily practice. While this professional portfolio approach to continuing competence is more proactive than the educational credit approach, it has been argued that it fails to adequately protect the public from members of the profession who are good at maintaining a professional portfolio but actually have not maintained their competence.

To address this dilemma, in some professions, where the potential risk of harm to the public is relatively high, the competence of professionals may be re-assessed on an ongoing basis. This may be done through a peer assessment process, where a professional is observed in his or her normal work environment, or a more formal assessment process, which re-evaluates competence in simulated environments. Examples of professions which undergo this more intensive assessment of their continuing competence include physicians, pharmacists and airline pilots. Where the potential risk of harm to the public is not as high, more cost-effective and less stressful approaches to assessing continuing competence may be more appropriate.

Conclusion
Attaining self-regulated status not only sends a message to society about the expertise and professionalism of an occupational group, but also provides members of the profession a priceless opportunity to gain control over their future and that of the entire profession. In the absence of self-regulation, at best, occupational groups can expect to be relegated to the status of second-class citizens in a world which has come to highly value professionals. Making the move towards professional self-regulation is one which each occupational group will have to make after thoughtful deliberation. Ultimately, self-regulation has tremendous benefits – but with those benefits come costs and responsibilities.
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Michael Sanderson,
Chief, Hamilton Paramedic Service
Thank you for the opportunity to provide feedback and submission to the consultation process regarding modernization of land ambulance service delivery. This submission is provided to support the consultation process that has been initiated by the Ministry of Health regarding modernization of Land Ambulance Service in the Province of Ontario. Except where there are differences identified within this document support is expressed for the submissions of the Ontario Association of Paramedic Chiefs (OAPC) and the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO) who have considered, and received input from our municipality, on many of these same issues. There are however some issues, and some approaches that are unique to the City of Hamilton, and some perspectives that have been gained from many years of leadership activity in EMS across both Ontario and Canada.

Summary

1. The foundational principles of seamless, accessible, integrated, accountable, and responsive ambulance service delivery should continue to guide the direction of ambulance system development.

2. Three outstanding consensus recommendations from the Land Ambulance Transition Taskforce (LATT) should be resolved in the modernization process. These include:
   a. Establishment of an operational dispute resolution mechanism;
   b. Establishment of a College of Paramedics; and
   c. Dispatch reform

3. Recommendations are provided in various sections of this submission on the following subject areas, summarized as follows:
   a. Dispatch services, including recommendations that operational responsibility for dispatch be transitioned to the Land Ambulance Service Provider and that core dispatch funding remain a Ministry responsibility;
   b. Accreditation should be pursued as a replacement for the existing Ambulance Service Review (ASR) process;
   c. Delays in transfer of care on arrival at hospital continue to create systemic pressures as paramedics perform hospital hallway medicine. Cost of this hallway staffing should be reimbursed by the Ministry to the ambulance service provider, removing the additional cost burden from the municipal tax base;
   d. Inter-facility transfers should be the subject of a fully integrated Provincial working group.
i. Terms of reference from successful implementation in another provincial jurisdiction are provided.

ii. All inter-facility transfers should be coordinated through the respective CACC and the process of booking and scheduling should be automated.

iii. Legislation should be considered to provide for the capacity to contract out delivery of low acuity non-urgent patient transfers to an appropriately qualified patient transfer service; and

iv. The Ministry should fully fund the cost of all inter-facility patient transfer service.

e. Community Paramedic programs should continue to be developed to match specific community needs. These programs should be integrated fully with the respective Ontario Health Teams and funded through the respective Ontario Health regional delivery program;

f. Ministry funding of land ambulance delivery should continue at a minimum level of 50% of the respective council approved operational budget inclusive of municipal overhead costs. The current one year lag in funding should be eliminated through implementation of one time funding processes.

g. A College of Paramedicine should be established under the Regulated Health Care Practitioners Act. The scope of paramedic practice, and the performance of delegated medical acts should be revised to reflect a Certification – Registration – Authorization paradigm. Base hospital funding should be redistributed to the respective land ambulance service providers who would then be required to establish appropriate medical oversight for both delegation and quality review.

The details and background to these summarized recommendations are provided below.

**Prior Reports**

Before addressing the questions from this current consultation session on modernization it is important to recognize that some of the issues being addressed now have previously been addressed, and that joint consensus recommendations from prior consultation on these issues remain outstanding.

In March 1998 the Ministry of Health and the Red Tape Commission created the Land Ambulance Transition Taskforce (LATT) to address changes contemplated with the revisions to the Ambulance Act which were to take effect in the year 2000. The LATT mandate was:
1. review and analyze outstanding issues relating to the transition of land ambulance services and provide advice on resolving each such issue (e.g. criteria for licensing operator, criteria for Upper-tier Municipalities to assume full responsibility, etc.),

2. provide advice on proposed land ambulance service performance, patient care and delivery standards,

3. review and analyze the appropriateness and content of proposed implementation plans,

4. provide advice to the Ministry of Health on principles and practices for transferring financial and operational responsibility to municipalities for land ambulance services,

5. provide advice to the Ministry of Health on policies and practices relating to the recovery of funds from municipalities and the municipal role in information and decision-making during the transition period.

Representation on LATT was broad including Ministry of Health staff, ambulance service interest groups, central ambulance communications centres, Ontario Hospital Association, Association of Municipalities of Ontario, municipal staff representatives, and the Provincial Base Hospital Advisory Group.

By consensus, the LATT adopted the following principles which were used to develop its recommendations for a patient-focused ambulance system:

- **Seamless**: The closest available and appropriate ambulance will respond to a patient, at any time, or in any jurisdiction, regardless of the political, administrative or other artificially imposed boundaries.

- **Accessible**: Municipalities have a responsibility to ensure reasonable access to ambulance services. Municipalities have an obligation to ensure that ambulance services respond regardless of the location of the request.

- **Accountable**: Municipalities have an obligation to ensure that ambulance services be provided according to the legislation and regulations. The level and quality of care that is provided to patients by municipalities will be monitored by a designated base hospital program.

- **Integrated**: Municipalities are required to ensure that land ambulance service be an integral part of the health care system of the province. The province is required to ensure the transport of patients by ambulance between health care facilities for medically essential services.

- **Responsive**: Municipalities will be responsive to the fluctuating health care, demographic, socio-economic and medical demands of the constantly changing environment.
While many aspects of the LATT, and of the subsequent Land Ambulance Implementation Steering Committee (LAISC), have been addressed there remain three major outstanding consensus recommendations from the final LATT 1998 report:

1. **Operational Dispute Resolution**: The establishment in Regulation or legislation of a dispute resolution mechanism to resolve disagreements on non-medical operational issues arising between the MOH, designated Base Hospital programs, and the Designated Delivery Agents / Upper Tier Municipalities;

2. **College of Paramedics**: The establishment of a self-regulatory college for paramedics under the Regulated Health Professions Act at the earliest possible date;

3. **Ambulance Dispatch Reform**: That government undertake an immediate review of ambulance dispatch in consultation with stakeholders to determine the most appropriate option for providing this service, taking into consideration the interests of the patient, the fundamental principles of an ambulance system, and considering all governance, financial, operational, administrative and ownership issues.

These issues will arise again in response to the specific questions being raised within the consultation request.

**Dispatch**

While the questions within the consultation paper revolve around technology and communications processes with the dispatch system the largest challenges are operational and functional in nature. While technology is important you have to have the right level of staffing approved, in place, and trained appropriately in order to make technology function. Technology is not a panacea.

From the operational perspective while the dispatch system is not totally broken elements of it appear to be. The LATT process identified the need to align the operation of the dispatch with the municipal service delivery. The 2001 IBI Report identified numerous challenges within the Hamilton CACC. These challenges remain across the Ministry operated CACC’s despite efforts to address them:

- Serious shortage of personnel at all levels
- Inability to sustain minimum coverage
- Absence of experience at Communicator level due to high staff turnover
- Rapid turnover in staff attributed to high workload, stress and relatively low wages
- Present communicator staffing falling short of the calculated model requirement
- CACC staffing model underestimates the true staffing requirements
- CACC would benefit from a well defined and active quality assurance program
- Management presence needs to be strengthened
- Communications protocols between fleet and CACC should be reviewed.
The IBI report, while dated, outlined the differences between “level of effort” land ambulance provision as opposed to “performance based” land ambulance service. In this distinction the report nicely identified the need for accountability of the ambulance dispatch operations to municipal officials responsible to monitor the quality of their ambulance operation performance while attempting to control costs. A performance based system is only made possible where the operation of the dispatch centre which controls both the assessment and prioritization of calls and the movement and activities of the ambulance resources is wholly aligned and responsive to the actual ambulance service operations.

The proof of this approach was demonstrated by Toronto well before downloading. Toronto is an internationally recognized model for best practices in ambulance service delivery. The principles were reinforced with the evaluation completed following the Niagara ACS five year trial initiated in 2005. During that trial off the shelf technology (COTS) of several types supporting communicator decision making and operational performance were implemented successfully in combination with the MOH CAD. Epidemiological screening in support of public health was implemented. MPDS, along with the ProQ&A system, was put into place, integrated with the MOH CAD, and operationally accredited, in record time. Successfully integrated technology included MARVLIS, CADPortal, Headstart, smartphone digital paging, and of course MPDS.

Progress by Niagara, similar to that experienced in Toronto and more recently Ottawa, compares favourably to the current MOH implementation of MPDS. Following the Ministers commitment to change all CACC’s over to MPDS province wide the Ministry has now taken twice as long as Niagara to implement the system – and to date not a single dispatch centre has been converted.

Where the operation of the dispatch has been aligned wholly with the operational performance of ambulance service delivery maintaining the core principles established by LATT, as in Toronto, Niagara, and Ottawa, there has been successful MOH certification achieved at every review.

The reality from a service provider perspective is that fully integrating and aligning the CACC operations with the service provider requirements provides for innovation and improved service to the public. With a 90th percentile emergency dispatch call handling time of more than three (3) minutes the dispatch operations continue to consume a large portion of the response time envelope, service providers are unable to effectively influence the operations of the CACC, and barriers to good practice take time.

While understanding that there is the desire on the part of some to “consolidate” dispatch centres into smaller numbers of bigger centres provincially there has been absolutely no evidence put forward, and no business plan subjected to industry scrutiny, that would support such a model being either an improvement in service delivery or a reduction in cost. Most often the premise put forward in support of the concept is the OPP model of centralized dispatching which incorporates centralized concepts with an
entirely different dispatching model. OPP dispatching is not in the least comparable to the business design for paramedic services.

Shared infrastructure where appropriate, mutual back up capacity, and centralized core training all make some sense. However the solution to dispatch is to allow the services impacted by the dispatch to develop the solutions to the current challenges. Turn the operations over to the paramedic services.

Recommendations:

1. Dispatch operational responsibility should be transferred to the respective land ambulance services currently dispatched by the respective CACC. Where designated delivery agents enter into agreement to consolidate or group dispatch functions they should be allowed to do so;

2. The Ministry should continue to provide shared communications infrastructure to ensure provision of service in a seamless and accountable manner; and

3. Core funding of dispatch operations and regulatory oversight of the dispatch operation in accordance with established standards should remain a Ministry responsibility. Core funding should include, at a minimum, 100% of the cost of providing operational and technical functions at a level equivalent to the staffing ratios and technology innovations currently in place in Toronto, Niagara, and Ottawa.

Innovation, aligned with local operation, would include improvements in hospital offload performance through integrated oversight and responsiveness. Innovation could include secondary clinical advice, screening, and call diversion as was experienced in Vancouver during the 2010 Olympics to better triage calls. Innovation could include senior advanced care paramedic advice on aspects such as CBRN or other technical operational process as has been implemented in other centers. And innovation could include on line booking of inter-facility transfers, pre-populating and targeting the details of a transfer request, thereby minimizing the call taking detail processes that currently exist.

Accreditation:

The current Ambulance Service Review process is a quasi-regulatory compliance activity performed by peers with minimal training and experience. The process has moved from the original concept of establishing a unique Ontario accreditation program to a pedantic rules based compliance process.

I strongly recommend a shift from the “Ambulance Service Review” process to an accreditation process, preferably under the jurisdiction of Accreditation Canada (https://accreditation.ca/). This agency performs health care accreditation across numerous agencies including hospitals, long term care, community services, and
others. The driver in the accreditation process continues to be improvement in quality of service delivery.

Preliminary work has already been completed in the development of ambulance service accreditation. In Ontario some land ambulances are investigating pursuit of accreditation through this body and Ornge has already completed the accreditation process. In the Vancouver Island Region of BC accreditation was achieved in 2010 during the early trials of the program and in other provinces, such as New Brunswick, the ambulance service provider has also been accredited.

Savings from the current operation of the ASR team would be extensive as the Province currently expends at least 150 to 200 days of direct activity for a team of 10 to 15 people, plus travel, accommodation, oversight, and management costs for little operational benefit.

**Offload Delays:**

Ambulance offload time at hospitals continue to be a significant challenge in many jurisdictions. While the standard of transfer of care occurring within 30 minutes of arrival was established in the 2005 report (Improving Access to Emergency Services: A Systems Commitment) the reality is that the problem continues to hinder the performance of land ambulance services. Municipalities are forced through MOH Standards to require paramedics to wait with patients in the most basic forms of hallway medicine until transfer of care is achieved. In Hamilton the lost ambulance capacity resultant from this was more than 30,000 hours last year, and more importantly thousands of patients waited on ambulance stretchers for in excess of two hours.

While Dedicated Offload Nurse Program (DONP) funding helps to alleviate the pressures there is simply not enough capacity. Limited space within ED’s prevents effective use of the DONP, there are fewer hospital beds per 1,000 population within the Hamilton area than in many other jurisdictions, there are inadequate community resources including Long Term Care beds and home care to fulfil the needs, and as result patient flow through hospitals is challenged. The DONP is a stop gap measure, it is helpful, but it is not resolving or addressing the root cause of delays in transfer of care. In the interim the municipal taxpayers of Hamilton are paying the cost of hallway medicine.

The MOH has the capacity to track and to mandate system performance and, to date, has declined to do so. Hospital ED staffing is being funded at peak times by municipally funded paramedics and it is doubtful that resolution to this will be speedy or easy. In the interim my recommendations are:

1. Hospitals and paramedic services be mandated to utilize consistent transfer of care software and reporting, including dual transfer of care swipe documentation, to accurately report the involved times; and
2. That the MOH fund 100% of the unit hour cost for the time period beyond the first 30 minutes after arrival. Assuming a current 50-50 funding match this would be an increase of 50% from the present funding. This payment to the designated delivery agent for the provision of hospital hallway medicine would provide the capacity for municipalities to replace lost unit hour response capacity.

**Inter-facility Transfers:**

One of the agreed upon principles from the LATT Consensus process was that municipalities needed to ensure their land ambulance service was an integral part of the provincial health care system. The province was to be required to ensure the transport of patients by ambulance between health care facilities for medically essential services, a presumption that included funding the cost of such patient transport.

The Ontario Hospital Association put forward a December 1999 position paper (Land Ambulance Issues for Ontario Hospitals) outlining the challenges that would be presented with the pending implementation of provincial downloading, and making recommendations for resolution. A further paper was put forward by the OHA in September 2004 (Non-Emergency Ambulance Transfer Issues for Ontario Hospitals) outlining concerns with the impact on patient care and timely service delivery that had developed since the 1999 report as well as the ongoing progression and cost shifting that was occurring.

The issues raised by the OHA in 1999 and 2004 have changed little. Non-Urgent Patient Transfer (NUPT) providers continue to provide service moving patients between hospitals in a totally unregulated manner, with oversight limited to RFP contractual compliance matters. Hospitals are funding these patient movements through increasing diversion of fiscal resources from global funding capacity as resource specialization increases. There is inequity in capacity between Northern and Southern geographic areas based on the speculative profit motives of the NUPT providers. Simply put, profitable transfer patterns and times are serviced, those that are not profitable are not. Unfortunately the land ambulance service providers have no choice – the MOH CACC will not refuse to service any call, and the land ambulance service provider must perform all calls assigned by the CACC. The predicted cream skimming continues to occur, with inter-facility patient transfer movement on less profitable routes being performed by the land ambulance service at no expense to the hospital or the patient, and instead by subsidy of the municipal taxpayer.

I recommend development of an Inter-facility Transfer (IFT) working group with terms of reference including the following objectives:

1. To define, in detail, the current state of inter-facility transfer operations between facilities within each Ontario Health (OH) geographic area, between facilities across OH boundaries, and between facilities across provincial or national boundaries. This definition shall include establishing who is responsible for the various types of patient transfers and identifying the resources required to conduct them.
2. To identify the desired state of inter-facility transfer operations between facilities within each OH area, between facilities across OH boundaries, and between facilities across provincial or national boundaries.

3. To identify gaps between the current state and desired state of inter-facility transfer operations and develop plans to implement changes that will increase operational efficiency and improve the transfer experience for patients.

4. To develop recommendations for an inter-facility transfer service delivery and funding model that is effective, efficient, and sustainable.

5. To establish a clear line of accountability for the practices and funding necessary to properly conduct inter-facility transfers, so that sufficient resources are available to match patient need.

6. To share information between Land Ambulance providers, OH Regions, Criticall, Ornge, and the Ministry of Health (MOH), and to accept submissions from other stakeholders that impact upon the provision of inter-facility transfer service.

7. To build a body of data and knowledge on inter-facility transfers in Ontario.

Further, I recommend that:

8. Funding of medically necessary inter-facility patient transfer, whether by air ambulance, land ambulance, or by Non-Urgent Patient Transfer providers, be 100% covered by the Province of Ontario; and

9. That all patient transfer requests be channelled through the respective Central Ambulance Communications Centres (CACC); and

10. That the CACC be authorized to assign inter-facility patient transfer to air ambulance, land ambulance, or NUPT provider, as is appropriate for either operational or patient condition requirements; and

11. That the Ministry of Labour enact regulation specifying that the assignment of patient transportation to a NUPT as appropriate in the circumstances not be considered to be “contracting out” of service or any equivalent with respect to Collective Agreement interpretations; and

12. That all NUPT providers within a Land Ambulance Service provider jurisdiction be required to meet the standards of service and standards of care as set out by the Land Ambulance Service provider; and
13. That each CACC implement an on-line IFT booking process to facilitate the management and delivery of IFT activities.

**Community Paramedic:**

Community Paramedicine (CP), or Mobilized Health Care (MHC) as put forward in the EMS Chiefs of Canada White Paper (The Future of EMS in Canada: Defining the Road Ahead), means many things to many people. At its heart are the principles of:

- Providing health care in a timely, and appropriate manner taking into consideration the local operational priorities and the integration of care within the broader health care system; and
- Mitigation of both ambulance response and facilities based emergency health care provision where clinically appropriate.

CP is not intended, nor should it be put forth, as a method to supplant home care provision by an existing provider. It is an outreach mechanism where paramedic services can fill a health care gap existing within a particular community thereby improving the continuum of care for the patient. Taking many forms we have been using the principles for clinic management, remote patient monitoring, targeted complex care visits in support of hospital discharge, and management of high demands for patients also engaged in aspects of the judicial system.

We support the ongoing development of CP or MHC as a value that can be added by paramedic services to any Ontario Health Team (OHT) Integration process. The major financial benefits from CP program delivery are with the broader health care system, recognizing decreased hospital utilization and extended time periods without hospital admission. As such the costs of CP programs should be borne fully by the main recipients. In the past this was LHIN based and I believe in the future should be OHT based.

Extension of the program should consider palliative care patient support as well as the existing complex continuing care patient profiles.

**Funding Formula:**

Recognizing that some areas of the Province have unique needs the minimal MOH funding should be maintained at 50%, and that for some areas, particularly in unorganized areas in the North, up to 100% funding may be appropriate. Further, as previously noted the MOH should be funding 100% of inter-facility transfer costs and 100% of extended transfer of care time based on average unit hour cost.

Resolution to the current funding lag problem must be found. The current process provides for submission of current year council approved budget in early fall, with the MOH funding for the following year typically being based on that financial submission.
This creates an essential full year lag in Ministry 50-50 funding for any municipal staffing enhancements. For Hamilton over the past 7 years where the City fulfilled its obligation to determine the appropriate level of service as outlined in the Ambulance Act the funding lag has forced a municipal taxpayer subsidy of the MOH 50-50 portion in the amount of approximately $5.8M.

Funding during the first year of operation of staffing enhancement can be managed effectively through utilization of one time funding letters, a process that was utilized extensively and effectively by the Province prior to the 2000 downloading of land ambulance services.

**College of Paramedicine:**

In 1999 there was a consensus across all members of the LATT Committee that a College of Paramedics should be created under the Regulated Health Professions Act framework. This recommendation remains outstanding despite the submission some four years ago for creation of a college to match developments and initiatives in other provinces.

Base Hospital programs were initially developed in the 1980’s under the guidance of Dr. Dennis Psutka as a mechanism to facilitate the implementation, and the legalization, of advanced life support procedure performance by ambulance personnel. Legends like Dr. Ronald Stewart helped drive the programs forward, creating some of the first ACP programs in the Province of Ontario, albeit a bit later than developed in other jurisdictions such as BC or Alberta. The original intent was to have the BHP’s closely integrated with the ambulance service delivery, providing the needed services of training, quality improvement, and medical control under the guidance of the involved ambulance services. There was a distinctly local flavour, significant local involvement in the direction of the BHP, and at the same time a level of consistency across programs established through the provincial advisory group which included service providers, base hospital physicians, and Ministry staff all of whom had an equal say in the general direction of the programs.

This has unfortunately morphed as result of financial considerations into a smaller number of Base Hospital programs striving to exert control over direct service delivery and training, and disconnected from the feedback and guidance of those land ambulance services for which they were created.

The practice of paramedicine should properly be segregated into three fundamental principles:

1. **Certification:** The successful completion of the levelling examination process which ensures a standard base of knowledge across all educational programs aligned with the National Occupation Competency Profiles (NOCP) as periodically adjusted. Certification examinations are currently performed by the MOH and can continue to be done in that manner or that role can be handed over to the College which would
charge a fee for completion, much the same as currently exists for the College of Nurses;

2. **Registration**: This is the process by which paramedics, irrespective of employer, become registered with, and accountable to, the Paramedic College for their practice in paramedicine. Standards are established and maintained by the College;

3. **Authorization**: While a paramedic may have the training and certification to perform a procedure they still require authorization to perform particular medical acts and/or procedures. Such authorization must come from both their employer and from a physician who has particular knowledge and awareness of the normal standards, the individual specific training, and of specific skill competency. Just as with a Nurse who has a particular skill within their scope of practice a paramedic college does not supplant the requirement for actual authorization to perform to that specific scope.

I recommend:

1. That a College of Paramedics be established to fulfil the role of regulating the practice of paramedicine, across the entire spectrum of paramedic service providers, and to ensure the safety of the public when receiving paramedic care; and

2. That the current funding for provision of Base Hospital medical oversight and delegation activities be transferred proportionally, based on either a population or paramedic staffing ratio, to the respective Land Ambulance Service providers; and

3. That the Land Ambulance Service providers be required to contract appropriate qualified physicians to evaluate paramedic skills in the performance of delegated medical acts, to authorize the performance of delegated medical acts, and to oversee the provision of quality assurance and quality improvement in the provision of delegated medical acts.

These recommendations do not preclude the existing Base Hospital programs or staff from continuing activities as many services may opt to contract the required services from partners they currently work with. Instead the recommendations align the function of medical oversight, delegation, and quality review with the operation and provision of ambulance services in a new paradigm of authorization and delegation under a mutual performance agreement with the medical professional of choice.
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RECOMMENDATION

That the Chief, Hamilton Paramedic Service be authorized to enter into and execute the agreement for participation in the Paramedic Bi-directional eNotification web-service interface with Interdev Technologies, Shared Services Ontario, and Ontario Health – West.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Paramedic Bi-directional eNotification supports seniors and adults with complex needs by increasing and improving the communication with regards to the patient's current status as well as prompting the need for a patient care plan adjustment where applicable.

The proposed Paramedic Bi-directional eNotification process is a web-service interface that sends an auto-generated electronic notification of the patient's status after a paramedic interaction to the patient's care coordinator at Home and Community Care (HCC). The Paramedic Service also receives an HCC services status update from HCC, which will help direct the paramedic's action in terms of referral pathways and increasing supports in the patient's home.
Furthermore, the Paramedic Bi-directional eNotification will also allow the Paramedic Service to send alerts to the Hamilton Public Health Unit of opioid events and COVID-19 screening results information in near real-time.

Alternatives for Consideration – Not Applicable

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: None

Staffing: None

Legal: The eNotification Data Sharing Agreement and Network Sharing Agreement will be reviewed for content by Legal Services.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

There are currently 130 hospitals integrated with the Client Health Related Information System (CHRIS). Building on this integration, Hospital Bi-directional eNotifications have been utilized throughout the Province for approximately five years. eNotification functionality allows hospital emergency departments (ED) across the Province to know in real-time if a patient presenting is a LHIN patient, and if they have a Coordinated Care Plan (CCP) in CHRIS. The eNotifications alert LHIN Home and Community Care (HCC) coordinators when a patient presents at an emergency department and is admitted to hospital or discharged.

Building on Hospital Bi-directional eNotifications functionality, the Paramedic Bi-directional eNotifications can be leveraged to alert LHIN care coordinators if a LHIN patient has an interaction with paramedic services. The eNotification will include the following information to the LHIN HCC care coordinator:

1. Patient transported to ED
2. Patient assessed but not transported
3. Patient deceased in the community

The Paramedic Bi-directional eNotification allows CHRIS to return a verification to the paramedic service identifying the patient as a LHIN patient and if they have a Coordinated Care Plan in place or not. With the implementation of Bill 160, which now allows paramedics to transport patients to locations other than hospitals, Paramedic eNotifications (of transport vs. non-transport) complement the current Hospital Bi-directional eNotifications in tracking patients.
In response to the Opioid Crisis in the Province, within the HNHB LHIN, an opioid event code was added to the eNotification alert in July 2019. The opioid event code is triggered when a paramedic visit is determined to be due to an opioid event, and the administration of naloxone by paramedics has taken place. The eNotification email does not contain any Personal Health Information.

The notification to Public Health in near real-time allows for early alerting, which may save lives and limit further health system usage.

Similarly, in response to the current global pandemic, a COVID-19 screener was added to the eNotification process on March 16, 2020. Similar to the opioid notification email, Hamilton Public Health will receive a notification via email that a patient has screened positive for COVID-19.

Furthermore, the Paramedic Bi-directional eNotifications are also made available in primary-care electronic medical records via Ontario MD’s Hospital Report Manager (HRM). These eNotifications alert physicians when a patient of theirs (using CPSO# or CNO#) has entered the hospital or called 911.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

None

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

The Hamilton Paramedic Service, Ontario Health-West, and the HNHB Community Paramedic Strategic Lead provided input to this report.

ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

Participating in the eNotification process will improve the continuity of care for the residents of the City of Hamilton, specifically seniors and adults with complex needs. The Paramedic Bi-directional eNotifications improves communications and care coordination between paramedic services, HCC care coordinators, hospitals and family physicians, enabling faster and safer follow-up treatment, and potentially reducing hospital readmissions.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

None
ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 – 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN

Healthy and Safe Communities
Hamilton is a safe and supportive City where people are active, healthy, and have a high quality of life.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

None
CITY OF HAMILTON
HEALTHY & SAFE COMMUNITIES
Ontario Works
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RECOMMENDATION

(a) That Council approve the single source procurement, pursuant to Procurement
   Policy #11 – Non-competitive Procurements with Nimble Information Strategies
   Inc., at an estimated cost of $580,839, for the digitization of Ontario Works active
   case files and that the General Manager, Healthy and Safe Communities
   Department or designate be authorized to negotiate, enter into and execute a
   Contract and any ancillary documents required to give effect thereto, in a form
   satisfactory to the City Solicitor; and,

(b) That Appendix “A” of Report HSC20023 remain confidential and not be released
   as a public document.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Report HSC20023 requests authority for Healthy and Safe Communities to enter into a
non-competitive contract with Nimble Information Strategies Inc. for the digitization of the
paper files of Ontario Works’ (OW) clients in the City of Hamilton (City). Digitizing client
files is a key part of the Province’s social assistance modernization strategy.

The Province of Ontario has implemented electronic document management in their
(Nimble) was the successful proponent of the provincial RFP for the digitization of ODSP client files. Utilizing Nimble provides the opportunity for the City to leverage the provincial infrastructure created to modernize the delivery of social assistance and realize administrative efficiencies and future savings estimated at $151,000 (gross) ($75,500 net levy) per year.

With this project, Ontario Works would pay for costs to scan and index Hamilton’s active case files. The contract will leverage the Province’s negotiated pricing with Nimble which is based on a much larger volume than Hamilton would have on its own. The City of Toronto chose to leverage the Province’s contract with Nimble and several other Ontario municipalities are considering similar decisions.

As the Ontario Works division is in the process of consolidating office locations, an additional benefit of digitizing Ontario Works file rooms is it will eliminate the need for large dedicated file rooms and significantly reduce our office footprint.

Alternatives for Consideration – See Page 6

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial:
The estimated cost to digitize the City’s Ontario Works active client files is estimated to be $580,839. These costs will be shared with the Province on a 50/50 cost share basis. The City’s $290,419 net levy portion will be funded from within the existing Ontario Works 2020 Operating Budget. No additional funds are being requested in Report HSC20023. This one-time cost, as well as costs for ongoing digitization of new documents, will result in service improvements and annual savings estimated at $151,000 gross/$75,500 net levy.

Staffing:
Confidential staffing implications attached as Appendix “A” to Report HSC20023.

Legal:
Legal Services staff will assist with contract preparation and execution in a form acceptable to the City Solicitor.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

Ontario Works provides financial assistance to over 11,400 individuals/families using the Social Assistance Management System (SAMS). Provincial regulations require that case related documents be maintained for all cases. In Hamilton, active case files are estimated to include over 1,708,000 pages. These active case files, as well as files from inactive cases, are stored in paper form in each Ontario Works office.
Hamilton’s Ontario Works offices receive and handle over 20,000 additional paper documents each month with many of these added to the active case files. Significant effort is required to file these documents and maintain the case files.

In 2016, the Province of Ontario began implementing electronic document management in their Ontario Disability Support Program (ODSP) offices. Nimble was the successful proponent of the provincial RFP for the digitization of ODSP client files and all new documents received monthly. Together with the Province, Nimble developed a process to scan, index and upload images of all documents related to case management to a secure server managed by the Province. The process and technology developed meets provincial privacy and security standards and is currently in use in most ODSP offices. ODSP workers are able to access images of all required documents through the same SAMS system used by Ontario Works.

In 2018, Ministry of Children, Community and Social Services (MCCSS) made electronic document management part of their modernization plan for Ontario Works. In response, Hamilton’s Ontario Works Division put electronic document management on their multi-year workplan for 2020. Discussions were underway with Procurement to establish a contract with Nimble that would result in the City’s Ontario Works files being digitized later this year.

In 2019, the City of Toronto’s Ontario Works offices leveraged the Provinces Nimble contract to scan all active case files and all paper documents received monthly. Currently, several of Toronto’s offices have fully converted to using electronic documents for all Ontario Works case management activities and no longer rely on paper files. Ontario Works staff are able to access images of all required documents through SAMS.

As a result of COVID-19 office closures in March, Hamilton Ontario Works staff were unable to manage incoming documents mailed or dropped off at Ontario Works offices. A significant backlog of documents resulted, and the temporary process that was developed was not sustainable. Officials at MCCSS suggested that Hamilton advance our efforts for digitizing incoming documents and consider using Nimble, leveraging the tools and processes already demonstrated in ODSP and OW Toronto.

Purchasing approval was received for Ontario Works to work with Nimble to digitize new incoming documents. That project has been successfully completed. However, no commitment was made to Nimble to undertake the work of scanning our existing active case files. This work remains on our multi-year business plan.

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS**

None
RELEVANT CONSULTATION

MCCSS: Ministry officials have digitization of Ontario Works documents as a key element in their modernization plan for social assistance. In discussions with them regarding revised business processes during COVID-19, they suggested leveraging their provincial solution and track record with Nimble to fast-track Hamilton’s digitization efforts for new incoming documents. Ministry staff have confirmed all privacy and data security requirements were met with the Nimble solution.

City of Toronto Employment and Social Services (TESS): TESS has confirmed their successful use of Nimble for digitization of Ontario Works existing active case files as well as all incoming documents. Ontario Works staff can manage their caseload and meet all provincial requirements using the digital documents. TESS has accelerated digitization of their remaining offices as a result of COVID-19.

City Information Technology Division: City IT staff have reviewed the completed project to digitize incoming Ontario Works documents. The project successfully met requirements from the security, privacy, business applications and infrastructure/architecture sections of Information Technology and was approved to proceed. There is no additional risk with digitization of the existing active case files as they will be treated identically to incoming Ontario Works documents.

Office of the City Clerk: Corporate Records were consulted on retention guidelines. This plan meets all document retention requirements.

Procurement: The Manager of Procurement was consulted on Procurement Policy #11 – Non-competitive Procurements.

Finance and Administration: Finance and Administration were consulted regarding the net levy impact and ongoing annual savings associated with Report HSC20023.

ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

The Province made document digitization a key element in their modernization strategy for social assistance. Replacing paper documents with images provides opportunities to improve client service, realize administrative efficiencies and save file management and storage costs.

The Province completed a competitive RFP that resulted in Nimble being the vendor. The system developed by Nimble in collaboration with the Province eliminates paper files (active case files) and digitizes all incoming documents used in social assistance case management. This system is fully integrated with the SAMS system used by both Ontario Works and the provincially managed Ontario Disability Support Program.
(ODSP). It has been used extensively in ODSP for over a year and was to be rolled out to Ontario Works locations over the next two years.

Benefits of leveraging the Province’s contract with Nimble to digitize Hamilton’s active case files include:

- The approach uses the same proven tools and procedures used to digitize ODSP office across the province and currently in use in TESS;

- The approach leverages functionality available within SAMS that has been in use in ODSP offices and Toronto’s Ontario Works;

- The recently completed COVID-19 project in Hamilton to digitize new incoming documents tested all of the technology required to digitize existing active case files. Digitizing existing active case files does not require hardware, software or resources from the City’s IT department;

- With the proposed approach, Hamilton has no hardware or software costs. Efforts to recreate a digitization process (in-house or with another vendor) would significantly increase costs, time and effort;

- MCCSS has prioritized working with municipalities that agree to follow their developed procedures that work with Nimble. This allows them to better leverage Ministry technical staff required to support each municipality sending documents to the Province’s secure server;

- Ministry and City IT staff have confirmed that the proposed approach meets all data security and information privacy requirements. Significant work would be required to ensure this level of security could be met with another vendor; and,

- Hamilton is currently in the process of renewing office leases. Elimination of the file rooms will support a considerable reduction in the required office space. To impact the office space decision, active case files must be digitized in Q3, 2020.

Contracting with a vendor other than Nimble would result in the City incurring significant costs to recreate the required infrastructure, processes and security reviews for digitized documents to communicate with the Province’s network and interface with the Province’s secure electronic document repository. In addition to added costs, savings that result from file digitization would be delayed. If we choose to utilize Nimble, there is no cost to the City for this design, infrastructure, or security/privacy work.
ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

Hamilton could choose to pursue document digitization with another vendor selected through the City’s RFP process. There are no known benefits of pursing this alternative. The risks of pursing this alternative include:

- Significant time required (12-month estimate) for a new vendor to develop the processes and tools that meet the Province’s technical, security and privacy specifications. This would forgo annual savings estimated at $151,000 gross/$75,500 net levy;

- Effort and time for the City’s IT resources to complete the full infrastructure risk assessment and privacy assessment that would be required of any new vendor managing confidential client documents;

- Cost for the City to purchase and manage a secure FTP server for receiving document images from the new vendor (if not Nimble) and forwarding them to the Province. (The Province has indicated that they will only receive images from Nimble or an approved municipality);

- Availability of Provincial resources to test and approve the new processes. MCCSS has indicated that their IT resources will prioritize onboarding municipalities that use the already developed Nimble process;

- The costs to develop the processes and tools by the successful vendor will be paid by Hamilton on the 50/50 cost share basis with the Province, either in direct project costs or higher page cost to scan and digitize. With the Nimble process, the development costs were paid fully by the Province;

- Ongoing costs with Nimble were negotiated by the Province based on the much larger monthly volume of all ODSP offices in Ontario plus an estimated number of Ontario Works offices.

For these reasons, the alternative of not directly entering a contract with Nimble that leverages the Province’s vendor and technical solution is not recommended by staff.

ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 – 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN

Healthy and Safe Communities
Hamilton is a safe and supportive City where people are active, healthy, and have a high quality of life.
Our People and Performance
Hamiltonians have a high level of trust and confidence in their City government.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix “A” to Report HSC20023: Confidential Staffing Implications
COUNCIL DIRECTION

Not Applicable

INFORMATION

Closure of the Licensed Child Care System

On March 17, 2020, the Province of Ontario declared a state of emergency and issued an order for all licensed child care centres to close in response to the COVID-19 coronavirus, with the exception of licensed home child care.

During this closure period, the City has worked closely with child care operators and the Ministry of Education to sustain the existing child care system. Funding has been provided within the existing budget to support lost parental revenues, fixed costs such as rent and utilities, and staff salary top-ups. The funding model throughout this closure period has evolved in order to maximize all available federal and provincial supports. Since the closure period, approximately $8.8 M has been issued to child care operators to support the sustainability of the system.
Emergency Child Care for Healthcare and Other Frontline Workers

On March 19, 2020, Children’s Services and Neighbourhood Development staff partnered with licensed home child care agencies to offer licensed home child care to essential City staff at a nominal fee. This included staff in Fire, Paramedics, HSR, Long Term Care and Public Health.

On March 22, 2020, the Ministry of Education announced plans to exempt some child care centres from the emergency closure order to provide emergency child care to healthcare and other essential frontline workers, free of charge. In Hamilton, emergency child care continued to be provided through three licensed home child care agencies. Home child care was selected due to the smaller group sizes to mitigate potential risk to children and providers.

Children’s Services and Neighbourhood Development staff worked closely with Public Health staff and the three licensed home child care agencies to ensure that additional health and safety measures were put in place. This included enhanced cleaning, screening procedures and ensuring pandemic plans were in place.

Since March 22, 2020, approximately 272 children have been in receipt of emergency child care free of charge. The list of eligible positions for emergency child care has expanded during this closure period based on additional provincial announcements.

The Ministry of Education has now announced that emergency child care will end effective June 26, 2020. All families have been notified. Families have the option of returning to their original child care arrangements or remaining with their current home provider provided that a space is available. Families that choose to remain in child care after June 26, 2020 will be responsible for child care costs or will be returned to fee subsidy if eligible.

Reopening the Child Care System

On June 9, 2020, the Province of Ontario announced that licensed child care centres may begin to reopen as early as June 12, 2020. All centres are required to meet additional requirements to safely reopen including enhanced cleaning, mandatory screening of staff and children, limitations on non-essential visitors and ensuring there is a COVID-19 response plan in place. Restrictions on the size of groups are limited to 10 individuals per room, including both staff and children.

Based on the direction of the local Medical Officer of Health, the decision was made to require that all centres complete and pass an in-person Public Health inspection prior to reopening. All staff are also required to complete mandatory training.
Children’s Services and Neighbourhood Development staff have worked closely with Public Health to provide several tools and resources to child care operators to support their plans to reopen. Examples of tools include checklists, policy documents, posters and signage, screening tools and community learning sessions. City staff have also arranged for the professional resource centre in Hamilton to supply Personal Protection Equipment (PPE) to child care centres to support their initial reopening.

Based on the group size limitations, the capacity of the child care system will be significantly reduced during this initial reopening phase. Child care operators are in the process of contacting families to assess their current child care needs and determine their capacity to meet these needs. Initial estimates have indicated that approximately 55% of families that were previously in receipt of child care have indicated they will require child care during this initial reopening phase.

Families that choose not to return to child care at this time will not be charged fees and their child care spaces will be held. Child care operators are also required to maintain child care fees at pre-COVID-19 levels until August 31, 2020. The City will also be extending the affordability grant which reduces the cost of child care by $10/day for families until August 31, 2020 to align with provincial timelines.

Given the smaller group sizes, PPE requirements, and additional staffing needed for screening and enhanced cleaning, the cost to operate child care will be significantly higher during this time. City staff will be working closely with the Ministry of Education and child care operators to maximize all provincial and federal supports and sustain the system to the best of our ability. The total investment to child care centres during this time is not yet known and will be monitored closely. If funding projections exceed the budget, staff will need to explore cost containment strategies, such as discontinuing the affordability grant or increasing the waitlist for fee subsidy.

City staff are committed to continuing to work closely with the child care community to ensure a safe and gradual reopening of the licensed child care system.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

None
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COUNCIL DIRECTION

Not Applicable

INFORMATION

The Hamilton Paramedic Service (HPS) 2019 Annual Report (attached as Appendix “A” to Report HSC20021) includes the following highlights:

- Service demand continued to increase in 2019, with paramedics performing 87,037 individual responses to 70,656 events during the year and transporting 53,248 patients to hospitals, an average of 146 patients per day.

• Response time to calls dispatched as a life-threatening (Code 4) emergency at the 90th percentile was 11 minutes and 18 seconds. This reflects the time period from when the MOH Central Ambulance Communications Centre (CACC) assigns the call to paramedics until paramedics arrive on scene.

• Hospital offload delays continued to be a challenge. The provincial guideline for hospital offload is 30 minutes 90% of the time. In 2019, only 41% of transfer of care from paramedics to hospital staff took place in 30 minutes or less. A total of 30,549 staffed ambulance hours were consumed waiting for transfer of care beyond the first 30 minutes after arrival at hospital, an increase from 2018.

• Despite the increasing time spent in offload delay, there were 16 fewer Code Zero events than in 2018 with a total of 80 events in 2019. Through ongoing collaboration with hospital partners, introduction of new programs and improvements to practice, a downward trend in the rates of Code Zero events is emerging.

• One additional staffed ambulance for 24 hours a day, 7 days a week service was implemented in April following Council approval during the 2019 annual operating budget process. This additional resource helped meet service demands amidst growing operational pressures.

• A second additional staffed ambulance, 100% funded through MOH grant funding, was added in July to support the McMaster Children’s Hospital’s (MCH) Neonatal Transport Team in the regional transportation of critically ill babies. When the ambulance is not assigned to MCH neonatal transfers it is used for response to other emergency calls. The arrangement with the MOH for annual funding has been renewed for 2020.

• The Community Paramedicine Program was expanded with the introduction of three new initiatives in the latter part of 2019: Paramedic Palliative Outreach Support Team (PPOST), Flu Response for Emergency Department Diversion (FREDD) and Emergency Department Diversion to Withdrawal Management (EDWIN). All three initiatives aim to divert patients away from the hospital to ease the burden of crowded emergency departments by either treating patients in their place of residence (PPOST, FREDD) or taking them to the appropriate facility (EDWIN).

• Existing Community Paramedicine Programs continued to be successful. For example, the Home Visit program had 653 clients in 2019 and experienced a 50% reduction in ambulance use after clients were enrolled in the program. Also, the Remote Patient Program had an additional 51 patients enrolled in 2019 bringing the total to 74 patients. Analysis conducted by Queens University shows that this program results in a 26% reduction in both 911 calls and emergency department visits.
• Paramedics underwent an aggregated total of over 25,000 instructional hours in 2019. This training ensures that paramedics achieve and maintain the ability to provide excellent clinical care to their patients.

• A variety of continuous improvement initiatives were undertaken in 2019. These projects were aimed at improving processes, policies and services to ensure the provision of optimal care to the community. Staff were engaged for their expertise in a review of the Tiered Response Agreement, equipment upgrade, policy and procedure manual update and user profile development among other initiatives.

• In 2019, paramedics continued to volunteer their time for a range of community events and charities. Their efforts have resulted setting a record for CityKidz Christmas Toy Drive and significant donations of money, food, and clothing for families in need. They also participated in numerous fund and awareness raising activities that benefit the community such as Tim Hortons Camp Day, McDonald’s McHappy Day and autism awareness.

In 2019, proposed changes to the provincial structure of healthcare led to uncertainty with regard to the structure of land ambulance service. Although no decision has yet been made the Paramedic Chief’s participation on the Hamilton Health Team ensures that the issues and capabilities of the paramedic service will inform the development of a more integrated healthcare system.

Also, in 2020, the Community Paramedicine Program will continue to be enhanced as new initiatives are explored and existing ones are expanded to reach more people in need. In addition, HPS will continue to work with internal and external partners to mitigate offload delays. Public reporting and continuous improvement will also remain a focus to ensure the effective and efficient delivery of quality service and transparency of performance measurements. Furthermore, in 2020, the Hamilton Paramedic ten-year Master Plan will be finalized and shared with this Committee.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Our Hamilton Paramedic Service members make it their priority to do whatever it takes to care for someone in need and play a vital role in promoting the health and safety of our community.

They provide medical care, social supports, charitable contributions, education and endless acts of kindness.

They truly help make the City’s vision to be the best place to raise a child and age successfully a reality.

Mayor Fred Eisenberger
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Message from the General Manager

As the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department, I am proud of all of the people of the Hamilton Paramedic Service whose skill, professionalism and compassion contributes to the health and well-being of our community.

I know that the work of paramedics goes beyond emergency response. They provide education to the public and partners, they organize charitable and informative events, through the Community Paramedicine Program they provide in-home care to people in need and they still find time to volunteer for worthy causes that help the people of Hamilton.

I want to congratulate everyone in the Hamilton Paramedic Service for another year of meeting performance targets in the face of increasing pressures due to a growing and aging population and persistent offload delays at our hospitals. In 2019, under the leadership of Chief Sanderson and with our community partners, new ways to mitigate the burden on emergency departments were explored and implemented, additional resources were acquired – in particular, two additional ambulances including staff, and Hamilton was successful in becoming one of the first Health Teams in Ontario which gives us a unique opportunity to help shape the future of integrated health care.

In the pages that follow, you will see just some of the 2019 achievements of the Hamilton Paramedic Service highlighted. To share all the great work of the people in this service would mean a report that would be at least twice as long. You can visit the Hamilton Paramedic Service web page on the City of Hamilton’s website for more information on their programs and services. In addition, the performance data is available on the City’s site, Open.Hamilton.ca

With the support of our Mayor, City Council and City Manager we have and will continue to seek out optimal ways to best serve our community. I am thankful to them for their ongoing support and investment in this essential service to ensure we have what we need to be a safe and healthy Hamilton.

I would also like to thank Chief Sanderson, OPSEU, CUPE and all of the staff for delivering exceptional service to people of Hamilton. A special thank you to those who continue to serve the community on their own time. Collectively your efforts make the Hamilton Paramedic Service among the best in the province and provide assurance that ours is a community that is well cared for.

Paul Johnson, General Manager
Healthy & Safe Community Services Department
Message from the Chief

Above and beyond. Two words that describe the performance of the people who, as a team, deliver exemplary services to the residents and visitors in Hamilton. Every day I see examples of our people exceeding what their job requires of them. From the frontline paramedics and supervisors to the schedulers, logistics technicians, support staff, and managers, in every aspect of our operation people consistently surpass expectations. This extends to our community partners who along with us work tirelessly to provide the community with high quality care.

Not surprisingly, 2019 was another busy year with an increase in the number of 911 events, responses and patients transported. And while we had a decrease in code zero events from 2018, we continued to be challenged by a large amount of time in hospital offload delay. Despite all of this, we again met and exceeded the response time criteria set by the City of Hamilton Council and the Ministry of Health (MOH).

I am always impressed, though not surprised, that our people continue to fulfill their duties in the face of compelling challenges yet still manage to do it with empathy, patience and positivity. Furthermore, they find opportunities to make meaningful differences in the lives of the people they serve from seemingly small gestures such as shoveling a patient’s snow to bigger endeavors like growing food for food banks.

2019 also brought some uncertainty with regard to the structure of healthcare in the province. While proposed changes to ambulance services are pending, I have been participating on the Hamilton Health Team, one of the first in Ontario under the newly established Ontario Health oversight body. My input at this table will assure that land ambulance services and programs in Hamilton will have an integral role as the province moves toward a more integrated health care system.

In 2019, we were successful in receiving funding from MOH for an ambulance dedicated to neonatal transfer with additional staff. While not in use for neonatal patients the ambulance is in service to response to any emergency call which helps to meet the increasing demand.

I would like to thank Mayor Eisenberger, City Council and the Senior Leadership Team for their active support. I would like to express my appreciation to General Manager Paul Johnson for his leadership and guidance as we continue to navigate through challenges.

Finally, my deepest gratitude to all the people of the Hamilton Paramedic Service whose passion, dedication, innovation and at times self-sacrifice has exemplified the values and priorities of the City of Hamilton. Their extraordinary efforts quite literally change the lives of the people we are privileged to serve.

Michael Sanderson, Chief
Hamilton Paramedic Service
Service Overview

Profile of Hamilton

Hamilton is a mid-size city located in the centre of the Golden Horseshoe between Niagara Falls and Toronto. Hamilton’s land area of 1,117 square kilometres consisting of urban and rural areas divided into 15 wards. The city wraps around the westernmost part of Lake Ontario with the northern limit marked by the Hamilton Harbour. The Niagara Escarpment runs through the middle of the entire city dividing the cityscape into lower and upper portions. Hamilton has a population of 536,917 making it the fifth largest municipality in Ontario and tenth largest in Canada. The population density is approximately 480.6 people per square kilometres (Statistics Canada, Census 2016).

Hamilton’s population is an aging one with just over 17% of its residents or approximately 93,000 people aged 65 years or older. Children aged 14 years and under account for a little more than 16% of the city’s population. For the first time in Hamilton, seniors outnumber children (Statistic Canada, Census 2016).

People aged 65 years and older made up 45% of the patients paramedics interacted with in 2019, that is, approximately 68,000 people requiring the care of paramedics were 65 or older. This is an increase of almost 3,000 senior patients from 2018.

In Ontario, the number of seniors aged 65 and over is projected to almost double by 2041. In 2017, seniors made up about 2.4 million or 16.7 per cent of population. This is expected to increase to almost 4.6 million or 24.8 per cent of Ontario’s population. The fastest growing group of seniors will be the older seniors. The number of people aged 75 and over is expected to rise from 1 million in 2017 to 2.7 million by 2041. Those people who are aged 90 and older are projected to more than triple in size, from 120,000 to 400,000 (Ontario Ministry of Finance).
According to Statistics Canada (Census 2016) in addition to a growing population Ontario can expect to see a sharp increase in the number of seniors as baby boomers swell the ranks of seniors. As shown below, the proportion of people over the age of 65 is expected to increase from just over 36% in 2019 to almost 49% by 2036.

This “grey tsunami” or dramatic increase in the senior population forecasted by Statistics Canada and the Ontario Ministry of Finance will significantly increase the demand on services provided by the HPS over the next 20 years.

Source: https://www12.statcan.gc.ca/census-recensement/2016/dp-pd/pyramid/pyramid.cfm?type=1&geo1=01
HPS Services
The Hamilton Paramedic Service (HPS) is the designated sole land ambulance service provider for the City of Hamilton. Through 66 vehicles and 20 stations in both urban and rural areas of the city, HPS provides pre-hospital advanced medical and trauma care and transport of patients from emergency incidents to health care facilities.

HPS also provides a range of programs and services to promote the health of the community and proactively mitigate the demand on ambulance transports to hospitals. These include:

- Seniors Clinics
- Home Visits
- Flu Immunization Clinics
- Remote Patient Monitoring
- Social Navigator Program
- Public Access Defibrillators
- Flu Response for Emergency Department Diversion
- Emergency Department Diversion Withdrawal Management Program
- Public Education
- Community Engagement
- Stakeholder Engagement and Education
- Media Campaigns
- Continuing Education Classes for Hamilton Paramedics

In addition, HPS undertakes a range of initiatives to mitigate offload delay in partnership with Hamilton hospitals. HPS also works with the Ministry of Health (MOH) to ensure effective systems are in place that enable the provision of quality care to the community.
HPS Finances

While HPS had an overall operating budget of $51,115,239 in 2019, the province provided funding for 50% of the costs. The allocation of funds per each cost category and percentage of the overall budget is as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COST CATEGORY 2019</th>
<th>$</th>
<th>%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employee Related Cost</td>
<td>42,780,569</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Municipal Recoveries (Excl CA Shop Labour)</td>
<td>3,767,030</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material and Supply</td>
<td>2,039,917</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle Expenses</td>
<td>1,004,492</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contractual/Consulting/Financial</td>
<td>1,193,376</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Building and Ground</td>
<td>329,855</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>51,115,239</strong></td>
<td><strong>100</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

Hamilton Paramedic Service
2019 Operating Costs

- Employee Related Cost: 84%
- Material and Supply: 2%
- Vehicle Expenses: 2%
- Contractual/Consulting/Financial: 7%
- Building and Ground: 4%
- Municipal Recoveries: 1%
HPS achieves cost effectiveness in operating vehicles through partnerships within the City of Hamilton. With corporate fuel purchasing arrangements and utilizing the Hamilton Fire Department vehicle maintenance services, HPS realizes cost efficiencies without jeopardizing quality service. The costs per response is as follows:

- **Total Kilometres Travelled**
  
  1,909,099

- **Cost of Materials and Supplies per Response**
  
  $23.44

- **Total Cost per Response**
  
  $587.28

- **Vehicle Cost per Kilometre**
  
  $0.67
**HPS Structure**

As an integral part of the health care system, HPS helps to promote the health and safety of Hamilton’s residents and visitors through prevention, response and follow-up activities. HPS achieves this best through being situated within the Healthy and Safe Communities Department which enables collaboration with other divisions focused on similar outcomes for the community.

Reporting to the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department, the Paramedic Chief is responsible to lead the planning and operationalization of HPS which is comprised of four sections:

- **Office of the Chief**
  - Responsible for strategic vision, direction, and planning
- **Operations Section**
  - Responsible for providing oversight of deployment and resource utilization
- **Logistics Section**
  - Responsible for providing support to all sections through procurement and asset management
- **Performance and Development Section**
  - Responsible for ensuring regulatory compliance and quality improvement

A total of 398 staff including full and part time made up the workforce of HPS in 2019. Approximately 88% of staff are paramedics with about 19% of those Advanced Care Paramedics. While paramedics provide direct frontline services to the community, supervisors, administration and support staff and management provide a variety of supportive and regulatory functions to meet MOH mandates. HPS workforce breaks down as follows:
Performance Overview

Events
An event is generated every time a person calls 911 and requests the assistance of paramedics through dispatch, the Central Ambulance Communications Centre (CACC). In 2019, HPS continued to see an increase in the number of events with a total of 70,656, an average of 194 events per day.

The following chart illustrates the year-over-year increase in events since 2012 along with the average daily events each year.

![Chart showing the increase in events from 2012 to 2019]

“In the park I noticed a man having problems...he was inhaling from a spray can. I called 911. When the paramedics attended they addressed him by his name and treated him with respect, dignity and compassion. The first thing the paramedic did was kneel down and held the man’s hand explaining he just wanted to make sure he was ok.

Their professionalism was second to none.”
Responses

Responses are the number of paramedic vehicles that are sent to an event. This number is usually higher than the number of events as there is usually more than one vehicle sent to an event. In instances such as motor vehicle collisions and complex medical/traumatic emergencies, multiple paramedic vehicles may be assigned to respond. In 2019, HPS had a total of 87,037 responses with a daily average of 238 responses.

The chart below shows the number of responses per year since 2012 along with the average number of responses a day for each year.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Responses</th>
<th>Average Responses per day</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2012</td>
<td>75,905</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>75,595</td>
<td>207</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2014</td>
<td>71,214</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2015</td>
<td>73,919</td>
<td>203</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2016</td>
<td>79,150</td>
<td>216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2017</td>
<td>83,928</td>
<td>230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018</td>
<td>84,160</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2019</td>
<td>87,037</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Patient Problem

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patient Problem</th>
<th>% of Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnea (shortness of breath)</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fall</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal/Pelvic/Perineal/Rectal Pain</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ischemic Chest Pain</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unconscious</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unwell</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Motor Vehicle Collision</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Behaviour/Psychiatric</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardiac/Medical Arrest</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Complaints

The table to the left shows the top ten reasons patients called HPS for medical assistance in 2019.
Transports

Transports refers to the number of times patients are transported to hospitals by paramedics. This number is typically lower than the number of events, as some patients decline or do not need to be taken to the hospital once assessed by the paramedics. The number of transports continued to increase in 2019 with a total of 53,248 and an average of 146 per day.

Depicted in the chart below is the continual increase in patient transports since 2012.

53,248 Transports
146/day on average
Response Time Compliance

The *Ambulance Act of Ontario* requires that every paramedic operator in Ontario is responsible to establish and publicly report on response time performance. The City of Hamilton and MOH approved target response times based on the Canadian Triage and Acuity Scale (CTAS). CTAS is a triage system that prioritizes patient care by severity of the injury or illness. HPS is expected to achieve the target times in each CTAS category at least 75% of the time.

In 2019, HPS again surpassed the standard of 75% in achieving the target times for each CTAS category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CTAS Category</th>
<th>Acuity Level</th>
<th>Target Time</th>
<th>Standard % of Time</th>
<th>% of Time HPS Achieved Target Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vital Signs Absent</td>
<td>VSA Confirmed</td>
<td>6 minutes</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Resuscitation</td>
<td>8 minutes</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Emergent</td>
<td>10 minutes</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Urgent</td>
<td>15 minutes</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Less Urgent</td>
<td>20 minutes</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Non-Urgent</td>
<td>25 minutes</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The graph below shows that HPS continues to meet and exceed the response time standard year over year despite the increase in events, responses and transports each year.
Off-Load Delay

An off-load delay occurs when the hospital does not accept responsibility for the care of the patient from paramedics within 30 minutes of their arrival to the Emergency Department. MOH recommends that transfer of care of patients occurs within 30 minutes 90% of the time. Paramedics are required to remain with and care for the patient until the hospital is ready to accept the responsibility.

As a result of a variety of system pressures, hospitals in Hamilton continue to struggle to meet the target of accepting the patient within 30 minutes of paramedic arrival. Thus, the City of Hamilton and hospitals have implemented interim targets of transfer of care to hospital within 60 minutes 90% of the time and within 120 minutes 100% of the time.

However, in 2019, only 41% of patients were transferred from paramedics to the hospital in 30 minutes or less. Transfer of care within 60 minutes occurred 69% of the time, falling short of the interim target of 90% of the time. Similarly, hospitals took over the care of patients from paramedics within 120 minutes 88% of the time, although the target is 100% of the time. The chart below shows the percentage of time patients were transferred to the care of hospitals within 30, 60 and 120 minutes for each year since 2014.

In 2019 paramedics spent 30,549 hours in excess of 30 minutes waiting in Emergency Departments to transfer care of their patient to the hospital.

Photo Credit: CBC.ca

2018
Code Zero Events

Code Zero events occur when the number of ambulances available to respond to a call are limited to just one or none. Long off-load delays, particularly when there are 10 or more delays longer than 2 hours in one day, continue to be the major cause of code zero events. When a code zero event occurs, ambulances from neighboring municipalities are assigned to respond to emergency calls in Hamilton.

In 2019, there were a total of 80 code zero events that lasted almost an hour on average. The graph below shows the number of code zero events from 2012 to 2019 and the average length of time a code zero event lasted that year.

"The one paramedic helped my wife cut up some food for me...to try to get my sugar level up. I spilled some on the floor and the paramedic cleaned it up. Being seniors, we appreciated it. The paramedics stayed with us until my blood sugar level was fine. Job well done!"
Community Paramedicine

HPS began the Community Paramedicine program in 2014. Through a range of approaches the program helps clients who have complex and chronic conditions by meeting their needs where they live and thereby reducing emergency department visits and hospital stays.

Hamilton’s program is focused on three key areas:

- **NAVIGATE** connecting clients to the resources they need
- **ADVOCATE** ensuring clients have access to the resources they need
- **COLLABORATE** working with community partners to ensure clients’ needs are met

**Home Visits**

When someone has been identified as using 911 services regularly a specially trained Community Paramedic is notified who visits the client in their home and conducts an in-depth assessment. As part of a network of service providers the paramedic can quickly connect the client to the resources they require. In 2019, 653 clients were enrolled in the Home Visit Program with Community Paramedics making 347 visits resulting in a 50% reduction in calling an ambulance among these clients.

**Clinics**

Clinics are set up in selected buildings where vulnerable seniors reside. Community Paramedics’ interventions are focused on health promotion and the prevention and monitoring of high blood pressure, diabetes, cardiovascular disease and social isolation.

In 2019, the Clinic Program operated in nine vulnerable seniors’ buildings throughout the city with a total of 260 sessions and over 1,900 visits by residents.

**Flu Clinics**

In 2018, the Clinic Program expanded to include influenza immunization during the flu season. In November and December 2019, 50 clinics were held with 236 residents of vulnerable seniors’ buildings receiving the flu shot. In a feedback survey, recipients said the convenience of having the shot available in their building prevented them from having to travel in inclement weather and ensured that they received the vaccination.
Remote Patient Monitoring

The Remote Patient Monitoring Program leverages technology to allow patients to stay in their homes while being monitored by Community Paramedics. Information about the patient’s chronic condition is transmitted from a variety of devices to a database monitored by a paramedic. If a predetermined threshold is exceeded, a Community Paramedic promptly contacts the patient. In 2019, there were 51 new patients enrolled in the program bringing the total to 74 patients who are using remote technology to monitor their health. Analysis conducted by Queens University shows that this program results in a 26% reduction in both 911 calls and emergency department visits.

Social Navigator

The Social Navigator Program (SNP) is a collaboration with the Hamilton Police Service to support at-risk individuals and those with repeat police interactions by connecting them to health and social services they require. In 2019, there were 105 clients in the SNP although over 280 people were referred to the program. There was also contact made with an additional 301 individuals who needed brief assistance.

Social Navigators referred their clients to 241 various programs and services to provide support for housing/shelter, mental health, rehabilitation, primary care, income and employment as well as assisting in attending appointments and obtaining food and clothing.

The SNP has been successful in reducing the amount of times police were called for clients for adverse purposes.

Public Access Defibrillation

The Community Paramedic Program is responsible for the maintenance and tracking of Automated External Defibrillators (AEDs) throughout the city and advocate to increase in the number of AEDs in the community. Medical evidence shows that when an AED and CPR are administered immediately, often by a bystander, the chance of survival from sudden cardiac arrest is substantially improved by up to 75%.

In 2019, there were 439 AEDs in the city and three uses. In one instance a 9-year-old child was successfully resuscitated. AEDs are located throughout the city in public buildings, such as City of Hamilton office buildings, schools, libraries, local event arenas, fitness centres, recreational facilities, hockey arenas and seniors’ centres.
Paramedic Palliative Outreach Support Team

The PPOST Program is a new Community Paramedic Program initiated in October 2019. A specially trained team of Community Paramedics are contacted when a patient’s palliative care team is unavailable. Community Paramedics are able to support the patient through a palliative crisis in their home and avoid a transport to the emergency department. Since October, the team has supported five patients and averted four hospital visits. There are plans to expand the program to provide paramedic support to palliative care patients in 2020.

Flu Response for Emergency Department Diversion

The FREDD Program is a new Community Paramedic Program initiated in December 2019. It provides a mobile response unit to influenza-like illness calls at long-term care homes during the flu season. Paramedics treat long-term care residents in the home thereby decreasing the need to go to the hospital. This program continued until the end of March 2020.

Emergency Department Diversion to Withdrawal Management

The EDWIN Program is another new Community Paramedic Program that began in late December 2019. It enables paramedics to transport men with addiction-related issues to the Men’s Addiction Service Hamilton (MASH) rather than to hospital emergency departments. In 2020, the program will be expanded to include transports to two additional facilities: Womankind Addiction Services and Youth Substance Use Prevention.

In her spare time, paramedic Mandie crochets hats for newborns. The hats are included in all paramedic obstetrical kits. They are even in HPS colours. The hats stay with the babies so Mandie is always busy crocheting.
Clinical Excellence

Clinical excellence is demonstrated by Hamilton paramedics through a commitment to continued growth and development. In 2019, paramedics underwent an aggregate total of 25,131 instructional hours. A variety of procedures were implemented in 2019 that expand the range of capabilities of paramedics so they can provide excellent clinical care to patients.

Intraosseous Infusion

Intraosseous infusion (IO) is used to directly access the marrow of bone to provide fluid and medication when intravenous access is not possible. In 2019, paramedics were trained on the utilization of the EZ-IO device to quickly and effectively gain vascular access in emergency situations. Since implementation in the fall, the EZ-IO device has been used 36 times.

Autonomous Intravenous (AIV)

In 2019, for the first time Primary Care Paramedics were given the opportunity to utilize their certification acquired from other services to administer intravenous (IV) in Hamilton. In the past, this procedure was within the scope of practice of Advanced Care Paramedics only. Since September 2019, 19 paramedics have been certified in autonomous IV. In 2020, all Hamilton Primary Care Paramedics will be given the opportunity to be certified in AIV.

Neonatal Intensive Care Unit

In 2019, with funding from MOH, HPS acquired an ambulance dedicated to critically ill newborns. Paramedics will work with the McMaster Children’s Hospital NICU transport team to transfer babies from referring hospitals to the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit at McMaster.
Paramedic Clinical Feedback

Once paramedics transfer the care of a patient to the hospital, they do not have access to information about the patient’s outcome or how their actions impacted the outcome. A specialty program with the Hamilton General Hospital’s Heart Investigation Unit (HIU) allows paramedics to transport heart attack patients directly to the HIU where a medical team is prepared to receive and treat the patient. In 2019, this program was enhanced to include feedback data from HIU to HPS related to the efficacy of paramedic procedures and results of HIU tests. This allows paramedics to build on strengths and identify and develop areas for improvement. The paramedic clinical feedback initiative will be expanded to include the specialty programs for trauma and stroke patients.

National Paramedic Competition

This annual competition is a one-day event that challenges paramedics on academic tests, practical scenarios using human actors and patient simulators. Paramedics and student paramedics from across the country compete to showcase clinical excellence. In 2019, Hamilton was represented by a Primary Care Paramedic team and an Advanced Care Paramedic team who won third place in their division. The 2020 competition is scheduled to be hosted by HPS in Hamilton.
Continuous Improvement

A range of projects were undertaken in 2019 to improve processes, policies and services to ensure the HPS delivers optimal care to the community. Some of these projects are highlight below.

Tiered Response Agreement Review

The Tiered Response Agreement (TRA) between HPS and Hamilton Fire Department was established to ensure a timely response to medical emergencies in the community. In December 2019, a team of subject matter experts from paramedic, fire and dispatch services assembled for the first time to conduct a detailed review of the TRA and analysis of data to define its criteria. The project team will identify areas for improvement and efficiencies to the TRA and related processes. A report of their recommendations will be provided to Council for consideration in 2020.

Stair Chair Upgrade

A stair chair is used by paramedics for alert patients who need to be transported down stairs or through narrow confined spaces. In 2019, paramedics identified the type of chair that best suits their needs and the needs of their patients. After participating in trials using a variety of stair chairs, paramedics completed a survey to indicate their preference. In 2019, the bariatric ambulance was equipped with the chair selected by most paramedics. In 2020, the preferred chair for all other ambulances will replace the current ones. The new chair is lighter weight, easier to handle and therefore will help to reduce the risk of injury due to lifting.

Quality Assurance

HPS has a robust quality assurance program that, among other activities, reviews and responds to feedback from both external and internal customers. Follow-up with paramedics is an integral part of the program to ensure the continuous improvement of HPS service delivery.

In 2019, 268 reviews were conducted to identify opportunities for improvement and employee recognition. Sixty-six were related to collisions, 85 were concerns about conduct and practice while 117 were compliments on paramedics’ performance (not including social media posts).
New Policy Manual

An extensive review of HPS policy and procedures was undertaken in 2018 by a Paramedic Supervisor with expertise. In the fall of 2019, a new manual was introduced to staff for feedback. The new manual has 42 policies reduced from 262 policies and procedures in the previous manual. Outdated and repetitive content was removed, and policies were rewritten in plain language with a clear purpose and includes links to related material such as legislation and training materials. Policies in the new manual represent the values of HPS, respect the knowledge and professionalism of staff and are not punitive referring only to discipline in the discipline policy. The new policy manual will go into full effect by early 2021.

Expanded Community Paramedicine Program

In the latter half of 2019, HPS expanded the Community Paramedicine Program in a continued effort to assist clients in the community and decrease the need for hospital visits. As described earlier, through the PPOST Program paramedics can assist palliative patients in their homes. The FREDD Program enables paramedics to treat long-term care residents with flu-like symptoms in the residence. The EDWIN Program allows for paramedics to transport clients with addiction-related issues to a facility rather than the hospital. In 2020, HPS will continue to expand existing Community Paramedicine Programs and explore new ones.

As well as adding new innovative programs to the Community Paramedicine Program, in 2019 Community Paramedics joined the Ontario Health Network enabling them to make virtual home visits.

Public Health Services Collaboration

Influenza Vaccines

In collaboration with Public Health Services, HPS was able to provide flu shots through the Community Paramedicine Program in 2019. Public Health Services supplied the vaccine, carried out inspections, supported the program and HPS provided Public Health Services with reports of progress.

“From the time the paramedics arrived until they passed off my dad [to the care of the hospital], they were nothing but professional, and, in fact, went over and above their duties to be empathetic, caring, and very reassuring to my mother. My parents are both diabetic and they even made sure that they had a sandwich and a drink while they were waiting [in the emergency department].”
Opioid Information System

HPS continues to collaborate with Public Health Services to provide timely data on opioid-related emergencies to the public through the Hamilton Opioid Information System on the City’s website. Tracking suspected opioid overdoses helps to inform mitigation efforts. In 2019, paramedics assisted approximately 596 people suspected of opioid overdose.

Monthly Opioid-Related Paramedic Incidents in Hamilton
2017-2019

Paramedic Services User Profile

In 2019, Public Health Services completed an analysis of 2018 paramedic patient call records to help HPS better understand the characteristics of people who access ambulance services multiple times.

The analysis generated a comprehensive report that is utilized for messaging to the community and stakeholders and informs HPS program planning as well as the ten-year Master Plan set to be released in 2020.
Community Connections

Community Events

Hamilton paramedics play an important role in the community not just because they provide quality care and emergency response but also because they provide information and support to various community groups. In 2019, HPS participated in over 30 community events of a wide variety including festivals, fairs, parades and fundraisers as well as educational, awareness-raising, appreciation and career development events.

HPS is able to support these events through utilizing paramedic volunteers, paramedics on modified duties and in special circumstances frontline staff or superintendents are able to attend these events. This ensures that no paramedics are taken away from their primary duty of being able to respond to emergency calls.
Media Presence

HPS had a strong media presence in 2019. Through over 60 spots in local television, newspaper and radio HPS shares important information relating to their work, raising awareness of key community issues and supporting community health, safety and well-being.

As well, through the HPS Twitter account, HPS was able to share timely news about emergency incidents, promote key community events and HPS charity work and celebrate the dedication of paramedics across the region. Social media also provided a platform to disseminate educational information related to drowning prevention, CPR, substance use and driving, when to call 911, rail track safety, stroke awareness and safety tips during inclement weather. In 2019, the HPS Twitter account had over 14,000 followers with a reach of over 2.2 million impressions or the number of times an HPS tweet appeared on users’ timelines impressions.

Charity Support

Not only do Hamilton paramedics participate in community fundraisers such as Tim Horton’s Camp and McHappy Day, they also help to lead various charitable causes. The following are just a few charitable endeavours that took place in 2019:

Tour de Paramedic Ride 2019

The Hamilton paramedics’ cycling team Escarpment City Gears (ECGs) took part in the 2019 ride from Toronto to Ottawa with some starting in Hamilton and riding over 600 kilometers. The ride raised funds for the Canadian Paramedic Memorial Foundation for a monument to honour paramedics who have lost their lives in the line of duty. For four years, Hamilton paramedics have been involved in the ride and have raised close to $20,000.
Community Garden

The garden began six years ago by paramedics who continue to volunteer their time to tend the garden. The bulk of the produce is donated to Neighbour to Neighbour Centre. In 2019, Victory Gardens provided seeds and seedlings for the garden and the garden yielded over 1,835 pounds of produce for donation.

Sirens for Life

In 2019, Hamilton paramedics once again took part in the Canadian Blood Services challenge for local first responders to donate blood to ensure adequate blood inventory at hospitals. In 2019, there were 171 first responders in Hamilton contributing to the cause.

Food Drive

Hamilton paramedics partnered again with Neighbour to Neighbour, the Burlington Auxiliary O.P.P. and Fortinos for the 2019 annual food drive. This effort provides essential food to families in need during the holidays. In 2019, the drive raised over $26,000 in cash donations and approximately 12,300 pounds of food.

Toy Drive

The annual Paramedic Toy Drive for CityKidz ensures that children experiencing the challenges of poverty receive a personalized and meaningful gift at Christmas. In 2019, the toy drive raised almost $8,900 and 2,100 toys that filled two ambulances.
Awards of Achievement

A number of Hamilton paramedics were formally recognized in 2019 for their extraordinary achievements in serving the community and their peers.

Gord Mooney, a Community Paramedic dedicated to helping people in need through the Social Navigator Program, earned the Paramedic Chiefs of Canada Award of Excellence for client-centered initiatives.

Gord was also recognized for his achievement by Hamilton’s City Council.

Michael Giovinazzo was awarded the Governor General of Canada Emergency Medical Services Exemplary Service Second Bar for 40 years of dedicated service as a Primary Care Paramedic.

Traicee Chan was recognized for her innovation and commitment to her peers’ well-being through her work on the Peer Support Team. Traicee’s efforts help to strengthen the morale and cohesiveness of paramedics.
Primary Care Paramedics Dave Dean and Davina Shantz received the Hamilton Heath Sciences Centre for Paramedic Education and Research (CPER) Quality of Care Award. This award is for excellence in patient care and is peer-nominated.

Advanced Care Paramedics David Egier and Andrew Newland won third place in their division of the National Paramedic Competition.

In 2019, 35 recruits successfully completed the recruitment process and joined the HPS family prepared to deliver excellence in service to the Hamilton community. (Shown above, Recruit Class of June 2019)
How Much Did We Do?

70,656 Events  
194/day

87,037 Responses  
238/day

53,248 Transports  
146/day
Community Paramedicine

3 new programs
653 @Home clients
1,904 @Clinic visits
236 flu shots
51 new RPM patients
105 clients in SNP
439 AEDs
How Much Did We Do?

25,131 instructional hours

30+ community events

10+ charities
80  Code Zero events

41%  Transfer of Care ≤ 30 mins

30,549  hours in offload delay >30 mins
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target Response Time/Acuity Level</th>
<th>HPS Response Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VSA • Vital Signs Absent</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAS 1 • Resuscitation</td>
<td>83%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAS 2 • Emergent</td>
<td>86%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAS 3 • Urgent</td>
<td>91%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAS 4 • Less Urgent</td>
<td>96%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CTAS 5 • Non-Urgent</td>
<td>97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VSA: Vital Signs Absent
CTAS: Clinical Triage Acuity Scale
Operating Budget

How Well Did We Do?

Materials & Supplies/Response
$23.44

Vehicle Cost/Kilometre
$0.67

Average Cost/Response
$587.28

- EMPLOYEE RELATED COST
- MATERIAL AND SUPPLY
- VEHICLE EXPENSES
- BUILDING AND GROUND
- CONTRACTUAL/CONSULTING/FINANCIAL
- MUNICIPAL RECOVERIES
How Well Did We Do?

Quality Assurance Reviews

- **COMPLIMENTS**: 44%
- **COLLISIONS**: 24%
- **CONCERNS**: 32%

They even made sure my parents had something to eat while waiting in the ED.

They arrived with smiles and support. They were my bright spot.

They took the best care of me and calmed down my husband.

They helped my wife cut up food for me. I spilled some and they cleaned it up.

They touched our lives and made the night easier.
Client Feedback

@Home
90% rated service as Excellent

@Clinic
97% rated service as Excellent

Flu Clinic
98% satisfaction rate

I got my flu shot this year because you are here.
The paramedic was nice and did a good job.
My pharmacy didn’t have the seniors dose.
The paramedic was really fun.
Client Feedback

Sarah James @bysarahjames · Oct 2, 2019
A huge shoutout to the @HPS_Paramedics for taking great care of my Dad. You folks are amazing. #HamOnt

Brandon Archer @2014_archer · May 27, 2019
@HPS_Paramedics Thanks for all you do the city wouldn’t be the way it is without the dedicated team like you guys so Well Done And Congratulations

Jeffrey Brinson, Ed.D. @JeffreyBrinson · Dec 30, 2019
Special thank you to the @HPS_Paramedics a small the doctors and nurses @mch_childrens @HamHealthSci for their care for my 10 year old earlier this month.

Show this thread
913 stroke responses

223 STEMI responses

351 patients resuscitated from suspected Sudden Cardiac Arrest (ROSC)

596 patients assisted with suspected opioid overdose

160,870 medical procedures
@Home

347 visits
50% reduction in 911 calls

Remote Patient Monitoring

~26% reduction in 911 calls
~26% reduction in ED visits

Social Navigator Program

241 services/programs provided to clients

Public Access Defibrillator

3 uses - 9 year old successfully resuscitated and recovered with no brain damage
Stephanie was 6 months pregnant with this little sweetheart when she had a stroke. Thanks to a swift response from her partner, Hamilton Paramedics and the stroke team at General Hospital they are both alive and well.
Food Drive

$26,015
12,264 lbs food

Toy Drive

$8,873
2,100 toys
Continuous Improvement

• Update of Policy and Procedure Manual

• Expanded Community Paramedicine Program
  • Paramedic Palliative Outreach Support
  • Flu Response for Emergency Department Diversion
  • Emergency Department Diversion to Withdrawal Management

• Advancement in Equipment (e.g., Stair Chair, IO drill)

• Review of the Tiered Response Agreement

• Educating on Naloxone awareness and use
Continuous Improvement

- Collaboration with Public Health Services
  - Opioid Information System
  - HPS User Profile
  - Flu shots

- Certified PCPs given opportunity to administer intravenous

- Feedback to paramedics from General Hospital’s HIU

- Addition of NICU transport ambulance
Plans for 2020

✔ Obtain hybrid ambulances

• Participate on Hamilton Health Team

• Expand Community Paramedic Program
  • Transport to addiction management facilities for women and youth
  • Increase paramedic support for palliative care patients

• Update Tiered Response Agreement

• Finalize 10-Year Master Plan

• Reduce hospital offload delays
  • Expand Fit-2-Sit Program
  • Develop Alternate Destination Guidelines
COVID-19 Response

• Ensure health and safety of staff
  • Modify response plans
  • Establish Infectious Disease Paramedics team
  • Preserve, adapt, acquire PPE
  • Early screening of staff

• Facility evacuations

• Community testing
  • Hospices
  • Nursing homes
  • Retirement/seniors residences
  • Long-term care facilities
  • Residential care facilities
  • Shelters
QUESTIONS?
INFORMATION REPORT

TO: Chair and Members
Emergency and Community Services Committee

COMMITTEE DATE: July 13, 2020

SUBJECT/REPORT NO: Home for the Holidays Wrap Up (HSC20024) (City Wide)

WARD(S) AFFECTED: City Wide

PREPARED BY: Joshua Van Kampen (905) 546-2424 Ext. 4592

SUBMITTED BY: Edward John
Director, Housing Services Division
Healthy and Safe Communities Department

SIGNATURE: 

Council Direction

On October 17, 2019, the Emergency and Community Services Committee approved the following:

“That the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department be authorized and directed to deliver and administer an emergency social housing repair program (“Home for the Holidays”) in the form of unit occupation, with the intent of making as many units as possible available by December 24, 2019, with a program end date of March 31, 2020, at a maximum aggregate cost of $2,000,000 to be funded from the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve (108020) or 2019 Year-End Corporate Surplus”; and,

“That the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities be directed to submit an Information Update to Council, reporting on the success of the program in the first quarter of 2020.”

INFORMATION

At the end of 2019, CityHousing Hamilton (CHH) had a significant number of units they could not afford to “turn over” or prepare to be rented as their budget for the year had already been expended. CHH also had a number of units that were chronically vacant because they required costly repairs.
Home for the Holidays was initiated with the goal of bringing 250 rent-geared-to-income (RGI) units back on-line with as many of them as possible completed by December 25, 2019. The $2 M used for the Homes for the Holidays program was funded by the 2019 Year-End Corporate Surplus that was generated largely by unused RGI subsidy dollars being returned to Housing Services, as the Service Manager, from Social Housing Providers during the year-end reconciliation process in 2019.

From November 2019 through to March 2020, CHH repaired 300 units. Examples of repairs to the units include mould remediation, replacing flooring, replacing walls, and updating electrical and plumbing. Approximately 20% of the units had been vacant for a prolonged period of time. While units of every size were repaired, approximately half were bachelor or 1-bedroom units which would be appropriate for a single person or a couple, the households with the longest wait times on the Access to Housing (ATH) list. The table below is a summary of how many unit sizes were repaired:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Unit Type – Bedroom Size</th>
<th># Repair Units</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Bedroom</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Bedroom</td>
<td>31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Bedroom</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Bedroom</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Bedroom</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of the 300 units renovated under this program, 215 have been rented to households on the Access to Housing (ATH) waitlist, 13 have been rented as affordable market rent, while the other 72 are in the process of being rented out. It is estimated that CHH spent $2.1 M through this program. The remaining $100,000 will be covered through CHH’s allocation from the Poverty Reduction Fund (Project ID 6731841611).

CHH has been working to address challenges with unit turnover and chronic vacancies. In April 2017, Council approved the Poverty Reduction Fund (BOH16034/CES16043) to address this issue. In combination with internal budgeting and process changes, CHH has largely cleared its backlog of vacant units. Home for the Holidays solidified a reset on unit turnovers. Aside from 18 remaining chronically vacant units, the remaining vacancies are due to redevelopment.

**APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED**

None
TO: Chair and Members Emergency and Community Services Committee  

COMMITTEE DATE: July 13, 2020  

SUBJECT/REPORT NO: Provision of Conditional Grants for the Purposes of Paying Development Charges for Two Non-Profit Affordable Rental Housing Projects (HSC19060(a)) (Ward 3)  

WARD(S) AFFECTED: Ward 3  

PREPARED BY: Kirstin Maxwell (905) 546-2424 Ext. 3846  
Jana Amos (905) 546-2424 Ext. 1554  

SUBMITTED BY: Edward John  
Director, Housing Services Division  
Healthy and Safe Communities Department  

SIGNATURE:  

RECOMMENDATIONS

(a) That a conditional grant in the total amount of the development charges (DCs) for the 40 units of the 60-unit Hamilton East Kiwanis Non-Profit Homes Inc., 6 – 14 Acorn Street affordable rental housing development project that are not receiving funding under the Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative (OPHI) ("Kiwanis Project"), in the approximate amount of $1,000,903 be approved in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the Conditional Grant Term Sheet attached as Appendix “A” to Report HSC19060(a);  

(b) That a conditional grant in the total amount of the development charges (DCs) for the 43-unit building of the 95-unit Indwell Community Homes, 225 East Avenue North affordable rental housing development project that are not receiving funding under Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative (OPHI) ("Indwell Project"), in the approximate amount of $379,260 be approved in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the Conditional Grant Term Sheet attached as Appendix “B” to Report HSC19060(a);  

(c) That the conditional grants in the total amount of the development charges (DCs) payable for both projects in the approximate amount of $1,380,163 as well as the...
deficit of approximately $43,227 in the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve (110041) once all 2020 commitments have been met, be funded from the Affordable Housing Property Reserve (112256), to the applicable DC Reserve;

(d) That the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department or designate be directed and authorized to enter into a Conditional Grant Agreement respecting the Kiwanis Project with the terms and conditions contained in the Conditional Grant Term Sheet attached as Appendix “A” to Report HSC19060(a) in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, and that the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department be authorized to execute any such agreements and ancillary documentation;

(e) That the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department or designate be directed and authorized to enter into a Conditional Grant Agreement respecting the Indwell Project with the terms and conditions contained in the Conditional Grant Term Sheet attached as Appendix “B” to Report HSC19060(a) in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, and that the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department be authorized to execute any such agreements and ancillary documentation;

(f) That the development charges payable for the Kiwanis Project be payable in 20 equal annual instalments without interest in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the Payment Agreement Term Sheet attached as Appendix “C” to Report HSC19060(a);

(g) That the development charges payable for the Indwell Project be payable in 20 equal annual instalments without interest in accordance with the terms and conditions contained in the Payment Agreement Term Sheet attached as Appendix “D” to Report HSC19060(a);

(h) That the General Manager of the Finance and Corporate Services Department be directed and authorized to enter into a Development Charge Payment Agreement respecting the Kiwanis Project, under section 27 of the Development Charges Act, 1997, to require the payment of development charges otherwise payable under Development Charges By-law 19-142 and By-law 11-174, the earlier of the date of first occupancy or issuance of an occupancy permit, on such terms as the General Manager of the Finance and Corporate Services Department may require and including those on the Term Sheet attached as Appendix “C” to Report HSC19060(a), without interest, in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, and that the General Manager of the Finance and Corporate Services Department be directed and authorized to execute any such agreements and ancillary documentation; and,
(i) That the General Manager of the Finance and Corporate Services Department be directed and authorized to enter into a Development Charge Payment Agreement respecting each of the Indwell Project, under section 27 of the Development Charges Act, 1997, to require the payment of development charges otherwise payable under Development Charges By-law 19-142 and By-law 11-174, the earlier of the date of first occupancy or issuance of an occupancy permit, on such terms as the General Manager of the Finance and Corporate Services Department may require and including those on the Term Sheet attached as Appendix “D” to Report HSC19060(a), without interest, in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, and that the General Manager of the Finance and Corporate Services Department be authorized to execute any such agreements and ancillary documentation.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Report HSC19060(a) seeks approval to provide conditional grants and development charges ("DCs") payment agreements for the payment of DCs for two affordable housing projects, one by East Hamilton Kiwanis Non-Profit Homes ("Kiwanis") and the other by Indwell Community Homes ("Indwell"). A portion of the units of each project have been approved for Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative (OPHI) funding (Report HSC19060) and meet the requirements for a DC exemption in the current by-law; however, both developments have additional affordable units that staff planned to recommend for DC relief when a new program was brought to Council.

When the 2019 Development Charges By-Law No. 19-142 was adopted there was a commitment to replace the by-law exemption for affordable housing with a program that provides greater control of the projects granted DC relief. As the report for the new affordable housing DC program was put on hold due to the COVID-19 crisis and the affordable units in the projects not funded by OPHI do not currently meet the by-law criteria, DC exemptions are not available.

Staff are recommending payment of the DCs in 20 annual instalments to enable the grant advances by the City to be spread over the affordability period of 20 years, thus securing the City’s investment without the need for a mortgage registered on title and waiving the interest on the instalments to reduce the costs to the Housing Services Division.

The grants are to be offset by the Affordable Housing Property Reserve (112256).

Alternatives for Consideration – Not Applicable
FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial:

Table 1: Total City Investment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Parkland Fee Relief</th>
<th>Total DC Relief OPHI Units</th>
<th>Total DC Relief Grant Non-OPHI Units</th>
<th>Total DC Relief for Affordability</th>
<th>Total City Capital Contribution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kiwanis – Acorn St.</td>
<td>$146,460</td>
<td>$410,080 (20 units)</td>
<td>$1,000,903 (40 units)</td>
<td>$1,410,983</td>
<td>$1,557,443</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indwell – Royal Oaks</td>
<td>$90,000</td>
<td>$743,671 (52 units)</td>
<td>$379,260 (+$374,573 **CIPA) (43 units)</td>
<td>$1,122,931</td>
<td>$1,212,931</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Housing</td>
<td>$236,460</td>
<td>$1,153,751 ($+374,573 CIPA)</td>
<td>$1,380,163</td>
<td>$2,533,914</td>
<td>$2,770,374</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*DC figures include City and Go Transit DCs after applying demolition credits

Report HSC19060 approved the use of approximately $1,238,791 from the Social Housing Stabilization Reserve (110041), which is dedicated to DC relief for qualifying affordable housing, to off-set the DCs for the OPHI-funded units of both projects (20 units of the Kiwanis development and up to all 95 units of the Indwell development. The figures in Table 1 differ from Report HSC19060 as the Indwell OPHI units no longer qualify for the Downtown Community Improvement Plan (CIPA) partial exemption.

The grants are to be offset by the Affordable Housing Property Reserve (112256) funded through the sale of properties that have been allocated for affordable housing purposes. The timing of the planned sale of properties may result in the Affordable Housing reserve to go into a deficit. Once the sales are finalized the deficit is expected to be eliminated as a consequence.

Staffing: N/A

Legal: Provision of the conditional grant and DC payment agreement conditions to Kiwanis and Indwell is not bonusing under the Municipal Act as both organizations are charitable non-profit corporations.
HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The June 2019 changes to the Development Charges Act, 1997 (DCs Act) allow non-profit housing developers to pay DCs upon occupancy and in 21 equal annual instalments thereafter. Municipalities may choose whether or not to charge interest, and any DCs not paid may be added to properties’ tax rolls and collected accordingly.

In July 2019, Council approved Report FCS19050 which adopted the 2019 Development Charges By-law No. 19-142. One of the changes in this by-law was to prohibit developments from benefiting from more than one DC exemption or partial exemption, including affordable housing projects.

On November 13, 2019, Council approved Report HSC19060 which recommended that the Province award Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative: Rental Housing Component funding to a portion of each of the Kiwanis-Acorn St. and Indwell-Royal Oaks affordable housing projects; 20 units of the 60 unit Kiwanis project, and 52 units of the 95 unit Indwell project. This approval qualified these units for DC exemptions under By-Law 19-142. Report HSC19060(a) pertains to the units in these projects that are not being funded through OPHI.

On May 27, 2020, Council approved Report FCS20028/PED20105 which authorized the charging of interest for DC instalments for non-profit housing development, as well as rental housing and institutional development.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

Housing and Homelessness Action Plan

Hamilton does not have sufficient affordable rental housing units. In 2013, Council endorsed the 10-Year Housing and Homelessness Action Plan with the first outcome area to increase the supply of affordable housing. The City continues to fall below its targets for developing new units.

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

Corporate Services Department - Legal Services Division
Legal provided advice on the legal and financial mechanisms to offset the cost of DCs for the projects and the agreement terms and reviewed the final documents. Their input is reflected in the final report and appendices.

Corporate Service Department – Financial Planning, Administration, and Policy Division
Finance provided advice on the financial mechanisms to offset the cost of DCs for the
projects, including terms of the DC payment agreement, provided the financial numbers, and reviewed the documents. Their input is reflected in the final report and appendices.

ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION(S)

A. CMHC Co-Investment Fund/Leveraging Federal Funds

The provision of a conditional grant for the payment of DCs for the non-OPHI units in the Kiwanis and Indwell projects, in addition to the existing exemptions for the OPHI units, would help leverage more Federal funding for the projects. Both projects are applying to the CMHC Co-Investment Fund (CIF), which can provide both a forgivable loan and financing with low interest and other favourable conditions. The CIF has a complex scoring system that determines the strength of proposals, the amount of forgivable loan (if any), and the conditions of the CMHC financing. The amount of the required municipal contribution is a key factor in the scoring. Other factors include energy efficiency, accessibility, the number of larger units, long term financial sustainability, and more. The provision of conditional grants for the payment of DCs for the units not funded by OPHI will increase the scores of these projects, which will increase the amount of funding and improve the conditions of the financing.

Given the small amount of the typical CMHC grant and that CMHC primarily provides repayable loans, CMHC funds alone are not sufficient to make affordable development projects financially viable. The program is predicated on the concept of financial and other “partnerships.” Developer organizations must put together multiple funding sources to create a successful project, and municipalities are required to contribute in a monetarily meaningful way. This doesn’t necessarily mean direct capital, but the municipal contribution must be reported as a monetary contribution and direct capital contributions are valued. Financing affordable housing development is increasingly challenging given the exceptional construction cost increases of the past few years and the added uncertainty of the COVID-19 crisis.

B. Need for the City Investments

The need for affordable housing in Hamilton has been demonstrated in multiple previous reports. Report HSC20009 notes that significant changes to parts of Hamilton’s housing system are needed to increase its resilience to the challenges caused and amplified by COVID-19 and future epidemics.

To maximize peoples’ ability to become as self-sufficient as possible, most congregate living situations must be replaced with small, deeply affordable, low-barrier self-contained units where tenants’ self-sufficiency is fostered through access to appropriate supports.
In addition to the leveraging of more federal funds for a higher municipal contribution to the projects, the provision of these funds to these projects is important for the following reasons:

C. Affordable Housing Exemption in the DC By-Law

Council approval for the conditional grants for the payment of DCs and specific payment agreement conditions for DC payments for the non-funded units of the Kiwanis and Indwell Projects is needed as the units do not meet the criteria for DC exemption in the by-law. Council approval for the waiving of interest on DC instalment payments for these projects is necessary as this is a new requirement that would place additional costs on the Housing Services Division budget.

By-Law No. 19-142 Respecting Development Charges on Lands within the City of Hamilton exempts dwelling units within an affordable housing project that meet the following criteria from DCs:

1. The project must provide “housing and incidental facilities for persons of low and moderate income;”

2. The units must either have been approved to receive construction funding from the Federal or Provincial Governments under an affordable housing program or approved by the City of Hamilton through an affordable housing program; and,

3. The units must not be eligible for funding for DC liabilities from the Federal or Provincial Government.

The purpose of Criteria 1 and 2 are to ensure the units receiving City support are affordable and rented to those in need in both the short and long-term. The purpose of Criteria 3 is to ensure that the City does not provide funds that could instead be provided by either the Federal or Provincial Governments.

As CMHC has not yet committed the expected construction funding and financing for either project, the units not funded by OPHI do not currently meet Criteria 2 but will upon approval of CMHC funding.

Even with CMHC funding the units will not meet the wording of Criteria 3 but will meet the intent. CMHC requirements are project-wide and CMHC funding/financing is provided on a project-wide rather than unit specific basis. This open-ended use of funds means that DCs are included in the large list of eligible expenses; however, while the CMHC funds can be used for DCs, City conditional grants provided to offset the costs of
DCs will not replace federal funds. City funds leverage additional federal funds and are needed in addition to all other funding sources.

D. Terms of Conditional Grant Agreement and DC Payment Agreement

Both a conditional grant agreement and a DC payment agreement between the City and each of Kiwanis and Indwell will be required, subject to the terms as outlined in the Term Sheets attached as Appendices “A,” “B,” “C,” and “D” to Report HSC19060(a). Both types of agreement will include provisions that a default, such as part of the development ceasing to be “non-profit housing,” will require the DCs to become payable immediately. Outstanding DC payments to the City can be collected in the same manner as taxes.

Rather than the traditional approach of a forgivable loan with a mortgage registered on title to secure the City’s interests, staff propose that the Grant be advanced annually at the time each instalment is due. The amount of any non-payment of an instalment can be added to the tax roll for the property. Not registering a mortgage on title and financially encumbering the property is beneficial to the developments’ CMHC applications and the ability of the organisations to borrow funds for this and potential additional projects.

The conditional grant agreement terms are standard for affordable housing projects except for the higher potential maximum allowable rents. The final maximum allowable rents will be determined by the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department (“GM”) when project costing and budgets are more certain. Project costing is an iterative process in which costs and budgets become more detailed, specific, accurate, and certain with each iteration. The collective goal of staff, Kiwanis, and Indwell is for the rents to be as affordable as possible; however, flexibility is necessary in the current context of uncertainty resulting from the COVID-19 crisis. Many of these factors predate COVID-19 but have become significantly more unpredictable. These include:

- construction cost uncertainty including increased costs as a result of the physical distancing requirements for COVID-19;
- the financing challenges noted above;
- unknown future CMHC requirements, funding amounts, and financing conditions;
- unknown future requirements and financing conditions of other potential lenders/financial contributors;
- unpredictable changes in the rental market; and,
- the reduced overall amount of government funding as a proportion of total project costs.
E. Implementation

*DCs Act* O.Reg.82/98 defines “non-profit housing development” as residential development by,

“(a) a corporation without share capital to which the Corporations Act applies, that is in good standing under that Act and whose primary object is to provide housing;

(b) a corporation without share capital to which the Canada Not-for-Profit Corporations Act applies, that is in good standing under that Act and whose primary object is to provide housing; or

(c) a non-profit housing co-operative that is in good standing under the Co-operative Corporations Act.”

The absence of an affordability requirement in this definition is not likely an oversight. The CMHC-Ontario Bi-Lateral Agreement lists mixed-income housing and the promotion of social inclusion through mixed-income housing principles for the agreement and all funding and action plans under it. The absence of a specific affordability requirement makes the administration of mixed-income affordable projects less complex. Mixed-income projects are preferred by many affordable housing advocates for a number of reasons, including the potential for cross-subsidization of rents by more expensive units to increase financial viability and facilitate deeper affordability of some units, social inclusion, and to create communities that meet a range of needs. CMHC’s Co-Investment Fund requires projects to include a mix of rent levels.

While it is possible to treat units within a single project differently according to the rents, doing so is administratively complex. Non-profit housing corporations and co-operatives are best able to determine the rents for their units and do so based on their affordable housing and non-profit mandates. Thus, provided the housing providers are non-profits or co-operatives, specific affordable rent requirements with complex administrative processes are not needed to ensure the rents will be and remain affordable. The *DCs Act* also enables for-profit rental projects to pay DCs in instalments, but over five rather than 20 years. The approach of not requiring specific levels of affordability by non-profit organisations could be considered by the City in the future but is not proposed at this time.

Municipalities are permitted to charge interest and recover any unpaid DCs by adding the principal and any interest “to the tax roll and collecting it in the same manner as taxes.” Through Report FCS20028/PED20105, Council approved the charging of interest for instalment payments of DCs for non-profit housing developments. Report HSC19060(a) recommends exempting the Kiwanis and Indwell Projects from the interest requirement.
It is City policy that the amount of the DCs is determined as of the date that the complete building permit application is received and accepted by the Chief Building Official as long as the building permit is issued within 6 months of the next rate increase. July 5, 2020 is the final day that complete applications can be made, and the 2019-2020 fee schedule applied in the DC calculation. The Downtown Hamilton CIPA exemption applies as of the date of permit issuance. For the purposes of this Report, staff has assumed that a complete building permit application will be made on or before July 5, 2020 and that the permit will be issued between July 6, 2020 and Jan 5, 2021.

DC Payment Agreement

The DCs Act, 1997 permits a municipality to enter into payment agreements related to the timing of DCs. In order to advance the conditional grant concurrent with the required payment timing of the DC instalments it is recommended that a DC payment agreement be entered into in addition to the conditional grant agreement. This will allow the City to formally recognize that the amount of DCs due is fixed at the date of building permit issuance and align the due date with the terms of the conditional grant, being 20 annual payments commencing at the time the building is first occupied or approved for occupancy.

It may be noted that the DCs Act, 1997 was amended effective January 1, 2020 in part to delay the timing of DC payment for non-profit housing development to the time the building is first occupied, payable in 21 annual instalments. The timing of payments in the agreements is slightly different to align the DC instalment due dates with the advances of the conditional grants and various housing programs.

F. Changes in Affordable Housing Financing

Historically, government capital funding accounted for a much higher portion of project costs that currently (most recently 75% of total costs, but at times up to 100%) and were in the form of grants (forgivable loans). To enable the coordination of the multiple sets of requirements of the multiple sources of funds that are now needed to build a project, the reduced and less certain contributions need to be reflected in less onerous requirements and expectations. Development financing also must protect the long-term financial health of the non-profit housing providers, so they continue to serve vulnerable Hamiltonians long into the future.

Though more flexibility is needed at this time, it is important to recognize that both Kiwanis and Indwell have a legal mandate as charities to provide affordable housing to people in need. Both have a long history of successful partnership with the City and other levels of government to achieve this goal, and long-term ambitious strategic plans to not only continue their current service, but significantly increase the number of people
they serve. Kiwanis and Indwell each plan to build more than 1,000 units in Hamilton over the next five to eight years. Kiwanis currently operates 997 affordable units, while Indwell manages 425 with another 100 soon to be ready to receive new tenants. All of these units are in Hamilton, though Indwell has additional units in other municipalities. They both have a legal mandate and publicly stated commitment to keep their rents affordable for highly vulnerable tenants.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

None

ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 – 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN

Economic Prosperity and Growth
Hamilton has a prosperous and diverse local economy where people have opportunities to grow and develop.

Healthy and Safe Communities
Hamilton is a safe and supportive City where people are active, healthy, and have a high quality of life.

Built Environment and Infrastructure
Hamilton is supported by state of the art infrastructure, transportation options, buildings and public spaces that create a dynamic City.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix “A” to Report HSC19060(a): Term Sheet: Conditional Grant to Hamilton East Kiwanis Non-Profit Homes Inc.

Appendix “B” to Report HSC19060(a): Term Sheet: Conditional Grant to Indwell Community Homes

Appendix “C” to Report HSC19060(a): Term Sheet: Development Charges Payment Agreement with Hamilton East Kiwanis Non-Profit Homes Inc.

Appendix “D” to Report HSC19060(a): Term Sheet: Development Charges Payment Agreement with Indwell Community Homes

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully.
OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.
OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.
Term Sheet for Conditional Grant Agreement

6 – 14 Acorn Street

Borrower: Hamilton East Kiwanis Non-Profit Homes ("Kiwanis")

Project: Kiwanis – the 40-units not funded under the Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative (OPHI) of the 60-unit affordable housing building currently under development by Kiwanis on the property municipally known as 8 and 14 Acorn Street, and legally defined as Part Lots 13 and 14 on Plan 46, designated as Parts 1 and 2 on Plan 62R-8132, in the city of Hamilton, province of Ontario and Lots 11 and 12, Plan 46, Part Lot 10, Plan 46, Part Lot 13, Plan 46, as in VM103496, in the city of Hamilton, province of Ontario hereinafter referred to as the “Project”

Lender: City of Hamilton ("City")

Type of Grant: Conditional grant to secure long-term affordable housing commitments as set out in this term sheet, Appendix “A” to Report HSC19060(a), below hereinafter referred to as the “Grant”

Grant Conditions

1. The Grant will be subject to the recipient entering into a conditional grant agreement ("CGA") with the City containing such terms and conditions as set out in this term sheet, Appendix “A” to Report HSC19060(a).

2. The Grant will be subject to the recipient entering into a Development Charges ("DCs") deferral agreement ("DCDA") with the City, prior to the issuance of any building permits for works beyond the building foundation, on such terms as set out in Appendix “C” to Report HSC19060(a).

3. The amount of the Grant shall equal the municipal DCs owing for the 40 units of the 6 – 14 Acorn Street affordable housing development project that are not receiving Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative (OPHI) funding, for a term of 20 years from date of first occupancy.

4. The CGA will have a term of 20 years consistent with the period of affordability, commencing from the date any of the 40 affordable housing units in the Project are cleared for occupancy.

5. No assignment of the Grant, other than to the City, the CGA, or the DCDA will be permitted unless consented to by the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe
Communities Department ("GM") in his sole discretion and only in the following circumstances: (a) the property is sold to another provider of "non-profit housing" as defined in the DCDA who enters into an assignment agreement with the City and Kiwanis agreeing to be subject to all of the terms and conditions of the CGA and the DCDA for the remainder of the term of those agreements and such other terms and conditions as the GM and City Solicitor in their sole discretion deem appropriate; (b) the property is sold to another provider of "non-profit housing" as defined in the Development Charges Act, 1997 ("DCs Act") who enters into an assignment agreement with the City and Kiwanis agreeing to be subject to all of the terms and conditions of the CGA and the DCDA for the remainder of the term of those agreements and the assignee agrees to complete the Project in accordance with the Kiwanis plans approved by the City and such other terms and conditions as the GM and City Solicitor in their sole discretion deem appropriate.

6. Requirement to provide the City with original insurance certificates for "Property All Risks" insurance, Broad Form Boiler and Machinery insurance, and insurance against loss of Rent, rental value and other payments required to be paid or made by tenants, or business interruption and profits from the business, to the satisfaction of the Manager of Legal and Risk Management Services.

Rent Requirements & Maximum Allowable Rent

7. At all times during the term of the CGA the rents for these 40 units will at no time be above the maximum allowable rent level, stated in a percentage of CMHC Average or Median Market Rent for the City of Hamilton, to be determined by the GM in his sole discretion when the final construction and operating budgets are produced, but prior to signing of the construction contract. The maximum allowable rent level determined by the GM will be as affordable as possible given the financial conditions at the time of determination, and considering the reasonableness of the construction and operating budgets, the financial viability of the Project both during construction and throughout the affordability period, and the long-term financial viability of Kiwanis, but shall not be above 125% of CMHC Average or Median Market Rent for the unit type. The City shall provide Kiwanis with a conditional grant in the maximum principal amount of the municipal and Go Transit DCs payable by Kiwanis to the City for the development of the 40 units of the Acorn Street North affordable housing development project that are not receiving OPHI funding.

8. Units subject to the CGA may increase rents annually within a tenancy by the Provincial Guideline amount as specified annually by the Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing. Higher increases may be permitted at the sole discretion of the GM following submission of a business case justifying the
increase. At vacant possession, rents may be increased up to the maximum allowable rent level for the unit type as determined in accordance with Section 1.

Events of Default

9. Events of default shall include but not be limited to:
   a. Within the term of the Agreement the housing is no longer “non-profit housing” as defined under the DC Act O.Reg.82/98;
   b. Failure to observe any of the conditions for advance of a grant payment;
   c. Breach of any provision of the CGA or DCDA;
   d. If any part of the Project to which the Grant and DC deferral applies is changed so that it no longer consists of a non-profit housing;
   e. Any disposition of the property not consented to by the GM in his sole discretion which consent may include such conditions as the GM determines in his sole discretion;
   f. Failure to obtain an occupancy permit by December 2023;
   g. Failure to rent 95% of the units that are subject to the Agreement by July 2024;
   h. Failure to submit required documentation by 30 days past the March 1 deadline in this agreement;
   i. Failure to notify the City about any change in that could lead to failure of the Project either during or post construction; and,
   j. Failure to notify the City about any default of the agreement within 30 days.

10. Consequences of an event of default, unless permitted to be remedied in such time and manner as the GM determines in his sole discretion, shall include, but not be limited to: the payment of any unpaid DCs, no further deferral of unpaid DCs, no further Grant payments, and unpaid DCs shall be added to the tax roll.

Advance and Payment Provisions

11. The grant will be advanced in 20 payments (“Advance”) yearly on [insert date and month] each equal to 1/20th of the DCs payable subject to all conditions for an Advance being met.

12. The grant will be assigned to the City and no Advance will be paid directly to Kiwanis. The grant will be irrevocably assigned to the City and at the time of each Advance will be transferred by the Housing Services Division to the appropriate DC reserve. The total amount of the Grant will equal the DCs payable.

13. The performance of the conditions for the Grant will be secured by the following:
   (a) the CGA, (b) the DCDA, (c) if permitted, registering restrictions on the sale of
the land without the consent of the City; and such other security as the GM
determines appropriate.

Monitoring Provisions

14. During the term of the CGA and DCDA at and following initial occupancy, Kiwanis
will monitor their respective Projects annually to ensure the obligations under the
CGA and DCDA have been met for the previous year. During the term of the
payment period Kiwanis will submit the following documents for the previous year
to the Housing Services Division annually on or before March 1:

a) Rent rolls for all of the units that are subject to the CGA and DCDA;
b) Proof of income for any new tenants (entire household) of the units subject to
the Agreement, generally in the form of a Notice of Assessment from the
Canada Revenue Agency, or alternative documentation to the satisfaction of
the City;
c) Confirmation of insurance on the affordable units; and,
d) By request only, annual financial statements (audited if available).

Other Provisions

15. Any out-of-pocket expenses incurred for the preparation of the CGA, over and
above staff costs, are the responsibility of the proponent.

16. Any other terms deemed appropriate by the City Solicitor and GM.
Term Sheet for Conditional Grant Agreement

225 East Avenue North

Borrower: Indwell Community Homes ("Indwell")

Project: The 43-one-bedroom unit affordable housing building being developed by Indwell, which is the southernmost building of the two currently under development on the property municipally known as 223-227 East Avenue North, and legally defined as Lots 39, 40 and 41, Plan 286, Lots 88, 89, 90 and 91, Robert Land Survey, (aka OM1433), being on the west side of East Avenue, designated as Part 2 on Plan 62R-12181, in the city of Hamilton, province of Ontario hereinafter referred to as the “Project”

Lender: City of Hamilton ("City")

Type of Grant: Conditional grant to secure long-term affordable housing commitments as set out in this term sheet, Appendix "B" to Report HSC19060(a), below hereinafter referred to as the “Grant”

Grant Conditions

1. The Grant will be subject to the recipient entering into a conditional grant agreement (“CGA”) with the City containing such terms and conditions as set out in this term sheet, Appendix “B” to Report HSC19060(a).

2. The Grant will be subject to the recipient entering into a Development Charges (“DCs”) deferral agreement (“DCDA”) with the City, prior to the issuance of any building permits for works beyond the building foundation, on such terms as set out in Appendix “D” to Report HSC19060(a).

3. The amount of the Grant shall equal the municipal DCs owing for the 43 units of the 225 East Avenue North affordable housing development project that are not receiving Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative (OPHI) funding, for a term of 20 years from date of first occupancy.

4. The CGA will have a term of 20 years consistent with the period of affordability, commencing from the date any of the 43 affordable housing units in the Indwell Project are cleared for occupancy.

5. No assignment of the Grant, other than to the City, the CGA, or the DCDA will be permitted unless consented to by the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe
Communities Department ("GM") in his sole discretion and only in the following circumstances: (a) the property is sold to another provider of "non-profit housing" as defined in the DCDA who enters into an assignment agreement with the City and Indwell agreeing to be subject to all of the terms and conditions of the CGA and the DCDA for the remainder of the term of those agreements and such other terms and conditions as the GM and City Solicitor in their sole discretion deem appropriate; (b) the property is sold to another provider of "non-profit housing" as defined in the Development Charges Act, 1997 ("DCs Act") who enters into an assignment agreement with the City and Indwell agreeing to be subject to all of the terms and conditions of the CGA and the DCDA for the remainder of the term of those agreements and the assignee agrees to complete the Project in accordance with the Indwell plans approved by the City and such other terms and conditions as the GM and City Solicitor in their sole discretion deem appropriate.

6. Requirement to provide the City with original insurance certificates for “Property All Risks” insurance, Broad Form Boiler and Machinery insurance, and insurance against loss of Rent, rental value and other payments required to be paid or made by tenants, or business interruption and profits from the business, to the satisfaction of the Manager of Legal and Risk Management Services.

Rent Requirements & Maximum Allowable Rent

7. At all times during the term of the CGA the rents for these 43 units will at no time be above the maximum allowable rent level, stated in a percentage of CMHC Average or Median Market Rent for the City of Hamilton, to be determined by the GM in his sole discretion when the final construction and operating budgets are produced, but prior to the issuance of any building permits for works beyond the building foundation. The maximum allowable rent level determined by the GM will be as affordable as possible given the financial conditions at the time of determination, and considering the reasonableness of the construction and operating budgets, the financial viability of the Project both during construction and throughout the affordability period, and the long-term financial viability of Indwell, but shall not be above 125% of CMHC Average or Median Market Rent for the unit type. The City shall provide Indwell with a conditional grant in the maximum principal amount of the municipal and Go Transit DCs payable by Indwell to the City for the development of the 43 units of the 225 East Avenue North affordable housing development project that are not receiving OPHI funding.

8. Units subject to the CGA may increase rents annually within a tenancy by the Provincial Guideline amount as specified annually by the Ontario Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing. Higher increases may be permitted at the sole discretion of the GM following submission of a business case justifying the
increase. At vacant possession, rents may be increased up to the maximum allowable rent level for the unit type as determine in accordance with Section 1.

Events of Default

9. Events of default shall include but not be limited to:
   a. Within the term of the Agreement the housing is no longer “non-profit housing” as defined under the DC Act O.Reg.82/98;
   b. Failure to observe any of the conditions for advance of a grant payment;
   c. Breach of any provision of the CGA or DCDA;
   d. If any part of the Project to which the Grant and DC deferral applies is changed so that it no longer consists of a non-profit housing;
   e. Any disposition of the property not consented to by the GM in his sole discretion which consent may include such conditions as the GM determines in his sole discretion;
   f. Failure to obtain an occupancy permit by [insert date and month];
   g. Failure to rent 95% of the units that are subject to the Agreement by [insert date and month];
   h. Failure to submit required documentation by 30 days past the March 1 deadline in this agreement;
   i. Failure to notify the City about any change in that could lead to failure of the Project either during or post construction; and,
   j. Failure to notify the City about any default of the agreement within 30 days.

10. Consequences of an event of default, unless permitted to be remedied in such time and manner as the GM determines in his sole discretion, shall include, but not be limited to: the payment of any unpaid DCs, no further deferral of unpaid DCs, no further Grant payments, and unpaid DCs shall be added to the tax roll.

Advance and Payment Provisions

11. The grant will be advanced in 20 payments (“Advance”) yearly on [insert date and month] each equal to 1/20th of the DCs payable subject to all conditions for an Advance being met.

12. The grant will be assigned to the City and no Advance will be paid directly to Indwell. The grant will be irrevocably assigned to the City and at the time of each Advance will be transferred by the Housing Services Division to the appropriate DC reserve. The total amount of the Grant will equal the DCs payable.

13. The performance of the conditions for the Grant will be secured by the following: (a) the CGA, (b) the DCDA, (c) if permitted, registering restrictions on the sale of
the land without the consent of the City; and such other security as the GM
determines appropriate.

Monitoring Provisions

14. During the term of the CGA and DCDA at and following initial occupancy, Indwell
will monitor their respective Projects annually to ensure the obligations under the
CGA and DCDA have been met for the previous year. During the term of the
payment period Indwell will submit the following documents for the previous year
to the Housing Services Division annually on or before March 1:

a) Rent rolls for all of the units that are subject to the CGA and DCDA;
b) Proof of income for any new tenants (entire household) of the units subject to
the Agreement, generally in the form of a Notice of Assessment from the
Canada Revenue Agency, or alternative documentation to the satisfaction of
the City;
c) Confirmation of insurance on the affordable units; and,
d) By request only, annual financial statements (audited if available).

Other Provisions

15. Any out-of-pocket expenses incurred for the preparation of the CGA, over and
above staff costs, are the responsibility of the proponent.

16. Any other terms deemed appropriate by the City Solicitor and GM.
Term Sheet for Development Charges Payment Agreement

6 – 14 Acorn Street

Borrower: Hamilton East Kiwanis Non-Profit Homes ("Kiwanis")

Project: Kiwanis – the 40-units not funded under the Ontario Priorities Housing Initiative (OPHI) of the 60-unit affordable housing building currently under development by Kiwanis on the property municipally known as 8 and 14 Acorn Street, and legally defined as Part Lots 13 and 14 on Plan 46, designated as Parts 1 and 2 on Plan 62R-8132, in the city of Hamilton, province of Ontario and Lots 11 and 12, Plan 46, Part Lot 10, Plan 46, Part Lot 13, Plan 46, as in VM103496, in the city of Hamilton, province of Ontario hereinafter referred to as the “Project”

Lender: City of Hamilton ("City")

Type of Agreement: Development Charges Payment Agreement ("DCPA") to require payment of Development Charges ("DCs") payable for the Project as set out in this term sheet, Appendix “C” to Report HSC19060(a), below hereinafter referred to as the “Payment Arrangement”

Agreement Conditions

1. The Payment Arrangement will be subject to the recipient entering into a development charges payment agreement ("DCPA") with the City containing such terms and conditions as set out in this term sheet, Appendix “C” to Report HSC19060(a).

2. The Payment Arrangement will be subject to the recipient entering into a conditional grant agreement ("CGA") with the City, prior to the issuance of any building permits for works beyond the building foundation, on such terms as set out in Appendix “A” to Report HSC19060(a).

3. The DCPA will have a term of 20 years consistent with the period of affordability, commencing from the date any of the 40 affordable housing units in the Project are cleared for occupancy.

4. No assignment of the DCPA will be permitted unless consented to by the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department and the General Manager of Corporate Services ("GMS") in the GMS sole discretion and only in the following circumstances: (a) the property is sold to another provider of “non-profit housing” as defined in the DCPA who enters into an assignment agreement
with the City and Kiwanis agreeing to be subject to all of the terms and conditions of the CGA and the DCPA for the remainder of the term of those agreements and such other terms and conditions as the GMS and City Solicitor in their sole discretion deem appropriate; (b) the property is sold to another provider of “non-profit housing” as defined in the Development Charges Act, 1997 (“DCs Act”) who enters into an assignment agreement with the City and Kiwanis agreeing to be subject to all of the terms and conditions of the CGA and the DCPA for the remainder of the term of those agreements and the assignee agrees to complete the Project in accordance with the Kiwanis plans approved by the City and such other terms and conditions as the GMS and City Solicitor in their sole discretion deem appropriate.

5. Requirement to provide the City with original insurance certificates for “Property All Risks” insurance, Broad Form Boiler and Machinery insurance, and insurance against loss of Rent, rental value and other payments required to be paid or made by tenants, or business interruption and profits from the business, to the satisfaction of the Manager of Legal and Risk Management Services.

Development Charge and PDCs

6. Kiwanis covenants to pay to the City, in respect of the Project, a total City development charge in the amount of $[insert # here] or a revised amount as approved by the Council of the City (the “DCs”). Payment of $0.00 shall be made prior to the issuance of the Building Permit. Payment of the balance of the DCs in the amount of $[insert # here], the Payable Development Charges (“PDCs”) shall be made in accordance with this Term Sheet.

Payment to Coincide with Conditional Grant Payments

7. The payment of the PDCs shall be made to the City annually, at commencement of, and concurrently with, the Conditional Grant Payments (“CGPs”). The required annual payment amount shall be the higher of:

(a) the annual PDCs payment;
(b) 1/20 of the approved conditional grant; or,
(c) 1/20 of the PDCs;

and if any portion of the PDCs remains unpaid on the date the last CGP payment occurs said unpaid portion shall be due and payable on the date the last CGP payment occurs except where, pursuant to the terms of this Term Sheet, the said payment is required and due in full prior to the said date (the aforesaid payment requirements shall be referred to as the “Payment Agreement”).
Payable To

8. Payment will be made via assignment of the annual CGP until the PDCs are paid in full. Where the annual CGP is less than the required annual payment the difference shall be paid by Kiwanis within sixty (60) days from the date of the CGP payment. If the difference remains unpaid after sixty (60) days the difference shall be added to the Property Tax Roll.

Interest

9. Kiwanis shall not pay interest on any portion of the PDCs including any unpaid portion of the PDCs.

Events of Default

10. Events of default shall include but not be limited to:

   a. Within the term of the DCPA and CGA the housing is no longer “non-profit housing” as defined under the DC Act O.Reg.82/98;
   b. Failure to observe any of the conditions for advance of a grant payment;
   c. Breach of any provision of the CGA or DCPA;
   d. If any part of the Project to which the Grant and DCPA applies is changed so that it no longer consists of a non-profit housing;
   e. Any disposition of the property not consented to by the GM in the GM’s sole discretion which consent may include such conditions as the GM determines in his sole discretion;
   f. Failure to notify the City about any default of the DCPA or CGA within 30 days.
   g. Where a mortgage, charge, lien, execution or other Encumbrance affecting the Property becomes enforceable against the Property; or
   h. Where Kiwanis becomes bankrupt, whether voluntary or involuntary, or becomes insolvent or a receiver/manager is appointed with respect to the Property; or
   i. Where Kiwanis certificate of incorporation is cancelled, or Kiwanis is otherwise wound up or dissolved as a corporation or there is any other change in the ownership or corporate status of Kiwanis not approved by the City in advance;
   j. Kiwanis:
      (i) decides to not receive the Grant;
      (ii) becomes ineligible for any reason to receive the Grant;
      (iii) does not enter into a CGA with the City prior to the issuance of a building permit for the Project;
(iv) the CGA, required to be entered into between the City and Kiwanis in order to obtain the Grant, is terminated for any reason prior to the PDCs being paid in full; and,
(v) Kiwanis fails to pay, on the date last Grant payment occurs, the portion of the PDCs that are not paid through the application of the Grant payments;

k. Such further events as the City Solicitor deems appropriate in her sole discretion.

11. Consequences of an event of default, unless permitted to be remedied in such time and manner as the GM determines in his sole discretion, shall include, but not be limited to: all future DC instalments becoming payable immediately and to be paid on demand, no further CGPs, and unpaid DCs shall be added to the tax roll.

Advance and Payment Provisions

12. The Payment Arrangement commences as of the date of initial issuance of the Building Permit (the “Commencement Date”), and the Payment Arrangement continues until the earlier of the date on which the final payment of the Grant occurs or, such earlier date payment in full is made of the PDCs, in accordance with the terms of the DCPA. The DCPA shall remain in force and effect until the PDCs are repaid and Kiwanis has performed all of its obligations under the DCPA.

The Development Charge

13. Kiwanis acknowledges and agrees that:
   a) the said amounts of the DCs and PDCs (or a revised amount as approved by the Council of the City) is the correct amount calculated and applied to the Kiwanis Application with the City for the Project.
   b) Kiwanis has not and will not file a complaint pursuant to the DCs Act with the City or in any other forum, with respect to the determination and application of the Development Charge By-laws, including the quantum of the charges;
   c) the PDCs referred to herein for payment by Kiwanis to the City may not be all of the DCs that may become applicable in respect of the Property as there may be further DCs applicable in respect of other development permitted on the Property such as the DCs imposed by a Board of Education, to which the DCPA does not apply.
   d) the Property is recorded under the following tax roll number(s) [insert # here] (“Tax Rolls”) and that in the event the PDCs becomes payable and remains unpaid, in whole or in part, or, on its due date remains unpaid, then in addition to any other remedy available to the City at law or in the DCPA, the amount of unpaid PDCs may be added to the Tax Rolls and to any tax roll number which
the City may in its sole and unfettered discretion determine applies to the Property ("Additional Tax Roll") and collected as realty taxes.

Other Provisions

14. Any out-of-pocket expenses incurred for the preparation of the DCPA, over and above staff costs, are the responsibility of the proponent.

15. Any other terms deemed appropriate by the City Solicitor and GM.
Term Sheet for Development Charges Payment Agreement

225 East Avenue North

Borrower: Indwell Community Homes ("Indwell")

Project: Indwell - the 43-one-bedroom unit affordable housing building being developed by Indwell, which is the southernmost building of the two currently under development on the property municipally known as 223-227 East Avenue North, and legally defined as Lots 39, 40 and 41, Plan 286, Lots 88, 89, 90 and 91, Robert Land Survey, (aka OM1433), being on the west side of East Avenue, designated as Part 2 on Plan 62R-12181, in the city of Hamilton, province of Ontario hereinafter referred to as the “Project”

Lender: City of Hamilton ("City")

Type of Agreement: Development Charges Payment Agreement ("DCPA") to require payment of Development Charges ("DCs") payable for the Project as set out in this term sheet, Appendix "D" to Report HSC19060(a), below hereinafter referred to as the “Payment Arrangement”

Agreement Conditions

1. The Payment Arrangement will be subject to the recipient entering into a development charges payment agreement ("DCPA") with the City containing such terms and conditions as set out in this term sheet, Appendix “D” to Report HSC19060(a).

2. The Payment Arrangement will be subject to the recipient entering into a conditional grant agreement ("CGA") with the City, prior to the issuance of any building permits for works beyond the building foundation, on such terms as set out in Appendix "B" to Report HSC19060(a).

3. The DCPA will have a term of 20 years consistent with the period of affordability, commencing from the date any of the 43 affordable housing units in the Project are cleared for occupancy.

4. No assignment of the DCPA will be permitted unless consented to by the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department and the General Manager of Corporate Services ("GMS") in the GMS sole discretion and only in the following circumstances: (a) the property is sold to another provider of "non-profit housing" as defined in the DCPA who enters into an assignment agreement
with the City and Indwell agreeing to be subject to all of the terms and conditions of the CGA and the DCPA for the remainder of the term of those agreements and such other terms and conditions as the GMS and City Solicitor in their sole discretion deem appropriate; (b) the property is sold to another provider of “non-profit housing” as defined in the Development Charges Act, 1997 (“DCs Act”) who enters into an assignment agreement with the City and Indwell agreeing to be subject to all of the terms and conditions of the CGA and the DCPA for the remainder of the term of those agreements and the assignee agrees to complete the Project in accordance with the Indwell plans approved by the City and such other terms and conditions as the GMS and City Solicitor in their sole discretion deem appropriate.

5. Requirement to provide the City with original insurance certificates for “Property All Risks” insurance, Broad Form Boiler and Machinery insurance, and insurance against loss of Rent, rental value and other payments required to be paid or made by tenants, or business interruption and profits from the business, to the satisfaction of the Manager of Legal and Risk Management Services.

Development Charge and PDCs

6. Indwell covenants to pay to the City, in respect of the Project, a total City development charge in the amount of $[insert # here] or a revised amount as approved by the Council of the City (the “DCs”). Payment of $0.00 shall be made prior to the issuance of the Building Permit. Payment of the balance of the DCs in the amount of $[insert # here] the Payable Development Charges (“PDCs”) shall be made in accordance with this Term Sheet.

Payment to Coincide with Conditional Grant Payments

7. The payment of the PDCs shall be made to the City annually, at commencement of, and concurrently with, the Conditional Grant Payments (“CGPs”). The required annual payment amount shall be the higher of:

(a) the annual PDCs payment;
(b) 1/20 of the approved conditional grant; or,
(c) 1/20 of the PDCs;

and if any portion of the PDCs remains unpaid on the date the last CGP payment occurs said unpaid portion shall be due and payable on the date the last CGP payment occurs except where, pursuant to the terms of this Term Sheet, the said payment is required and due in full prior to the said date (the aforesaid payment requirements shall be referred to as the “Payment Agreement”).
Payable To

8. Payment will be made via assignment of the annual CGP until the PDCs are paid in full. Where the annual CGP is less than the required annual payment the difference shall be paid by Indwell within sixty (60) days from the date of the CGP payment. If the difference remains unpaid after sixty (60) days the difference shall be added to the Property Tax Roll.

Interest

9. Indwell shall not pay interest on any portion of the PDCs including any unpaid portion of the PDCs.

Events of Default

10. Events of default shall include but not be limited to:
   a. Within the term of the DCPA and CGA the housing is no longer “non-profit housing” as defined under the DC Act O.Reg.82/98;
   b. Failure to observe any of the conditions for advance of a grant payment;
   c. Breach of any provision of the CGA or DCPA;
   d. If any part of the Project to which the Grant and DCPA applies is changed so that it no longer consists of a non-profit housing;
   e. Any disposition of the property not consented to by the GM in the GM’s sole discretion which consent may include such conditions as the GM determines in his sole discretion;
   f. Failure to notify the City about any default of the DCPA or CGA within 30 days.
   g. Where a mortgage, charge, lien, execution or other Encumbrance affecting the Property becomes enforceable against the Property; or
   h. Where Indwell becomes bankrupt, whether voluntary or involuntary, or becomes insolvent or a receiver/manager is appointed with respect to the Property; or
   i. Where Indwell’s certificate of incorporation is cancelled, or Indwell is otherwise wound up or dissolved as a corporation or the there is any other change in the ownership or corporate status of Indwell not approved by the City in advance;
   j. Indwell:
      (i) decides to not receive the Grant;
      (ii) becomes ineligible for any reason to receive the Grant;
      (iii) does not enter into a CGA with the City prior to the issuance of a building permit for the Project;
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(iv) the CGA, required to be entered into between the City and Indwell in order to obtain the Grant, is terminated for any reason prior to the PDCs being paid in full; and,

(v) Indwell fails to pay, on the date last Grant payment occurs, the portion of the PDCs that are not paid through the application of the Grant payments;

k. Such further events as the City Solicitor deems appropriate in her sole discretion.

11. Consequences of an event of default, unless permitted to be remedied in such time and manner as the GM determines in his sole discretion, shall include, but not be limited to: all future DC instalments becoming payable immediately and to be paid on demand, no further CGPs, and unpaid DCs shall be added to the tax roll.

Advance and Payment Provisions

12. The Payment Arrangement commences as of the date of initial issuance of the Building Permit (the “Commencement Date”), and the Payment Arrangement continues until the earlier of the date on which the final payment of the Grant occurs or, such earlier date payment in full is made of the PDCs, in accordance with the terms of the DCPA. The DCPA shall remain in force and effect until the PDCs are repaid and Indwell has performed all of its obligations under the DCPA.

The Development Charge

13. Indwell acknowledges and agrees that:

a) the said amounts of the DCs and PDCs (or a revised amount as approved by the Council of the City) is the correct amount calculated and applied to the Indwell's Application with the City for the Project.

b) Indwell has not and will not file a complaint pursuant to the DCs Act with the City or in any other forum, with respect to the determination and application of the Development Charge By-laws, including the quantum of the charges;

c) the PDCs referred to herein for payment by Indwell to the City may not be all of the DCs that may become applicable in respect of the Property as there may be further DCs applicable in respect of other development permitted on the Property such as the DCs imposed by a Board of Education, to which the DCPA does not apply.

d) the Property is recorded under the following tax roll number(s) [insert # here] (“Tax Rolls”) and that in the event the DCs becomes payable and remains unpaid, in whole or in part, or, on its due date remains unpaid, then in addition to any other remedy available to the City at law or in the DCPA, the amount of unpaid PDCs may be added to the Tax Rolls and to any tax roll number which
the City may in its sole and unfettered discretion determine applies to the Property ("Additional Tax Roll") and collected as realty taxes.

Other Provisions

14. Any out-of-pocket expenses incurred for the preparation of the DCPA, over and above staff costs, are the responsibility of the proponent.

15. Any other terms deemed appropriate by the City Solicitor and GM.