

## **City of Hamilton**

# CITY COUNCIL ADDENDUM

20-023

Wednesday, October 28, 2020, 9:30 A.M.

Due to the COVID-19 and the Closure of City Hall

All electronic meetings can be viewed at:

City's Website: https://www.hamilton.ca/council-committee/council-committee-meetings/meetingsand-agendas

City's YouTube Channel: https://www.youtube.com/user/InsideCityofHamilton or Cable 14

## 4. COMMUNICATIONS

- \*4.11. Correspondence respecting the Urban Hens Backyard Pilot Program:
  - \*4.11.a. Aly Livingston
  - \*4.11.b. Scott McLaughlin
  - \*4.11.c. Joanne Fenbow

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the consideration of Item (h) (i) of Planning Committee Report 20-012.

\*4.12. Correspondence from Joseph Minor respecting Can we do a better job of telling people about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport? (REVISED)

Recommendation: Be received.

\*4.13. Correspondence from Graydon Smith, AMO President respecting the Proposed Transition Schedule for your Blue Box Program to the New Full Producer Responsibility Regulation.

Recommendation: Be received.

\*4.14. Correspondence from Mike Moore, Board Chairman, Hamilton Challenger Baseball Association respecting Hamilton 2026 Commonwealth Games Bid Corporation.

Recommendation: Be received.

\*4.15. Correspondence from Fawn "Hibbert" Briscoe respecting Long-Term Care Homes in Canada, our Armed Forces, the Legion, Remembrance Day.

Recommendation: Be received.

\*4.16. Correspondence from Robert Burke respecting coronavirus.

Recommendation: Be received.

\*4.17. Correspondence from Lyla Miklos replacing her correspondence that was placed on the October 14th Council Agenda and additional information respecting Council's decision on the Integrity Commissioner's Report at the September 30, 2020 Council meeting.

Recommendation: Be received.

## 7. NOTICES OF MOTIONS

\*7.1. Staff Comments to Proposed Regulation for Blue Box Program Transition

### 10. BY-LAWS AND CONFIRMING BY-LAW

\*10.13. 231

To Repeal and Replace By-law No. 19-295, Respecting Removal of Part Lot Control, Block 255, within Registered Plan No. 62M-1257, municipally known as 59, 63 and 67 Cuesta Heights

Ward: 9

\*10.14. 232

A By-law to authorize the issue of Debentures in the principal amount of \$51,705,000 for the purposes of the City of Hamilton herein referred to

Ward: City Wide

**Subject:** I am starting to feel like a suffragette. A word on Hens

From: Aly Livingston

Sent: October 25, 2020 4:28 PM

To: Danko, John-Paul < John-Paul. Danko@hamilton.ca>; Farr, Jason < Jason. Farr@hamilton.ca>; Johnson, Brenda

<Brenda.Johnson@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Partridge, Judi

<Judi.Partridge@hamilton.ca>; Whitehead, Terry <Terry.Whitehead@hamilton.ca>; VanderBeek, Arlene

Pearson, Maria < <a href="Maintenanger: Wilson">Maintenanger: Wilson, Maureen</a> < <a href="Maintenanger: Wilson@hamilton.ca">Maintenanger: Wilson@hamilton.ca</a> ; Wilson, Maureen < <a href="Maintenanger: Maintenanger: Wilson@hamilton.ca">Maintenanger: Wilson@hamilton.ca</a> ; Wilson, Maureen < <a href="Maintenanger: Maintenanger: Wilson@hamilton.ca">Maintenanger: Maintenanger: Wilson@hamilton.ca</a> ; Wilson, Maureen < <a href="Maintenanger: Maintenanger: Wilson@hamilton.ca">Maintenanger: Maintenanger: Wilson@hamilton.ca</a> ; Wilson, Maureen < <a href="Maintenanger: Wilson@hamilton.ca">Maintenanger: Wilson@hamilton.ca</a> ; Jackson, Tom < <a href="Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca">Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca</a> )

Subject: I am starting to feel like a suffragette. A word on Hens

### Hello Councillors.

I am writing to share some thoughts from the Planning committee's recent discussion regarding backyard hens.

As a voting, tax paying, homeowner - I am scratching my head as to why this is still an issue. I feel like a suffragette - speaking out to what should be a basic right.

Thank you, Councillors Nann and Wilson, Farr and Danko - for listening to the desires of your constituency- for your willingness to serve your community and do the leg work on this matter.

Councillors Partridge and Ferguson - you are using Your Negative experience with large scale poultry farming methods as a rationale against 4 backyard hens.

The scale of the operations is what makes all of the difference here.

Comparing large scale to small scale anything really doesn't work. I will illustrate some examples:

#### What if we:

Used The conditions of Puppy mills And data of registered dog bites as a rationale against dog ownership? Or the rates of alcohol abuse, alcohol related deaths and dui's as rationale against the sale of liquor? Or the presence of violent offenders and gun attacks as rationale against hunting? Or the history of snake and spider bites As rationale against permits to own these animals?

A developing city benefits from leadership that embraces a growth mindset - and all over the country, legislation is changing to support health and wellness.

Did you know that Calgary amended their bylaws to permit hens as emotional support animals!? Bravo Cow-town. Steel-town - its time to wake up!

I was shocked to receive some downright rude and entitled replies from Councillors, who continue to vote down this proposal in the face of all the evidence from neighbouring cities that cite the successes of this allowance.

"Hell would have to freeze over" was the reply i received from Terry Whitehead, who suggested "If you want chickens, buy a farm!"

Check your privilege, councillor. When you suggested the backyard hens debate felt like groundhog day - i would suggest that your resistance to this idea is perpetuating the cycle. And to be more precise - to vote down a proposal that has been PROVEN to support the mental health and food security needs of your constituents, and thereby potentially alleviating their reliance on medication is cruel - even diabolical.

To the councillors who continue to vote this down - ask yourself - who are you truly serving? Have you maybe become too comfortable in your positions to notice that times are changing - and bylaws need to change with it.

There was a time when women were not seen as persons in the eyes of the law.

When blacks could not share public space with whites

When our first nations were deemed "savages" and their children detained in residential schools for reeducation.

All of these legislations were thought to be rational by well-intended leaders.

How clearly we see now.

Please connect to your hearts in the spirit of community and the spirit of humanity and support the backyard hens pilot.

And In the event that this idea does not pass, Could you consider allowing hens in the wards of the councillors who do agree this could be a good idea - or at the very least- consider decriminalizing this bylaw violation and do away with the fine.

Thank you to those who have read this letter.

Best,

Aly

Pilon, Janet 4.11 (b)

**Subject:** Urban hen letter for council

From: Scott Mclaughlin

Sent: October 26, 2020 11:45 AM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Urban hen letter for council

First, I'd like to thank the city of Hamilton, my fellow citizens who elected all of you, and you as members of council for the opportunity to speak here today.

Second, I'd like to voice my disappointment in a few of you for:

For the condescending and unbecoming replies that have been penned in request and concerns sent by email to you, in regards to looking further into or adopting an urban hen program within Hamilton.

For your resistance and for the misinformation you have spread among your peers and iwithin local and on national media.

Finally I am most disappointed in your inability to set your bias or past and dated experiences aside.

When we have members of council, past or present, who have sat on a committee representing a farming alliance who's ten year action plan includes – word for word – one that:

"Strives to establish the GHAC as a globally renowned vibrant food and farming cluster, characterized by profitable farming operations"

I ask myself – would supporting back yard egg production risk the seat the member holds on that committee. Is the monetary gains of commercial farming more important than parents and their children having the ability to choose where their own food comes from?"

"What's next?" – a term so many of you like to use when comparing 3 pound chickens to 750 pound cattle.

For multiple years this council has heard this motion, fielded this request and heard the same and some new arguments in support of citizens petitions to raise backyard hens....one of you just recently even compared it to 'groundhog' day. — I offer you this advice:

Rather than consider this a roundabout debate....ask yourself 'why'? Why does this topic continue to arise? Could it be the initial bylaw – like so many have been - is now outdated, that times have evolved? Or that people are more aware and more responsible? Could veterinary medicine be better than when the original bylaw was adopted? Do any of you actually know why and when the current bylaw was adopted and the practice banned?

Could Hamilton have been one of the initial and pioneering cities in embracing change rather than the last, as cities and townships all around us are doing so.

For years, citizens have submitted true, justifiable and passionate reasons in support of the program. Within council and planning meetings there have been hours upon hours of multiple debate and still not one opposing councilor has been able to challenge any of those points with legitimate data. Dismiss them yes, often with personal and decade old stories of times growing up on "stinky poultry farms", where "livestock" is deprived, manipulated with artificial light and fed

pellet food. The very farms many of us don't want to obtain our eggs from. The very farms it seems that some of you are trying to protect.

I've been a firefighter and training officer for 22 years. Many things have changed since I began my careeer. The first step of evolving and improving as an organization (or city?) has always been listening and acknowledging the needs of our people.

You've shared with us and each other misinformation and no facts. No numbers, just 'lots of complaints' and hood winkle about neighborhoods that have never had raccoons.

On that note, when I first moved to Hamilton, I had a skunk problem. My house was on Hess Street, near restaurants and apartment buildings with large dumpsters. The animals were so frequent, often spraying my dog and I was forced to call pest control. Here's what I learned from a professional. Not my personal experience or a story I heard from a friend of a friend. Not the internet. A professional working in the field.

Pest, all pest including vermin, skunk, raccoon, possum, coyote and predatory birds are on the move and looking for three things, either food, water or shelter. If you, your neighbors or their neighbors and so on, supply any of these three things. You will have pest at some point or another. This includes composters, bird feeders, raised decks, pooling water. They are already here and already established.

I now ask you this. A chicken coop, not unlike any pet requires an initial investment. Why would any reasonable person – because I like to think that those are who we are considering here – reasonable people. Not the people that have been mentioned so often in these discussions by those opposing the motion. The ones that don't cut their grass, the person that wants a pet goat after the chicken. The reasonable person, the one that will build and maintain a safe coop, use the correct building material and care for and protect their animals. Why would this person want to feed the skunks, lose their investment to a raccoon and break their child's heart? They wouldn't....so that's another moot point.

I would be talking far longer than appropriate if I was to refute all of the false claims and the untruths that some of you continue to voice. But here are some of the main ones.

Yes you can buy sexed chickens, you can even purchase laying hens. From business and farmers right here in Hamilton.

Most chickens lay for around 7 years (Mr. Ferguson, birds that lay for 11 months are tricked into having 2 sunrises in 24 hours, obviously taking a toll on their health) again misinformation.

Four birds don't smell so bad your eyes will water, typically a 10 x 10 coop needs to be cleaned once a month and even then the odor is nominal. 4 birds produce half the excrement of a medium size dog in a day.

Over many years this Council has denied the divide between the urban and rural areas of Hamilton and between the upper and lower mountain....you've struggled to find opportunities to unite city and country folks. I feel keeping birds in the rural backyards, and in the poultry farms and denying the urban citizens the privilege contributes to that. Whereas it could offer unity.

I ask that you be open minded and set your bias and past experiences aside and at the very least invest the time into exploring the subject further with a pilot. There are tens of thousands of birds cooped up in milk crate spaces and dying in battery farms everyday throughout the region. If some of them can be replaced by birds in the rural areas, if communities can learn something new and find appreciation in something that is very common practice all across the world, is that such a bad thing?

Please consider voting yes to investigating further and adopting an urban hen program in the city of Hamilton.

Thank you, Scott Mclaughlin

**Subject:** Vote for your constituents you're supposed to be there representing US

From: joanne fenbow

Sent: October 26, 2020 2:28 PM

To: Wilson, Maureen < Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca >; Farr, Jason < Jason.Farr@hamilton.ca >; Nann, Nrinder < Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca >; Merulla, Sam < Sam.Merulla@hamilton.ca >; Collins, Chad < Chad.Collins@hamilton.ca >; Jackson, Tom < Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca >; Pauls, Esther < Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca >; Ward 8 Office < ward8@hamilton.ca >; Clark, Brad < Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca >; Pearson, Maria < Maria.Pearson@hamilton.ca >; Johnson, Brenda < Brenda.Johnson@hamilton.ca >; Ferguson, Lloyd < Lloyd.Ferguson@hamilton.ca >; VanderBeek, Arlene < Arlene.VanderBeek@hamilton.ca >; Whitehead, Terry < Terry.Whitehead@hamilton.ca >; Partridge, Judi < Judi.Partridge@hamilton.ca >; clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Vote for your constituents you're supposed to be there representing US

Dear Counsellors,

I would like to start off by saying I'm sorry I missed the virtual meeting but I had to work, I have watched the whole meeting and I have a number of things I would like to point out.

I feel it is so important at this point in the pandemic to teach our children or grandchildren to be self-sustainable its essential. Food is an issue especially healthy food. Counsellor Wilson pointed out the nutritional value of backyard chickens, as per Google nutritional value of farm fresh eggs verse store bought, pasture-raised eggs are widely regarded as one of the best food sources for Vitamin D while Farm Fresh and store-bought eggs have cholesterol backyard eggs contain less most of the cholesterol in eggs is considered good cholesterol that does not cause the health problems.

I'm not sure why none of the councillors are concerned about all the rural chickens according to counsellor Clark he pointed out that rural chicken coops have no standards why is that, are they not living things? If the counsellors are so concerned about chickens why is no one concerned about the humane treatment of rural chickens? Why is there one standard for urban chickens and no standard for the rural ones?

I would have to ask counsellor Ferguson since he grew up on a farm how many chickens did they have in this Coupe that he is referring to from the last meeting? I would also like to know if he was trying to kill this chicken that ran up his back and pecked him on the neck? I would like to know the standards of the coop housing these chickens? Since you are comparing your personal experience. Are you comparing large Farm coops to a backyard Coupe with 3 - 5 chicken there is no comparison. Counselor Clark also stated chickens flying the coop, that can easily be prevented by clipping their wings

Counsellor Pearson complained about chickens in her neighbourhood. I don't know of any neighbourhood in this area that does not have raccoons, I have raccoons rats mice snakes an opossum. My neighbour has numerous bird feeders out approximately 10 and when I complained about it, the city sent me an email stating despite section 2 a person may feed or permit the feeding of a bird if 1 the bird is fed on premise owned or occupied by the person. 2 there is no accumulation of bird feces. 3 there is no interference with the normal use or enjoyment of any other premises. That seems like a double standard that you're so concerned about a chicken coop and yet my neighbour can put out 10 bird feeders? Just for the record to avoid mice and rats and raccoons in a chicken coop just use hardware cloth a Mouse cannot get through it. You also have to bury it two feet out from the chicken coop and the chicken run, to avoid things digging underneath the coop.

I believe there should be a change in the by law to allow chickens for all of the wards not just one two and three! If there was a pilot program I would like to be included in this and I am in Ward 11, I am on several chicken forms and people quite often give away chickens so should you allow a chicken pilot program there is a way of re-homing them.

I have been a big part of getting so many people to sign this petition that Heather Bond had circulating. That is why there is so many names on it. I think if you allow chickens to all of the wards there should be restrictions on how many you can have as per the size of your property. People with under 40 ft wide should only have about three, people over 40 should have no more than six. Coops should be about 10 ft away from all property lines. Personally I think if people got two chickens at a time that would stagger the laying. I have done research on how long chickens lay eggs I have spoken to about 7 people about birds laying between 5 to 7 years old, obviously they slow down in production especially in the winter time when there's less daylight or they're molting. I can supply you with 7 names of people with first-hand experience with birds that lay up to 7 years. The chickens that you're referring to that only lay two years are Sex Link chickens that are bred to produce 365 days a year and burn out quickly. Most people that have backyard chickens get Heritage breeds. That's why they refer to them as pets they are paying much more for these birds because they are bred for their looks and for their demeanour lol they don't run up your back and Peck you in the neck.

I hear a lot of comments from the counsellors about their personal experience or their personal opinions. I was under the impression that the counsellors were there to represent their constituents not their own personal issues.

Subject:

Can we please do a better job of telling people about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport?

From: Joseph Minor

Sent: October 23, 2020 4:00 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Can we please do a better job of telling people about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish downstream of

the Hamilton International Airport?

October 10, 2020

To: The Mayor and All members of Hamilton City Council c/o the Clerk, The Ontario Ministry of the Environment Conservation and Parks, The Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority

Can we please do a better job of telling people about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport?

Again, I want to start by saying that I remain very thankful to be living in Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. We have it really good here, thanks in no small part to the hard work being put in by politicians, government officials, and public and private sector workers. While we are all under stress due to Covid-19, I think the response to Covid-19 here has been pretty good. Please keep up the good work by keeping things running...

Unfortunately, people do not usually take the time to write just to say "Good Job". They usually write when they want something done better. That is also the case with this letter. I think we are failing to properly notify the public about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport.

Context:

The year: 2011

Reference: The Guide to Eating Ontario Sport Fish, 2011-2012 edition

The 2011 edition of the Guide to Eating Ontario Sport Fish added a new category of chemicals contaminating fish: PerFluorinated Compounds (PFCs). The first ever guidelines in both Ontario and Canada for PFCs in fish were created due to the unusually high levels of PFCs (mostly PerFluoroOctane Sulfonate (PFOS)) in turtles and fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport.

While fish are significantly contaminated with PFOS way down the Welland River downstream from the Hamilton International Airport, I will be focusing this discussion on the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish that live between the Hamilton International Airport and the dam at the downstream edge of Binbrook Reservior.

The 2011 Guide listed two locations with highly contaminated fish: "Binbrook Reservior" and "Welland River Upstream of Binbrook Reservior". The latter location is about where the Welland River crosses Highway 6.

"Do not eat" advisories for highly contaminated fish in 2011 were:

Welland River Upstream of Binbrook Reservior:

1) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat carp that are 14 inches or longer.

Binbrook Reservior:

- 1) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat largemouth bass that are 8 inches or longer.
- 2) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat smallmouth bass that are 8 inches or longer.
- 3) Males 15 and over and females over childbearing age should not eat smallmouth bass that are 16 inches or longer.
- 4) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat carp that are 14 inches or longer.
- 5) Males 15 and over and females over childbearing age should not eat carp that are 18 inches or longer.
- 6) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat brown bullhead that are 12 inches or longer.
- 7) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat channel catfish that are 12 inches or longer.

The year: 2013

Six months in Chile teaches me about caring for the well being of visitors and newcomers.

I had the good fortune to be able to live in Santiago, Chile for 6 months in 2013. Soon after arriving I decided to go birdwatching at the southern edge of the city. I took the Metro (subway) to the end of the line, and then walked through the commune of Puente Alto to the actual Puente Alto across the Rio Maipo. Once at the bridge I turned west and walked along the edge of the river valley and followed a trail down through a gravel pit.

Important context: When I go birdwatching I look odd. So odd that on multiple occasions I have been detained and questioned by the police while birdwatching.

On this day, because I was looking up at the birds I stepped in a pile of fecal matter (species uncertain). While I was trying to clean off my shoe with a stick, a man comes running out of a house that was across the street from the gravel pit. There was a torrent of Spanish, but the only parts I understood were: "No es zona turista" and "Bandidos con pistolas". When he saw that I was not understanding, he switched to English: "You cannot stay here. Robbers come here to practice shooting their guns. They will kill you. You must get in your car and drive away now."

I told him that I had come on foot from the Metro station. Apparently I was very lucky, because he said the walking path I chose took me through a high crime area. "You wait here." He then went to a neighbor's house, borrowed the neighbor's car, and then drove me all the way back to the Metro station.

He may have saved my life. All he knew about me was that I was odd looking and smelly, and he still went way out of his way to keep me out of trouble.

On three other occasions while I was in Santiago, someone who I did not know came up to me and said "No es zona turista". Two of them did not speak a word of English. To all I said "Muchas gracias" and immediately back tracked way my way out of the area. None of these four people had anything to gain by helping me, and they may even have faced repercussions from the robbers who were waiting to rob me.

It is my intention to repay the extraordinary kindness of Chileans by telling visitors to Canada about any hazards they might face while here. For example, if they were to eat the fish they catch while fishing downstream of the Hamilton International Airport.

The year: 2017

Reference: Guide to Eating Ontario Fish, 2017-2018 edition

It has been six years since the 2011 Guide designated many of the fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport as highly contaminated "do not eat" fish. The common name for the group of chemicals involved has been changed from "PFCs" to "PerFlouroAlkyl and PolyFluoroAlkyl Substances" (PFAS). But the dominant contaminant is still the specific chemical PFOS.

"Do not eat" advisories for highly contaminated fish are (these remain the most current data for this location):

#### Binbrook Reservior:

- 1) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat black crappie that are 6 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 2) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat brown bullhead that are 14 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with mercury.
- 3) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat channel catfish that are 12 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 4) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat carp that are 12 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 5) Males 15 and over and females over childbearing age should not eat carp that are 22 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 6) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat largemouth bass that are 6 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 7) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat northern pike that are 24 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 8) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat smallmouth bass that are 8 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 9) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat white crappie that are 10 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.

The year: 2020

Reference: Guide to Eating Ontario Fish, 2020 online edition

https://www.ontario.ca/environment-and-energy/eating-ontario-fish

The newest "Do not eat" advisories for highly contaminated fish are:

Welland River Upstream of Binbrook Reservior:

- 1) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat brown bullhead that are 6 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 2) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat carp that are 8 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 3) Males 15 and over and females over childbearing age should not eat carp that are 22 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 4) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat green sunfish that are 6 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 5) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat northern pike that are 10 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.

The date: September 28, 2020

Many of the fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport are so highly contaminated that they are designated "do not eat" fish. This has been the case for over 9 years, and it is likely that there will be highly contaminated "do not eat" fish for many more years to come.

A proper consideration of the best long-term public education program to make sure people in the future do not eat the highly contaminated fish is long overdue. Of particular concern to me are newcomers to the area who might be unaware about the bizarre contamination that has occurred here.

On Sept.28<sup>th</sup>, I took a drive from the Airport down the contaminated upper Welland River all the way down to the dam at the Binbrook Conservation Area. I wanted to see if there was any information about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish.

There was nothing...zip...zilch...nada. Nowhere was there even a hint about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish.

From Highway 6 all the way down to and including the upper entrance to the Binbrook Conservation Area not only is there zero information about the highly contaminated fish, there is also zero information about fishing.

At the Binbrook Conservation Area entrance on Tyneside Road there are six signs covering 15 topics. Some topics are repeated on multiple signs. There is no mention of the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish. There is no mention of fishing at all. (In contrast there is another sign that says "No Hunting unless posted otherwise".) There is contact information on the signs for two governmental authorities (NPCA, City of Hamilton) and one NGO. Nowhere is there contact information to obtain information about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish. Could we please find space on one of these signs, or add a seventh sign, that says:

"Many fish here are highly contaminated

For more information:

Guide to Eating Ontario Fish

at www.Ontario.ca/fishguide

or call 1-800-820-2716"

I then proceeded on to the main entrance of Binbrook Conservation Area off Harrison Road. I paid the day use fee and entered the CA.

Please note that the area behind the main entrance gate is a very small fraction of the total fishable area downstream of the Hamilton International Airport that contains highly contaminated "do not eat" fish.

There are many signs behind the gate, but nowhere was there any mention of the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish.

I did find signs that said "Catch and Release Policy in Effect". Here is a photo of one of the signs:

In case the photo does not copy in the letter, here is the full text of the sign:

"Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority

Catch and Release Policy In Effect

In an effort to sustain a healthy fish population at Binbrook Conservation Area, please be advised that a Catch and Release Policy for all fish is in full effect.

Please be sure to follow additional Binbrook Fishing Rules and all provincial fishing regulations.

For more information, visit <a href="https://www.npca/parks/binbrook">www.npca/parks/binbrook</a>

@BinbrookCA 905-692-3228"

This sign is "honesty challenged" in that it fails to disclose the real reason that all fish should be released. If the fish were not highly contaminated, and the goal was just "to sustain a healthly fish population" then the policy should ask people to retain and kill exotic invasive species (e.g., carp). But because the carp over 12" are so highly contaminated, there is no accessible place to dispose of the highly contaminated carcasses. (They could be put in a properly constructed toxic waste disposal site, but that is highly unlikely to happen.)

While I was taking the picture of the sign, there was a man at the boat launch preparing to go out and fish in his "belly boat". He was targeting crappie, but mentioned others had been having good luck catching walleye near the dam. He

said that he was a long time resident and that he knew about the contamination from the Airport. He also mentioned that one of the reasons he liked to fish from the belly boat was that it got him away from the shore fishermen. He said that when he fished from shore and caught a fish, the other shore fishermen would ask him for the fish that he caught. The request was something like: "Since you are just going to let it go, can I have it?". He said that he always let it go, explaining the "Catch and Release Policy". But he was also certain that some of the shore fishermen are keeping fish to take home and eat.

Since people are still catching and eating the fish, perhaps the effectiveness of the "Catch and Release" signs could be improved by adding:

"Many fish here are highly contaminated

For more information:

Guide to Eating Ontario Fish

at www.Ontario.ca/fishguide

or call 1-800-820-2716"

The date: October 10, 2020

There is still no (and I mean NO) mention of the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish to be found anywhere along the contaminated upper Welland River between the Airport and the Binbrook Dam. I was wondering if any of the contact information mentioned on the "Catch and Release" sign (above) would point to information about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish.

The sign says to follow "all provincial fishing regulations" but does not give contact information. Even if it did, the "fishing regulations" (from the OMNRF) do not cover fish contamination levels (which are from the OMECP).

The contact information given on the sign is:

"For more information, visit www.npca/parks/binbrook"

I visited this website, and despite several references to fishing, there is NO mention of the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish. There is also NO mention of the dominant contaminant (PFOS), or either of its chemical group names (PFCs or PFAS).

I am particularly concerned for newcomers to the area who may be unaware of the unusual contamination that has occurred here. Many are likely to eat highly contaminated "do not eat" fish in the future UNLESS something is done to improve public education about this problem.

It has been over nine years since we were first told about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish living downstream of the Hamilton International Airport. But people are still eating the fish.

Can we please do a better job of telling people about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport?

Sincerely,

Joseph Minor, PhD

Subject:

Revision: Can we please do a better job of telling people about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport?

From: Joseph Minor

Sent: October 27, 2020 6:42 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Revision: Can we please do a better job of telling people about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish

downstream of the Hamilton International Airport?

October 27, 2020

(Revision (v.2) of previously sent eMAIL dated October 10, 2020. I have discovered that I previously had misinterpreted the "How to Use This Guide" instructions. It turns out that my error resulted in me understating the fish population that is considered "do not eat". I have corrected the errors in the version below. If you can, please replace the previous version (dated October 10, 2020) with this one (dated October 27, 2020). Please note that the other statements made in the previous version are actually reinforced by these corrections. In response to comments received from the *Glanbrook Conservation Committee* I have also changed some of my language regarding the "Catch and Release" signs at the Binbrook Conservation Area Harrison Road entrance area. One of my previous comments regarding the signs was gratuitously snarky, and for that I apologize.)

To: The Mayor and All members of Hamilton City Council c/o the Clerk, The Ontario Ministry of the Environment Conservation and Parks, The Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry, Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority

Can we please do a better job of telling people about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport?

Again, I want to start by saying that I remain very thankful to be living in Hamilton, Ontario, Canada. We have it really good here, thanks in no small part to the hard work being put in by politicians, government officials, and public and private sector workers. While we are all under stress due to Covid-19, I think the response to Covid-19 here has been pretty good. Please keep up the good work by keeping things running...

Unfortunately, people do not usually take the time to write just to say "Good Job". They usually write when they want something done better. That is also the case with this letter. I think we are failing to properly notify the public about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport.

Context:

The year: 2011

Reference: The Guide to Eating Ontario Sport Fish, 2011-2012 edition

The 2011 edition of the Guide to Eating Ontario Sport Fish added a new category of chemicals contaminating fish: PerFluorinated Compounds (PFCs). The first ever guidelines in both Ontario and Canada for PFCs in fish were created due to the unusually high levels of PFCs (mostly PerFluoroOctane Sulfonate (PFOS)) in turtles and fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport.

While fish are significantly contaminated with PFOS way down the Welland River downstream from the Hamilton International Airport, I will be focusing this discussion on the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish that live between the Hamilton International Airport and the dam at the downstream edge of Binbrook Reservior.

1

The 2011 Guide listed two locations with highly contaminated fish: "Binbrook Reservior" and "Welland River Upstream of Binbrook Reservior". The latter location is about where the Welland River crosses Highway 6.

"Do not eat" advisories for highly contaminated fish in 2011 were:

Welland River Upstream of Binbrook Reservior:

1) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any carp.

#### Binbrook Reservior:

- 1) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any largemouth bass.
- 2) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any smallmouth bass.
- 3) Males 15 and over and females over childbearing age should not eat smallmouth bass that are 16 inches or longer.
- 4) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any carp.
- 5) Males 15 and over and females over childbearing age should not eat carp that are 18 inches or longer.
- 6) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat brown bullhead that are 12 inches or longer.
- 7) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any channel catfish.

The year: 2013

Six months in Chile teaches me about caring for the well being of visitors and newcomers.

I had the good fortune to be able to live in Santiago, Chile for 6 months in 2013. Soon after arriving I decided to go birdwatching at the southern edge of the city. I took the Metro (subway) to the end of the line, and then walked through the commune of Puente Alto to the actual Puente Alto across the Rio Maipo. Once at the bridge I turned west and walked along the edge of the river valley and followed a trail down through a gravel pit.

Important context: When I go birdwatching I look odd. So odd that on multiple occasions I have been detained and questioned by the police while birdwatching.

On this day, because I was looking up at the birds I stepped in a pile of fecal matter (species uncertain). While I was trying to clean off my shoe with a stick, a man comes running out of a house that was across the street from the gravel pit. There was a torrent of Spanish, but the only parts I understood were: "No es zona turista" and "Bandidos con pistolas". When he saw that I was not understanding, he switched to English: "You cannot stay here. Robbers come here to practice shooting their guns. They will kill you. You must get in your car and drive away now."

I told him that I had come on foot from the Metro station. Apparently I was very lucky, because he said the walking path I chose took me through a high crime area. "You wait here." He then went to a neighbor's house, borrowed the neighbor's car, and then drove me all the way back to the Metro station.

He may have saved my life. All he knew about me was that I was odd looking and smelly, and he still went way out of his way to keep me out of trouble.

On three other occasions while I was in Santiago, someone who I did not know came up to me and said "No es zona turista". Two of them did not speak a word of English. To all I said "Muchas gracias" and immediately back tracked way my way out of the area. None of these four people had anything to gain by helping me, and they may even have faced repercussions from the robbers who were waiting to rob me.

It is my intention to repay the extraordinary kindness of Chileans by telling visitors to Canada about any hazards they might face while here. For example, if they were to eat the fish they catch while fishing downstream of the Hamilton International Airport.

The year: 2017

Reference: Guide to Eating Ontario Fish, 2017-2018 edition

It has been six years since the 2011 Guide designated many of the fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport as highly contaminated "do not eat" fish. The common name for the group of chemicals involved has been changed from "PFCs" to "PerFlouroAlkyl and PolyFluoroAlkyl Substances" (PFAS). But the dominant contaminant is still the specific chemical PFOS.

"Do not eat" advisories for highly contaminated fish are (these remain the most current data for this location):

#### Binbrook Reservior:

- 1) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any black crappie because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 2) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat brown bullhead that are 14 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with mercury.
- 3) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any channel catfish because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 4) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat carp that are 12 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 5) Males 15 and over and females over childbearing age should not eat carp that are 22 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 6) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any largemouth bass because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 7) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat northern pike that are 24 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 8) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any smallmouth bass because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 9) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat white crappie that are 10 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.

The year: 2020

Reference: Guide to Eating Ontario Fish, 2020 online edition

https://www.ontario.ca/environment-and-energy/eating-ontario-fish

The newest "Do not eat" advisories for highly contaminated fish are:

Welland River Upstream of Binbrook Reservior:

- 1) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any brown bullhead because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 2) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any carp because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 3) Males 15 and over and females over childbearing age should not eat carp that are 22 inches or longer because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 4) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any green sunfish because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.
- 5) Women of child-bearing age and children under 15 should not eat any northern pike because they are highly contaminated with PFAS.

The date: September 28, 2020

Many of the fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport are so highly contaminated that they are designated "do not eat" fish. This has been the case for over 9 years, and it is likely that there will be highly contaminated "do not eat" fish for many more years to come.

A proper consideration of the best long-term public education program to make sure people in the future do not eat the highly contaminated fish is long overdue. Of particular concern to me are newcomers to the area who might be unaware about the bizarre contamination that has occurred here.

On Sept.28<sup>th</sup>, I took a drive from the Airport down the contaminated upper Welland River all the way down to the dam at the Binbrook Conservation Area. I wanted to see if there was any information about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish.

There was nothing...zip...zilch...nada. Nowhere was there even a hint about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish.

From Highway 6 all the way down to and including the upper entrance to the Binbrook Conservation Area not only is there zero information about the highly contaminated fish, there is also zero information about fishing.

At the Binbrook Conservation Area entrance on Tyneside Road there are six signs covering 15 topics. Some topics are repeated on multiple signs. There is no mention of the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish. There is no mention of fishing at all. (In contrast there is another sign that says "No Hunting unless posted otherwise".) There is contact information on the signs for two governmental authorities (NPCA, City of Hamilton) and one NGO. Nowhere is there contact information to obtain information about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish. Could we please find space on one of these signs, or add a seventh sign, that says:

"Many fish here are highly contaminated

For more information:

Guide to Eating Ontario Fish

at www.Ontario.ca/fishquide

or call 1-800-820-2716"

I then proceeded on to the main entrance of Binbrook Conservation Area off Harrison Road. I paid the day use fee and entered the CA.

Please note that the area behind the main entrance gate is a very small fraction of the total fishable area downstream of the Hamilton International Airport that contains highly contaminated "do not eat" fish.

There are many signs behind the gate, but nowhere was there any mention of the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish.

I did find signs that said "Catch and Release Policy in Effect". Here is a photo of one of the signs:

In case the photo does not copy in the letter, here is the full text of the sign:

"Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority

Catch and Release Policy In Effect

In an effort to sustain a healthy fish population at Binbrook Conservation Area, please be advised that a Catch and Release Policy for all fish is in full effect.

Please be sure to follow additional Binbrook Fishing Rules and all provincial fishing regulations.

For more information, visit www.npca/parks/binbrook

@BinbrookCA 905-692-3228"

This sign could be improved if it included all of the reasons that fish should be released. If the fish were not highly contaminated, and the goal was just "to sustain a healthly fish population" then the policy should ask people to retain and kill exotic invasive species (e.g., carp). But because the carp over 12" are so highly contaminated, there is no accessible place to properly dispose of the carcasses. (They could be put in a properly constructed toxic waste disposal site, but that is highly unlikely to happen.)

While I was taking the picture of the sign, there was a man at the boat launch preparing to go out and fish in his "belly boat". He was targeting crappie, but mentioned others had been having good luck catching walleye near the dam. He said that he was a long time resident and that he knew about the contamination from the Airport. He also mentioned that one of the reasons he liked to fish from the belly boat was that it got him away from the shore fishermen. He said that when he fished from shore and caught a fish, the other shore fishermen would ask him for the fish that he caught. The request was something like: "Since you are just going to let it go, can I have it?". He said that he always let it go, explaining the "Catch and Release Policy". But he was also certain that some of the shore fishermen are keeping fish to take home and eat.

Since people are still catching and eating the fish, perhaps the effectiveness of the "Catch and Release" signs could be improved by adding:

"Many fish here are highly contaminated

For more information:

Guide to Eating Ontario Fish

at www.Ontario.ca/fishguide

or call 1-800-820-2716"

The date: October 10, 2020

There is still no (and I mean NO) mention of the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish to be found anywhere along the contaminated upper Welland River between the Airport and the Binbrook Dam. I was wondering if any of the contact information mentioned on the "Catch and Release" sign (above) would point to information about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish.

The sign says to follow "all provincial fishing regulations" but does not give contact information. Even if it did, the "fishing regulations" (from the OMNRF) do not cover fish contamination levels (which are from the OMECP).

The contact information given on the sign is:

"For more information, visit www.npca/parks/binbrook"

I visited this website, and despite several references to fishing, there is NO mention of the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish. There is also NO mention of the dominant contaminant (PFOS), or either of its chemical group names (PFCs or PFAS).

I am particularly concerned for newcomers to the area who may be unaware of the unusual contamination that has occurred here. Many are likely to eat highly contaminated "do not eat" fish in the future UNLESS something is done to improve public education about this problem.

It has been over nine years since we were first told about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish living downstream of the Hamilton International Airport. But people are still eating the fish.

Can we please do a better job of telling people about the highly contaminated "do not eat" fish downstream of the Hamilton International Airport?

Sincerely,

Joseph Minor, PhD

**Subject:** 

Proposed Transition schedule for your Blue Box program to the new Full Producer Responsibility regulation

From: AMO President <amopresident@amo.on.ca>

**Sent:** October 26, 2020 4:31 PM

Subject: Proposed Transition schedule for your Blue Box program to the new Full Producer Responsibility regulation

Dear Mayor/Head of Council,

## Re: Proposed Transition schedule for your Blue Box program to the new Full Producer Responsibility regulation

I wanted to follow up with you regarding the proposed transition schedule for your Blue Box program to the new full producer responsibility regulation.

Minister Yurek <u>announced</u> the posting of the draft Blue Box resolution under the RRCEA. It will be <u>on</u> the EBR for 45 days, and comments are due December 3, 2020. The draft regulation contains a proposed transition schedule for municipal blue box programs.

The announcement made the following clear:

- Communities that have a program today, regardless of size, will receive mandatory servicing by producers in the future,
- The program will be required to expand to all communities (with the exception of the far north) along with schools, long term care and retirement homes, parks and public spaces by 2026,
- Input from municipal governments about when they desired to transition was accommodated as much as possible, but not in all cases.

We are pleased the Minister responded to many of the concerns brought forward by municipal governments. He and this government should be commended for moving this important initiative forward. It will be helpful to continue to encourage that this regulation be approved in a manner that aligns with what municipal governments have advocated for many years – better environmental and economic outcomes.

Thanks to all of you for your work on this file and to the many of you who provided a resolution regarding your preferred date for transition. We have included an analysis of the preferred dates municipal Councils identified versus what is proposed in the draft regulation (Attached).

AMO worked diligently to ensure the Ministry had both a copy of all municipal resolutions passed related to the Blue Box transition, as well as, providing them with a full summary of resolutions.

We also met with the Ministry on multiple occasions to ensure they understood the list we had provided and why it was reasonable based on the recommendations in <u>David Lindsay's Mediation</u> <u>Report</u>.

When the list of municipal government resolutions was prorated based on when in the year municipal governments wanted to transition (see Table below), the Ministry had a list that resulted in roughly one-third of the program transition per year. This was the stated objective from producers and industry to disperse the full cost over a three-year period.

	Total Households Served	Total Population	Collected	Marketed	Net Cost	Total Residential Waste Generated
2023 - resolutions	40.45%	38.00%	38.12%	37.78%	38.32%	38.91%
2024 - resolutions	29.14%	29.50%	28.73%	28.18%	27.94%	28.83%
2025 - resolutions	25.21%	28.45%	29.10%	29.35%	26.97%	29.69%
Total - resolutions	94.81%	95.96%	95.95%	95.31%	93.23%	97.43%

As we always reiterated in our correspondence with you, the final decision on the transition schedule rests with the provincial government. They have indicated that they used your preferred dates but also included geographical catchments, tonnes and costs to set the schedule.

We understand changes to transition dates could be problematic for some, especially based on contractual relationships or other issues. We would encourage you to ensure the Ministry is directly aware of your concerns and would appreciate it if you could copy us on your correspondence.

If you have any questions or require further details please contact Dave Gordon at 416 389 4160 or <a href="mailto:dgordon@amo.on.ca">dgordon@amo.on.ca</a>.

Sincerely,

Graydon Smith AMO President Mayor of the Town of Bracebridge

## Analysis: Blue Box Transition Schedule Comparison with Preferred Municipal Transition Dates

The Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks has proposed a producer responsibility regulation for the Blue Box Program.

The draft regulation is currently posted for a 45-day consultation period on the province's Environmental and Regulatory Registries (<a href="https://ero.ontario.ca/notice/019-2579">https://ero.ontario.ca/notice/019-2579</a>).

The Association of Municipalities of Ontario sent the preferred transition dates as chosen by Council to the Ministry, between January and October 2020.

The Ministry is consulting on the proposed transition groupings and with First Nations as it works to finalize the regulation and identify calendar dates for each transitioning program within a given year.

If the date provided by the Ministry in the draft Regulation is problematic for your community, we encourage you to provide this information to the Ministry at <a href="mailto:ministry.mecp@ontario.ca">ministry.mecp@ontario.ca</a>.

Eligible Community	Ministry's Proposed Transition Year in the Regulation	Year that Council Preferred to Transition
Addington Highlands, Township of	2025	2023
Admaston/Bromley, Township of	2025	N/A
Alfred and Plantagenet, Township of	2023	N/A
Algonquin Highlands, Township of	2024	2023
Armour, Township of	2025	2023
Armstrong, Township of	2025	N/A
Arnprior, Town of	2023	2023
Ashfield-Colborne-Wawanosh, Township of	2025	N/A
Assiginack, Township of	2025	N/A
Athens, Township of	2025	N/A
Atikokan, Township of	2025	N/A
Augusta, Township of	2025	2024
Aylmer, Town of	2023	2023
Baldwin, Township of	2025	N/A
Bancroft, Town of	2025	N/A
Barrie, City of	2024	2024
Bayham, Municipality of	2023	2023
Beckwith, Township of	2023	2023
Billings, Township of	2025	2023
Blind River, Town of	2025	2024
Bluewater Recycling Association	2024	2024
Bonfield, Township of	2025	N/A

Eligible Community	Ministry's Proposed	Year that Council
	Transition Year in	Preferred to Transition
Bonnechere Valley, Township of	the Regulation 2025	2023
Brant, County of	2025	2024
Brantford, City of	2025	2024
Brockville, City of	2025	2023
Bruce Area Solid Waste Recycling	2025	2025
Brudenell, Lyndoch and Raglan, Township of	2025	2025
Burk's Falls, Village of	2025	2023
Callander, Municipality of	2025	2023
Calvin, Municipality of	2025	N/A
Carleton Place, Town of	2023	2023
Carling, Township of	2025	2023
Carlow Mayo, Township of	2025	2023
Casey, Township of	2025	N/A
Casselman, Village of	2023	2023
Central Elgin, Municipality of	2023	2023
Central Frontenac, Township of	2025	2023
Central Manitoulin, Township of	2025	2025
Charlton and Dack, Municipality of	2025	N/A
Chatham-Kent, Municipality of	2024	2023
Chatsworth, Township of	2023	N/A
Chisholm, Township of	2025	N/A
Clarence-Rockland, City of	2023	2023
Cobalt, Town of	2025	N/A
Cochrane, Corporation of the Town of	2025	2023
Coleman, Township of	2025	N/A
Conmee, Township of	2024	N/A
Cornwall, City of	2025	2024
Deep River, Town of	2025	2023
Deseronto, Town of	2025	2023
Drummond-North Elmsley, Township of	2023	2023
Dryden, City of	2023	2023
Dufferin, County of	2023	2023
Durham, Regional Municipality of	2024	2023
Dutton-Dunwich, Municipality of	2023	2023
Dysart et al, Township of	2024	2023
East Ferris, Municipality of	2025	N/A
Edwardsburgh Cardinal, Township of	2025	2023
Elizabethtown-Kitley, Township of	2025	2023
Elliot Lake, City of	2025	2025
Emo, Township of	2025	N/A
Englehart, Town of	2025	2025
Enniskillen, Township of	2023	2023

Eligible Community	Ministry's Proposed Transition Year in	Year that Council Preferred to
Francis Town of	the Regulation	Transition
Espanola, Town of	2025	N/A
Essex-Windsor Solid Waste Authority	2024	2024
Evanturel, Township of	2025	2024
Faraday, Township of	2025	2024
Fort Frances, Town of	2025	2024
French River, Municipality of	2025	N/A
Front of Yonge, Township of	2025	2023
Frontenac Islands, Township of	2025	N/A
Gananoque, Town of	2025	2023
Gauthier, Township of	2025	N/A
Georgian Bluffs, Township of	2023	N/A
Gillies, Township of	2024	N/A
Goulais Local Service Board	2023	N/A
Greater Madawaska, Township of	2025	N/A
Greater Napanee, Township of	2025	2023
Greater Sudbury, City of	2025	N/A
Grey Highlands, Municipality of	2023	N/A
Guelph, City of	2025	2023
Haldimand, County of	2024	2023
Halton, Regional Municipality of	2025	2025
Hamilton, City of	2025	2023
Hanover, Town of	2025	2024
Harley, Township of	2025	N/A
Hastings Highlands, Municipality of	2024	2023
Hawkesbury Joint Recycling	2023	2023
Head, Clara and Maria, Townships of	2025	2023
Hearst	2025	2023
Highlands East, Municipality of	2024	2023
Hilliard, Township of	2025	2025
Hilton Beach, Village of	2025	N/A
Horton, Township of	2025	2023
Howick, Township of	2024	2023
Hudson, Township of	2025	N/A
Huron Shores, Municipality of	2025	2023
James, Township of	2025	N/A
Kapuskasing, Town of	2025	2023
Kawartha Lakes, City of	2024	2023
Kearney, Town of	2025	N/A
Kenora, City of	2023	2023
Kerns, Township of	2025	N/A
Killaloe, Hagarty, and Richards, Township of	2025	N/A N/A
		2025
Killarney, Municipality of	2025	2020

Eligible Community	Ministry's	Year that
	Proposed	Council
	Transition Year in	Preferred to
Vinceton City of	the Regulation 2025	Transition N/A
Kingston, City of		
Kirkland Lake, Town of	2025	2023
Laird, Township of	2025	N/A
Lanark Highlands, Township of	2025	N/A
Larder Lake, Township of	2025	N/A
Latchford, Town of	2025	N/A
Laurentian Hills, Town of	2025	2024
Leeds and the Thousand Islands, Township of	2025	N/A
Limerick, Township of	2025	N/A
London, City of	2023	2023
Loyalist, Township of	2025	2023
Macdonald, Meredith & Aberdeen Additional, Township of	2025	N/A
Machar, Township of	2025	N/A
Madawaska Valley, Township of	2025	2025
Magnetawan, Municipality of	2025	2023
Malahide, Township of	2023	2023
Marathon, Town of	2025	N/A
Matachewan, The Corporation of the	2025	N/A
Township of		
Mattice-Val Cote, Municipality of	2025	2023
McDougall, Municipality of	2025	N/A
McGarry, Township of	2025	N/A
McKellar, Township of	2025	N/A
McMurrich/Monteith, Township of	2025	N/A
Mcnab-Braeside, Township of	2023	2023
Meaford, Municipality of	2023	2023
Merrickville-Wolford, Village of	2023	N/A
Minden Hills, Township of	2024	N/A
Mississippi Mills, Town of	2023	2023
Montague, Township of	2023	2023
Muskoka, District Municipality of	2024	2023
Nairn & Hyman, Township of	2025	2023
Neebing, Municipality of	2024	2023
Newbury, Village of	2023	N/A
Niagara, Regional Municipality of	2024	2023
Nipissing, Township of	2025	N/A
Norfolk, County of	2024	2024
North Bay, City of	2025	2023
North Dundas, Township of	2025	2024
North Frontenac, Township of	2025	N/A
North Glengarry, Township of	2025	2024

Eligible Community	Ministry's	Year that
	Proposed	Council
	Transition Year in	Preferred to
North Grenville, Municipality of	the Regulation 2023	Transition N/A
North Huron, Township of	2025	N/A
North Stormont, Township of	2025	2025
Northeastern Manitoulin & Islands, Town of	2025	N/A
Northern Bruce Peninsula, Municipality of	2025	2025
Northumberland, County of	2024	2023
O'Connor, Township of	2024	2023
Oliver Paipoonge, Municipality of	2024	N/A
Orillia, City of	2024	2024
Ottawa Valley Waste Recovery Centre	2025	2025
Ottawa, City of	2023	2023
Owen Sound, City of	2023	2023
Oxford, Restructured County of	2025	2025
Papineau-Cameron, Township of	2025	2024
Parry Sound, Town of	2025	2023
Peel, Regional Municipality of	2024	2024
Perry, Township of	2024	2023
Perth, Town of	2025	2025
Peterborough, City of	2024	2023
Peterborough, County of	2024	2023
Petrolia, Town of	2024	N/A
Plympton-Wyoming, Town of	2023	2023
Powassan, Municipality of	2025	2023
Prescott, Town of	2025	2023
Prince, Township of	2023	2023
Quinte Waste Solutions	2025	2025
-	2025	N/A
Rainy River, Town of	2023	2023
Red Lake, Municipality of		
Renfrew, Town of	2025 2025	2023 2024
Rideau Lakes, Township of	2025	2023
Russell, Township of		
Sables-Spanish Rivers, Township of	2025	2023
Sarnia, City of	2023	2023
Sault Ste. Marie, City of	2023	2023
Seguin, Township of	2025	
Shuniah, Municipality of	2024	N/A
Simcoe, County of	2024	2023
Sioux Lookout, The Corporation of the Municipality of	2025	2023
Sioux Narrows Nestor Falls, Township of	2023	2023
Smiths Falls, Town of	2025	2023
South Dundas, Township of	2025	2024

Eligible Community	Ministry's Proposed Transition Year in the Regulation	Year that Council Preferred to Transition
South Frontenac, Township of	2025	N/A
South Glengarry, Township of	2025	N/A
South Stormont, Township of	2025	2024
Southgate, Township of	2023	2023
Southwest Middlesex, Municipality of	2023	2023
Southwold, Township of	2023	2023
Spanish, Town of	2025	2023
St. Charles, Municipality of	2025	2025
St. Clair, Township of	2023	2023
St. Joseph, Township of	2025	N/A
St. Thomas, City of	2023	2023
Stone Mills, Township of	2025	N/A
Stratford, City of	2024	2023
Strong, Township of	2025	2023
Sundridge, Village of	2025	2023
Tarbutt & Tarbutt Additional, Township of	2025	2023
Tay Valley, Township of	2025	2024
Temiskaming Shores, City of	2025	2025
Terrace Bay, Township of	2025	N/A
Thames Centre, Municipality of	2023	2023
The Archipelago, Township of	2025	N/A
The Blue Mountains, Town of	2023	N/A
The Nation, Municipality	2023	2023
Thunder Bay, City of	2024	2023
Timmins, City of	2025	2023
Toronto, City of	2023	2023
Tri-Neighbours	2025	2025
Tudor & Cashel, Township of	2025	2024
Waterloo, Regional Municipality of	2024	2024
Wellington, County of	2025	2023
West Elgin, Municipality of	2023	2023
West Grey, Municipality of	2025	2023
West Nipissing, Municipality of	2025	N/A
Westport, Village of	2025	2023
Whitestone, Municipality of	2025	N/A
Whitewater Region, Township of	2025	N/A
Wollaston, Township of	2025	N/A
York, Regional Municipality of	2025	2025



Hamilton Challenger Baseball Association

558 Upper Gage Ave Unit 2, Suite 245 Hamilton, ON, L8V 4J6 www.hcba.on.ca



Oct 20, 2020

Mayor and Council of the City of Hamilton c/o Hamilton 2026 Commonwealth Games Bid Corporation 77 James Street N Suite 300 Hamilton, ON, L8R 2K3

Dear Mayor Fred and Councillors,

We at Hamilton Challenger Baseball were privileged to see a presentation by Greg Maychak regarding the vision of the Hamilton 2026 Commonwealth Games Bid Corporation. It is extremely encouraging to see this opportunity at our doorstep that has potential to be an amazing event in our community and will leave a legacy that will benefit Hamiltonians in countless ways for decades.

2020 is HCBA's 30<sup>th</sup> year, we provide athletic and social activities for 220 special needs participants. Along with our house league sports activities, we participate in tournaments and host a variety of social activities for participants, volunteers, families, and friends. HCBA leaders are responding to the trend for increased involvement and the need for awareness, support and sustainability for sports and rec programs, including Para Sports, for people with disabilities in Hamilton.

We feel that the timing of the Commonwealth Games' bid for Hamilton aligns well with our vision and are even more pleased with the prospect of the games moving up 4 years to 2026. We are willing to jump on board and offer support and assistance with sports for people with disabilities. Interestingly, we may very soon be extending our program at Westmount Rec Center to include Paralympic Boccia along with additional Paralympic sports where leadership, volunteers and financial support is available.

Thank You to the Hamilton 2026 Commonwealth Games Bid Corporation for their inspiring vision! The event would provide tremendous benefits to Hamilton, the birthplace of the Commonwealth Games, and to the Province. The games could also contribute to current sports & rec initiatives in our City as we recover from COVID-19, as well as to Hamilton's long-term agenda. Together, we are HAMILTON STRONG.

We wish the Hamilton 2026 Commonwealth Games Bid Corporation much continued success.

Sincerely, Mike Moore

Board Chairman - Hamilton Challenger Baseball Director - Hamilton Accessible Sports Council

HCBA provides the opportunity for challenged youth and adults to enjoy the physical and social benefits and the challenges of participating in team sports at recreational or competitive skill levels

**Subject:** Long-Term Care Homes in Canada, our Armed Forces, the Legion, Remembrance Day

From: Fawn Briscoe

Sent: October 25, 2020 10:16 PM

To: Elizabeth.May@parl.gc.ca; Scott.Duvall@parl.gc.ca; Office of the Mayor < mayor@hamilton.ca >; clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Re: Long-Term Care Homes in Canada, our Armed Forces, the Legion, Remembrance Day

Hello Scott and Mrs. Elizabeth May.

As per above, I believe we need to give our Seniors and our Army recognition by declaring the 3rd Sunday in November (November 22nd, 2020 this year) Grandparents Day in Canada.

What does not require a reminder, but I will state it anyways is the following:

Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II will not be with us forever, as a living person. She has been of service to the country for many years, she is the head of the army, and her crown has not been a light one.

Now that we carry on into a time of Peace, we must never forget the duty, loyalty, and dignity in which Her Majesty and those who serve her carry themselves with during each day of duty.

I will pop by the pub in hopes of running into you after work, Scott. If I miss you, would you please consider coming by the house. We must not let this moment pass by unnoticed.

P.S. For matters of speed, as we will need much time to prepare, could connect with the Secretary of the Global Greens on this email chain? I thought I had their email on my computer, but it seems I have misplaced it. I do however remember Hamilton's Mayor's email and the City Clerk. I will include them in the address bar.

Fawn Briscoe (Hibbs Briscoe)

From: Fawn Briscoe

Sent: Sunday, October 25, 2020 9:49 PM

To: Elizabeth.May@parl.gc.ca <Elizabeth.May@parl.gc.ca>

Subject: Re: Long-Term Care Homes in Canada, our Armed Forces, the Legion, Remembrance Day

Hello Elizabeth.

We have never met, Elizabeth, but I have seen you on the TV and in photographs. I have spoken to Victoria Galea about this matter. She is presently working as part of Annamie's Support Team.

Given the atrocities found in LTC homes this year by our Army. it would mean a great deal to me if we recognized them and our Seniors in a memorable way.

Can we please have a private member's bill passed to proclaim November 22nd, 2020 (each third Sunday in November) as Grandparent's Day?

I say every 3rd Sunday, as we always had roast beef, Yorkshire pudding, Brussell sprouts, mashed potatoes, and carrots on Sundays. My Grandmother whom I called "Honey" aka Nancy, was the Cook. Only she could get the Yorkshire pudding to rise. She believed it was important for us to have at least one good meal per week together, around the table.

Her pantry was always full, because you never know when hard times are going to strike a nation and you need to be prepared. Regardless of what my Grandmother provided for us, so many families are busy Monday to Saturday with work and other commitments. Since I want as many Seniors to be in the company of their families as possible, Sunday appears to be the most opportune time.

Also, could you please ensure everyone in the Green Party and in the House of Commons shares the message that the Legion is open to all for the first time in its long history. This year, I would like us to make a fuss. I would like as many of us living in Canada, the United States, Britain, and the World to remember those who have served the generations that came after them.

In times of Peace, we could ask our forces to aid in rebuilding our great nations.

We could join them, by joining the Legion and demonstrating our respect, our loyalty to them, and our appreciation for their service. Leaders like yourself and Annamie could share this message around the world, uniting us, for more than just a moment so we might work together for those who walk with us today and those who will follow tomorrow.

Your consideration and feedback would be appreciated.

Fawn M. Briscoe Hamilton Mountain

From: Info < Info@GG.CA>

Sent: Thursday, October 22, 2020 1:39 PM

To: 'Fawn Briscoe'

Subject: RE: Long-Term Care Homes in Canada, our Armed Forces, the Legion, Remembrance Day

Dear Ms. Briscoe,

On behalf of the Office of the Secretary to the Governor General, I am responding to your email.

Thank you for taking the time to write to Her Excellency. While your initiative is certainly commendable, please note that for a national day to be proclaimed, a request must be presented to the House of Commons as a private member's bill. As such, you may wish to share your suggestion with your member of Parliament.

I wish you the very best.

Yours sincerely,

Antoine Morin - INFO Rideau Hall 1 Sussex Drive | 1, promenade Sussex Ottawa (Ontario) K1A 0A1

## info@gg.ca



De: Fawn Briscoe

Envoyé: 17 October, 2020 08:01

À: messages < messages@gg.ca >; citadell@gg.ca; Info < Info@GG.CA >

Objet: Long-Term Care Homes in Canada, our Armed Forces, the Legion, Remembrance Day

To the Governor General, Your Excellency the Right Honourable Julie Payette;

Given the findings of neglect by the Canadian Armed Forces in the long-term care homes in 2020, is it not time our country did more to protect those who raised and looked over us?

As a nation, should we declare the 3rd Sunday in November, (November 22nd this year) as Grandparent's Day?

Her Majesty Queen Elizabeth II is the only person I can think of to lead us through the troubling times Canada finds itself in today.

My father, John William Poyton Briscoe, was President of his small branch of the Legion. He and his wife are still actively involved in the Legion for business and pleasure. Like so many Canadians, my grandfather served, yet refused to tell me of the horrors he went through. He insisted that his job was potato peeler, every time I asked. He was a Gunner in the Navy, as I later learned after his death. He and my grandmother, Marion June McAdam, were born and raised in Hamilton, Ontario, were they met. They later married and moved to Toronto, Ontario to have their family.

Like many of my fellow Canadians, my family came from England and we still look across the pond for direction, guidance, and inspiration.

Presently, I am trying to teach my nephew, 5, Science with the help of Usborne books.

Fawn "Hibbert" Briscoe

**Subject:** Policy

From: Robert Burke

Sent: Monday, October 26, 2020 11:31 PM

To: <a href="mailto:clerk@hamilton.ca">clerk@hamilton.ca</a>

Subject: Policy

Dear Hamilton City Council.

Political decision-making has to rest on personal judgment, there is no scientific manual to tell you what to do. Scientists are likely not well suited to making the wholistic decision you are faced with either. They want facts to speak for themselves. That is wishful thinking: facts alone cannot tell us what to do. Expecting the evidence to point the way does not reduce the arbitrariness of the outcome. All political choices are arbitrary to a degree. Using statistics to justify difficult decisions just makes them appear more arbitrary for anyone who happens to disagree.

The basic facts are that the coronavirus is not fatal to 99.997 per cent of people under the age of 65, and not fatal to 94.6 per cent of people above the age of 65. Yet you allow Public Health unit's input to result in a 100% guarantee of a Hamilton tragedy by using blanket policies and restrictions in our already economically fragile city.

The question here is: who gets to speak on behalf of whom? You are certainly not speaking for a large section of our population, those that cannot vote. Our youth, and frankly any relatively healthy person under 65 years of age, they are being heavily damaged by your behaviour. These people are the very individuals our elderly rely on for care and resources. Families are being destroyed, businesses and their employees destroyed, future generations burdened with debt that will surely deprive them of the largesse that our generation enjoyed and for what purpose exactly?

I marvel that after all of this time and good data, you continue to sledgehammer our citizens instead of using our precious resources to shield our most vulnerable citizens, and create regulations to solely protect individuals mostly older than than the standard retirement age and who are most likely to be out of the workforce.

Hiding behind science to legitimize your actions is a mistake. Ultimately, you are charged with the responsibility of making balanced decisions and you are failing miserably at that. Unfortunately, besides the immediate deaths your actions are causing, when all is said and done, you will be leaving behind a great deal of debris and future hardships. Enjoy your retirement when it comes.

I am not an anti mask, covid denying anti vaxer ( or whatever bully label you wish to put on me). I am a citizen.

You must gain the courage to lead.

Sincerely Robert Burke

**Subject:** Correspondence for Oct 28, 2020 City Council Meeting

From: Lyla Miklos

Sent: Tuesday, October 27, 2020 12:21 AM

To: <a href="mailton.ca">clerk@hamilton.ca</a>

**Cc:** Office of the Mayor; Ward 1 Office; Wilson, Maureen; Farr, Jason; Nann, Nrinder; Merulla, Sam; Collins, Chad; Jackson, Tom; Pauls, Esther; Ward 8 Office; Clark, Brad; Pearson, Maria; Johnson, Brenda; Ferguson, Lloyd; VanderBeek,

Arlene; Whitehead, Terry; Partridge, Judi

Subject: Correspondence for Oct 28, 2020 City Council Meeting

Dear City Clerk,

Attached to this e-mail is a letter that I originally sent on October 12, 2020 to be included in the agenda of the October 14, 2020 meeting of City Council. This new version is slightly amended as the one I originally sent to you, embarrassingly had some typos in it.

You can also find this letter at http://www.lylamiklos.com/inprint/Integrity.pdf

I also wanted to follow up with Council with some additional information since sending my attached correspondence earlier this month.

I first found out about the motion that the current LGBTQ Advisory Committee passed at their May 15, 2019 meeting because Joey Coleman tweeted about it on May 16, 2019.

Twitter Link: https://twitter.com/joeycoleman/status/1129069893872168962?s=11

Joey Coleman also wrote a story about what had transpired at this public meeting on his website The Public Record on May 16, 2019.

TPR Link: <a href="https://www.thepublicrecord.ca/2019/05/hamilton-lgbtq-committee-votes-to-revoke-city-halls-privilege-to-raise-pride-flag-in-protest-of-city-discrimination/">https://www.thepublicrecord.ca/2019/05/hamilton-lgbtq-committee-votes-to-revoke-city-halls-privilege-to-raise-pride-flag-in-protest-of-city-discrimination/</a>

On May 28, 2019 an emergency meeting of the LGBTQ Advisory Committee was called and Joey Coleman attended it as it was open to the public and he live tweeted what transpired. The then brand new City Manager attended the meeting and at no point were issues regarding confidentiality brought up in regards to the Advisory Committees minutes, motion or public meeting. So this made the redacting of the Advisory Committee's meeting minutes many months later by the City Clerk very odd.

Twitter Link: <a href="https://twitter.com/joeycoleman/status/1133493439994245121?s=11">https://twitter.com/joeycoleman/status/1133493439994245121?s=11</a>

At the February 20, 2020 Meeting of the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee eight (8) months worth of ten (10) different LGBTQ Advisory Committee Meeting Minutes (April 2019 to November 2019) were received and referred for further discussion in closed session.

Feb 20, 2020 AFA Committee Agenda Link: <a href="https://pub-hamilton.escribemeetings.com/Meeting.aspx?ld=79d5c14c-cd2d-49a3-b16f-d68b1fd35182&Agenda=Merged&lang=English&Item=22">https://pub-hamilton.escribemeetings.com/Meeting.aspx?ld=79d5c14c-cd2d-49a3-b16f-d68b1fd35182&Agenda=Merged&lang=English&Item=22</a>

Council and Staff went into a lengthy discussion regarding the Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (FIPPA) and the Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act (MFIPPA) during this meeting. You can review the video from that discussion which takes place from 16:11 to 1:05:07 in the link below.

Feb 20, 2020 AFA Committee Video Link: https://pub-

hamilton.escribemeetings.com/Players/ISIStandAlonePlayer.aspx?ClientId=hamilton&FileName=Council%20Encoder Audit%20Finance%20and%20Administration%20Committee 2020-02-20-09-17.mp4

The meeting minutes the Clerk took issue with were from the May 15, 2019 meeting of the LGBTQ Advisory Committee. They were presented to Council with redactions as the Clerk explains in the video link above because they were deemed to have violated FIPPA and MFIPPA. The Clerk goes into some detail to explain why the minutes of this meeting contained a violation of FIPPA and MFIPPA.

May 15, 2020 LGBTQ Advisory Committee Redacted Minutes: <a href="https://pub-hamilton.escribemeetings.com/filestream.ashx?DocumentId=218932">https://pub-hamilton.escribemeetings.com/filestream.ashx?DocumentId=218932</a>

Clerk's Information Report regarding MFIPPA: https://pub-hamilton.escribemeetings.com/filestream.ashx?DocumentId=218955

Information Sheet presented to Council on MFIPPA & FIPPA: <a href="https://pub-hamilton.escribemeetings.com/filestream.ashx?DocumentId=218956">https://pub-hamilton.escribemeetings.com/filestream.ashx?DocumentId=218956</a>

Again, the Clerk's rationale during the Feb 20, 2020 AFA Committee Meeting for the redaction of the May 15, 2019 LGBTQ Advisory Committee Minutes is because even though the two individuals are not named it is still a breach of their privacy because they are identified via their roles within the Municipality.

After the motion the LGBTQ Advisory Committee put forward at their May 15, 2019 meeting became known to the public an emergency meeting of the Committee was held on May 28, 2019 and several city staff attended this meeting include the newly hired City Manager Janette Smith.

These meeting minutes are NOT redacted.

May 28, 2020 LGBTQ Advisory Committee Minutes: <a href="https://pub-hamilton.escribemeetings.com/filestream.ashx?DocumentId=218924">https://pub-hamilton.escribemeetings.com/filestream.ashx?DocumentId=218924</a>

Yet in these meeting minutes the two individuals that the City Clerk said the LGBTQ Advisory Committee had breached FIPPA and MFIPPA in their May 15, 2019 minutes by identifying, not by name but by their roles, are BOTH identified.

Here are the sections from the May 28, 2020 meeting minutes in which they are similarly identified:

6.1 Employment of Staff under Investigation

City Manager explained her reason for calling this meeting and wanting to find out more from the Committee about the reasons for their motion of May 15, 2019. City Manager explained the current status of the situation. She expects that the investigation will be concluded in weeks rather than months.

Members expressed concern for the safety of Two Spirit and LGBTQIA+ communities, especially that personal and contact information may have been accessed. Members also expressed concern that there were not adequate background checks performed and that they did not have faith in the hiring practices of the City.

A member of the public expressed that they were concerned about the lack of space for Two Spirit and LGBTQIA+ communities in Hamilton. The focus on the crosswalk missed the point. When the Well closed down the City should have intervened to ensure that there was adequate space.

6.2 Citizen Appointment to the Hamilton Police Services Board

Members indicated process for selecting a community member resulted in a former auxiliary police officer being appointed; there was further erosion of trust in part because 10 minute interviews were held with candidates for a paid position. This was all made worse by the Board refusing to address the issue.

Staff and Councillor discussed the timeline around when this happened and what the process has been like up to this point. There was a discussion about the practices for selection being revised with a focus on an Equity, Diversity, and Inclusion (EDI) lens moving forward.

Members expressed concern that the City did not seem to have this focus already and that while community consultation is important that neither members of the Committee nor members of the community were in a position to do this work. Members suggested that those responsible at the City get the training necessary.

So again I am a little confused by the decision to redact the one set of minutes but not the other, especially since at the September 30, 2020 Council Meeting in which the Integrity Commissioner's Report regarding the current Chair of the LGBTQ Advisory Committee was discussed it was the issues surrounding those original minutes breaching FIPPA and MFIPPA which ultimately led to the Chair being formally reprimanded by Council.

I am bringing these inconsistencies and other matters to Council's attention as you consider the motion being put forward by Councillor Nann at your October 28, 2020 meeting to reconsider the decision made at your September 30, 2020 in relation to the Integrity Commissioner's Report which led Council to reprimanding the Chair of the City's LGBTQ Advisory Committee.

Link to Motion: https://pub-hamilton.escribemeetings.com/filestream.ashx?DocumentId=243289

I thank you for your time and consideration on this matter.

Sincerely, Lyla Miklos

## Lyla Miklos

www.lylamiklos.com

October 12, 2020

Attn: City Clerk

## **Hamilton City Hall**

71 Main Street West Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5

e-mail: clerk@hamilton.ca

**Re:** Correspondence for Wed, Oct 14, 2020 City Council (Item 4.3. - Correspondence in response to Council's decision respecting the Integrity Commissioner's Report at the September 30, 2020 Council meeting.)

Dear Hamilton City Council,

I was deeply troubled and profoundly disturbed by the discussions that took place during the Hamilton City Council meeting of September 30, 2020 regarding the Integrity Commissioner's investigation of the current Chair of the City of Hamilton's Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer Advisory Committee – Cameron Kroetsch.

In the report submitted by the Integrity Commissioner they state the following:

There is a distinction to be drawn between advice and advocacy. While an advisory committee, once it has completed its deliberations on a matter, may advocate a course of action to Council through the recommendations it submits, its role is not to advocate generally. For advisory committee members, it is sometimes a surprising revelation that their personal advocacy for a public policy issue may in some respects be constrained by their appointment to a body which is bound to an advisory role only, given the primacy of Council.

It is most certainly the role of an Advisory Committee to bring forward persuasive arguments to support recommendations seeking substantive change in how the City conducts itself to achieve public interest goals. In that sense the Advisory Committee can advocate for change. This Report, in part, examines to what extent advocacy which takes place outside the advisory committee framework established by the City meets the ethical standards established by the Code of Conduct.

The Advisory Committee Handbook also contains the following guidance regarding "Members Communicating with Any Outside Agencies, Including Other Levels of Government and Media": Please note that members of a Committee cannot correspond or speak to any Ministries, any outside agencies, or the media without Council's prior approval, as per Standard Operating Procedure #08-001 – Communicating with any outside agencies, including other Levels of Government and the media attached as Appendix "D" and the Code of Conduct attached as Appendix "G".

We find that the Respondent's public criticism and disparagement of Council and City processes during this radio interview, while identified as Chair of the LGBTQ AC, is conduct that undermines public confidence in the advisory committee, contrary to the Good Conduct provision in the Code.

This report, however, focuses on whether the Respondent has breached the Code of Conduct applicable to him. In that respect we have made findings, that: he has inappropriately used his position as Chair of the LGBTQ Advisory Committee, including by improperly and publicly criticizing and disparaging Council decisions and processes through a radio interview in which he was identified as participating in his role as Chair of the LGBTQ AC. This we consider to be a breach of the Rule 1 of the Code of Conduct, respecting good conduct, as referenced in paragraph 78.

As a Former Chair of the City of Hamilton's LGBTQ Advisory Committee, then known as the GLBT Advisory Committee, this was not my past experience nor understanding of my role as the Chair of an Advisory Committee for a marginalized community in the City of Hamilton.

I spoke to local media often, openly identifying myself as the Chair of the City of Hamilton's GLBT Advisory Committee. I never once was spoken to, reprimanded, corrected or punished by City Staff or Councilors for my actions. No one even hinted that my actions violated any codes of conduct. Never was I told that I needed to ask Council for permission in advance to speak to the media in my role as Chair of an Advisory Committee.

Below are several examples of me speaking to the media and identifying myself as the Chair of the City's GLBT Advisory Committee:

# GLBT Members Speak Out Against Recent Hate Crime in Hamilton The Satellite (March 9, 2004)

According to a media release from the Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual and Transgender (GLBT) Advisory Committee for the City of Hamilton has invited other members of the community to join their next meeting. It takes place at Hamilton City Hall tomorrow at 6pm in Room 219.

"The recent vicious hate crime committed against a well-known gay citizen of Hamilton has deeply shaken our Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual and Transgender community," says Lyla Miklos, Interim Chair of the GLBT Advisory Committee for the City of Hamilton. "As much as this crime impacts the very core of our identities, we must not allow ourselves to give in to fear as a result of this tragedy. GLBT Hamiltonians have accomplished so much to be proud and loud about."

# Hamilton is for all people: Mayor Larry Di Ianni urges the community to combat ugly intolerance of minority groups The Hamilton Spectator (March 13, 2004)

We, the undersigned representatives of concerned organizations within the Hamilton community, fully endorse Mayor Larry Di Ianni's statement.

As members of the Strengthening Hamilton's Community Initiative, we rededicate ourselves to working in partnership with him and the residents of this city to dialogue and plan actions to overcome hate and discrimination and build a harmonious community.

Renee Johnston, Executive Director, Workers Arts and Heritage Centre
R. John Dolbec, Chief Executive Officer, Hamilton Chamber of Commerce
Winston Tinglin, Chief Executive Officer, United Way of Burlington & Greater Hamilton
Javid Mirza, President, Hamilton Muslim Association
Jagoda Pike, Publisher, The Hamilton Spectator
Gary Purdy, Professor and Director, McMaster University Centre for Peace Studies
Joan Browning Hamilton Mundialization Committee representative to SHCI
National Assoc. of Japanese Canadians (Hamilton) representative to SHCI
Wayne Marston, President, Hamilton and District Labour Council

Dana Robbins, Editor-in-Chief, The Hamilton Spectator

Dr. Kevin P. D. Smith, President and Chief, Executive Officer, St. Joseph's Healthcare

Lyla Miklos, Interim Chair, Gay, Lesbian, Bisexual and Transgender Advisory Committee for The City of Hamilton

Harish C. Jain, Professor, McMaster University

Morteza Jafarpour, Executive Director, Settlement & Integration Services Organization

Dr. Bonnie Loewith, President, Hamilton Jewish Federation

Dr. David Somer, VP Community Relations, Hamilton Jewish Federation

Dr. Lorne Finkelstein, SHCI Roundtable representative, Hamilton Jewish Federation

Dr. Lester Krames, SHCI Roundtable representative, Hamilton Jewish Federation

Anne M. Pearson, Co-Chair, Hamilton Interfaith Group

Dr. Gary Warner, Director of Arts and Science, McMaster University

Gerald Fisher, Executive Director, Hamilton Jewish Federation

Dr. Leila Ryan, Chair, Hamilton Community Foundation

Lenore Lukasik-Foss, Sexual Assault Centre (Hamilton & Area)

Rebecca Wissenz, President, Hamilton Chamber of Commerce

Dennis Concordia, Director, Hamilton Chamber of Commerce

Brian Mullan, Chief of Police, Hamilton Police Services

# Hamilton Rallies Around Victim Xtra! (Mar 18, 2004)

Di Ianni's statement was endorsed by more than 20 organizations including the Hamilton Chamber of Commerce, the Hamilton Police Service, the Hamilton Police Service, the Hamilton Muslim Association, the Hamilton Jewish Federation and the Hamilton and District Labour Council.

Lyla Miklos, interim chair of Hamilton's GLBT Advisory Committee, also wrote a lengthy article for the Spectator that appeared opposite the mayor's column.

# Gays vent anger over bathhouse inspection The Hamilton Spectator (Aug 6, 2004)

Angry members of Hamilton's gay community lashed out at public officials last night, saying an inspection of a bathhouse made them feel violated and unsafe.

Two men were charged with indecent acts at the Warehouse Spa and Bath on Main Street West, after the multi-agency task force performed a routine inspection Tuesday.

Officials said the inspection was based on complaints, but it incensed members of the Gay Lesbian Bisexual Transgender community, and resulted in yesterday's meeting with representatives from the task force. The team is comprised of police, the fire department, health inspectors and city building and licensing officers, among others.

Lyla Miklos, representing the GLBT advisory committee to the city, said many men have been told by police officers to use bathhouses as a safe space to express their sexuality. Inspections that result in criminal charges send mixed messages, she said.

# HAMILTON QUEERS HOOT, LAUGH AT COPS' STORY Xtra! (Aug 6, 2004)

Yet most members of the panel - which included representatives from fire, city police, the Ontario Provincial Police, by-law enforcement and public health - claimed that they didn't know what a bathhouse was, and

that they had no idea, until the controversy arose, that the Warehouse was intended to be a safe space for gay men to have sex with other men.

These claims were met with hoots of laughter and derision from the audience.

"I really think that after this incident, trust has been badly damaged and fractured," said Lyla Miklos, acting chair of the City's GLBT Advisory Committee. "I'm utterly confused and mystified to hear so many of you say, 'We didn't know that was a bathhouse,' 'We didn't know that was a place where men have sex with men.'"

# No 'straight answers' from bathhouse meeting The Hamilton Spectator (Aug 7, 2004)

The city's gay community is still looking for answers following an inspection of a bathhouse where two men were arrested for indecent acts.

Lyla Miklos, a member of the Gay Lesbian Bisexual Transgender advisory committee, said police failed to give full answers at a meeting Thursday about why the inspection occurred and about the role of the multiagency task force.

Every member of the GLBT community came away unhappy, Miklos said yesterday.

"Nobody was really giving concrete, straight answers to what we were asking," she said, including not being told what complaint led to Tuesday's inspection of the Warehouse Spa and Bath on Main Street West.

Two men were charged with indecent acts after multi-task agency members entered a common area and allegedly found them performing a sex act in front of other people, said Deputy Chief Tom Marlor.

The city's multi-agency task force, made up of police, the fire department, health inspectors and city building and licensing officers, inspected the bathhouse after receiving a citizen's complaint of a bylaw infraction.

The multi-agency task force inspected 13 other premises that night, including the Skyline Bar and Billiards on Main Street West, Leaf's Sports Bar and Grill on Main Street West and The Blue Angel on King Street East.

But no one knows what the infraction was, Miklos said. She said the group will hold a meeting next week to decide what its next step will be.

Aside from the question of what led to the inspection, she said many other questions remain, including what the multi-agency task force is as well as its mandate and goals and who it protects.

Miklos said they are also looking for stronger communication with the police on what she called "queer safe space" in the city.

"There are very few spaces in town where you feel, as a queer member of this community, that you can be yourself and just do your thing and not have to worry about your space being violated," she added.

# Cops monitoring website led to gay bathhouse check The Hamilton Spectator (Aug 12, 2004)

The Warehouse was inspected by the multi-agency task force, comprised of police, the health department, city building and licensing, and the fire department, among others. The two men arrested were later charged with committing an indecent act.

Lyla Miklos, acting chair of the city's GLBT advisory committee, was stunned to learn the source for some of the complaints was a website.

She chaired a meeting yesterday at City Hall that allowed community members to vent and come up with solutions.

"Even if they found something on (the website), why couldn't they call the owners and tell them?" said Miklos, echoing the concerns of many over the mass inspections by the task force. Some questioned the legitimacy of a comment left on a website message board.

Marlor explained that the two men charged with indecent acts were charged because they were partaking in sexual acts "in the view of others." The act was taking place in a common area, with more than two people present, says Marlor.

"It's not an offence if they were behind a locked door," he noted, adding that heterosexual couples engaging in similar activity in a similar space would have also been charged.

But Miklos was disturbed at the number of officials who claimed not to know what a bathhouse is or what kind of activity goes on in it.

All those at the meeting agreed it's time to educate both the task force and the GLBT community. That means making sure the definition of a bathhouse and the kind of activity that takes place there is understood. Bathhouse owners should learn what activity is legal so they can inform their customers, and should know how to handle similar situations in future, such as asking for names or badge numbers of officers.

Advisory committee members committed themselves to pushing for a response from the city and police.

# A task force out of control? Xtra! (Aug. 19, 2004)

The GLBT Advisory Committee is offering to provide cultural competency training to city staff who participate in the MATF. The public outcry has caused MATF members to examine their operation; it's having a meeting to reassess its mandate and process.

But is it the same old tune? Given that the GLBT Police Task Force was originally formed in 1997 in reaction to Project Rosebud - a police gay sex sting operation at the Royal Botanical Gardens - there is apprehension that Hamilton has now taken a couple of big steps backward.

Gilbert has attended all three public meetings. He was very disappointed in the presentation at the third meeting, which saw the first public presentation from Mullan, who was on holidays when the raid happened.

"It was just what I expected. Very anger-making and full of bullshit," says Gilbert. "He said basically that the police were just doing their job... they were not targeting the gay community."

Miklos also expressed disappointment in the level of expertise exhibited by senior police officials.

"I think this was good that it happened because now we're having the discussion but to have two deputy chiefs be so obtuse on the issue was a little disconcerting. Why don't they know about [the existence of bathhouses]?"

Det-Sgt Dave Calvert, with Hamilton's vice and drugs squad, says he is surprised by the outcry over the Warehouse arrests. Yet when asked if he now understood the concerns being raised by the community, Calvert asked this reporter to enumerate them for him.

Along with these examples from print media I also appeared on CHCH for a face off segment with the Hamilton Police Services Deputy Chief following the 2004 raid on a Hamilton Bathhouse by the City's multi-agency task force and called him a liar live on air.

No one took me aside and told me I had crossed a line.

I find it beyond disillusioning as a member of Hamilton's Two Spirit and LGBTQIA+ community to have to dig up media stories from my time as a Chair of a City of Hamilton Advisory Committee from well over a decade ago to not only point out a false narrative in the Integrity Commissioner's Report, but to also sadly reveal that history is once again repeating. Hamilton is once again in the news due to horrific hate crimes and there are major rifts between the Two Spirit and LGBTQIA+ community and the Hamilton Police Services.

It would sadly seem that not much has been learned by City of Hamilton leadership on either of these fronts, but at least when I was serving as the Chair of a City of Hamilton Advisory Committee the response to an attack on a member of the Two Spirit and LGBTQIA+ community was solidarity around the victim and community wide condemnation against the violent act of hate.

Instead of dealing with the hate and rot that has been allowed to fester in our beloved City, the leadership of our Municipality has instead decided to target a member of the Two Spirit and LGBTQIA+ community who has been doing some loud and proud truth telling and calling out our leaders for their lack of integrity.

The shameful behavior at September 30, 2020's City Council is taking us ten steps back as a City. I want us to move forward as Hamiltonians. I don't want to just dream about a Hamilton where we are all welcome and respected for who we are. I want it to be a reality.

Attacking a volunteer on an Advisory Committee for pointing out your failures in living up to the ideals the City of Hamilton aspires to is not only an abuse of powers, but a diminishment of our democracy.

From The City of Hamilton's website:

The City's vision "To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully" reflects the kind of city Hamiltonians want to aspire to become. It means having an inclusive community, actively engaged in making Hamilton a better place for everyone. It is creating an accessible environment, supporting residents through all of life's stages, and one that encourages positive development of children as they grow towards becoming healthy adults and seniors.

City Council seemed to forget the vision they have for the City of Hamilton stated above at their September 30, 2020 meeting.

Perhaps it isn't too late to get back on course and remember why we care about Hamilton and want all Hamiltonians to live in a community filled with love and acceptance rather than with hate and fear.

Sincerely, Lyla Miklos Resident Hamilton, Ontario

# CITY OF HAMILTON

# **NOTICE OF MOTION**

Council: October 28, 2020

# MOVED BY COUNCILLOR T. WHITEHEAD.....

# Staff Comments to Proposed Regulation for Blue Box Program Transition

WHEREAS, the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks released a Proposed regulation, and proposed regulatory amendments, to make producers responsible for operating blue box programs;

WHEREAS, the Committee of the Whole Report PW20028 approved by City Council on May 27, 2020 to endorse the preferred transition date for the City of Hamilton to be April 1, 2023;

WHEREAS, the requested endorsement was forwarded to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario and the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks for their consideration;

WHEREAS, the proposed regulation transition schedule identifies that the City of Hamilton will not transition until 2025; and,

WHEREAS, the delay of transitioning to producer responsibility by two years will delay the taxpayers of the City of Hamilton relief from funding at least 50% of the Blue Box program;

### THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- (a) That the staff report being considered at the Public Works Committee meeting on November 16, 2020 to have City Council endorse comments to the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks with respect to the proposed regulation to transition the blue box program to producer responsibility include that any benefits realized by municipalities who transition in 2023 and 2024 be equitably distributed amongst all municipalities and proportional to any realized savings; and
- (b) That the Mayor forward a letter to the Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks identifying and formalizing Council's position with respect to the proposed regulation to transition the blue box program to producer responsibility.

**Authority:** Item 12, Committee of the Whole

Report 01-033 (PD01184) CM: October 16, 2001

Ward:

Bill No. 231

### CITY OF HAMILTON

### **BY-LAW NO. 20-**

To Repeal and Replace By-law No. 19-295
Respecting Removal of Part Lot Control, Block 255 within Registered Plan No. 62M1257, municipally known as 59, 63, and 67 Cuesta Heights

**WHEREAS** the sub-section 50(5) of the <u>Planning Act</u>, (R.S.O. 1990, Chapter P.13, as amended, establishes part-lot control on land within registered plans of subdivision;

**AND WHEREAS** sub-section 50(7) of the *Planning Act*, provides as follows:

"(7) **Designation of lands not subject to part lot control.** -- Despite subsection (5), the council of a local municipality may by by-law provide that subsection (5) does not apply to land that is within such registered plan or plans of subdivision or parts of them as are designated in the by-law."

**AND WHEREAS** the Council of the City of Hamilton is desirous of enacting such a by-law with respect to the lands hereinafter described;

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

1. Sub-section 5 of Section 50 of the <u>Planning Act</u>, for the purpose of creating 3 lots for single detached dwellings and 3 maintenance easements, shown as Parts 1 to 6, inclusive, on deposited Reference Plan 62R-21350, shall not apply to the portion of the registered plan of subdivision that is designated as follows, namely:

Block 255, Registered Plan No. 62M-1257, in the City of Hamilton.

- 2. This by-law shall be registered on title to the said designated land and shall come into force and effect on the date of such registration.
- 3. This by-law shall expire and cease to be of any force or effect on the 28<sup>th</sup> day of October 2022.

<b>PASSED</b> this 28 <sup>th</sup> day of October, 2020.	
F. Eisenberger Mayor	A. Holland City Clerk
PLC-19-025 (FIX)	

**Authority:** Item 7, Audit, Finance and Administration

Committee Report 18-004 (FCS18011)

CM: April 11, 2018

Item 12, General Issues Committee Report

17-022 (FCS17099) CM: December 8, 2017

Ward: City Wide

**Bill No. 232** 

### CITY OF HAMILTON

#### **BY-LAW NO. 20-**

A By-law to authorize the issue of Debentures in the principal amount of \$51,705,000 for the purposes of the City of Hamilton herein referred to

WHEREAS the Council of the City of Hamilton (the "City") has authorized the works described in Schedule "A" attached hereto;

AND WHEREAS it is now deemed necessary to raise the money required to pay part of the cost of the said works by the issue and sale of serial debentures in aggregate principal amount of \$51,705,000 (the "Debentures") bearing interest at the rates hereinafter set out and maturing in equal amounts of principal over a period of 15 years which is the amount of debt intended to be created by this Bylaw.

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

- 1. The borrowing of \$51,705,000 for the purposes of the City set out in Schedule "A" and the issue of debentures therefor on the credit of the City is hereby authorized.
- 2. The Debentures shall be dated November 3, 2020, shall be payable as to principal and interest in lawful money of Canada, shall be initially issued in the form of one or more fully registered global debentures registered in the name of CDS & CO. as nominee of CDS Clearing and Depository Services Inc. ("CDS") and be held by it and shall be sealed and be signed as provided by the Municipal Act, 2001.
- 3. The Debentures shall mature in equal amounts of principal on November 3 in each of the years 2021 to 2035, both inclusive, shall bear interest at the rate per annum set out opposite each such year as set out in Schedule "B", such interest being payable semi-annually on May 3 and November 3 in each year of the currency of the Debentures and the respective amounts of principal and interest payable in each year shall be as set out in Schedule "B".

- 4. In limited circumstances global debentures shall be exchangeable, in whole but not in part, for certificated debentures in definitive fully registered form in denominations of \$1,000 and any integral multiples thereof upon surrender of the global debentures to the Treasurer of the City. Definitive debentures shall have the same benefits and be subject to the same terms and conditions as the global debentures (except insofar as they specifically relate to the global debentures), shall be registered in such names and in such denominations as CDS shall direct and shall be delivered as directed by the persons in whose names such definitive debentures are to be registered. The definitive debentures shall be in fully registered form, payable as to principal at any branch in Canada of the bank designated therein with provision for payment of interest by cheque sent by post to the registered address of the registered holder or if authorized in writing by electronic transfer, and upon at least 30 days written notice to the Treasurer of the City may be exchanged at the office of the Treasurer of the City without charge by the City, for a debenture or debentures of the City of an equal aggregate principal amount in fully registered form in the denominations of \$1,000 and any integral multiple thereof, bearing the same rate of interest and maturing on the same date as, and carrying all unmatured interest obligations of the debenture or debentures so exchanged.
- 5. For the payment of the principal of and interest on the Debentures as and when the same respectively become due and payable there shall be levied and raised in each year of the currency of the Debentures by a special rate sufficient therefor over and above all other rates on the rateable property in the City, at the same time and in the same manner as other rates, the aggregate sums of principal and interest falling due on the Debentures in such year as set out in the last column of Schedule "B", provided that no greater rate shall be levied in any year for such purpose than is required after taking into account moneys available for such purpose from any other source.
- 6. Schedules "A" and "B" attached hereto are hereby declared to be and to form part of this By-law.

<b>PASSED</b> this 28th day of October, 2020.	
F. Eisenberger	A. Holland
Mayor	City Clerk

Project ID	Project Name	Debentures to be Issued (\$)	Debentures Authorized (\$)	Debentures Issued to Date (\$)	Term of Years	Staff Report	By-Law Number Authorizing Work
Culture, Recreation and Parks							
4401256892	Crown Point East Park Development	604,000	604,000	0	15	FCS13096	13-326
4401356801	Parks & Open Space Development	887,000	887,000	0	15	FCS12096	12-293
7101554509	Mohawk Track - Redesign	633,000	650,000	0	15	FCS16083(a)	17-071
7101554510	Dundas J. L. Grightmire Arena Renovation	4,000,000	4,000,000	0	15	FCS16083(a)	17-071
7101641601	Ancaster Aquatic Centre Refurbishment	417,000	417,000	0	15	FCS16083(a)	17-071
7101654611	Mt. Hope and Binbrook Hall Renovations	600,000	600,000	0	15	FCS16083(a)	17-071
7101754704	Huntington Park Recreation Centre	954,000	954,000	0	15	FCS16083(a)	17-071
7201741702	Dundurn Coach House Roof	208,000	416,000	0	15	FCS16083(a)	17-071
		8,303,000					
Housing and Public Health							
6731641302	Social Housing Capital Repairs	1,500,000	1,500,000	0	15	FCS15088	16-016
6771241201	Long term Accommodations- McMaster	2,206,000	2,206,000	0	15	FCS11111	11-319
		3,706,000	=				
<u>Transit</u>							
5301784710	Automated Passenger Counters	1,100,000	2,150,000	0	15	FCS16089	16-336
5301785700	New wash racks at Mountain Transit Centre	440,000	440,000	0	15	FCS16089	16-336
5301785704	Sustainable transportation / transit connections	1,512,000	1,512,000	0	15	FCS16089	16-336
5301785708	Passenger information technology	1,200,000	1,200,000	0	15	PW18054 FCS16089	16-336 18-205
		4,252,000	-				
Waste Management							
5120991101	Glanbrook Landfill-Stage 3 Dev	4,000,000	4,000,000	0	15	FCS16089	16-336
5120991101	Glanbrook Landfill-Stage 3 Dev	250,000	250,000	0	15	FCS15088	16-016
5121691000	Glanbrook Landfill Improvement	100,000	100,000	0	15	FCS15088	16-016
5121551700	Municipal Recycling Facility Equipment Upgrades	235,000	280,000	0	15	FCS15011	15-055
		4,585,000	•				
Roads, Bridges, Traffic							
4031641762	Facility Yard Improvements	249,000	250,000	0	15	FCS15088	16-016
4661620001	ATMS-Advanced Traffic Mang Sys	1,750,000	1,750,000	0	15	FCS15088	16-016
4031811015	Brock Rd - Hwy 8 (Bullocks Corners)	2,100,000	3,000,000	0	15	FCS17099	17-284
4031711016	Asset Preservaton Red Hill Neighb North	210,000	2,100,000	0	15	FCS16089	16-336
4031711016	Asset Preservation - Sherwood Neighbourhood	191,000	1,910,000	0	15	FCS16089	16-336
4031118126	Bridge 163-Centennial Parkway	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	15	FCS11011(a)	11-081
		5,500,000	•				
Water							
5141371301	Barton - Nash to Centennial	2,243,000	2,460,000	0	15	FCS12076/PW12085	12-293
5141267275	Stoney Creek Water Outstations Upgrades	803,000	1,260,000	0	15	FCS12076/PW12085	12-293
5140667650	Carlisle Communal Well System Upgrades	3,093,000	3,240,000	0	15	FCS05124/PW05143 FCS12076/PW12085	05-380, 12-293
5141267272	Hillcrest Reservoir (HDR02) Phase 2 Upgrades	2,104,000	3,400,000	0	15	FCS12076/PW12085	12-293
5141871301	Mohawk - Upper Ottawa to Upper Kenilworth	1,249,000	1,305,000	0	15	FCS17081	17-284
5141871301	Columbia / Hudson / Leadale / Marcus / Stacey	1,110,000	1,160,000	0	15	FCS17081	17-284
5141571301	Rymal W - Garth to West 5th	1,457,000	1,755,000	0	15	FCS17081	17-284
5141860072	Watermain Structural Lining - Site 1 (Sunning Hill)	1,820,000	1,820,000	0	15	FCS17081	17-284
Storm water							
5181272290	Centennial Parkway - Arrowsmith to Goderich	3,100,000	3,100,000	0	15	FCS12076/PW12085	12-293
5181272290	Mountain Park - Upper Sherman	1,500,000	1,500,000	0	15	FCS11100/PW11086	11-319
5181272290	Wilson-Fiddlers Green to Halson	1,200,000	1,200,000	0	15	FCS11100/PW11086	11-319
5181206222	West Harbour Main Basin - New Floating	3,680,000	3,680,000	0	15	FCS12076/PW12085	12-293
		9,480,000					
Harbour							
2110953900	Randle Reef Rehabilitation	2,000,000	2,000,000	0	15	FCS09114	09-264
		2,000,000					
	Grand total	51,705,000	60,056,000				

CITY OF HAMILTON
SCHEDULE "B" TO BY-LAW NO. 20-232

Maturity Year	Interest Rate (%)	Principal (\$) November 3	Interest (\$) May 3	Interest (\$) November 3	Total Annual Payment(\$)
2021	0.500	3,447,000.00	331,773.75	331,773.75	4,110,547.50
2022	0.600	3,447,000.00	323,156.25	323,156.25	4,093,312.50
2023	0.700	3,447,000.00	312,815.25	312,815.25	4,072,630.50
2024	0.800	3,447,000.00	300,750.75	300,750.75	4,048,501.50
2025	0.950	3,447,000.00	286,962.75	286,962.75	4,020,925.50
2026	1.050	3,447,000.00	270,589.50	270,589.50	3,988,179.00
2027	1.200	3,447,000.00	252,492.75	252,492.75	3,951,985.50
2028	1.350	3,447,000.00	231,810.75	231,810.75	3,910,621.50
2029	1.450	3,447,000.00	208,543.50	208,543.50	3,864,087.00
2030	1.550	3,447,000.00	183,552.75	183,552.75	3,814,105.50
2031	1.650	3,447,000.00	156,838.50	156,838.50	3,760,677.00
2032	1.750	3,447,000.00	128,400.75	128,400.75	3,703,801.50
2033	1.800	3,447,000.00	98,239.50	98,239.50	3,643,479.00
2034	1.900	3,447,000.00	67,216.50	67,216.50	3,581,433.00
2035	2.000	3,447,000.00	34470.00	34,470.00	<u>3,515,940.00</u>
TOTAL		<u>51,705,000.00</u>	3,187,613.25	3,187,613.25	58,080,226.50