

# City of Hamilton

# CITY COUNCIL REVISED

20-026

Wednesday, November 25, 2020, 9:30 A.M.

Due to the COVID-19 and the Closure of City Hall

All electronic meetings can be viewed at:

City's Website: https://www.hamilton.ca/council-committee/council-committee-meetings/meetings-and-agendas

City's YouTube Channel: https://www.youtube.com/user/InsideCityofHamilton or Cable 14

Call to Order

1. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

(Added Items, if applicable, will be noted with \*)

- 2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST
- 3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING
  - 3.1. November 11, 2020

# 4. COMMUNICATIONS

4.1. Correspondence from the Town of Grimsby requesting support for their resolution respecting amendments to Schedule 11 of Bill 108 to remove the powers provided to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal, retaining the authority for hearing certain

appeals by the Conservation Review Board and to return the authority for final decisions to municipal council's as the elected representatives of the communities wherein the property and its features of cultural heritage value exist.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.2. Correspondence from the Township of Amaranth requesting support for their resolution respecting rescinding of the proposed changes regarding ranked ballot voting and the nomination period included as part of Bill 218.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.3. Correspondence from the Municipality of Meaford requesting support for their resolution respecting Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.4. Correspondence from the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) respecting the execution of agreements with partner municipalities to facilitate shared services of Integrity Commissioners for complaints respecting the violation of

the NPCA's Code of Conduct.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.5. Correspondence from the Township of Garafraxa requesting support for their resolution requesting that the Province work with the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation to address the assessment issues so that aggregate resource properties are assessed

for their industrial value.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.6. Correspondence from the City of Brantford requesting support for their resolution respecting the proposed changes to the Municipal Elections Act, 1996 and requesting that the Province delay their decision until

the Province has received comments from the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, Large Urban Mayor's Caucus of Ontario, the Federation of Canadian Municipalities and municipalities.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.7. Correspondence from the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing respecting the National Disaster Mitigation Program (NDMP).

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the Senior Leadership Team for appropriate action.

4.8. Correspondence from Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing respecting Enforcement of Orders under the Reopening Ontario Act, 2020.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the General Manager of Planning and Economic Development for appropriate action.

4.9. Correspondence from Janet Linton respecting Report PW20071/PED20196, Road Safety Review and Appropriate Measures at York Road and Newman Road Intersection, requesting the deferral of the matter until the

Niagara Escarpment Commission has made their ruling.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the consideration of Item (g)(i) of Public Works Committee Report 20-011.

- 4.10. Correspondence from Malwina Szczotka advocating for in-school speech therapy services for her 5 year old son:
  - 4.10.a. November 16, 2020
  - 4.10.b. November 19, 2020

Recommendation: Be received.

4.11. Correspondence from the Township of Howick requesting support for their resolution requesting that the Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs consider lower the interest rate on Tile Drain Loans.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.12. Correspondence from the Honourable Steve Clark, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing respecting the second intake for the Audit and Accountability Fund which will further support the City's efforts to find efficiencies, while delivering the services

residents and businesses rely on every day.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the Senior Leadership Team for appropriate action.

- 4.13. Correspondence respecting Sidewalk Snow Removal.
  - 4.13.a. Maureen McDougall
  - 4.13.b. Sarah Bayliss

- 4.13.c. Shawn Smith
- 4.13.d. Alexandra Witek
- 4.13.e. Olivia Bozzo
- 4.13.f. Sidney Melko
- 4.13.g. Nicki Munro
- 4.13.h. Paige Hutchinson
- 4.13.i. Rachel Smiley
- 4.13.j. Frances Murray
- 4.13.k. Durand Neighbourhood Association
- 4.13.I. Nathalie Bouchard
- 4.13.m. Janice Brown and Linda Miocinovich
- \*4.13.n. Lauren Stephen
- \*4.13.o. Ani Chernier

Recommendation: Be received and referred to Item 4 of General Issues Committee Report 20-019.

4.14. Correspondence from Karl Grotke respecting a 2021 property tax increase.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the 2021 Budget deliberations.

4.15. Correspondence from the Conservation Halton Board Members to the Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario; the Honourable Jeff Yurek, Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks and the Honourable Rod Phillips, Minister of Finance

respecting their response to the proposed amendment to the Conservation Authorities Act (CA Act), contained in Schedule 6, Bill 229.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.16. Correspondence from Nancy Martire respecting the new Automated Speed Enforcement System.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.17. Correspondence from Councillor Lloyd Ferguson, Chair and Lisa Burnside, CAO of the Hamilton Conservation Authority respecting the Hamilton Conservation Authority's preliminary response to the Province's

proposed changes to the Conservations Authorities Act.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.18. Correspondence from the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing respecting the Ontario Rebuilding and Recovery Act: Accelerating Infrastructure Initiatives Municipal Engagement.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the Senior Leadership Team for appropriate action.

4.19. Correspondence from the City of St. Catharines requesting support for their resolution respecting the proposed changes to the Conservation Authorities in Bill 229.

Recommendation: Be endorsed.

4.20. Correspondence from the Honourable Christine Elliott, Deputy Premier and Minister of Health advising the City of Hamilton - Child and Adolescent Services that they will be receiving \$70,000 in one-time funding for the

2020-21 funding year to support community-based child and youth mental health services.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the General Manager, Healthy and Safe Communities.

\*4.21. Correspondence from the Ombudsman of Ontario notifying the City of an Ombudsman's investigation respecting a Closed meeting complaint about a meeting held by the Hamilton Farmers' Market Corporation Board of Directors on September 28, 2020.

Recommendation: Be received.

\*4.22. Correspondence from Ombudsman of Ontario notifying the City of an Ombudsman's investigation respecting a Close meeting held by the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer Advisory Committee on October 20, 2020.

Recommendation: Be received.

\*4.23. Correspondence from Denis Page requesting that Council make the wearing of masks mandatory.

Recommendation: Be received.

- \*4.24. Correspondence respecting e-bikes banned posted signs in Stoney Creek:
  - \*4.24.a. Lakewood Beach Community Council
  - \*4.24.b. Walter Cairns

Recommendation: Be received.

\*4.25. Correspondence from Ugo Penna respecting cameras for speeding in Hamilton.

Recommendation: Be received.

\*4.26. Correspondence from Lakewood Beach Community Council respecting the Niagara Regional Transit On Demand Pilot Project.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the consideration of Item 5 of Public Works Committee Report 20-011.

\*4.27. Correspondence from Municipal Property Assessment Corporation (MPAC) respecting MPAC: 2021 Values and COVID-19.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services.

\*4.28. Correspondence from the Hamilton Conservation Authority respecting the Board's concerns with Bill 229: Protect, Support and Recover from COVID 19 Act (Budget Measures Act) - Schedule 6 - Conservation Authorities Act.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the consideration of Item 7.1.

- \*4.29. Correspondence from Emily Kulpaka respecting Covid Protocols and City Priorities.

  Recommendation: Be received.
- \*4.30. Correspondence from Mrs. S. Bonnallie respecting Tents in front of City Hall.

  Recommendation: Be received.

#### 5. COMMITTEE REPORTS

- 5.1. Board of Health Report 20-007 November 16, 2020
- 5.2. Public Works Committee Report 20-011 November 16, 2020
- 5.3. Planning Committee Report 20-014 November 17, 2020
- 5.4. General Issues Committee Report 20-019 November 18, 2020
- 5.5. Audit, Finance and Administration Committee Report 20-011 November 19, 2020

- 5.6. Emergency and Community Services Committee Report 20-010 November 19, 2020
- 5.7. General Issues Committee (Rate Budget) Report 20-020, November 23, 2020
- 5.8. Sole Voting Member of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Report 20-002 November 23, 2020

#### 6. MOTIONS

- 6.1. Amendment to sub-sections (c) and (d) to Item 7 of the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee Report 20-001, respecting Use of External Services for Tax Assessment & Appeals (FCS20005) (City Wide)
- 6.2. Amendment to Item 22 of the General Issues Committee Report 14-009, respecting Confidential Report CES14022, Acquisition of Property in Ward 3, which was approved by Council on April 23, 2014

#### 7. NOTICES OF MOTIONS

\*7.1. Bill 229 - Proposed Changes to the Conservation Authorities Act

#### 8. STATEMENTS BY MEMBERS

## 9. PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

9.1. Closed Session Minutes - November 11, 2020

Pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-sections (f) and (k) of the City's Procedural By-law 18-270, as amended; and, Section 239(2), Sub-sections (f) and (k) of the *Ontario Municipal Act*, 2001, as amended, as the subject matter pertains to the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; and a position, plan, procedure, criteria or instruction to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the municipality or local board.

9.2. Potential for Major Events in 2022 and 2023 (PED20071(a)) (City Wide) REVISED

Pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-sections (i) and (k) of the City's Procedural By-law 18-270, as amended; and, Section 239(2), Sub-sections (i) and (k) of the *Ontario Municipal Act*, 2001, as amended, as the subject matter pertains to a trade secret or scientific, technical, commercial, financial or labour relations information, supplied in confidence to the municipality or local board, which, if disclosed, could reasonably be expected to prejudice significantly the competitive position or interfere significantly with the contractual or other negotiations of a person, group of persons, or organization and a position, plan, procedure, criteria or instruction to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the City of a local board.

# \*9.3. Potential Regulatory Litigation Update (PW19008(j)/LS19004(j)) (City Wide)

Pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-sections (e), (f) and (k) of the City's Procedural By-law18-270, as amended; and, Section 239(2), Sub-sections (e), (f), and (k) of the *Ontario Municipal Act, 2001*, as amended, as the subject matter pertains to litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board; advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; and, a position, plan, procedure, criteria or instruction to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the municipality or local board.

# \*9.4. Regulatory Litigation Matter (Verbal Update)

Pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-sections (e), (f) and (k) of the City's Procedural By-law18-270, as amended; and, Section 239(2), Sub-sections (e), (f), and (k) of the *Ontario Municipal Act, 2001*, as amended, as the subject matter pertains to litigation or potential litigation, including matters before administrative tribunals, affecting the municipality or local board; advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; and, a position, plan, procedure, criteria or instruction to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the municipality or local board.

#### 10. BY-LAWS AND CONFIRMING BY-LAW

## 10.1. 240

To Repeal and Replace By-law No. 19-112 Imposing a Sanitary Sewer Charge Upon Owners of Land Abutting Upper Mount Albion road from Centreline of Times Square Boulevard to South Limit of Lot 4, in the City of Hamilton

Ward: 9

# 10.2. 241

To Impose a Sanitary Sewer Charge and Watermain Charge Upon Owners of Lands Abutting Arvin Avenue from McNeilly Road to Approximately 330 metres Westerly, in the City of Hamilton

Ward: 10

#### 10.3. 242

Respecting Removal of Part Lot Control, Part of Block 1, Registered Plan No. 62M-1255, municipally known as 16 and 18 Groom Lane; 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, and 21 Pim Lane; and 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 13, 15, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, and 27 Dresser Lane, Ancaster

PLC-18-014

Ward: 12

#### 10.4. 243

Respecting Removal of Part Lot Control, Part of Block 1 and Block 2, Registered Plan No. 62M-1241, "Foothills of Winona – Phases 2 and 3" municipally known as 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 52 and 56 Sauvignon Crescent

PLC-20-008

Ward: 10

# 10.5. 244

To Designate Land Located at 1021 Garner Road East, Ancaster, City of Hamilton as Property of Cultural Heritage Value

Ward: 12

# 10.6. 245

To Permanently Close and Sell Block 156 and Block 157 on Plan 62M-1183

Ward: 15

#### 10.7. 246

To Permanently Close and Sell a Portion of Mosaic Drive being Parts 16 and 17 on Plan 62R-20684

Ward: 15

#### 10.8. 247

To Authorize the Temporary Borrowing of Monies to Meet Current Expenditures Pending Receipt of Current Revenues for 2021

Ward: City Wide

#### 10.9. 248

To Authorize an Interim Tax Levy for 2021

Ward: City Wide

# 10.10. 249

To Amend Zoning By-law No. 05-200 (Hamilton), respecting lands located at 22 Cannon Street East, Hamilton

ZAD-20-035

Ward: 1

# 10.11. 250

To Amend By-law No. 01-218, as amended, Being a By-law to Regulate On-Street Parking

Schedule 3 (Through Highways – No Parking Anytime)

Schedule 6 (Time Limit Parking)

Schedule 8 (No Parking Zones)

Schedule 12 (Permit Parking Zones)

Schedule 13 (No Stopping Zones)

Schedule 14 (Wheelchair Loading Zones)

Ward: 1,2,3,4,7,10,13,15

# 10.12. 251

To Confirm the Proceedings of City Council

# 11. ADJOURNMENT



# CITY COUNCIL MINUTES 20-025

1:30 p.m. November 11, 2020 Council Chamber Hamilton City Hall 71 Main Street West

**Present:** Mayor F. Eisenberger

Councillors M. Wilson, J. Farr, N. Nann, C. Collins, T. Jackson, J.P. Danko, B. Clark, M. Pearson, B. Johnson, S. Merulla, L. Ferguson, A.

VanderBeek (Deputy Mayor), E Pauls and J. Partridge.

**Absent:** Councillor T. Whitehead – Personal.

Mayor Eisenberger called the meeting to order and recognized that Council is meeting on the traditional territories of the Erie, Neutral, HuronWendat, Haudenosaunee and Mississaugas. This land is covered by the Dish with One Spoon Wampum Belt Covenant, which was an agreement between the Haudenosaunee and Anishinaabek to share and care for the resources around the Great Lakes. It was further acknowledged that this land is covered by the Between the Lakes Purchase, 1792, between the Crown and the Mississaugas of the Credit First Nation. The City of Hamilton is home to many Indigenous people from across Turtle Island (North America) and it was recognized that we must do more to learn about the rich history of this land so that we can better understand our roles as residents, neighbours, partners and caretakers.

#### APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

The Clerk advised of the following changes to the agenda:

#### 4. **COMMUNICATIONS**

4.7. Correspondence from Walter Cairns respecting E-bikes and Hamilton Conservation.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.8. Correspondence from Donna Skelly, MPP Flamborough-Glanbrook advising the City of Hamilton that they will be receiving \$7,434,008 through the Ontario government's investment in local infrastructure projects, and that in accordance with Federal government guidelines all applications must be submitted no later than March 31, 2021 and all projects must be completed by December 31, 2021.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the Senior Leadership Team for appropriate action.

4.9 Correspondence from Scott Snider, Turkstra Mazza respecting the Application for Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment ("OPA") for lands located at 2069 Binbrook Road, Glanbrook (PED20146).

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the consideration of Item 3 of Planning Committee Report 20-013.

#### 7. NOTICES OF MOTION

7.1 Cannabis Retail Store Authorization Application, 11 Hatton Drive (also referred to as 9 Hatton Drive), Ancaster (AGCO File #946701)

#### 9. PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

9.2 City of Hamilton Transfer Stations and Community Recycling Centres Contract Update (PW20068(b)) (City Wide)

#### (Pauls/Johnson)

That the agenda for the November 11, 2020 meeting of Council be approved, as amended.

# Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 14 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

## **DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST**

Councillor J.P. Danko declared an interest to Item 2 (e) of Planning Committee Report 20-013, respecting the Deferral of an Upcoming Report to the Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee respecting the Ancaster High School Lands, as his spouse is employed by the Hamilton Wentworth District School Board.

Councillor B. Clark declared an interest to Item 7.1, Cannabis Retail Store Authorization Application, 11 Hatton Drive (also referred to as 9 Hatton Drive), Ancaster (AGCO File #946701), due to his son's business interest with respect to the cannabis retail industry.

Councillor L. Ferguson declared an interest to Item 9 of General Issues Committee Report 20-018 respecting a Temporary Cap on Food Delivery Service Charges, as he has family members in both the restaurant and taxi industries.

## APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

3. October 28, 2020 (Item 3.1) and November 4, 2020 (Item 3.2)

# (Nann/Pearson)

That the Minutes of the October 28, 2020 and November 4, 2020 meetings of Council be approved, as presented.

# Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 14 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

#### COMMUNICATIONS

# (VanderBeek/Ferguson)

That Council Communications 4.1 to 4.9 be approved, as **amended** as follows:

4.1. Correspondence from the Honourable Steve Clark, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing respecting an amendment to A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe and the recently released new market-based Land Need Assessment methodology.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the General Manager of Planning and Economic Development for appropriate action.

4.2. Correspondence from Debbie France respecting Illicit Cannabis Grow Operations.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.3. Correspondence from the City of Belleville requesting support for their resolution requesting that the Province of Ontario consider providing funding support and training resources to municipalities to comply with the Accessibility Standards.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.4. Correspondence from Prince Edward County requesting support for their resolution urging the Government of Ontario to continue to respect Ontario municipalities' ability to apply sound representative principles in their execution of elections and to support the freedom of municipalities to run democratic elections within the existing framework the Act currently offers.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.5. Correspondence from Paul Dube, Ombudsman of Ontario respecting a Closed meeting complaint about a meeting held by the General Issues Committee on August 10, 2020 alleging that it violated the Municipal Act's open meeting requirements.

Recommendation: Be received.

4.6. Correspondence from Loyalist Township requesting support for their resolution requesting confirmation from the governments of Ontario and Canada that funding will be available for local smaller charities, community groups and service clubs.

Recommendation: Be endorsed

4.7. Correspondence from Walter Cairns respecting E-bikes and Hamilton Conservation.

Recommendation: Be received and forwarded to the Hamilton Conservation Authority for a response to Mr. Cairns, with the response from the Hamilton Conservation Authority copied to the City of Hamilton.

4.8. Correspondence from Donna Skelly, MPP Flamborough-Glanbrook advising the City of Hamilton that they will be receiving \$7,434,008 through the Ontario government's investment in local infrastructure projects, and that in accordance with Federal government guidelines all applications must be submitted no later than March 31, 2021 and all projects must be completed by December 31, 2021.

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the Senior Leadership Team for appropriate action.

4.9 Correspondence from Scott Snider, Turkstra Mazza respecting the Application for Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment ("OPA") for lands located at 2069 Binbrook Road, Glanbrook (PED20146).

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the consideration of Item 3 of Planning Committee Report 20-013.

# Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 14 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

#### (VanderBeek/Clark)

That Council move into Committee of the Whole to consider the Committee Reports.

# Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 14 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

# GENERAL ISSUES COMMITTEE (BUDGET) REPORT 20-017

# (VanderBeek/Wilson)

That General Issues Committee (Budget) Report 20-017, being the meeting held on Thursday, October 29, 2020, be received and the recommendations contained therein be approved.

At Council's request, the sub-sections of Item 1 were voted on separately as follows:

# 1. 2021 Budget Guidelines, Outlook and Process (FCS20087) (City Wide) (Item 7.1)

# Result: Item 1 (a), CARRIED by a vote of 13 to 1, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

NO - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

# Result: Item 1 (b), CARRIED by a vote of 12 to 2, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

NO - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

NO - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

# Result: Item 1 (c), CARRIED by a vote of 11 to 3, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

NO - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

NO - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

NO - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

# Result: Item 1 (d), DEFEATED by a vote of 7 to 7, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

NO - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

NO – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

NO - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

NO - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

NO - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

NO - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

NO - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

Councillor VanderBeek assumed the Chair so that the Mayor could move the following amendment to Item 1 (d).

# (Eisenberger/Jackson)

(d) That the Hamilton Police Services Board, Hamilton Library Board, Conservation Authorities, *Royal Botanical Gardens* and Hamilton Beach Rescue Unit target a 2021 tax operating budget guideline, based on an increase of **2**%; with any increase beyond that guideline to be provided, with an explanation, to the General Issues Committee for consideration;

# Result: Item 1 (d) as Amended, CARRIED by a vote of 9 to 5, as follows:

NO - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

NO - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

NO - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

NO - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

NO - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

Mayor Eisenberger assumed the Chair.

# (Clark/Wilson)

(e) That staff be directed to prepare the 2021 Tax Capital Budget with a **0.5**% tax increase for capital financing of discretionary block funded projects;

# Result: Item 1 (e) as Amended, CARRIED by a vote of 9 to 5, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

NO - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

NO - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

NO - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

NO - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

NO - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

# Result: Item 1 (f), CARRIED by a vote of 10 to 4, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

NO – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

NO - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

NO - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

NO - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

# Result: Item 1 (g), CARRIED by a vote of 14 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

# Result: Motion on the Balance of General Issues Committee (Budget) Report 20-017 CARRIED by a vote of 14 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

# **PLANNING COMMITTEE REPORT 20-013**

# (Farr/Johnson)

That Planning Committee Report 20-013, being the meeting held on Tuesday, November 3, 2020, be received and the recommendations contained therein be approved.

3. Applications for a Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment and a Zoning Bylaw Amendment for Lands located at 2069 Binbrook Road, Glanbrook (PED20146) (Ward 11)

# (Johnson/Farr)

That Item 3 of the Planning Committee Report 20-013 respecting Applications for a Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment and a Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands located at 2069 Binbrook Road, Glanbrook (PED20146) (Ward 11) be **amended** by deleting sub-sections (a) and (b) and replacing them as follows:

- (a) That Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment application RHOPA-20-014, by Paletta Livestock Ltd., (Owner), to add a Site Specific Policy Area to recognize two existing single detached dwellings on a severed lot in order to meet the conditions of the December 20, 2019 Local Planning Appeal Tribunal Decision (Case No. PL180696) (GL/B-17:110) for lands located at 2069 Binbrook Road, as shown on Appendix "A" to Report PED20146, be DENIED.
- (b) That Zoning By-law Amendment application ZAA-20-022, by Paletta Livestock Ltd. (Owner), for a change in zoning from Agriculture (A1) Zone to Agriculture (A1,118) Zone to prohibit the construction of a single detached dwelling and a residential care facility and to recognize the reduced lot area for the retained agricultural parcel, for lands located at 2069 Binbrook Road as shown on Appendix "A" to Report PED20146, be DENIED.

That Report PED20146, respecting the Applications for a Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment and a Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands located at 2069 Binbrook Road, Glanbrook, be referred back to the Planning Committee for further discussion.

Result: Motion on Item 3 as Amended, CARRIED by a vote of 15 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

YES - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

Due to a declared conflict, Item 2 (e) was voted on separately as follows:

- 2. Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee Report 20-006 (Added Item 6.2)
  - (e) Deferral of an Upcoming Report to the Hamilton Municipal Heritage
    Committee respecting the Ancaster High School Lands (Added Item 11.1)

# Result: Motion on Item 2 (e), CARRIED by a vote of 11 to 1, as follows:

NO - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

NOT PRESENT - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

YES - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

NOT PRESENT- Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

CONFLICT - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

Motion on the balance of Planning Committee Report 20-013.

**CARRIED** 

#### **GENERAL ISSUES COMMITTEE REPORT 20-018**

# (VanderBeek/Ferguson)

That General Issues Committee Report 20-018, being the meeting held on Wednesday, November 4, 2020, be received and the recommendations contained therein be approved.

Due to a declared conflict, Item 9 was voted on separately.

# 9. Temporary Cap on Food Delivery Service Charges (Item 10.1)

# **CARRIED** with Councillor Ferguson noting a CONFLICT on this matter.

Motion on the Balance of General Issues Committee Report 20-018.

**CARRIED** 

#### **AUDIT, FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE REPORT 20-010**

# (Wilson/Clark)

That Audit, Finance and Administration Committee Report 20-010, being the meeting held on Thursday, November 5, 2020, be received and the recommendations contained therein be approved.

Result: Motion on Audit, Finance and Administration Committee Report 20-010, CARRIED by a vote of 15 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

YES - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

# **EMERGENCY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE REPORT 20-009**

#### (Pauls/Nann)

That Emergency and Community Services Committee Report 20-009, being the meeting held on Thursday, November 5, 2020, be received and the recommendations contained therein be approved.

CARRIED

# (VanderBeek/Partridge)

That the Committee of the Whole Rise and Report.

**CARRIED** 

#### **MOTIONS**

# 6.1 Feasibility of Connectivity Options to Help Address the Digital Divide

# (Merulla/Eisenberger)

WHEREAS, the City of Hamilton recognizes that the Internet is an essential means of communication, forming an invaluable informational, educational and economic utility that should be accessible to all Hamiltonians irrespective of income.

WHEREAS, the COVID-19 pandemic has exacerbated the digital divide and further illustrated the Internet as an essential service for the wellbeing of residents and a means to fully participate in society, including but not limited to, access to public health information, pandemic support services, emergency income supports, online education, and connectivity to family and friends.

WHEREAS, HCE Telecom, a division of Hamilton Enterprises Holding Corporation (HEHCO), a wholly own corporation of the City of Hamilton, is actively supporting connectivity options and will continue to further research, explore and support connectivity opportunities that breakdown the digital divide making the Internet and its applications and connectivity infrastructure more accessible across the community.

#### THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

That city staff be directed to work with HCE Telecom and partners to explore the feasibility of connectivity options to help address the digital divide and become a recognized leader in providing public Internet access and connectivity infrastructure.

#### Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 15 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

YES - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

# 6.2 Cannabis Retail Store Authorization Application, 11 Hatton Drive (also referred to as 9 Hatton Drive), Ancaster (AGCO File #946701)

# (Ferguson/Jackson)

WHEREAS the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario (AGCO) received an application for a Cannabis Retail Store at 11 Hatton Drive in Ancaster and the process is underway;

WHEREAS written submissions of objection to the AGCO could only be made during the 15-day public notice period ending May 22, 2020, and only by a resident of the municipality in which the proposed store is located, or the municipality itself;

WHEREAS City of Hamilton filed an official objection to this application to the Alcohol and Gaming Commission of Ontario for the following reasons:

- (a) 11 Hatton Drive is a property in the middle of a densely populated residential area that is a destination for children as they attend and return from their elementary schools on foot and by bus and the community is very concerned about the safety of children because of increased traffic in a highly residential area;
- (b) 11 Hatton Drive is surrounded by homes with residents that have lived in their homes since new (1950); and
- (c) 11 Hatton Drive is situated in a small commercial property with apartments on top and a parking lot that is problematic for drivers and walkers;

WHEREAS it has come to the Ward Councillor's attention through City of Hamilton staff that approval of this application is imminent although as of November 9, 2020 on the AGCO website it states that the application is still in progress;

#### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- (a) That the Mayor of the City of Hamilton correspond with the Honourable Doug Downey, Attorney General, Ministry of the Attorney General (MAG), to request that the application for a Cannabis Retail Store at 11 Hatton Drive in Ancaster, be denied due to the all the reasons noted in the City of Hamilton's submitted objection and the objections submitted by City of Hamilton residents; and
- (b) That this resolution be forwarded to the Association of Municipalities Ontario (AMO), the Hamilton Wentworth District School Board (HWDSB) and the Hamilton Wentworth Catholic District School Board (HWCDSB).

# Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 13 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

NOT PRESENT - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

YES - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

YES - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

CONFLICT - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

#### 6.3 Protocol for the Release of COVID-Related Offences

# (Clark/Jackson)

- (a) That staff be directed to develop and then immediately implement a protocol that would provide for the release, upon request, and without going through the MFIPPA process, the names of any businesses, or individuals charged on behalf of a business, who are charged with a COVID-related offence from this day forward; and
- (b) That staff be directed to develop an online portal where the businesses charged with COVID-related offences can be posted from this day forward, in order to share the information with the public.

# Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 12 to 1, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

NOT PRESENT - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

YES - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

NOT PRESENT - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

NO - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

## **NOTICES OF MOTION**

# 7.1 Cannabis Retail Store Authorization Application, 11 Hatton Drive (also referred to as 9 Hatton Drive), Ancaster (AGCO File #946701)

# (Ferguson/Jackson)

That the Rules of Order be waived to allow for the introduction of a Motion respecting the Cannabis Retail Store Authorization Application, 11 Hatton Drive (also referred to as 9 Hatton Drive), Ancaster (AGCO File #946701).

**CARRIED on a 2/3rds Majority** 

Refer to Item 6.2 for further disposition of this item.

#### 7.2 Protocol for the Release of COVID-Related Offences

# (Clark/Jackson)

That the Rules of Order be waived to allow for the introduction of a Motion respecting the Protocol for the Release of COVID-Related Offences.

# Result: Motion CARRIED by a 2/3 vote of 12 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

NOT PRESENT - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

NOT PRESENT - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

YES - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

NOT PRESENT - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

YES - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

Refer to Item 6.3 for further disposition of this item.

#### STATEMENTS BY MEMBERS

Members of Council used this opportunity to discuss matters of general interest.

#### **PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL**

Council determined that discussion of Item 9.1 was not required in Closed Session; therefore, the matter was addressed in Open Session, as follows:

## 9.1 Closed Session Minutes - October 28, 2020

# (Ferguson/Partridge)

That the Closed Session Minutes dated October 28, 2020 be approved, as presented, and remain confidential.

# Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 12 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

YES - Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

NOT PRESENT - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

YES - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES - Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

NOT PRESENT - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

YES - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

YES - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

NOT PRESENT - Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

#### (Collins/Clark)

That Council move into Closed Session respecting Item 9.2 pursuant to Section 8.1, Subsections (f) and (k) of the City's Procedural By-law 18-270, as amended; and, Section 239(2), Sub-sections (f) and (k) of the *Ontario Municipal Act*, 2001, as amended, as the subject matter pertains to the receiving of advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose; and a position, plan, procedure, criteria or instruction to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the municipality or local board.

**CARRIED** 

# 9.2 City of Hamilton Transfer Stations and Community Recycling Centres Contract Update (PW20068(b)) (City Wide)

#### (Ferguson/Clark)

(a) That the direction provided to staff in Closed Session respecting Report PW20068(b), the City of Hamilton Transfer Stations and Community Recycling Centres Contract Update, be approved; and,

(b) That Report PW20068(b), respecting the City of Hamilton Transfer Stations and Community Recycling Centres Contract Update, remain confidential.

# Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 9 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson

YES - Ward 2 Councillor Jason Farr

NOT PRESENT- Ward 3 Councillor Nrinder Nann

NOT PRESENT - Ward 4 Councillor Sam Merulla

YES - Ward 5 Councillor Chad Collins

YES – Ward 6 Councillor Tom Jackson

NOT PRESENT - Ward 7 Councillor Esther Pauls

NOT PRESENT - Ward 8 Councillor John-Paul Danko

YES - Mayor Fred Eisenberger

NOT PRESENT - Ward 15 Councillor Judi Partridge

NOT PRESENT - Ward 14 Councillor Terry Whitehead

YES - Deputy Mayor - Ward 13 Councillor Arlene VanderBeek

YES - Ward 12 Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

YES - Ward 11 Councillor Brenda Johnson

NOT PRESENT- Ward 10 Councillor Maria Pearson

YES - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark

#### **BY-LAWS**

# (VanderBeek/Clark)

That Bills No. 20-235 to No. 20-239 be passed and that the Corporate Seal be affixed thereto, and that the By-laws, be numbered, be signed by the Mayor and the City Clerk to read as follows:

235 To Amend Zoning By-law No. 3692-92 (Stoney Creek), Respec	To Amend Zoning By-law No. 3692-92 (Stoney Creek), Res	specting
---	--	----------

Lands Located at 1313 Baseline Road (Stoney Creek)

Ward: 10 ZAC-16-016

To Adopt Official Plan Amendment No. 140 to the Urban Hamilton Official

Plan, Respecting 1912 Rymal Road East (Glanbrook)

Ward: 9

To Amend Zoning By-law No. 4647 (Glanbrook), Respecting Lands

Located at 1912 Rymal Road East (Glanbrook)

Ward: 9 ZAC-18-029 UHOPA-18-11

To Amend By-law No. 01-218, as amended, Being a By-law to Regulate

**On-Street Parking** 

Schedule 6 (Time Limit Parking)

Schedule 8 (No Parking Zones)

Schedule 10 (Alternate Side Parking – April-November)

Schedule 12 (Permit Parking Zones) Schedule 13 (No Stopping Zones) Ward: 1, 2, 3, 4, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15

239 To Confirm the Proceedings of City Council

**CARRIED** 

# (Ferguson/VanderBeek)

That, there being no further business, City Council be adjourned at 6:10 p.m.

**CARRIED** 

Respectfully submitted,

Mayor F. Eisenberger

Andrea Holland City Clerk



Report To: Committee of the Whole

Meeting Date: October 19, 2020

Subject: Proposed Regulation under the Ontario Heritage Act

(Bill 108)

# Recommendation(s)

1. That the Report PA20-22 dated October19, 2020, be received and

2. That the report be endorsed and submitted to the Province, along with the following motion, as the Town of Grimsby's comments to the Environmental Registry.

WHEREAS Royal Assent has been granted to Bill 108 entitled 'More Homes, More Choice Act, 2019' on June 6, 2019; and,

WHEREAS Schedule 11 of Bill 108 contains amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act which require appeals under the Ontario Heritage Act to be heard by the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal not the Conservation Review Board; and,

WHEREAS the Conservation Review Board is an adjudicative tribunal that, through the mandate provided by the Ontario Heritage Act, considers a number of matters such as:

- The proposed designation of a property as having cultural heritage value or interest;
- Applications for the repeal of a By-law on a specific property;
- Applications related to the alteration of a property covered by a By-law; and,
- Matters related to archaeological licensing. AND,

WHEREAS Schedule 11 of Bill 108 will come into effect on a date to be proclaimed by the Lieutenant Governor; and,

WHEREAS the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal are not experts in heritage matters unlike members of the Conservation Review Board; and,

WHEREAS the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal decisions are binding decisions unlike the Conservation Review Board non-binding recommendations; and,



WHEREAS the Ontario Heritage Act provides a means for municipalities to protect and preserve the cultural heritage value or interest of the municipality for generations to come; and,

WHEREAS the Conservation Review Board currently provides reports to municipal council's setting out its findings of fact, and its recommendations so that a final decision can be rendered by municipalities about what is valuable in their community;

WHEREAS the Town of Grimsby remains committed to the preservation and protection of property of cultural heritage value or interest;

NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED THAT the Town of Grimsby strongly recommends that Schedule 11 of Bill 108 be amended to remove the powers provided to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal, retaining authority for hearing certain appeals by the Conservation Review Board; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT the Town of Grimsby strongly recommends that Schedule 11 of Bill 108 be amended to return the authority for final decisions to municipal council's as the elected representative of the communities wherein the property and its features of cultural heritage value exist; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a copy of this motion be sent to the Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario, Lisa McLeod the Minister of Heritage, Sport, Tourism and Culture Industries, Andrea Horwath, MPP and Leader of the Official Opposition and the Ontario NDP Party, MPP Steven Del Duca Leader of the Ontario Liberal Party, Mike Schreiner MPP and Leader of the Green Party of Ontario, Sam Oosterholf MPP Niagara West; and,

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED THAT a copy of this motion be sent to the Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO), all MPP's in the Province of Ontario, the Niagara Region and all Municipalities in Ontario for their consideration."

We strongly recommend that the Ontario government consider amendments to Bill 108 to return the final authority to municipal Council's to determine what is of cultural heritage value or interest in their communities with the benefits of the expert and professional advice provided by the Conservation Review Board.



# **Purpose**

To provide staff with direction to provide comments to the Environmental Registry on the proposed changes to the Ontario Heritage Act (Bill 108). As the impetus for the new proposed regulations is Bill 108, *The More Homes, More Choices Act*, staff remain concerned that the Province's stated objective to increase housing supply should not come at the expense of the Town of Grimsby's irreplaceable cultural heritage resources, as the purpose of the *Ontario Heritage Act* being to protect and conserve heritage properties.

# **Background**

# **Updates to the Ontario Heritage Act (Bill 108)**

In November 2018, the Province introduced a consultation document: "Increasing Housing Supply in Ontario." On May 2, 2019, the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing introduced "More Homes, More Choice: Ontario's Housing Supply Action Plan" and the supporting Bill 108 – the proposed More Homes, More Choice Act. The Province stated that the objective of these initiatives is to ensure more housing choices/supply and address housing affordability. The Ontario Heritage Act was one of 13 provincial statues impacted by Bill 108.

At that time, the proposed regulations for the OHA were unknown but the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport indicated that regulations were to be released "later this year" after consultation and would be posted for comment. At that time, the changes to the OHA were expected to be proclaimed and in full force and effect for July 1, 2020. Later this date was changed to January 1, 2021. The proposed regulations were released for public comment on September 21, 2020, being partially delayed by the COVID-19 pandemic. The changes to the OHA are still anticipated to be proclaimed on January 1, 2021. Comments on the proposed regulations are due to the Environmental Registry by November 5, 2020. Communication from the Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport indicates that 'Updates to the existing Ontario Heritage Tool Kit, which will support implementation of the amendments and proposed regulation, are forthcoming. Drafts of the revised guides will be made available for public comment later this fall.' Staff will share this information with the Grimsby Heritage Advisory Committee and Council as it becomes available.



# **Analysis/Comments**

The Environmental Registry posting includes the proposed regulations and a summary of the proposed regulations for the following:

- 1. Principles that a municipal council shall consider when making decisions under specific parts of the OHA.
- 2. Mandatory content for designation by-laws.
- 3. Events which would trigger the new 90-day timeline for issuing a notice of intention to designate and exceptions to when the timeline would apply.
- 4. Exceptions to the new 120-day timeline to pass a designation by-law after a notice of intention to designate has been issued.
- 5. Minimum requirements for complete applications for alteration or demolition of heritage properties.
- 6. Steps that must be taken when council has consented to the demolition or removal of a building or structure, or a heritage attribute.
- 7. Information and material to be provided to Local Planning Appeal Tribunal (LPAT) when there is an appeal of a municipal decision to help ensure that it has all relevant information necessary to make an appropriate decision.
- 8. Housekeeping amendments related to amending a designation by-law and an owner's reapplication for the repeal of a designation by-law.
- 9. Transition provisions.

Many of the proposed regulations are procedural and provide clarity on the new processes that were including in Bill 108. The summary of the proposals is as follows:

# Regulatory Proposals

1. Principles to guide municipal decision making

The amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act give authority to prescribe principles that a municipal council shall consider when making decisions under prescribed provisions of Parts IV and V of the Act. The proposed principles relate to the purpose of the Ontario Heritage Act and are intended to help decision-



makers better understand what to focus on when making decisions under the Act.

The proposed principles are consistent with Ontario's policy framework for cultural heritage conservation. The proposed principles provide context for a municipality to follow when making decisions about designated heritage properties, including the minimization of adverse impacts to the cultural heritage value of a property or district. They also require the municipality to consider the views of all interested persons and communities. The new principles will be used in conjunction with Ontario Regulation 9/06, for which no changes have been proposed at this time. While staff already use many similar principles to guide the review process, it is noted that many of the principles use 'should' rather than 'shall' in reference to the principles. The most problematic is the principle that "property that is determined to be of cultural heritage value or interest should be protected and conserved for all generations". Using 'should' rather than 'shall' contradicts the Provincial Policy Statement 2020, which states "Significant built heritage resources and significant cultural heritage landscapes shall be conserved". Staff would prefer consistency in the language in these two provincial policies and recommend that the language from the PPS 2020 be adopted as a principle for the Ontario Heritage Act.

An additional recommendation would be that the definition of 'adaptive reuse' included in this section be revised from "the alteration of a property of cultural heritage value or interest to fit new uses or circumstances while retaining the heritage attributes of the property" to "the alteration of a property of cultural heritage value or interest to fit new uses or circumstances while retaining the cultural heritage value or interest and the heritage attributes of the property".

#### 2. Mandatory content for designation by-laws

The Ontario Heritage Act amendments provide a regulatory authority to prescribe mandatory content for designation by-laws. The goal is to achieve greater consistency across municipalities and to provide improved clarity for property owners through designation by-laws including:

- Identifying the property for the purposes of locating it and providing an understanding of its layout and components;
- Establishing minimum requirements for the statement of cultural heritage value or interest; and
- Setting standards for describing heritage attributes.

From staff's perspective, the most significant changes to the requirements for a



- The requirement to include a map or image of the area. This has not typically been done in the past due to the preferences of the Land Registry Office; however, from a staff perspective, this would not be difficult or onerous.
- The description of the heritage attributes must be 'brief' and also explain how each attribute contributes to the cultural heritage value or interest of the property. Staff note that the requirement for explanations may make the description less brief, but are generally supportive of this requirement as it may help clarify both the heritage attributes and the cultural heritage value of the property. However, this requirement will likely increase the amount of staff time required to draft designation by-laws.
- The by-law may list any features of the property that are not heritage attributes. Including a formal list of non-heritage attributes within the by-law could provide clarity to both the property owner and the Town of Grimsby.
- 3. 90-day timeline to issue a Notice of Intention to Designate Amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act establish a new 90-day timeline for issuing a notice of intention to designate (NOID) when the property is subject to prescribed events. It also allows for exceptions to this restriction to be prescribed.

The new timeline is intended to encourage discussions about potential designations with development proponents at an early stage to avoid designation decisions being made late in the land use planning process. The ministry has proposed three triggers which would place this restriction on council's ability to issue a NOID. These are applications submitted to the municipality for either an official plan amendment, a zoning by-law amendment or a plan of subdivision.

The proposed regulation also provides exceptions to when the 90-day timeline applies. The ministry is proposing the following categories of exceptions.

- Mutual agreement Where an extension of, or exemption from, the 90day restriction on issuing a NOID is mutually agreed to by the municipality and the property owner who made the application under the Planning Act.
- Administrative restrictions Where municipal council or heritage committee are limited in their ability to reasonably fulfill the statutory requirements for issuing a NOID within the original 90-day timeframe. This would apply in cases of a declared emergency or where a municipal heritage committee would be unable to provide its recommendations to council. The timeframe would be extended by 90 days.
- New and relevant information Where new and relevant information could have an impact on the potential cultural heritage value or interest of the



property is revealed and needs further investigation. Council would be able to extend the timeframe through a council resolution. In the case of new and relevant information council would have 180 days from the date of the council resolution to ensure there is sufficient time for further information gathering and analysis to inform council's decision.

Expiration of restriction – The 90-day restriction on council's ability to issue a NOID would not remain on the property indefinitely and would no longer apply when the application that originally triggered the 90-day timeframe is finally disposed of under the Planning Act.

The proposed regulation also provides notification requirements related to the exceptions to the 90-day timeframe restriction.

Overall, the regulations provide required clarity to the proposed new timelines. Staff are pleased that one of the exemptions to the new regulated timelines is through mutual agreement, as many developers in Grimsby have demonstrated their willingness to work with staff and Council to work towards heritage conservation goals through the planning process.

The exemption for 'new and relevant' materials is useful to ensure that all parties have all of the information needed to make a decision. To this end, the regulations also provide a definition of 'new and relevant' to be applied in this context.

The termination period for the 90-day timelines is limited to the lifespan of the specific planning application. This will ensure that properties are not prohibited from heritage conservation indefinitely.

However, staff have several concerns in regards to these proposed regulations. First, the 90 day timeline will not provide enough time for the town to request and review a peer review of a Heritage Impact Assessment, should the town feel that review is necessary. Staff recommend that the 90 day timeline be increased, or that an additional exemption be included that provides municipalities more time to address requirements for peer review. Likewise, the substantially reduced time limit for planning decisions in Bill 108, especially in regards to decisions for zoning by-law amendments, will create challenges for staff where heritage properties are involved in a planning application.

Staff also note that these new timelines will require significant changes to internal processes in order to accommodate the regulations, which in turn will take a significant amount of staff time to coordinate between Heritage Planning staff, and Planning staff.

4. 120-day timeline to pass a designation by-law Amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act establish a new requirement for designation by-laws to be passed within 120 days of issuing a Notice of Intention to Designate (NOID). It also



allows for exceptions to be prescribed. The ministry is proposing the following categories for exceptions.

- Mutual agreement Where an extension of, or exemption from, the requirement to pass a by-law within 120 days of issuing a NOID is mutually agreed to by the municipality and the property owner.
- Administrative restrictions Where municipal council is limited in its ability to reasonably fulfill the statutory requirements for passing a designation bylaw within the original 120-day timeframe. This would apply in cases of a declared emergency.
- New and relevant information Where new and relevant information that could have an impact on the potential cultural heritage value or interest of the property is revealed and needs further investigation.
- Council would be able to extend the timeframe through a council resolution to ensure there is enough time for further information gathering and analysis to inform its decision.
- Council would have an additional 180 days from the date of the council resolution to pass the bylaw.

Exceptions allowing for the extension of the 120-day timeframe for passing a bylaw must occur prior to the expiry of the initial 120 days. The proposed regulation includes notification requirements related to the exceptions to the 120-day timeframe.

Similar to the exemptions for the 90-day designation notice timeline, the proposed exemptions to pass a designation by-law, especially through mutual agreement, are generally considered helpful. The practice of passing a by-law soon after the objection period has expired (or an appeal has been resolved), is already undertaken in Grimsby for most designations. However, staff would note that implementing these regulations will require staff time to accomplish.

5. 60-day timeline to confirm complete applications, alteration or demolition and contents of complete applications

Amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act establish a new timeline of 60 days for the municipality to respond to a property owner about the completeness of their application for alteration of, or demolition or removal affecting, a designate heritage property. It also provides a regulatory authority for the Province to set out minimum requirements for complete applications. The purpose of these provincial minimum standards is to ensure transparency so that property owners are aware of what information is required when making an application. The



details of what is proposed in regulation reflect current municipal best practices. The proposed regulation also enables municipalities to build on the provincial minimum requirements for complete applications as a way of providing additional flexibility to address specific municipal contexts and practices. Where municipalities choose to add additional requirements, the proposed regulation requires them to use one of the following official instruments: municipal by-law, council resolution or official plan policy. The proposed regulation establishes that the 60-day timeline for determining if the application is complete and has commenced starts when an application is served on the municipality. It further proposes that applications may now be served through a municipality's electronic system, in addition to email, mail or in person.

The introduction of a timeline to confirm a complete application for heritage issues is new, but is not unwelcome as it will provide clarity for the property owner and the town. The list of submission requirement set out in the regulations is similar to the requirements that the town already requires; however, a more thorough review of any proposed materials should be undertaken and a report brought forward to Council to confirm Grimsby's list of required submissions and be adopted by municipal by-law as required by the regulation. The ability for the town to set its own additional requirements (through due process) is important to ensure that the town's heritage conservation goals are met.

However, staff note that the requirements for a complete application are only applied

to subsections 33 (2) and 34 (2) of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, meaning that there are no requirements for a complete application for properties designated under Part V as part of heritage conservation districts. Staff recommend that the requirements for complete application also be applied to district properties.

6. Prescribed steps following council's consent to a demolition or removal under s. 34.3

Amendments to the Ontario Heritage Act provide that municipal council consent is required for the demolition or removal of any heritage attributes, in addition to the demolition or removal of a building or structure. This is because removal or demolition of a heritage attribute that is not a building or structure, such as a landscape element that has cultural heritage value, could also impact the cultural heritage value or interest of a property.

Prior to the amendments, where council approved a demolition or removal under s. 34, the Act required council to repeal the designation by-law. However, in cases where only certain heritage attributes have been removed or demolished, or where the demolition or removal was of a structure or building that did not have cultural heritage value or interest, the property might still retain cultural



heritage value or interest. In these cases, repeal of the by-law would not be appropriate.

The proposed regulation provides municipalities with improved flexibility by requiring council to first determine the impact, if any, of the demolition or removal on the cultural heritage value or interest of the property and the corresponding description of heritage attributes. Based on the determination council makes, it is required to take the appropriate administrative action, which ranges from issuing a notice that no changes to the by-law are required, to amending the by-law as appropriate, to repealing the by-law. Council's determination and the required administrative actions that follow are not appealable to LPAT.

The proposed regulation provides that, where council has agreed to the removal of a building or structure from a designated property to be relocated to a new property, council may follow an abbreviated process for designating the receiving property. The proposed regulation provides a series of administrative steps to support the designation by-law. Council's determination that the new property has cultural heritage value or interest and the subsequent designation by-law made under this proposed regulation would not be appealable to LPAT.

The requirement to issue notice for demolition of any heritage attributes of a property was a concern, however, the clarification that a repealing by-law may not be required for every demolition is helpful. Following the demolition or removal, if the cultural heritage value or interest and heritage attributes do not need amending, the only notice requirement is to the Ontario Heritage Trust, who are already required to receive notice of all decisions regarding alterations, demolitions, removals and relocations.

However, staff would note that the wording of the regulation is slightly confusing: "After the demolition or removal of a building, structure or heritage attribute on the property is complete, the council of the municipality shall, in consultation with the municipal heritage committee established under section 28 of the Act, if one has been established, make one of the following determinations.." Staff are unclear on if this means that removal of any building, even one that is not a heritage attribute (i.e. a modern garden shed), requires Council approval.

7. Information to be provided to LPAT upon an appeal with the exception of decisions made under section 34.3 as described above, all final municipal decisions related to designation, amendment and repeal, as well as alteration of a heritage property under the Act will now be appealable to LPAT, in addition to decisions related to demolition and Heritage Conservation Districts, which were already appealable to LPAT. The decisions of LPAT are binding. Preliminary objections to designation matters will now be made to the municipality, before the final decision is made. Prior to the amendments, appeals of designation-related notices or appeals of alteration decisions were made to the Conservation Review Board, whose decisions were not binding.



A regulatory authority was added to ensure that appropriate information and materials related to designations, alteration and demolition decisions are forwarded to the LPAT to inform appeals. The proposed regulation outlines which materials and information must be forwarded for every LPAT appeal process in the Act by the clerk within 15 calendar days of the municipality's decision.

The two-tier process of objection to the municipality, followed by appeal to the LPAT, is a noted concern as this new process will create delays for property owners, staff, the Grimsby Heritage Advisory Committee and Council. The updated regulation does not change this; it provides a list of the materials and information required for LPAT appeals.

#### 8. Housekeeping amendments

Amendments to the Act included regulatory authority to address a few housekeeping matters through regulation. Previously, where a municipality proposed to make substantial amendments to an existing designation by-law it stated that the designation process in section 29 applied with necessary modifications. The proposed regulation clearly sets out the modified process, including revised language that is more appropriate for an amending by-law. The proposed regulation also makes it clear that there is no 90-day restriction on issuing a notice of proposed amendment to a by-law and provides that council has 365 days from issuing the notice of proposed amendment to pass the final amending by-law and that this timeframe can only be extended through mutual agreement.

The proposed regulation also outlines restrictions on a property owner's ability to reapply for repeal of a designation by-law where the application was unsuccessful, unless council consents otherwise. The one-year restriction on an owner's reapplication maintains what had been included in the Act prior to the amendments.

The ability to amend a heritage designation by-law is improved through the regulations that provide clarity to the stated process. Staff support this regulation as it will make it easier to update old designation by-laws as required, as well as make amendments to by-laws that require updating to remove listed heritage attributes as per the new regulation.

#### 9. Transition

Section 71 of the Ontario Heritage Act establishes a regulation-making authority for transitional matters to facilitate the implementation of the amendments, including to deal with any problems or issues arising as a result of amendments. The proposed transition rules provide clarity on matters that are already in progress at the time the amendments come into force.

General Transition Rule



All processes that commenced on a date prior to proclamation would follow the process and requirements set out in the Act as it read the day before proclamation. The proposed regulation sets out the specific triggers for determining if a process had commenced.

## **Exceptions**

Outstanding notices of intention to designate. Where council has published a notice of intention to designate but has not yet withdrawn the notice or passed the by-law at the time of proclamation, the municipality will have 365 days from proclamation to pass the by-law, otherwise the notice will be deemed withdrawn. Where a notice of intention to designate has been referred to the Conservation Review Board, the 365 days would be paused until the Board either issues its report or until the objection has been withdrawn, whichever occurs earlier.

#### 90-Day restriction on issuing a NOID

The 90-day restriction on council's ability to issue a NOID would only apply where all notices of complete application have been issued by the municipality in relation to a prescribed Planning Act application, on or after proclamation.

Prescribed steps following council's consent to demolition or removal (s.34.3) The ministry is proposing that the prescribed steps would apply following consent to an application by the municipality or by order of the Tribunal, where at the time of proclamation council had not already repealed the by-law under s. 34.3.

Staff would note that the transitions proposed will place increased demand on staff time and resources in order to prepare for the January 1, 2021 implementation deadline. As this has not been accounted or planned for, staff would recommend that the proclamation deadline be pushed to July 1, 2021 to allow municipalities more time to prepare, especially in consideration of the COVID-19 pandemic, which has already created additional stress on staff resources.

#### Regulatory Impact Assessment

The objective of the proposed regulation is to improve provincial direction on how to use the Ontario Heritage Act, provide clearer rules and tools for decision making, and support consistency in the appeals process. Direct compliance costs and administrative burdens associated with the proposed regulations are unknown at this time. New rules and tools set out in the proposed regulations are expected to result in faster development approvals.

There are anticipated social and environmental benefits as the proposed regulation seeks to achieve greater consistency to protecting and managing heritage property across the province.

Overall, staff support many of the proposed regulation changes, as they provide greater clarity for the new processes created through Bill 108. Some of the concerns identified



by the town in their comments on Bill 108 remain, such as all appeals being moved to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal (LPAT) from the Conservation Review Board (CRB).

The proposed regulations appear to be consistent with the objectives of Provincial policy and the OHA to conserve significant cultural heritage resources. However, many of the town's existing processes will need to be adjusted to conform to the proposed regulation changes. Staff would recommend to the Province that more time be provided to municipalities to accommodate the new regulations, especially given that the COVID-19 pandemic is in the second wave and also because the revised Ontario Heritage Took Kit has not been provided for draft comment and review. Additionally, staff resources will need to be evaluated in light of the current volume of heritage alteration applications to ensure the delivery of heritage reports and notices occur within the specified timelines. The substantially reduced time limit for planning decisions in Bill 108, especially in regards to decisions for zoning by-law amendments, will create challenges for staff where heritage properties are involved in a planning application.

The Province has noted that the direct compliance costs and administrative burdens are unknown at this time. Staff would suggest that the cost and burden on already stressed municipalities operating in an ongoing pandemic would be significant.

# **Strategic Priorities**

This report addresses the corporate strategic goal to: Protect, preserve and enhancing Grimsby's distinct heritage and culture

# Financial Impact

There are no direct financial implications arising from the recommendations in this report. However, the proposed regulation changes will have undetermined financial impacts for the town.

# **Public Input**

Members of the public may provide comments on Bill 108's proposed changes through the related postings on the Environmental Registry of Ontario (ERO) website.

#### Conclusion

As the impetus for the new proposed regulations is Bill 108, *The More Homes, More Choices Act*, staff remain concerned that the Province's stated objective to increase housing supply should not come at the expense of the Town of Grimsby's irreplaceable cultural heritage resources, as the purpose of the *Ontario Heritage Act* being to protect and conserve heritage properties.



Prepared by,

Elevreccine

Name: Bianca Verrecchia

Title: Assistant Heritage Planner

Submitted by,



Name: Antonietta Minichillo

Title: Director of Planning, Building & Bylaw



374028 6TH LINE • AMARANTH ON • L9W 0M6

Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario Premier's Office, Room 281 Legislative Building, Queen's Park Toronto, Ontario M7A 1A1

Honourable Premier Ford,

Re: Bill 218

At the regular meeting of Council held November 4, 2020, the following resolution was carried:

Council discussed the Ontario Bill 128, Supporting Recovery and Municipal Elections Act 2020. Provincial Bill 218 was recently introduced to the legislature as Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act 2020. As part of this bill, it was proposed to remove the framework for conducting ranked ballot municipal elections for the 2022 election, citing cost as the reason for the change.

This proposed change results in further erosion of local decision-making by repealing the ranked ballot voting system utilized very effectively by London, Ontario in the last municipal election. This is a system that could and perhaps should be adopted by other municipalities around Ontario. It is felt that the system encourages more candidates and improved participation of voters.

Bill 218 also proposed shortening the nomination period of the 2022 municipal election to approximately six weeks.

Resolution #11

Moved by: G. Little – Seconded by: H. Foster

**BE IT RESOLVED THAT:** 

The Township of Amaranth request the Provincial Government of Ontario rescind the proposed changes regarding ranked ballot voting and the nomination period included as part of bill 218.

Further resolved that a letter regarding this resolution be forwarded to Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario, Sylvia Jones, MPP Dufferin-Peel and Steve Clark,

Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing. Letter to be copied to AMO and all Ontario Municipalities.

#### CARRIED.

Recorded Vote	Yea	Nay	Absent
Deputy Mayor Chris Gerrits	X		
Councillor Heather Foster	X		
Councillor Gail Little	Х		
Mayor Bob Currie	Х		

Respectfully submitted,

Nicole Martin

Nicole Martin, Dipl. M.A. Acting CAO/Clerk

C: Sylvia Jones, MPP Dufferin-Peel Steve Clark, Minister of Municpal Affairs and Housing A.M.O. Ontario Municipalities





November 9, 2020

The Hon. Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario Legislative Building Queen's Park Toronto ON M7A 1A1

Dear Premier Ford,

#### Re: Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act

Please be advised that at their meeting on November 2, 2020, Council of the Municipality of Meaford passed the following resolution pertaining to Bill 218, Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act:

Moved by: Deputy Mayor Keaveney Seconded by: Councillor Vickers

#### That Council of the Municipality of Meaford:

- 1. Declare their opposition to all of the amendments to the Municipal Elections Act proposed as part of Bill 218;
- 2. Reaffirm their desire to move ahead with a ballot question in 2022 about switching to a ranked ballot election in 2026;
- 3. Direct staff to send a copy of this resolution to the Premier, Minister of Municipal Affairs, Leader of the Opposition; and
- 4. Direct staff to send a copy of this resolution to all municipalities in the Province of Ontario requesting their support in opposing the amendments to the Municipal Elections Act.

Carried - Resolution #2020-30-05

As per the above resolution, please accept a copy of this correspondence for your information and consideration.



Yours sincerely,



#### **Matt Smith**

Clerk / Director of Community Services Municipality of Meaford 21 Trowbridge Street West, Meaford 519-538-1060, ext. 1115 | msmith@meaford.ca

cc: Steve Clark, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing Andrea Horwath, Leader of Opposition Bill Walker, MPP Association of Municipalities of Ontario All Ontario Municipalities



250 Thorold Road, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, Welland ON L3C 3W2

Tel: 905-788-3135 Fax: 905-788-1121 www.npca.ca

November 12, 2020

## VIA Email (clerk@hamilton.ca)

Andrea Holland City Clerk City Hall 71 Main St. W., 1st Floor Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5

Dear Ms. Holland,

Please be advised that at its meeting of October 22, 2020, the Board of Directors of the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority adopted the following resolution:

Resolution No. FA-139-20
Moved by Board Member Foster
Seconded by Board Member Beattie

- THAT Report No. FA-53-20 RE: Code of Conduct Complaint Procedure BE RECEIVED.
- 2. **THAT** the Code of Conduct Complaint Procedure as outlined in Report FA-53-20 **BE APPROVED**.
- 3. **AND THAT** staff **BE AUTHORIZED** to execute agreements, as appropriate, with partner municipalities to facilitate shared services of Integrity Commissioners.

**CARRIED** 

For your reference, NPCA Report No. FA-53-20 RE: Code of Conduct Complaint Procedure is attached hereto. I will reach out to your office in the coming week to follow-up on the matter. In the interim, should you have any questions or concerns, please feel free to contact me at the phone number and/or email noted below.

Sincerely,

# Misti Ferrusi

Misti Ferrusi Manager, Human Resources Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority Tel: (905) 788-3135 ext. 232

mferrusi@npca.ca



**Report To: Board of Directors** 

**Subject:** Code of Conduct Complaint Procedure

Report No: FA-53-20

**Date:** October 22, 2020

#### Recommendation:

1. **THAT** Report No. FA-53-20 RE: Code of Conduct Complaint Procedure **BE RECEIVED**.

- 2. **THAT** the Code of Conduct Complaint Procedure as outlined in Report FA-53-20 **BE APPROVED**.
- 3. **AND THAT** staff **BE AUTHORIZED** to execute agreements, as appropriate, with partner municipalities to facilitate shared services of Integrity Commissioners.

## Purpose:

The purpose of this report is to seek Board approval at the recommendation of the Governance Committee of a proposed Code of Conduct Complaint procedure that allows for transparency and accountability of Board Members and is also in alignment with that of the appointing municipalities.

#### **Background:**

On October 22, 2019 the Governance Committee directed staff to enter into discussions with appointing municipalities regarding the handling of Code of Conduct complaints regarding board members appointed from that municipality to the NPCA board. Additional information was presented to the Committee on December 13, 2019, and staff were subsequently directed to discuss shared Integrity Commissioner services with appointing municipalities.

Based on conversations with appointing municipalities, the desire of the NPCA Board for further transparency and accountability, while also acting in a fiscally responsible manner, a proposed code of conduct complaint procedure was developed for consideration of the Governance Committee provided as Appendix 1.

At its most recent meeting of October 1, 2020, the Governance Committee has recommended the following to the NPCA Board of Directors:

1. THAT Report No. GC-08-20 RE: Code of Conduct Complaint Procedure BE RECEIVED.

- 2. **THAT** the Code of Conduct Complaint Procedure as outlined in Report GC-08-20 **BE APPROVED**.
- 3. **THAT** Report No. GC-08-20 Code of Conduct Complaint Procedure be **APPENDED** to the next Full Authority Board meeting.
- 4. **AND THAT** staff **BE AUTHORIZED** to execute agreements, as appropriate, with partner municipalities to facilitate shared services of Integrity Commissioners.

#### Discussion:

NPCA will be required to gain formal agreement from each municipality outlining the parameters of any relationships, (specifically those stated in point 3.3 of the Code of Conduct procedure). Sharing Integrity Commissioner services with appointing municipalities will provide consistency with municipality's procedures.

An agreement with an Integrity Commissioner service will also provide the NPCA with various other resources including:

- Acting as an advisor for the Board
- Education for Board Members and staff on legislation, protocols and office procedures with respect to ethics
- Providing advice to individual members regarding specific situations as they relate to Code of Conduct and/or Conflict of Interest questions
- Providing advice respecting the Code of Conduct governing the ethical behaviour of Board Members
- Providing an annual report to the Board with findings and recommendations for the preceding vear
- Providing advice and investigations related to conflicts of interest

#### Financial Implications:

In changing the NPCA Code of Conduct procedure to include formal investigations conducted by an Integrity Commissioner, the NPCA will incur costs associated with any formal investigation. Costs of an Integrity Commissioner would be relatively similar to costs of a lawyer and/or other workplace investigation firm.

# Links to Policy/Strategic Plan:

The Board has been clear in their desire to be transparent, accountable and to work with integrity and honesty. This practice will aid in meeting these objectives.

#### **Related Reports and Appendices:**

Appendix 1: NPCA Board of Director's Code of Conduct Complaint Procedure

Authored by:	Submitted by:	
Original Signed by:	Original Signed by:	
Misti Ferrusi, BA, CHRL Manager, Human Resources	Chandra Sharma, MCIP, RPP Chief Administrative Officer/Secretary- Treasurer	

#### NPCA Board of Director's Code of Conduct Complaint Procedure

The Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority expects all Board Members to abide by the NPCA Board Code of Conduct. When an individual suspects a Board Member has violated the Code of Conduct, the following procedure shall be followed:

Complainants are encouraged file a complaint immediately after an alleged incident or immediately upon becoming aware of an incident. All incidents should be reported within 60 days of the complainant becoming aware of it or as soon as reasonably possible.

Any Code of Conduct complaints shall be submitted in writing to the Appropriate Authority in accordance with the chart below.

Respondent to the Complaint	Parties Responsible to Receive the Complaint (Appropriate Authority)
Board Member	Chair of the Board
	Vice-Chair of the Board
	CAO
NPCA Chair of the Board	Vice-Chair of the Board
	CAO
NPCA Vice-Chair of the Board	Chair of the Board
	CAO

#### 1.0 Self-Declaration

- 1.1 In the event a Board member believes they have violated the Code of Conduct, they shall advise the Appropriate Authority in writing of the violation immediately.
  - 1.1.1 The Board member shall be given an opportunity to meet with Appropriate Authority as well as any other party deemed appropriate in an attempt to resolve the matter within 5 business days of receipt.
  - 1.1.2 If the matter cannot be resolved, the item will be forwarded to the appropriate Integrity Commissioner for investigation (see Formal Investigation)

#### 2.0 Board Member Complaint from a Board Member

- 2.1 Prior to the launch of a formal complaint, Board members are encouraged to bring suspected matters of violation to the attention of the member in question in an effort to resolve the issue within 24 to 48 hours.
  - 2.1.1 Members are encouraged to document any behaviours, actions, witnesses and conversations should they be required.
- 2.2 If the issue has not been resolved amicably and the Board member wishes to make a formal complaint, they shall do so in confidentiality by completing the identified complaint form to be submitted to the Appropriate Authority within 5 business days.
- 2.3 All complaints must be dated and signed by an identifiable individual.
- 2.4 The complainant shall receive confirmation of receipt of the complaint within 5 business days.

- 2.5 In the event clarification is needed, the complainant shall be contacted to provide further required information.
  - 2.5.1 The Appropriate Authority reserves the right to terminate the complaint in the event it has been resolved, if it is a duplicate complaint (and/or merge it with an existing complaint), in the event it is deemed frivolous or vexatious or in the event it is not deemed to be a complaint. Complainants will be advised if a complaint has been terminated.
- 2.6 Informal Resolution: The Board member in question will be given an opportunity to address the issue and the Appropriate Authority will attempt to resolve the issue through informal means to the satisfaction of the concerned parties.
  - 2.6.1 Informal means may include, but is not limited to clarification, joint discussions or mediation.
  - 2.6.2 The Appropriate Authority has the ability to include any other party in discussions deemed appropriate towards aiding in resolution.
- 2.7 If the matter cannot be resolved, the item will be forwarded to the appropriate Integrity Commissioner for investigation (see Formal Investigation)

#### 3.0 Formal Investigation

- 3.1 In the event a complaint is not terminated and/or an informal resolution is not practical or successful, a formal investigation shall ensue, and the complaint will be forwarded to the appropriate Integrity Commissioner for investigation.
  - 3.1.1 Complaints regarding Members appointed by the Regional Municipality of Niagara will be forwarded to the current Integrity Commissioner for the Regional Municipality of Niagara.
  - 3.1.2 Complaints regarding Members appointed by the City of Hamilton will be forwarded to the current Integrity Commissioner for the City of Hamilton.
  - 3.1.3 Complaints regarding Members appointed by Haldimand County will be forwarded to the current Integrity Commissioner for Haldimand County.
- 3.2 Upon receipt of a formal complaint, the Integrity Commissioner will enter into a "Consent and Confidentiality" Agreement with the complainant prior to beginning the investigation.
- 3.3 In the event the Integrity Commissioner determines that the behaviour identified in the complaint occurred while the member was acting in a role related to the appointing municipality versus as an NPCA board member, the Integrity Commissioner shall have the ability to transfer the complaint to the appropriate party and complete the investigation as dictated by the appropriate agreement.
- 3.4 The summary and results of the Integrity Commissioner's report will be provided to the Full Board in open session. Based on the report, should it be concluded that a Board member has breached the Code of Conduct, a letter will be forwarded to the representative's appointing municipal Council, by the Board Chair or in his/her absence, the Vice-Chair, advising of said breach. The decision for the Board member to continue representing their municipality and/or any other penalty will be determined by the appointing municipal Council.
  - 3.4.1 At the conclusion of an investigation, if it is deemed in the best interest of the Authority that a board member be placed on leave, this shall be communicated in writing to the Board member.

# 4.0 Confidentiality

- 4.1 All complaints will be treated as confidential and sensitive to the extent possible and as permitted by law.
- 4.2 All documentation related to a Board member Code of Conduct complaint will be kept confidentially by the CAO for a period of five (5) years following resolution or the conclusion of the investigation, unless required to be disclosed by law.
- 4.3 All Board members that are the subject of a complaint shall maintain their board member status until that time in which an appointing municipality determines any penalties or changes, if applicable.



#### TOWNSHIP OF EAST GARAFRAXA

065371 DUFFERIN COUNTY ROAD 3 • UNIT 2
EAST GARAFRAXA • ON • L9W 7J8
T: 226-259-9400 • TOLL FREE: 877-868-5967 • F: 1-226-212-9812
www.eastgarafraxa.ca

November 11, 2020

County of Wellington Donna Bryce, Clerk 74 Woolwich Street Guelph, ON N1H 3T9 donnab@wellington.ca

Attention: Donna Bryce,

RE: Resolution of Support - Assessment Methodologies for Aggregate Resource

**Properties** 

At the October 27, 2020 special electronic meeting of Council, the following resolution was passed:

#### Moved by Pinkney, Seconded by Nevills

#### Be it Resolved that:

WHEREAS previous assessment methodologies for aggregate resource properties valued areas that were used for aggregate resources or gravel pits at industrial land rates on a per acre basis of the total site and such properties were formally classified and taxed as industrial lands; and

WHEREAS *Township of East Garafraxa* Council supports a fair and equitable assessment system for all aggregate resource properties; and

WHEREAS the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation determined, with the participation only of the Ontario Stone, Sand and Gravel Association, revised criteria for assessing aggregate resource properties; and

WHEREAS *Township of East Garafraxa* Council has concerns that the revised criteria does not fairly assess the current value of the aggregate resource properties.

#### NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- (a) That Township of East Garafraxa Council does not consider the revised criteria for assessment of aggregate resource properties as a fair method of valuation for these properties; and
- (b) That Township of East Garafraxa Council believes there is a need to review the current assessment scheme for aggregate resource properties to address the inequity of property values; and

- (c) That *Township of East Garafraxa* Council hereby calls upon the Province to work with the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation to address the assessment issue so that aggregate resource properties are assessed for their industrial value; and
- (d) That *Township of East Garafraxa* Council direct the Clerk to provide a copy of this motion to the Ministers of Finance; Municipal Affairs and Housing; and Natural Resources and Forestry; and to AMO, ROMA, and all Ontario municipalities and local MPP(s). **CARRIED.**

Trusting you will find this satisfactory.

lusarontlone

Regards,

Susan M. Stone, AMCT CAO/Clerk-Treasurer

Corporation of the

Township of East Garafraxa

Cc: Honorable Rod Phillips, Minister of Finance rod phillips@pc.ola.org

Honorable Steve Clark, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing steve clark@pc.ola.org

Honorable John Yakabuski, Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry john yakabuski@pc.ola.org

Kyle Seeback, Dufferin-Caledon MP Kyle.Seeback@parl.gc.ca Sylvia Jones, Dufferin-Caledon MPP sylvia.jonesco@pc.ola.org

AMO amo@amo.on.ca ROMA roma@roma.on.ca Ontario Municipalities



November 12, 2020

MPP Will Bouma 96 Nelson Street, Suite 101 Brantford, ON N3T 2X1

Sent via email: will.bouma@pc.ola.org

Dear MPP Bouma:

Please be advised that Brantford City Council at its Special meeting held November 10, 2020 passed the following resolution:

#### **Bill 218 - Ranked Ballots for Municipal Elections**

WHEREAS Bill 218 – "Supporting Ontario's Recovery and Municipal Elections Act, 2020" removes the option for municipalities to choose the ranked ballot system for an election; and

WHEREAS in 2016 the Ontario Provincial Government gave municipalities the tools to use Ranked Balloting in Municipal elections commencing in 2018, which was deployed in the City of London thereby becoming the first Municipality in Canada to make the switch, while Cambridge and Kingston both passed referendums in favour of reform and Burlington, Barrie, Guelph, Meaford and others are now exploring a change as well; and

WHEREAS the change of election method process does not impact the Provincial election models but greatly impacts a Municipalities execution options; and

WHEREAS the only explanation given for this is that we should not be 'experimenting' with the electoral process during a pandemic mindful that ranked ballot voting is not an experiment but widely used throughout the world and should be a local option that Municipalities can look to utilize in the next election which is just under two years away

WHEREAS Bill 218 also moves up the Municipal nomination date from the end of July to mid September for no apparent reason thereby reinforcing the power of incumbency and potentially discouraging broader participation in municipal elections; and

WHEREAS these changes are being proposed without any consultation with AMO, Municipalities or the public;

#### NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

- A. THAT the City Clerk BE DIRECTED to submit the following comments on behalf of the Council of the City of Brantford to the Province of Ontario with respect to the proposed changes to the *Municipal Elections Act*, 1996:
  - i. Council does not support the proposed changes to the *Municipal Elections Act, 1996*, specifically related to the removal of the option for a municipality to hold a ranked ballot election;
  - ii. Council does support the principle that each Municipality should be able to choose whether or not to use first-past-the-post or a ranked ballot election; and
  - iii. Council encourages the Provincial government to meaningfully consult with Municipalities on municipal issues before introducing legislative changes of this magnitude; and
- B. THAT the City Clerk BE DIRECTED to forward a copy of this resolution to MPP Will Bouma, Premier Doug Ford, and the list of other Municipalities and include a request to delay the decision until such a time that the Association of Municipalities of Ontario, Large Urban Mayor's Caucus of Ontario, the Federation of Canadian Municipalities and comments from Municipalities have been collected and submitted to the Province.

I trust this information is of assistance.

Yours truly,

Tanya Daniels City Clerk

tdaniels@brantford.ca

cc: Hon. D. Ford, Premier of Ontario

The Association of Municipalities of Ontario;

The Federation of Canadian Municipalities;

Large Urban Mayor's Caucus of Ontario;

All Ontario Municipalities



# **National Disaster Mitigation Program - Intake 6**

The Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing is pleased to advise that the federal government is opening a new intake of the **National Disaster Mitigation Program (NDMP)**.

Under this intake, the NDMP may provide up to 50 per cent federal funding, to a maximum of \$1.5 million per project, for following projects:

- 1) Flood risk assessments
- 2) Flood mapping
- 3) Flood mitigation plans
- 4) Non-structural flood mitigation projects (structural projects are not eligible)

Municipalities, conservation authorities and other eligible organizations in Ontario are invited to submit proposals for projects to be undertaken between April 1, 2021 and March 31, 2022.

For Ontario applicants, proposal forms and risk assessment information templates must be submitted to <a href="Mattender:National.Disaster.Mitigation.Program@Ontario.ca">National.Disaster.Mitigation.Program@Ontario.ca</a> by December 1, 2020.

The ministry will review all proposals received. All those that meet program requirements will be submitted to the federal government for funding consideration. Funding decisions are made by the federal government and are subject to federal program approvals and availability of funds.

A high proportion of the projects submitted by Ontario under previous intakes of this program have been approved, so we encourage you to apply to help reduce flood risk in your community. Projects can address any kind of flooding, whether riverine, shoreline or urban.

For more information about the program and how to apply, we invite you to join a webinar hosted by the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing.

Dates and times	Registration	
Friday, November 13 at 11:00 am	Posiotor	
Monday, November 16 at 3:00 pm	<u>Register</u>	



# Please wait...

If this message is not eventually replaced by the proper contents of the document, your PDF viewer may not be able to display this type of document.

You can upgrade to the latest version of Adobe Reader for Windows®, Mac, or Linux® by visiting http://www.adobe.com/go/reader\_download.

For more assistance with Adobe Reader visit http://www.adobe.com/go/acrreader.

Windows is either a registered trademark or a trademark of Microsoft Corporation in the United States and/or other countries. Mac is a trademark of Apple Inc., registered in the United States and other countries. Linux is the registered trademark of Linus Torvalds in the U.S. and other countries.

# Please wait...

If this message is not eventually replaced by the proper contents of the document, your PDF viewer may not be able to display this type of document.

You can upgrade to the latest version of Adobe Reader for Windows®, Mac, or Linux® by visiting http://www.adobe.com/go/reader\_download.

For more assistance with Adobe Reader visit http://www.adobe.com/go/acrreader.

Windows is either a registered trademark or a trademark of Microsoft Corporation in the United States and/or other countries. Mac is a trademark of Apple Inc., registered in the United States and other countries. Linux is the registered trademark of Linus Torvalds in the U.S. and other countries.

# Annex A

# National Disaster Mitigation Program (NDMP) Risk Assessment Information Template (RAIT) Users' Guide

# 1. Overview

Following severe flooding in Saskatchewan, Manitoba and Quebec in 2011, Economic Action Plan 2012 proposed the Government discuss with provinces and territories (P/Ts) the development of a National Disaster Mitigation Program (NDMP), recognizing that mitigation can lessen the impact of natural disasters on vulnerable communities and reduce the costs associated with these events.

Of the four components of emergency management, mitigation is the most effective means to reduce or eliminate the impacts of disasters. While preparedness, response and recovery help ensure that, once a disaster strikes, the impacts are managed efficiently, mitigation measures can prevent the impacts from occurring at all, or reduce the negative consequences if they do occur.

Investment in disaster mitigation leads to significant relative savings in future response and recovery costs (compared to costs if no mitigation measures were taken). While future disaster costs cannot be predicted with certainty, the relative savings generated by mitigation investments have been demonstrated by governments, international organizations, and private industry worldwide.

A key element of any sound mitigation program is an understanding of both the potential risk of an event occurring, as well as the potential impacts should the risk be realized. Utilizing a risk assessment process, emergency management planners can begin to make proactive, risk-based decisions regarding the potential events that might impact their communities, and determine what priority measures can be taken, if possible, to improve the safety and resilience of their communities.

Risk assessments can be used by federal, provincial/territorial and municipal governments, as well as other stakeholders, to inform emergency management (EM) decision making across all four components of EM. The assessment process allows stakeholders to identify and prioritize those risks that are likely to create the most disruption to them. The assessment also helps decision-makers to identify and describe hazards and assess impacts and consequences based upon the vulnerability or exposure of the local area, or its functions to that hazard.

The risk assessment approach aims to understand the likely impacts of a range of emergency scenarios upon community assets, values and functions. As such, risk assessments provide an opportunity for multiple impacts and consequences to be considered enabling collaborative risk treatment plans and emergency management measures to be described.

The outputs of the assessment process can be used to better inform emergency management planning and priority setting, introduce risk action plans, and ensure that communities are aware of and better informed about hazards and the associated risks that may affect them.

# 2. NDMP Data and Information Collection for Identified Hazards

The NDMP risk assessment information template (RAIT) is a basic tool that has been developed by Public Safety Canada (PS) in consultation with other government departments, experts in risk assessment best practices, and international leaders in this area, for the input of risk information by funding applicants, based on a completed risk assessment process. The template was designed to allow comparability of information and data outputs from a variety of risk assessment methodologies that may be used.

The risk information will be used to support the application for which mitigation funding is being sought. All applicants must complete a risk assessment information template (RAIT) for funding consideration under streams two, three and four of the NDMP. In addition to the risk assessment information template (RAIT), PS encourages all applicants to submit their detailed risk assessments as supporting documentation, thereby providing PS with a broader understanding of risk across Canada.

The completed risk assessment information template (RAIT) should outline and describe local risk, including an estimate of the likelihood of occurrence, potential magnitude and type of consequences or impacts. This should present factual supporting information.

Risk event descriptions should include, where possible, historical context, which allows for research into trends and longer term analysis. Information based on current risk, as well as future risk such as that brought upon by climate change, should be included.

Applicants should also ensure that prevention, mitigation and preparedness activities for the proposed area take into account existing infrastructure, technologies and community/regional capabilities. Local experts and experts from agencies at other government levels, may be invaluable resources to help gain important information regarding specific risk criteria.

# 3. Consequence/Impact Assessment

The following section provides a description of the different impact criteria that should be completed within the risk assessment information template (RAIT). In addition, descriptions of the risk ranking and definitions associated with the five-point scale used to define the impacts are presented. The impact risk rating definitions are based on qualitative and quantitative elements referenced from a diverse array of risk and resilience methodologies and external risk management models.

#### a. People and Societal Impacts

It is a priority at the municipal, provincial and federal levels to protect the health and safety of Canadians. Impacts on people are considered pertinent in the assessment process given that natural hazards can result in significant societal disruptions such as

evacuations and relocations as well as injuries, immediate deaths, and deaths resulting from unattended injuries or displacement. As such, the following impact criteria will be assessed on a 1 to 5 scale:

- o number of fatalities:
- o ability for local healthcare resources to address injuries; and
- o number of individuals displaced and duration of displacement.

#### b. Environmental Impacts

A priority for municipal, provincial and federal governments is to protect Canada's natural environment for current and future generations. As such, environmental impacts were included in the assessment to measure the risk event in relation to the degree of damage and predicted scope of clean-up and restoration needed following an event. The definitions consider the direct and indirect environmental impacts within the defined geographic area on a 1 to 5 scale, and include an assessment of air quality, water quality and availability (exclusive to on land and in-ground water), and various other nature indicators.

# c. Local Economic Impacts

There may be impacts on the local economy that are the result of a risk event occurring. Local economic impacts attempt to capture the value of damages or losses to local economically productive assets, as well as disruptions to the normal functioning of the community/region's local economic system. The definitions consider the local economic impacts within the defined geographic area on a 1 to 5 scale, and should consider direct and indirect economic losses (i.e. productivity losses, capital losses, operating costs, financial institutions and other financial losses).

#### d. Local Infrastructure Impacts

There are several local infrastructure components, as per a variety of risk assessment and management sources and guidelines that are fundamental to the viability and sustainability of a community/region. Those components that appear most pertinent to assess impacts resulting from natural hazards, such as floods, include: energy and utilities; information and communication technology; transportation; health, food and water; and safety and security. At a minimum, an assessment of the aforementioned components must be completed, defined on a 1 to 5 scale, and should consider both direct and indirect impacts.

It is important to note that Critical Infrastructure, in Canada, includes the following ten sectors: energy and utilities, information and communications technology, finance, healthcare, food, water, transportation, safety, government and manufacturing. Currently, the National Disaster Mitigation Program attempts to leverage those elements thought to be most relevant to identify and assess local flood risk to communities while complementing other Government initiatives, such as the *National Strategy and Action Plan for Critical Infrastructure*.

#### e. Public Sensitivity Impacts

Public sensitivity was included as an impact criterion given that credibility of governments is founded on the public's trust that all levels of government will respond

effectively to a disaster event. The definitions consider the impacts on public visibility on a 1 to 5 scale, and include an assessment of public perception of government institutions, and trust and confidence in public institutions.

# 4. Confidence Levels

The risk assessment process requires confidence levels to be defined, particularly since confidence levels can vary considerably depending on the availability of quality data, availability of relevant expertise to feed the risk assessment process, and the existing Canadian body of knowledge associated with specific natural hazards and natural disaster events.

Confidence levels have been defined using letters ranging from A to E, where 'A' is the highest confidence level and 'E' is the lowest. This approach was taken to ensure all applicants can determine the confidence in their risk assessment in a simplified, straightforward manner, which also ensures that a more consistent representation of confidence levels is being determined across all submissions.

Applicants are required to indicate in the risk assessment information template (RAIT), their level of confidence in the likelihood estimate and impact risk ratings associated with the natural hazard risk event. Applicants can also provide a justification for the confidence level in the risk assessment information template (RAIT), including references and sources to support the assigned confidence level.



Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing

Ministère des Affaires Municipales et du Logement

Office of the Deputy Minister

Bureau du ministre

777 Bay Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto ON M7A 2J3 Tel.: 416 585-7100 777, rue Bay, 17e étage Toronto ON M7A 2J3 Tél.: 416 585-7100

November 16, 2020

MEMORANDUM TO: Municipal Chief Administrative Officers and Clerks

SUBJECT: Enforcement of Orders under the Reopening Ontario

Act, 2020

As you are aware, municipal by-law officers are designated to enforce provincial orders under the Reopening Ontario (A Flexible Response to COVID-19) Act, 2020 (ROA). I want to thank you for your sustained efforts in limiting the spread of infection and managing the impact of the pandemic on your communities.

Given the recent rise in COVID-19 cases in the province, I am attaching information the Ministry of the Solicitor General has shared with Chiefs of Police regarding additional amendments to orders made under the ROA, including O. Reg. 263/20 Rules for Areas in Stage 2 ("Stage 2 Order"), O. Reg. 364/20 Rules for Areas in Stage 3 ("Stage 3 Order"), and O. Reg. 363/20 - Stages of Reopening ("Stages of Reopening Order"). These will be of help to support any municipal enforcement activities.

Ontario's municipalities have shown great leadership locally. To further support efforts to ensure compliance with public health restrictions and coordinated local enforcement of orders, the Ministry of the Solicitor General and the Ministry of Labour, Training and Skills Development are working together with ministry enforcement partners and local public health units to encourage a proactive approach to awareness, compliance and enforcement and collaboration across all enforcement personnel, including police, public health officers, municipal by-law officers and other provincial offences officers.

A multi-ministry enforcement team, led by the Ministry of Labour, Training and Skills Development, has been developed to support this initiative and their activities will be coordinated with local by-law enforcement personnel, police services and other enforcement partners. Many of Ontario's municipalities have shown leadership and actively engaged in enforcement and compliance, including of any local by-laws you may have enacted. To ensure we are achieving greater successes given the local need, you may wish to coordinate enforcement activities with provincial enforcement officers and public health officers to achieve greater impact. To identify the lead contact for any

potential planned compliance activity in your community, you can e-mail Natasha Bartlett at <a href="mailto:natasha.bartlett@ontario.ca">natasha.bartlett@ontario.ca</a>.

I would also encourage you to support the Ministry of the Solicitor General's efforts to collect enforcement data on a weekly basis to help monitor and measure the impact of accelerated enforcement and compliance activities province-wide, and in areas reporting higher rates of community transmission. You can find out more on how you may contribute to the Ministry of Solicitor General's weekly data collection efforts by contacting Jeanette Gorzkowski or Agata Falkowski at <a href="Jeanette.Gorzkowski@ontario.ca">Jeanette.Gorzkowski@ontario.ca</a> or <a href="Agata.Falkowski@ontario.ca">Agata.Falkowski@ontario.ca</a> respectively.

Thank you, once again, for your continued efforts to help keep our communities safe and healthy.

Sincerely,

Kate Manson-Smith Deputy Minister, Municipal Affairs and Housing

#### Enclosure:

- Correspondence from the Ministry of the Solicitor General to all Chiefs of Police-English version. If a French version is desired, please contact Richard.Stubbings@ontario.ca.

#### Ministry of the Solicitor General Ministère du Solliciteur général

Public Safety Division Division de la sécurité publique



25 Grosvenor St. 25 rue Grosvenor

12<sup>th</sup> Floor 12<sup>e</sup> étage

Toronto ON M7A 2H3 Toronto ON M7A 2H3

Telephone: (416) 314-3377 Téléphone: (416) 314-3377 Télécopieur: (416) 314-4037 Télécopieur: (416) 314-4037

**MEMORANDUM TO:** All Chiefs of Police and

Commissioner Thomas Carrique Chairs, Police Services Boards

FROM: Richard Stubbings

Assistant Deputy Minister Public Safety Division

SUBJECT: Further Changes under the Reopening Ontario Act, 2020

DATE OF ISSUE: November 15, 2020 CLASSIFICATION: General Information

RETENTION: Indefinite INDEX NO.: 20-0162 PRIORITY: High

I am sharing information regarding additional amendments orders under the *Reopening Ontario* (A Flexible Response to COVID-19) Act, 2020 ("ROA"), including O. Reg. 263/20 Rules for Areas in Stage 2 ("Stage 2 Order"), O. Reg. 364/20 Rules for Areas in Stage 3 ("Stage 3 Order"), and O. Reg. 363/20 - Stages of Reopening ("Stages of Reopening Order").

These changes were made in accordance with the new <u>COVID-19 Response</u> <u>Framework: Keeping Ontario Safe and Open</u>.

In addition to the information below, you may also find the recent government <u>news</u> <u>release</u> about the new framework and the <u>Ontario.ca webpage</u> listing the current status of each region helpful.

# Amendments to Stages of Reopening Order (O Reg 363/20)

Effective Saturday, **November 7, 2020 at 12:01 a.m.**, a new scalable response framework, characterized by five (5) progressive zone categories, was put in place. Under this framework, Public Health Unit (PHU) regions are assigned to colour categories based on a range of public health indicators.

Effective Monday, **November 16, 2020 at 12:01 a.m.**, PHU regions will be assigned to zones as outlined below. Current zone assignments reflect changes to threshold indicators and related factors (e.g., healthcare and public health system capacity).

Effective **Saturday, November 14 at 12:01 a.m.**, **Toronto** will be subject to all of the current Red Zone requirements rather than the earlier "modified Stage 2" requirements.

Colour Category	PHU Notes
Green – Prevent (Standard Measures)	15 PHU Regions
Yellow – Protect (Strengthened Measures)	Seven (7) PHU Regions Haldimand-Norfolk, Simcoe-Muskoka, Middlesex- London, Sudbury, Huron-Perth, Southwestern and Windsor-Essex
Orange – Restrict (Intermediate Measures)	Seven (7) PHU Regions Ottawa, Waterloo, Brant, Durham, Eastern Ontario, Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph and Niagara
Red – Control (Stringent Measures)	Five (5) PHU Regions Peel, Toronto, Hamilton, Halton and York
Lockdown (Maximum Measures)	n/a

# Amendments to Rules for Areas in Stage 2 (O. Reg. 263/20)

Effective November 7, 2020, the Stage 2 Order rules below now apply to the Red colour zone.

Generally, if any person providing services indoors must come within two (2) metres of another person who is not wearing a mask or face covering, and is not separated by an impermeable barrier, the person providing services must wear appropriate personal protective equipment that covers their eyes, nose and mouth.

#### Rules for Safety Plans

Persons responsible for the following establishments/operations must ensure that a safety plan is prepared and made available (and most must also collect the name and contact information of every member of the public who enters):

- Meeting and event spaces;
- Conferences and conventions:
- Food and drink establishments:
- Personal care services;
- Shopping malls;
- Indoor sports and recreation facilities;
- Cinema, casino, bingo hall or other gaming establishment; and
- Venues where concerts or other performances are rehearsed or performed.

There are new requirements relating to safety plans for establishments that are permitted to open, which include the following:

- A person who is required to prepare a safety plan, or ensure one is prepared, must do so no later than seven (7) days after the requirement first applies to the person.
- The safety plan must describe the measures and procedures that have been or will be implemented to reduce the transmission risk of COVID-19, including how the requirements for Stage 2 will be implemented (e.g., screening, requiring masks).
- The safety plan must be in writing.
- A copy of the plan must be posted where it is mostly likely to come to the attention of individuals working or attending the location and must be made available to any person upon request.

## Rules for Meeting and Event Spaces

Persons responsible for businesses or places:

- cannot allow more than one room to be booked for a single event or social gathering, with limited exceptions;
- must limit the number of people who are seated together to four (4);
- must ensure the space is closed during certain hours; and
- must ensure music is not played at a volume at which normal conversation is not possible.

New and existing rules for meeting and event spaces do not apply to rentals for operations by or on behalf of government, or for the purpose of delivering or supporting the delivery of government services, except that persons responsible for rentals must still record the names and contact information for all attendees and ensure that music is not played too loudly.

Rules for Food and Drink Establishments and Liquor Sales/Service

Restaurants, bars and other food or drink establishments must be closed from 10 p.m. to 5 a.m. except for limited purposes. This restriction does not apply to an establishment at a hospital or airport. Except in airports, liquor can only be sold or served between 9 a.m. and 9 p.m. and cannot be consumed between 10 p.m. and 9 a.m.

Restaurants, bars and other food or drink establishments may be open for indoor dining but must limit the number of patrons to the number that can maintain a physical distance of two (2) metres and cannot in any event exceed 10 patrons. A maximum of four (4) people may be seated at a table. These restrictions do not apply to an establishment at a hospital or airport or if the only patrons permitted perform work at the place where the establishment is located.

The person responsible for the establishment must:

- ensure music must not be played at a volume that exceeds the level at which normal conversation is possible, and
- ensure that there is no dancing, singing or live performance of brass or wind instruments.

It is clarified that the rules relating to food and drink establishments apply to any business, place, facility or establishment at which food or drink is sold or served, including businesses that are also subject to other categories of rules under the order (e.g., cinemas, casinos, museums), whenever and wherever food or drink is sold or served.

Provisions authorizing the operation of the "NHL hub" are revoked.

#### Rules for Sports and Recreational Facilities

Community centres and multi-purpose facilities may be open for indoor sports and recreational fitness activities. They may also open any communal kitchens and indoor dining spaces. In addition, hotels, motels and other short-term rental businesses may open fitness centres or gyms.

Facilities for indoor sports and recreational fitness activities may provide indoor fitness or exercise classes (there is no longer a special exemption for dance classes) and areas containing weight or exercise machines may be open, although there are certain exceptions. Specifically, at any one time, the total number of members of the public permitted in an exercise or fitness class, or an area containing weights or exercise machines, must be limited to the number that can maintain a physical distance of at least three (3) metres from every other person and cannot exceed 10 persons.

Facilities for indoor sports and recreational fitness activities must comply with the following conditions, although there are exceptions to certain conditions:

- No spectators are permitted in the facility but each person under the age of 18
  years who is engaged in activities at the facility may be accompanied by one
  parent or guardian.
- Any instructions given to members of the public engaged in a class or organized activity that is not a sport must be delivered through a microphone if the instructor would otherwise need to raise their voice beyond the level of normal conversation.
- Music must not be played at a level that exceeds the level at which normal conversation is possible.
- No member of the public may enter the facility unless they have made a reservation.
- No member of the public may remain at the facility for longer than 90 minutes unless engaged in a sport.

Facilities for outdoor sports and recreational fitness activities are also subject to the above conditions with respect to instructions provided in a class or organized activity, physical distancing requirements and 10 person maximum, volume of music, and no entry without a reservation.

Personal physical fitness or sports trainers are no longer required to provide services outside of a gym.

Marinas, boat clubs, golf courses and driving ranges may open:

- Any fitness centres or gyms; and
- Any clubhouses for the purpose of serving food or beverages in accordance with the general requirements applicable to restaurants.

#### Rules for Retail Businesses

A place of business that engages in the retail sale or rental of items to the public, including a shopping mall, are subject to the following restrictions:

- patrons may not be permitted to congregate outside of a retail or rental business unless the patrons maintain a physical distance of at least two (2) metres and wear a face covering; and
- the person responsible must ensure that music is not played at the place of business that exceeds the level at which normal conversation is possible.

#### Rules for Entertainment Establishments

Concert venues, theatres and cinemas remain closed except for the purpose of rehearsing or recording a performance.

Casinos, bingo halls and other gaming establishments may open if they comply with the following conditions:

- Table games are prohibited;
- The total number of members of public permitted to be in the establishment must be limited to the number that can maintain a physical distance of two (2) metres from every other person and in any event cannot exceed:
  - o 10 persons if the establishment is indoors; or
  - 25 persons if the establishment is outdoors;
- Ensure that a safety plan is prepared and made available; and
- Collect the name and contact information of every member of the public who enters the establishment.

Bathhouses remain closed and sex clubs are closed.

#### Rules for International Students

Public and private schools under the *Education Act* can only provide in-person teaching or instruction to international students that entered Canada on or after November 17, 2020 if the school has a COVID-19 plan approved by the Minister of Education and operates in accordance with that plan. This rule also applies to Stage 3.

## Amendments to Rules for Areas in Stage 3 (O. Reg. 364/20)

Effective November 7, 2020, the Stage 3 Order now applies to all PHUs in the Green, Yellow and Orange colour zones, and contains some rules which differ across zones.

For all zones, if a person providing services indoors must come within two (2) metres of another person who is not wearing a mask or face covering, and is not separated by an impermeable barrier, the person providing services must wear appropriate personal protective equipment that covers their eyes, nose and mouth.

#### Rules Regarding Safety Plans

In addition, in Yellow and Orange zones, persons responsible for the following establishments/operations must ensure that a safety plan is prepared and made available (and some must also collect the name and contact information of every member of the public who enters):

- Meeting and event spaces;
- Food and drink establishments;
- Personal care services;
- Shopping malls:
- Sports and recreation facilities;
- Cinema, casino, bingo hall or other gaming establishment; and
- Venues where concerts or other performances are rehearsed or performed.

There are new requirements relating to safety plans for establishments that are permitted to open, which include:

- A person who is required to prepare a safety plan, or ensure one is prepared, must do so no later than seven (7) days after the requirement first applies to the person;
- The safety plan must describe the measures and procedures that have been or will be implemented to reduce the transmission risk of COVID-19, including how requirements for Stage 3 will be implemented (e.g., screening, requiring masks);
- The safety plan must be in writing; and
- A copy of the plan must be posted where it is mostly likely to come to the attention of individuals working or attending the location and must be made available to any person upon request.

#### Rules for Meeting and Event Spaces

Persons responsible for businesses or places cannot allow more than one room to be booked for a single event or social gathering, with limited exceptions.

In the Yellow and Orange Zones, additional rules apply to rented meeting or event space with limited exceptions. For example, the person responsible for the place or business must ensure they, limit the number of people who are seated together, the space is closed during certain hours, music is not played at a volume at which normal conversation is not possible, and ensure the names and contact information for all attendees is recorded.

New and existing rules for meeting and event spaces do not apply to rentals for operations by or on behalf of government, or for the purpose of delivering or supporting the delivery of government services, except that persons responsible for rentals in Yellow and Orange zones must still record the names and contact information for all attendees.

Rentals of meeting or event space in Green and Yellow zones are not required to comply with existing maximum capacity limits (i.e., 50 persons indoors and 100 persons outdoors) if they comply with a plan for the rental of meeting or event space approved by the Office of the Chief Medical Officer of Health.

Rules for Food and Drink Establishments and Liquor Sales/Service

Covered outdoor dining areas at food and drink establishments must have at least two (2) full sides of the entire outdoor dining area open to the outdoors, without substantial blockage by any impermeable barriers. Outdoor dining areas with retracted roofs must have at least one full side of the outdoor dining area open to the outdoors, without substantial blockage by any impermeable barriers.

Restrictions on opening hours no longer apply to Green zones. Existing restrictions on opening hours (i.e., must be closed 12 a.m. to 5 a.m. except for limited purposes) continue to apply to Yellow zones. In Orange zones, establishments must be closed from 10 p.m. to 5 a.m. except for limited purposes.

No one is permitted to line up or congregate outside food or drink establishments unless they maintain a two-metre physical distance from other persons and wear a mask or face covering (subject to limited exceptions).

In Yellow and Orange zones, the person responsible for the establishment must:

- ensure music is not played at a volume that exceeds the level at which normal conversation is possible, and
- record the names and contact information of every patron, unless the establishment has cafeteria-style service (meanwhile in Green zones, the name and contact information of only one patron per party is required).

In Orange zones, the total number of patrons permitted to be seated indoors in the establishment must be limited to the number that can maintain a physical distance of at least two metres from every other person and cannot exceed 50 patrons. There are also maximum limits on people seated at a table: six (6) people in Yellow zones and four (4) people in Orange zones.

Rules relating to food and drink establishments apply to any business, place, facility or establishment at which food or drink is sold or served, including businesses that are also subject to other categories of rules under the Order (e.g., cinemas, casinos, museums), whenever and wherever food or drink is sold or served. However, the restrictions on opening hours outlined above for Yellow and Oranges zones do not apply to hospitals or airports.

Restrictions on the sale and service of liquor no longer apply to Green zones. The existing restrictions continue to apply to businesses and places in Yellow zones (i.e., except in airports, liquor can only be sold or served between 9 a.m. and 11 p.m. and cannot be consumed between 12 a.m. and 9 a.m.). New restrictions apply to Orange zones: except in airports, liquor can only be sold or served between 9 a.m. and 9 p.m., and cannot be consumed between 10 p.m. and 9 a.m.

#### Rules for Personal Care Services

In Orange zones, the person responsible for the establishment must ensure that locker rooms, change rooms, showers, whirlpools, baths, etc., are closed, subject to limited exceptions, and personal care services that require the removal of a mask or face covering are not permitted at all. In Yellow and Green zones, these services are permitted but the existing rules continue to apply (i.e., patrons must wear masks or face covering at all times, except while receiving services that tend to an area of their face that would be covered by a mask or face covering).

In all zones, steam rooms and saunas must be closed. Oxygen bars continue to be closed.

#### Rules for Retail Businesses

Subject to limited exceptions, patrons may not be permitted to congregate outside of a retail or rental business unless the patrons maintain a physical distance of at least two (2) metres and wear a face covering.

In Yellow and Orange zones, retail and rental businesses may not play music at the place of business that exceeds the level at which normal conversation is possible. In addition, the person responsible for a shopping mall must ensure that a safety plan is prepared and made available.

#### Rules for Sports and Recreational Facilities

Facilities for sports and recreational fitness activities must comply with the following conditions, with exceptions, such as when activities are carried out in accordance with a plan approved by the Office of the Chief Medical Officer of Health.

Every person in the facility, unless engaged in a sport (not restricted to team sports), must maintain a physical distance of at least two (2) metres from others. Sports (not restricted to team sports) may only be played or practiced if they do not allow for physical contact between players.

#### In Yellow and Orange zones:

- persons in areas of the facility containing weights and persons participating in a fitness class must maintain a minimum physical distance of three (3) metres from others;
- no member of the public may enter the facility unless they have made a reservation and no member of the public may remain at the facility for longer than 90 minutes unless engaged in a sport; and,
- the total number of members of the public permitted to be at any particular fitness activity must be limited to the number that can maintain a minimum physical distance of three metres and cannot exceed 10 people for indoor activities or 25 people for outdoor activities.

#### In Orange zones:

- the total number of members of the public permitted to be indoors at the facility in all classes or organized activities together with the total in areas containing weights or exercise machines cannot exceed 50; and,
- no spectators are permitted in the facility but persons under 18 years engaged in activities at the facility may be accompanied by one parent or quardian.

Any instructions given to members of the public engaged in a class or organized activity that is not a sport must be delivered through a microphone if the instructor would otherwise need to raise their voice beyond the level of normal conversation. Music must not be played at a level that exceeds the level at which normal conversation is possible.

#### Rules for Entertainment Establishments

Cinemas operating in Orange zones may no longer exceed the capacity limits of 50 persons indoors or 100 persons outdoors if they operate in accordance with a plan approved by the Office of the Chief Medical Officer of Health.

In Orange zones, strip clubs, bathhouses and sex clubs are closed.

In Yellow and Green zones, bathhouses are no longer required to close. Also in these zones, the person responsible for a strip club, bathhouse or sex club must ensure that a safety plan is prepared and made available.

#### **Compliance and Enforcement**

Throughout the pandemic, police and by-law enforcement officers have played an active role in communities across the province to ensure adherence to public health restrictions and orders under the ROA. With case numbers continuing to rise, an assertive approach should be taken to address egregious offenders using all available enforcement tools.

To support efforts to ensure compliance with public health restrictions and coordinated local enforcement of orders, the Ministry of the Solicitor General and the Ministry of Labour, Training and Skills Development (MLTSD) are working together with ministry enforcement partners and local PHUs to encourage a proactive approach to awareness, compliance and enforcement and collaboration across all enforcement personnel, including police, public health officers, municipal by-law inspectors and other provincial offences officers.

A multi-ministry enforcement team, led by MLTSD, has been developed to support this initiative and their activities will be coordinated with local by-law enforcement personnel, police services and other enforcement partners. To identify the lead contact for any potential planned compliance activity in your community, please e-mail Natasha Bartlett at <a href="mailto:natasha.bartlett@ontario.ca">natasha.bartlett@ontario.ca</a>.

Finally, we request that you continue to sustain weekly enforcement data reporting to the Ministry to help us monitor and measure the impact of accelerated enforcement and compliance activities province-wide, and in areas reporting higher rates of community transmission.

Thank you, once again, for your continued efforts to help keep our communities safe and healthy.

Sincerely,

Richard Stubbings
Assistant Deputy Minister

R. Saly

**Public Safety Division** 

Attachments



I certify that the attached is a true copy of the Regulation under the Reopening Ontario (A Flexible Response to COVID-19) Act, 2020, made by Her Honour the Lieutenant Governor in Council on November 13, 2020.

Dated at Toronto, November 13, 2020

Deputy Clerk, Executive Council



Executive Council Conseil exécutif

## Order in Council Décret

On the recommendation of the undersigned, the	
Lieutenant Governor, by and with the advice and	f
concurrence of the Executive Council, orders tha	t:

the appended Regulation be made under the Reopening Ontario (A Flexible Response to COVID-19) Act, 2020.

Sur la recommandation de la personne soussignée, la lieutenante-gouverneure, sur l'avis et avec le consentement du Conseil exécutif, décrète ce qui suit :

Le règlement ci-annexé est pris en vertu de la *Loi* de 2020 sur la réouverture de l'Ontario (mesures adaptables en réponse à la COVID-19).

	2	1
	1	
	1	
Recommended / / /	1	}/
mesoniment —		

Appuyé par : Le président du Conseil des ministres,

Concurred

Chair of Cabinet

Approuvé et décrété le

Recommandé par :

La lieutenante-gouverneure,

Approved and Ordered

NOV 1 3 2020

Date

Lieutenant Governor

R.O.C./Décret (R)

E 459/2020

Filed with the Registrar of Regulations

Déposé auprès du registrateur des règlements

NOV 13 2020

Number (O. Reg.) Numéro (Règl. de l'Ont.)

648/20

[Bilingual]

## CONFIDENTIAL

Until filed with the Registrar of Regulations

REG2020.0897.e 2-CJO

#### ONTARIO REGULATION

made under the

## REOPENING ONTARIO (A FLEXIBLE RESPONSE TO COVID-19) ACT, 2020

Amending O. Reg. 263/20

(RULES FOR AREAS IN STAGE 2)

- 1. Section 1 of Ontario Regulation 263/20 is amended by striking out "Schedules 1 to 4" at the end and substituting "Schedules 1, 2 and 3".
  - 2. Schedule 4 to the Regulation is revoked.

#### Commencement

3. This Regulation comes into force on the later of November 14, 2020 and the day it is filed.



I certify that the attached is a true copy of the Regulation under the Reopening Ontario (A Flexible Response to COVID-19) Act, 2020, made by Her Honour the Lieutenant Governor in Council on November 13, 2020.

Dated at Toronto, November 13, 2020

Deputy Clerk, Executive Council



# Ontario Executive Council Conseil exécutif Order in Council Décret

On the recommendation of the undersigned, the
Lieutenant Governor, by and with the advice and
concurrence of the Executive Council, orders that

the appended Regulation be made under the Reopening Ontario (A Flexible Response to COVID-19) Act, 2020.

Sur la recommandation de la personne soussignée, la lieutenante-gouverneure, sur l'avis et avec le consentement du Conseil exécutif, décrète ce qui suit :

Le règlement ci-annexé est pris en vertu de la *Loi* de 2020 sur la réouverture de l'Ontario (mesures adaptables en réponse à la COVID-19).

-								
Reco	om	ma	an	d	ė	pa	r	:

Recommended

Appuyé par :

Le président du Conseil des

ministres,

Concurred

**Chair of Cabinet** 

Approuvé et décrété le

La lieutenante-gouverneure,

Approved and Ordered

NOV 1 3 2020

Date

Journal Governor

R.O.C./Décret (R)

E 457/2020

Filed with the Registrar of Regulations

Déposé auprès du registrateur des règlements

NOV 13 2020

Number (O. Reg.) Numéro (Règl. de l'Ont.)

646/20

[Bilingual]

#### CONFIDENTIAL

Until filed with the Registrar of Regulations

REG2020.0896.e 6-CJO

#### **ONTARIO REGULATION**

made under the

## REOPENING ONTARIO (A FLEXIBLE RESPONSE TO COVID-19) ACT, 2020

Amending O. Reg. 363/20

(STAGES OF REOPENING)

## 1. Schedules 2 and 3 to the Regulation are revoked and the following substituted:

## SCHEDULE 2 STAGE 2 AREAS

- 1. City of Hamilton Health Unit.
- 2. City of Toronto Health Unit.
- 3. Halton Regional Health Unit.
- 4. Peel Regional Health Unit.
- 5. York Regional Health Unit.

## SCHEDULE 3 STAGE 3 AREAS

#### Green Zone of Stage 3

- 1. The following areas are in the Green Zone of Stage 3:
  - 1. Chatham-Kent Health Unit.
  - 2. The District of Algoma Health Unit.

- 3. Grey Bruce Health Unit.
- 4. Haliburton, Kawartha, Pine Ridge District Health Unit.
- 5. Hastings and Prince Edward Counties Health Unit.
- 6. Kingston, Frontenac and Lennox and Addington Health Unit.
- 7. Lambton Health Unit.
- 8. Leeds, Grenville and Lanark District Health Unit.
- 9. North Bay Parry Sound District Health Unit.
- 10. Northwestern Health Unit.
- 11. Peterborough County City Health Unit.
- 12. Porcupine Health Unit.
- 13. Renfrew County and District Health Unit.
- 14. Thunder Bay District Health Unit.
- 15. Timiskaming Health Unit.

## Yellow Zone of Stage 3

- 2. The following areas are in the Yellow Zone of Stage 3:
  - 1. Haldimand-Norfolk Health Unit.
  - 2. Huron Perth Health Unit.
  - 3. Middlesex-London Health Unit.
  - 4. Oxford Elgin St. Thomas Health Unit.
  - 5. Simcoe Muskoka District Health Unit.
  - 6. Sudbury and District Health Unit.
  - 7. Windsor-Essex County Health Unit.

## Orange Zone of Stage 3

- 3. The following areas are in the Orange Zone of Stage 3:
  - 1. Brant County Health Unit.
  - 2. City of Ottawa Health Unit.
  - 3. Durham Regional Health Unit.
  - 4. The Eastern Ontario Health Unit.
  - 5. Niagara Regional Area Health Unit.
  - 6. Waterloo Health Unit.
  - 7. Wellington-Dufferin-Guelph Health Unit.

#### Commencement

2. This Regulation comes into force on the later of November 16, 2020 and the day it is filed.



I certify that the attached is a true copy of the Regulation under the Reopening Ontario (A Flexible Response to COVID-19) Act, 2020, made by Her Honour the Lieutenant Governor in Council on November 13, 2020.

Dated at Toronto, November 13, 2020

Deputy Clerk, Executive Council



Conseil exécutif

## **Order in Council** Décret

On the recommendation of the undersigned, the	
Lieutenant Governor, by and with the advice and	
concurrence of the Executive Council, orders that	:

the appended Regulation be made under the Reopening Ontario (A Flexible Response to COVID-19) Act, 2020.

Sur la recommandation de la personne soussignée, la lieutenante-gouverneure, sur l'avis et avec le consentement du Conseil exécutif, décrète ce qui suit:

Le règlement ci-annexé est pris en vertu de la Loi de 2020 sur la réouverture de l'Ontario (mesures adaptables en réponse à la COVID-19).

n	eco			-		4	-		
ĸ	ecc	וווכ	m	d	ΠU	е	υd	Г	

Recommended

Appuyé par :

Le président du Conseil des

ministres,

Concurred

**Chair of Cabinet** 

Approuvé et décrété le

La lieutenante-gouverneure,

Approved and Ordered \_

NOV 1 3 2020

Date

Lieutenant Governor

R.O.C./Décret (R)

458/2020

Filed with the Registrar of Regulations Déposé auprès du registrateur des règlements

NOV 13 2020

Number (O. Reg.) Numéro (Règl. de l'Ont.)

647/20

[Bilingual]

#### CONFIDENTIAL

Until filed with the Registrar of Regulations

REG2020.0890.e 4-CJO

#### **ONTARIO REGULATION**

made under the

## REOPENING ONTARIO (A FLEXIBLE RESPONSE TO COVID-19) ACT, 2020

Amending O. Reg. 640/20, which amends O. Reg. 363/20

(STAGES OF REOPENING)

- 1. Subsection 1 (2) of Ontario Regulation 640/20 is revoked.
- 2. Subsection 2 (2) of the Regulation is revoked.
- 3. Subsection 3 (2) of the Regulation is revoked.

#### Commencement

4. This Regulation comes into force on the day it is filed.

**Subject:** 

Road Safety Review and Appropriate Measures at York Road and Newman Road Intersection (PW20071/PED20196) (Ward 13)

From: Janet Linton

Sent: November 16, 2020 12:45 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Road Safety Review and Appropriate Measures at York Road and Newman Road Intersection

(PW20071/PED20196) (Ward 13)

Good afternoon,

While I realize this letter is late, please be advised that I believe the discussions planned for the meeting dated November 16th are premature. We live in Pleasant View and are aware of the application Columbia College has filed with NEC, and we are also aware that the deadline to get information to the NEC is November 30th. It would appear the City is giving it's blessing on the proposed amendment, and we would ask that you table the discussion until AFTER the NEC has had made a ruling.

There are many significant environmental reasons that the amendment should be denied. Please do not make changes to York Rd until the NEC has made their decision.

Thank you.

Please confirm receipt.

Janet Linton

**Subject:** In-School Speech Therapy Service CONCERN

From: Szczotka Malwina

**Sent:** Monday, November 16, 2020 9:44 AM **To:** Pilon, Janet < Janet.Pilon@hamilton.ca>

Subject: Re: In-School Speech Therapy Service CONCERN

Dear City Clerk,

I write to you today as a mother advocating for in-school speech therapy services for her 5 year old son. My son, Theodore, was diagnosed with Apraxia at the age of 3, by both a neurologist and developmental paediatricians. You may be asking yourself what is Apraxia and why does this diagnosis matter. Apraxia is a motor speech disorder in which children have great difficulty planning and producing the specific series of movements that are necessary for intelligible speech. Children with Apraxia have difficulty programming and planning speech movements. This difficulty in planning speech movements is the hallmark of Apraxia.

Evidence-based research has demonstrated that speech therapy for children with Apraxia include a high degree of practice and repetition. Therapy for children with Apraxia is more effective when the speech goals are practiced with a great degree of frequency and intensity. The recommendation for therapy is 3-5 times a week, with the most of the therapy (2-3 times a week) being provided individually.

When children with Apraxia are being assessed it is imperative to remember that Apraxia is not the same as other phonological delays. Apraxia can be complex and may change suddenly and unpredictably. When speech therapy is not offered 3-5 times a week, children may regress and lose the speech skills they have worked so hard to get. Because Apraxia is different, children with Apraxia do not follow developmental sequence which are listed in in textbooks and guides for other children.

With all the evidence promoting intensity and frequent speech therapy for children with Apraxia, like my son Theodore, I do not understand why Theodore has been denied in-school speech therapy services two years in a row. Before starting school, Theodore received speech therapy between 3-4 times a week. Once school began, he no longer qualified for Early Words, but I was under the impression, that given his diagnosis, it would be continued in school. This was not the case. I have been told he doesn't meet the criteria that is used to assess all children, and yet, children with Apraxia do not develop their speech as other children do. So why is he being assessed and compared equally to other children? Why am I being told that if he is still making the errors he is making now when he turns 6 then he may qualify? I cannot wrap my mind around the lack of being proactive and helping Theodore now, before he regresses.

I have learned, just this year, that the Niagara Catholic District School Board is making changes and prioritizing children with diagnoses, like Apraxia, and providing them treatment versus prioritizing children with minor speech errors. Perhaps it is time for the Hamilton-Wentworth Catholic District School Board, the City of Hamilton, and the Province of Ontario follow suit and ensure children who need speech therapy, as evidence-based research suggests, do not get left behind.

I write to you today asking for your helping in ensuring Theodore is not left behind and that he receives oneon-one in-school speech therapy. For more information on Apraxia I recommend looking at <a href="https://www.apraxia-kids.org/">https://www.apraxia-kids.org/</a>. It will provide further evidence into what I have only briefly touched upon.

Thank you for your consideration in this important matter,

Malwina Stemmler

**Subject:** In-School Speech Therapy Service CONCERN - MEDIA - UPDATED

From: Szczotka Malwina

Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2020 8:27 AM To: Pilon, Janet <Janet.Pilon@hamilton.ca>

Subject: In-School Speech Therapy Service CONCERN - MEDIA - UPDATED

#### Good morning,

Due to the lack of inaction in helping my son receive in-school speech therapy services, which sound research and evidence explains he needs for his neuro-motor speech disorder I have taken it upon myself to contact the media regarding this rather challenging situation. Children like my son should not be looked over because they are good enough right now. He needs speech therapy so that he continues to be good enough. My own son is not 100% intelligible to both my husband and myself, that should be enough for him to receive in-school speech services, let alone a neurological diagnosis that has been confirmed by 3 developmental pediatricians and a neurologist. This system is broken and needs to be improved. I will continue to advocate and I will continue to fight for my son and his right to inschool speech services. It is time Hamilton followed suit with Niagara and considered the weight of a diagnosis when deciding who receives in-school speech therapy and an apraxia diagnosis holds a lot of weight.

The photo below includes a quote from a very well-known young man in the Apraxia community and what he states is 100% true. I am not being over-dramatic, Theodore really does need speech therapy. I have attached a link to the article for you to read at your leisure. While this is a local newspaper, I have been informed that it will be being picked up by

https://urldefense.com/v3/ https://www.sachem.ca/news-story/10265616-binbrook-mother-frustrated-with-lack-of-speech-assessment-for-son/ ;!!JB7FzA!dTZZJ3gxXqAD8YkYNroqek-BImrMwlzzJjgck6p0-hvV6OMX\_doRXHWF46NKEB-\$

The Hamilton Spectator and am I being encouraged to reach out to other media outlets as well.

"Verbal Apraxia (CAS) is a neurological speech disorder that requires speech therapy for speech progress. Caregivers aren't over-dramatic when they say their child NEEDS speech therapy."

> - JORDAN CHRISTIAN -APRAXIA & MENTAL HEALTH ADVOCATE

With thanks,



44816 Harriston Road, RR 1, Gorrie On N0G 1X0
Tel: 519-335-3208 ext 2 Fax: 519-335-6208
www.howick.ca

November 19, 2020

The Honourable Ernie Hardeman Minister of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs

By email only minister.omafra@ontario.ca

Dear Mr. Hardeman:

Please be advised that the following resolution was passed at the November 17, 2020 Howick Council meeting:

Moved by Councillor Gibson; Seconded by Deputy Reeve Bowman:

Whereas; installing tile drainage is a common land improvement practice among farmers in Ontario and the benefits of tile drainage for crop productivity, farm efficiency and even for reducing environmental impacts have been studied and are generally well known to farmers; and

Whereas; the Tile Loan Program, authorized by the Tile Drainage Act, provides loans to agricultural property owners to help them finance these tile drainage projects; all tile loans have 10-year terms and repayments are made annually; and Whereas; the provincial government sets the program interest rate at a competitive level which was reduced from 8% to 6% in the fall of 2004 and the loan limit was also increased from \$20,000.00 to \$50,000.00 at the same time; and Whereas; interest rates have continued to decline over the years and the cost per acre for tile drainage has increased over the years;

Now therefore; be it resolved that Council request the Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs to consider lowering the interest rate on Tile Drain Loans to 4% and increasing the yearly loan limit to \$100,000; and that this resolution be forwarded to Ontario Ministry of Agriculture, Food and Rural Affairs; MPP Huron Bruce Lisa Thompson; AMO; Land Improvement Contractors of Ontario and Drainage Superintendents of Ontario Association. Carried. Resolution No. 276/20

If you require any further information, please contact this office, thank you.

Yours truly,

Carol Watson

Carol Watson, Clerk Township of Howick

cc MPP Perth Wellington Randy Pettapiece ROMA

Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing

Office of the Minister 777 Bay Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto ON M7A 2J3 Tel.: 416 585-7000 Ministère des Affaires municipales et du Logement

Bureau du ministre 777, rue Bay, 17e étage Toronto ON M7A 2J3 Tél.: 416 585-7000



234-2020-5110

November 17, 2020

#### Dear Head of Council:

The Ontario government is committed to helping municipalities improve local service delivery and ensure taxpayers' dollars are being used efficiently. That is why we launched the *Audit and Accountability Fund* in 2019. I am writing today to recognize the great work that all 39 eligible municipalities started under the first intake of the program and announce details of a second intake that will further support your efforts to find efficiencies, while delivering the services your residents and businesses rely on every day.

This year, amid the challenges brought by COVID-19, the province and municipalities have pulled together to help keep our communities safe and support our economy. We understand that the success of Ontario's municipalities is vital to our province's economic recovery – that is why the Ontario government, under the leadership of Premier Ford, secured the historic \$4 billion Safe Restart Agreement with the federal government. This funding is helping municipalities across Ontario address operating budgetary shortfalls they have incurred as a result of COVID-19.

Ontario is currently experiencing the second wave of COVID-19, and it is important that municipalities adapt to this new reality. That is why I am writing to you today to launch the second intake of the *Audit and Accountability Fund (AAF)*. The AAF will allow large municipalities to benefit from further provincial funding to conduct service delivery and administrative expenditure reviews, with the goal of finding efficiencies while protecting and modernizing critical front-line services.

The impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic are making it more important than ever that municipalities can deliver modern, efficient services that are financially sustainable – services that can help improve local resilience and sustainability in these challenging times and respond to the need for new ways of doing business. This year, although we will consider applications related to any area of municipal service delivery, I encourage you to submit proposals that support the following priorities:

- Digital modernization
- Service integration
- Streamlined development approvals

The AAF provides you with the opportunity to identify savings and efficiencies, while protecting front-line services, and ensuring that municipalities are ready to adapt to the new normal.

To apply, you must submit a completed Expression of Interest form with attached supporting documents via the Transfer Payment Ontario (TPON) system by **December 18, 2020.** To get started, access the Transfer Payment Ontario (TPON) system by visiting <a href="www.Ontario.ca/getfunding">www.Ontario.ca/getfunding</a>. You will find program guidelines and the Expression of Interest form on that site.

If you have questions on the program, or would like to discuss a proposal, I encourage you to contact your <u>Municipal Services Office</u> or e-mail <u>municipal.programs@ontario.ca</u>.

By continuing to work together to deliver modern, efficient local services, we are charting a path to a strong recovery and getting Ontario back on track.

Sincerely,

Steve Clark Minister

c. Chief Administrative Officers and Treasurers

**Subject:** Sidewalk clearing. Council Meeting. November 18, 2020

From: Maureen McDougall

Sent: November 17, 2020 2:07 PM

To: <a href="mailton.ca">clerk@hamilton.ca</a>

Subject: Sidewalk clearing. Council Meeting. November 18, 2020

City Clerk,

This is a copy of an email I seNT to the Mayor and all city counselors. I ask that these emails be made part of the council meeting on Wednesday, November 18, 2020.

Dear Mayor,

City council is discussing this on Wednesday. They want to put any decisions of this matter off until next year. During a winter of Covid, during a winter of isolation; our elected city council wants to delay the clearing of sidewalks. Persons with Disabilities, Seniors and Others at risk of falls will be denied the safety needed to simply get outside. In the fresh air. To go for a walk. To go to the store. We, the people of Hamilton have spoken on this matter to those we elected. Once again, they choose to willfully ignore what their own citizens agree on. It lacks the foresight needed to get through a winter of Covid. In denying this simple right to access the outdoors, I fear the consequences will outway any benefit council will conjure to defend their decision.

I urge you to do the right thing. I urge you to stand with your citizens. I urge you to be part of the solution to minimizing injuries, to help those dealing with isolation this winter, and to play a part in contributing to the mental well-being of your citizens in what promises to be the most challenging winter of our generation.

Respectfully,

Maureen McDougall

**Subject:** Sidewalk Clearance

From: Sarah Bayliss

Sent: November 17, 2020 2:33 PM

To: <a href="mailton.ca">clerk@hamilton.ca</a>
Subject: Sidewalk Clearance

To whom it may concern,

As a resident of downtown Hamilton, I want to state my support and encouragement of a \$16 tax increase in order to have snow clearance for all city sidewalks. This issue greatly affects the mobility of many Hamiltonians (including myself) and everyone should be able to move safely and freely around their city, regardless of weather.

Thank you,

Sarah Bayliss Resident of Ward 3

**Subject:** Snow removal

From: Shawn Smith

Sent: November 17, 2020 2:18 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca Subject: Snow removal

To whom it may concern,

As a resident of downtown Hamilton I want to state my support and encouragement of a \$16 tax increase in order to have snow clearance for all city sidewalks.

This issue greatly affects the mobility of many Hamiltonians and everyone should be able to move safely and freely around their city, regardless of weather.

Thank you, Shawn

**Subject:** Snow clearance tax increase letter of support

From: Allie Witek

Sent: November 17, 2020 2:14 PM

To: <a href="mailton.ca">clerk@hamilton.ca</a>

Subject: Snow clearance tax increase letter of support

To whom it may concern,

as a resident of downtown Hamilton I want to state my support and encouragement of a \$16 tax increase in order to have snow clearance for all city sidewalks. This issue greatly affects the mobility of many Hamiltonians and everyone should be able to move safely and freely around their city, regardless of weather.

Thank you,

Alexandra Witek

**Subject:** Clear The Sidewalks this winter

From: Olivia Bozzo

Sent: November 17, 2020 3:15 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Clear The Sidewalks this winter

To whom it may concern,

as a resident of downtown Hamilton I want to state my support and encouragement of a \$16 tax increase in order to have snow clearance for all city sidewalks. This issue greatly affects the mobility of many Hamiltonians. Everyone should be able to move safely and freely around their city, regardless of weather.

Thank you,

-Liv

**Subject:** Sidewalk Clearance

From: Sidney Melko

**Sent:** November 17, 2020 3:37 PM

To: <a href="mailton.ca">clerk@hamilton.ca</a>
Subject: Sidewalk Clearance

To whom it may concern,

As a resident of Hamilton, I want to state my support and encouragement of a \$16 tax increase in order to have snow clearance for all city sidewalks. This issue greatly affects the mobility of many Hamiltonians, especially downtown, and everyone should be able to get around safely and freely around their city, regardless of the weather.

Thank you, Sidney Melko Ward 11

**Subject:** city sidewalks

From: nicki

Sent: November 17, 2020 3:42 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca Subject: city sidewalks

To whom it may concern,

as a resident of downtown Hamilton I want to state my support and encouragement of a \$16 tax increase in order to have snow clearance for all city sidewalks. This issue greatly affects the mobility of many Hamiltonians and everyone should be able to move safely and freely around their city, regardless of weather.

Thank you, nicki munro

**Subject:** Side walk clearing

From: Paige Hutchinson

Sent: November 17, 2020 4:13 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca Subject: Side walk clearing

To whom it may concern,

As a resident of downtown Hamilton I want to state my support and encouragement of a \$16 tax increase in order to have snow clearance for all city sidewalks. This issue greatly affects the mobility of many Hamiltonians and everyone should be able to move safely and freely around their city, regardless of weather.

Thank you, Paige Hutchinson

**Subject:** Snow clearing

From: rachel smiley

**Sent:** November 17, 2020 6:40 PM

To: <a href="mailton.ca">clerk@hamilton.ca</a>
Subject: Snow clearing

Hi there,

I wanted to let you know that I fully support City sponsored sidewalk clearing services. I would be more than happy to pay the \$16 per year tax increase for these services as this an extremely important mobility issue for so many of our older citizens.

Thank you!

Rachel

**Subject:** Attention: Chair and Members of GIC

From: Frances Murray

Sent: November 17, 2020 8:07 PM

To: <a href="mailton.ca">clerk@hamilton.ca</a>

Subject: Attention: Chair and Members of GIC

Hello,

Please accept this email in support of city-wide snow clearing and adding the cost to residential property tax.

I am a property owner and fully support city-wide sidewalk snow clearing in the interest of allowing accessibility for all to our public sidewalks.

Frances Murray Hamilton, ON



November 2020

To the Chair and Members of General Issues Committee:

On behalf of the Durand Neighbourhood Association, I am writing to express our strong support for the proposed city-wide sidewalk snow clearing program. The results from the city's engagement survey on the topic clearly suggests that there is overwhelming support from the community for this program. Moreover, the Durand Neighbourhood, in particular, has a very diverse population with many different mobility needs that a snow clearing program would greatly benefit. This is especially true in the time of COVID, as we try to avoid any unnecessary trips and falls that may send someone to the hospital or clinic. Please, listen to your residents and put in place a snow clearing program that will benefit the entire city.

Sincerely,

Christopher Redmond

President

**Durand Neighbourhood Association** 

**Subject:** Snow Removal

From: Nathalie Bouchard

Sent: November 17, 2020 10:18 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Cc: Nann, Nrinder < Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Giulietti, Daniela < Daniela.Giulietti@hamilton.ca>

Subject: Snow Removal

#### Good evening,

I am writing to you to express how city-sponsored snow removal is of the utmost importance to my neighbours and community. They deserve to be able to navigate their outings safely and independently. It is the City of Hamilton's responsibility to ensure that this is the reality for ALL residents and not just those of us who don't require the use of mobility devices.

Many of us who have low-income and are underemployed would very willingly pay the \$16 per household cost that it would take to run the snow removal program. I would pay more if it meant my friends and neighbours could leave their homes without risking injury. During a pandemic we especially need to make sure that people can move safely within their home city. Please do not delay in implementing this program. Accessibility for all is not something to be studied or put off for another time.

We must do what we can now and this small service is not only doable but wanted by over 70% of residents.

Thank you for your attention to these important matters.

Nathalie Bouchard Ward 3

# Pilon, Janet

**Subject:** GIC Snow Removal

From: jbrown

Sent: November 18, 2020 8:22 AM

To: <a href="mailton.ca">clerk@hamilton.ca</a>
Subject: GIC Snow Removal

Please direct this email to the Chair and members of GIC!

I would ask that you make the decision to provide snow Removal for the City of Hamilton.

As 2 very senior citizens, snow shovelling is a very demanding activity.

Living in a downtown neighbourhood with absentee landlords who do not look after their properties impacts our mobility and safety as well.

The extra money is beneficial to us. Please vote to offer this very important service.

Janice Brown Linda Miocinovich

#### Pilon, Janet

**Subject:** Wealthy property owners not clearing walks

From: Lauren Stephen

Sent: November 18, 2020 1:26 PM

To: Farr, Jason < Jason.Farr@hamilton.ca >; clerk@hamilton.ca; Ward 8 Office < ward8@hamilton.ca >

Subject: Wealthy property owners not clearing walks

Hello Jason Farr,

Too many wealthy property owners in our Ward are not clearing their sidewalks in a timely manner.

I do not accept the stereotype of the elderly, low-income, homeowner who has trouble clearing their walk themselves or paying for it. My neighbours who fit that profile are among the most diligent at clearing their walks.

The past few winters it seems rather the wealthiest property owners who do not clear their walks. Last winter I spoke via video intercom doorbell to a homeowner at Bay and Markland who said they were out of the country; they had made no arrangements to clear their walks. I have friends who work clearing sidewalks in the winter--they are in desperate need of work this winter!

The house at was assessed at just over \$1 million a couple years ago. The homeowner is a deck contractor, a healthy and reasonably well off man in the prime of his life, whose business name is right on the vehicle. How diligent is he in his business practices if he doesn't even clear his walks?

Around Mohawk (Cc: Ward 8 Councillor), it seems absentee landlords are the big problem. These people have the capital to invest in property, but some are not willing to hire the labour to maintain the properties.

Small businesses are typically diligent at clearing the walks around their business, if I note to them they are responsible for clearing something they are not clearing. In a few cases, I hear "the city is responsible for clearing that" but that is usually pretty easy to check, and once it is confirmed things are mostly fine. The southern strip of sidewalk on Duke, at James and Duke (in front of Royal Pizza, near La Piazza Allegra) approaching but not including One Duke... is one example that was not being cleared and now is.

Condo developments are an interesting case. There may be an office or model unit that is not 'manned' all the time and overlooks clearing a walk. Typically, these businesses are very fast at clearing things if I mention it to them, and do not want me to call the city. I typically say that there are several condo developments in the neighbourhood, and they're hurting sales for themselves and others if it looks like this is a neighbourhood that doesn't clear its walks.

Condo developments are interesting in another way. The presence of a condo development lets me put pressure on nearby property owners. If the sidewalks are not cleared of snow and ice, people are not going to want to buy property in the area. It hurts property values. It hurts sales. It hurts the biggest industry in our city.

Recently, I have learned that a Google Maps review is one of the most important things you can do to lend support for a business. You can find my 5-star review of La Luna Express on Google Maps. This is my review of the 5-Star cafe: "Good bar. Cheap pints. Friendly crowd and server. I give it four stars."

My point here is that I intend to incorporate snow clearing information to my Google Maps reviews. Example.

The CIBC branch at 667 Upper James doesn't clear the walks in front of its business (facing Upper James). How careful are they going to be with your money? They say that they're not responsible, that it's the landlord that's responsible. To

that I say, they are paying for a service with their rent and they are not receiving that service. Again, how careful can they be with your money? This is something that can significantly affect customers trying to get into the branch, and in years past they have seemed totally oblivious to it. How carefully is this branch looking out for its customers interests, if they let that go?

I plan to balance bad Google Maps reviews with strong recommendations, so not hurting business overall, and not seeming like an unreasonable crank.

The City of Hamilton's complaints-based system depends on diligent citizens like me holding my neighbours to account. I believe I have reached the limit of what I can do by contacting bylaw enforcement. It's a lot of work. This year, I will be contacting more property owners directly, and publicly naming scofflaws.

Best,

Lauren Stephen

#### Pilon, Janet

**Subject:** A call for universal snow clearing on Hamilton sidewalks

Hi Jason,

I am writing to you today to add my voice to those of others calling for universal sidewalk snow removal across Hamilton.

I recently participated in *Engage Hamilton*'s <u>Snow Clearing Survey</u>. The survey was launched with the understanding that results would be shared with, and acted on by City Council in the Fall of 2020. According to a recent article in <u>The Hamilton Spectator</u>, City Staff have recommended adjourning discussion of these results until next year. **I urge you to speak up on this Wednesday's General Issues Committee**, to demand that a decision be reached now, before this winter.

In Winter, many Hamilton sidewalks become impassable for people with limited mobility, including parents pushing strollers, people using assisted mobility devices, elderly people and people with physical disabilities. As an otherwise healthy person who walks a lot, I can attest to the fact that I often slip on icy, uncleared sidewalks, and am often forced to walk on the street instead of on sidewalks that are completely blocked. I live in the North End, a neighborhood with many older residents. It's sad to see my neighbors, people who normally walk or use scooters to stay connected to their community, lose that ability once winter hits. This winter will be particularly hard, given that so many of the indoor spaces people visit to stay connected will be closed due to Covid, and that many will try to avoid public transit in order to limit possible exposure. Uncleared sidewalks will only add to Hamilton residents' difficulties.

Hamilton's current approach to sidewalk clearing <u>does not work</u>. Even with best efforts from many residents, it takes only one or two un-cleared lots to make an entire street inaccessible. Enforcement is slow and reactive, leaving residents literally stranded. A better approach is possible. According to City Staff's <u>own estimates</u>, it could cost as little as \$8 a year per resident to clear high-traffic roads across the City, and as little as \$16 to clear all roads. That's less than what it would cost for each of us to purchase a new shovel, and *much* less than it would cost for any of us to individually arrange for effective and timely clearance.

In the end, clear sidewalks are a matter of equity, justice, and inclusion. We should not leave fellow residents stranded over the winter.

Thank you so much for taking the time to consider this perspective.

Have a great day,

Ani Chénier Ward 2 resident Fred Eisenberger Hamilton City Hall 2nd floor - 71 Main Street West Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5

Dear Mr. Mayor,

I am writing as a Hamilton Mountain resident that is highly concerned that city council is considering a property tax increase yet again this coming year – 2021. I am writing to say that raising the burden yet again on the homeowner is unacceptable as it is proving to yet again be unsustainable.

For the last few decades the Hamilton home owner has had to bear the bulk of the tax base in this city. The homeowner can not take on more and more as each year passes. As I understand it, during the 1970's, homeowners made up 11% of the tax base for the city of Hamilton with the private sector making up the remaining 89%. We need to return to this ratio over time. I was pleased to learn that Stelco has acquired more land in the north end recently which will add some significant funds to the city's tax coffers. Although this is a start, much more work needs to be done to correct the imbalance. The city of Hamilton **MUST** attract a much larger corporate tax base to support infrastructure and other programs. In addition, cities must come together to insist the provincial government improve their transfer payments to municipalities. These are the only ways to realistically sustain this city over the coming years. Homeowners **can not** carry any more of the tax burden on their backs.

Thank you, Mr. Mayor for hearing my concern. I hope this concern will be shared with the rest of city council during future council meetings.

Sincerely,

Karl Grotke



905.336.1158 Fax: 905.336.7014 2596 Britannia Road West Burlington, Ontario L7P 0G3

conservationhalton.ca

Protecting the Natural Environment from Lake to Escarpment

The Honourable Doug Ford Premier of Ontario

The Honourable Jeff Yurek
Minister of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks
Ministry of the Environment, Conservation, and Parks

The Honourable Rod Phillips Minister of Finance Ministry of Finance

November 17, 2020

Dear Premier Ford, Minister Yurek and Minister Phillips,

We are writing to you today in response to the proposed amendments to the *Conservation Authorities Act* (CA Act), contained in Schedule 6, Bill 229. We anticipate that some of the more prescriptive changes proposed in Bill 229 will lead to the opposite of your government's stated desire to help conservation authorities (CA) modernize and operate with greater focus, transparency and efficiency.

The Progressive Conservative Government under the leadership of George Drew passed the *Conservation Authorities Act* and the *Planning Act*. He recognized that Ontario needed to invest in a sound transformative strategy to help Ontarians recover from the devastation of World War Two, not just economically, but also emotionally, as a community. These progressive actions were further strengthened by Premier Frost. Today, as the Province faces unprecedented pressures from both, a global pandemic and climate change, we need to strengthen the cooperative role played by CAs.

For over 60 years, Conservation Halton (CH) has served the interests of its residents and stayed true to those founding principles – conserving the environment to enable watershed communities to prosper socially and economically while ensuring resilience and safety for generations to come. From planting four million trees, to managing 11,000 acres of land, teaching millions of children, ensuring people build their homes and businesses in safe places and constantly checking the pulse of our environment through monitoring and restoration, CH has been a trusted, accountable partner to the Province and our municipalities. Today, CH serves over one million residents in one of the fastest growing areas in Ontario. Our residents and municipalities depend on us to deliver cost-effective services that ensure growth and development support sustainable and vibrant communities.

CH has played a collaborative role in the previous consultations regarding the modernization of the CA Act. While it was unexpected to see further proposed changes to the Act in Bill 229, we are encouraged that the purpose of the Act to provide for the organization and delivery of programs and services that

further conservation, restoration, development, and management of natural resources in Ontario watersheds remains the same.

It is our view that several of the proposed amendments will increase the risk to life and property from natural hazards and the degradation of the environment. We respectfully request you withdraw Schedule 6 from Bill 229 until a more thorough analysis of the appropriate solutions can take place, with more clarity on what problems were identified through the consultation process. We also encourage you to engage with CAs as you work on regulations that will eventually define the limits of the various CA Act clauses. We feel this is critical to ensure that the focus and performance of CAs is actually improved.

Several changes, such as those related to governance, ministerial authority to issue permits, the removal of our ability to appeal decisions at LPAT, and the removal of enforcement tools will lead to increased administrative costs, red tape, delays, and above all bring into question the integrity and transparency of the permitting and planning process. These changes will also result in a more uncertain, litigious and discordant atmosphere, which will hinder our ability to work with applicants to find practical solutions for safe development. These changes will undo the hard work CH has done over the last five years to ensure we are customer-centric, accountable, efficient and solutions oriented. Specifically:

- There is no duplication, red tape or going beyond our mandate
  - CH and our municipal partners work in a complementary way, avoid duplication of effort and remain focused on our core responsibilities through detailed MOUs and workplans. CH worked with our partners and customers to develop clear, quantifiable service delivery targets, which we have achieved, and publicly reported on with consistency. We track all permitting and plan review metrics on a quarterly basis to ensure nothing is slipping.
- Our permit/planning fees only cover the cost to review and we have high service standards
   CH works with the development industry to ensure there is transparency on how our fees are
   determined, what costs are included and what standard of service we deliver in exchange. This
   approach is highly appreciated by our BILD chapter and they have encouraged other agencies to
   adopt our approach. We will be happy to share correspondence to this effect with you. We work
   on a cost-recovery model to ensure we keep the cost to taxpayers as low as possible.
- The integrity of the permitting process will be compromised these amendments will increase risk, liability, delays, and lead to inconsistency
  - CH currently issues 95% of minor permits and 98% of major permits within 30 and 90 review days respectively (not calendar days). We value the process as much as we value the output of our services in this area. It is our view that the proposed amendments that would allow the Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry jurisdiction over certain permit applications and the appeal process has the potential to allow individuals to circumvent checks and balances that exist to protect the communities in our watersheds. It is unclear whether the minister would have regard for local conditions, technical input or Board-approved policies. These proposed changes may inadvertently cause more people in the community to be at risk, rather than protected, from natural hazards.
- The amendments introduce a "stakeholder governance model" that has no legal precedence

  The proposed changes to the composition of CA boards negatively disrupts what is currently a
  relatively apolitical structure. This will significantly reduce the capacity of boards to make

decisions on a watershed basis. Our Board of Directors carry out their fiduciary responsibilities, guide strategy, approve policies in support of our Provincial and municipal responsibilities and track performance. They ensure CH makes decisions with integrity, based solely on our core responsibilities. It is our view that changing the composition to reflect elected officials that represent the interests of their respective municipalities creates a setting ripe for conflict of interest. It runs counter to all governance principles.

These amendments compromise our ability to create jobs & deliver services without tax dollars Conservation Halton is focused on our core programs. We are equally competent and resourceful in providing further opportunities for Ontarians in recreation and education on our conservation lands—especially during the pandemic when the need for safe and accessible greenspace is at an all-time high—and we are even more proud that we are able to fund these opportunities 100% self-sufficiently. Our responsible monetization of assets and generation of revenue creates value for the community as well as employment opportunities. We are concerned that should the Ministry set fees or other limits on non-mandatory programs and services—particularly those that we already successfully run without the support of tax dollars—our ability to provide important recreational, educational, and employment opportunities that allow our community to interact with conservation will be significantly diminished. Our municipal levy for 2021 is under 28% and the provincial contribution is close to 2% of our total budget. We have worked hard to achieve such low reliance on taxpayer funding. At the same time, we have expanded access to our parks by 35% this season, giving Ontario families a safe place to visit during the COVID-19 pandemic.

In conclusion, we do not want to see an increased risk to public safety, or increased liabilities to the Province, municipalities, and conservation authorities. Nor do we want more red tape, disruption and ultimately delays in helping the government achieve its goal of economic recovery. Given the time sensitive nature of this Bill, we encourage the Province to consult with Conservation Halton and other CAs in an expedient manner. We have attached a more detailed (Board) report on our key concerns.

We appreciate you taking the time to consider our concerns. We feel there are better solutions to deal with actual and perceived issues. We would be pleased to discuss these and our desire to work with you to define the governing regulations at your earliest convenience. Please contact Conservation Halton CEO, Hassaan Basit (<a href="Mailto:CEOoffice@hrca.on.ca">CEOoffice@hrca.on.ca</a>) so we can help support your mandate while ensuring success for all stakeholders.

Regards,

Gerry Smallegange

Chair, Conservation Halton Board of Directors

Mayor Rob Burton, BA, MS

Town of Milton

Mayor Gordon Krantz

Town of Oakville

Mayor Marianne Meed Ward

Mayor Rick Bonnette

City of Burlington

Town of Halton Hills

Cc:

The Honourable John Yakabuski, Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry

The Honourable Steve Clark, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

Ted Arnott
MPP Wellington—Halton Hills

Jane McKenna MPP Burlington

Effie J. Triantafilopoulos
MPP Oakville North—Burlington

Stephen Crawford MPP Oakville

Parm Gill MPP Milton

Andrea Horwath
MPP Hamilton Centre

Sandy Shaw
MPP Hamilton West—Ancaster—Dundas

Rudy Cuzzetto MPP Mississauga—Lakeshore

Donna Skelly MPP Flamborough-Glanbrook





**REPORT TO:** Conservation Halton Board of Directors

**REPORT NO:** # CHBD 08 20 01

FROM: Hassaan Basit, President & CEO

**DATE:** Monday, November 16, 2020

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to the CA Act and Planning Act - Bill 229

#### Recommendation

WHEREAS the Province has introduced Bill 229, Protect, Support and Recover from COVID 19 Act - Schedule 6 – Conservation Authorities Act; and

WHEREAS Bill 229 introduces changes and new sections that could remove and/or significantly hinder conservation authorities' participation in and support of local planning appeal processes and their ability to protect development from natural hazards; and

WHEREAS conservation authorities protect residents, property, and local natural resources on a watershed basis by regulating development under the Conservation Authorities Act, ensuring compliance with the Regulations and engaging in reviews of applications submitted under the Planning Act; and

WHEREAS the changes allow the Minister to make decisions without consideration of local conditions, the Conservation Authority Board approved policies, watershed data and technical expertise; and

WHEREAS the Legislation suggests that the Minister will have the ability to establish standards and requirements for non-mandatory programs which are negotiated between the conservation authorities and municipalities to meet local watershed needs; and

WHEREAS CH and municipalities require a longer transition time to put in place new budgets as well as agreements for non-mandatory programs; and

WHEREAS the appointment of municipal representatives on CA Boards should be a municipal decision; and the Chair and Vice Chair of the CA Board should be duly elected; and

WHEREAS the changes to the 'Duty of Members' contradicts the fiduciary duty of a CA board member to represent the best interests of the conservation authority and its responsibility to the watershed; and

WHEREAS conservation authorities have already aligned approaches through Memorandums of Understanding with local watershed municipalities to reduce delays, avoid duplication and improve service delivery for all clients; and





WHEREAS changes to the legislation will create more red tape and costs for the conservation authorities, and their municipal partners, and cause delays in the development approval process; and

WHEREAS the province has made changes to the legislation that will limit the ability of CH to ensure compliance with the Act and our policies by not including stop work orders and modifying powers to enter property potentially resulting in more legal action; and

WHEREAS all watershed residents and municipalities value and rely on the parks, greenspaces and water resources within our jurisdiction for their health and well-being as well as CH's work to prevent and manage the impacts of flooding and other natural hazards and to ensure safe drinking water;

#### THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED

THAT the Conservation Halton Board of Directors direct the Chair of Conservation Halton Board of Directors to convey the concerns and recommendations outlined in this report through a letter to The Premier of Ontario and the Ministers of Environment, Conservation and Parks, Natural Resources and Forestry, and Municipal Affairs and Housing.

And

THAT the Conservation Halton Board of Directors direct the CEO to provide a copy of this report and letter to all watershed municipalities, MPPs, MPs and other public sector stakeholders.

#### **Executive Summary**

On April 5th, 2019 the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) posted proposals to amend the Conservation Authorities Act (CA Act) with the intent to help conservation authorities (CA) focus and deliver on their core mandate and to improve governance. The details about many of those changes was left to subsequent regulations. CH prepared submissions on the changes to the Act but it was passed in June 2019 under Bill 108 with little consultation or consideration for suggested modifications.

Since then, individual briefings with CAs were held with Minister's staff, ministry staff and local MPPs (October-November 2019), and general consultations on CAs with stakeholders were held in the winter of 2020. The results of those consultations have not been made public. CH also provided comments on the questions being posed by the ministry at these consultation sessions.

The details of many of the changes in Bill 108 were left to forthcoming regulations. Despite efforts by Conservation Ontario and individual CAs, MECP has not been willing to engage on the content of regulations.

On November 5th, 2020, the province released their budget Bill 229; Protect, Support and Recover from COVID-19 Act (Budget Measures), 2020. Bill 229 includes amendments to 44 Acts, including Schedule 6, the Conservation Authorities Act. These new amendments are described in the Environmental Registry (ERO) posting "to improve transparency and consistency in conservation



authority operations, strengthen municipal and provincial oversight and streamline conservation authority roles in permitting and land use planning".

While previously proposed changes to the act have been posted to the ERO for a period of public comment, these new changes are posted on the ERO for "information only using Section 33 of the Environmental Bill of Rights, 1993 (EBR) which exempts proposals from the public consultation requirements under the EBR if the proposal forms part of or gives effect to a budget or economic statement presented to the Legislative Assembly". Nevertheless, the province is expected to conduct some direct consultations with stakeholders between now and November 23rd. The legislature is due to rise on December 10th and therefore Bill 229 is expected to be passed in the next few weeks.

## Report

The proposed changes to the CA Act with comments on the effect of the change were provided by Conservation Ontario and are attached as **Appendix 1**. The changes can be categorized as:

- 1. Board Governance
- 2. Objects, Powers and Duties
- 3. Permitting
- 4. Land Use Planning
- 5. Enforcement
- 6. Other

Key changes to the act under each of these categories is discussed below.

#### 1. Board Governance

#### **Key Changes**

- a. 14(1.1) Mandate that the municipal councillors appointed by a municipality as members of a conservation authority be selected from that municipality's own councillors only
- b. Replace the current discretion to set other "such additional requirements regarding the composition of the authority and the qualification of members" in a regulation (CA Act, s14(4)) with the discretion of the Minister to appoint a member "as a representative of the agricultural sector" (new CA Act provision 14(4))
- c. Replace the currently unproclaimed duty of members to "act honestly and in good faith with a view to furthering the objects of the authority" (CA Act, s14.1) to require that members "act honestly and in good faith" and that, particularly, members appointed by participating municipalities, "generally act on behalf of their respective municipalities" (new CA Act provision 14.1)
- d. Limit the term of the Chair and Vice Chair of the Board of Directors to one year and to no more than two consecutive terms, and require the Chair and Vice Chair to rotate every two years between different municipalities (new CA Act provision 17(1.1))

#### Implications:

CH remains supportive of any changes made to enhance the transparency and accountability of CAs. This reflects the current practice and level of service that CH already provides to our member



municipalities, partners, customers and the public. There are several amendments that require posting of documents, board agendas and minutes, financial audits and standard accounting practices that are already undertaken at CH. We agree with those requirements.

The direction in clause 14.1 that members generally act on behalf of their respective municipalities is concerning. Good governance dictates that the Board acts on behalf of the organization and in the public interest. The standards of care for directors are set out under the Business Corporations Act:

"Every director and officer of a corporation in exercising his or her powers and discharging his or her duties to the corporation shall, (a) act honestly and in good faith with a view to the best interests of the corporation...; and (b) exercise the care, diligence and skill that a reasonably prudent person would exercise in comparable circumstances".

This change is contrary to the fiduciary responsibilities of a corporate body and undermines the stated purpose of conservation authorities to address conservation matters which transcend municipal boundaries.

Further, the Auditor General of Ontario recommended in their report on the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority that "to ensure effective oversight of conservation authorities" activities through boards of directors, we recommend that the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks clarify board members' accountability to the conservation authority" to which the ministry response was in agreement.

#### Recommendations:

i. Repeal the amendment to Section 14.1 "Duty of Members".

#### 2. Objects, Powers and Duties

#### **Key Changes:**

- a. Narrows the objects of a conservation authority from providing "programs and services designed to further the conservation, restoration, development and management of natural resources other than gas, oil, coal and minerals" (CA Act, s20(1)) to only one of three categories: (i) mandatory programs and services, (ii) municipal programs and services, and (iii) other programs and services (new CA Act provision 20(1))
- b. There are a number of proposed clauses that enable the Minister to make regulations that would prescribe standards and requirements for Municipal Programs and Services (i.e., service agreement between Municipality and CA) and Other Programs and Services (i.e., those determined by the Board and which, if funded by municipal levy, would require all municipalities' agreement).

### Implications:

The modifications to the objects should not materially change the way CH operates. However, since the regulations which detail the nature and scope of the mandatory programs and services have not yet been provided, we are unable to assess the real implications. Programs that enable CH to study the watershed, provide watershed planning, carry out restoration activities and deliver education programs may become unviable if each watershed municipality independently decides to periodically opt in/out.



The proposed clause that allows the minister to dictate the standards and requirements for municipal or other programs and services agreed upon through service level agreements (non-mandatory programs) should be removed. Terms for these programs are already developed with watershed municipalities and funding is negotiated annually through the budget process. CH has also been working on prescribing service standards and outcomes for each of these programs to ensure that such programs continue to evolve and offer good value and deliver critical science and insights to our partners. There is no provincial funding or support in these categories, although various provincial ministries seek data and reports from CH to further their mandates. This additional level of bureaucracy and oversight is unnecessary and duplicates effort.

#### Recommendations:

i. Repeal/amend all clauses and amendments relating to the ability for the Minister to prescribe standards and requirements for non-mandatory programs.

#### 3. Permitting

#### **Key Changes:**

- a. Authorizes the Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry to issue an order to take over and decide an application for a permit under section 28 of the Conservation Authorities Act in place of the conservation authority (i.e., before the conservation authority has made a decision on the application).
- b. Allows an applicant, within 15 days of a conservation authority issuing a permit with conditions or denying a permit, to request the minister to review the conservation authority's decision and allows the applicant to appeal directly to LPAT where the minister fails to make a decision within 30 days
- c. Where the minister has taken over a permit application or is reviewing a permit decision by a conservation authority, allows an applicant to appeal directly to LPAT where the minister fails to make a decision within 90 days.
- d. In addition to the provision to seek a minister's review, provide the applicant with the ability to appeal a permit decision to LPAT within 90 days after the conservation authority has made a decision.
- e. Allows an applicant, within 120 days of a conservation authority receiving a permit application, to appeal to the LPAT if no decisions by the conservation authority has been made.

#### Implications:

Changes under section 28 will jeopardize public safety and environmental protections. The changes will limit a CA's ability to undertake non-partisan, transparent, and technically sound decision making and will allow individuals to circumvent the technical CA permitting process. The changes will result in more red tape, delays in approvals, increased legal costs and more litigious processes.

If the Minister issues an order to take over and decide on a permit application, or the application is decided in front the LPAT, it is unclear how the application will be evaluated. Decisions would be made without regard for local conditions, watershed context, or CA Board of Directors' approved regulatory policies. The proposed process lacks transparency. Without the non-partisan and technical expertise of CAs (i.e., water resources engineering, environmental planning and ecological expertise), or in the absence of a complete, technically sound permit submission for a development proposal, it is unclear how risks to life, property or the environment will be evaluated. If the Minister issues a permit before a CA has decided on a file, the process risk losing all transparency and becoming politicized. Decisions



will lack consistency with CA policies and procedures and may result in precedent-setting decisions, cumulative impacts, risk to public safety and property damage and lead to future management challenges.

The proposed 120-day timeline for a CA to make a decision does not acknowledge the efforts that CAs have made to find efficiencies and streamline their permit review processes. In 2019, CH issued 95% of minor permits and 98% of major permits within 30 days and 90 days respectively. The proposed timeframe also fails to recognize the 'Client Service Standards for Conservation Authority Plan and Permit Review' that was adopted CA-wide and developed by CO and CAs in collaboration with the province, AMO, landowners groups and the building industry. This document establishes industry standards and procedures to ensure that the CA plan and permit review processes are transparent, predictable and fair.

The CA decision timeframe is also problematic in that it oversimplifies the permitting process and there is no ability for a CA to "stop the clock" when an application is in the applicant's hands. This typically happens when insufficient technical information or rationale is provided by applicants or additional technical information is required to enable adequate analysis by staff to determine if Board-approved policies are being met, and a decision can be rendered. Applicants can intentionally "run down the clock" and put the decision-making power in the hands of the Minister or LPAT. If legislative timelines are to be imposed, CAs must have the ability to "stop the clock" to better reflect actual time that an application is in for CA review. CH has been openly publishing service standards for the past four years and meets regularly with developer groups and municipalities to ensure our fees, process and service standards are transparent and consistent.

Finally, the proposed changes will result in increased legal costs and these costs will be borne by taxpayers, municipalities (municipal levy), and/or all permit applicants. Instead of spending time processing permit applications, more CA staff time would go to preparing for and attending unnecessary LPAT hearings and will lead to a more burdensome, litigious and adversarial process. We feel these changes will undo all the hard work we have done over the past four years. Service delivery will suffer.

Individuals have been able to access the Mining and Lands Tribunal to adjudicate decisions of the conservation authority at no cost to them, unless they chose to provide support for their application with technical experts and/or legal counsel. The LPAT has a filing fee which may exceed the cost of the permit for individuals. While the development community may be familiar with LPAT, the Mining and Lands Tribunal has the history and experience in adjudicating *Conservation Authorities Act* cases. One can expect delays at LPAT and potentially decisions that are inconsistently determined and applied.

#### Recommendations:

- i. Repeal/amend all clauses and amendments that would authorize the Minister review permits, make permit decisions or suspend conservation authorities' abilities to issue permits.
- ii. Replace appeal timelines with a requirement for CAs to develop standards and procedures for permit and plan review, including permit issuance timelines, to be approved by their Board.
- iii. Alternatively, amend to specify in the legislation that the appeal for a non-decision after 120 days can only be made when the conservation authority has deemed the application to be



complete (similar to provisions contained within the Planning Act) and that there is an ability to "stop the clock" when an application is not in the hands of the CA.

iv. Amend to retain Mining and Lands Tribunal as the appeal body.

#### 4. Land Use Planning

#### **Key Changes:**

a. The Schedule also proposes an amendment to the Planning *Act* to remove conservation authorities as public bodies by adding them to subsection 1 (2) of the *Planning Act*. This amendment, if passed, would make conservation authorities part of the Province's one window planning approach with no right to appeal municipal planning decisions or be party to an LPAT hearing.

#### **Implications:**

Changes to section 2(1) of the Planning Act specifically remove conservation authorities as public bodies under the Act. By doing so, our ability to appeal municipal planning decisions or to be a party to a planning appeal is lost and we will no longer be able to participate in negotiated settlements. This could result in planning decisions that fail to consider hazard risks and for which CA permits cannot be approved. Planning approvals should only be issued for development that can be permitted under CA regulations.

If CAs are unable to appeal land use decisions that conflict with Section 3.1 of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) or do not comply with CA regulatory policies, the Province and municipalities would be responsible for ensuring that people and property are protected from natural hazards. This tool is a necessary but seldom used tool in our toolbox. When necessary, CH attends LPAT hearings to ensure that policies and development conditions are imposed to reduce flood risks and to ensure mitigation and setbacks are in place to address other natural hazards such as erosion hazards or along the Lake Ontario shoreline. Extreme weather events and changing climate increase the importance of our role in the planning process.

The 2019 Provincial Flood Advisor's report noted the important role that CAs play in the land use planning process. The main legislative tools used to manage flood risk, the report states, include the *Planning Act* together with the PPS and the *Conservation Authorities Act*. As a result of the Flood Advisor's recommendations, the 2020 PPS was revised to state that mitigating natural hazard risks, including those associated with climate change, will require the province, planning authorities, and conservation authorities to work together. Similarly, the Made in Ontario Environment Plan asserts that within the context of environmental planning, conservation authorities' core mandate is protection from natural hazards and conserving natural resources.

This change may also remove our right to appeal planning decisions as a landowner. This is of significant concern as CH owns and manages over 10,000 acres of land for habitat protection, community recreation and flood hazard management.

Furthermore, in certain circumstances, should an LPAT decision be contrary to conservation authority regulations and policies, and a subsequent permit application is denied by the conservation authority, a second appeal to LPAT is possible, exposing LPAT members to potential conflict of interest concerns.



#### Recommendation:

- i. Repeal proposed change to Planning Act or limit a CA's ability to appeal planning decisions to those related to natural hazards.
- ii. Clarify intent of Planning Act changes with respect to CAs as a landowner.

## 5. Regulatory Enforcement

#### Key Changes:

- a. Eliminated the (not yet proclaimed) powers for officers appointed by conservation authorities to issue stop orders (CA Act provision 30.4)
- b. Clarified conditions for officers appointed by conservation authorities to enter lands without a warrant for the purposes of:
  - determining whether to issue a permit (amendment to unproclaimed CA Act provision 30.2(1))
  - ensuring compliance with the prohibitions, regulations, or permit conditions, only when the officer has "reasonable grounds to believe that a contravention" (new CA Act provision 30.2(1.1)).

#### **Implications of Changes:**

Changes to section 30.4 of the Conservation Authorities Act removes the power of CAs to issue stop orders to persons carrying out activities that could contravene or are contravening the Act. This tool was recently added to the legislation (2019), after years of debate, to enable CAs to immediately stop activities which could cause high risk to life and property and environmental damage and allow time for a negotiated resolution of the matter. The removal of this tool and narrowing of the powers of entry (Sect. 28(20) and 30.2) curtails a CAs ability to "prevent or reduce the effects or risks" associated with illegal and egregious activities, such as illegal placement of fill, wetland destruction, etc., and puts the onus on an authority to engage in a time consuming and costly injunction process. It shifts the legal instrument to another agency and increases administrative burden on both conservation authority, municipality or other agency.

#### Recommendations:

i. Maintain the ability for stop work orders and reinstate the powers of entry for purposes of permitting and compliance.

#### 6. Other

#### **Key Changes:**

a. Requirement for a transition plan for making the changes to the non-mandatory programs and services and developing agreements or MOUs with partners, including provincial ministries.

## Comments:

In a briefing with Ministry staff, it was noted that the expected transition period for the implementation of MOUs would be one year, such that the changes would take effect January 2022 budget year.

It is CH's experience with existing MOUs that they can take up to two years to finalize given that there may be multiple municipalities and CA departments involved.



Given that the CH budget is typically completed by May of the previous year to meet Region of Halton timelines, this leaves a limited window to:

- · change our budget model;
- inventory all programs and determine apportionment and benefits to individual municipalities
- assess all programs and services against the regulations
- enter discussions with all our municipalities (up to 11);
- draft budgets for the selected programs and services
- substantially complete negotiations.

This transition period is unreasonable, as municipalities are unlikely to meet this timeframe given continued COVID-19 restrictions, workloads, and that this may not be their implementation priority. Depending on the municipality and the type of agreements they may also require Council approval.

#### Recommendation:

That the transition be effective no earlier than for fiscal year 2023 (January).

**Appendix 2** provides a letter of comments to the Premier as well as Ministers of Environment Conservation and Parks, Natural Resources and Forestry, Municipal Affairs and Housing and Finance. Upon approval by the board it is our intent to submit it to the name's parties for their consideration. It will also be provided to watershed MPPs, MPs, municipalities and other public sector stakeholders.

#### **COMMUNICATIONS PLAN:**

CH has prepared a press release on some of the more troubling aspects of the proposed changes to the Act. We will be communicating the implications of these changes to municipal members, the public and other partners.

We will be distributing key messages on various social media platforms.

#### FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS:

The changes outlined in the act have the potential to fundamentally change the CA budget as well as limit revenue recovery from planning and permitting activities. Without the regulations we are unable to assess the full impact.

Signed & respectfully submitted:

Hassaan Basit

President & CEO/ Secretary-Treasurer

FOR QUESTIONS ON CONTENT: Hassaan Basit, <a href="https://hbasit@hrca.on.ca">hbasit@hrca.on.ca</a>, 905 338 1158 x 2270



# Appendix 1

# Conservation Ontario's Summary of Proposed Amendments to the *Conservation Authorities Act & Planning Act* through Bill 229 and Implications

Description of Proposed Amendments	Implications to Conservation Authorities
Existing aboriginal or treaty rights  Section 1 is amended to include a non- abrogation clause with respect to aboriginal and treaty rights.	No concern.
Members of authority  Section 14 is amended to ensure that the members of a conservation authority that are appointed by participating municipalities are municipal councillors. The Minister is given the authority to appoint an additional member to a conservation authority to represent the agricultural sector. The powers to define in regulation the composition, appointment or	There may be a municipal concern. Municipalities will no longer be able to appoint a member of the public to the Board and the specification of 'municipal councillor' rather than "municipally elected official" may exclude Mayors.  There may be a municipal concern. Should the Minister choose to appoint a member to represent the agricultural sector it is assumed that candidates would apply through the Public
minimum qualifications for a member of the Board have been repealed. The duties of a member are amended, every member is to act honestly and in good faith and shall generally act on behalf of their respective	Appointments Secretariat. It is also assumed that these appointments would have the same voting privileges as all members and would be entitled to receive per diems and to be appointed as the chair or vice-chair.
municipalities.	There may be a municipal concern. There is no opportunity to manage these legislative amendments through the regulations process as Bill 229 has removed the ability to prescribe by regulation, the composition, appointment, or qualifications of members of CAs.
	Significant concern. The amendment that would require members to act on behalf of their respective municipalities contradicts the fiduciary duty of a Board Member to represent the best interests of the corporation they are overseeing. It puts an individual municipal interest above the broader watershed interests further to the purpose of the Act.



Description of Proposed Amendments	Implications to Conservation Authorities
Meetings of authorities  Section 15 is amended to require that meeting agendas be available to the public before a meeting takes place and that minutes of meetings be available to the public within 30 days after a meeting. They are to be made available to the public online.	No concern. CA Administrative By-Laws were completed by the December 2018 legislated deadline and, as a best practice, should already address making key documents publicly available; including meeting agendas and meeting minutes.
Chair/vice-chair  Section 17 is amended to clarify that the term of appointment for a chair or vice-chair is one year and they cannot serve for more than two consecutive terms.	There may be a municipal concern. Municipal Councillor interest and availability regarding this requirement is to be determined.
Objects  Section 20 objects of a conservation authority are to provide the mandatory, municipal or other programs and services required or permitted under the Act and regulations.	No concern. Previously the objects of an authority were to undertake programs and services designed to further the conservation, restoration, development and management of natural resources. This is still reflected in the Purpose of the Act. The objects now reference the mandatory and non-mandatory programs and services to be delivered. The "other programs and services" clause indicates that "an authority may provide within its area of jurisdiction such other programs and services as the authority determines are advisable to further the purposes of this Act".
Powers of authorities  Section 21 amendments to the powers of an Authority including altering the power to enter onto land without the permission of the owner and removing the power to expropriate land.	No concern
Programs and Services  Section 21.1 requires an authority to provide mandatory programs and services that are prescribed by regulation and meet the requirements set out in that section. Section	Significant concern. The basic framework of mandatory, municipal and other program and services has not changed from the previously adopted but not yet proclaimed amendments to the legislation. What has now changed is that municipal programs and services and other



Planning Appeal Tribunal or to bring the

Description of Proposed Amendments	Implications to Conservation Authorities
21.1.1 allows authorities to enter into agreements with participating municipalities to provide programs and services on behalf of the municipalities, subject to the regulations. Section 21.1.2 would allow authorities to provide such other programs and services as it determines are advisable to further the purposes of the Act, subject to the regulations.	programs and services are subject to such standards and requirements as may be prescribed by regulation. Potentially the regulations could restrict what the Authority is able to do for its member municipalities or to further the purpose of the Act.
Agreements for 'other programs and services' An authority is required to enter into agreements with the participating municipalities in its jurisdiction if any municipal funding is needed to recover costs for the programs or services provided under section 21.1.2 (i.e. other program and services). A transition plan shall be developed by an authority to prepare for entering into agreements relating to the recovery of costs. *All programs and services must be provided in accordance with any prescribed standards and requirements. *  NOTE- this new addition is addressed as a significant concern under Programs and Services above.	Potential concern. This appears to be a continuation of an amendment previously adopted but not yet proclaimed. MECP staff indicate that the current expectation is that the plan in the roll-out of consultations on regulations is that the Mandatory programs and services regulation is to be posted in the next few weeks. It is noted that this will set the framework for what is then non-mandatory and requiring agreements and transition periods. MECP staff further indicated "changes would be implemented in the CA 2022 budgets" which is interpreted to mean that the Transition period is proposed to end December 2021. Subject to the availability of the prescribed regulations this date is anticipated to be challenging for coordination with CA and municipal budget processes.
Fees for programs and services  Section 21.2 of the Act allows a person who is charged a fee for a program or service provided by an authority to apply to the authority to reconsider the fee. Section 21.2 is amended to require the authority to make a decision upon reconsideration of a fee within 30 days. Further, the amendments allow a person to appeal the decision to the Local	Some concern. Multiple appeals of fees have the potential to undermine CA Board direction with regard to cost recovery and to divert both financial and staff resources away from the primary work of the conservation authority.



Description of Proposed Amendments	Implications to Conservation Authorities
matter directly to the Tribunal if the authority fails to render a decision within 30 days.	
Provincial oversight  New sections 23.2 and 23.3 of the Act would allow the Minister to take certain actions after reviewing a report on an investigation into an authority's operations. The Minister may order the authority to do anything to prevent or remedy non-compliance with the Act. The Minister may also recommend that the Lieutenant Governor in Council appoint an administrator to take over the control and operations of the authority.	No concern. This appears to be an expansion of powers previously provided to the Minister.
Ministerial Review of Permit Decisions  Subsection 28.1 (8) of the Act currently allows a person who applied to a conservation authority for a permit under subsection 28.1 (1) to appeal that decision to the Minister if the authority has refused the permit or issued it subject to conditions.  Subsection 28.1 (8) is repealed and replaced with provisions that allow the applicant to choose to seek a review of the authority's decision by the Minister or, if the Minister does not conduct such a review, to appeal the decision to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal within 90 days after the decision is	Significant concern. These amendments provide two pathways for an applicant to appeal a decision of an Authority to deny a permit or the conditions on a permit. One is to ask the Minister to review the decision; the other is to appeal directly to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal. Appeals brought through these processes will create additional workload for the Authority and increase the amount of time that a permit appeal process takes.  New guidelines will need to be created to support the Minister and the LPAT in their decision-making processes. There is no reference to a complete
made. Furthermore, if the authority fails to make a decision with respect to an application within 120 days after the application is submitted, the applicant may appeal the application directly to the Tribuna	application being submitted prior to the 120 day "clock" being started.
Minister's Order Re. S. 28 Permit  New section 28.1.1 of the Act allows the  Minister to order a conservation authority not to issue a permit to engage in an activity that,	Significant concern. These powers appear to be similar to a Minister Zoning Order provided for under the <i>Planning Act</i> . Should the Minister decide to use these powers it is appears that the CA may



Description of Proposed Amendments	Implications to Conservation Authorities
without the permit, would be prohibited under section 28 of the Act. After making such an order the Minister may issue the permit instead of the conservation authority.	be required to ensure compliance with the Minister's permit.
Cancellation of Permits  Section 28.3 of the Act is amended to allow a decision of a conservation authority to cancel a permit or to make another decision under subsection 28.3 (5) to be appealed by the permit holder to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal.	Some concern. Some conservation authorities use the cancellation of a permit as part of their compliance approach; the ability to appeal to the LPAT will add 90 days to the process prior to a LPAT hearing taking place. Renders the tool ineffective if the permit holder decides to appeal.
Entry Without Warrant, Permit Application Subsection 30.2 (permit application) of the Act sets out circumstances in which an officer may enter land within the area of jurisdictions of an authority. Those circumstances are revised.	Some concern. The changes are to amendments previously adopted but not proclaimed. For considering a permit application, the officer is now required to give reasonable notice to the owner and to the occupier of the property, which may result in increased administrative burden for the CA. It also appears to remove the ability to bring experts onto the site.
Entry Without Warrant, Compliance Subsection 30.2 (compliance) of the Act sets out circumstances in which an officer may enter land within the area of jurisdictions of an authority. Those circumstances are revised.	Significant/Some concern. The revisions essentially undo any enhanced powers of entry found within the yet to be proclaimed enforcement and offences section of the Act. The result is that CAs essentially maintain their existing powers of entry, which are quite limited. Conservation authorities will likely have to rely on search warrants to gain entry to a property where compliance is a concern. Reasonable grounds for obtaining a search warrant cannot be obtained where the activity cannot be viewed without entry onto the property (i.e. from the road).
Stop (work) Order  Section 30.4 of the Act is repealed. That section, which has not yet been proclaimed and which would have given officers the power to issue stop orders to persons	Significant concern. This is an important enforcement tool that conservation authorities have been requesting for years. Without this tool, conservation authorities must obtain an injunction



Description of Proposed Amendments	Implications to Conservation Authorities
carrying on activities that could contravene or are contravening the Act, is repealed.	to stop unauthorized activities which represents a significant cost to the taxpayers.
Regulations Made by Minister and LGIC	No concern.
The regulation making authority in section 40 is re-enacted to reflect amendments in the Schedule.	
Throughout the legislation all references to the Mining and Lands Commissioner has been replaced with the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal	Some concern. The LPAT lacks the specialized knowledge that the MLT has with regard to S. 28 applications. There is also a significant backlog of cases at the LPAT.
Planning Act – Exclusion of CAs as Public Body	Significant concern. There is lack of clarity on the implications of this amendment.
Subsection 1(2) of the <i>Planning Act</i> is amended to remove Conservation Authorities as a public body under the legislation. Conservation authorities will not be able to independently appeal or become a party to an appeal as a public body at the LPAT.	The intent of the amendment is to remove from conservation authorities the ability to appeal to LPAT any <i>Planning Act</i> decisions as a public body or to become a party to an appeal. Conservation authorities will instead be required to operate through the provincial one window approach, with comments and appeals coordinated through MMAH. Note that the one window planning system is typically enacted for the review of Official Plans and Official Plan Amendments. It is expected that conservation authorities will retain the ability to appeal a decision that adversely affects land that it owns however that has not been confirmed.

# Appendix 2

Draft Letter from the Chair of the Conservation Halton Board of Directors regarding concerns related to the proposed Amendments to the CA Act and Planning Act - Bill 229-attached to this report.

## Pilon, Janet

**Subject:** Automated Speed Enforcement

From: Nancy Martire

Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 1:49 PM
To: Office of the Mayor < mayor@hamilton.ca >
Subject: Automated Speed Enforcement

Mr. Eisenberger

I am writing this email today to express my frustration and disbelief in the new Automated Speed Enforcement System that you have implemented in our city.

First and foremost, I do not like or agree with this new system. This is causing drivers to continuously look down at their speedometer and taking their eyes off the road to ensure they are not going over the limit. What are you going to do, when an accident occurs because of this?

I received a ticket in the mail yesterday showing that I was clocked at 61 kms per hour in a 50 kms per hour zone. To say that I am shocked that this is a huge deal when I have been driving and see people going 100 kms per hour in a 60 kms zone but are fine.

To begin with, on that particular day, I worked late at an elementary school because we were contacted at 3:30pm by the Public Health Department to tell us that we had 4 classrooms and 17 staff members that needed to be shut down and isolated due to positive COVID cases at our school. This was a very lengthy, frustrating and exhausting day for me personally (working 9 hours with no breaks or lunch). I just wanted to go home as every day is a fearful day for me with a son at home with a compromised immune system.

To add to my frustration is the fact that I am charged \$20 for a surcharge and cost. For what?

Than to add fuel to the fire, I go to the website to pay the ticket (as per the notice) and it's not an option to pay this ticket through the site. Why than do you have this option indicated on the notice if it isn't available? So now I have to pay an additional cost of an envelope and stamp.

I believe that our taxpayers' money would be better used to address violence, robberies, distracted drivers, instead of someone who went over the speed limit slightly.

I would love to see some kind of compassion in these troubling times and the fact that I work in an environment every day that has higher risks of contracting a deadly disease and do not need additional stress in my life for something like this.

Nancy



A Healthy Watershed for Everyone

# For Immediate Release: Friday, November 13, 2020

# HCA's preliminary response to the Province's proposed changes to the Conservation Authorities Act

On November 5, the Province released <u>proposed changes</u> to the Conservation Authorities Act as part of its omnibus bill of the provincial budget. The Province has stated they are amending the Act to improve transparency and consistency in conservation authority operation, strengthen municipal oversight and streamline conservation authority roles in permitting and land use planning. Additional regulations under the Act are still to be provided later this fall.

Hamilton Conservation Authority (HCA) staff have reviewed the proposed changes and support enhanced conservation transparency and accountability which is already undertaken by making key documents publicly available; including meeting agendas, meeting minutes, and annual audits. We are encouraged that the Province has reconfirmed our purpose to provide for conservation, restoration source water protection and natural resources management.

However, while we wait for updated regulations to better understand how the changes are to be implemented, we are concerned that proposed changes to the Conservation Authorities Act and the Planning Act if passed, would reduce our ability to protect the natural environment and our watershed, and remove citizen representation on our Board.

Proposed changes provide new appeal avenues for permit applications to go to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal (LPAT) and even the ability of the Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry to issue certain permits in place of the conservation authority. An appeal process already exists to applicants directly to the HCA Board. Conservation authorities are important agencies who help protect Ontario's environment. Their science-based watershed information helps to steer development to appropriate places where it will not harm the environment or create risks to people.

The Province also proposes an amendment to the Planning Act, which if passed, would not allow conservation authorities to appeal a municipal planning decision to the LPAT to represent our interests, unless requested through an agreement with the municipality or the Province. To date, this has not been an issue with the Hamilton Conservation Authority but is an important tool to have. This could also impact our right to appeal planning decisions as a landowner. This is a concern as our conservation lands, made up of 11,000 acres of forests, 145 km of trails, fields, streams, wildlife and plant life, are under HCA's care and protection, as they have been for over 60 years



A Healthy Watershed for Everyone

Conservation authorities have long requested for the ability to issue stop work orders to protect environmentally sensitive areas. The updated Act removes un-proclaimed provisions for this enhanced enforcement and only retains the current tools such as fines and possible prosecution and these existing tools do not provide the ability to effectively stop any significant threats and impacts.

If passed, HCA would lose citizen representatives on its board who currently make up half the board of directors. These members provide expertise in varied fields and provide input on HCA programs and services from a citizen's point of view. The proposed amendments would also require municipally appointed councillors to make decisions in the best interest of the municipality and not the conservation authority and its watershed. This is contrary to proper board governance.

In these stressful times, nature and the outdoors play an important role in people's mental and physical health. After this year, we have seen just how important these spaces, and that protection, is for our community. We will continue promoting our vision of a healthy watershed for everyone. HCA staff will also continue to work collaboratively with all parties to better understand and determine what these changes will mean for conservation authorities in general and for the protection of our watersheds.

Public consultation is not required on these proposals as it has been incorporated as part of the budget. We encourage our watershed residents, municipal partners and supporters to reach out to the Premier, the Minister of Environment, Conservation and Parks and the Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry as well as their local MPP's to ask them to address the concerns outlined above, before the Bill is enacted.

#### **Media Contact:**

Councillor Lloyd Ferguson, HCA Chair 905-973-1359 <a href="mailto:loyd.ferguson@hamilton.ca">lloyd.ferguson@hamilton.ca</a>

Lisa Burnside, HCA CAO 905-525-2181, ext. 126 Lisa.Burnside@conservationhamilton.ca

This media release has been formatted to be an accessible document. Should you require this information in an alternate format, please contact the Hamilton Conservation Authority at 905-525-2181 and we will be happy to assist you.

Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing

Ministère des Affaires Municipales et du Logement

Office of the Deputy Minister

777 Bay Street, 17<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto ON M7A 2J3 Tel.: 416 585-7100 Bureau du ministre

777, rue Bay, 17e étage Toronto ON M7A 2J3 Tél. : 416 585-7100



November 18, 2020

Office of the Chief Administrative Officer
Office of the Commissioner of Planning/Commissioner of Public Works
Director's Office – Planning/Public Works Department

Re: Ontario Rebuilding and Recovery Act: Accelerating Infrastructure Initiatives
Municipal Engagement

\_\_\_\_\_

Dear Municipal Partners,

As you may be aware, on October 22, 2020, the Province introduced the Ontario Rebuilding and Recovery Act, 2020: Accelerating Infrastructure Projects – a package of legislative measures, policy changes, and communication opportunities that would accelerate the delivery of major public (infrastructure) projects. The details of the announcement can be found here.

As part of the Ontario Rebuilding and Recovery initiative, the Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing is working together with the Ministry of Transportation, Ministry of Infrastructure and other partner ministries to consult with municipalities to better understand the challenges and barriers they are facing in delivering local linear infrastructure projects. We will also explore what new authorities municipalities may need to accelerate the delivery of these projects, considering the accelerating measures recently included in the *Building Transit Faster Act*, the *COVID-19 Economic Recovery Act*, 2020, the proposed Ontario Rebuilding and Recovery legislative package, and other potential authorities and tools.

The anticipated outcomes of the proposed municipal consultation for accelerated delivery of municipal infrastructure projects include, but are not limited to:

- Improving our understanding of the challenges and barriers to expediting municipal infrastructure delivery;
- 2. Determining whether the authorities in the *Building Transit Faster Act*, 2020 (BTFA) could offer potential solutions if made available to municipalities;
- 3. Exploring potential additional accelerating authorities that may be of benefit to municipalities;
- 4. Considering how municipal infrastructure projects could be designated by the province as priorities to support economic recovery.

Additional background material on the overview of the BTFA authorities (see Appendix C) are attached for your reference.

As part of these municipal consultations, my ministry together with partner ministries, is requesting written input from our municipal partners across the province. For your reference, we have attached a copy of the discussion questions and parameters for discussion to guide your submission (see Appendix A and B). We are also reaching out through other municipal forums such as Association of Municipalities of Ontario (AMO-MOU), Toronto-Ontario Cooperation and Consultation Agreement (T-OCCA), the Provincial-Municipal Technical Working Group, Regional Planning Commissioners of Ontario (RPCO) Regional Public Works Commissioners of Ontario (RPWCO) and the Municipal Engineers Association (MEA).

Your invaluable insight and perspectives will help inform any recommendations we will make going forward. We want to hear from you regarding on-the-ground challenges municipalities like yours may be experiencing, and any suggestions you may have that offer potential solutions to help expedite priority local infrastructure projects. Informed by these consultations, my ministry, working with partner ministries, plan to develop proposals to bring forward for Spring 2021.

We have prepared an online survey, available <a href="here">here</a>, with some discussion questions to gather your feedback and perspectives. If you prefer to provide us with a written submission, we encourage you to submit your input to <a href="mailto:PlanningConsultation@Ontario.ca">PlanningConsultation@Ontario.ca</a> by December 18, 2020. In the meantime, if you have any questions, please feel to contact Sean Fraser, Director or the Provincial Planning Policy Branch, at <a href="mailto:Sean.Fraser@Ontario.ca">Sean.Fraser@Ontario.ca</a>.

Once again, please accept our sincere thanks for your support and we look forward to engaging with you on this important government initiative.

Yours truly,

Kate Manson-Smith

**Deputy Minister** 

Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing

t. Mandel.

**Cc:** Jonathan Lebi, ADM, Local Government and Planning Policy Sean Fraser, A/Director Provincial Planning Policy Branch

# Appendix A: Questions for Discussion, *Ontario Rebuilding and Recovery Act:* Municipal Consultations

#### Part 1. Problem Identification

- Q1: What are the challenges/barriers that your municipality is facing in moving funded and planned priority infrastructure forward?
- Q2: Which of these challenges/barriers are best addressed municipally through existing functions?

## Part 2. <u>Building Transit Faster Act, 2020</u> Authorities

- Q3: Can or should the authorities included in the BTFA be made available to support municipal infrastructure?
- Q4: Which authorities should be scoped for municipal application? Are there any limitations to municipal application?
- Q5: Which authorities should/could be used in partnership with the Province?

## Part 3. How to Use/Apply Potential New Authorities

- Q6: What types or categories of infrastructure projects should we consider for any new authorities?
- Q7: What key considerations or criteria should be used to assess any proposed new municipal authorities?
- Q8: How might an infrastructure project be identified or designated as being eligible to benefit from the accelerating authorities?
- Q9: What obligations should run with any delegated/granted new authorities (e.g. public consultation)?

#### **Part 4. Other Considerations**

- Q10: What other authorities beyond the BTFA would be helpful to meet municipal infrastructure challenges?
- Q11: Can you foresee any challenges or obstacles with potential new authorities for municipalities?
- Q12: With what other parties and/or stakeholders should the province engage on this topic?

# Appendix B: Parameters for Discussion, *Ontario Rebuilding and Recovery Act*: Municipal Consultations

The following describes what is in-scope and what is out-of-scope for consideration of accelerating authorities for the delivery of municipal infrastructure projects:

# In Scope

- New municipal authorities like the authorities of the BTFA for municipal transportation infrastructure (regional roads, corridors)
- New municipal authorities like the authorities of the BTFA applied to other infrastructure (water, sewer, other linear corridors)
- New authorities that were not included in the BTFA

### **Out of Scope**

- EA modernization is ongoing and is a separate initiative
- Provincial approvals/fast-tracking the land use planning and development approvals (e.g., MZOs) and permitting process—ongoing and involves separate initiatives of a number of different ministries
- Municipal buildings and vertical infrastructure
- Funding, loans and other financial or tax support
- Other aspects of the Ontario Rebuilding and Recovery initiative

# Appendix C: Overview of the accelerating authorities provided under the *Building Transit Faster Act*, 2020

The *Building Transit Faster Act, 2020 (BTFA or Bill 171)* received Royal Assent on July 8, 2020, and includes several measures designed to address challenges, accelerate timelines, reduce project delivery risk, and enable stronger partnerships in respect of the delivery of four priority transit projects. Many of the Act's provisions are intended as a "back-stop" in their application, with the commitment that the Province would first use a collaborative approach to reach agreements with necessary parties.

These new authorities for accelerating priority transit projects include:

# 1. Corridor Development Permits

- Require development and construction activities in the transit corridor land to obtain a permit
- Aids in coordinating activities in the corridor and managing timing of construction to reduce impacts to safety, schedule and budget
- Intent is to collaborate with proponents throughout the permit process, allows for the Minister of Transportation to establish a process for proponents to seek a review of permit decisions.
- Includes an escalating enforcement regime to support compliance

#### 2. Ability to Enter Land

- Ability to enter transit corridor lands to conduct preview inspection, obstruction removal and construction danger inspection and elimination work during planning and construction phases, without consent of the property owner
- Limits and obligations include: notice, time of day restrictions, no entry to dwellings, restoration of property, compensation for damages or removals

#### 3. Land Assembly

- Exempt lands from Hearing of Necessity requirements under the *Expropriations*Act for land that is at least partially on the transit corridor land
- Enables the Minister to establish an alternative process for considering comments from landowners about a proposed expropriation and for considering those comments, potentially saving five months from land assembly timelines
- Every effort made to negotiate amicable land purchases before expropriation

## 4. Utility Company Coordination

- Enhanced process to coordinate utility relocations to support better management of project schedule and costs
- Provides clear process for dispute management, including mechanism to permit the seeking of compensation from utilities when work not completed on time or court order upon failure to comply

### 5. Municipal Service and Right-of-Way-Access

- Ability of the Minister of Transportation to issue an order outlining conditions for the use or modification of municipal assets where negotiations are unsuccessful
- Provides certainty that transit works can proceed where a negotiated agreement not reached
- Intent to work collaboratively with municipalities and only used as a last measure



November 20, 2020

Honourable Jeff Yurek Minister of the Environment, Conservation and Parks 777 Bay Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto, ON M7A 2J3

Sent via email: minister.mecp@ontario.ca

Re: Proposed changes to Conservation Authorities in Bill 229 Our File 60.2.99

Honourable Minister,

At its meeting held on November 16, 2020, St. Catharines City Council approved the following motion:

WHEREAS Conservation authorities work to safeguard, manage, and restore Ontario's water through flood plain mapping, water quality monitoring, conservation land management, public education, and wetland stewardship; and

WHEREAS Conservation Authorities collect data on water quality, flooding, fish communities, wetlands, and benthic invertebrates, and this data contributes to policy, science, education and human health; and

WHEREAS the Ontario government's recently proposed changes to Conservation Authorities in Bill 229 do not support climate resilience and may set back watershed planning and the implementation of an eco-system based approach; and

WHEREAS the proposal in Bill 229 that only municipal councillors be appointed by a particular municipality as members of a conservation authority does not strengthen oversight and accountability and will exclude citizen appointees chosen by municipalities for their experience and skills;

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that Council strongly recommends that Schedule 6 of Bill 229 not be enacted in its present form and instead by withdrawn from Bill 229; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Ministry support and enhance governance at conservation authorities by developing best management practices for the recruitment and selection of authority members, including best practices for the appointment of both elected and non-elected officials in consultation with municipalities; and



BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that that the Ontario government immediately seek to ensure that the current mandate of the province's 36 conservation authorities is maintained and enhanced, in order to effectively protect, restore and manage the watersheds where 95 percent of the people of Ontario reside; and

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that this motion be sent to all Niagara MPPs, the municipalities of Haldimand and Hamilton, all Niagara municipalities, and the Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks.

If you have any questions, please contact the Office of the City Clerk at extension 1506.

Bonnie Nistico-Dunk, City Clerk

Legal and Clerks Services, Office of the City Clerk

:em

Cc. Jennifer Stevens, MPP - St. Catharines, <u>JStevens-CO@ndp.on.ca</u>

Jeff Burch, MPP - Niagara Centre, <u>JBurch-QP@ndp.on.ca</u> Wayne Gates, MPP - Niagara Falls, <u>wgates-co@ndp.on.ca</u>

Sam Oosterhoff, MPP - Niagara West-Glanbrook, sam.oosterhoff@pc.ola.org

Niagara Area Municipalities

City of Hamilton

Haldimand County

#### Ministry of Health

Office of the Deputy Premier and Minister of Health

777 Bay Street, 5<sup>th</sup> Floor Toronto ON M7A 1N3 Telephone: 416 327-4300 Facsimile: 416 326-1571 www.ontario.ca/health

#### Ministère de la Santé

Bureau du vice-premier ministre et du ministre de la Santé

777, rue Bay, 5e étage Toronto ON M7A 1N3 Téléphone: 416 327-4300 Télécopieur: 416 326-1571 www.ontario.ca/sante



180-2020-50

Mr. Fred Eisenberger Mayor City of Hamilton-Child and Adolescent Services 2nd Floor- 71 Main St. West Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5

Dear Mr. Eisenberger:

Thank you for your continued partnership in ensuring that our most vulnerable populations remain safe and continue to receive mental health services during this unprecedented time. I also want to thank your staff working to support those with mental health service needs in these challenging conditions.

I am pleased to advise you that the Ministry of Health will provide the City of Hamilton-Child and Adolescent Services up to \$ 70,000 in one-time funding for the 2020-21 funding year to support community-based child and youth mental health services. Organizations will be able to use these funds to enhance capacity and access to critical frontline services in the community Mental Health and Addictions sector for children and youth across the lifespan.

The Assistant Deputy Minister of the Mental Health and Addictions Division will write to City of Hamilton-Child and Adolescent Services shortly concerning this funding.

Once again thank you for your efforts and dedication, which will go a long way to improve the lives of children, youth and families with mental health and addictions concerns.

Yours Sincerely,

Christine Elliott

Deputy Premier and Minister of Health

Christine Eliott

c: Ms Jen Vickers-Manzin, Director, chief Nursing Officer, City of Hamilton-Child and Adolescent Services.



November 20, 2020

Council for the City of Hamilton Hamilton City Hall 71 Main Street West Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5

Via email

Dear Council:

### Re: Ombudsman investigation

This is to notify you that the Ombudsman's Office has received complaints alleging that on September 28, 2020, the Hamilton Farmers' Market Corporation Board of Directors for the City of Hamilton held a meeting that did not comply with the open meeting rules in the Municipal Act, 2001. The Ombudsman's Open Meetings Team will be investigating this complaint.

Lauren Chee-Hing, with our Office's Open Meetings Team, will be in contact with the Clerk in the near future, to provide further information with respect to the conduct of this investigation.

Please do not hesitate to contact Lauren-Chee-Hing by e-mail at Icheehing@ombudsman.on.ca, should you have any questions.

Thank you for your cooperation with our Office during this investigation.

Sincerely,

Wendy Ray

General Counsel

Wendy Ray

Andrea Holland, City Clerk, Andrea. Holland@hamilton.ca CC:

> **Bell Trinity Square** 483 Bay Street, 10th Floor, South Tower, Toronto, ON M5G 2C9 483, rue Bay, 10e étage, Tour Sud, Toronto, ON M5G 2C9 Tel/Tél.: 416-586-3300

**Subject:** face mask

From: Denis Page

Sent: November 13, 2020 8:56 PM

To: <a href="mailton.ca">clerk@hamilton.ca</a>
Subject: face mask

As a retail worker at Princess auto in Hamilton we are open to the public which is getting harder to go to work each day out fear of catching covid 19. Everyday i remind some customer that face mask must cover their nose and chin while in the store and everyday i get the same reply "it's too hot and can't breathe. Then there's the i'm exempt people who won't wear anything and putting not only my life in danger but also my co-worker our families, friends and guest. Many of us depend on our jobs to pay bills and put food on the table and clothes on our back. Why can't council make it mandatory to enter a workplace that face covering IS A MUST. If these people are exempt why are they not doing on line shopping instead of trying to infect everybody else. Why do i have to leave my job to stay home while they get the freedom to do as they please. Beleive me there are plenty of them that say they are exempt and really aren't they just don't want to wear protection and we are not even allowed for proof under the privacy act and they are using this as their scapegoat. I see the numbers rising everyday and what's it going to take to make face covering mandatory full hospitals 1000's of deaths a full blown lockdown. I've taken this very seriously as i've an email to Doug Ford and i'm going to try with Justin Trudeau also. I'm doing my part to help as i have a low immune system and i wish for the many that they do the same. As a council you have the capability to make this mandatory to stop the spread and it's not lifetime but only till we get a proper vaccine out here. Please i beg you to help us as ALL my co-workers are all on the same page. If you have to send inspectors more often places like mine and you'll see what i'm talking about.. THANK YOU and please get back to me on this

**Subject:** E-bikes Banned Posted Signs in Stoney Creek

**Attachments:** Ebikes Banned Pic.jpg

From: Lakewood Beach Community Council < <u>LakewoodBeachCC@hotmail.com</u>>

Sent: November 22, 2020 12:59 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: E-bikes Banned Posted Signs in Stoney Creek

Clerks, Please add this correspondence to the November 25th Council Agenda

Dear Honourable Mayor & Council,

Back during the November 11th Council meeting a resident in our community wrote to Council in regards to the recent posting of signs banning e-bikes in our area. His correspondence was referred to the HCA however, it is not just the 'trail' in Confederation Beach Park that has newly posted City of Hamilton signs.

The attached sign, is a pic showing a new sign posted on the Great Lakes Waterfront Trail at dead end of Frances Avenue and heading east towards Niagara (approximately a km from Confed)

To the best of our knowledge, Council as a whole has not passed a by-law banning e-bikes on the Great Lakes Waterfront Trails that traverse thru the City of Hamilton (ie Dundas Valley Loop, etc) nor has the province banned their use. In fact, the GLWT website lists the bikes that are acceptable and e-bikes are included on that list.

We've received a few emails from seniors in our community who purchased battery operated e-bikes this year (not e-scooters) and we are requesting clarification from the City of Hamilton & Council on when a by-law was passed and/or why these signs have been posted in what appears to be, only Ward 10.

Respectfully,

Viv / Anna/ Nancy Lakewood Beach Community Council

P.S. the link of www.hamilton.ca/bikeride on the sign is not a valid link for us to obtain further information



**Subject: Ebikes** 

From: Walter Cairns

Sent: November 22, 2020 12:46 PM

To: <a href="mailto:clerk@hamilton.ca">clerk@hamilton.ca</a>

Subject: Ebikes

I'd like to make it clear that my issue not only the water front (confederation Park) but all trails in this great city of Hamilton.

Thanks

Walter Cairns.

**Subject:** Cameras for Speeding in Hamilton

From: Ugo Penna

Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 9:51 AM

To: mayor@hamilton.ca

Subject: Cameras for Speeding in Hamilton

Honourable Mayor Einsenberger,

I just received a ticket from a camera - no less - while driving westbound on Stonechurch near Pritchard doing 61 kph in a 50. Is this speeding? Yes, it is.

I would simply appreciate it if we identified these cameras for what they are – "revenue generators".

At 61 in a 50 AND in safe proximity to another visible vehicle in front of me - as conveyed by the photo - obviously doing the same/similar speed, there was absolutely NO element of carelessness nor a microscopic element of unsafe driving. What this city needs is a focus on road quality, aggressive driving, drunk driving, excessive speeding, winter road quality/maintenance, etc.

Here is another good example of blatant revenue generation. Westbrook Road, north of Binbrook Road – dissecting Hamilton region from Niagara – is 60 kph where cash crops grow and the occasional cow grazes. Once you cross Binbrook Road (southbound, still on Westbrook) there is a community of homes. And yet, the speed in front of these homes is 80kph!?! Now THAT is a blatant disregard for safety! Both Hamilton and Niagara police officers rely heavily on this area for "revenue generation", and for good reason.

At 66 years of age, I think it's is sad to see the city I was born and raised in stoop to this level.

Again, all I ask is that you come clean and call it what it is – a revenue generator. PLEASE remove any semblance of safety assigned to these cameras, ie. 61 in a 50 with no institutions/facilities in close proximity and in ideal road conditions. Let's just call it what it is.

Thank you for your time, Sir.

Ugo

**Subject:** Niagara Regional Transit On Demand Pilot Project - Nov 25 Council Agenda Item 5.2

From: Lakewood Beach Community Council <LakewoodBeachCC@hotmail.com>

Sent: November 22, 2020 8:02 AM

To: Pearson, Maria < Maria.Pearson@hamilton.ca >; Clark, Brad < Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca >; Collins, Chad

<Chad.Collins@hamilton.ca>; DL - Council Only <dlcouncilonly@hamilton.ca>

Cc: clerk@hamilton.ca; Vander Heide, Jason <Jason.VanderHeide@hamilton.ca>; Dalle Vedove, Debbie

<Debbie.DalleVedove@hamilton.ca>

Subject: Niagara Regional Transit On Demand Pilot Project - Nov 25 Council Agenda Item 5.2

Dear Clrs Pearson, Clark and Collins (and Honourable Mayor & Council)

<u>Fiscal Impacts to Municipality</u>: negative fare box revenue, loss of Gas Tax Revenue (taxi to bus is a transfer (boarding) and not a 'ride'), doubles city's cost per ride of users - all of which adversely impacts present and future funding available to expand conventional transit

<u>Fiscal Impacts to Stoney Creek Property Taxpayers:</u> cost of service provided to **Niagara** users Area-Rated to tax base in Stoney Creek

Fiscal Impacts to Users: upwards of 70% surcharges (seniors)

The expansion of NRT into the Winona Crossing is a good news story for the intra-municipality transit and for the economic recovery of the businesses located in that area. Unlike other major shopping destinations/employment areas, such as Ancaster Meadowlands, transit to that area however is via Transcab which has significant impacts that are at times overlooked or misunderstood.

By co-incidence, our association wrote to the Planning Staff a couple of weeks ago on Transcab. We are attaching that email of November 8th for your information.

We are at a loss to understand why the Staff Report presented to the Public Works Committee indicated this pilot project has "no financial implications to the City of Hamilton". Niagara users will be able to use Transcab/HSR transit from Winona Crossing to travel to other destinations. There is **no revenue generated**; on average the city collects \$3.00 per Transcab/HSR ride and pays out \$5.75 to the provider of Transcab. The HSR portion of the "ride" itself is ~ 200% subsidized

Based on information we've been provided with from City Staff in the past, Transcab clearly has significant adverse financial impacts for the city, for the Stoney Creek taxpayers, for the users of the service, and overall for all Hamilton transit users.

As stated in previous emails over the years, we Creekers already pay for Transcab service to locations outside Stoney Creek (specifically the East Hamilton Business Park) and now it appears we will also be taxed for users from a completely different municipality ?!? This inequity should end with the implementation of this pilot project.

Respectfully, we are again requesting that the \$1M + ?? cost of TransCab no longer be area-rated (downloaded to the backs) to the properties in Stoney Creek effective with the 2021 budget.

Viv / Anna/ Nancy Lakewood Beach Community Council

P.S. We also would like clarification on Hamiltonian's ability to use Niagara Regional Transit's On Demand service from Winona Crossing and into destinations in Grimsby (at \$3) or Niagara (at \$6). It appears NRT On Demand service (app) is only provided to residents of Niagara.

From: Lakewood Beach Community Council

Sent: November 8, 2020 11:39 AM

To: steve.robichaud@hamilton.ca <steve.robichaud@hamilton.ca>

Cc: jason.thorne@hamilton.ca <jason.thorne@hamilton.ca>; Fabac, Anita <<u>Anita.Fabac@hamilton.ca</u>>; Rybensky, Yvette

< <a href="mailton.ca"><a href

< <u>Maureen.wilson@hamilton.ca</u>>; <u>jason.farr@hamilton.ca</u> < <u>jason.farr@hamilton.ca</u>>; Collins, Chad

<chad.collins@hamilton.ca>; john-paul.danko@hamilton.ca <john-paul.danko@hamilton.ca>; brad.clark@hamilton.ca

<brad.clark@hamilton.ca>; brenda.johnson@hamilton.ca <bre><bre>drenda.johnson@hamilton.ca>;

<u>terry.whitehead@hamilton.ca</u> <<u>terry.whitehead@hamilton.ca</u>>; <u>judi.partridge@hamilton.ca</u>

<judi.partridge@hamilton.ca>

Subject: Transcab Impact re Residential Infill Developments

Good Morning Steve,

Our LPAT hearing is finally over. Regardless of the Tribunal's decision, we think some good can come from our research & evidentiary documents.

It was concluded that Transcab (specifically Stoney Creek which has 2 'zones') is one of the most misunderstood forms of transportation & the adverse impacts to the municipality/Hamiltonians haven't been talked about. We opined, Transcab, in & of itself, from a planning perspective, is <u>not</u> consistent with our UHOP and the Growth Plan for the reasons outlined below:

- UHOP Public Transit Network, is silent on Trans-cab being a Council (& Ministry) approved form of 'public transit' to serve and the meet the needs of users within the <u>Urban</u> boundaries. It is noted however, Transcab is listed as a form of Public Transit in the city's *rural* Official Plan.
- high cost to the user (surcharges of upwards of 70%) which leads to affordability issues & builds in Transcab zones that won't meet the needs of current or forecasted population's income levels.
- has a goal of ridership, like HSR; however ridership which profits a private organization
- is *heavily* subsidized by Public Works, Transit Budget which leads to less funds available for infrastructure investments; including Transit expansions
  - a. service cost is lost fare revenue for HSR
  - b. billed cost more than doubles the per trip cost for the municipality which leads to less funds available for infrastructure investments

- doesn't qualify as a "ride" for other levels of government funding (Gas Tax) which results in additional lost revenue to the municipality & even less funds Infrastructure projects; including Transit.
- is in direct competition with other transit investments from limited Transit Area-Rated property tax levies
- is available to some properties (residential/employment), but is not area rated to them. (paid for by property owners outside the service zone)
- exponentially increases the vehicles into that Road Network shown earlier. (1 user = 2 vehicles per one-way trip. 2 users = 4 vehicles, 3 users = 6 vehicles and so on.)
  - So even if the occupants don't own a car, they are still dependent on a vehicle
- adversely impacts the climate resiliency of the area.
- more vehicles on the roads is more wear & tear and increases maintenance costs
- negatively impacts modal share targets (unlike conventional Public Transit)— just more people dependent on a vehicle to get around.
- is ineffective (doesn't allow users to conveniently travel between the 2 Transcab "boundaries".\* (see example below)
  - \*Example, recent Amazon announcement for 1500 jobs in Hamilton with a facility located in the SC Biz Park. To take public transit from the subject lands to the SC facility located only 6.3 kms away, it is just over a 1 hour commute 37 mins of walking to bus stop & destination plus 25 mins on a bus
- is restrictive (can't use if destination & origin are within the same "zone") no means to use to get to SC Employment lands to the south, to friend's homes or to amenities/services
- is unreliable response time is "upto an hour" but not a guaranteed pick-up
- does not allow for mass commuting, nor does it have regular schedules that allow for consistency of service

Transcab is a form of transportation that *lowers the quality* of the experience of using Public Transit and *adversely* impacts the *viability* of servicing the areas in the future with conventional public transit

The **complete opposite** of the Growth Plan & City's OP Definitions of Transit Supportive developments which is: Transit Supportive developments make transit *more viable* **and** also *improve the quality of the experience* of using Transit.

Transcab is only available in 2 of the 15 wards so understandably our group, Council, City Planning Staff, & other expert professionals feel none of us have truly been provided with a clear understanding of Transcab & the uniqueness of this form of transportation's impact in regards to infill developments. From what we've been able to piece together it was a *temporary* service put in place 30 years ago. It looks like it dug a hole in Stoney Creek, and is digging us deeper into that hole

It was also noted that Studies provided to support developments such as the Traffic Impact Study & Transportation Demand Reports are **not** reflective of what the 'vehicle' trips will be in Transcab areas; nor do those studies include the Transcab turning movements.

The purpose of this email was to give you some info we gathered from experts & the likes. Info which might help you determine whether or not future residential intensification development applications coming into the city should possibly be evaluated with a different lens during the planning process.

When time permits, we'd like your thoughts on the above please Steve.

Respectfully,

Viv / Anna/ Nancy Lakewood Beach Community Council

**Subject:** MPAC: 2021 Values and COVID-19

From: Carmelo Lipsi <carmelo.lipsi@mpac.ca>

Sent: November 18, 2020 11:06 AM

To: Holland, Andrea < Andrea. Holland@hamilton.ca >

Subject: MPAC: 2021 Values and COVID-19

To: Chief Administrative Officers, Clerks, Treasurers and Tax Administrators

Good morning Andrea,

As you know, the Ontario government postponed our 2020 Assessment Update due to COVID-19 and 2021 property values will continue to be based on the current legislated valuation date, January 1, 2016.

This means all property assessments in Ontario must reflect the price a property would have reasonably been expected to sell for on that day.

The Assessment Act requires that MPAC use the January 1, 2016 date to assess all properties. We are unable to adjust 2020 property assessments for 2021 tax year based on the current impacts of COVID-19, significant as they may be.

We are advising property owners that Requests for Reconsideration filed in 2021 that exclusively cite COVID-19 as the reason for review will not result in a change to the property's value. MPAC understands its municipal partners are looking for certainty as they plan their 2021 budgets. That said, we cannot account for how appeals citing COVID-19 may be handled by the Assessment Review Board, so there always remains some risk that our municipal partners should account for in their planning.

It is our commitment during this challenging time to continue to support your municipalities through the processing of new assessment, sharing of best practices and keeping you up to date with news to help you manage your assessment base.

This includes sharing relevant highlights of the Ontario budget released on November 5, 2020, including:

#### **Postponing the Property Tax Reassessment**

The budget mentioned the government's decision to postpone the Assessment Update but did not provide a future date for the next reassessment.

#### **Enabling Property Tax Relief for Small Businesses**

In response to concerns about the property tax burden on small businesses, the Province plans to provide municipalities with the flexibility to target property tax relief to small businesses.

To ensure appropriate flexibility, the government is proposing an amendment to the Assessment Act that would allow municipalities to define small business eligibility in a way that best meets local needs and priorities.

### **Reducing Property Taxes for Employers**

The Province announced immediate action to reduce high Business Education Tax (BET) rates by \$450 million in 2021. As a result, the BET will be lowered to a rate of 0.88 per cent for both commercial and industrial properties beginning in 2021.

#### **Property Tax Exemptions**

The budget proposes amendments to the Assessment Act to apply the existing property tax exemption for Ontario branches of the Royal Canadian Legion, for 2019 and subsequent tax years, to Ontario units of the Army, Navy and Air Force Veterans in Canada.

As we learn more about these announcements, we will aim to update all of you and identify ways to work together.

We know these are challenging times and we are here to answer questions from property owners and support you in every way we can.

Please feel free to share this with your staff as appropriate.

Stay well and safe,

Carmelo Lipsi Vice President, Valuation & Customer Relations Chief Operating Officer

mpac.ca Municipal Property Assessment Corporation 1340 Pickering Parkway, Suite 101 L1V 0C4

Copy MPAC Regional and Account Managers

.



A Healthy Watershed for Everyone

November 23, 2020

### Via Email

Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario
Honourable Rod Phillips, Minister of Finance
Honourable Jeff Yurek, Minister of Minster of Environment, Conservation and Parks
Honourable John Yakabuski, Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry
Honourable Steve Clark, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing

Re: Concerns with *Bill 229: Protect, Support and Recover from COVID 19 Act* (Budget Measures Act) - Schedule 6 — Conservation Authorities Act

On November 5th, the Province released proposed changes to the Conservation Authorities Act as part of its omnibus bill announced with the provincial budget. The Province has stated they are amending the Act to improve transparency and consistency in conservation authority operations, strengthen municipal oversight and streamline conservation authority roles in permitting and land use planning. Additional regulations under the Act are still to be provided later this fall to provide a more complete understanding of how the changes are to be implemented and what their full impact will be.

We feel it is important to highlight that conservation authorities were originally created to address concerns regarding the poor state of the natural environment and the need to establish programs based on watershed boundaries for natural resource management. Conservation authorities bring the local watershed science and information into decision making to ensure that Ontario's communities are protected.

While we are encouraged that the purpose of the Act to provide for the organization and delivery of programs and services that further conservation, restoration, development, and management of natural resources in Ontario watersheds remains the same, Hamilton Conservation Authority (HCA) is very concerned that proposed changes to the Conservation Authorities Act and the Planning Act if passed, would reduce our ability to protect the natural environment and our watershed, and remove citizen representation and their most valuable insight and input to our Board.

The legislative changes appear to be an excessive intervention in local matters in an area where the Province makes little financial contribution. In the case of HCA, the Province contributes just 2% of the annual revenues for the operating budget. The remaining 98% of our funding comes from our municipal partners (38%) and self generated funds (60%).

Proposed changes provide new appeal avenues for permit applications to go to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal (LPAT) and even the ability of the Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry to issue certain permits, in place of the conservation authority. It must be stressed that an appeal process already exists to applicants allowing them access directly to the HCA Board, a Board that is built with municipal oversight imbedded. Conservation authorities are important agencies which help protect Ontario's environment. Their science-based watershed information helps to steer development to appropriate places where it will not harm the environment or create safety risks to people. HCA already issues the vast majority of minor and major permits with efficiency and high service standards. HCA is committed to providing excellent client service, and we have a strong history of working cooperatively with our watershed municipalities, residents and businesses to ensure efficient and timely planning and regulatory review processes. Through a review of the current permit review process, Conservation Ontario estimates that the new changes to the permitting appeals process could delay development approvals by as much as 200 days. As well, costs can be expected to increase due to more staff time being required for permit appeals processes rather than time being spent on actually issuing permits.

Changes have been proposed to the Planning Act that create a gap in the land use planning system. Currently, conservation authority participation in the planning appeals process ensures that watershed science and data is being applied to planning and land use decisions. This input would be lost and it is an important tool for HCA to have when needed. Additionally, though unintentional, this change could also impact our right to appeal planning decisions as a landowner. This is a concern as our conservation lands, made up of 11,000 acres of forests, 145 km of trails, fields, streams, wildlife and plant life, are under HCA's care and protection, as they have been for over 60 years.

Conservation authorities have long requested the ability to issue stop work orders to protect environmentally sensitive areas. The proposed changes to the Act remove unproclaimed provisions for this enhanced enforcement and only retain the current tools such as prosecution, injunctions and fines; these existing tools do not provide the ability to effectively stop, on a timely basis, any significant threats and impacts and prevent damage.

As briefly mentioned, if passed, HCA would lose citizen representatives on its board who currently make up half the board of directors. The citizen members come from diverse backgrounds with experience in a number of fields, and are active members of their communities. They bring a wide array of knowledge, governance experience and expertise to their positions. These members provide valuable input on HCA programs and services from a citizen's point of view.

Of equal importance, HCA has only two participating municipalities with 10 members from Hamilton and 1 from the Township of Puslinch, which represents the unique situation of 99% of our watershed being within the City of Hamilton and the City of Hamilton being our major funder. With the new proposed requirements to rotate the Chair and Vice Chair role, there would be no democratic election process given the

representative from Puslinch would simply be appointed as the Vice Chair or Chair every 2 years. And finally, the proposed amendments would also require municipally appointed councillors to make decisions in the best interest of the municipality they represent and not the conservation authority and its watershed, the organization that they are supposed to represent when sitting as a Board member of the Authority. This is contrary to proper board governance.

In these stressful times, nature and the outdoors play an important role in people's mental and physical health. After this year, we have seen just how important these spaces - and that protection - is for our community. We will continue promoting our vision of a healthy watershed for everyone.

We do not want to see an increased risk to public safety, or increased liabilities to the Province, municipalities, and conservation authorities. Nor do we want more red tape, disruption and ultimately delays in helping the government achieve its goal of economic recovery. As such I respectfully ask that as a result of our concerns:

- the Province of Ontario withdraw Schedule 6 of the Budget Measures Act (Bill 229)
- the Province continue to work with conservation authorities to find workable solutions to reduce red tape and create conditions for growth
- the Province respect the current conservation authority/municipal relationships
- the Province embrace their long-standing partnership with the conservation authorities and provide them with the tools and financial resources they need to effectively implement their watershed management role.

If there are any actual and/or perceived issues pertaining to certain conservation authorities, they might best be addressed through area-specific solutions created to resolve them that can be identified through local analysis and consultation.

Sincerely,

Councillor Lloyd Ferguson

Chair, Hamilton Conservation Authority

Cc:

HCA Board of Directors
City of Hamilton Mayor and Council
Township of Puslinch Mayor and Council
Ted Arnott, MPP Wellington – Halton Hills
Andrea Horwath, MPP Hamilton Centre
Paul Miller, MPP Hamilton East – Stoney Creek
Sandy Shaw, MPP Hamilton West – Ancaster – Dundas
Donna Skelly, MPP Flamborough – Glanbrook
Monique Taylor, MPP Hamilton Mountain

**Subject:** Covid Protocols and City Priorities

From: Emily Kulpaka

Sent: November 23, 2020 3:35 PM

**To:** Office of the Mayor < mayor@hamilton.ca >; clerk@hamilton.ca

Cc: Partridge, Judi < Judi. Partridge@hamilton.ca>; Ferguson, Lloyd < Lloyd. Ferguson@hamilton.ca>; VanderBeek, Arlene

<arlene.VanderBeek@hamilton.ca>; Johnson, Brenda <Brenda.Johnson@hamilton.ca>; Pearson, Maria

<<u>Maria.Pearson@hamilton.ca</u>>; Clark, Brad <<u>Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca</u>>; Ward 8 Office <<u>ward8@hamilton.ca</u>>; Pauls,

Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>; Collins, Chad

<<u>Chad.Collins@hamilton.ca</u>>; Merulla, Sam <<u>Sam.Merulla@hamilton.ca</u>>; Nann, Nrinder <<u>Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca</u>>;

Farr, Jason < <u>Jason.Farr@hamilton.ca</u>>; Wilson, Maureen < <u>Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca</u>>

**Subject:** Covid Protocols and City Priorities

Hi Folks,

I wanted to touch base with you today, as I muddle through paperwork and phone calls.

On Saturday, I was informed that a family member of a participant in our facility tested positive for covid and their children were in class that day. We shut down immediately and called public health. The hotline for covid is closed on the weekend, so we couldn't get any further advice, despite businesses being told to defer to public health.

We follow all protocols strictly- but we're talking about kids here - I watch them lick the inside of their mask most of class - any protocols are just adults doing their best, never perfect. Both kids were tested immediately, and their tests came back positive this morning.

We're waiting on our results, and in the meantime will keep our facility closed. We contacted all families who were in class on Saturday, and followed up today. Students of ours who attend the school these positive children were in were sent home from school, with directions to "sit tight" until public health calls. Those families don't know if they can go back to work, if the sibling should stay home, if they're eligible for testing...they're just waiting for a call that will come, at some point. This exposure happened because a family stopped "sitting tight" when they felt better and still hadn't heard from public health, and didn't understand it was important to tell us this during screening.

Now, all this to say, our healthcare system is SEVERELY defunded. Every single element of this process (including just trying to sort out guidelines for our space initially) has been a nightmare and delay after delay. Everyone spouts the same "lack of resources".

But our police have a surplus of \$567, 875 and are asking for a 4 million dollar increase. And when a completely peaceful encampment went up at city hall today, with very clear, evident covid protocols, the police were out in DROVES. As I drove back from getting my test, I counted 6 police cars just parked around main street and 15 visible officers from the road.

They aren't protecting anyone, they're waiting to punish. Our contact tracers, doctors, nurses, and other medical staff are actively working to protect, and they can't begin to get on top of the backlog. Stop funding punishment in our system and start funding preventative healthcare - emotional, physical, and mental. Do the work to implement real restorative justice practices.

I am so pleased to see my ward councillor, and Councillor Wilson, publicly align themselves with this defund the police
movement. I'd urge the rest of you to do so as well. Our city is in a health crisis, it's time to show you're pushing to
protect your citizens, not just punish them.

Sincerely,

Emily Kulpaka

**Subject:** FW: RE:

From: Mrs. S. Bonnallie

Sent: November 23, 2020 6:37 PM

To: Office of the Mayor < mayor@hamilton.ca >

Subject: Tents in front of city hall

Dear Mayor

When did the front of City Hall become a campground??? We can now set up campsites???? So disgusted with these people wanting to defund the police. Also are there not rules on how many people can gather in one spot??? Why are they allowed to do this when there ARE restrictions? I am so sick of people thumbing their noses at the rules for Covid as people are dying around us! Please stop the "camping" in front of City Hall and fine each and every person over the restricted number allowed at outdoor gatherings! .. these people are NOT exempt from the rules.

One disgusted Hamiltonian

Mrs. S. Bonnallie



# BOARD OF HEALTH REPORT 20-007

9:30 a.m. Monday, November 16, 2020 Council Chambers Hamilton City Hall

**Present:** Mayor F. Eisenberger

Councillors M. Wilson (Vice-Chair), J. Farr, N. Nann, S. Merulla, C. Collins, T. Jackson, E. Pauls, J.P. Danko, B. Clark, M. Pearson, B.

Johnson, L. Ferguson, A. VanderBeek and J. Partridge

**Absent with** 

**Regrets:** Councillor T. Whitehead – Personal

# THE BOARD OF HEALTH PRESENTS REPORT 20-007 AND RESPECTFULLY RECOMMNENDS:

1. 2020 Board of Health Self-Evaluation (BOH20021) (City Wide) (Item 7.1)

That Report BOH20021, respecting the 2020 Board of Health Self-Evaluation, be received.

2. Radon Prevalence in Hamilton (BOH20022) (City Wide) (Item 9.1)

That Report BOH20022, respecting Radon Prevalence in Hamilton, be received.

#### FOR INFORMATION:

### (a) CEREMONIAL ACTIVITIES (Item 1)

There were no ceremonial activities.

## (b) CHANGES TO THE AGENDA (Item 2)

The Committee Clerk advised the Board of the following changes:

### 5. COMMUNICATIONS

5.2 Correspondence from Margarita De Antunano respecting the Mandatory Mask By-law.

Recommendation: Be received.

5.3 Correspondence from John Neary, MD, respecting tighter measures to prevent the spread of Covid-1 9 in the City of Hamilton.

**WITHDRAWN** 

5.4 Correspondence from the Ministry of Health respecting AIDS & Hepatitis C Programs This item has attachments.

Recommendation: Be received.

The agenda for the November 16, 2020 Board of Health was approved, as amended.

### (c) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 3)

There were no declarations of interest.

### (d) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Item 4)

### (i) October 19, 2020 (Item 4.1)

The Minutes of the October 19, 2020 meeting of the Board of Health were approved, as presented.

### (e) COMMUNICATIONS (Item 5)

The following Correspondence items were received:

- (i) Correspondence from Stephanie Draper respecting Mandatory Masks During Physical Activity (Item 5.1)
- (ii) Correspondence from Margarita De Antunano respecting the Mandatory Mask By-law (Added Item 5.2)
- (iii) Correspondence from the Ministry of Health respecting AIDS & Hepatitis C Programs (Added Item 5.4)

### (f) DELEGATION REQUESTS (Item 6)

(i) Delegation Request from Anja Dragicevic, respecting Mandatory Masks During Physical Activity (for a future meeting) (Item 6.1)

The Delegation Request from Anja Dragicevic, respecting Mandatory Masks During Physical Activity (for a future meeting), was approved, for a future meeting.

### (g) PUBLIC HEARINGS / DELEGATIONS / VIRTUAL DELEGATIONS (Item 8)

(i) Kaley Metler respecting 5G Roll-out in the City of Hamilton (Item 8.1)

Kaley Metler addressed the Board with concerns respecting a 5G roll-out in the City of Hamilton.

The delegation from Kaley Metler respecting a 5G roll-out in the City of Hamilton, was received.

## (h) STAFF PRESENTATIONS (Item 9)

(i) Radon Prevalence in Hamilton (BOH20022) (City Wide) (Item 9.1)

Kevin McDonald, Director, Healthy Environments Division; and Dr. Bart Harvey, Associate Medical Officer of Health, addressed the Board with an overview of Radon Prevalence in Hamilton (BOH20022) (City Wide).

The presentation respecting Radon Prevalence in Hamilton (BOH20022) (City Wide), was received.

For further disposition of this matter, refer to Item 2.

# (ii) Overview of COVID-19 Activity in the City of Hamilton 11 Mar to Present (Item 9.2)

Dr. Elizabeth Richardson, Medical Officer of Health and Stephanie Hughes, Epidemiologist, Healthy and Safe Communities, addressed the Board with an Overview of COVID-19 Activity in the City of Hamilton 11 Mar to present, with the aid of a PowerPoint presentation.

The Presentation respecting an Overview of COVID-19 Activity in the City of Hamilton 11 Mar to present, was received.

### (i) PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL (Item 14)

(i) Closed Session Minutes of October 19, 2020 (Item 14.1)

The Closed Session Minutes of October 19, 2020, were approved as presented.

## (j) ADJOURNMENT (Item 15)

There being no further business, the Board of Health adjourned at 12:21 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Mayor F. Eisenberger Chair, Board of Health

Loren Kolar Legislative Coordinator Office of the City Clerk



# PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE REPORT 20-011

1:30 p.m.
Monday, November 16, 2020
Council Chambers
Hamilton City Hall
71 Main Street West

**Present:** Councillors J.P. Danko (Chair), S. Merulla (Vice-Chair), C. Collins,

J. Farr, L. Ferguson, T. Jackson, N. Nann, E. Pauls, M. Pearson and

A. VanderBeek

**Absent with** 

**Regrets:** Councillor T. Whitehead – Personal

Also Present: Councillor B. Johnson

\_\_\_\_\_

# THE PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE PRESENTS REPORT 20-011 AND RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDS:

1. Solid Waste Management Master Plan Five-Year Review (PW20072) (City Wide) (Item 8.1)

That the proposed City of Hamilton Solid Waste Management Master Plan 2020 Update be approved, as summarized in Appendix "A" attached to Public Works Committee Report 20-011.

- 2. Public Bike Share Program Phased Procurement Process (PED20109(c)) (City Wide) (Item 8.2)
  - (a) That staff undertake a phased approach to the securement of a long-term operator for the City's bike share operations, comprising the following:
    - (i) Entering into a contract extension with Hamilton Bike Share Inc. for a period up to December 31, 2022 to continue operation of the existing base bike share system based substantially on the same terms and conditions as the existing agreement;

- (ii) Establishing a fee-based non-exclusive contract system for the operation of micro-mobility technologies in the City right-of-way, and initiating an open, non-exclusive process for private operators to obtain the ability to operate micro-mobility technologies in the City;
- (b) That staff be directed to report back to the Public Works Committee on the recommended process, structure, scope and fees for a micro-mobility contract system as well as any necessary by-law changes;
- (c) That Council authorizes, directs, and delegates authority to the General Manager, Planning and Economic Development Department, to execute, on behalf of the City of Hamilton, the necessary agreements to extend the existing contract with Hamilton Bike Share Inc. for a period up to December 31, 2022, all in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor; and,
- (d) That staff evaluate the results of the phased approach for the securement of the City's bike share operations and report back to the Public Works Committee no later than Q2 2022 with a recommended procurement process to secure a long-term micro-mobility operator or operators for 2023 and beyond.
- 3. City of Hamilton Watermain Fire Flow Requirement Design Guidelines Policy (PW19096(a)) (City Wide) (Item 9.2)

That the City of Hamilton Watermain Fire Flow Requirement Design Guidelines Policy attached as Appendix "B" to Public Works Committee Report 20-011 be approved.

- 4. Universal Concession Fare Policy (PW20069) (City Wide) (Item 9.3)
  - (a) That effective January 1, 2021, Hamilton Street Railway (HSR) fare policy be changed to reflect:
    - (i) children five (5) years of age and under ride public transit for free;
    - (ii) youth thirteen (13) to nineteen (19) years of age ride public transit at a discounted Youth rate when they show proof of age at boarding either with student identification or government-issued identification; and,
    - (iii) the discounted Summer Youth 2 for 1 pass be removed.
- 5. Cross-boundary Connection with Niagara Regional Transit On-Demand Transit Pilot (PW20070) (City Wide) (Item 9.4)
  - (a) That Niagara Regional Transit (NRT) be permitted to operate within the

City of Hamilton's municipal boundary to provide a cross-boundary transit connection at Winona Crossing (Fifty Road and South Service Road); and,

(b) That the General Manager of Public Works be authorized and directed to negotiate and execute an agreement with Niagara Region, to the satisfaction of the City Solicitor, with respect to the terms upon which public bus transportation shall be furnished by the adjoining municipality within our municipality, pursuant to the *Municipal Act*, 2001.

# 6. Waste Free Ontario Act - Proposed Regulation to amend the Blue Box Program (PW20073) (City Wide) (Item 9.5)

That the comments in Appendix "C" attached to Public Works Committee Report 20-011 be forwarded to the Ontario Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks (MECP) in response to Ontario's Environmental and Regulatory Registries (ERO #019-2579) posting respecting the proposed Regulation to make producers responsible for operating Ontario's Blue Box Program.

# 7. Kenilworth Traffic Circle Water Feature and Beautification (Ward 4) (Item 10.1)

WHEREAS, there is interest from Ward 4 residents to enhance the Kenilworth Traffic Circle to allow for the potential installation of water feature and additional floral planting beds to beautify the roadway;

WHEREAS, floral beautification and design elements in the road allowance is appreciated by residents and visitors to the City of Hamilton;

WHEREAS, a preliminary design concept is required to understand the servicing requirements and to develop a cost estimate for a water feature and planting bed; and:

WHEREAS, there is currently no funding for the proposed enhancements;

#### THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- (a) That staff engage a consultant to develop a conceptual plan and cost estimate for the construction of a water feature and additional floral planting beds in the Kenilworth Traffic Circle, with a capital cost of \$25,000 to be funded from the Ward 4 Special Capital Re-Investment Reserve Account;
- (b) That any funds remaining in the Project ID after the Kenilworth Traffic Circle water feature and beautification study is completed, be returned to the Ward 4 Special Capital Re-Investment Reserve Account; and,

(c) That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized and directed to execute any required agreement(s) and ancillary documents, with such terms and conditions in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

# 8. Lisgar Park Bocce Courts and Lisgar Park Clubhouse/Washroom Facilities Security Enhancements (Ward 6) (Item 10.2)

WHEREAS, the City of Hamilton (the "City") is the owner of the lands and buildings located at Lisgar Park, municipally known as 95 Carson Drive, Hamilton and which property includes the Lisgar Park Bocce Courts and Lisgar Park Clubhouse/ Washroom Facilities, hereinafter collectively referred to as ("Lisgar Park");

WHEREAS, the City is committed to providing safe and inclusive spaces for all residents to enjoy recreational activities within their neighborhoods by implementing measures that mitigate risks associated with vandalism and other security breaches;

WHEREAS, several initiatives are currently in progress consistent with City Council's July 2020 approval of Report #PW20046 whereby staff committed to creating a Parks Security Committee (PSC) in Q3 of 2020 that will identify all applicable park properties and categorize each property as a regular site or high priority property based on recent activities and criminal behaviors (past 3 years) and whereby a 2-year pilot "Parks Security Patrol" program is set to commence in the spring of 2021;

WHEREAS, there have been an increasing number of repeated vandalism and security incidents over the past several years at the Lisgar Park, including three separate break and enter incidents in 2020, and such incidents undeniably have caused erosion of the public trust and confidence in the safety of the Lisgar Park facilities;

WHEREAS, since 2018 over \$6,000 has been spent on repairs, graffiti and damages directly related to vandalism; and,

WHEREAS, the City wishes to proactively address the safety concerns of the community at Lisgar Park, as well as, to mitigate future risks of repeated vandalism incidents;

### THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

(a) That, in advance of the start of the Parks Security Patrol Program, staff designate Lisgar Park as a high priority for implementation of proactive security measures, so as to mitigate further risks of destructive behaviours at this park;

- (b) That the Corporate Security Office and staff in the Parks Division work collaboratively to procure and install security enhancing measures at Lisgar Park including, but not limited to, CCTV cameras, intrusion detection systems, enhanced lighting, signage, fencing and horticulture related sightline mitigation and any other security measures as may deemed appropriate by the Corporate Security Specialist working collaboratively with the Manager of Parks;
- (c) That funding for the security enhancement measures at Lisgar Park, estimated at \$20,000 +/- 10% contingency, be funded from the Ward 6 Special Capital Re-Investment Discretionary Fund (#3302009600) and that the operating impact of capital estimated at \$150 annually for monitoring costs be appropriated to Operating Account Dept Id #792667; and,
- (d) That the Mayor and City Clerk be authorized and directed to execute any required agreement(s) and ancillary documents, with such terms and conditions in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

#### FOR INFORMATION:

## (a) CHANGES TO THE AGENDA (Item 1)

The Committee Clerk advised of the following changes to the agenda:

# 4. COMMUNICATIONS (Item 4)

 4.1 Correspondence from Hamilton Bike Share Inc. respecting Item 8.2
 - Public Bike Share Program Phased Procurement Process (PED20109(c)) (City Wide)

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the consideration of Item 8.2 - Public Bike Share Program Phased Procurement Process (PED20109(c)) (City Wide).

4.2 Correspondence from Joanna Chapman respecting Item 9.1 - Road Safety Review and Appropriate Measures at the York Road and Newman Road Intersection (PW20071/PED20196) (Ward 13)

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the consideration of Item 9.1 - Road Safety Review and Appropriate Measures at the York Road and Newman Road Intersection (PW20071/PED20196) (Ward 13)

The agenda for the November 16, 2020 Public Works Committee meeting was approved, as amended.

### (b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 2)

There were no declarations of interest.

## (c) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETING (Item 3)

(i) October 19, 2020 (Item 3.1)

The Minutes of the October 19, 2020 meeting of the Public Works Committee were approved, as presented.

### (d) COMMUNICATIONS (Item 4)

(i) Correspondence from Hamilton Bike Share Inc. respecting Item 8.2 - Public Bike Share Program Phased Procurement Process (PED20109(c)) (City Wide) (Added Item 4.1)

The correspondence from Hamilton Bike Share Inc., respecting Item 8.2 - Public Bike Share Program Phased Procurement Process (PED20109(c)) (City Wide), was received and referred to the consideration of Item 8.2.

For further disposition of this matter, refer to Items 2 and (f)(ii).

(ii) Correspondence from Joanna Chapman respecting Item 9.1 - Road Safety Review and Appropriate Measures at the York Road and Newman Road Intersection (PW20071/PED20196) (Ward 13) (Added Item 4.2)

The correspondence from Joanna Chapman respecting Item 9.1 - Road Safety Review and Appropriate Measures at the York Road and Newman Road Intersection (PW20071/PED20196) (Ward 13), was received and referred to the consideration of Item 9.1.

For further disposition of this matter, refer to Item (g)(i).

## (e) CONSENT ITEMS (Item 6)

- (a) Consent Items 6.1 and 6.2 were received, as presented:
  - (i) Hamilton Cycling Committee Minutes September 2, 2020 (Item 6.1)
  - (ii) Hamilton Cycling Committee Minutes October 7, 2020 (Item 6.2)

### (f) STAFF PRESENTATIONS (Item 8)

(i) Solid Waste Management Master Plan Five-Year Review (PW20072) (City Wide) (Item 8.1)

Angela Storey, Manager, Business Programs, addressed Committee respecting Report PW20072, Solid Waste Management Master Plan Five-Year Review, with the aid of a presentation.

The presentation, respecting Report PW20072, Solid Waste Management Master Plan Five-Year Review, was received.

For further disposition of this matter, refer to Item 1.

(ii) Public Bike Share Program Phased Procurement Process (PED20109(c)) (City Wide) (Item 8.2)

Peter Topalovic, Project Manager, Sustainable Mobility, addressed Committee respecting Report PED20109(c), Public Bike Share Program Phased Procurement Process, with the aid of a presentation.

The presentation, respecting Report PED20109(c), Public Bike Share Program Phased Procurement Process, was received.

For further disposition of this matter, refer to Item 2.

### (g) DISCUSSION ITEMS (Item 9)

(i) Road Safety Review and Appropriate Measures at the York Road and Newman Road Intersection (PW20071/PED20196) (Ward 13) (Outstanding Business List Item) (Item 9.1)

Report PW20071/PED20196, respecting a Road Safety Review and Appropriate Measures at the York Road and Newman Road Intersection (Ward 13), was DEFERRED to a future Public Works Committee meeting to allow staff the opportunity to meet with the Ward Councillor and review their concerns.

# (h) GENERAL INFORMATION / OTHER BUSINESS (Item 12)

(i) Amendments to the Outstanding Business List (Item 12.1)

The following amendments to the Public Works Committee's Outstanding Business List, were approved:

(a) Items Requiring a New Due Date:

(i) Redevelopment / Reuse of the former King George School Site, at 77 Gage Avenue North Item on OBL: V

Current Due Date: November 16, 2020 Proposed New Due Date: March 22, 2021

- (ii) Moving Hamilton Towards a Zero Plastic Waste Plan Item on OBL: AY Current Due Date: December 7, 2020 Proposed New Due Date: February 1, 2021
- (iii) Ward 1 Multi-Modal Connections Review Item on OBL: ABD Current Due Date: November 16, 2020 Proposed New Due Date: April 9, 2021
- (iv) COVID-19 Recovery Phase Mobility Plan Item on OBL: ABE Current Due Date: November 2, 2020 Proposed New Due Date: December 7, 2020

## (i) ADJOURNMENT (Item 14)

There being no further business, the Public Works Committee was adjourned at 3:26 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Councillor J.P. Danko Chair, Public Works Committee

Alicia Davenport Legislative Coordinator Office of the City Clerk

# City of Hamilton Solid Waste Management Master Plan 2020 Update



# Table of Contents

Executive Summary	3
Current SWMMP and Program Performance	4
2020 SWMMP Update Process	4
Public Consultation	5
Municipal Review	6
Technology Review	6
Staff Evaluation	6
Factors Impacting Staff Evaluation	7
Current Action Items	7
New Development Design Requirements	7
Multi-Residential Data Gathering	8
Strategy to Reduce Single Use Plastics	8
Fourth Transfer Station and Community Recycling Centre	8
Optimizing Capacity at CCF	8
Glanbrook Landfill Development	8
Route Optimization	9
Blue Box Transition	9
2021-2025 SWMMP Action Items	9
As mentioned above, this update includes 11 action items that staff will carry out over the new years (2021 to 2025) and have been selected based on public opinion, their use in other murand input from staff. These 11 action items are as follows:	icipalities
Waste Performance Metrics and Related Policies	9
Supporting Community Reduce and Reuse Programs	10
Update to Single-Family Waste Audit Methodology	10
Existing Program Improvements	10
Trash Tag Program	11
Construction and Demolition Waste	11
Options for MRF After Transition and CCF if Processing is Done Off-Site	12
Inter-Municipal Policies on Inter-Municipal Partnerships	12
Preparation for Next Waste Collection Contract	13
Increased Curbside Enforcement	13
Const. Programmed	4.4

# **Executive Summary**

Since September 2019, Hamilton staff have worked to develop the 2020 update to Hamilton's Solid Waste Management Master Plan (SWMMP). This update includes 11 action items that staff will carry out over the next five years (2021 to 2025) and have been selected based on public opinion, their use in other municipalities and input from staff. The planning period for this update ends at 2025 to coincide with the currently planned date for the blue box program to be fully transitioned to the responsibility of producers. This transition will have a significant impact on the waste management system in Hamilton, and Ontario as a whole, and will most likely require the City to review its SWMMP at that time.

The action items included in this update have been selected to best position the City to adapt to the transition of the blue box program and to support the guiding principles of Hamilton's current SWMMP.

# Current SWMMP and Program Performance

Hamilton's first Solid Waste Management Master Plan (SWMMP) was approved by council in 2001 and was created to establish a long-term strategy for waste in the City. This first iteration of Hamilton's SWMMP set goals for the following 25 years and included setting a waste diversion target of 65% by 2008 and two guiding principles for the SWMMP:

- The City of Hamilton must maintain responsibility for the residual wastes generated within its boundaries. Inter-regional diversion facilities will be considered.
- The Glanbrook landfill is a valuable resource, and the City of Hamilton must optimize the use of its disposal capacity to ensure that there is a disposal site for Hamilton's residual materials that cannot be otherwise diverted

The 2001 SWMMP was created to establish a strategy for waste in Hamilton for the following 25 years.

Starting in 2010, City staff began the process of updating the SWMMP and this update was approved by Council in 2012. This update reaffirmed the 65% waste diversion target from 2001 but revised the target date of meeting this goal to 2021. At that time, a third guiding principle was added:

• The City of Hamilton must lead and encourage the changes necessary to adopt the principle of Waste Minimization

Both the 2001 and 2012 versions of the SWMMP included multiple recommendations to assist Hamilton in reaching its waste diversion target. Some of the 2012 recommendations were:

- Undertake an operational review and needs analysis of transfer stations and community recycling centres
- Continue to use the Glanbrook landfill for disposal, and consider alternative disposal capacity in the next SWMMP review
- Undertake a feasibility study of expanding capacity at the Central Composting Facility (CCF)

Although many SWMMP recommendations have been fulfilled, the Council-endorsed waste diversion target of 65% has yet to be realized with the highest annual diversion rate to date being 44% which was achieved in 2013.

# 2020 SWMMP Update Process

Beginning in 2019, staff began the process to update the SWMMP. The goal of this process was to create an action plan for five years (2021 to 2025) that struck a balance between what the public thought were priorities, what has been successful in other municipalities and what staff saw as feasible and practical.

To inform staff in the development of the 2020 SWMMP, a consultant was hired to lead three data-gathering activities. These data gathering activities included public consultation (which

included focus groups and a survey), reviewing what programs other municipalities have successfully implemented and the direction of their SWMMPs, and a review of technological trends throughout the waste industry. For each one of these activities, a detailed technical report was provided to staff by the consultant. The next step in the process was presenting to staff the items that received public support, saw success in other municipalities and was viewed as promising technologies so they could be evaluated for inclusion in the 2020 SWMMP update. Those items that were deemed as valid options through the staff evaluation were included as action items in the 2020 SWMMP update.

### **Public Consultation**

In updating the SWMMP it was vital to have feedback from Hamilton residents on what they liked and disliked about the current program and how they thought it could be improved. To accomplish this, two forms of public consultation were carried out, an online survey and focus groups.

The online survey was open from January 6 to February 7, 2020 and was advertised through multiple mediums to the public. The results were 3,788 completed surveys and another 1,776 partially completed surveys for a total of 5,554. Out of the total number of respondents there were 3,987 confirmed residents in single-family homes with 314 confirmed residents in apartment or condominiums. Aside from residents, the survey also solicited input from business-owners in Hamilton. The average survey completion time was 16 minutes and included a total of 88 questions that required residents to select provided options and provided the option for respondents to write-in answers. The survey did include skip logic as some question were not applicable to all residents. The survey covered the following subject areas:

- Demographics
- Current waste management system
- Multi-residential waste practices
- Single-family waste practices
- Local business waste practices
- What changes respondents would like to see in the waste system (waste collection methods, processing technologies, etc.)
- How respondents receive information and is it effective

There were three focus group sessions carried out to solicit detailed feedback from three different stakeholder groups:

- Single-family dwelling residents 7 participants
- Multi-residential dwelling residents and property managers 6 participants
- Local business representatives and owners 3 participants

The focus groups covered much of the same information as the survey.

## Municipal Review

The 2020 SWMMP update consisted of reviewing what actions other municipalities have included in their SWMMPs as well as reviewing what they've done to engage and educate their residents on waste programs, what industry best practices they've implemented, established future policies to support waste diversion, existing waste removal programs and services and what guiding principles the municipality has established. The reviewed Ontario municipalities were:

- Toronto
- Ottawa
- London
- Sudbury

- Guelph
- Durham Region
- York Region
- Halton Region

- Niagara Region
- Oxford County
- Peel Region
   Waterloo Region
- Dufferin County

In addition to Ontario municipalities, the cities of Calgary, Edmonton, Halifax, Vancouver and Victoria were also reviewed. This review provided valuable insight into how other municipalities achieved waste diversion targets that surpassed Hamilton's.

# **Technology Review**

The goal of the technology review was to identify technological options for staff to consider. The drawback of the information that was provided is that a full analysis on how the investigated technologies could be applied to Hamilton was not carried out (an example of a full analysis would be a feasibility study on the technology). There were five broad technological areas that were investigated:

- Collection Technologies
- Processing Technologies
- Conversion Technologies
- Residual Waste Management Technologies (other than landfill)
- Residual Waste Management Technologies (at landfill)

19 technologies were identified in the review that Hamilton does not currently have in place and included cart collection from single-family dwellings, mixed-waste processing, anaerobic digestion, energy from waste and landfill mining.

### Staff Fvaluation

Upon completion of the data gathering activities, staff were presented with a short list of potential action items. To be placed on the short list, the action items had to have received public support, all of the program and policy options had to have been proven to be successful in other municipalities and all of the technologies were identified as either emerging or established. Staff then evaluated each item based on how feasible and practical they were for the City and their value in maintaining the SWMMP guiding principles. Determining how feasible and practical action items were was dependent on two main elements: external factors

on the proposed action item; and if the item was included in work currently underway by staff. Any items that would be adversely affected by external factors outside of the City's control or are currently being worked on by staff were not included as new action items under this update. The final piece of the staff evaluation was creating a schedule of when the selected action items could be implemented.

# **Factors Impacting Staff Evaluation**

### **Transition of the Blue Box Program to Producers**

Through the Waste Free Ontario Act, the responsibility of the blue box program (both collections and processing) will be transitioned away from municipalities and to the producers of blue box material. This transition will have significant impacts on Hamilton's waste system which includes collection of recyclables from single-family homes, multi-residential buildings and businesses, and the sorting of recyclables at the City-owned Material Recycling Facility (MRF). The planned timeline for transition is from January 1, 2023 to December 31, 2025 and Hamilton City Council previously endorsed a preferred transition date between April 1, 2023 and December 31, 2023. Because of this pending transition, any potential action items that required changes to Hamilton's blue box program were eliminated by staff as potential action items for this update. An example of this would include adding new materials to the City's blue box program which would require capital investments in the MRF.

# **Future of the Central Composting Facility**

All of Hamilton's green bin material is currently processed at the City's Central Composting Facility (CCF). This facility is owned by the City but the operation of it is contracted out. In preparing the RFP for the new operating contract, Council approved a motion in June 2020 to allow as an option for the City's green bin material to be processed off-site by a third-party processor and to not use the CCF. Because of this potential processing change, any potential action items that required changes to Hamilton's green bin program were eliminated by staff as potential action items for this update. Examples of this would include adding materials to the green bin program or changing how the green bin material will be processed (i.e. anaerobic digestion).

### **Current Action Items**

The action items listed below are projects currently underway by staff and as such, have not been included as new action items for this update.

# New Development Design Requirements

To guide how new developments must be designed to accommodate waste collection and provide access for residents to waste diversion programs, the City has a document entitled "Solid Waste Collection Design Guidelines for Developments". This document is currently being revised by staff to better align with the intensification of new development in Hamilton.

# Multi-Residential Data Gathering

To address data gaps and gain a better understanding of the behaviours of residents in multi-residential dwellings, staff are moving forward with site inspections and waste audits on multi-residential buildings. The data collected from the initial waste audits will be used as baseline information before implementing any new programs in the audited buildings. Data from audits carried out after the implementation of new programs will then be compared against the baseline data to conclude if a program is successful and should be expanded to all multi-residential buildings in Hamilton. Information gained from site inspections will be used by staff to populate a database on multi-residential buildings to ensure staff has updated information.

# Strategy to Reduce Single Use Plastics

As per Council direction, staff is currently drafting a City strategy to reduce single-use plastics. This strategy will be provided to Council for approval prior to its initiation and focusses on restricting or banning the use and distribution of single-use plastics within City owned or City managed facilities while providing guidance to residents and businesses. This strategy will be developed to be consistent with the federal ban on single-use plastics that was announced on October 7, 2020 to come into effect by the end of 2021.

# Fourth Transfer Station and Community Recycling Centre

The 2012 update to the SWMMP included a recommendation for staff to carry out an operational review and needs analysis on the City's existing three transfer stations/community recycling centres (TS/CRCs). This study determined that a fourth TS/CRC is required as the Mountain TS/CRC would exceed its capacity shortly. Staff began the process of adding a fourth TS/CRC and this work will continue in the following years.

# Optimizing Capacity at CCF

If the new operating contract for the CCF includes processing material on-site, staff will begin work to implement the required changes to the CCF to allow continued and improved operations. These changes will include seeking approval for the required investments in capital upgrades, seek the regulatory approvals for the site and oversee the installation of new equipment that will allow for expanded site operating and processing capacities to keep pace with City growth projections. Depending on the timing of some of the initial steps, it is anticipated that if required, the CCF could have approval to operate with an expanded capacity by no later than 2025.

## Glanbrook Landfill Development

Staff have been completing development studies required to support the eventual, long-term Ministry of the Environment, Conservation and Parks approved expansion into Stage 4 of the Glanbrook Landfill. Preliminary Leaf and Yard Waste compost pad improvement work required to allow the current compost pad to meet the additional tonnage being generated and delaying a full relocation of the operation for at least ten years, is planned to be completed in 2020.

# **Route Optimization**

Staff have commenced with a review of current collection vehicle routes to identify potential gains in efficiency. Currently, software is being pilot tested for use with bulk collection services and a consultant is studying the City's collection routes. Changes to bulk collection routes are anticipated to be made in 2021. Should results of the analysis show significant savings to the City in the form of fuel, labour, capital and / or operating expenses, staff will report back to Council on the potential benefits of optimizing routes for other waste streams.

### Blue Box Transition

The City has requested a transition date of April 1, 2023, although the actual approved date could be any time between January 1, 2023 and December 31, 2025. Discussions between the City and the Province will continue on the final date to try and get the best date possible for City taxpayers.

# 2021-2025 SWMMP Action Items

As mentioned above, this update includes 11 action items that staff will carry out over the next five years (2021 to 2025) and have been selected based on public opinion, their use in other municipalities and input from staff. These 11 action items are as follows:

### Waste Performance Metrics and Related Policies

What does this action item include?

With pending legislation to transition the responsibility of the Blue Box Program to producers, over the coming years Hamilton will need to establish new measurements to track the status and performance of the waste management program. The City will also need to establish new targets to define what "success" is. Staff will need to review what the removal of the blue box means to the system and review potential metrics such as measuring the carbon footprint of waste. This will most likely include a detailed review of what metrics other municipalities have implemented and how these metrics would be applicable to Hamilton. Staff will also review potential high-level policies that will support the guiding principles and any new waste metrics that are established. A potential policy could be to ban certain items from entering the garbage stream and eventually being disposed at the Glanbrook Landfill. If an item is banned and this is communicated effectively to the public, there is the potential that this could result in positive program performance. New waste metrics would most likely have less of an impact on program performance depending on how these are communicated to residents but will provide staff and Council with greater understanding of the systems performance.

### Implementation Schedule

New metrics and targets should be in place prior to the City's requested transition date of April 1, 2023. This will require staff studies to take place throughout 2021 and early 2022 with new metrics and targets provided to Council for approval by the end of 2022. Bans on materials

should happen by the end of 2025 and potentially earlier if combined with other waste by-law updates.

# Supporting Community Reduce and Reuse Programs

What does this action item include?

To support efforts to reduce and reuse waste, staff are proposing to create and enact a policy (or policies) that will provide greater support for these programs led by community groups and non-profit organizations. These policies will clearly define what types of programs can be supported and how, which could include the promotion of programs through City communications. Policy development would include input from multiple divisions within the City to reduce the risk of future policy changes unintentionally negatively impacting other groups. Clearly defining the role of staff in supporting these programs will be important to provide consistent support.

Implementation Schedule

Policy should be in place by the end of 2021.

# Update to Single-Family Waste Audit Methodology

What does this action item include?

Waste audits on single-family homes is currently carried out in Hamilton as coordinated by Stewardship Ontario. These waste audits are used to generate data specifically on the blue box program and materials included in the blue box program found in the garbage stream. This action item proposes to update the waste audit methodology for single-family homes so that the audits are more in line with the goals of the SWMMP and to provide more usable and reliable data. This will involve carrying out a study to review the audit methodology and determine appropriate audit sample size, timing of audits, sample areas etc. The goal of the waste audits will also be more clearly defined to include how the data will be used (for example to target resident behaviour) and align with any new waste metrics that are developed.

Implementation Schedule

The development of new singe-family waste auditing methodology should be complete by the end of 2023.

### **Existing Program Improvements**

What does this action item include?

This action item will focus on the review of three active Hamilton programs to determine how to most effectively improve them: business recognition, waste diversion at special events and school education on waste programs. All three of these programs will be reviewed to determine how to improve each of them.

Revising the business recognition program may require staff to revisit how commercial properties are serviced by the City, what would define a top performing business and how businesses could be recognized. Staff may also look at how to increase the popularity of the program so that the program has more recognition with restaurant patrons.

For the special event program, staff will review the Special Events Advisory Team (SEAT) process and policies to determine improvements such as expanding the program to smaller events and how to hold event organizers more accountable when required waste management practices are not met.

The current school education program centers around presentations being made to school groups mainly in the grade 5 age range. Staff will investigate more online education tools to be available to a wider range of students and how to improve the reach of this program.

Some of the work involved in improving these programs will be carried out in conjunction with the implementation of the Hamilton Strategy to Reduce Single-Use Plastics which includes action items under each of these programs.

Implementation Schedule

Focus on improving these programs will continue throughout this planning period to the end of 2025.

# Trash Tag Program

What does this action item include?

Staff will review the current trash tag program to identify any opportunities to better align this program with the SWMMP guiding principles. The first piece will include data gathering (that most likely will occur at the same time as single-family audits) and then analyzing what program changes make the most sense for consideration. These changes could include the sale of bag tags, decreasing the number of tags provided to residents or having different a different number of available trash tags for different types of properties.

Implementation Schedule

Data gathering is planned to occur between 2022 and 2023 with implementation as early as 2024.

### Construction and Demolition Waste

What does this action item include?

This action item will focus on completing a feasibility study to review expanding the type and quantity of C&D materials that are managed by the City and how this would support the SWMMP guiding principles. The feasibility study will specifically look at comparing the costs of increasing the scope of a City program for C&D materials against diversion rates and material disposed at Glanbrook Landfill. The feasibility study will also review how the City could

influence the management of C&D material without actually increasing the City's role including through education and potential partnerships with local commercial operations.

Implementation Schedule

The earliest a feasibility study will be initiated is the end of 2022.

# Options for MRF After Transition and CCF if Processing is Done Off-Site

What does this action item include?

Due to the transition of the blue box program and the potential for Hamilton's organic waste to be processed at a third-party location, there is uncertainty over the use of the property that currently houses both the MRF and CCF. The goal of this action item will be to determine the most effective use of this property if one, or both of these facilities no longer function in their current capacity. This will involve staff commissioning studies on design options to maximize the space of the facility and what options exist to support the overall waste management system within the City of Hamilton. Options could range from leasing the existing MRF property to private operators for use as a MRF or as a transfer station, or transitioning the property to a City-operated transfer station and/or community recycling centre. Staff will also look at the feasibility of using the property for a more innovative waste processing option. A recommended approach could then be provided to Council for approval and staff would work towards implementing the approved approach.

### Implementation Schedule

Studies are planned to commence in 2021 with the goal of implementation to begin once Hamilton transitions its blue box program. Hamilton has requested a transition date between April 1 and December 31, 2023 however this transition date is not guaranteed at this time.

### Inter-Municipal Policies on Inter-Municipal Partnerships

What does this action item include?

To allow staff to investigate and potentially pursue partnerships with other municipalities that support the SWMMP's guiding principles, a policy (or policies) must be created that sets the parameters for such partnerships. Partnerships could result in financial and environmental benefits to Hamilton. The policy should clarify the degree to which any exploratory intermunicipal working groups can progress before obtaining approval from the Waste Management Advisory Committee and / or Public Works Committee to proceed further. Creation of a policy document will provide guidance to staff in determining what they can investigate and improve response times to outreach from other municipalities.

Implementation Schedule

Creation of a new policy for approval is planned for the end of 2021.

# Preparation for Next Waste Collection Contract

What does this action item include?

A new Council approved waste collection contract will be in place in 2021 and will remain in effect until 2028. Although 2028 is after the planning period of this SWMMP update, to include any major changes to the way waste is collected, investigation of new collection methods and having approvals for new collection methods must happen with enough lead time to incorporate these changes in the next waste collection contract.

The most apparent collection option is the use of carts for waste collection from single-family homes. Before implementing such a drastic change, greater investigation into resident opinion of this technology must be carried out as well as studies on the different options for implementation, associated costs and savings for both City forces and the next contract and developing a roll-out plan. Testing of carts in different neighbourhoods will also need to be included.

Aside from looking into new collection methods, staff will also complete a study on the potential benefits of alterations to the current collections schedule. This could include a realignment of collection days or number of collection days.

### Implementation Schedule

Preliminary investigation activities must be complete by the end of 2024 with the implementation of any testing beginning in 2025 for a one-year period. This will allow for approvals of any proposed changes and RFP preparation to occur in 2026 and 2027.

### Increased Curbside Enforcement

What does this action item include?

This action item will look at the feasibility of different enforcement options to reject garbage set out at the curb based on what is included in the container. To be effective, this would need to be supported by updated waste policies that include banning certain materials in the garbage stream from single-family homes (such as organic waste). An example of an effective method of enforcing the contents of the garbage stream at the curb is to require material to be set out in clear bags. Staff will also investigate the standardization of curbside monitoring between City and contracted collection staff. This could be done through auditing as well as education and training. A shift to using clear bags may impact the 2028 waste collection contract and as such, this work should be considered in combination with the action item for preparation of that contract.

# Implementation Schedule

Standardization of enforcement and investigation of different enforcement methods, such as the required use of clear bags, is planned to be complete by the end of 2022.

# Green Procurement

What does this action item include?

Staff will contribute to the development of internal policies that support the recognition of what qualifies as a "green" product and recommend preference be provided to those products. This may be coupled with the implementation of the single-use plastics strategy.

Staff can continue to develop modifications to the scoring of competitive bid proposals that recognize best practices from businesses and institutions meeting set environmental standards, including waste management.

Implementation Schedule

Completion is expected by the end of the 2025 planning period.

Table 1 - City of Hamilton Watermain Fire Flow Requirement Design Guidelines Policy Table

Policy No.	Policy Area	Policy Statement	Best Practices and Criteria
2019-FF-1	Development Application Approach	"The City of Hamilton endeavours through this policy, to provide a water distribution network with a system Available Fire Flow (AFF – water available for fighting a fire) that meets the greater of the Required Fire Flow calculated using the Ontario Building Code (OBC) water supply flow rate method or the City's Target AFF based on land use. Developers shall be responsible for providing the system AFF appropriate for the development being proposed."	<ul> <li>Shorter approvals times with fewer submissions</li> <li>Potential reduced construction, maintenance and replacement costs</li> <li>Clarity and consistency in the calculations approach</li> <li>Reasonable sizing of local watermains</li> <li>Aligns with established Ontario Building Code-OBC practice</li> </ul>
2019-FF-1a	Development Application Approach	"Developers are required to meet OBC standards for building construction. No credits will be considered for reducing required fire flow outside of any provisions contained within the <i>Ontario Building Code Act</i> or regulations under the <i>Act</i> ."	
2019-FF-1b	Development Application Approach	"OBC required fire flow calculations will be required as part of any development application submission. The required fire flow will be determined using the OBC water supply flow rate method (OBC section A-3.2.5.7). This methodology will be applied to all buildings falling under Part 3 and Part 9 of the Building Code (OBC sections 1.1.2.2 and 1.1.2.4). "	
2019-FF-1c	Development Application Approach	"System available fire flow calculations will be required as part of a development application submission and will be based on field testing and/or hydraulic modelling (as directed by the City). System available fire flow shall meet or exceed the greater of OBC required fire flow or the target AFF for the land use being proposed. For mixed use developments the target available fire flow	

Table 1 - City of Hamilton Watermain Fire Flow Requirement Design Guidelines Policy Table

Policy No.	Policy Area	Policy Statement		<b>Best Practices and Criteria</b>
		will be based on the proposed land-use with the highest target available fire flow. The target available fire flow will be as defined in Table 1: Target AFF"		
		Table 1: Target AFF		
		Land Use (L/s)	Target AFF	
		Commercial	150	
		Small ICI (<1,800 m3) <sup>1</sup>	100	
		Industrial	250	
		Institutional	150	
		Residential Multi <sup>2</sup>	150	
		Residential Medium (3 or less units) <sup>3</sup>	125	
		Residential Single	75	
		Residential Single (Dead End)	50	
		1 1800m3 represents a maximum building volume that qualifies as "Small ICI"		
		2Residential Multi is defined as a residential with > 3 units		
		3Residential Medium is defined as a residuith ≤ 3 units	lentialdwelling	
2019-FF-1d	Development Application Approach	"System upgrades required to achieve the greater of the OBC required fire flow or the target available fire flow (Table 1) will be the responsibility of the developer subject		

Table 1 - City of Hamilton Watermain Fire Flow Requirement Design Guidelines Policy Table

Policy No.	Policy Area	Policy Statement	Best Practices and Criteria
		to local servicing policy and subject to the City's state of good repair program."	
2019-FF-2	Master Plan Approach	"The City of Hamilton will establish acceptable trunk infrastructure levels of service for fire flow and storage through consideration of land use and the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks Design Guidelines".	<ul> <li>Robust and reliable trunk network and infrastructure from which local sub-networks are serviced</li> <li>Offers flexibility in growth options and GRIDS2 growth strategies</li> </ul>
2019-FF-2a	Master Plan Approach	"The City's Master Plan process will continue to establish system level of service for fire flow (trunk system and facilities)".	
2019-FF-2b	Master Plan Approach	"The City's Master Plan process, which will be based on Growth Related Integrated Development Strategy (GRIDS2) and the City's Official Plan, will proactively develop intensification programs that will identify development related upgrades that can address both growth and fire flow deficiencies".	
2019-FF-3	State of Good Repair Approach	"The City will be setting minimum available fire flow targets based on the recommendations of this study. The City will upgrade watermains to achieve target available fire flows, where practically feasible, through its ongoing state of good repair program".	
2019-FF-4	Conformity with Legislation	As required this policy will be reviewed and amended to align with changes in related legislation.	

# **City of Hamilton ERO Comments**

Proposed Regulation and proposed regulatory amendments to Ontario Regulation 101/94 to make producers responsible for operating Ontario's Blue Box Program (ERO: 019-2579)

As a member of the Regional Public Works Commissioners of Ontario (RPWCO), the City of Hamilton is providing comments on the proposed Regulation and proposed regulatory amendments to Ontario Regulation 101/94 to make producers responsible for operating Ontario's Blue Box Program.

The following comments represent sections of the proposed Regulation that the City of Hamilton has concerns with, would like the MECP to consider or would like additional information on:

1. Request to change scheduled transition date: The City of Hamilton requested through a Council resolution to transition the Blue Box Program on April 1, 2023; however, the transition schedule attached to the proposed Regulation identified the transition year for the City of Hamilton as 2025. Delaying transition for an additional two years creates increased financial burden to continue to support the municipal Blue Box Program until the eventual transition date. The cost estimates prepared by the City of Hamilton assume that the Resource Productivity and Recovery Authority (RPRA) continue to fund municipal recycling programs at 50% until the time of transition. To date, the MECP has not indicated if this will be the case.

It is requested that the City of Hamilton be granted its requested transition date of 2023. If this request is not accepted and the City must retain the transition year of 2025, then the City requests that the MECP provide 100% funding for the Blue Box Program from 2023 to the transition date in 2025. This can be accomplished by either taking the savings from municipalities that transition earlier and distribute it equitably across the province to municipalities with delayed transition or by Producers taking full responsibility in the form of 100% RPRA funding starting in 2023.

- Consideration of stranded assets: Many municipalities such as the City of Hamilton have made financial investments in equipment and infrastructure designed to process recyclable material. In some instances, municipalities may never realize the return on these investments and should be compensated through the regulation for any stranded assets.
- Consideration of stranded contracts: In addition to stranded assets, existing contracts will need to be terminated depending on the timing of the transition. Early termination clauses have been included in the contracts for the collection and processing of recyclables which lead to additional costs to the municipality;

however, a better outcome for the City of Hamilton would be for the Producers to take over the contracts until they reach the end of their contractual term i.e. Curbside Collection.

- 4. Additional disposal costs: It is a concern that municipalities may face additional disposal costs and lost landfill life if the producer responsibility system does not meet its intended waste diversion targets. The City of Hamilton recommends that the future Blue Box Program avoids any additional costs to be paid by municipalities for providing waste diversion programs or managing their waste disposal systems. Municipalities are a major stakeholder regarding waste management services in Ontario; therefore, it is important that municipalities continue to be involved with discussions, assessments, program design, implementation, and outcomes of the actions related to waste diversion and resource recovery infrastructure.
- 5. Seamless transition and continuity of program: The City of Hamilton recommends that the Service Standards identified in the proposed Regulation support ongoing and seamless access to recycling services for customers and that the service is equal to or exceeds the existing service standards. i.e. collection frequency, type of recycling receptacles used, and collection method used.

Maintaining a reasonable level of continuity with existing municipal recycling programs is strongly encouraged to avoid any negative impacts to municipal waste disposal programs. Reducing the recycling program service level will be a disincentive for many residents to participate which could lead to additional materials being sent to landfill and higher costs experienced by municipalities.

- 6. Promotion and Education: The City of Hamilton recommends that the Producers should be responsible for providing ongoing promotion and educational materials for the Blue Box Program to reinforce positive consumer behaviours required to maintain program performance beyond December 31, 2025, including information on how to prepare materials for placement in the blue box receptacle, directions for how materials should be sorted as well as how to contact the recycling collection provider with questions, service issues and complaint resolution.
- 7. Enforcement for non-compliance: The City of Hamilton recommends that additional information be included in the proposed Regulation to identify responsibilities for enforcement procedures respecting non-compliance of the material set out requirements for the Blue Box Program. In particular, municipalities should not be responsible for additional work and costs associated with enforcement activities if blue box materials are set out incorrectly by residences, facilities, or in public spaces.

- 8. Clarification of development charges: Further clarity should be provided in the proposed Regulation on the operational requirements and responsibilities to provide recycling collection and recycling receptacles for new developments. It is strongly recommended that the requirements in the proposed Regulation respecting the Blue Box Program for new developments established after August 15, 2019 does not conflict with the requirements outlined in the Ontario Development Charges Act, 1997, S.O. 1997, c.27. The Ontario Development Charges Act, 1997 currently allows municipalities to use development charges to help pay for waste diversion, such as recycling, yard waste and source separated organics.
- 9. Clarification of building classifications: Many municipalities such as the City of Hamilton provide recycling collection services for residential building classifications which currently are not identified in the list of eligible sources in the proposed Regulation. For example:
  - Institutional residential properties such as group homes as defined in Clause 240(1) of the Municipal Act, 2001, which can be included as part of retirement homes and long-term care facilities;
  - Off-campus student buildings, which can be considered as part of, permanent or seasonal single and multi-family households;
  - Multi-use buildings which include a combination of small commercial units and multi-residential dwellings. For most of these buildings, the waste materials are taken to a common collection area. Further information needs to be provided on the service eligibility for these types of properties since commercial properties are not included as an eligible source in the proposed Regulation;
  - Place of worship with a clergy residence as defined by Municipal Property Assessment Corporation, and;
  - Farm/agricultural properties with residential units.

The City of Hamilton recommends that these types of residential properties are included as part of the eligible sources.

- 10. Clarification of public spaces: The proposed Regulation identifies that Producers are responsible for providing recycling collection for public spaces which includes "parks, playgrounds, or any outdoor area which is owned by, or made available by, a municipality, and that is located in a business improvement area". The City of Hamilton recommends that service is also provided for recycling receptacles in public spaces, such as street side litter / recycling containers which are currently serviced by municipalities that are outside of business improvement areas.
- 11. Expansion to include IC&I waste: The proposed Regulation is focused on capturing materials from residential sources. It is essential that additional

- policies and regulations are developed to expand waste diversion programs to all sectors including industries, commercial properties, and institutions.
- 12. Consideration of legislation related to banning single use plastics: The proposed Regulation identifies the inclusion of a broad range of "blue box packaging" such as disposable straws, cutlery or plates which historically have not been accepted in most municipal Blue Box programs. The Ontario Government must be consistent with legislation from the Federal government related to banning single use plastics and ensure there are sustainable end-markets for all remaining types of blue box materials identified in the proposed Regulation that can be recovered and re-integrated into the economy.
- 13. Clarification of types and costs of receptacles / containers: The proposed Regulation identifies the requirement for the producers to supply blue box receptacles for eligible sources, facilities, and public spaces. The City of Hamilton requires further information be provided respecting the types of containers / receptacles for all eligible sources, confirmation of who will bear the cost and how replacement receptacles will be made available.

The following comments are in support of the proposed Regulation:

- 1. Strategies that reduce waste which considers environmental responsibility, economic requirements and social accountability.
- 1. The Ontario Government's vision of a circular economy should recognize all steps to prevent and reduce waste across the supply chain and by consumers.
- 3. The province-wide standardization of materials eligible for the Blue Box Program is a positive change which will help encourage participation and reduce confusion in the Blue Box Program and support the draft Regulation's goal to improve waste diversion across the province.



# REPORT

20-014

November 17, 2020 9:30 a.m. Council Chambers, Hamilton City Hall 71 Main Street West

**Present:** Councillors J. Farr (Chair), J.P. Danko (1st Vice Chair), C. Collins

M. Pearson, B. Johnson, L. Ferguson, M. Wilson and J.

Partridge

Also in Attendance: Councillor B. Clark

### THE FOLLOWING ITEMS WERE REFERRED TO COUNCIL FOR CONSIDERATION:

1. Adjustments to School Crossing Guard Locations (PED20192) (City Wide) (Item 6.1)

- (a) That the revised list of school crossing guard locations resulting from school closures, openings, construction projects, walking patterns, and lunch program changes in Wards 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 9, 15 and "Spares" outlined as Appendix "A" attached to Report PED20192, be approved;
- (b) That staff be authorized and directed to consult with the affected Ward Councillors and to use delegated authority for adding and/or removing school crossing guards prior to City Council approval for any proposed changes by the Hamilton-Wentworth District School Board (HWDSB) and the Hamilton-Wentworth Catholic District School Board (HWCDSB) for the 2021/2022 school year.
- 2. Applications to Amend the Urban Hamilton Official Plan and the City of Stoney Creek Zoning By-Law No. 3692-92, and for Approval of a Draft Plan of Subdivision for Lands Known as 56 Highland Road West (Stoney Creek) (PED20187) (Ward 9) (Item 7.1)
  - (a) That Amended Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment Application UHOPA-16-021 by Metropolitan Consulting Inc. (c/o Peter De Iulio) on behalf of New Hamilton Development Corporation (Owner), to amend the

West Mountain Area (Heritage Green) Secondary Plan to re-designate a portion of the subject lands from "Low Density Residential 2b" to "Low Density Residential 3c" to permit the development of a maximum of 46 block townhouse and maisonette dwellings with a density of 49 units per hectare, for the lands known as 56 Highland Road West, Stoney Creek, as shown on Appendix "A" to Report PED20187, be APPROVED on the following basis:

- (i) That the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Appendix "B" to Report PED20187, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council; and,
- (ii) That the proposed amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2020) and conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019, as amended).
- (b) That Amended Zoning By-Law Amendment Application ZAC-16-057 by Metropolitan Consulting Inc. (c/o Peter De Iulio) on behalf of New Hamilton Development Corporation (Owner), to rezone the subject lands from the Neighbourhood Development "ND" Zone to the Multiple Residential "RM3-68(H)" Zone, Modified, Holding (Block 1), and from the Single Residential "R2" Zone to the Multiple Residential "RM3-68(H)" Zone, Modified, Holding (Block 2), under Zoning By-law No. 3692-92 (Stoney Creek), to permit the development of 20 maisonette dwelling units and 26 street townhouse dwelling units on a private road (condominium road) with access to Highland Road West, on the lands known as 56 Highland Road West, Stoney Creek, as shown on Appendix "A" to Report PED20187, be APPROVED on the following basis:
  - (i) That the draft By-law attached as Appendix "C" to Report PED20187, *as amended* (sub-section 2(f), Minimum Rear Yard: *6.0* metres for street townhouses and 0.0 metres for maisonettes), which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council;
  - (ii) That the amending By-law apply the Holding Provision of Section 36(1) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990 to the subject lands by introducing the Holding symbol 'H' as a suffix to the proposed zoning for the following:
    - The Holding Provision for the Multiple Residential "RM3-68(H)" Zone, Modified, Holding, shall be removed conditional upon:
    - (1) The owner demonstrating that the existing sanitary sewer on Lormont Boulevard at Picardy Drive can be adequately upsized to provide sufficient capacity to meet City standards and to share in the upgrade costs for development greater

than 30 dwelling units, to the satisfaction of the Senior Director, Growth Management;

- (iii) That the proposed amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2020) and conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019, as amended); and,
- (iv) That this By-law will comply with the Urban Hamilton Official Plan upon approval of Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment No. XX.
- (c) That Draft Plan of Subdivision Application 25T-201608 by Metropolitan Consulting Inc. (c/o Peter De Iulio) on behalf of New Hamilton Development Corporation (Owner), to create two blocks within a registered M Plan in order to allow for a future Condominium development having private roads and freehold lots, on the lands known as 56 Highland Road West, Stoney Creek, as shown on Appendix "F" to Report PED20187, be APPROVED, subject to the following:
  - (i) That this approval apply to the Draft Plan of Subdivision 25T-201608, prepared by Metropolitan Consulting Inc., and certified by B. A. Jacobs, O.L.S., dated January 24, 2020, consisting of one block for street townhouse and maisonette dwellings (Block 'A') and one block for road widening (Block 'B') for the development of a maximum of 26 street townhouse dwellings and 20 maisonette dwellings fronting common element condominium roads, subject to the Owner entering into a standard form subdivision agreement, as approved by City Council and with Special Conditions, attached as Appendix "G" to Report PED20187;
  - (ii) In accordance with the City's Comprehensive Development Guidelines and Financial Policies Manual (2017) there will be no cost sharing for this subdivision; and,
  - (iii) That payment of Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland will be required, pursuant to Section 51 of the *Planning Act*, with the calculation for the payment to be based on the value of the lands on the day prior to the day of issuance of each building permit, all in accordance with the Financial Policies for Development and the City's Parkland Dedication By-laws, as approved by Council.
- (d) That upon approval of Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment Application UHOPA-16-021 and Zoning By-law Amendment Application ZAC-16-057, the subject lands be re-designated from "Low Density Residential" to "Medium Density Residential" in the Felker Neighbourhood Plan; and,

- (e) That the public submissions received regarding this matter did not affect the decision
- 3. Application to Amend the Rural Hamilton Official Plan for Lands Located at 2121 and 2187 Regional Road 56, Glanbrook (PED20027) (Ward 11) (Item 7.2)
  - (a) That staff be directed to prepare a draft Official Plan Amendment to establish a Site-Specific Policy area in Volume 3, Chapter B Rural Site Specific Areas of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan to permit the extension of "lake-based" municipal water services and a connection to municipal wastewater services outside of the urban boundary to service lands located at 2121 and 2187 Regional Road 56, Glanbrook; and,
  - (b) That staff be directed to issue the associated sewer and water permit to allow for connection to municipal wastewater services and extension of municipal water services at the owner's expense.
- 4. Applications for a Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment and Zoning Bylaw Amendments for Lands Located at 8475 English Church Road East, Glanbrook (PED18077) (Ward 11) (Deferred from the October 20, 2020 meeting) (Item 7.3)
  - (a) That Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment Application RHOPA-17-039 submitted by Ed Fothergill, agent, on behalf of Willow Valley Holdings Inc. (Owner), for an amendment to the Rural Hamilton Official Plan Schedule D Rural Land Use Designations to re-designate the lands from Open Space to Rural to permit the creation of two new residential lots, for the lands located at 8475 English Church Road East, Glanbrook, as shown on Appendix "A" to Report PED18077, be DENIED on the following basis:
    - (i) The proposed Application is not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2020) and does not comply with the Provincial Policy Statement airport regulation, agriculture and lot creation policies;
    - (ii) The proposed Application does not comply with the Rural Area and Goods Movement policies A Place to Grow (2019);
    - (iii) The proposed Application does not comply with the policies and intent of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan airport development regulation policies and lot creation policies;
    - (iv) The proposed development does not represent good planning as it is proposing a sensitive land use within the 35-40 NEF contour and the creation of two new lots for non-agricultural purposes in the Rural Hamilton Area.

- (b) That Zoning By-law Amendment Application ZAC-17-082, submitted by Ed Fothergill, agent, on behalf Willow Valley Holdings Inc. (Owner), for a change in zoning from the Open Space (P4) Zone to the Rural (A2) Zone in order to permit the development of two new residential lots, for lands known as 8475 English Church Road East (Glanbrook), as shown on Appendix "A" to Report PED18077 be DENIED on the following basis:
  - (i) The proposed Application is not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2020);
  - (ii) The proposed Application does not comply with the Rural Area and Goods Movement policies of A Place to Grow (2019);
  - (iii) The proposed Application does not comply with the policies and intent of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan airport regulation policies, lot creation policies and is not an appropriate use for the area; and,
  - (iv) The proposal does not meet the general intent of the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No 05-200.
- (c) That the public submissions received regarding this matter did not affect the decision.
- 5. Reconfirmation of Recommendation to Designate the Property Located at 828 Sanatorium Road, Hamilton (Long and Bisby Building) Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act (PED18214(a)) (Ward 8) (Item 9.1)
  - (a) That staff be directed to prepare a revised Notice of Intention to Designate and Statement of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest and Description of Heritage Attributes to designate the Long and Bisby Building and Cross of Lorraine located at 828 Sanatorium Road, Hamilton;
  - (b) That the designation of the cultural landscape features of 828
    Sanatorium Road, Hamilton, be deferred until consultation occurs
    with the Ward Councillor and the community on the cultural
    landscape features through the ongoing Official Plan Amendment,
    Zoning By-law Amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision process;
  - (c) That staff be directed to report back on the results of the consultation as well as the cultural landscape features to be designated;
  - (d) That the City Clerk be directed to take appropriate action to designate the Long and Bisby building and Cross of Lorraine located at 828 Sanatorium Road, Hamilton under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act; and,

- (e) That the matter respecting Designation of the Property Located at 828 Sanatorium Road, Hamilton (Long and Bisby Building), be considered complete and removed from the Planning Committee's Outstanding Business List.
- 6. Radon Gas Mitigation Requirements (PED20200) (City Wide) (Item 9.2)

That Report PED20200 respecting Radon Gas Mitigation Requirements, be received.

7. Connection to Municipal Services for Ecole Elementaire Michaelle Jean School, 2121 Hwy 56, and Former Wills Motors Property, located at 2187 Hwy 56, Binbrook (Item 10.1)

WHEREAS, Ecole Elementaire Michaelle Jean (2121 Hwy #56) falls under the jurisdiction of the Hamilton Wentworth District School Board,

WHEREAS, this public school has both a private water supply and private sanitary waste disposal,

WHEREAS, Ecole Elementaire Michaelle Jean (2121 Hwy #56) is located 600 meters (0.6 kilometers) outside the north urban boundary of the Binbrook Settlement Area and therefore does not qualify for municipal sewer and water connection,

WHEREAS, the property historically known as Wills Motors (2187 Hwy #56) has received Site Plan Approval for a large commercial expansion to provide a number of desirable services to the community in accordance with its existing zoning,

WHEREAS, 2187 Hwy #56 is also served by private water supply and sanitary waste disposal,

WHEREAS, 2187 Hwy #56 is located 300 meters (.3kilometers) outside the north urban boundary of the Binbrook Settlement Area and is located between the urban boundary and Ecole Elementaire Michaelle Jean, and therefore also does not qualify for municipal sewer and water connection,

WHEREAS, a precedent has been set with Oakrun Bakery, which is located outside the urban boundary and was permitted to connect to municipal services at their cost,

AND WHEREAS: a municipal sanitary sewer and municipal waterline currently run across the frontage of both properties and have adequate capacity to accommodate both properties:

### NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That 2121 Hwy 56 and 2187 Hwy 56, Binbrook, be permitted to connect to the City Municipal Sewer and Water at the property owner's cost, in a manner acceptable to the City of Hamilton.

# 8. 70 Garner Road East Zoning By-law Amendment Fee Reduction (Added Item 11.1)

WHEREAS, Ancaster Christian Reform Church is non-profit;

WHEREAS, the lands located at 70 Garner Road East contain the existing Ancaster Christian Reform Church and are zoned I3 (39, H37) Zone in Zoning By-law No. 05-200;

WHEREAS, the property owner made an application for a Zoning By-law Amendment (ZAH-20-039) in June 2020 to lift the Holding Provision as municipal services are available to the property and connected to the church;

WHEREAS, the application for Holding Removal has been made by the Ancaster Christian Reform Church, a non-profit group;

### THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That staff be directed to refund the fee for the required Zoning By-law Amendment application (Holding Removal).

### FOR INFORMATION:

# (a) APPROVAL OF AGENDA (Item 1)

The Committee Clerk advised of the following changes to the agenda:

# 1. DELEGATION REQUESTS (Item 5)

- 5.1 Jason Allen respecting Amendments to the By-law Variance Application Process (For today's meeting)
- 5.2 Matt Johnston, Urban Solutions, respecting the Designation of 828 Sanitorium Road (Item 9.1) (For today's meeting)

# 2. PUBLIC HEARINGS / DELEGATIONS (Item 7)

7.1 Applications to Amend the Urban Hamilton Official Plan and the City of Stoney Creek Zoning By-Law No. 3692-92, and for Approval of a Draft Plan of Subdivision for Lands Known as 56 Highland Road West (Stoney Creek) (PED20187) (Ward 9)

- (a) Written Submissions:
  - (i) Mike Tellerd
- 7.2 Application to Amend the Rural Hamilton Official Plan for Lands Located at 2121 and 2187 Regional Road 56, Glanbrook (PED20027) (Ward 11)
  - (a) Written Submissions:
    - (i) David Pitblado, Penta Properties Inc.
    - (ii) Stephen Fraser, AJ Clarke and Associates
    - (iii) Lynda Lukasik, Environment Hamilton
  - (b) Registered Delegations:
    - (i) Stephen Fraser, AJ Clarke and Associates
- 7.3 Applications for a Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendments for Lands Located at 8475 English Church Road East, Glanbrook (PED18077) (Ward 11)
  - (a) Additional Written Submissions:
    - (iv) David Brown
- 3. NOTICES OF MOTION (Item 11)
  - 11.1 70 Garner Road East Zoning By-law Amendment Fee Reduction

The agenda for the November 17, 2020 meeting was approved, as amended.

# (b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 2)

Councillor Danko declared an interest to Item 7.2 Application to Amend the Rural Hamilton Official Plan for Lands Located at 2121 and 2187 Regional Road 56, Glanbrook (PED20027) (Ward 11), as his spouse is employed by the Hamilton Wentworth District School Board.

Councillor Danko declared an interest to Item 10.1 Connection to Municipal Services for Ecole Elementaire Michaelle Jean School, 2121 Hwy 56, and Former Wills Motors Property, located at 2187 Hwy 56, Binbrook, as his spouse is employed by the Hamilton Wentworth District School Board.

# (c) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Item 3)

(i) November 3, 2020 (Item 3.1)

The Minutes of the November 3, 2020 meeting were approved, as presented.

# (d) DELEGATION REQUESTS (Item 5)

(i) Delegation Requests (Added Items 5.1 and 5.2)

The following Delegation Requests were approved for today's meeting:

- (a) Jason Allen respecting Amendments to the By-law Variance Application Process (For today's meeting) (Added Item 5.1)
- (b) Matt Johnston, Urban Solutions, respecting the Designation of 828 Sanitorium Road (Item 9.1) (For today's meeting) (Added Item 5.2)

# (e) PUBLIC HEARINGS / DELEGATIONS (Item 7)

In accordance with the *Planning Act*, Chair Farr advised those viewing the virtual meeting that the public had been advised of how to pre-register to be a virtual delegate at the Public Meetings on today's agenda.

In accordance with the provisions of the *Planning Act*, Chair Farr advised that if a person or public body does not make oral submissions at a public meeting or make written submissions to the Council of the City of Hamilton before Council makes a decision regarding the Zoning By-law Amendment, Official Plan Amendment and Draft Plan of Condominium applications before the Committee today, the person or public body is not entitled to appeal the decision of the Council of the City of Hamilton to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal, and the person or public body may not be added as a party to the hearing of an appeal before the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal unless, in the opinion of the Tribunal, there are reasonable grounds to do so.

(i) Applications to Amend the Urban Hamilton Official Plan and the City of Stoney Creek Zoning By-Law No. 3692-92, and for Approval of a Draft Plan of Subdivision for Lands Known as 56 Highland Road West (Stoney Creek) (PED20187) (Ward 9) (Item 7.1)

No members of the public were registered as Delegations.

The staff presentation was waived.

Peter De iulio with Metropolitan Consulting, was in attendance and indicated support for the staff report and requested amendments to reduce

the minimum rear yard size, eliminate the 10% minimum landscaped open space for maisonettes and to defer the Karst condition to the Site Plan Approval stage.

The delegation from Peter De iulio with Metropolitan Consulting, was received.

The following written submission were received:

1. Mike Tellerd (Item 7.1 (a)(i))

The public meeting was closed.

- (a) That Amended Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment Application UHOPA-16-021 by Metropolitan Consulting Inc. (c/o Peter De Iulio) on behalf of New Hamilton Development Corporation (Owner), to amend the West Mountain Area (Heritage Green) Secondary Plan to re-designate a portion of the subject lands from "Low Density Residential 2b" to "Low Density Residential 3c" to permit the development of a maximum of 46 block townhouse and maisonette dwellings with a density of 49 units per hectare, for the lands known as 56 Highland Road West, Stoney Creek, as shown on Appendix "A" to Report PED20187, be APPROVED on the following basis:
  - (i) That the draft Official Plan Amendment attached as Appendix "B" to Report PED20187, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council; and,
  - (ii) That the proposed amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2020) and conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019, as amended).
- (b) That Amended Zoning By-Law Amendment Application ZAC-16-057 by Metropolitan Consulting Inc. (c/o Peter De Iulio) on behalf of New Hamilton Development Corporation (Owner), to rezone the subject lands from the Neighbourhood Development "ND" Zone to the Multiple Residential "RM3-68(H)" Zone, Modified, Holding (Block 1), and from the Single Residential "R2" Zone to the Multiple Residential "RM3-68(H)" Zone, Modified, Holding (Block 2), under Zoning By-law No. 3692-92 (Stoney Creek), to permit the development of 20 maisonette dwelling units and 26 street townhouse dwelling units on a private road (condominium road) with access to Highland Road West, on the lands known as 56 Highland

Road West, Stoney Creek, as shown on Appendix "A" to Report PED20187, be APPROVED on the following basis:

- (i) That the draft By-law, attached as Appendix "C" to Report PED20187, which has been prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor, be enacted by City Council;
- (ii) That the amending By-law apply the Holding Provision of Section 36(1) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990 to the subject lands by introducing the Holding symbol 'H' as a suffix to the proposed zoning for the following:

The Holding Provision for the Multiple Residential "RM3-68(H)" Zone, Modified, Holding, shall be removed conditional upon:

- (1) The owner demonstrating that the existing sanitary sewer on Lormont Boulevard at Picardy Drive can be adequately upsized to provide sufficient capacity to meet City standards and to share in the upgrade costs for development greater than 30 dwelling units, to the satisfaction of the Senior Director, Growth Management;
- (iii) That the proposed amendment is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2020) and conforms to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2019, as amended); and,
- (iv) That this By-law will comply with the Urban Hamilton Official Plan upon approval of Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment No. XX.
- (c) That Draft Plan of Subdivision Application 25T-201608 by Metropolitan Consulting Inc. (c/o Peter De Iulio) on behalf of New Hamilton Development Corporation (Owner), to create two blocks within a registered M Plan in order to allow for a future Condominium development having private roads and freehold lots, on the lands known as 56 Highland Road West, Stoney Creek, as shown on Appendix "F" to Report PED20187, be APPROVED, subject to the following:
  - (i) That this approval apply to the Draft Plan of Subdivision 25T-201608, prepared by Metropolitan Consulting Inc., and certified by B. A. Jacobs, O.L.S., dated January 24, 2020, consisting of one block for street townhouse and maisonette dwellings (Block 'A') and one block for road widening (Block

- 'B') for the development of a maximum of 26 street townhouse dwellings and 20 maisonette dwellings fronting common element condominium roads, subject to the Owner entering into a standard form subdivision agreement, as approved by City Council and with Special Conditions, attached as Appendix "G" to Report PED20187;
- (ii) In accordance with the City's Comprehensive Development Guidelines and Financial Policies Manual (2017) there will be no cost sharing for this subdivision; and,
- (iii) That payment of Cash-in-Lieu of Parkland will be required, pursuant to Section 51 of the *Planning Act*, with the calculation for the payment to be based on the value of the lands on the day prior to the day of issuance of each building permit, all in accordance with the Financial Policies for Development and the City's Parkland Dedication By-laws, as approved by Council.
- (d) That upon approval of Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment Application UHOPA-16-021 and Zoning By-law Amendment Application ZAC-16-057, the subject lands be re-designated from "Low Density Residential" to "Medium Density Residential" in the Felker Neighbourhood Plan; and,

The Draft Zoning By-law Amendment, Appendix "C" to Report PED20187, sub-section 2(f) was **amended** as follows:

(f) Minimum Rear Yard 7.5 6.0 metres for street townhouses and 0.0 metres for maisonettes.

The recommendations in Report PED20187 were **amended** by adding the following sub-section (e):

(e) That the public submissions received regarding this matter did not affect the decision.

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 2.

(ii) Application to Amend the Rural Hamilton Official Plan for Lands Located at 2121 and 2187 Regional Road 56, Glanbrook (PED20027) (Ward 11) (Item 7.2)

Michael Davis, Senior Planner, addressed the Committee with the aid of a PowerPoint presentation.

The staff presentation was received.

John Ariens, IBI Group, was in attendance and indicated he was not in support of the staff report to deny the application, and requested that the staff report be received and an Official Plan Amendment be prepared.

The delegation from John Ariens with IBI Group, was received.

The following written submissions were received:

- 1. Dave Pitblado, Penta Properties Inc. (Item 7.2 (a)(i))
- 2. Stephen Fraser, AJ Clarke & Associates (Item 7.2 (a)(ii))
- 3. Lynda Lukasik, Environment Hamilton (Item 7.2 (a)(iii))

# **Registered Delegations:**

7.2(b) (i) Stephen Fraser, AJ Clarke & Associates, addressed the Committee and expressed objections to the proposal.

The delegation was received.

The public meeting was closed.

(iii) Item 10.1, a Motion respecting Connection to Municipal Services for Ecole Elementaire Michaelle Jean School, 2121 Highway 56, and Former Wills Motor Property, located at 2187 Highway 56, Binbrook, was moved up to be heard at this time.

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 7.

(iv) Application to Amend the Rural Hamilton Official Plan for Lands Located at 2121 and 2187 Regional Road 56, Glanbrook (PED20027) (Ward 11) (Item 7.2) (Continued)

TReport PED20027 respecting Application to Amend the Rural Hamilton Official Plan for Lands Located at 2121 and 2187 Regional Road 56, Glanbrook (Ward 11), was received.

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 3.

(v) Applications for a Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendments for Lands Located at 8475 English Church Road East, Glanbrook (PED18077) (Ward 11) (Item 7.3)

No members of the public were registered as Delegations.

The staff presentation was waived.

Steve Schiedel, Owner, and Ed Fothergill with Fothergill Planning, were in attendance and indicated they were not in support of the staff report to deny the application.

The delegation from Steve Schiedel, Owner, and Ed Fothergill with Fothergill Planning, was received.

The following written submissions were received:

- (i) Steve and Rose Dean
- (ii) Kunal Kanani
- (iii) Sonia Pronek
- (iv) David Brown

The public meeting was closed.

- (a) That Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment Application RHOPA-17-039 submitted by Ed Fothergill, agent, on behalf of Willow Valley Holdings Inc. (Owner), for an amendment to the Rural Hamilton Official Plan Schedule D Rural Land Use Designations to redesignate the lands from Open Space to Rural to permit the creation of two new residential lots, for the lands located at 8475 English Church Road East, Glanbrook, as shown on Appendix "A" to Report PED18077, be DENIED on the following basis:
  - (i) The proposed Application is not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2020) and does not comply with the Provincial Policy Statement airport regulation, agriculture and lot creation policies;
  - (ii) The proposed Application does not comply with the Rural Area and Goods Movement policies A Place to Grow (2019);
  - (iii) The proposed Application does not comply with the policies and intent of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan airport development regulation policies and lot creation policies;
  - (iv) The proposed development does not represent good planning as it is proposing a sensitive land use within the 35-40 NEF contour and the creation of two new lots for non-agricultural purposes in the Rural Hamilton Area.
- (b) That Zoning By-law Amendment Application ZAC-17-082, submitted by Ed Fothergill, agent, on behalf Willow Valley Holdings Inc. (Owner), for a change in zoning from the Open Space (P4) Zone to the Rural (A2) Zone in order to permit the development of two new residential lots, for lands known as 8475 English Church

Road East (Glanbrook), as shown on Appendix "A" to Report PED18077 be DENIED on the following basis:

- (i) The proposed Application is not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2020);
- (ii) The proposed Application does not comply with the Rural Area and Goods Movement policies of A Place to Grow (2019);
- (iii) The proposed Application does not comply with the policies and intent of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan airport regulation policies, lot creation policies and is not an appropriate use for the area; and,
- (iv) The proposal does not meet the general intent of the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No 05-200.

The recommendations in Report PED18077 were **amended** by adding the following sub-section (c):

(c) That the public submissions received regarding this matter did not affect the decision.

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 4.

(vi) Jason Allen respecting Amendments to the By-law Variance Application Process (For today's meeting) (Added Item 7.4)

Jason Allen addressed the Committee regarding Amendments to the Bylaw Variance Application Process.

The Delegation from Jason Allen respecting Amendments to the By-law Variance Application Process, was received.

(vii) Matt Johnston, Urban Solutions, respecting the Designation of 828 Sanitorium Road (Item 9.1) (For today's meeting) (Added Item 7.5)

Matt Johnston, Urban Solutions, addressed the Committee regarding the Designation of 828 Sanitorium Road.

The Delegation from Matt Johnston, Urban Solutions, respecting the Designation of 828 Sanitorium Road, was received.

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 5.

- (f) DISCUSSION ITEMS (Item 9)
  - (i) Reconfirmation of Recommendation to Designate the Property Located at 828 Sanatorium Road, Hamilton (Long and Bisby Building) Under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act (PED18214(a)) (Ward 8) (Item 9.1)

The recommendations in Report PED18214(a) were *amended* as follows:

- (a) That the designation of 828 Sanatorium Road, Hamilton, attached as Appendix "A" to Report PED18214(a) as a property of cultural heritage value pursuant to the provisions of Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act, be approved; That staff be directed to prepare a revised Notice of Intention to Designate and Statement of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest and Description of Heritage Attributes to designate the Long and Bisby Building and Cross of Lorraine located at 828 Sanatorium Road, Hamilton;
- (b) That the Statement of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest and Description of Heritage Attributes, attached as Appendix "B" to PED18214(a), be approved; That the designation of the cultural landscape features of 828 Sanatorium Road, Hamilton, be deferred until consultation occurs with the Ward Councillor and the community on the cultural landscape features through the ongoing Official Plan Amendment, Zoning By-law Amendment and Draft Plan of Subdivision process;
- (c) That staff be directed to report back on the results of the consultation as well as the cultural landscape features to be designated;
- (e)(d) That the City Clerk be directed to take appropriate action to designate the Long and Bisby building and Cross of Lorraine located at 828 Sanatorium Road, Hamilton under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act; and, in accordance with the Notice of Intention to Designate, attached as Appendix "C" to Report PED18214(a); and,
- (d)(e) That the matter respecting Designation of the Property Located at 828 Sanatorium Road, Hamilton (Long and Bisby Building), be considered complete and removed from the Planning Committee's Outstanding Business List.

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 5.

# (g) NOTICES OF MOTION (Item 11)

# (i) 70 Garner Road East Zoning By-law Amendment Fee Reduction (Added Item 11.1)

The Rules of Order were waived to allow for the introduction of a Motion respecting 70 Garner Road East Zoning By-law Amendment Fee Reduction.

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 8.

# (h) GENERAL INFORMATION / OTHER BUSINESS (Item 12)

(i) Outstanding Business List (Item 12.1)

The following changes to the Outstanding Business List, were approved:

(a) Items to be Removed:

15A Sherman Inlet MoU (Item was tabled August 15, 2017 to allow for further consultation between staff and the Councillor, which resolved the issue)

17C - Change to the Subdivision Plan for Vienna Orchard (Committee direction was to review options to move the sidewalks and construction has been completed)

18G - 8475 English Church Road - Zoning and OPA Amendments (Addressed as Item 7.3 on this agenda)

18M - Designation of 828 Sanitorium Road, Hamilton (Addressed as Item 9.1 on this agenda)18O - Cartier Crescent Extension (Staff confirmed issue has been resolved)

(b) Items Requiring New Due Dates:

12A - Regulation of Rental Housing Current Due Date: Q2 2021 Proposed New Due Date: March 23, 2021 13A - C.I. Zoning By-law Amendment for 118 to 338 Mountain Brow

Blvd.

Current Due Date: July 7, 2020

Proposed New Due Date: July 6, 2021

17B - Designation of the Gore District as a Heritage Conservation

District

Current Due Date: November 17, 2020 Proposed New Due Date: March 23, 2021

18F - Hamilton Airshed Modelling System

Current Due Date: January 2021

Proposed New Due Date: February 2021

19J - Zoning By-law Amendment for 1400 Baseline Road

Current Due Date: November 17, 2020 Proposed New Due Date: December 8, 2020

19L - Year Round Live-Aboards at West Harbour Marinas

Current Due Date: October 20, 2020

Proposed New Due Date: December 7, 2021

19Q - Application for Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands Located

at 116 and 120 Barnesdale Ave. North Current Due Date: November 17, 2020

Proposed New Due Date: February 16, 2021

19BB - Parking Fee Review

Current Due Date: November 17, 2020 Proposed New Due Date: March 23, 2021

19FF - Support of Private Member's Bill to Reverse Pit Bull Ban in

Ontario

Current Due Date: December 8, 2020 Proposed New Due Date: June 1, 2021

20A - Property Standards By-law - Rental Properties and

Apartments

Current Due Date: November 17, 2020 Proposed New Due Date: March 23, 2021

20B - Review of Problems Associated with Increased Visitors to

Waterfalls

Current Due Date: December 8, 2020 Proposed New Due Date: April 6, 2021 20G - Second Dwelling Units - Options to Increase Housing Supply in Hamilton's Existing Low Density Housing Stock Current Due Date: TBD

Proposed New Due Date: Q1 2021

# (ii) General Manager's Update (Added Item 12.2)

Jason Thorne, General Manager of Planning and Economic Development addressed the Committee to advise that the GRIDS2 and Municipal Comprehensive Review staff report, including the Draft Land Needs Assessment are available online at the City's website for public review; to thank the outgoing members of the Design Review Team for their volunteer efforts and contributions, and to welcome the new members; and, commended Steve Robichaud, Director of Planning and Chief Planner, for his appointment as Vice Chair to the Regional Planning Commissioners of Ontario.

The General Manager's Update, was received.

# (i) ADJOURNMENT (Item 14)

There being no further business, the Planning Committee adjourned at 1:26 p.m.

	Councillor J. Farr Chair, Planning Committee
Lisa Kelsey Legislative Coordinator	



# GENERAL ISSUES COMMITTEE REPORT 20-019

9:30 a.m.

Wednesday, November 18, 2020

Due to COVID-19 and the Closure of City Hall, this meeting was held virtually.

**Present:** Mayor F. Eisenberger, Deputy Mayor A. VanderBeek (Chair)

Councillors M. Wilson, J. Farr, N. Nann, S. Merulla, C. Collins,

T. Jackson, E. Pauls, J.P. Danko, B. Clark, M. Pearson, B. Johnson,

L. Ferguson, J. Partridge

**Absent:** Councillor T. Whitehead – Personal

# THE GENERAL ISSUES COMMITTEE PRESENTS REPORT 20-019, AND RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDS:

- 1. Our City Survey 2019 Results and Next Steps (CM20009) (City Wide) (Item 8.2)
  - (a) That Report CM20009, respecting the Our City Survey 2019, be received;
  - (b) That the survey sample size be decreased to 1,500 to ensure survey costs and staff resources are accommodated within the existing budget; with City level results being accurate to +/-2.5% at the 95% confidence level, which is well below the typical target survey sample margin of error of +/-5% and Ward level results being accurate to +/-9.8% at the 95% confidence level; and,
  - (c) That the frequency of conducting the Our City Survey in the future be moved to a triennial (every three years) cycle as opposed to the current biennial (every two years) cycle, to provide sufficient time for results to be actioned and improvements made, with the next survey to be conducted in the second half of 2022.

# 2. City Manager's 2019-2020 Review (CM20008) (City Wide) (Item 8.3)

That Report CM20008, respecting the City Manager's 2019-2020 Review, be received.

# 3. Capital Projects Work-in-Progress Review Sub-Committee Report 20-003, November 2, 2020 (Item 9.1)

# (a) Capital Project Closing Report as of June 30, 2020 (FCS20079) (City Wide)

- (i) That the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services be authorized to transfer \$1,324,905 to the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve (108020) and \$5,309 from other sources, as outlined in Appendix "A" to Report 20-019;
- (ii) That the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services be authorized and directed to close the completed and / or cancelled capital projects listed in Appendix "B" to Report 20-019, in accordance with the Capital Projects Closing and Monitoring Policy;
- (iii) That Appendix "C" to Report FCS20079, Capital Projects Budget Appropriations for the period covering January 1, 2020 through June 30, 2020, be received for information; and,
- (iv) That Appendix "C" to Report 20-019, Capital Projects Budget Appropriations above \$250,000 and Reserve Transfers requiring Council authorization for the period covering January 1, 2020 through June 30, 2020 totalling \$1,324,412, be approved.

# (b) Capital Projects Status Report as of June 30, 2020 (FCS20078) (City Wide) (Item 9.2)

- (i) That the Capital Projects Status Report Tax Supported, as of June 30, 2020, attached as Appendix "A" to Report FCS20078, be received;
- (ii) That the Capital Projects Status Report Rate Supported, as of June 30, 2020, attached as Appendix "B" to Report FCS20078, be received; and,
- (iii) That the Confidential Appendix "C" to Report FCS20078, be received and remain confidential.

### 4. Sidewalk Snow Removal (PW19022(b)) (City Wide) (Item 9.2)

That enhancements to the level of service for winter sidewalk maintenance be considered as part of the 2021 Operating Budget deliberations.

### 5. Innovation Factory Request for Funding Renewal Option - 2020 (PED20197) (City Wide) (Item 9.3)

- (a) That the request by Innovation Factory to exercise their renewal option of the City's 2020 Annual Community Partnership contribution of \$50 K, be approved;
- (b) That this \$50 K annual contribution for the Innovation Factory be funded from the Economic Development Investment Reserve Account No. 112221; and,
- (c) That City staff, together with Innovation Factory, report back to General Issues Committee with an annual review of the Municipal Funding Program, prior to the approval of a renewal option for 2021 and subject to satisfactory Key Performance Indicator results of the previous year.

## 6. Virtual Commemoration of 175 Years of Hamilton's History (PED20199) (City Wide) (Item 9.4)

That the City of Hamilton approve the expenditure of \$200 K, to be funded from the Tax Stabilization Reserve (110046) to develop an online commemoration of the 175-year anniversary of the founding of the City through broad community engagement and creation of content and a website which will lay the groundwork for a virtual Museum of Hamilton.

### 7. Business Improvement Area Advisory Committee Report 20-004, November 10, 2020 (Item 9.5)

### (a) Locke Street Business Improvement Area Expenditure Request (Item 10.1)

(i) That the expenditure request from the Locke Street Business Improvement Area, in the amount of \$2,547.66 for Hanging Baskets for the summer to be funded from the Community Improvement Plan (CIP) Contribution Program (BIA Payments Account 815010-56905), be approved; and,

(ii) That the expenditure request from the Locke Street Business Improvement Area, in the amount of \$3,726.70 for Christmas Hanging Baskets and Christmas holiday decor for a tree, ornaments, and banner maintenance to be funded from the Shared Parking Revenue Program (Parking Revenue Account 815010-45559), be approved.

### (b) Westdale Village Business Improvement Area Expenditure Request (Item 10.2)

- (i) That the expenditure request from the Westdale Village Business Improvement Area, in the amount of \$11,551.23 for the cost of streetscape tents and tables to be funded from the Community Improvement Plan (CIP) Contribution Program (BIA Payments Account 815010-56905), be approved; and,
- (ii) That the expenditure request from the Westdale Village Business Improvement Area, in the amount of \$16,067.66 for the cost of streetscape flowers and watering to be funded from the Shared Parking Revenue Program (Parking Revenue Account 815010-45559), be approved.

### (c) Downtown Dundas Business Improvement Area Expenditure Request (Item 10.3)

- (i) That the expenditure request from the Downtown Dundas Business Improvement Area, in the amount of \$14,952.49 for the cost of hiring summer staff to clean and maintain public road allowance by picking up garbage, cleaning graffiti and beautification efforts (\$3,997.49), and the purchase and maintenance of hanging baskets through the BIA (\$10,955) to be funded from the Community Improvement Plan (CIP) Contribution Program (BIA Payments Account 815010-56905), be approved; and,
- (ii) That the expenditure request from the Downtown Dundas Business Improvement Area, in the amount of \$24,568.94 for the cost of promoting the Dundas BIA through marketing efforts for social media, television ads, and prints media campaigns in 2020 (\$5,018.94), Christmas decorations and their maintenance, specifically 50 hanging wreaths (\$10,300) and new garlands and greenery (\$9,250) to be funded from the Shared Parking Revenue Program (Parking Revenue Account 815010-45559), be approved.

- 8. Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities Report 20-006, November 10, 2020 (Item 9.6)
  - (a) Correspondence from Alex Wilson respecting Resignation from the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities (Item 4.1)
    - (i) That the correspondence from Alex Wilson, respecting his resignation from the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities, be received; and,
    - (b) That the Selection Committee be reconvened to review the original applications, submitted for the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities during the initial 2018-2022 recruitment process, to fill the vacant position on the Committee.
  - (b) International Days of Persons with Disabilities Photo Opportunity Event (Added Item 6.3(a))

WHEREAS, the Hamilton sign will be lit up in purple for the International Days of Persons with Disabilities on December 3<sup>rd</sup>;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- (i) That the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities purchase purple face coverings for Committee members for a photo opportunity event in front of the Hamilton sign on December 3<sup>rd</sup>, at a total cost not to exceed \$200, to be funded from the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities 2020 approved budget for conferences and related travel expenses; and,
- (ii) That the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities be permitted to reach out to local media outlets to request coverage of the International Days of Persons with Disability photo opportunity event on December 3<sup>rd</sup>.
- (c) Establishment of an Ad Hoc Strategic Planning Working Group (Item 10.1)

WHEREAS, a Working Group is needed to discuss planning strategies;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

That a Strategic Planning Working Group of the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities be established on an ad hoc basis for the

remainder of the 2018 - 2022 Term of Council and be comprised of the following Members:

- (i) Patty Cameron
- (ii) Aznive Mallett
- (iii) Paula Kilburn
- (iv) James Kemp
- (v) Mark McNeil
- (vi) Tom Manzuk

### 9. Potential for Major Events in 2022 and 2023 (PED20071(a) (City Wide) (Item 13.1)

That Report PED20071(a), respecting the Potential for Major Events in 2022 and 2023, be referred to the November 25, 2020 Council meeting for consideration.

### 10. Animal Services Facility – 245 Dartnall Road (PED20074) (City Wide) (Item 13.2)

- (a) That the direction provided to staff in Closed Session, respecting Report PED20074, respecting the Animal Services Facility 245 Dartnall Road, be approved; and,
- (b) That Report PED20074, respecting the Animal Services Facility 245 Dartnall Road, and its appendices remain confidential.

#### FOR INFORMATION:

### (a) APPROVAL OF AGENDA (Item 1)

The Committee Clerk advised of the following changes to the agenda:

#### 4. ADDED COMMUNICATIONS

- 4.1. Correspondence respecting Sidewalk Snow Removal
  - 4.1.a. Craig Burley
  - 4.1.b. Marin Hudson
  - 4.1.c. Marnie Bell

- 4.1.d. Lilly Noble
- 4.1.e. Randy Kay
- 4.1.f. Ja'miil Millar
- 4.1.g. Michelle Tom
- 4.1.h. Emily Kulpaka
- 4.1.i. Ani Chenier

Recommendation: Be received and referred to the consideration of Item 9.2.

4.2. Correspondence from Hermann Daxl respecting Only Airtight Masks
Can Work

Recommendation: Be received.

#### 5. ADDED DELEGATION REQUESTS

5.1 Dermot Nolan, Hamilton Museum Citizens' Committee respecting Item 9.4 – Virtual Commemoration of 175 Years of Hamilton's History (PED20199) (City Hall)

The agenda for the November 18, 2020 General Issues Committee meeting was approved, as amended.

### (b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 2)

There were no declarations of interest.

### (c) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Item 3)

(i) November 4, 2020 (Item 3.1)

The Minutes of the November 4, 2020 General Issues Committee meeting were approved, as presented.

### (d) COMMUNICATIONS (Item 4)

(i) Correspondence respecting Sidewalk Snow Removal (Item 4.1)

The following correspondence, respecting Sidewalk Snow Removal, was received and referred to the consideration of Item 9.2:

- (1) Craig Burley (Item 4.1.a.)
- (2) Marin Hudson (Item 4.1.b.)
- (3) Marnie Bell (Item 4.1.c.)
- (4) Lilly Noble (Item 4.1.d.)
- (5) Randy Kay (Item 4.1.e.)
- (6) Ja'miil Millar (Item 4.1.f.)
- (7) Michelle Tom (Item 4.1.g)
- (8) Emily Kulpaka (Item 4.1.h)
- (9) Ani Chenier (Item 4.1.i.)

### (ii) Correspondence Hermann Daxl, respecting Only Airtight Masks Can Work (Item 4.2)

The correspondence from Hermann Daxl, respecting Only Airtight Masks Can Work, was received.

#### (e) DELEGATION REQUESTS (Item 5)

(i) Dermot Nolan, Hamilton Museum Citizens' Committee respecting Item 9.4 – Virtual Commemoration of 175 Years of Hamilton's History (PED20199) (City Wide) (Item 5.1)

The delegation request, submitted by Dermot Nolan, Hamilton Museum Citizens' Committee respecting Item 9.4 – Virtual Commemoration of 175 Years of Hamilton's History, was approved to appear before Committee on November 18, 2020.

### (f) PUBLIC HEARINGS / DELEGATIONS (Item 7)

(i) Laurence Applebaum, President; Bryan Crawford, Tournament Director; and, Garrett Ball, Chief Financial Officer, of RBC Canadian Open, Golf Canada, respecting the 2019 RBC Canadian Open (Item 7.1)

Laurence Applebaum, President; Bryan Crawford, Tournament Director; and, Garrett Ball, Chief Financial Officer, of RBC Canadian Open, Golf Canada, addressed Committee and provided a PowerPoint presentation respecting the 2019 RBC Canadian Open.

The presentation provided by Laurence Applebaum, President; Bryan Crawford, Tournament Director; and, Garrett Ball, Chief Financial Officer, of RBC Canadian Open, Golf Canada, respecting the 2019 RBC Canadian Open, was received.

(ii) David Carter, Innovation Factory, respecting the Innovation Factory's Funding Request (Item 7.2)

David Carter, Innovation Factory, addressed Committee and provided a PowerPoint presentation respecting the Innovation Factory's Funding Request.

The presentation provided by David Carter, Innovation Factory, respecting the Innovation Factory's Funding Request, was received.

(iii) Bryan Hayes, Orange Order, respecting a New Tax on Filming in Hamilton (Via WebEx) (Item 7.3)

Bryan Hayes was not present when called upon by Committee.

(iv) Dermot Nolan, Hamilton Museum Citizens' Committee respecting Item 9.4 – Virtual Commemoration of 175 Years of Hamilton's History (PED20199) (City Wide) (Item 7.4)

Dermot Nolan, Hamilton Museum Citizens' Committee, addressed Committee respecting Item 9.4 – Virtual Commemoration of 175 Years of Hamilton's History (PED20199).

The presentation provided by Dermot Nolan, Hamilton Museum Citizens' Committee, respecting Item 9.4 – Virtual Commemoration of 175 Years of Hamilton's History (PED20199), was received.

### (g) STAFF PRESENTATIONS (Item 8)

#### (i) COVID-19 Verbal Update (Item 8.1)

Paul Johnson, General Manager of Healthy and Safe Communities, provided Committee with a verbal update respecting COVID-19.

The verbal update, respecting COVID-19, was received.

### (ii) Our City Survey 2019 Results and Next Steps (CM20009) (City Wide) (Item 8.2)

Brigit Minard, Deputy City Auditor and Manager of Performance and Internal Control, addressed Committee and provided a PowerPoint presentation respecting Report CM20009, Our City Survey 2019 Results and Next Steps.

The presentation, respecting Report CM20009, Our City Survey 2019 Results and Next Steps, was received.

For disposition of this matter, please refer to Item 1. Committee recessed for one half hour to 1:35 p.m.

### (iii) City Manager's 2019-2020 Review (CM20008) (City Wide) (Item 8.3)

Janette Smith, City Manager, addressed Committee and provided a PowerPoint presentation respecting Report CM20008, City Manager's 2019-2020 Review.

The presentation, respecting Report CM20008, City Manager's 2019-2020 Review, was received.

For disposition of this matter, please refer to Item 2.

### (h) DISCUSSION ITEMS (Item 9)

(a) Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities Report 20-006, November 10, 2020 (Item 9.6)

The following recommendations, from the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities Report 20-006, November 10, 2020, were referred to staff for a report back to the General Issues Committee:

### (i) Curb Cuts (Added Item 6.1(a))

WHEREAS, the City of Hamilton has in the past approved Barrier Free Pedestrian Pathway guidelines for the construction of new or retrofitted sidewalks:

WHEREAS, these guidelines have previously been accepted by City Council as recommended by City staff for application only in Business Improvement Areas (BIAs);

WHEREAS, this restriction as adopted by City Council upon the recommendation of staff and inclusion in a City regulation that restricts the installation of urban braille to the Business Improvement Areas only, causing a restriction for independent access by persons with disabilities in the City of Hamilton;

WHEREAS, the policy that restricts the installation of urban braille to the Business Improvement Areas is contrary to Ontario Human Rights standards, the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act and the City of Hamilton's policy statement on Hamilton being the best place in Canada to raise a child; and,

WHEREAS, the application of Barrier Free Pedestrian Pathway guidelines are arguably not being properly followed in all City new or retrofitted sidewalk and related construction projects;

#### THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- (a) That the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities (ACPD) respectfully request that City Council direct the appropriate staff to work directly with the members of the ACPD to review the Barrier Free Design Guidelines and update them to be fully compliant with the AODA regulations respecting public spaces;
- (b) That every effort be undertaken to surpass the minimum requirements outlined in the Design of Public Spaces

Standard of the AODA in the review of the Barrier Free Pedestrian Pathway guidelines;

- (c) That the City of Hamilton policy respecting the use of Barrier Free Pedestrian Pathway guidelines and the restriction of the installation of urban braille to the Business Improvement Areas be updated to ensure that accessible pedestrian pathways be common practice across the entirety of the City when new or retrofitted sidewalk construction is undertaken; and,
- (d) City staff be directed to consult with members of the City's Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities any time questions by City staff may arise during the construction of any new or retrofitted sidewalks.

### (ii) Covered Vaults (Added Item 6.1(b))

WHEREAS, the City of Hamilton, over the past few years, has been subject to the construction of underground utility vaults by multiple utility companies;

WHEREAS, there are no federal, provincial or municipal regulations regarding the location and closure of such underground vaults;

WHEREAS, the coverings used for these vaults vary according to the utility responsible for each vault individually;

WHEREAS, there is no uniformity of the coverings used for each and every vault;

WHEREAS, these vault covers cause a hazard for persons with disabilities, especially during the winter months where these vault covers become slippery due to snow and ice buildup;

WHEREAS, these vault covers are uneven and not level with sidewalk surfaces causing a tripping hazard for persons with disabilities; and,

WHEREAS, vault covers have sharp corners which pose a hazard for persons who rely upon the assistance of mobility devices;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

- (a) That the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities respectfully request that City Council direct the appropriate City staff to develop standards for vault cover design in consultation with the Advisory Committee for Persons with Disabilities:
- (b) That the standards developed for vault cover design be communicated to all utility companies constructing new vaults in the City of Hamilton;
- (c) That the City of Hamilton require all new vaults to be outfitted with the City's vault cover design;
- (d) That all existing vault covers not in compliance with the new standards be retrofitted within a timely manner; and,
- (e) That the standards developed for vault cover design be shared with other municipalities, and the provincial and federal governments for their consideration and possible adoption.

For disposition of this matter, please refer to Item 8.

### (i) MOTIONS (Item 10)

(i) Budgetary Plan to Address the Chedoke Creek Matter (Item 10.1)

WHEREAS, a gate malfunction at the Main / King CSO tank resulted in 24 billion liters of combined sewage being discharged to the natural environment:

WHEREAS, the Ministry of Environment, Conservation and Parks issued Orders against the City to complete an ecological risk assessment and an environmental impact assessment; and,

WHEREAS, the City is currently undertaking a number of studies with various stakeholders to identify improvements for the Chedoke Creek watershed;

#### THEREFORE, IT BE RESOLVED:

That staff be directed to develop the necessary budgetary plan to address the Chedoke Creek matter, once the Ministry investigation has concluded, and report back to the General Issues Committee.

### (j) GENERAL INFORMATION / OTHER BUSINESS (Item 12)

### (i) Amendments to the Outstanding Business List (Item 12.1)

The following amendments, to the General Issues Committee's Outstanding Business List, were approved:

#### (1) Items to be Removed (Item 12.1.a)

- (aa) Pier 8 Development Opportunity RFP Summary of the 4 Proposals (No longer required by the requesting Councillor) (Item 12.1.a)
- (bb) Virtual Commemoration of 175 Years of Hamilton's History (Addressed on this agenda as Item 9.2 Report PED20199) (Item 12.1.b)
- (cc) Sidewalk Snow Removal (Addressed on this agenda as Item 9.4 Report PW19022 (b)) (Item 12.1.c)

### (k) PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL (Item 13)

Committee moved into Closed Session respecting Items 13.1 to 13.3, pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-sections (a), (b), (c), (d), and (k) of the City's Procedural Bylaw 18-270, as amended, and Section 239(2), Sub-sections (a), (b), (c), (d), and (k) of the *Ontario Municipal Act*, 2001, as amended, as the subject matters pertain to the security of the property of the municipality or local board; personal matters about an identifiable individual, including municipal or local board employees; a proposed or pending acquisition or disposition of land by the municipality or local board; labour relations or employee negotiations; and, a position, plan, procedure, criteria or instruction to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the municipality or local board.

### (i) City Manager's 2019-2020 Review (Item 13.3)

There was nothing to report in Open Session respecting the City Manager's 2019-2020 Review.

### (I) ADJOURNMENT (Item 14)

There being no further business, the General Issues Committee adjourned at 5:05 p.m.

### **General Issues Committee Report 20-019**

November 18, 2020 Page 15 of 16

Respectfully submitted,
Deputy Mayor Arlene VanderBeek Chair, General Issues Committee

Stephanie Paparella Legislative Coordinator, Office of the City Clerk

### CITY OF HAMILTON CAPITAL PROJECT CLOSINGS

AS OF JUNE 30, 2020
Projects impacting the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve and Other Sources

Project		Projects impacting the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve and Other Sources								
Projects reguiring funds   2006   3620604600   Secondary plan - AEGD   (6,443.29)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2010   4401056090   Johnson Tew Park   (12.58)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2013   3381355301   14 DC Study & '15-18 Intensification Study   (452.23)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2013   4401356541   Borers Creek Trail Link   (23.47)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2015   4411506102   Pier 4 Repair & Pier 8 Trail   (4,798.95)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016   3541657001   Archibus-Facility Maintenance   (40.90)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016   4031618355   Bridge 355 - White Church   (31,280.71)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016   4031618355   Bridge 355 - White Church   (31,280.71)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016   7101654536   Program - Arena Retrofits   (537.14)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2017   4031718219   Structural Investigation & Rp   (38,000.00)   (97,972.27)   (97,972.27)   Projects returning funds   2006   6180641100   Housing Energy Conserve - ECMP   3,096.15   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2010   6181041001   Artists Live-Work Development   50,267.09   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418426   Bridge 088 - Mill St   22,980.12   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418426   Bridge 088 - Mill St   22,980.12   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418430   Bridge 344 - Concession 5W   117,222.20   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418430   Bridge 344 - Concession 5W   117,222.20   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418430   Bridge 447 - Bell Rd   30,966.93   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2015   2051549550   LRT Office & Related Works   12,064.15   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2015   2051549550   LRT Office & Related Works   12,064.15   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2015   2051549550   LRT Office & Related Works   2016   4031418426   Bridge 447-Bell Rd   30,966.93   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2015   2051549550   LRT Office & Related Works   2016   2016   2016   2016   2016   2016   2016   20		Droisett	Description	- 1	Reserve	Description				
2006   3620604600   Secondary plan -AEGD   (6,443.29)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy	Approved	Projectio	Description	(Deficit) (\$)						
2006   3620604600   Secondary plan -AEGD   (6,443.29)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy	Projects regui	ring funds								
2010			Secondary plan -AEGD	(6,443.29)	108020	Unalloc Capital Levy				
2013   3381355301   14 DC Study & '15-18 Intensification Study   (452.23)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2013   4401356541   Borers Creek Trail Link   (23.47)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2015   4411506102   Pier 4 Repair & Pier 8 Trail   (4,798.95)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016   3541657001   Archibus-Facility Maintenance   (40.90)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016   4031618355   Bridge 355 - White Church   (31,280.71)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016   4031618355   Bridge 355 - White Church   (16,383.00)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016   7101654536   Program - Arena Retrofits   (537.14)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2017   4031718219   Structural Investigation & Rp   (38,000.00)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2006   6180641100   Housing Energy Conserve - ECMP   3,096.15   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2009   4030980984   Rymal-Up Centennial to Dartnal   27,315.33   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   3621459450   Pan Am Legacy Projects   5,819.00   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418426   Bridge 384 - Concession 5W   22,980.12   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418436   Bridge 344 - Concession 5W   22,980.12   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418436   Bridge 449 - Hwy 52   25,123.75   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2015   2051549550   LRT Office & Related Works   12,064.15   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2015   2051549550   LRT Office & Related Works   12,064.15   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016   2051549550   LRT Office & Related Works   12,064.15   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016   2051549550   LRT Office & Related Works   12,064.15   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016   2051549550   LRT Office & Related Works   2016   2016223   2016223   2016223   2016223   201623   201623   2016223   20162459550   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016245475850   Unalloc Capital Levy   2016245475850   Unalloc Capital Levy   20162475850   Unalloc Capital Levy   20162475850   Unalloc Capital Levy   20162475850   Unalloc Capital Levy   201624	2010	4401056090	Johnson Tew Park	(12.58)		• •				
2013	2013	3381355301	14 DC Study & '15-18 Intensification Study							
2015	2013	4401356541	Borers Creek Trail Link	• • •		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2016   3541657001   Archibus-Facility Maintenance   (40.90)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy		4411506102	Pier 4 Repair & Pier 8 Trail			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
2016	2016		•			•				
2016   4031618385   Bridge 385 - Westover Rd   (16,383.00)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy				• • •		· -				
2016   7101654536   Program - Arena Retrofits   (537.14)   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy			•	• •						
2017   4031718219   Structural Investigation & Rp   (38,000.00)   (97,972.27)			•	• • •						
Projects returning funds   2006   6180641100   Housing Energy Conserve - ECMP   3,096.15   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2009   4030980984   Rymal-Up Centennial to Dartnal   27,315.33   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2010   6181041001   Artists Live-Work Development   50,267.09   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   3621459450   Pan Am Legacy Projects   5,819.00   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418426   Bridge 088 - Mill St   22,980.12   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418430   Bridge 344 - Concession 5W   117,222.20   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418436   Bridge 449 - Hwy 52   25,123.75   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2014   4031418447   Bridge 447-Bell Rd   30,966.93   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2015   2051549550   LRT Office & Related Works   12,064.15   108020   Unalloc Capital Levy   2018	I .		_	• • •						
Projects returning funds           2006         6180641100         Housing Energy Conserve - ECMP         3,096.15         108020         Unalloc Capital Levy           2009         4030980984         Rymal-Up Centennial to Dartnal         27,315.33         108020         Unalloc Capital Levy           2010         6181041001         Artists Live-Work Development         50,267.09         108020         Unalloc Capital Levy           2014         3621459450         Pan Am Legacy Projects         5,819.00         108020         Unalloc Capital Levy           2014         4031418426         Bridge 088 - Mill St         22,980.12         108020         Unalloc Capital Levy           2014         4031418430         Bridge 344 - Concession 5W         117,222.20         108020         Unalloc Capital Levy           2014         4031418436         Bridge 449 - Hwy 52         25,123.75         108020         Unalloc Capital Levy           2014         4031418447         Bridge 447-Bell Rd         30,966.93         108020         Unalloc Capital Levy           2015         2051549550         LRT Office & Related Works         12,064.15         108020         Unalloc Capital Levy	2017	4001710210	Otractara myostigation a rep		100020	Onalioc Capital Levy				
2006       6180641100       Housing Energy Conserve - ECMP       3,096.15       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2009       4030980984       Rymal-Up Centennial to Dartnal       27,315.33       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2010       6181041001       Artists Live-Work Development       50,267.09       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       3621459450       Pan Am Legacy Projects       5,819.00       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418426       Bridge 088 - Mill St       22,980.12       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418430       Bridge 344 - Concession 5W       117,222.20       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418436       Bridge 449 - Hwy 52       25,123.75       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418447       Bridge 447-Bell Rd       30,966.93       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2015       2051549550       LRT Office & Related Works       12,064.15       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy	Projects return	ning funds		(57,572.21)						
2009       4030980984       Rymal-Up Centennial to Dartnal       27,315.33       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2010       6181041001       Artists Live-Work Development       50,267.09       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       3621459450       Pan Am Legacy Projects       5,819.00       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418426       Bridge 088 - Mill St       22,980.12       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418430       Bridge 344 - Concession 5W       117,222.20       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418436       Bridge 449 - Hwy 52       25,123.75       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418447       Bridge 447-Bell Rd       30,966.93       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2015       2051549550       LRT Office & Related Works       12,064.15       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy			Housing Energy Conserve - FCMP	3 096 15	108020	Unalloc Capital Levy				
2010       6181041001       Artists Live-Work Development       50,267.09       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       3621459450       Pan Am Legacy Projects       5,819.00       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418426       Bridge 088 - Mill St       22,980.12       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418430       Bridge 344 - Concession 5W       117,222.20       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418436       Bridge 449 - Hwy 52       25,123.75       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418447       Bridge 447-Bell Rd       30,966.93       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2015       2051549550       LRT Office & Related Works       12,064.15       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy	I .									
2014       3621459450       Pan Am Legacy Projects       5,819.00       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418426       Bridge 088 - Mill St       22,980.12       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418430       Bridge 344 - Concession 5W       117,222.20       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418436       Bridge 449 - Hwy 52       25,123.75       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418447       Bridge 447-Bell Rd       30,966.93       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2015       2051549550       LRT Office & Related Works       12,064.15       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy						•				
2014       4031418426       Bridge 088 - Mill St       22,980.12       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418430       Bridge 344 - Concession 5W       117,222.20       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418436       Bridge 449 - Hwy 52       25,123.75       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418447       Bridge 447-Bell Rd       30,966.93       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2015       2051549550       LRT Office & Related Works       12,064.15       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy						•				
2014       4031418436       Bridge 449 - Hwy 52       25,123.75       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2014       4031418447       Bridge 447-Bell Rd       30,966.93       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2015       2051549550       LRT Office & Related Works       12,064.15       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy	2014	4031418426			108020	•				
2014       4031418447       Bridge 447-Bell Rd       30,966.93       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy         2015       2051549550       LRT Office & Related Works       12,064.15       108020       Unalloc Capital Levy	2014	4031418430	Bridge 344 - Concession 5W	117,222.20	108020	Unalloc Capital Levy				
2015 2051549550 LRT Office & Related Works 12,064.15 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy			Bridge 449 - Hwy 52	25,123.75		Unalloc Capital Levy				
· · ·			Bridge 447-Bell Rd	30,966.93						
2015 3381557502 Budget Operating System Upgrade 54.10 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy										
			Budget Operating System Upgrade							
2015 4401556512 Glanbrook Hills Park 4,368.70 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy										
2016 4031680685 RHBP-Dartnall-Stone to Rymal 133,902.34 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy	I .			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
2017 4041710017 Street Lighting - Capital 47,284.98 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy						•				
2018 4041810017 Street Lighting - Capital 231,696.91 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy	I .			·		·				
2018 4661820019 Annual Traffic Control RP 18 119,837.25 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy										
2018 4661820522 Traffic Eng - Signal Design 269,649.62 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy										
2018 4661820525 IPS - Intersection Ped Signal 42,500.03 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy										
2018 5121890200 Diversion Container Replacmnt 879.75 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy 2018 7101854811 Hill Park Rec Cntr Renovation 608.00 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy			•							
2018	I .									
2019 4031921960 Fleet Addition - ES - Consruct 57,384.90 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy	I .									
2019 4031955963 IoT & Smart Cities St Light 134,913.34 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy										
2019 4661920531 APS - Accessible Ped Signals 3,095.78 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy				•						
2019 5121992000 Closed Landfill Maint⋒ Imp 33.67 108020 Unalloc Capital Levy										

## Appendix "A" to Item 3(a) of GIC Report 20-019 Page 2 of 2

					. 490 - 01 -				
		CITY OF HAMILT	ON						
		CAPITAL PROJECT CL	OSINGS						
		AS OF JUNE 30, 2	020						
	Projects impacting the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve and Other Sources								
2019	5121994920	Env Services LegComplianceProg	28,288.98	108020	Unalloc Capital Levy				
2020	5122090700	PubSpace&SpecEvent Containers	50,000.00	108020	Unalloc Capital Levy				
			1,422,877.54						
Net impact	to the Unallocate	ed Capital Levy Reserve	1,324,905.27						
Projects regi	<u>uiring funds</u>								
2007	5160766711	Canadian Infra Renewal	(119.78)	108005	Sanitary Sewer Capital Reserve				
2012	4241209110	Banners on York Blvd	(23.70)	108051	Ward 1-Capital Infrastructure				
2013	4241309501	Veever's Estate Capital Grant	(0.50)	108055	Ward 5-Capital Infrastructure				
2014	4241409208	Old Beasley Ctre Kitchen Reno	(1,002.40)	108052	Ward 2-Capital Infrastructure				
2014	7901448402	Transit Centre EE Lighting	(8.44)	112272	Energy Conservation Initatives				
2016	5301683503	2016 Non-Rev Vehicle Replace	(1,656.60)	110030	Vehicle Replacement Transit				
2018	6301851002	ML & WL Resident Care Equip	(1,617.14)	110042	Lodges Infrastructure Reserve				
2019	4241909222	Shamrock Park N	(880.10)	108052	Ward 2-Capital Infrastructure				
Net impact	to Other Reserve	es	(5,308.66)						
Total Net in	npact to the Unal	llocated Capital Levy Reserve & Other Reserves	1.319.596.61						

			CITY OF HAMILTON CAPITAL PROJECTS' CLOSING SCHEDULE AS OF JUNE 30, 2020	Appendi	x "B" to Item 3(b) o	to Item 3(b) of GIC Report 20-01! Page 1 of		
YEAR APPROVED	PROJECT ID	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED BUDGET (\$)	REVENUES (\$)	EXPENDITURES (\$)	PROJECT SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT) (\$)	% SPEN	
		6-2-18 Queen Appendix (5.5)	<u>a</u> 1	<u>b</u>	<u> </u>	d = b - c	e=c/a	
NALLOCATED	CAPITAL LEVY RESI	<b>EŖVE</b>						
2006	3620604600	Secondary plan -AEGD	2,745,440.00	2,595,187.44	2,601,630.73	(6,443.29)	94.8%	
2006	6180641100	Housing Energy Conserve - ECMP	1,025,195.00	1,025,194.80	1,022,098.65	3,096.15	99.7%	
2009	4030980984	Rymal-Up Centennial to Dartnal	1,537,440.00	1,652,655.10	1,625,339.77	27,315.33	105.7	
2010	4401056090	Johnson Tew Park	1,306,797.63	1,306,785.05	1,306,797.63	(12.58)	100.0	
2010	6181041001	Artists Live-Work Development	3,445,000.00	3,445,000.00	3,394,732.91	50,267.09	98.59	
2013	3381355301	14 DC Study & '15-18 Intensification Study	864,450.00	668,520.09	668,972.32	(452.23)	77.49	
2013	4401356541	Borers Creek Trail Link	780,300.88	780,277.41	780,300.88	(23.47)	100.0	
2014	3621459450	Pan Am Legacy Projects	63,790.00	63,787.00	57,968.00	5,819.00	90.99	
2014	4031418426	Bridge 088 - Mill St	1,799,000.00	1,799,000.00	1,776,019.88	22,980.12	98.79	
2014	4031418430	Bridge 344 - Concession 5W	650,000.00	650,000.00	532,777.80	117,222.20	82.09	
2014	4031418436	Bridge 449 - Hwy 52	2,450,000.00	2,450,000.00	2,424,876.25	25,123.75	99.09	
2014	4031418447	Bridge 447-Bell Rd	570,000.00	454,456.62	423,489.69	30,966.93	74.39	
2015	2051549550	LRT Office & Related Works	2,336,000.00	2,380,158.99	2,368,094.84	12,064.15	101.4	
2015	3381557502	Budget Operating System Upgrade	220,488.19	220,634.19	220,580.09	54.10	100.0	
2015	4401556512	Glanbrook Hills Park	731,458.84	740,827.54	736,458.84	4,368.70	100.7	
2015	4411506102	Pier 4 Repair & Pier 8 Trail	265,000.00	205,742.43	210,541.38	(4,798.95)	79.49	
2016	3541657001	Archibus-Facility Maintenance	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,040.90	(40.90)	100.1	
2016	4031618355	Bridge 355 - White Church	1,220,000.00	1,196,421.30	1,227,702.01	(31,280.71)	100.6	
2016	4031618385	Bridge 385 - Westover Rd	650,000.00	572,806.45	589,189.45	(16,383.00)	90.6	
2016	4031680685	RHBP-Dartnall-Stone to Rymal	5,711,000.00	5,211,361.96	5,077,459.62	133,902.34	88.9	
2016	7101654536	Program - Arena Retrofits	466,913.90	466,927.54	467,464.68	(537.14)	100.1	
2017	4031718219	Structural Investigation & Rp	362,000.00	324,000.00	362,000.00	(38,000.00)	100.0	
2017	4041710017	Street Lighting - Capital	740,000.00	740,000.00	692,715.02	47,284.98	93.69	
2018	4041810017	Street Lighting - Capital	420,000.00	420,000.00	188,303.09	231,696.91	44.89	
2018	4661820019	Annual Traffic Control RP 18	300,000.00	300,000.00	180,162.75	119,837.25	60.1	
2018	4661820522		360,000.00	360,000.00				
2018	4661820525	Traffic Eng - Signal Design	600,000.00	600,000.00	90,350.38	269,649.62	25.1	
		IPS - Intersection Ped Signal	,		557,499.97	42,500.03	92.9	
2018 2018	5121890200 7101854811	Diversion Container Replacmnt Hill Park Rec Cntr Renovation	784,204.53 247,683.02	785,084.28 247,683.02	784,204.53	879.75 608.00	100.0	
2019	4031921350	Fleet Additions - Roads O&M	200,000.00	193,596.39	247,075.02		99.8 95.0	
2019	4031921960	Fleet Addition - ES - Consruct	200,000.00	200,000.00	190,071.92	3,524.47 57,384.90	71.3	
2019	4031955963				142,615.10			
2019	4661920531	IoT & Smart Cities St Light	150,000.00 150,000.00	150,000.00 150,000.00	15,086.66	134,913.34	10.1	
2019	5121992000	APS - Accessible Ped Signals Closed Landfill Maint⋒ Imp			146,904.22	3,095.78	97.9	
2019	5121992000		0.00	33.67	0.00	33.67	0.09	
		Env Services LegComplianceProg	185,000.00	185,000.00	156,711.02	28,288.98	84.7	
2020	5122090700	PubSpace&SpecEvent Containers	50,000.00	50,000.00	0.00	50,000.00	0.09	
		D CAPITAL LEVY (37)	33,637,161.99	32,641,141.27	31,316,236.00	1,324,905.27	93.1	
	AM SPECIFIC RESER		00 000 440 00	20 000 440 40	20 000 504 07	/440 70\	100.0	
2007	5160766711	Canadian Infra Renewal	38,693,410.00	38,693,412.19	38,693,531.97	(119.78)	100.0	
2012	4241209110	Banners on York Blvd	25,000.00	25,000.00	25,023.70	(23.70)		
2013	4241309501	Veever's Estate Capital Grant	25,000.00	25,000.00	25,000.50	(0.50)	100.0	
2014	4241409208	Old Beasley Ctre Kitchen Reno	46,600.00	46,600.00	47,602.40	(1,002.40)	102.2	
2014	7901448402	Transit Centre EE Lighting	365,400.00	366,085.75	366,094.19	(8.44)	100.2	
2016	5301683503	2016 Non-Rev Vehicle Replace	127,000.00	124,592.95	126,249.55	(1,656.60)	99.4	
2018	6301851002	ML & WL Resident Care Equip	110,000.00	110,000.00	111,617.14	(1,617.14)	101.	
2019	4241909222	Shamrock Park N	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,880.10	(880.10)	101.8	
<b>OTAL FUNDS F</b>	ROM PROGRAM SPI	ECIFIC RESERVES (7)	39,442,410.00	39,440,690.89	39,445,999.55	(5,308.66)	100.0	

CITY OF HAMILTON CAPITAL PROJECTS' CLOSING SCHEDULE
AS OF JUNE 30, 2020 Appendix "B" to Item 3(b) of GIC Report 20-019
Page 2 of 7

		AS OF JUNE 30, 2020							
YEAR APPROVED	PROJECT ID	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED BUDGET (\$)	REVENUES (\$)	EXPENDITURES (\$)	PROJECT SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT) (\$)	% SPENT		
	# # E		2	b	Ċ	d≠b-c	e=c/a		
	5440007050	I December 144 Decition Front		1					
2002	5140267256 5140267257	Reservoir 11-Rechlor Facility Reservoir 18-Rechlor Facility	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2015	4241509109	W1 Street Pedestrianisation	45,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2015	4241509721	City Housing Initiative Repair	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2016	3301609124	Ward 4 234-250 Kenilworth Ave	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2016	3301609601	Ward 1 Revenue Projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2016	3301609604	Ward 4 Revenue Projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2016	3301609605	Ward 5 Revenue Projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2016	3301609606	Ward 6 Revenue Projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2016	3301609607	Ward 7 Revenue Projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2016	3301609608	Ward 8 Revenue Projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2016	3301609609	Ward 9 Revenue Projects	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2016	3301609611	<u>,                                      </u>	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.0%		
2016	3301609614	Ward 11 Revenue Projects Ward 14 Revenue Projects				0.00			
2016	4241609805	Wrd 8 Enhancemnt-CarpenterPrk	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2016	4401641100	Marina Dock Repairs-Insurance	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2017	3501757705	Geographic Metadata Catalogue	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2017	4141746104	Mattamy Waterdown Ph2B	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2017	4241709107	Kirkendall Traffic Calming	60,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2017	4241709204	W2 Traffic Isld Beautification	23,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2017	4401756802	Beach Park Dev Program	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2017	6731741700	Bed Bug Eradication	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2017	8141755704	Assess & Review Dundas Quarry	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	3541810555	2018 Chargebacks - Facilities	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	4031810555	2018 Chargebacks - Roads	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	4241809309	Century Parkette Public Art	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	4241809312	Hamilton Children's Museum	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	4401810555	2018 Chargebacks - Open Space	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	4411810555	2018 Chargebacks - W Harbour	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	4661820820	New Signal - Garner & Raymond	250,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	5141810555	2018 Chargebacks - Waterworks	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	5141860999	Closed Projects - Water	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	5161810555	2018 Chargebacks - San Swr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	5161860999	Closed Projects - Wastewater	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	5181810555	2018 Chargebacks - Strm Swr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	5181874840	Sydenham Interceptor Swale	260,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2018	8121855801	Community Energy Plan-CEP	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	3541910555	2019 Chargebacks - Facilities	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	4031910555	2019 Chargebacks - Roads	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	4031955946	Kenilworth-Barton-Main Design	150,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	4241909221	Sidewalk Maintenance - Ward 2	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	4401910555	2019 Chargebacks - Open Space	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	4401955901	Memorial Pk StmWaterMgmntStudy	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	4411910555	2019 Chargebacks - W Harbour	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	4661920945	Fibre Optics Cable	450,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	5141910555	2019 Chargebacks - Waterworks	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	5161910555	2019 Chargebacks - San Swr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	5181910555	2019 Chargebacks - Strm Swr	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2019	6731941023	OPHI - Housing Allowances	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2020	4402049900	MohawkSportsPk-Bleachers&Shade	150,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
2020	4502051001	Vehicle Purchases - Licensing	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		

		CAPIT	CITY OF HAMILTON Appendix "B" to Item APITAL PROJECTS' CLOSING SCHEDULE AS OF JUNE 30, 2020		CAPITAL PROJECTS' CLOSING SCHEDULE  AS OF JUNE 30, 2020			Page	20-019 e 3 of 7
YEAR APPROVED	PROJECT ID	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED BUDGET (\$)	REVENUES (\$)	EXPENDITURES (\$)	PROJECT SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT) (\$)	% SPENT		
2022	5162266102	Woodward WWTP - Expansion	a 0,00 l	<b>b</b>	<b>C</b> 0.00	d = b - c 0.00	e=c/a 0.0%		
TOTAL DELAY	ED/CANCELLED PROJ	IECTS (52)	1,388,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%		
COMPLETED	PROJECTS								
COPPORATE	SERVICES DEPARTME	NT/Tay Rudnot)							
Finance Progra		NT(TAX BUUGE)							
2012	3301249208	Ward 8 Capital Reinvestment	37,000.00	32,697.65	32,697.65	0.00	88.4%		
				·		•			
	gram & One Time Proje								
2001	2110141021	Customer Contact Cntr Ph 2 & 3	1,018,330.00	1,018,330.00	1,018,330.00	0.00	100.0%		
School and D-	anorty Burchasas								
2017	operty Purchases 4401756705	RE1701 - Potential Acquisition of the Former Hamilton Ps	sychiatric Hospital 250,000.00	140,390.66	140,390.66	0.00	56.2%		
2011	1 4401700700	TETTOT - Fotential Acquisition of the Former Hamilton Fa	yornauto riospitar ( 250,000.00	140,030.00	140,000.00	0.00	- 55.270		
CITY MANAGE	R DEPARTMENT(Tax E	Budget)							
City Manager F	Program								
2017	3381757506	Citizen Engagement & Marketing	70,000.00	70,000.00	70,000.00	0.00	100.0%		
	PROJECTS DEPARTME	ENT (Tax Budget)							
2012	astructure Program	Northwise Park Opfie	07.400.00	07.004.50	07.004.50	0.00	400.00/		
2012	4241209111 4241209506	Victoria Park Cafe Cherry Road- Backyard Slope	37,100.00 11,150.00	37,091.59 11,115.25	37,091.59 11,115.25	0.00	100.0% 99.7%		
2012	4241409102	W1 School Nutrition Prog	80,000,00	80,000.00	80,000.00	0.00	100.0%		
2014	4241409110	Dalewood & Ryerson pool cnvrsn	22,900.00	2,273.74	2,273.74	0.00	9.9%		
2015	4241509104	Earl Kitchener Playground Revi	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,000.00	0.00	100.0%		
2015	4241509105	W1 Native Plants	10,000.00	6,000.00	6,000.00	0.00	60.0%		
2016	4241609103	Upgrades to Dow Parkette	300,000.00	267,628.11	267,628.11	0.00	89.2%		
2016	4241609107	Ainslie Wood St Master Plan	150,000.00	145,339.11	145,339.11	0.00	96.9%		
2016	4241609108	Playground Martyrs Catholic	205,000.00	205,000.00	205,000.00	0.00	100.0%		
2016	4241609207	Spend Bump Reserve	60,000.00	57,369.02	57,369.02	0.00	95.6%		
2016 2016	4241609209 4241609215	Durand Park Fence NHCHC Pathway	75,000.00 28,800.00	57,552.01 28,843.25	57,552.01	0.00	76.7% 100.2%		
2016	4241609215	Stinson Wellgtn Intersection	5,000.00	5.000.00	28,843.25	0.00	100.2%		
2017	4241709203	James - Duke to Bridge	150,000.00	100,827.49	100,827.49	0.00	67.2%		
2017	4241709401	Sir Winston Churchill Turf	75,000.00	75,000.00	75,000.00	0.00	100.0%		
2017	4241709405	Parkdale Affordable Housing	290,250.00	290,250.00	290,250.00	0.00	100.0%		
2017	4241709501	Kentley - Crawford - Hart	200,000.00	152,035.96	152,035.96	0.00	76.0%		
2017	4241709603	Fern-Doreen-Tilbury-Filer-etc	300,000.00	226,387.38	226,387.38	0.00	75.5%		
2017	4241709806	Chedoke Outdoor Classroom	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,000.00	0.00	100.0%		
2018 2018	4241809102 4241809211	Victoria Park Com Gardens	145,000.00 150,000.00	114,410.07 150,000.00	114,410.07	0.00	78.9% 100.0%		
2018	4241809211	YWCA Cooling System  Cork Town Affordable Housing	42,000.00	42,000.00	150,000.00 42,000.00	0.00	100.0%		
2018	4241809307	Royal Oak Affordable Housing	275,000.00	275.000.00	275,000.00	0.00	100.0%		
2018	4241809313	HARRRP Community Services	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,000.00	0.00	100.0%		
2018	4241809504	Veevers House Cap Repairs	9,035.00	9,033.22	9,033.22	0.00	100.0%		
2018	4241809506	Red Hill Enviro Monitoring	25,000.00	25,000.00	25,000.00	0.00	100.0%		
2018	4241809606	Temp Speed Humps Trial	20,000.00	6,353.98	6,353.98	0.00	31.8%		
2019	4241909141	Mountable Curbs Ward 14	54,000.00	54,000.00	54,000.00	0.00	100.0%		
2019	4241909203	W2 Concrete Planters	100,000.00	50,970.41	50,970.41	0.00	51.0%		

			CITY OF HAMILTON	Appendix	"B" to Item 3(b) of	GIC Report 2	20-019
			CAPITAL PROJECTS' CLOSING SCHEDULE AS OF JUNE 30, 2020			•	4 of 7
YEAR APPROVED	PROJECT ID	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED BUDGET (\$)	REVENUES (\$)	EXPENDITURES (\$)	PROJECT SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT) (\$)	% SPENT
2019	4241909306	Woman Entrangua Collectiva	<b>a</b>	<u>b</u> 1,500.00	1 500 00	<u>a = b - c</u>	<u>e=c/a</u> 100.0%
2019	4241909306	Women Entrepreneur Collective Shelby Ave Speed Cushions	1,500.00 11,000.00	11,000.00	1,500.00   11,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2019	4241909404	W5 Sidewalk Repairs	160,000.00	160,000.00	160,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2019	4241909601	Oakcrest Speed Cushions	12,000.00	9,707.92	9,707.92	0.00	80.9%
2019	4241909801	45 Montcalm Fencing	3,150.00	2,900.16	2,900.16	0.00	92.1%
2019	4241909804	Queensdale Speed Cushions	16,800.00	16,800.00	16,800.00	0.00	100.0%
2019	4241910555	2019 Chargebacks - Area Rating	0.00	565,045.52	565,045.52	0.00	0.0%
Healthy & Safe C	ommunities (Tax Bu	dget)					
Social Housing P	rogram						
2015	6731541502	IAH-Rent Supplement	5,400,000.00	5,400,002.00	5,400,002.00	0.00	100.0%
2017	6731741702	SHARP-Social Housing Apt Retro	7,170,800.00	6,851,772.46	6,851,772.46	0.00	95.6%
2018	6731841703	SHAIP- Soc Hsg Apart Impr Prog	13,415,270.00	13,415,271.20	13,415,271.20	0.00	100.0%
Fire Services Pro	gram						
2019	7401941606	Station 13 Renovation	125,523.38	125,523.38	125,523.38	0.00	100.0%
Paramedic Service	es Program						
2019	7641951100	Paramedic Service Vehicle	1,269,000.00	963,358.41	963,358.41	0.00	75.9%
2009	4030980986	TrinityChurchCorridor-53&Stone	16,771,000.00	15,005,034.57	15,005,034.57	0.00	89.5%
2016	4141646104	1187 Upper James	145,000.00	140,361.16	140,361.16	0.00	96.8%
Parking By-Law					·		
2016	4031655641	Cordon Count Project	96,681.67	96,681.67	96,681.67	0.00	100.0%
2016	4031655940	2016 Trans Tomorrow Survey	93,630.00	93,637.07	93,637.07	0.00	100.0%
Tourism, Cultura	l Services & Public A	rt Programs					
2016	7201658600	Collections Reg Preservations	225,563.73	231,330.86	231,330.86	0.00	102.6%
2018	7101858813	Waterdown Memorial Art Project	75,000.00	22,309.62	22,309.62	0.00	29.7%
Non Capital Clea	rina Accounts						
2001	3560150200	Sales&Purch Tax & Remit Lands	0.00	25,900,444.74	25,900,444.74	0.00	0.0%
Public Works (Ta	x Budget)						
Roads Division							
2000	4060087004	Expressway Capital Holding Acct	0.00	60,274.09	60,274.09	0.00	0.0%
2013	4031311016	Asset Preservation - Turnball	3,085,000.00	2,857,611.91	2,857,611.91	0.00	92.6%
2014	4031418217	Bridge & Culvert Maintenance	1,810,000.00	1,810,000.00	1,810,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2014	4031455940	Transportation Tomorrow Survey	5,630.00	5,622.36	5,622.36	0.00	99.9%
2014	4041417124	Annual Bicycle Route 2014	140,000.00	140,000.00	140,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2015	4031511508	CP Minor Maintenance Ward 8	18,660.00	18,656.15	18,656.15	0.00	100.0%
2015	4031511509	CP Minor Maintenance Ward 9	18,660.00	18,656.15	18,656.15	0.00	100.0%
2015	4031511510	CP Minor Maintenance Ward 10	18,660.00	18,656.15	18,656.15	0.00	100.0%
2015	4031511511	CP Minor Maintenance Ward 11	198,650.00	198,656.15	198,656.15	0.00	100.0%
2015	4031511512	CP Minor Maintenance Ward 12 Traffic Counts Program	18,660.00	18,656.15 100,000.00	18.656.15 100,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2016 2016	4031615820 4031618219	Structural Investigation & Rp	100.000.00 400.000.00	400,000.00	400,000.00	0.00	100.0% 100.0%
2010	4031010218	Ou dotal allive sugation & IVp	1 400,000,00	400,000,000	400,000.00	0.00	100.076

AS OF JUNE 30, 2020

CITY OF HAMILTON Appendix "B" to Item 3(b) of GIC Report 20-019

Page 5 of 7

	AS OF JUNE 30, 2020							
YEAR APPROVED	PROJECT ID	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED BUDGET (\$)	REVENUES (\$)	EXPENDITURES (\$)	SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT) (\$) d = b - c	% SPENT e=cla	
2016	4661620008	New Traffic Signal Instalation	1,250,000.00 (	1,154,734.84	1.154.734.84	0.00 (	92.4%	
2017	4031711222	Annual New Sidewalk Program	490,000.00	323,856.54	323,856,54	0.00	66.1%	
2017	4031719101	Road Reconstruction 2017	2,341,000.00	2,164,093.73	2,164,093.73	0.00	92.4%	
2017	4661720008	New Traffic Signal Instalation	705,000.00	705,000.00	705,000.00	0.00	100.0%	
2017	4661720010	Traffic Signal Modernization	800,000.00	691,667.60	691,667.60	0.00	86.5%	
2017	4661720019	Annual Traffic Control RP 17	50,000.00	50,000.00	50,000.00	0.00	100.0%	
2018	4031810006	Minor Annual Construction	200,000.00	200,000.00	200,000.00	0.00	100.09	
2018	4031811225	Geotechnical Investigation	700,000.00	700,000.00	700,000.00	0.00	100.09	
2018	4031814405	Contam Soil and Rock Removal	580,000.00	580,000.00	580,000.00	0.00	100.09	
2018	4031815820	Traffic Counts Program	100,000.00	100,000.00	100,000.00	0.00	100.09	
2018	4031817677	Preventative Maintenance 2018	2,790,000.00	2,790,000.00	2,790,000.00	0.00	100.09	
2018	4031818217	Bridge & Culvert Maintenance	2,000,000.00	2,000,000.00	2,000,000.00	0.00	100.09	
2018	4031818218	OSIM Bridge & Culvert Insp	300,000.00	300,000.00	300,000.00	0.00	100.09	
2018	4031821350	Fleet Additions - Roads O&M	300,000.00	300,000.00	300,000.00	0.00	100.09	
2018	4031855556	Mapping Update	40,000.00	40,000.00	40,000.00	0.00	100.09	
2018	4661820720	Plastic Pavement Marking Rehab	200,000.00	112,703.65	112,703.65	0.00	56.4%	
2019	4031919112	Brucedale (Eastmount NHBD)	1,241,338.71	1,241,338.71	1,241,338.71	0.00	100.09	
2019	4031919117	Parkdale - Burlington to n end	3,323,000.00	3,114,345.43	3,114,345.43	0.00	93.7%	
2019	4661920017	Traffic Signal LED Upgrade	150,000.00	150,000.00	150,000.00	0.00	100.0	
2019	4661920525	IPS - Intersection Ped Signal	100,000.00	100,000.00	100,000.00	0.00	100.0	
2019	4661920720	Plastic Pavement Marking Rehab	200,000.00	200,000.00	200,000.00	0.00	100.0	
ransit Division		L Convide Commerce on Burner	4 700 000 00	1 510 916 46	4 540 046 46	0.00	88.9%	
2016	5301684503	Security Cameras on Buses	1,700,000.00	1,510,816.46	1,510,816.46 700,000.00	0.00	100.09	
2017	5301783002	Reserve Shortfall-OBR Program	700,000.00	700,000.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.00	73.7%	
2017	5301783100	2017 HSR Bus Replacement	14,400,000.00	10,612,380.89	10,612,380.89	0.00	99.0%	
2017	5301783503	2017 Non-Rev Vehicle Replace	85,000.00	84,184.33	84,184.33	0.00	100.0	
2018	5301883002	Reserve Shortfall-OBR Program	700,000.00	700,000.00	700,000.00	0.00		
2018	5301883503	2018 Non-Rev Vehicle Replace	103,000.00 150,000.00	102,493.22	102,493.22 93,524.75	0.00	99.5%	
2019	5301985902	Transit Shelter Expansion Prgm	150,000.00	93,524.75	93,524.75	0.00	62.37	
/aste Manager 2016	nent 5121695525	SWMMP-Planning & Approvals	90,000.00	90,000.00	90,000.00	0.00	100.0	
leet Division					1			
2017	4941751100	Fleet-Vehicle & Equipment Repl	7,816,780.00	7,816,780.00	7,816,780.00	0.00	100.0	
ecreation Fac					· .			
2013	7101354202	New Dalewood Community Centre	1,891,078.52	1,891,078.52	1,891,078.52	0.00	100.0	
2014	7101454704	HPRC Independence from School	170,357.89	170,357.89	170,357.89	0.00	100.0	
2015	7101541706	Recreation Centre Retrofits	218,969.00	218,973.03	218,973.03	0.00	100.0	
2015	7101554507	Chedoke Arena Roof	1,160,000.00	1,159,728.27	1,159,728.27	0.00	100.0	
2015	7101554509	Mohawk Track - Redesign	900,000.00	894,422.82	894,422.82	0.00	99.49	
2016	7101654611	Mt Hope & Binbrook Hall Renos	1,920,200.00	1,908,178.89	1,908,178.89	0.00	99.49	
2016	7101654702	Facility Capital Maintenance	311,690.34	311,673.32	311,673.32	0.00	100.0	
2017	7101741706	Recreation Centre Retrofits	123,200.91	123,203.32	123,203.32	0.00	100.0	
2017	7101754536	Program - Arena Retrofits	468,298.05	468,298.05	468,298.05	0.00	100.0	
2018	7101841213	Flamb Seniors RecCentre Washrm	221,481.57	221,481.57	221,481.57	0.00	100.0	
2018	7101854105	Park & Fieldhouse Retrofits	160,821.48	160,821.48	160,821.48	0.00	100.0	
nergy Initiativ	es			·	i			
2016	7901641600	Hamilton Place LED Light	590,000.00	440,041.53	440,041.53	0.00	74.6%	

	CITY OF HAMILTON CAPITAL PROJECTS' CLOSING SCHEDULE AS OF JUNE 30, 2020  APPENDIX "B" to Item 3(b) of G			f GIC Report Page			
YEAR APPROVED	PROJECT ID	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED BUDGET (\$)	REVENUES (\$)	EXPENDITURES (\$)	SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT) (\$)	% SPENT
	Company of the Company of the Company		а	b	c ,	d=b-c	e=c/a
2016	7901641605	Valley Park LED Light	195,560.00	126,268.22	126,268.22	0.00 (	64.6%
2016	7901641606	Ice Arena LED Light	1,221,000.00	1,151,416.85	1,151,416.85	0.00	94.3%
Facilities Divisio	n						
2014	3541441910	RCMP Lease-Capital Replacement	434,000.00	434,000.00	434,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2016	3541641631	Facilities Security Program	139,660.35	139,660.35	139,660.35	0.00	100.0%
2017	3541741631	Facilities Security Program	48,073.63	48,073.63	48,073.63	0.00	100.0%
2018	3541841631	Facilities Security	910.20	910.20	910.20	0.00	100.0%
Parks Division					÷		
2012	4401256801	Green Millen Shore Estates Waterfront	704,479.96	704,479.96	704,479.96	0.00	100.0%
2013	4401356002	Beasley Park Rehabilitation	2,137,888.97	2,137,977.95	2,137,977.95	0.00	100.0%
2015	4401556510	Dundas Valley HS Soccer	267,478.26	31,478.26	31,478.26	0.00	11.8%
2015	4401556511	Nash Orchard Park	10,367.39	10,367.39	10,367.39	0.00	100.0%
2016	4401649102	Sports Field Rehab Program	69,352.64	69,352.64	69,352.64	0.00	100.0%
2016	4401656802	Annual Beach Park Development	20,000.00	20,000.00	20,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2017	4401749104	Security Lighting Program	35,117.12	35,129.15	35,129.15	0.00	100.0%
2017	4401756718	Ancaster Meadows Pk (Proposed)	762,460.35	762,460.35	762,460.35	0.00	100.0%
2018	4401852100	CSA Safety Material Replacemnt	140,864.33	140,864.33	140,864.33	0.00	100.0%
2018	4401855800	QC CA Material Testing	1,233.95	1,233.95	1,233.95	0.00	100.0%
2018	4401856300	Parkside Hills	97,374.81	97,374.81	97,374.81	0.00	100.0%
2018	4401856802	Beach Park Development	35,000.00	35,000.00	35,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2019	4401949007	Cemetery Columbarium	21,624.91	21,624.91	21,624.91	0.00	100.0%
2019	4401949104	Security Lighting Program	14,556.99	14,556.99	14,556.99	0.00	100.0%
2019	4401949504	Parkland Id &WayFindingSignage	20,000.00	20,000.00	20,000.00	0.00	100.0%
Planning & Deve Growth Manage 2011	elopment (Rate Budg ment 5161180188	RR56-Binbrook Rd to Viking Dr	890,000,00	811,710.84	811,710.84	0,00	91.2%
2014	5181480461	Parkside Urbanization - Ph1	930,000.00	909,865.46	909,865.46	0.00	97.8%
2015	5141580588	RR 56 – Binbrook to Viking	1,190,000.00	1,184,124.48	1,184,124.48	0.00	99,5%
2017	5141780785	RHBP-Dartnall - Stone to Rymal	592,500.00	536,752.38	536,752.38	0.00	90.6%
Public Works (R Waterworks Reg	tate Budget)	(1.1.5. Daniel De	,,	333, 02,03	1	5.55 [	
2015	5141596153	PD22 (Governor's Rd) Extend	900,000.00	883,250.06	883,250.06	0.00	98.1%
2017	5141760577	Metallic WM Condition Assess	685,000.00	609,277.52	609,277.52	0.00	88.9%
2018	5141857627	Fennell Trunkmain Inspection	750,000.00	747,022.67	747,022.67	0.00	99,6%
2018	5141860072	Annual Watermain Lining Prgm	7,320,000.00	7,320,000.00	7,320,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2018	5141862078	Substandard Service Replace	2,849,000.00	2,849,000.00	2,849,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2019	5141960072	Annual Watermain Lining Prgm	7,101,000.00	7,102,572.19	7,102,572.19	0.00	100.0%
2019	5141960711	Annual Capital Wtr Consumption	228,000.00	228,000.00	228,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2019	5141970003	Brampton-Parkdale to Strathrne	815,000.00	812,644.67	812,644.67	0.00	99.7%
2019	5141970004	Brucedale (Eastmount NHBD)	785,776.33	785,776.33	785,776.33	0.00	100.0%
2019	5141970005	Cheever - Barton to Birge	240,000.00	239,617.23	239,617.23	0.00	99.8%
2019	5141970009	Locke - Herkimer to Main	800,000.00	799,531.93	799,531.93	0.00	99.9%
2019	5141971302	Baldwin & Court-West to Dundas   Brucedale (Eastmount NHBD)	175,000.00	173,392.14 1,588,902.74	173,392.14	0.00	99.1%
2019 2019	5141971304 5141971309	Locke - Herkimer to Main	1,588,902.74 2,671,185.53	2,675,685.53	1,588,902.74 2,675,685.53	0.00	100.0% 100.2%
2013	31413/13/3	1 FOOKS - LIGIVILIES TO IMMILE	2,071,165.53	2,070,000.00	2,070,000.00	0,00	100.270
Wastewater Reg 2015	jular Program 5161567565	LHC005 Inline Storege	1 2470,000,00 1	2 000 427 44 1	2 000 427 44 5	0.00	06.20/
2015	210130/202	HC005 - Inline Storage	2,170,000.00	2,089,437.41	2,089,437.41	0.00	96.3%

			CITY OF HAMILTON	Appendix	c "B" to Item 3(b) of	GIC Report	<del>20-019</del>
			CAPITAL PROJECTS' CLOSING SCHEDULE AS OF JUNE 30, 2020			Page	7 of 7
YEAR APPROVED	PROJECT ID	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED BUDGET (\$)	REVENUES (\$)	EXPENDITURES (\$)	PROJECT SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT) (\$)	% SPENT
2017	5161760390	I Wastewater System Lining	4.500.000.00	3,637,661.52	3.637.661.52	<u>d = b - c</u> 0.00	e=c/a 80.8%
2017	5161760590	Mainline Condition Assessment	1,330,000.00	920,266,42	920,266.42	0.00	69.2%
2017	5161860302	Annual Emerg Repairs-X Connect	500,000.00	500.000.00	500,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2018	5161860390	Wastewater System Lining	4,582,000.00	5,335,812.36	5,335,812.36	0.00	116.5%
2018	5161860533		4,562,000.00			0.00	67.1%
		Trenchless Manhole Rehab	550,000.00	26,831.90 550,000.00	26,831.90 550,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2018	5161860574 5161861444	Pre-Con Mainline Assessment	4.139.000.00	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.00	97.3%
2018	5161871074	Annual Private Drain Repairs   Annual Unsched Works - 2018	100,000.00	4,027,000.00 97,284.91	4,027,000.00	0.00	97.3%
		1,			97,284.91		100.0%
2019	5161960533	Trenchless Manhole Rehab	6,932.35	6,932.35	6,932.35	0.00	
2019	5161960576	Sewer Lateral Condition Assess	642,000.00	636,339.23	636,339.23	0.00	99.1%
2019	5161960711	Annual Capital Wtr Consumption	268,000.00	268,000.00	268,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2019	5161961444	Annual Private Drain Repairs	3,620,000.00	3,620,000.00	3,620,000.00	0.00	100.0%
Storm Sewers R 2011	5181155644	1 Cherry Beach Review & Class FA	244,840.00	244.843.68	244.843.68	0.00	100.0%
2014	5181460722	Annual Municipal Drain Mtnce	139,000.00	24,888.95	24,888.95	0.00	17.9%
2016	5181672074	Annual Unsched Works - 2016	70,000,00	70,000.00	70.000.00	0.00	100.0%
2017	5181755740	Flushables Research Study	75,000.00	75,000.00	75,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2018	5181860533	Trenchless Manhole Rehab	5,000,00	5.000.00	5.000.00	0.00	100.0%
2018	5181860722	Annual Municipal Drain Mtnce	280,000.00	54,000.00	54,000.00	0.00	19.3%
2019	5181960622	SWM Pond Creek Mtnce Program	1,200,000.00	1,200,000.00	1,200,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2019	5181972290	I Hewitson – Dupont to Barton	520,000.00	502,022.93	502,022.93	0.00	96.5%
2019	5181972292	Baldwin & Court-West to Dundas	245,000,00	151,238,50	151,238.50	0.00	61.7%
<u> </u>		•	•	,	•		
Non Capital Cle	aring Accounts						
2015	5141559999	Frozen Pipes-Compassion Grants	120,103.31	120,103.31	120,103.31	0.00	100.0%
TOTAL COMPLE	ETED PROJECTS (16	68)	169,127,864.37	185,663,567.17	185,663,567.17	0.00	109.8%
GRAND TOTAL	COMPLETED/CANC	ELLED PROJECTS (264)	243,595,436.36	257,745,399.33	256,425,802.72	1,319,596.61	105.3%

	CITY OF HAMILTON CAPITAL PROJECTS TO BE FUNDED ABOVE \$250,000 AND RESERVE TRANSFERS FOR THE PERIOD COVERING JANUARY 1, 2020 TO JUNE 30, 2020									
Recommendation	Recommendations									
Appropriated From	Description	Appropriated To	Description	Amount (\$)	Comments					
Public Works (Tax) Lighting Program		1								
2050101100	Corporate Service Capital Interest	4041510017	Street Lighting - Maintenance	420,000.00	Correct prior project budget adjustment from Corporate wide WIPs.					
Public Works (Tax)	Total			\$ 420,000.00						
Public Works (Rate Waterworks Regular 108015		49412-5140364336	Contribution from Reserve - PS H6B Upper Gage Trunk-hold	\$ 904,411.60	Fund project budget external revenues from the Waterworks Reserve.					
Public Works (Rate	) Total			\$ 904,411.60						
Project Totals				\$ 1,324,411.60						



### AUDIT, FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE REPORT 20-012

9:30 a.m. November 19, 2020 Council Chambers Hamilton City Hall

**Present**: Councillors M. Wilson (Chair), C. Collins, L. Ferguson, J. Partridge, M.

Pearson, and A. VanderBeek

**Absent:** Councillors B. Johnson and B. Clark – City Business

### THE AUDIT, FINANCE & ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE PRESENTS REPORT 20-012 AND RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDS:

- 1. Tax and Rate Operating Budget Variance Report as at August 31, 2020 Budget Control Policy Transfers (FCS20069(a)) (City Wide) (Item 8.1)
  - (a) That the Tax and Rate Operating Budget Variance Report as at August 31, 2020 attached as Appendices "A" and "B", respectively, to Report FCS20069(a) be received;
  - (b) That, in accordance with the "Budget Control Policy", the 2020 budget transfer, transferring budget from one department / division to another or from one cost category to another with no impact on the levy, as outlined in Appendix "A" to Audit, Finance & Administration Report 20-012, be approved;
  - (c) That, in accordance with the "Budgeted Complement Control Policy", the 2020 complement transfer transferring complement from one department / division to another with no impact on the levy, as outlined in Appendix "B" to Audit, Finance & Administration Report 20-012, be approved; and,
  - (d) That, in accordance with the "Budgeted Complement Control Policy", the 2020 extensions of temporary positions with 24-month terms or greater, with no impact on the levy, as outlined in Appendix "C" to Audit, Finance & Administration Report 20-012, be approved.
- 2. 2021 Temporary Borrowing and Interim Tax Levy By-laws (FCS20094) (City Wide) (Item 9.1)
  - (a) That Appendix "A" attached to Report FCS20094 "By-law to Authorize the Temporary Borrowing of Monies to Meet Current Expenditures Pending Receipt of Current Revenues for 2021", be passed; and,

(b) That Appendix "B" attached to Report FCS20094 "By-law to Authorize an Interim Tax Levy for 2021", be passed.

### 3. Binbrook Conservation Area Capital Funding Plan (FCS20096) (City Wide) (Item 9.2)

- (a) That the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority's special benefitting capital levy request related to septic system and other capital improvements to the Binbrook Conservation Area of up to \$1.21 M be funded from the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve (108020) and repaid from the operating budget over 15 years at an interest rate of 1.66% for an annual payment of \$91,790.31 as outlined in Appendix "D" to Audit, Finance & Administration Report 20-012;
- (b) That the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority's capital levy of \$92,000 be included in the 2021 Tax Operating Budget; and,
- (c) That the Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority submit financial documentation to the Director of Financial Planning, Administration and Policy for the release of funds for the capital projects of up to \$1.21 M.
- 4. Information Technology Data Centre Air Conditioning System Replacement (FCS20091) (City Wide) (Item 9.3)

That Finance staff be directed to mitigate the additional \$250,000 required to replace the Air Conditioning System in the Corporate Data Centre, through Capital Work In Progress (WIP) funding and/or reserves.

5. Citizen Committee Report - Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer (LGBTQ) Advisory Committee – Budget Expenditure Requests (Added Item 9.4)

That the following budget allocation from the Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer (LGBTQ) Advisory Committee budget, be approved:

Motion 7.2:

- (a) \$450.00 to reimburse organizers for speaker fees associated with local Transgender Day of Remembrance (TOOR) events happening on November 20, 2020 and November 24, 2020.
- 6. Citizen Committee Report Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer (LGBTQ) Advisory Committee Advertising for Vacancies (Added Item 9.5)

That the Office of the City Clerk and Communications staff be directed to advertise for vacancies on the LGBTQ Advisory Committee ("LGBTQAC") based

on the presentation delivered to the LGBTQAC by Communications staff at its November 17, 2020 meeting with the following modifications and considerations:

- (a) That the advertising campaign focuses primarily on recruiting youth, queer and trans, Black, Indigenous, people of colour (QTBIPOC), and Two Spirit members of the community;
- (b) That the language used to describe gender identity and expression be inclusive, especially when it comes to demographic selecting or targeting;
- (c) That the advertising campaign be designed and carried out with the principles of accessibility and literacy in mind and that it conform to any standards as outlined in the Accessibility for Ontarians with Disabilities Act (AODA);
- (d) That the advertisements are translated into multiple languages (multilingual) so as to reach more interested Hamiltonians;
- (e) That the budget for Google Ad Words be reduced significantly as the LGBTQAC is unsure that this approach of targeting 1.8 million impressions will serve the advertising campaign;
- (f) That any additional budget funding that remains be focused on social media advertising, especially in groups identified by the LGBTQAC;
- (g) That any suitable advertisements or advertisement copy be sent directly to members of City Council for inclusion in any of their newsletters or electronic newsletters;
- (h) That the previous request to advertise through the Hamilton Street Railway (HSR) be rescinded as the LGBTQAC thinks that this will not be cost effective and will likely not reach the focus audience; and,
- (i) That an artist/graphic designer from Two Spirit or LGBTQIA+ communities be employed to come up with any designs, drawings, or images associated with the advertising campaign.
- 7. City Auditor Reporting of Serious Matters to Council (Case #26158) (AUD20010) (City Wide) (Item 13.2)

That Report AUD20010, respecting City Auditor Reporting of Serious Matters to Council (Case #26158) be received and remain confidential.

#### FOR INFORMATION:

(a) CHANGES TO THE AGENDA (Item 1)

Council - November 25, 2020

The Committee Clerk advised of the following changes to the agenda:

#### 9. DISCUSSION ITEMS

- 9.4 Citizen Committee Report Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer (LGBTQ) Advisory Committee Budget Expenditure Requests
- 9.5 Citizen Committee Report Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer (LGBTQ) Advisory Committee - Advertising for Vacancies

The agenda for the November 19, 2020 Audit, Finance and Administration Committee meeting was approved, as amended.

### (b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 2)

There were no declarations of interest.

### (c) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Item 3)

(i) November 5, 2020 (Item 3.1)

The Minutes of the November 5, 2020 meeting of the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee were approved, as presented.

#### (d) STAFF PRESENTATIONS (Item 8)

(i) Tax and Rate Operating Budget Variance Report as at August 31, 2020 – Budget Control Policy Transfers (FCS20069(a)) (City Wide) (Item 8.1)

Mike Zegarac, General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services addressed the Committee with a staff presentation respecting Report FCS20069(a), the Tax and Rate Operating Budget Variance Report as at August 31, 2020 – Budget Control Policy Transfers.

The Staff Presentation respecting Report FCS20069(a), the Tax and Rate Operating Budget Variance Report as at August 31, 2020 – Budget Control Policy Transfers, was received.

### (e) DISCUSSION ITEMS (Item 9)

(i) Citizen Committee Report - Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual, Transgender and Queer (LGBTQ) Advisory Committee – Budget Expenditure Requests (Added Item 9.4)

The following recommendations, were referred to staff to prepare a report for the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee with additional information:

#### Motion 7.3:

- (a) That a budget allocation of \$500.00 from the LGBTQAC's budget to assist speqtrum Hamilton in running their online events and weekly online peer support;
- (b) That a budget allocation of \$500.00 from the LGBTQAC's budget to assist Kyle's Place in stocking their food pantry;
- (c) That a budget allocation of \$500.00 from the LGBTQAC's budget to assist the Sex Workers' Action Program Hamilton (SWAP) in supporting their ongoing drive to compile Harm Reduction Outreach Packages; and,
- (d) That a budget allocation of \$500.00 from the LGBTQAC's budget to assist The SPACE Youth Centre in supporting their OQRA and Kaleidoscope programs.

### (f) PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL (Item 13)

- (i) November 5, 2020 Closed Minutes (Item 13.1)
  - (a) The Closed Session Minutes of the November 5, 2020 Audit, Finance and Administration Committee meeting, were approved as presented; and,
  - (b) The Closed Session Minutes of the November 5, 2020 Audit, Finance and Administration Committee meeting, remain confidential.

### (g) ADJOURNMENT (Item 14)

There being no further business, the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee, adjourned at 10:57 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Councillor Wilson, Chair Audit, Finance and Administration Committee

Angela McRae

## Audit, Finance and Administration Report 20-012

November 19, 2020 Page 6 of 6

Legislative Coordinator Office of the City Clerk

## CITY OF HAMILTON BUDGET RESTATEMENT SCHEDULE

### **BUDGET RESTATEMENT**

### **Budget Transfer to another division or department**

ITEM#		TRANSFER FROM			TRANSFER TO	
	<u>Department</u>	<u>Division</u>	<u>Amount</u>	<u>Department</u>	<u>Division</u>	<u>Amount</u>
1.1	Public Works	Transportation Operations	\$1,192,290.00	Corporate Financials - Expenditures	Non-Program Expenditures	\$1,192,290.00
	Explanation: Tra	nsfer budget to reflect new reporting str	ructure.			

Note - Above budget transfers remain in the same cost category.

### CITY OF HAMILTON BUDGETED COMPLEMENT TRANSFER SCHEDULE

#### STAFF COMPLEMENT CHANGE

### Complement Transfer to another division or department (1)

ITEM#	TRANSFER FROM			TRANSFER TO				
	<u>Department</u>	Division	Position Title (2)	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Department</u>	Division	Position Title (2)	<u>FTE</u>
1.1	Planning and Economic Development	Transportation Planning and Parking	Parking Investigator	1.00	Planning and Economic Development	Transportation Planning and Parking	Parking Technologist	1.00
	Explanation: Conversion of Parking Investigator (grade H) position to a full time Parking Technologist (grade L) position will provide more stability to current temporary Parking Technologist role. Current Parking Investigator is set to retire in November 2020 and change in pay band can be accommodated through available gapping.							

Note - Complement transfers include the transfer of corresponding budget.

- (1) All other budgeted complement changes that require Council approval per Budgeted Complement Control Policy must be done through either separate report or the budget process (i.e. Increasing/decreasing budgeted complement).
- (2) If a position is changing, the impact of the change is within 1 pay band unless specified.

### CITY OF HAMILTON BUDGETED COMPLEMENT TEMPORARY EXTENSION SCHEDULE

### **TEMPORARY POSITION EXTENSIONS**

Extensions to temporary positions with terms of 24 months or greater as per the Budgeted Complement Control Policy

ITEM#	TRANSFER FROM				TRANSFER TO			
I I EIVI #	<u>Department</u>	<u>Division</u>	Position Title	<u>FTE</u>	<u>Department</u>	<u>Division</u>	Position Title	<u>FTE</u>
1	Planning & Economic [	Developm∈Transportation Planning and P	arking Screening Officer	Temp	Planning & Economic	Developme Transportation Planning and Parking	Screening Officer	Temp
	Explanation: Tempora APS restructuring.	ary position with a 24 month term expiring	requesting approval for additional 12 month ext	tension to December 20	021. Position required to acc	commodate increasing screenings and new APS. Sta	ffing needs are currently under r	eview as part of overall

### **Binbrook Conservation Area Capital Funding Plan**

Borrower City of Hamilton Reserve 108020

Purpose NPCA Binbrook Conservation Area Improvements (FCS20096)

Principal Amount \$ 1,210,000.00

Annual Interest Rate 1.66 % Loan Term (Year) 15

Debenture Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 12/01/2020
Maturity Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 05/01/2030
Payment Frequency Annual
Loan Type Serial

Payment Date	<b>Total Payment</b>	<b>Principal Amount</b>	Interest Amount	Principal Balance
12/01/2021	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 71,704.31	\$ 20,086.00	\$ 1,138,295.69
12/01/2022	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 72,894.60	\$ 18,895.71	\$ 1,065,401.10
12/01/2023	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 74,104.65	\$ 17,685.66	\$ 991,296.45
12/01/2024	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 75,334.79	\$ 16,455.52	\$ 915,961.66
12/01/2025	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 76,585.34	\$ 15,204.96	\$ 839,376.32
12/01/2026	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 77,856.66	\$ 13,933.65	\$ 761,519.66
12/01/2027	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 79,149.08	\$ 12,641.23	\$ 682,370.58
12/01/2028	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 80,462.95	\$ 11,327.35	\$ 601,907.63
12/01/2029	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 81,798.64	\$ 9,991.67	\$ 520,108.99
12/01/2030	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 83,156.50	\$ 8,633.81	\$ 436,952.49
12/01/2031	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 84,536.89	\$ 7,253.41	\$ 352,415.59
12/01/2032	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 85,940.21	\$ 5,850.10	\$ 266,475.39
12/01/2033	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 87,366.81	\$ 4,423.49	\$ 179,108.57
12/01/2034	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 88,817.10	\$ 2,973.20	\$ 90,291.47
12/01/2035	\$ 91,790.31	\$ 90,291.47	\$ 1,498.84	\$ 00.00
	\$ 1,376,854.59	\$ 1,210,000.00	\$ 166,854.59	



# EMERGENCY & COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE REPORT 20-010

1:30 p.m.
Thursday, November 19, 2020
Council Chambers
Hamilton City Hall
71 Main Street West

**Present:** Councillors E. Pauls, (Chair), T. Jackson, S. Merulla and N. Nann

**Regrets:** Councillors B. Clark – City Business and T. Whitehead – Personal

### THE EMERGENCY AND COMMUNITY SERVICES COMMITTEE PRESENTS REPORT 20-010 AND RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDS:

1. Expanding Housing and Support Services for Women, Non-Binary, and Transgender Community Sub-Committee Report 20-002 (Item 7.1)

### (i) Change to the Membership of the Sub-Committee

That the membership of the Expanding Housing and Support Services for Women, Non-Binary, and Transgender Community Sub-Committee be amended, as follows:

- four (4) members of Council;
- two (2) representatives from the Women's Housing Planning Collaborative (WHPC);
- one (1) representative from the Community University Policy Alliance on Gender Based Homelessness;
- three (3) Council appointed volunteer citizens with experience and interest in homelessness prevention and the provision of affordable housing; and,
- A minimum of one (1) staff representative from the City's Homelessness Policy and Programs Team, Housing Services Division will also sit on the Sub-Committee as a non-voting member.

2. Poverty Reduction Investment Plan Update (CES16043(d)) (City Wide) (Outstanding Business List Item) (Item 10.1)

That Report CES16043(d), respecting Poverty Reduction Investment Plan Update, be received.

- 3. 2020 Arena Opening Plan (HSC20031(b)) (City Wide) (Item 10.2)
  - (i) That Report HSC20031, respecting 2020 Arena Opening Plan (City Wide), be received.
  - (ii) WHEREAS, the City of Hamilton entered the Red "Control" category of the Province's Keeping Ontario Safe and Open Framework on Monday November 16, 2020:

WHEREAS, the Province of Ontario confirmed the new capacity limits for recreation facilities and programming;

WHEREAS, the maximum indoor capacity for practice and training in arenas and recreation centres is 10 patrons; and

WHEREAS, the new indoor capacity limits will create a financial hardship for the recreation user groups in the sports and fitness activities of hockey, ringette, figure skating, speed skating, sledge hockey, basketball, volleyball;

#### THEREFORE, IT BE RESOLVED:

- (a) That staff be directed to reduce current fees to half of the Council approved user rates retroactively to November 16, 2020 for all affiliated ice and gym user groups for as long as the City of Hamilton is within the Red "Control" category of the Province's Keeping Ontario Safe and Open Framework; and
- (b) That staff report back to the Emergency and Community Services Committee during the 2021 City Operating Budget process with the potential impact this may have on the department's revenue.

## 4. Federal Reaching Home Funding Allocation (HSC20053) (City Wide) (Item 10.4)

That the General Manager of the Healthy and Safe Communities Department, or their designate, be authorized and directed to enter into and execute an Agreement with Employment and Social Development Canada to administer Canada's COVID-19 Economic Response Plan to Support People Experiencing and At Risk of Homelessness to a maximum amount of \$7,958,350 and any agreements with Community Services Provider(s) delivered in alignment with Reaching Home Directives, as well as any ancillary agreements, contracts, extensions and documents required to give effect thereto in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor.

#### 5. Paramedic Service Update (HSC20057) (City Wide) (Item 10.5)

That Report HSC20057, respecting Paramedic Service Update (City Wide), be received.

### 6. Access to Housing (ATH) Offers and Refusals Policy Change (HSC20058) (City Wide) (Item 10.6)

That Report HSC20058, respecting Access to Housing (ATH) Offers and Refusals Policy Change, be received.

### 7. Long Term Care Home Incident Investigation Report (LS20028(a)/HSC20049(a)) (City Wide) (Item 14.1)

That Report LS20028(a)/HSC20049(a), respecting Long Term Care Home Incident Investigation Report, be received and remain confidential.

#### FOR INFORMATION:

#### (a) APPROVAL OF AGENDA (Item 2)

The Committee Clerk advised of the following changes to the agenda:

#### 8. Delegations (Items 8.1-8.3)

- 8.1 Angela Pugliese, respecting the Crisis with Supportive Housing for those with Disabilities (approved November 5, 2020)
- 8.2 Robert Scott Innes, respecting an update on progress on affordable low-cost housing program (approved November 5, 2020)
- 8.3 Francis Lao, McMaster Medical School Day of Action Committee, respecting homelessness in Hamilton (approved November 5, 2020)

#### 10. DISCUSSION ITEMS (Item 10.3)

10.3 Macassa Lodge - Redevelopment Project (HSC20050) (Ward 7) – WITHDRAWN

The agenda for the November 19, 2020 Emergency and Community Services Committee meeting was approved, as amended.

#### (b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 3)

There were no Declarations of Interest.

#### (c) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Item 4)

(i) November 5, 2020 (Item 4.1)

The Minutes of the November 5, 2020 meeting of the Emergency and Community Services Committee were approved, as presented.

#### (f) PUBLIC HEARINGS/DELEGATIONS (Item 8)

(i) Angela Pugliese, respecting the Crisis with Supportive Housing for those with Disabilities

Angela Pugliese, addressed the Committee respecting the Crisis with Supportive Housing for those with Disabilities.

The content of the delegation from Angela Pugliese, regarding the Crisis with Supportive Housing for those with Disabilities, was referred to staff to consider what actions may be taken and report back to the Emergency and Community Services Committee.

(ii) Robert Scott Innes, respecting an update on progress on affordable low-cost housing program

Robert Scott Innes, addressed the Committee respecting an update on progress on affordable low-cost housing program.

(iii) Francis Lao, McMaster Medical School Day of Action Committee, respecting homelessness in Hamilton

Francis Lao, Mary Boulos, and Stephanie Li, McMaster Medical School Day of Action Committee, addressed the Committee respecting homelessness in Hamilton with the aid of a presentation.

Staff were directed to review the content of the Delegation from Francis Lao, Mary Boulos, and Stephanie Li, respecting homelessness in Hamilton, and report back at the next appropriate Emergency and Community Services Committee meeting on the feasibility of the recommendations in the presentation with particular consideration to the timely nature of some aspects of the presentation related to homelessness rate and preparation for this winter season.

The following Delegations, were received:

- (a) Angela Pugliese, respecting the Crisis with Supportive Housing for those with Disabilities
- (b) Robert Scott Innes, respecting an update on progress on affordable low-cost housing program

(c) Francis Lao, McMaster Medical School Day of Action Committee, respecting homelessness in Hamilton

#### (g) PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL (Item 14)

The Emergency and Community Services Committee determined that it was not necessary to move into Closed Session respecting Item 14.1.

(i) Long Term Care Home Incident Investigation Report (LS20028(a)/HSC20049(a)) (City Wide) (Item 14.1)

For disposition of this matter, refer to Item 7.

#### (h) ADJOURNMENT (Item 15)

That there being no further business, the Emergency and Community Services Committee was adjourned at 3:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Councillor E. Pauls Chair, Emergency and Community Services Committee

Tamara Bates Legislative Coordinator Office of the City Clerk



#### GENERAL ISSUES COMMITTEE (RATE BUDGET) REPORT 20-020

9:30 a.m.

Monday, November 23, 2020

Due to COVID-19 and the Closure of City Hall, this meeting was held virtually.

Present: Mayor F. Eisenberger, Deputy Mayor A. VanderBeek (Chair)

Councillors M. Wilson, J. Farr, N. Nann, S. Merulla, C. Collins,

T. Jackson, E. Pauls, J.P. Danko, B. Clark, M. Pearson, B. Johnson,

L. Ferguson, J. Partridge

**Absent:** Councillor T. Whitehead – Personal

\_\_\_\_\_

### THE GENERAL ISSUES COMMITTEE PRESENTS REPORT 20-020, AND RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDS:

1. Alectra Utilities Water, Wastewater and Storm 2020 Service Activity Report (FCS20072) (City Wide) (Item 6.1)

That Report FCS20072, respecting the Alectra Utilities Water, Wastewater and Storm 2020 Service Activity Report, be received.

- 2. 2021 Recommended Water, Wastewater and Stormwater Budget (FCS20073) (City Wide) (Item 6.2)
  - (a) That the metered water consumption charges for average residential properties (meters up to 21mm in size) in the City of Hamilton be imposed at the following rates, effective January 1, 2021:

Monthly Water	Rate
Consumption (m3)	(\$/m3)
0 – 10	0.85
10 +	1.70

- (b) That the metered water consumption charge for commercial, industrial, institutional and larger residential properties (meters = >25mm in size) in the City of Hamilton be imposed at the rate of \$1.70 per cubic metre, effective January 1, 2021;
- (c) That daily water fixed charges for all properties in the City of Hamilton be imposed at the following rates, effective January 1, 2021:

		Daily
Me	ter	Water
Siz	ze	Rate
15	mm	\$0.38
16	mm	\$0.38
20	mm	\$0.38
21	mm	\$0.38
25	mm	\$0.95
38	mm	\$1.90
50	mm	\$3.04
75	mm	\$6.08
100	mm	\$9.50
150	mm	\$19.00
200	mm	\$30.40
250	mm	\$43.70
300	mm	\$64.60

(d) That the wastewater / storm treatment charges for average residential properties (meters up to 21mm in size) in the City of Hamilton be imposed at the following rates, effective January 1, 2021:

Monthly	Rate
Water Consumption (m3)	(\$/m3)
0 – 10	0.91
10 +	1.82

(e) That the wastewater / storm treatment charge for all commercial, industrial, institutional and larger residential properties (meters = >25mm in size) in the City of Hamilton be imposed at the rate of \$1.82 per cubic metre, effective January 1, 2021;

(f) That daily wastewater /storm fixed charges for all properties in the City of Hamilton be imposed at the following rates, effective January 1, 2021:

	Daily
Meter	Wastewater /
Size	Storm Rate
15 mm	\$0.42
16 mm	\$0.42
20 mm	\$0.42
21 mm	\$0.42
25 mm	\$1.05
38 mm	\$2.10
50 mm	\$3.36
75 mm	\$6.72
100 mm	\$10.50
150 mm	\$21.00
200 mm	\$33.60
250 mm	\$48.30
300 mm	\$71.40

- (g) That the residential non-metered annual water rate be imposed at the flat rate of \$620.50 per annum, effective January 1, 2021;
- (h) That the residential non-metered annual wastewater / storm rate be imposed at the flat rate of \$664.30 per annum, effective January 1, 2021;
- (i) That the residential combined non-metered annual water and wastewater / storm rate be imposed at the flat rate of \$1,284.80 per annum, effective January 1, 2021;
- (j) That the Private Fire Line rates be imposed at the following rates, effective January 1, 2021:

Connecti	Monthly			
mm	inches	Rate		
25	25 1			
38	1.5	\$8.58		
50	2	\$14.92		
75	3	\$33.57		
100	4	\$59.68		

150	6	\$134.28
200	8	\$238.72
250	10	\$238.72
300	12	\$238.72

- (k) That the 2021 Water, Wastewater and Storm Proposed User Fees and Charges be imposed, as per Appendix "A" to Report 20-020, effective January 1, 2021;
- (I) That the 2021 Water, Wastewater and Stormwater Rate Supported Operating Budget in the amount of \$245,554,888 be approved, as per Appendix "B" to Report 20-020;
- (m) That the long-term financing plan for the Water, Wastewater and Stormwater programs and related rate increases required to meet sustainable financing as identified in the 2021-2030 Water, Wastewater and Stormwater Rate Supported Operating Budget forecast (Appendix "B" to Report 20-020) be approved, in principle;
- (n) That the 2021 Water, Wastewater and Stormwater Rate Supported Capital Budget and Financing Plan in the amount of \$299,911,000 be approved, as per Appendices "C", "D", "E" and "F" to Report 20-020;
- (o) That the 2021-2030 Water, Wastewater and Stormwater Rate Supported Capital Budget forecast and financing plan (Appendix "G" to Report 20-020) be approved, in principle;
- (p) That the City Solicitor be authorized and directed to prepare, for Council approval, all necessary by-laws respecting the 2021 water and wastewater / storm user fees, charges and rates set out in Recommendations (a) through (I) of Report FCS20073;
- (q) That the additional 14.0 Full Time Equivalent Rate Supported Staffing be approved, as per Appendix "H" to Report 20-020;
- (r) That the General Manager, Finance and Corporate Services, be authorized to and directed to negotiate and confirm the terms, placement and issuance of all debenture issue(s), and / or private placement debenture issue(s), in either a public or private market and / or bank loan agreements and debenture issue(s) and / or variable interest rate bank loan agreements and debenture issue(s), in an amount not to exceed \$117,396,000 Canadian currency, as attached in Appendices "C", "D" and "E" to Report FCS20073, which includes \$48,000,000 in Rate Supported

municipal debt and \$69,396,000 Rate Supported Development Charges municipal debt;

- (s) That the General Manager, Finance and Corporate Services, be authorized to engage the services of all required professionals to secure the terms and issuance of the debenture issue(s) described in subsections (s), (t) and (u) including, but not limited to, external legal counsel, fiscal agents and Infrastructure Ontario's Loan Program;
- (t) That the General Manager, Finance and Corporate Services, Mayor and City Clerk are each authorized and directed to enter into and / or execute, on behalf of the City of Hamilton, all agreements and necessary ancillary documents requiring their respective signatures, to secure the terms and issuance of the debenture issue(s) described in subsections (s), (t), (u) and (v), in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor;
- (u) That the Mayor and City Clerk are authorized and directed to enter into and / or execute, on behalf of the City of Hamilton, all agreements and necessary ancillary documents not requiring any specific signing authority, to secure the terms and issuance of the debenture issue(s) described in subsections (s), (t), (u) and (v), in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor and with content acceptable to the General Manager, Finance and Corporate Services; and,
- (v) That all necessary By-Law(s) be passed to authorize the debenture issue(s) negotiated, placed and secured in accordance with subsections (r), (s), (t) and (u).

#### 3. Stormwater Gap Evaluation (Item 8.1)

WHEREAS, stormwater management is an essential service provided by the City of Hamilton that protects the property, livelihood, safety and health of residents, businesses, infrastructure and natural waterways in every part of the City;

WHEREAS, Climate Change mitigation requirements and current extreme weather events have punctuated the importance of a robust and functional stormwater management system throughout the City;

WHEREAS, there was a reorganization in 2019 that resulted in the Hamilton Water Division assuming responsibility for a number of Stormwater programs and projects;

WHEREAS, the existing assets managed by Hamilton Water include stormwater management facilities, municipal drains, watercourses, drainage easements,

pumping stations, sections of shoreline, combined sewer overflow (CSO) tanks and outfalls;

WHEREAS, Hamilton Water expects to assume operation of 25 additional stormwater management facilities in the next few years;

WHEREAS, there are known and unknown gaps in the existing stormwater programs, particularly with respect to maintaining a sustainable level of service; and,

WHEREAS, asset management data is essential to the long-term performance of City infrastructure and reliable, stable financial strategy;

#### THEREFORE, IT BE RESOLVED:

That Hamilton Water staff be directed to perform a comprehensive evaluation of all City stormwater programs to identify existing gaps, immediate needs, risks to the City; including risks from Climate Change and extreme weather, outline the levels of service that the City should strive to achieve, quantify funding requirements along with options for long term maintenance, second cycle replacements and financing alternatives and report back to Public Works Committee in the first quarter of 2021 with an information report.

#### 4. Removal of the Contaminated Soil at the Kenilworth Reservoir (Item 8.2)

That staff be directed to remove the contaminated soil at the Kenilworth Reservoir and replace it with clean fill to an upset of \$6.5m, to be funded from the Water Reserve (108015), and report back to the General Issues Committee with an information report that includes timelines for the removal.

#### FOR INFORMATION:

#### (a) APPROVAL OF AGENDA (Item 1)

The Committee Clerk advised that there were no changes to the agenda.

The agenda for the November 23, 2020 General Issues Committee (Rate Budget) meeting was approved, as presented.

#### (b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 2)

There were no declarations of interest.

#### (c) STAFF PRESENTATIONS (Item 8)

### (i) Alectra Utilities Water, Wastewater and Storm 2020 Service Activity Report (FCS20072) (City Wide) (Item 6.1)

Linas Medelis, Acting Vice President, Customer Service, from Alectra provided the presentation respecting Report FCS20072 - Alectra Utilities Water, Wastewater and Storm 2020 Service Activity Report.

The presentation, respecting Report FCS20072 - Alectra Utilities Water, Wastewater and Storm 2020 Service Activity Report, was received.

For disposition of this matter, please refer to Item 1.

### (ii) 2021 Recommended Water, Wastewater and Stormwater Budget (FCS20073) (City Wide) (Item 6.2)

Andrew Grice, Director of Hamilton Water, provided the first portion of the presentation respecting Report FCS20073 - 2021 Recommended Water, Wastewater and Stormwater Budget.

Committee recessed for one half hour to 12:10 p.m.

Brian McMullen, Director of Financial Planning, Administration and Policy, provided the balance of the presentation respecting Report FCS20073 - 2021 Recommended Water, Wastewater and Stormwater Budget.

The presentation, respecting Report FCS20073 - 2021 Recommended Water, Wastewater and Stormwater Budget, was received.

For disposition of this matter, please refer to Item 2.

#### (d) ADJOURNMENT (Item 14)

There being no further business, the General Issues Committee adjourned at 2:18 p.m.

#### General Issues Committee (Rate Budget) Report 20-020

November 23, 2020 Page 8 of 8

Respectfully submitted,
Deputy Mayor Arlene VanderBeek Chair, General Issues Committee

Stephanie Paparella Legislative Coordinator, Office of the City Clerk

# CITY OF HAMILTON 2021 WATER AND WASTEWATER/STORM FEES AND CHARGES Effective January 1, 2021

#### A) Daily Water & Wastewater/Storm Fixed Charges

The fixed daily charge is not related to the direct costs of consumption and are not dependent upon or related to the amount of consumption incurred. The fixed charges are intended to offset the fixed costs of maintaining the water, wastewater and storm systems.

Meter Size	,	Water Rate	Wastewater/ Storm Rate			
15 mm	\$	0.38	\$	0.42		
16 mm	\$	0.38	\$	0.42		
20 mm	\$	0.38	\$	0.42		
21 mm	\$	0.38	\$	0.42		
25 mm	\$	0.95	\$	1.05		
38 mm	\$	1.90	\$	2.10		
50 mm	\$	3.04	\$	3.36		
75 mm	\$	6.08	\$	6.72		
100 mm	\$	9.50	\$	10.50		
150 mm	\$	19.00	\$ 21.00			
200 mm	\$	30.40	\$ 33.60			
250 mm	\$	43.70	\$ 48.30			
300 mm	\$	64.60	\$	71.40		

#### B) Metered Water Consumption Charges

Water consumption shall be charged on a per cubic metre basis at the rates indicated in the table below. The total monthly Water Consumption Charge is the sum of usage in all blocks at the rate for each block.

		Residential Meter Size <25mm	Residential Meter Size =>25mm and Commercial, Institutional & Industrial
Consumption	Monthly Water	Rate	Rate
Block	Consumption (m3)	(\$/m3 <u>)</u>	(\$/m3)
1	0-10	0.85	1.70
2	>10	1.70	1.70

#### C) Wastewater/Storm Treatment Charges

Wastewater/Storm Treatment Charges are based on metered water consumption and the cost of wastewater collection and treatment, and stormwater management. Charges are on a per cubic metre basis at the rates indicated in the table below. The total monthly Wastewater/Storm Treatment Charge is the sum of usage in all blocks at the rate for each block.

		Residential Meter Size <25mm	Residential Meter Size =>25mm and Commercial, Institutional & Industrial
Treatment	Monthly Water	Rate	Rate
Block	Consumption (m3)	(\$/m3)	(\$/m3)
1	0-10	0.91	1.82
2	>10	1.82	1.82

D) Non-Metered Annual Water & Wastewater/Storm Rate

Flat Rate Water Customers Annual Rate: \$620.50

Flat Rate Wastewater/Storm Customers Annual Rate: \$664.30

Combined Flat Rate Water & Wastewater/Storm Customers Annual Rate: \$1,284.80

#### **City of Hamilton - 2021 Private Fire Line Rates**

This service shall consist of permanent unmetered connections to the main for the purpose of supplying water to private fire protection systems such as automatic sprinkler systems, standpipes and private hydrants. This service shall also include reasonable quantities of water used for testing check valves and other backflow protection devices.

#### **Unmetered Service**

Size of Co	Monthly Rate		
mm	inches		
25	1	\$	3.73
38	1.5	\$	8.58
50	2	\$	14.92
75	3	\$	33.57
100	4	\$	59.68
150	6	\$	134.28
200	8	\$	238.72
250	10	\$	238.72
300	12	\$	238.72

#### Appendix "A" to Item 2(k) of GIC Report 20-020 Page 3 of 11

#### **2021 PROPOSED USER FEES AND CHARGES**

PUBLIC WORKS
HAMILTON WATER

For Billing
Purposes M - F; 7:00am - 4:30pm
Regular Hours: M - F: 4:30pm - 7:00am, Weekends and Holidays

Dept.					2020	2021		2021		
By-Law					including HST	Proposed	HST	including HST	% Fee	Basis for Fee
#	Dept ID	Account #	Ref#	Service Offered	(if applicable)	Fee	(v/n)	(if applicable)	Change	Increase or Decrease
R84-026	510220	47220	1	WATER DISTRIBUTION  Water Meter Permit Fee  Note: Charged for first-time meter installations. Includes supply and installation of water meter and remote reading device by the City/meter contractor and related inspection.						
			1a) 1b) 1c) 1d) 1e) 1f) 1g) 1h) 1i) 1k) 1l) 1m)	Approval by the Supervisor of Meter Operations is required for new installation of alternative meter types not shown below.  16mm Displacement 20mm Displacement 21mm Displacement 25mm Displacement 38mm Displacement 50mm Displacement 50mm Compound with strainer 100mm Compound with strainer 150mm Compound with strainer 150mm Fire Service Compound 200mm Fire Service Compound 250mm Fire Service Compound Radio Remote Read Equipment Installation	\$359.70 \$404.60 \$404.60 \$559.40 \$905.08 \$1,218.80 \$3,316.40 \$5,304.84 \$10,419.16 \$14,215.97 \$19,450.06 \$25,297.11 \$214.63	\$359.70 \$404.60 \$404.60 \$559.40 \$905.08 \$1,218.80 \$5,304.84 \$11,495.85 \$14,215.97 \$19,450.06 \$25,297.11	n n n n n n n n n n n n n n	\$359.70 \$404.60 \$404.60 \$559.40 \$905.08 \$1,218.80 \$5,304.84 \$11,495.85 \$14,215.97 \$19,450.06 \$25,297.11	0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 10.3% 0.0% 0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery Current fee achieves full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery
R84-026	510220	45519	2d)	Water Meter Removal Fee Note: Cost to remove a meter prior to the building being demolished and/or the water service being decommissioned or abandoned. Failure to have the meter removed prior to the building being demolished will incur a meter replacement cost charge. Does not include a turn water off fee, which is required and charged separately as per Section 14 of this schedule.  16mm Displacement 20mm Displacement 21mm Displacement 25mm Displacement 38mm - 250mm Meters (cost depends on size, labour, and meter location)	\$127.54 \$127.54 \$127.54 \$127.54 Cost + 10% OH	\$116.19 \$116.19 \$116.19 \$116.19 Cost + 10% OH	у у у у	\$131.29 \$131.29 \$131.29 \$131.29 Cost + 10% OH	2.9% 2.9% 2.9% 2.9% N/A	To achieve full cost recovery
R84-026	510220	45519	3 3a) 3b)	Water Meter Inspection Services Note: Cost for customer requested service relating to meter investigation Regular Hours Inspection After Hours Inspection	\$130.92 \$171.45	\$119.44 \$156.77	- 1	\$134.97 \$177.15	3.1% 3.3%	To achieve full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery

\*Costs not specifically addressed in the schedule will be involced at Actual Cost plus overhead\*

\*\*For general inquiries, please call (905) 548-4426 between 8:30am 4:30pm\*\*

PUBLIC WORKS HAMILTON WATER

For Billing
Purposes, M - F: 7:00am - 4:30pm
Regular Hours: M - F: 4:30pm - 7:00am, Weekends and Holidays

Dept.					2020	2021		2021		
							шот			
By-Law				0. 1. 0" 1	including HST	Proposed	HST	including HST	% Fee	Basis for Fee
#	Dept ID	Account #	Ref#	Service Offered	(if applicable)	Fee	(y/n)	(if applicable)	Change	Increase or Decrease
R84-026	510220	45608	4	Replacement Cost for Lost Meter						1
1.01 020	010220	45555		Note: Cost to replace a meter that has been lost, stolen or damaged, includes meter,						İ
	1 1			installation and administrative costs.						
1	l			15mm Displacement	\$250.58	\$284.45	У	\$321.43	28.3%	To achieve full cost recovery
			4b)	16mm Displacement	\$250.58	\$284.45	ý	\$321.43	28.3%	To achieve full cost recovery
			4c)	20mm Displacement	\$386.73	\$342.24	ý	\$386.73	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
				21mm Displacement	\$386.73	\$342.24	У	\$386.73	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
				25mm Displacement	\$445.19	\$407.74	У	\$460.75	3.5%	To achieve full cost recovery
				38mm Displacement	\$1,223.36	\$1,082.62	У	\$1,223.36	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
				50mm Turbine	\$1,465.95	\$1,401.13	У	\$1,583.28	8.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
				50mm Displacement 50mm Compound	\$1,804.05	\$1,596.50	У	\$1,804.05	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			4i) 4i)	100mm Turbine	\$2,338.65	\$2,069.60	У	\$2,338.65	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			4j) 4k)	100mm Compound	\$3,688.50	\$7,212.18 \$5,070.00	У	\$8,149.76	121.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
			4l)	100mm Fire Service Turbine	\$5,959.20 \$8,149.76	\$5,273.63 \$8,855.57	У	\$5,959.20	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			,	100mm Fire Service Compound	\$10.006.80	\$10,555.28	У	\$10,006.79 \$11.927.47	22.8% 19.2%	To achieve full cost recovery
			4n)	100mm Magnetic Flow Meter	\$10,000.00	\$9,855.25	y V	\$11,136.43	0.0%	To achieve full cost recovery  Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			40)	100mm Fire Rated Magnetic Flow Meter	\$11,627.81	\$10,290.10	y y	\$11,627.81	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			4p)	150mm Turbine	\$6,787.25	\$6,970.78	٧	\$7,876.98	16.1%	To achieve full cost recovery
			4q)	150mm Compound	\$10,328.00	\$9,525.73	У	\$10,764.07	4.2%	To achieve full cost recovery
			4r)	150mm Fire Service Turbine	\$12,394.87	\$10,968,91	У	\$12,394.87	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			4s)	150mm Fire Service Compound	\$15,762.63	\$13,949,23	v	\$15,762.63	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			4t)	150mm Magnetic Flow Meter	\$12,337.41	\$11,006.20	У	\$12,437.01	0.8%	To achieve full cost recovery
			4u)	150mm Fire Rated Magnetic Flow Meter	\$13,753.00	\$12,170.80	y	\$13,753.00	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
				200mm Turbine	\$7,424.88	\$7,150.30	У	\$8,079.84	8.8%	To achieve full cost recovery
				200mm Compound	\$11,551.00	\$10,812.26	У	\$12,217.85	5.8%	To achieve full cost recovery
				200mm Fire Service Turbine	\$16,333.56	\$14,454.48	У	\$16,333.56	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
				200mm Fire Service Compound	\$21,996.69	\$19,466.10	У	\$21,996.69	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
				200mm Magnetic Flow Meter	\$14,890.54	\$13,177.47	У	\$14,890.54	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
				200mm Fire Rated Magnetic Flow Meter	\$16,266.37	\$14,395.02	У	\$16,266.37	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
				250mm Turbine	\$12,909.20	\$12,946.04	У	\$14,629.03	13.3%	To achieve full cost recovery
				250mm Magnetic Flow Meter	\$15,070.41	\$13,969.71	У	\$15,785.77	4.7%	To achieve full cost recovery
				250mm Fire Rated Magnetic Flow Meter 250mm Fire Service Turbine	\$18,334.25	\$16,225.00	У	\$18,334.25	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			•	250mm Fire Service Compound	\$20,588.32 \$29,046.50	\$21,296.60 \$25,704.87	У	\$24,065.16 \$29,046.50	16.9% 0.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
				50mm Strainer	\$473.64	\$25,704.87 \$454.61	y y	\$29,046.50	8.5%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery  To achieve full cost recovery
				100mm Strainer	\$876.50	\$910.34	y y	\$1,028.68	17.4%	To achieve full cost recovery
			4ai)	150mm Strainer	\$1,401.98	\$1,447.69	y	\$1,635.89	16.7%	To achieve full cost recovery
				200mm Strainer	\$2,381.81	\$2,107.80	y	\$2,381.81	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			•	250mm Strainer	\$3,993.11	\$3,533.73	У	\$3,993.11	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			14.1	20011111 Ottainoi	\$5,555.11	φυ,υυυ.1 υ	у	\$5,335.11	0.078	Current lee achieves full cost recovery
R84-026	510220	45690	5	Bench Testing Water Meters						
1104-020	010220	40000		Note: Cost to have a water meter tested for accuracy, If the meter tests within the accuracy						
				standards as set out by AWWA then the property owner is responsible for the cost of the test						
				and the replacement cost of the water meter; otherwise cost borne by the City. Fee includes						
				removal of existing meter and installation of replacement meter.						
				15 mm & 16 mm Diameter	\$355,45	\$314.56	у	\$355.45	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			,	16-25mm Diameter - Test where meter has been removed from service within prior 90 days	\$147.34	\$195.79	У	\$221.24	50.2%	To achieve full cost recovery
				20 mm Diameter	\$410.04	\$364.89		\$412.33	0.6%	To achieve full cost recovery
			,	25 mm Diameter	\$410.04 \$468.50	\$364.89 \$443.85	У	\$412.33 \$501.55		-
			,	38 mm Diameter		·	У		7.1%	To achieve full cost recovery
				50 mm Diameter	\$1,033.02	\$914.18	У	\$1,033.02	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
					\$1,742.55	\$1,542.08	У	\$1,742.55	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
			5g)	100 mm plus diameter (In Situ testing)	\$1,051.37	\$930.42	У	\$1,051.37	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery

#### Appendix "A" to Item 2(k) of GIC Report 20-020 Page 5 of 11

#### **2021 PROPOSED USER FEES AND CHARGES**

PUBLIC WORKS
HAMILTON WATER

For Billing
Putposes M - F: 7:00am - 4:30pm
Regular Hours: M - F: 4:30pm - 7:00am, Weekends and Hoildays

Dept.					2020	2021		2021		
							нѕт			Deale for For
By-Law	'll				Including HST	Proposed		including HST	% Fee	Basis for Fee
#	Dept ID	Account #	Ref#	Service Offered	(if applicable)	Fee	(y/n)	(if applicable)	Change	Increase or Decrease
10-103	510220	45644	6a) 6b) 6c) 6d)	Backflow Prevention Program  Note: Costs for contractor registration fee, administration fees for processing backflow prevention test reports and survey forms.  Annual Program Registration Fee  Test Report receipt and processing (per submission of each test report)  Cross Connection Survey Form processing (per form upon submission)  Backflow Prevention Device Investigation - Regular Hours  Backflow Prevention Device Investigation - After Hours	\$152.51 \$72.61 \$181.12 \$160.60 \$233.80	\$139.88 \$64.26 \$166.24 \$145.95 \$206.90	у у у у	\$158.06 \$72.61 \$187.85 \$164.92 \$233.80	2.7%	To achieve full cost recovery Current fee achieves full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery Current fee achieves full cost recovery
R84-026	514330	45590	7a) 7b)	Construction Water Note: Charge for unmetered water used for construction prior to meter installation. Paid at the time of submitting building permit payment.  Single Residential (per lot or townhouse) Multi-residential (per apartment/condo unit) Industrial/Commercial/Institutional (\$/1,000 sqft of building area or \$/ha where no structure is constructed)	\$100.00 \$46.75 \$32.80	\$103.70 N/A N/A	n n n	\$103.70	3.7%	Equal to variable water rate increase Fee no longer applied as of May 2020 Fee no longer applied as of May 2020
R84-026 R84-026 R84-026 R84-026 R84-026 R84-026 R84-026	514330 514330 514330 514330 514330 514330	41208 41209 41209 41209 41209 41209 41209 41209	8a) 8b) 8c) 8d) 8e) 8f)	Hydrant\Road Adaptor Fees  Note: Costs to install or remove water meter & backflow prevention device. When moving a hydrant\road adaptor from one site to another for the same customer, both removal & installation fees apply. This service requires a usage deposit and a damage deposit.  Usage Cost (Metered Hauled Water Rate/m³)  Hydrant\Road Adaptor Connection/Disconnection Fee (Regular Hours-Fee for Both Services)  Hydrant\Road Adaptor Connection/Disconnection Fee (After Hours/Emergency-Fee for Both S Non-Refundable Usage Deposit Security/Damage Deposit  Hydrant\road adaptor rental fee for initial 7 days  Per Diem hydrant\road adaptor rental fee after initial 7 days  Private Water Station Agreement Fees  Annual Renewal	\$2.45 \$146.94 \$276.71 \$300.00 \$6,000.00 \$82.56 \$6.13	\$2.55 \$151.14 \$285.53 \$300.00 \$6,000.00 \$82.56 \$6.13	n n n n n	\$2.55 \$151.14 \$285.53 \$300.00 \$6,000.00 \$82.56 \$6.13	3.2% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0% 0.0%	Rate is 1.5x volumetric water rate To achieve full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery Deposit rounded to the nearest \$100 Deposit rounded to the nearest \$100 To achieve full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery
R84-026	514330	47232	10a) 10b)	Water Haulage Fees Annual Water Haulage Permit Fee Note: Annual license fee to utilize the City's public filling stations. Account review Note: Costs charged for administrative services to provide customer account information for personal or taxation purposes.	\$64.91 \$99.34	\$57.82 \$87.91	 у у	\$65.34 \$99.34	0.7%	No cards issued, Online Registration  Current fee achieves full cost recovery
R84-026	510220	45519	11a) 11b) 11c) 11d) 11e) 11f) 11g) 11h)	General Administration Fees General Administrative Requests (per hour)/Report Requests Permit Cancellation administrative fee Permit Renewal Fee Lead Line Replacement Loan Application Fee Monthly AMI Manual Meter Read Fee Water Shut-off Admin Fee Water Shut-off Notice on Door AMI Consumption History Fee NSF Fee - Processing fee on all 'returned' payments	\$78.15 \$46.49 \$46.49 \$58.31 \$3.39 \$22.60 \$31.92 N/A \$39.89	\$69.76 \$41.29 \$41.29 \$51.92 \$3.00 \$22.60 \$31.92 \$12.79 \$36.10	y y y y y y y	\$78.83 \$46.66 \$46.66 \$58.67 \$3.39 \$25.54 \$36.07 \$14.45 \$40.79	0.4% 0.6% 0.0% 13.0% 13.0%	To achieve full cost recovery Current fee achieves full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery New Fee To achieve full cost recovery

\*Costs not specifically addressed in the schedule will be invoiced at Actual Cost plus overhead\*
\*\*For general inquiries, please call (905) 546-4426 between 8:30am - 4:30pm\*\*

PUBLIC WORKS
HAMILTON WATER

For Billing
Purposes M - F: 7:00am - 4:30pm
Regular Hours: M - F: 4:30pm - 7:00am, Weekends and Holidays

Dept.					2020	2021		2021		
By-Law					including HST	Proposed	HST	including HST	% Fee	Basis for Fee
#	Dept ID	Account#	Ref#	Service Offered	(if applicable)	Fee	(y/n)	(if applicable)	Change	Increase or Decrease
504.000									_	
R84-026			12	Water Inspection Services Note: Costs associated with various permit and inspection services related to water services						
				for properties.						
	514330	47235	12a)	Private Water Service Repair/Replacement Inspection (Reg Hours - Max 1 Hour Total	\$105.60	\$95.80	у	\$108.25	2.5%	To achieve full cost recovery
	514330	47235	12b)	Private Water Service Repair/Replacement Inspection (After Hours /Emerg - Max 1 Hour	\$178.95	\$163.01	у	\$184.20	2.9%	To achieve full cost recovery
	514330	45690	12c)	Water Service Abandonment Inspection (Regular Hours - Max 1 Hour Total Labour)	\$94.32	\$85.57	у	\$96.69	2.5%	To achieve full cost recovery
1	514330	45690	12d)	Water Service Abandonment Inspection (After Hours / Emergency - Max 1 Hour Total	\$167.65	\$152.77	У	\$172.63	3.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
	514330	45690		Water Service Inspection for Demolition (Regular Hours - Max 1 Hour Total Labour)	\$94.32	\$85.57	У	\$96.69	2.5%	To achieve full cost recovery
	514330	45690		Water Service Inspection for Demolition (After Hours / Emergency - Max 1 Hour Total	\$167.65	\$152.77	У	\$172.63	3.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
	514330	45690	12g)	Missed or Cancelled Inspection	\$68.53	\$61.82	У	\$69.86	1.9%	To achieve full cost recovery
R84-026	514330	45690	13	Upsize Public Portion Water Service from 20mm to 25mm	\$155.00	\$156.55	n	\$156.55	1.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Note: Charge for upsizing a public portion water service from 20mm to 25mm, when a public	******	*******		******		
				portion water service replacement is already being completed by the City.						
R84-026	E14220	45679	44	Turning Water Off or On						
R04-020	314330	45679	14	_						
				Note: Turning water off at the curb to enable a property owner to complete internal plumbing repairs, or a private water service repair or replacement, and then turning the water back on.						
			14a)	Turning Water On/Off (Regular Hours)	\$124.10	\$127.40	n	\$127.40	2.7%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Turning Water On/Off (After Hours/Emergency)	\$208.25	\$214.30	n	\$214.30	2.9%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Turning Water On/Off During the Same Visit (Regular Hours - Max 1/2 Hour Total Labour)	\$83.47	\$85.54	n	\$85.54	2.5%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Labour)	\$114.13	\$117.12	n	\$117.12	2.6%	To achieve full cost recovery
			14e)	Non-compliance Turn Water Off	\$83.47	\$85.54	n	\$85.54	2.5%	To achieve full cost recovery
			14f)	Non-compliance Tum Water On	\$83.47	\$85.54	n	\$85.54	2.5%	To achieve full cost recovery
R84-026	514330	45636	15	Hydrant Flow Test / Water Quality Flushing	\$120.11	\$109.31	у	\$123.52	2.8%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Note: Cost to operate a City Fire Hydrant(s) for a maximum of 1 hour total labour.						
R84-026	514330	45690	16	Water Quality/Quantity Service Calls						
				Note: Cost for a service call to investigate a water quality/quantity complaint and the issue						
				resides on private property. No charge for water quality/quantity complaints related to issues						
				originating from the City's distribution system. Missed appointments will be billed the corresponding service call rate.						
			16a)	Service Call (Regular Hours - Max 1 Hour Total Labour)	\$94.32	\$85.57	у	\$96.69	2.5%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Service Call (After Hours - Max 1 Hour Total Labour)	\$167.65	\$149.93	y	\$169.42	1.1%	To achieve full cost recovery
				, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		•	,	•		
R84-026	510290	45690	17	Hydrant Repair, Replace or Relocate	Cost + 33% OH	Cost + 33% OH	У	Cost + 33% OH	N/A	To achieve full cost recovery
				Note: Cost to repair, replace, or relocate a City Fire Hydrant including labour, parts, materials, equipment, and permanent restoration.						
				materials, equipment, and permanent restoration.						
R84-026	514330	45690	18	Watermain Shutdowns						
				Note: Costs associated with isolating a watermain to facilitate third party work.						
				Watermain Shutdown / Recharge (Regular Hours-Maximum 1 Hour Total Labour)	\$129.11	\$133.06	n	\$133.06	3.1%	To achieve full cost recovery
			18b)	Watermain Shutdown / Recharge (After Hours / Emergency-Maximum 1 Hour Total Labour)	\$232.49	\$239.68	n	\$239.68	3.1%	To achieve full cost recovery
R84-026	510350	45408	19	Environmental Records Search PRISM Reports related to soil contamination	\$180.58	\$163.00	у	\$184.19	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Reports - Environmental Assessments and Master Plans	\$17.75	\$16.02	у	\$18.11	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
				- plus fee per page	\$0.12	\$0.10	У	\$0.12	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
B84-036	514330	45690	20	Miscellaneous Water Distribution System Repair	Cost + 33% OH	Cost + 33% OH	,	Cost + 33% OH	N/A	To achieve full cost recovery
R04-020	514330	45690	20	Note: Cost for the City to repair damage to the water distribution system caused by a third	COST + 33 % OH	COSt + 33 / OH	У	COSt + 33 % On	IVA	To achieve full cost recovery
				party. Costs include labour, parts, materials, equipment, and permanent restoration.						
	_,,		١							
R84-026	514330	45690	21	Additional Labour Charges  Note: Additional labour charge for all services/calls that exceed the allotted labour time.	ļ			ļ ļ	ı	
				Costs are for a single Water Distribution Operator in minimum increments of 30 minutes.						
			21a)	1/2 Hour Additional Labour (Regular Hours)-Water Distribution Operator	\$25.80	\$23.76	у	\$26.85	4.1%	To achieve full cost recovery
				1/2 Hour Additional Labour (After Hours)-Water Distribution Operator	\$38.70	\$35.62	у	\$40.25	4.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
			,	1/2 Hour Additional Labour (Regular Hours)-Water Distribution Operator	\$22.82	\$23.74		\$23.74	4.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
			21d)	1/2 Hour Additional Labour (After Hours)-Water Distribution Operator	\$34.23	\$35.62	n	\$35.62	4.1%	To achieve full cost recovery
H-000000000000000000000000000000000000	71751808000000000	enggerannet engeleen (* 2005)	massatzar	*Costs not sperifically addressed in the school			wassandar:		L DOMENS STREET NO STREET	

#### **PUBLIC WORKS HAMILTON WATER**

For Billing Purposes Regular Hours: M - F: 7:00am - 4:30pm

After Hours: M - F; 4:30pm - 7:00am, Weekends and Holidays

Dept.					2020	2021		2021		
By-Law					including HST	Proposed	нѕт	including HST	% Fee	Basis for Fee
#	Dept ID	Account #	Ref#	Service Offered	(if applicable)	Fee	(y/n)	(if applicable)	Change	Increase or Decrease
	•			COLLECTION SYSTEM INSPECTION & MAINTENANCE	, ,,		,,,,	, ,, ,		
06-026				Wastewater Inspection Services Note: Costs associated with various permit and inspection services related to sewer laterals for properties. Private Sewer Lateral Repair/Replacement Inspection (Regular Hours - Maximum 1 Hour						
	516175	47230		Total Labour)  Private Sewer Lateral Repair/Replacement Inspection (Regular Hours - Maximum 1 Hour Total Labour)	\$109.25	\$99.15	У	\$112.04	2.6%	To achieve full cost recovery
	516175	47230	1b)	Maximum 1 Hour Total Labour)	\$232.10	\$212.33	У	\$239.93	3.4%	To achieve full cost recovery
	516175	45690	1c)	Missed or Cancelled Inspection	\$78.54	\$70.86		\$80.07	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
	516175	45690	1d)	Mainline Sewer Inspection  Note: CCTV inspection of mainline sewers (storm, sanitary or combined). Cost based on linear meter inspection.	Cost + 33% OH	Cost + 33% OH	у	Cost + 33% OH	N/A	To achieve full cost recovery
06-026	516175	45690	_	Sewer Related Service Calls  Note: Cost for a service call to investigate a sewer related complaint and the issue resides on private property. No charge for sewer complaints related to issues originating from the City's sewer system. Missed appointments will be billed the corresponding service call						
			·	Service Call (Regular Hours - Maximum 1 Hour Total Labour) Service Call (After Hours - Maximum 1 Hour Total Labour)	\$97.30 \$196.28	\$88.15 \$179.33	-	\$99.61 \$202.64	2.4% 3.2%	To achieve full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery
06-026	516175	45690	3	Sewer Lateral Cleaning and Investigation Fees  Note: When a property owner qualifies for the Sewer Lateral Management Program and chooses to hire their own Plumbing Contractor, these prices represent the maximum amounts that will be reimbursed to the property owner for the sewer lateral cleaning and investigation services performed by the independent Plumbing Contractor						
			3a)	Complete Sewer Lateral Investigation - Regular Hours	\$458.68	\$414.03	У	\$467.86	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Complete Sewer Lateral Investigation - After Hours	\$506.65	\$457.33	-	\$516.78	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Partial Sewer Lateral Cleaning - Regular Hours	\$149.89	\$135.30		\$152.89	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
			,	Partial Sewer Lateral Cleaning - After Hours	\$209.85	\$189.43	_	\$214.05	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Abandoned Sewer Lateral Investigation - Regular Hours	\$239.83 \$299.79	\$216.49	_	\$244.63 \$305.79	2.0% 2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery
			3f)	Abandoned Sewer Lateral Investigation - After Hours	\$299.79	\$270.61	У	\$305.79	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
06-026	516175	45690	4	Miscellaneous Wastewater Collection System Repair  Note: Cost for the City to repair damage to the wastewater collection system caused by a third party. Costs include labour, parts, materials, equipment, and permanent restoration.	Cost + 33% OH	Cost + 33% OH	у	Cost + 33% OH	N/A	To achieve full cost recovery
06-026	516175	45690	5	Additional Labour Charges  Note: Additional labour charge for all services/calls that exceed the allotted labour time.  Costs are for a single Wastewater Collection Operator or Contract Inspector in minimum increments of 30 minutes.						
			5a)	1/2 Hour Additional Labour (Regular Hours) - Wastewater Collection	\$24.75	\$22.79	У	\$25.75	4.1%	To achieve full cost recovery
			5b)	1/2 Hour Additional Labour (After Hours) - Wastewater Collection	\$37.10	\$34.20	У	\$38.65	4.2%	To achieve full cost recovery

\*Costs not specifically addressed in the schedule will be involced at Actual Cost plus overhead\* \*\*For general inquiries, please call (905) 546-4426 between 8:30am - 4:30pm\*\*

### PUBLIC WORKS HAMILTON WATER

	1			2020	2021		2021		1
				including HST	Proposed	нѕт	including HST	% Fee	Basis for Fee
Dept ID	Account #	Ref#	Service Offered	(if applicable)	Fee	(y/n)	(if applicable)	Change	Increase or Decrease
-			LABORATORY SERVICES						
510250	45519		Inorganic Tests:						
0.0200		1	Solids						
		1a)	Total Suspended Solids (TSS)	\$24.52	\$21.70	у	\$24.52	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
		1b)	TSS plus Volatile Suspended Solids (VSS)	\$24.52	\$21.70	у	\$24.52	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
		1c)	Total Solids (TS)	\$21.58	\$19.10	у	\$21.58	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
		1d)	TS plus Volatile Solids (VS)	\$22.71	\$20.10	У	\$22.71	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
		1e)	Total Dissolved Solids	\$36.16	\$32.00	У	\$36.16	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
		2	Skalar		•••		<b></b>		
		2a)	Total Cyanide	\$38.87	\$36.90	У	\$41.70	7.3%	To achieve full cost recovery
		2b)	Phenolics Tatal (Galdhal Nitragger (TIAN)	\$35.93 \$35.48	\$33.00	У	\$37.29 \$35.48	3.8% 0.0%	To achieve full cost recovery  Current fee achieves full cost recovery
		2c) 2d)	Total Kjeldhal Nitrogen (TKN) Ammonia	\$35.48 \$39.10	\$31.40 \$34.60	У	\$35.48 \$39.10	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
	]	2u) 2e)	Dissolved Organic Carbon	\$39.10	\$37.00	y y	\$41.81	7.9%	To achieve full cost recovery
	ŀ	2f)	Total Organic Carbon	\$38.76	\$37.00	y	\$41.81	7.9%	To achieve full cost recovery
		2g)	Reactive Silica	\$32.54	\$30.00	y	\$33.90	4.2%	To achieve full cost recovery
510250	45519	3	lon Chromatography (IC Scan)	\$56.95	\$50.40	у	\$56.95	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
510250	45519	4	PC Titrate	,	•	,	******		
		4a)	pH	\$18.65	\$16.50	у	\$18.65	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
		4b)	Alkalinity	\$18.53	\$16.40	у	\$18.53	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
		4c)	Conductivity	\$18.53	\$16.40	у	\$18.53	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
		4d)	Fluoride	\$28.02	\$24.80	У	\$28.02	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
		5	Turbidity	\$27.91	\$26.40	у	\$29.83	6.9%	To achieve full cost recovery
	]	6	UV Transmittance	\$28.59	\$25.30	У	\$28.59	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
		7	Color Apparent	\$25.88	\$22.90	У	\$25.88	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
		8	Color True	\$25.88	\$22.90	У	\$25.88	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
		9	O Phosphate	\$30.17	\$26.70 \$37.90	У	\$30.17 \$42.83	0.0% 0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover Current fee achieves full cost recover
		10	Chemical Oxygen Demand (COD)	\$42.83	\$37.90 \$37.70	У	\$42.60	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
		11 12	Biochemical Oxygen Demand (BOD) Volatile Acid	\$42.60 \$42.15	\$37.70 \$37.30	У	\$42.15	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
		12	Microbiology Tests:	\$42.13	φ31.3U	У	\$4£.13	0.076	Current ree acriieves fuil cost recover
510250	45519	13	Total Coliform/E coli - Presence/Absence	\$29.04	\$26.30	у	\$29.72	2.3%	To achieve full cost recovery
510250	45519	14	Total Coliform/E coli - MPN	\$28.00	\$28.70	y	\$32.43	2.5%	To achieve full cost recovery
510250	45519	15	EC - MPN	\$32.32	\$28.70	у	\$32.43	0.3%	To achieve full cost recovery
510250	45519	16	Heterotrophic Plate Count	\$30.17	\$26.90	у	\$30.40	0.7%	To achieve full cost recovery
510250	45519	17	Micro Examination	\$155.15	\$143.90	у	\$162.61	4.8%	To achieve full cost recovery
510250	45519	18	Microcystin	\$581.95	\$515.00	у	\$581.95	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
			<u>Metals:</u>						
510250	45519	18	ICP OES						
		18a)	ICP OES Scan (Wastewater)	\$65.77	\$60.30	У	\$68.14	3.6%	To achieve full cost recovery
		18b)	Total Phosphorous	\$31.30	\$27.70	У	\$31.30	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
F400F6	45540	18c)	Total Dissolved Phosphorous	\$31.30	\$27.70	У	\$31.30	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
510250	45519	19	ICP MS ICP MS Scan	\$65.77	\$60.30	.,	\$68.14	3.6%	To achieve full cost recovery
510250	45519	19a) 20	AA	\$65.77	<b>\$00.30</b>	У	φυυ. 14	3.376	. a dollieve full book recovery
J 10200	40018		Mercury	\$51.64	\$45.70	у	\$51.64	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover
510250	45519	20a) 21	Organics	\$51.04	<b>\$</b> -5.10	y	\$51.04	0.070	223, 1000, 100
010200	40010	21a)	Caffeine	\$140.80	\$130.90	у	\$147.92	5.1%	To achieve full cost recovery
510250	45519	22	Additional Fees						
	1	22a)	Weekend surcharge	\$113.00	\$100.00	у	\$113.00	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recover

\*Costs not specifically addressed in the schedule will be invoiced at Actual Cost plus overhead\*

\*\*For general inquiries, please call 905 548 2424 ext 5834\*\*.

### PUBLIC WORKS HAMILTON WATER

Dept.					2020	2021		2021		
By-Law					including HST	Proposed	нѕт	including HST	% Fee	Basis for Fee
#	Dent ID	Account #	Rof#	Service Offered	(if applicable)	Fee		(if applicable)	Change	Increase or Decrease
"	Ворств		1101 #	ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & ENFORCEMENT To Regulate the Discharge of any Matter into the Sanitary, Combined, and Storm Sewer Systems.	(		(3)	(п арриоадио)		
14-090	516175	47232	1	Annual Permit to Discharge Hauled Sewage  Note: Cost for administration and processing of annual permits required to haul sewage within Hamilton	\$329.00	\$329.00	n	\$329.00	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
14-090 14-090 14-090 14-090 14-090	516175 516175 516175 516175 516175	41314 41314 41314 41314 41314	2a) 2b) 2c) 2d)	Discharge fees for Hauled Sewage generated: Inside the City - Compliant Note: Cost per truck full of sewage containing materials within Sewer Use By-law limits up to 1000 imperial gallons (4.54 m3) or any part thereof greater than 1000 (4.54 m3) but less than or equal to 3500 Imperial gallons (15.9m3) greater than 3500 (15.9 m3) but less than or equal to 5000 Imperial gallons (22.7 m3) greater than 5000 (22.7 m3) but less than or equal to 8000 Imperial gallons (36.3 m3) greater than 8000 (36.3 m3) but less than or equal to 10000 Imperial gallons (45.43 m3)	\$50.15 \$50.15 \$100.30 \$150.45 \$200.60	\$51.15	n n n	\$51.15 \$51.15 \$102.30 \$153.45 \$204.60	2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery Has to remain a multiple of \$51.15
14-090 14-090 14-090 14-090 14-090	516175 516175 516175 516175 516175	41314 41314 41314 41314 41314	3a) 3b) 3c) 3d) 3e)	Discharge fees for Hauled Sewage generated: Inside the City - Non-Compliant Note: Cost per truck full of sewage containing materials that exceed one or more Sewer Use Bylaw limits up to 1000 imperial gallons (4.54 m3) or any part thereof greater than 1000 (4.54 m3) but less than or equal to 3500 imperial gallons (15.9m3) greater than 3500 (15.9 m3) but less than or equal to 5000 imperial gallons (22.7 m3) greater than 5000 (22.7 m3) but less than or equal to 8000 imperial gallons (36.3 m3) greater than 8000 (36.3 m3) but less than or equal to 10000 imperial gallons (45.43 m3) Holding Tanks for Recreational Vehicles Note: Cost for Recreational Vehicles (RV's) to dump sewer waste at the Mountain Transfer Station	\$50.15 \$100.30 \$150.45 \$250.75 \$300.90 \$8.50	\$102.30 \$153.45 \$255.75 \$306.90	n n n	\$51.15 \$102.30 \$153.45 \$255.75 \$306.90 \$8.50	2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0% 2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery Has to remain a multiple of \$51.15 Current fee achieves full cost recovery
14-090 14-090 14-090 14-090 14-090	516080 516080 516080 516080 516080	41315 41315 41315 41315 41315	5a) 5b) 5c) 5d)	Overstrength Discharge Fees Note: Cost per kilogram of each specified parameter that is in excess of Sewer Use By-law limits, and subject to a Sewer Discharge Permit Biochemical Oxygen Demand (charge per kg) Total suspended solids (charge per kg) Oil & grease (animal/vegetable) (charge per kg) Total Kjeldahl Nitrogen (charge per kg) Total Phosphorus (charge per kg)	\$0.78 \$0.63 \$0.44 \$1.00 \$1.78		n n n	\$0.80 \$0.64 \$0.45 \$1.02 \$1.89	1.5% 2.5% 2.3% 2.0% 6.2%	To achieve full cost recovery To achieve full cost recovery Decrease reflecting rate review Decrease reflecting rate review To achieve full cost recovery
14-090	516080	41317	6	Surcharge Discharge Fee (charge per m3)	\$1.75	\$1.82	n	\$1.82	4.0%	Equal to variable wastewater rate increase

\*Costs not specifically addressed in the schedule will be invoiced at Actual Cost plus overhead\*

"For general inquiries, please call 905-540-5190 or email sewerusebylaw@hamilton.ca\*\*

#### PUBLIC WORKS HAMILTON WATER

Dept.					2020	2021		2021		
By-Law					including HST	Proposed	нѕт	including HST	% Fee	Basis for Fee
#	Dept ID	Account#	Ref#	Service Offered	(if applicable)	Fee	(v/n)	(if applicable)	Change	Increase or Decrease
			1101 11	OSTANO ON OSTA	(п аррпсавіс)	166	(9,,	(п аррисавіс)	Onunge	morease or beorease
			7	Application Fees for Sewer Discharge Permits						
				NÖTE: Fee to be paid upon application for Sewer Discharge Permit						
14-090	510260	45519		Application Fee	\$711.15	\$641.93	у	\$725.38	2.0%	Increase due to incorporating 7b) and 7c) fees into Application Fee
14-090	510260	45519		Wastewater Characterization deposit (optional)	\$500.00	\$500.00	n	\$500.00	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
14-090	510260	45519	7c)	Amendment Fee (all permit types)	\$333.88	\$301.38	У	\$340.56	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Administrative Fees for Sewer Discharge Permits						
				Note: Multiple permit holders pay the higher administration fee (for example, if the permit holder has both an						
				Overstrength Discharge Permit and a Compliance						
		45500		Program Permit, they will pay \$810.00 per quarter						
14-090 14-090	510260 510260	45532 45532		Overstrength Discharge Permit (charged quarterly) Sanitary Discharge Permit (charged quarterly)	\$435.00 \$435.00	\$435.00 \$435.00		\$435.00 \$435.00	0.0% 0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery Current fee achieves full cost recovery
14-090	510260	45532		Chloride Discharge Permit (charged quarterly)	\$435.00 \$435.00	\$435.00 \$435.00		\$435.00 \$435.00	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
14-090	510260	45532		Compliance Discharge Permit (charged quarterly)	\$1,071,00	\$1,071.00		\$1,071,00	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
14-090	510260	45532		Conditional Discharge Permit (charged quarterly)	\$1,071.00	\$1,071.00		\$1,071.00	0.0%	Current fee achieves full cost recovery
14-090	510260	45519		Information Requests	\$170.47	\$153.88	у	\$173.88	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Note: Fee per property for records search related to						
				Sewer Use By-law historical violations						
14-090	510260	45532		Wastewater Sampling (optional)						
				Note: Per unit costs to conduct wastewater sampling to						
				determine permit conditions and limits		44.00			4.70/	To achieve 6 House to account
				Wastewater Sampling Vehicle Fee (per kilometer) Wastewater Sampling Equipment Fee (per day)	\$1.37 \$44.84	\$1.23 \$39.68		\$1.39 \$44.84	1.7% 0.0%	To achieve full cost recovery  Current fee achieves full cost recovery
				Wastewater Sampling Technician Fee (per day)  Wastewater Sampling Technician Fee (per hour) Mon - Fri	\$44.84 \$56.55	\$59.68 \$50.64		\$44.84 \$57.22	1.2%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Wastewater Sampling Technician Fee (per hour) Mont-Fri Wastewater Sampling Technician Fee (per hour) Sat	\$84.82	\$50.64 \$75.96		\$57.22 \$85.83	1.2%	To achieve full cost recovery
				Wastewater Sampling Technician Fee (per hour) Sun	\$113.08	\$101.28		\$114.45	1.2%	To achieve full cost recovery
				, ,	'		*	, , , ,		,
				multiple permit holders pay the higher administrative fee (for example, if the permit holder has both an	Overstrength Disch	arge Permit and a	a Comp	oliance Program Pe	mit, they will pay	y \$1,071.00 per quarter).

\*Costs not specifically addressed in the schedule will be invoiced at Actual Cost plus overhead\*

\*\*For general inquiries, please call 906-540-5190 or email sewerusebylaw@hamilton.ca\*\*

### PUBLIC WORKS HAMILTON WATER

Dept.					2020	2021		2021		
By-Law					including HST	Proposed	HST	including HST	% Fee	Basis for Fee
#	Dept ID	Account #	Ref#	Service Offered	(if applicable)	Fee	( <u>y</u> /n)	(if applicable)	Change	Increase or Decrease
				ENVIRONMENTAL MONITORING & ENFORCEMENT Fees related to the Wastewater Abatement Program						
03-272	510260	45532		Application Fee (plus cost recovery for peer review if required)	\$423.19	\$381.99	у	\$431.65	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
03-272	510260	45532	2	Annual Administration Fee	\$842.19	\$760.21	у	\$859.04	2.0%	To achieve full cost recovery
		A								

\*Costs not specifically addressed in the schedule will be invoiced at Actual Cost plus overhead\*

\*For general inquiries, please call 905-540-5190 or email sewerusebylaw@hamilton.ca\*\*

#### CITY OF HAMILTON

### 2021 HAMILTON WATER, WASTEWATER AND STORM OPERATING BUDGET COMBINED WATER, WASTEWATER AND STORM

	2020	2020	2021	CHANGE		CHANGE	
	RESTATED	PROJECTED	REQUESTED	2020 PROJECTED	ACTUAL	2021 REQUES	TED /
	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	/ 2020 RESTATED	BUDGET	2020 RESTATED	BUDGET
OPERATING EXPENDITURES:	\$	\$	\$	\$	%	\$	%
Divisional Administration & Support	2,008,040	2,008,040	2,064,490	-	0.0%	56,450	2.8%
Woodward Upgrades	1,108,390	1,108,390	1,441,380	-	0.0%	332,990	30.0%
Customer Service	314,950	267,125	325,250	47,825	15.2%	10,300	3.3%
Outreach & Education	1,239,577	893,362	1,190,590	346,215	27.9%	(48,987)	(4.0%)
Service Co-ordination	3,576,310	3,150,045	3,718,250	426,266	11.9%	141,940	4.0%
Engineering Systems & Data Collection	1,352,480	1,417,350	1,329,480	(64,870)	(4.8%)	(23,000)	(1.7%)
Compliance & Regulations	976,984	976,985	1,008,204	(1)	(0.0%)	31,220	3.2%
Laboratory Services	3,660,204	3,430,977	3,565,050	229,227	6.3%	(95,154)	(2.6%)
Environmental Monitoring & Enforcement	1,892,256	1,892,255	2,213,910	1	0.0%	321,654	17.0%
Water Distribution & Wastewater Collection	20,187,445	23,556,555	22,972,467	(3,369,110)	(16.7%)	2,785,022	13.8%
Plant Operations	24,862,850	23,328,362	26,688,044	1,534,488	6.2%	1,825,194	7.3%
Plant Maintenance	10,669,800	10,318,970	11,527,685	350,830	3.3%	857,885	8.0%
Capital Delivery	1,595,010	1,595,010	1,938,301	_	0.0%	343,291	21.5%
Sustainable Initiatives	1,431,090	1,431,094	1,083,931	(4)	(0.0%)	(347,159)	(24.3%)
Infrastructure Planning & System Design	1,877,476	1,877,475	2,286,976	1	0.0%	409,500	21.8%
Wastewater Abatement Program	1,150,040	1,150,040	1,192,450	-	0.0%	42,410	3.7%
Alectra Utilities Service Contract	5,600,000	5,600,000	5,712,000	<del>-</del>	0.0%	112,000	2.0%
Corporate & Departmental Support Services	6,977,580	6,977,580	7,018,270	-	0.0%	40,690	0.6%
Utilities Arrears Program	500,080	500,080	500,320	_	0.0%	240	0.0%
Sewer Lateral Management Program	300,000	202,250	300,000	97,751	32.6%	=	-
Hamilton Harbour Remedial Action Plan	382,550	382,550	370,964	- -	0.0%	(11,586)	(3.0%)
Protective Plumbing Program (3P)	1,000,000	575,000	752,870	425,000	42.5%	(247,130)	(24.7%)
Financial Charges	86,020	86,020	86,020	-	0.0%	-	0.0%
	92,749,132	92,725,514	99,286,901	23,618	0.0%	6,537,769	7.0%
Capital and Reserve Recoveries	(6,029,550)	(6,029,550)	(8,635,161)		0.0%	(2,605,611)	43.2%
Sub-Total	86,719,582	86,695,964	90,651,740	23,618	0.0%	3,932,158	4.5%
Capital and Reserve Impacts on Operating							
Contributions to Capital							
Water Quality Initiatives	50,296,000	50,296,000	50,498,000	-	0.0%	202,000	0.4%
Wastewater	52,673,000	52,673,000	57,237,984	-	0.0%	4,564,984	8.7%
Stormwater	15,685,000	15,685,000	17,632,679	-	0.0%	1,947,679	12.4%
Sub-Total Contributions to Capital	118,654,000	118,654,000	125,368,663	-	0.0%	6,714,663	5.7%
Contributions for DC Exemptions							
Water Quality Initiatives	2,240,000	2,240,000	2,520,000	-	0.0%	280,000	12.5%
Wastewater	4,080,000	4,080,000	4,590,000	-	0.0%	510,000	12.5%
Stormwater	1,680,000	1,680,000	1,890,000		0.0%	210,000	12.5%
Sub-Total Contributions for DC Exemptions	8,000,000	8,000,000	9,000,000	-	0.0%	1,000,000	12.5%

#### CITY OF HAMILTON

#### 2021 HAMILTON WATER, WASTEWATER AND STORM OPERATING BUDGET

#### **COMBINED WATER, WASTEWATER AND STORM**

	2020	2020	2021	CHANGE	<b>.</b>	CHANG	Ε
	RESTATED	PROJECTED	REQUESTED	2020 PROJECTED	ACTUAL	2021 REQUES	STED/
	BUDGET	ACTUAL	BUDGET	/ 2020 RESTATED	BUDGET	2020 RESTATED	BUDGET
OPERATING EXPENDITURES:	\$	\$	\$	\$	%	\$	%
Capital Debt Charges							
Water Quality Initiatives	8,593,943	8,295,616	9,844,773	298,327	3.5%	1,250,830	14.6%
Wastewater	11,514,374	7,379,737	12,534,242	4,134,637	35.9%	1,019,868	8.9%
Stormwater	3,399,997	2,303,036	2,490,898	1,096,961	32.3%	(909,099)	(26.7%)
DC Debt Charges Recoveries	(3,826,205)	(538,937)	(4,335,428)	(3,287,268)	85.9%	(509,222)	13.3%
Sub-Total Debt Charges	19,682,108	17,439,452	20,534,486	2,242,656	11.4%	852,377	4.3%
Sub-Total Capital Financing	146,336,108	144,093,452	154,903,149	2,242,656	1.5%	8,567,040	5.9%
Reserve Transfers	(43,888)	2,957,724	0	(3,001,612)	6839.2%	43,889	(100.0%)
Sub-Total Capital and Reserve Impacts on Operating	146,292,220	147,051,176	154,903,149	(758,956)	(0.5%)	8,610,929	5.9%
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	233,011,802	233,747,140	245,554,888	(735,338)	(0.3%)	12,543,086	5.4%
REVENUES:							
Rate Revenue							
Residential	102,226,242	105,226,242	107,653,756	3,000,000	2.9%	5,427,514	5.3%
Industrial/Commercial/Institutional/Multi-res	112,557,622	109,557,622	118,417,217	(3,000,000)	(2.7%)	5,859,595	5.2%
Haldimand	2,476,310	2,476,310	2,588,952	-	0.0%	112,642	4.5%
Halton	259,590	259,590	269,837	-	0.0%	10,247	3.9%
Raw Water	125,000	125,000	128,750	_	0.0%	3,750	3.0%
Non-Metered	580,000	580,000	880,000	-	0.0%	300,000	51.7%
Private Fire Lines	1,850,000	1,850,000	1,924,000	_	0.0%	74,000	4.0%
Hauler / 3rd Party Sales	1,225,000	1,225,000	1,400,000	-	0.0%	175,000	14.3%
Overstrength Agreements	2,892,902	3,210,510	3,210,510	317,608	11.0%	317,608	11.0%
Sewer Surcharge Agreements	5,806,726	6,224,456	6,224,456	417,730	7.2%	417,730	7.2%
Sub-Total Utility Rates	229,999,392	230,734,730	242,697,478	735,338	0.3%	12,698,086	5.5%
Non-Rate Revenue Local Improvement Recoveries	275,850	275,850	275,850		0.0%		
Permits / Leases / Agreements	1,365,050	1,365,050	•	-	0.0%	(300,000)	(22.04)
Investment Income	450,000	450,000	1,065,050 450,000	-	0.0%	(300,000)	(22.%)
General Fees and Recoveries	921,510	921,510	1,066,510	<del>-</del>	0.0%	145,000	15.7%
Sub-Total Non-Rate Revenue	3,012,410	3,012,410	2,857,410		0.0%	(155,000)	(5.1%)
TOTAL REVENUES	233,011,802	233,747,140	245,554,888	735,338	0.3%	12,543,086	5.4%
NET EXPENDITURES	-	_	-	-	0.0%	-	0.0%

Page 3 of 5

### 2021 - 2024 HAMILTON WATER, WASTEWATER AND STORM OPERATING BUDGET COMBINED WATER, WASTEWATER AND STORM

Page		2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	CHANG	E	CHANGE		CHANGE	
Page		RESTATED	REQUESTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	2021 REQUE	STED/	2022 PROJECTE	D/	2023 PROJEC	TED/
Page		BUDGET	BUDGET	BUDGET	BUDGET	BUDGET	2020 RESTATED	BUDGET	2021 REQUESTED B	UDGET	2022 PROJECTED	BUDGET
District Comment			Committee of the committee of the committee of the			\$	\$	Commence and Comme	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PROPERTY O	SACTOR STATE OF THE STATE OF TH	\$	%
Montany Ungarians	OPERATING EXPENDITURES:											
Vectors   Vect												
Castonic Februla   31,696   23,252.00   33,378   333,380   345,158   10,300   3.3%   8.66   2.0%   0.6855   2.0%   Contract   Castonic Februla   1,200   1,214,002   1,228,600   1,228,6	Divisional Administration & Support		2,064,490	2,105,780	2,147,895	2,190,853						
Contention   Con							•				•	
Service Co-ordination		•			•	•	·		•		•	
Engineering Systems & Data Collection   1,382,480   1,382,480   1,382,480   1,382,480   1,382,480   1,082,385   1,048,385   1,048,385   1,048,035   1,020   3,122   3,24   20,14   2,04   20,65   20,55   20,50   2,000   2,									·			
Compinence & Regulations												
Report   Services   3,66,004   3,560,004   3,580,505   3,780,678   3,780,678   2,780,740   2,080   17,100   2,000   2,777   2,000											·	
Environmental Menisterings Enforcement   1,882,256   2,13,1910   2,285,186   2,300,382   2,344,19   321,854   17.0%   44,776   2.0%   44,816   2.0%	, -								·		•	
National Pulsith Michigan   Wastewater Collection   20,167,144   22,972,467   23,341,916   23,900,554   24,78,566   27,78,562   21,38   450,449   2.0%   48,638   2.0%   Plant Maintenance   10,668,060   11,827,865   11,758,239   11,893,403   12,233,271   87,885   8.0%   230,554   2.0%   235,165   2.0%   Capital Delivery   1,451,060   1,451,060   1,452,060   1,1627,620   1,160,276   2.016,060   2.068,400   34,3291   2.15%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   2.0%   36,766   3.0%   36,766   3.0%   36,766   3.0%   36,766   3.0%   36,766   3.0%   36,766   3.0%   36,766   3.0%	•							, ,	·		•	
Plant Departmens	<u>-</u>						•		·		•	
Part Maintenanee   10,668,800   11,527,685   11,582,398   11,993,403   12,239,271   57,885   8.0%   20,554   2.0%   2.0									•		•	
Capital Delivery   1,586_101   1,938_201   1,977_087   2,018_08   2,058_040   34,221   21,5%   38,766   2.0%   39,541   2.0%   Sustainable Inflistitives   1,431_908   1,038_331   1,038_331   1,058_10   1,127_22   1,150_240   2,428_087   40,050   21,8%   45,740   2.0%   46,054   2.0%   40,054   2.0%	Plant Operations	24,862,850	26,688,044						·		•	
Substandible Infiniationes   1,431,000   1,083,931   1,105,510   1,127,722   1,150,276   (247,156)   (247,156)   (243,94)   (243,94)   (246,954)   (	Plant Maintenance	10,669,800	11,527,685	11,758,239	11,993,403	12,233,271	857,885		230,554	2.0%	235,165	
Infrastructure Planning & System Design   1,877.47   2,286.976   2,332.716   2,378.370   242.69.675   4,246.95   409.500   2,18%   45,740   2,0%   46,654   2,0%   2,0%   2,00%   2,	Capital Delivery	1,595,010	1,938,301	1,977,067	2,016,608	2,056,940	343,291	21.5%	38,766	2.0%	39,541	2.0%
Value	Sustainable Initiatives	1,431,090	1,083,931	1,105,610	1,127,722	1,150,276	(347,159)	(24.3%)	21,679	2.0%	22,112	2.0%
Pachagn   Pach	Infrastructure Planning & System Design	1,877,476	2,286,976	2,332,716	2,379,370	2,426,957	409,500	21.8%	45,740	2.0%	46,654	2.0%
Composition	Wastewater Abatement Program	1,150,040	1,192,450	1,216,299	1,240,625	1,265,437	42,410	3.7%	23,849	2.0%	24,326	2.0%
	Alectra Utilities Service Contract	5,600,000	5,712,000	5,826,240	5,942,765	6,050,000	112,000	2.0%	114,240	2.0%	116,525	2.0%
Sever Lateral Management Program   300,000   300,000   300,000   312,120   318,382     0.0%   6,000   2.0%   6,120   2.0%   1,610   1,6	Corporate & Departmental Support Services	6,977,580	7,018,270	7,158,635	7,301,808	7,447,844	40,690	0.6%	140,365	2.0%	143,173	2.0%
Parallich Harbour Remedial Action Plain   382,555   370,948   378,383   385,981   393,670   11,188)   (3.0%)   7.419   2.0%   7.588   2.0%   Protective Purphinip Program (3P)   1,000,000   752,870   7673,287   783,280   788,592   (247,130)   (24.7%)   1,000,000   1,00	Utilities Arrears Program	500,080	500,320	510,326	520,533	530,944	240	0.0%	10,006	2.0%	10,207	2.0%
Protective Plumbing Program (3P)   1,000,000   752,870   767,927   783,286   788,852   (247,130)   (	Sewer Lateral Management Program	300,000	300,000	306,000	312,120	318,362	-	0.0%	6,000	2.0%	6,120	2.0%
Financial Charges	Hamilton Harbour Remedial Action Plan	382,550	370,964	378,383	385,951	393,670	(11,586)	(3.0%)	7,419		7,568	
Sq.749,132   99,286,901   101,272,639   103,286,091   105,852,433   6,537,769   7.0%   1,985,738   2.0%   2,025,453   2.0%   2,025,550   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (8,635,550)   (172,703)   2.0%   (172,703)   2.0	Protective Plumbing Program (3P)	1,000,000	752,870	767,927	783,286	798,952	(247,130)	(24.7%)	15,057	2.0%	15,359	2.0%
Capital and Reserve Recoveries   66,029,550   (8,835,161)   (8,907,864)   (8,984,021)   (9,163,702)   (2,605,611)   43.2%   (172,703)   2.0%   (176,167)   2.0%   2.0%   2.0%   36,719,582   90,651,740   92,464,774   94,314,070   96,188,731   3,932,158   4.5%   1,813,035   2.0%   1,849,285   2.0%   2.0	Financial Charges	86,020	86,020	87,740	89,495		_	0.0%	1,720	2.0%	1,755	
Sub-Total 86,719,582 90,651,740 92,464,774 94,314,070 96,188,731 3,932,158 4.5% 1,813,035 2.0% 1,849,295 2.0% Capital and Reserve Impacts on Operating Contributions to Capital Water Quality Initiatives 50,296,000 50,498,000 62,233,865 67,894,186 68,135,842 202,000 4.564,984 8.7% (10,513,984) (16,4%) (4,051,000) (8,7%) Wastewater 15,686,000 17,632,679 19,084,321 23,715,000 29,390,000 19,476,779 12.4% 1,451,642 8.2% 4,090,679 21.4% Sub-Total Contributions to Capital 118,654,000 125,368,663 128,042,186 133,742,186 141,503,842 6,714,663 5.7% 2,673,523 2.1% 5,700,000 4.55% Contributions for DC Exemptions Water Quality Initiatives 2,240,000 2,520,000 2,520,000 2,520,000 2,520,000 2,500,000 12.5% - 0.0% 1.5% Contributions for DC Exemptions 4,080,000 4,590,000 4,590,000 4,590,000 12,5% - 0.0% 1.5% 1.890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 12.5% - 0.0% 1.5% 1.890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,25% - 0.0% 1.5% 1.890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 12.5% - 0.0% 1.5% 1.890,000 1,890,000 1		92,749,132	99,286,901	101,272,639	103,298,091	105,352,433	6,537,769	7.0%	1,985,738	2.0%	2,025,453	2.0%
Contributions to Capital   Water Quality Initiatives   So. 296,000   So. 298,000   46,000   42,000   42,000   43,978,000   45,984,84   8.7%   (10,513,984)   (18.4%)   (4,051,000)   (8.7%)   Stormwater   So. 2673,000   17,632,679   19,084,321   23,175,000   29,390,000   1,947,679   12.4%   1,451,642   8.2%   4,090,679   21.4%   Sub-Total Contributions to Capital   118,654,000   125,586,863   128,042,186   133,742,186   141,503,842   6,714,663   5.7%   2,673,523   2.1%   5,700,000   4.5%   Stormwater   So. 2,240,000   2,520,00	Capital and Reserve Recoveries	(6,029,550)	(8,635,161)	(8,807,864)	(8,984,021)	(9,163,702)	(2,605,611)		(172,703)		(176,157)	
Contributions to Capital Water Quality Initiatives         50,296,000 50,498,000 50,498,000 50,233,865 67,894,186 68,135,842 202,000 0.4% 11,735,865 23.2% 5,660,321 9.1% Stormwater 52,673,000 45,237,984 46,724,000 42,673,000 43,978,000 4,564,984 8.7% (10,513,984) (18.4%) (4,051,000) (6.7%) Stormwater 15,685,000 17,632,679 19,084,321 23,175,000 29,390,000 1,947,679 12.4% 1,451,642 8.2% 4.090,679 21.4% Stub-Total Contributions to Capital 118,654,000 126,368,663 128,042,186 133,742,186 141,503,842 6,714,663 5.7% 2,673,523 2.1% 5,700,000 21.4% Stub-Total Contributions for DC Exemptions           Water Quality Initiatives         2,240,000 2,520,000 4,590,000 4,590,000 4,590,000 4,590,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,890,000 1,000,000 12.5% - 0.0% -	Sub-Total	86,719,582	90,651,740	92,464,774	94,314,070	96,188,731	3,932,158	4.5%	1,813,035	2.0%	1,849,295	2.0%
Water Quality Initiatives	Capital and Reserve Impacts on Operating											
Water Quality Initiatives	Contributions to Capital								•			
Wastewater         52,673,000         57,237,984         46,724,000         42,673,000         43,978,000         4,564,984         8.7%         (10,513,984)         (18.4%)         (4,051,000)         (8.7%)           Stormwater         15,685,000         17,632,679         19,084,321         23,175,000         29,390,000         1,947,679         12.4%         1,451,642         8.2%         4,090,679         21,4%           Sub-Total Contributions to Capital         118,654,000         125,368,663         128,042,186         133,742,186         141,503,842         6,714,663         5.7%         2,673,523         2.1%         5,700,000         4.5%           Contributions for DC Exemptions         Water Quality Initiatives         2,240,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,500,000         12.5%         -         0.0%         -         0.0%           Water Quality Initiatives         4,080,000         4,590,000         4,590,000         1,590,000         12.5%         -         0.0%         -         0.0%           Sub-Total Contributions for DC Exemptions         8,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         1,000,000         12.5%         -         0.0%         -         0.0%		50 296 000	50 498 000	62 233 865	67 894 186	68 135 842	202 000	0.4%	11 735 865	23.2%	5.660.321	9.1%
Stornwater   15,885,000   17,632,679   19,084,321   23,175,000   29,390,000   1,947,679   12.4%   1,451,642   8.2%   4,090,679   21.4%	•						· ·		· · ·			
Sub-Total Contributions to Capital  118,654,000  125,368,663  128,042,186  133,742,186  141,503,842  6,714,663  5,7%  2,673,523  2,1%  5,700,000  4,590,000  4,590,000  4,590,000  1,890,000  1,000,000  1,000,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,000  1,590,00									• • • •			, ,
Contributions for DC Exemptions           Water Quality Initiatives         2,240,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         510,000         12.5%         - 0.0%         - 0.0%         - 0.0%           Stormwater         1,680,000         1,890,000         1,890,000         1,890,000         1,890,000         1,000,000         12.5%         - 0.0%         - 0.0%           Sub-Total Contributions for DC Exemptions         8,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         1,000,000         12.5%         - 0.0%         - 0.0%           Sub-Total Contributions for DC Exemptions         8,593,943         9,844,773         15,213,851         20,743,578         25,478,475         1,250,830         14.6%         5,369,078         54.5%         5,529,728         36.3%           Wastewater         11,514,374         12,534,242         20,704,348         26,716,639         30,660,697         1,019,868         8.9%         8,170,105         65.2%         6,006,292         29.0%           Stormwater         3,399,997         2,490,88												
Water Quality Initiatives         2,240,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         2,520,000         280,000         12.5%         - 0.0%         - 0.0%           Wastewater         4,080,000         4,590,000         4,590,000         4,590,000         510,000         12.5%         - 0.0%         - 0.0%         - 0.0%           Stormwater         1,880,000         1,890,000         1,000,000         12.5%         -         0.0%         -         0.0%           Debt Charges         8,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         1,25%         -         0.0%         5,529,728         36.3%           Wastewater         1,1514,374         12,534,242         20,704,348         26,710,639         3	·	, ,	, . ,	, ,					, ,			
Wastewater Stormwater         4,080,000		2 240 000	2 520 000	2 520 000	2 520 000	2 520 000	200 000	10 50/		0.00/		0.0%
Stormwater         1,680,000         1,890,000         1,890,000         1,890,000         1,890,000         210,000         12.5%         -         0.0%         -         0.0%           Sub-Total Contributions for DC Exemptions         8,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         1,890,000         1,000,000         12.5%         -         0.0%         -         0.0%           Debt Charges         20,000,000         1,890,000         9,000,000         1,890,000         1,890,000         1,600,000         12.5%         -         0.0%         -         0.0%           Debt Charges         20,000,000         1,890,000         20,000,000         1,600,000         14.6%         5,369,078         54.5%         5,529,728         36.3%           Stormwater         3,399,997         2,490,898         3,185,448         4,157,207<	•						•		-		-	
Sub-Total Contributions for DC Exemptions         8,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         9,000,000         1,000,000         12.5%         -         0.0%         -         0.0%           Debt Charges         Water Quality Initiatives         8,593,943         9,844,773         15,213,851         20,743,578         25,478,475         1,250,830         14.6%         5,369,078         54.5%         5,529,728         36.3%           Wastewater         11,514,374         12,534,242         20,704,348         26,710,639         30,660,697         1,019,868         8.9%         8,170,105         65.2%         6,006,292         29.0%           Stormwater         3,399,997         2,490,898         3,185,448         4,157,207         5,127,892         (909,099)         (26.7%)         694,550         27.9%         971,759         30.5%           DC Debt Charges Recoveries         (3,826,205)         (4,335,428)         (12,147,411)         (20,194,201)         (26,916,293)         (509,222)         13.3%         (7,811,983)         180.2%         (8,046,790)         66.2%           Sub-Total Capital Financing         146,336,108         154,903,149         163,998,421         174,159,410         184,854,613         8,567,040         5.9%         9,095,272									-		_	
Debt Charges         Water Quality Initiatives         8,593,943         9,844,773         15,213,851         20,743,578         25,478,475         1,250,830         14.6%         5,369,078         54.5%         5,529,728         36.3%           Wastewater         11,514,374         12,534,242         20,704,348         26,710,639         30,660,697         1,019,868         8.9%         8,170,105         65.2%         6,006,292         29.0%           Stormwater         3,399,997         2,490,898         3,185,448         4,157,207         5,127,892         (909,099)         (26.7%)         694,550         27.9%         971,759         30.5%           DC Debt Charges Recoveries         (3,826,205)         (4,335,428)         (12,147,411)         (20,194,201)         (26,916,293)         (509,222)         13.3%         (7,811,983)         180.2%         (8,046,790)         66.2%           Sub-Total Debt Charges         19,682,108         20,534,486         26,956,235         31,417,224         34,350,771         852,377         4.3%         6,421,749         31.3%         4,460,989         16.5%           Sub-Total Capital Financing         146,336,108         154,903,149         163,998,421         174,159,410         184,854,613         8,567,040         5.9%         9,095,272 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></td<>												
Water Quality Initiatives         8,593,943         9,844,773         15,213,851         20,743,578         25,478,475         1,250,830         14.6%         5,369,078         54.5%         5,529,728         36.3%           Wastewater         11,514,374         12,534,242         20,704,348         26,710,639         30,660,697         1,019,868         8.9%         8,170,105         65.2%         6,006,292         29.0%           Stormwater         3,399,997         2,490,898         3,185,448         4,157,207         5,127,892         (909,099)         (26.7%)         694,550         27.9%         971,759         30.5%           DC Debt Charges Recoveries         (3,826,205)         (4,335,428)         (12,147,411)         (20,194,201)         (26,916,293)         (509,222)         13.3%         (7,811,983)         180.2%         (8,046,790)         66.2%           Sub-Total Debt Charges         19,682,108         20,534,486         26,956,235         31,417,224         34,350,771         852,377         4.3%         6,421,749         31.3%         4,460,989         16.5%           Sub-Total Capital Financing         146,336,108         154,903,149         163,998,421         174,159,410         184,854,613         8,567,040         5.9%         9,095,272         5.9%         10,160,	·											
Wastewater         11,514,374         12,534,242         20,704,348         26,710,639         30,660,697         1,019,868         8.9%         8,170,105         65.2%         6,006,292         29.0%           Stormwater         3,399,997         2,490,898         3,185,448         4,157,207         5,127,892         (909,099)         (26.7%)         694,550         27.9%         971,759         30.5%           DC Debt Charges Recoveries         (3,826,205)         (4,335,428)         (12,147,411)         (20,194,201)         (26,916,293)         (509,222)         13.3%         (7,811,983)         180.2%         (8,046,790)         66.2%           Sub-Total Debt Charges         19,682,108         20,534,486         26,956,235         31,417,224         34,350,771         852,377         4.3%         6,421,749         31.3%         4,460,989         16.5%           Sub-Total Capital Financing         146,336,108         154,903,149         163,998,421         174,159,410         184,854,613         8,567,040         5.9%         9,095,272         5.9%         10,160,989         6.2%           Sub-Total Capital and Reserve Impacts on Operating         146,292,220         154,903,149         163,998,421         174,159,410         184,854,613         8,610,929         5.9%         9,095,272 <td< td=""><td></td><td>0 502 042</td><td>0.044.770</td><td>15 212 054</td><td>20 742 579</td><td>25 470 475</td><td>1 250 020</td><td>14 60/</td><td>5 360 070</td><td>54 50/</td><td>5 520 729</td><td>36 30/</td></td<>		0 502 042	0.044.770	15 212 054	20 742 579	25 470 475	1 250 020	14 60/	5 360 070	54 50/	5 520 729	36 30/
Stormwater         3,399,997         2,490,898         3,185,448         4,157,207         5,127,892         (909,099)         (26.7%)         694,550         27.9%         971,759         30.5%           DC Debt Charges Recoveries         (3,826,205)         (4,335,428)         (12,147,411)         (20,194,201)         (26,916,293)         (509,222)         13.3%         (7,811,983)         180.2%         (8,046,790)         66.2%           Sub-Total Debt Charges         19,682,108         20,534,486         26,956,235         31,417,224         34,350,771         852,377         4.3%         6,421,749         31.3%         4,460,989         16.5%           Sub-Total Capital Financing         146,336,108         154,903,149         163,998,421         174,159,410         184,854,613         8,567,040         5.9%         9,095,272         5.9%         10,160,989         6.2%           Reserve Transfers         (43,888)         0         0         0         0         43,889         (100.0%)         (0)         (68.3%)         0         359.1%           Sub-Total Capital and Reserve Impacts on Operating         146,292,220         154,903,149         163,998,421         174,159,410         184,854,613         8,610,929         5.9%         9,095,272         5.9%         10,160,989												
DC Debt Charges Recoveries (3,826,205) (4,335,428) (12,147,411) (20,194,201) (26,916,293) (509,222) 13.3% (7,811,983) 180.2% (8,046,790) 66.2% Sub-Total Debt Charges 19,682,108 20,534,486 26,956,235 31,417,224 34,350,771 852,377 4.3% 6,421,749 31.3% 4,460,989 16.5% Sub-Total Capital Financing 146,336,108 154,903,149 163,998,421 174,159,410 184,854,613 8,567,040 5.9% 9,095,272 5.9% 10,160,989 6.2% Sub-Total Capital and Reserve Impacts on Operating 146,292,220 154,903,149 163,998,421 174,159,410 184,854,613 8,610,929 5.9% 9,095,272 5.9% 10,160,989 6.2%												
Sub-Total Debt Charges         19,682,108         20,534,486         26,956,235         31,417,224         34,350,771         852,377         4.3%         6,421,749         31.3%         4,460,989         16.5%           Sub-Total Capital Financing         146,336,108         154,903,149         163,998,421         174,159,410         184,854,613         8,567,040         5.9%         9,095,272         5.9%         10,160,989         6.2%           Reserve Transfers         (43,888)         0         0         0         0         43,889         (100.0%)         (0)         (68.3%)         0         359.1%           Sub-Total Capital and Reserve Impacts on Operating         146,292,220         154,903,149         163,998,421         174,159,410         184,854,613         8,610,929         5.9%         9,095,272         5.9%         10,160,989         6.2%											·	
Sub-Total Capital Financing         146,336,108         154,903,149         163,998,421         174,159,410         184,854,613         8,567,040         5.9%         9,095,272         5.9%         10,160,989         6.2%           Reserve Transfers         (43,888)         0         0         0         0         43,889         (100.0%)         (0)         (68.3%)         0         359.1%           Sub-Total Capital and Reserve Impacts on Operating         146,292,220         154,903,149         163,998,421         174,159,410         184,854,613         8,610,929         5.9%         9,095,272         5.9%         10,160,989         6.2%							<u> </u>				<u> </u>	
Reserve Transfers (43,888) 0 0 0 0 0 43,889 (100.0%) (0) (68.3%) 0 359.1% Sub-Total Capital and Reserve Impacts on Operating 146,292,220 154,903,149 163,998,421 174,159,410 184,854,613 8,610,929 5.9% 9,095,272 5.9% 10,160,989 6.2%	_						•					
Sub-Total Capital and Reserve Impacts on Operating 146,292,220 154,903,149 163,998,421 174,159,410 184,854,613 8,610,929 5.9% 9,095,272 5.9% 10,160,989 6.2%	Sub-Total Capital Financing	146,336,108	154,903,149	163,998,421	174,159,410	184,854,613		5.9%	9,095,272	5.9%	10,160,989	
Operating 146,292,220 154,903,149 163,998,421 174,159,410 184,854,613 8,610,929 5.9% 9,095,272 5.9% 10,160,989 6.2%		(43,888)	0	0	0	0	43,889	(100.0%)	(0)	(68.3%)	0	359.1%
		440 000 000	454 000 440	402.000.404	474 450 440	404.054.040	0.640.000	E 001	0.005.070	E 001	40 400 000	6 20/
TOTAL EXPENDITURES 233,011,802 245,554,888 256,463,196 268,473,480 281,043,345 12,543,086 5.4% 10,908,307 4.4% 12,010,285 4.7%	Operating	146,292,220	154,903,149	163,998,421	1/4,159,410	184,854,613	8,010,929	5.9%	9,095,272	5.9%	10,160,989	6.2%
	TOTAL EXPENDITURES	233,011,802	245,554,888	256,463,196	268,473,480	281,043,345	12,543,086	5.4%	10,908,307	4.4%	12,010,285	4.7%

CHANGE

Page 4 of 5

CHANGE

#### CITY OF HAMILTON

### 2021 - 2024 HAMILTON WATER, WASTEWATER AND STORM OPERATING BUDGET COMBINED WATER, WASTEWATER AND STORM

2024

CHANGE

2023

2020

2021

2022

	RESTATED	REQUESTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	PROJECTED	2021 REQUE	STED/	2022 PROJECTE	D <i>1</i>	2023 PROJECT	'ED/
	BUDGET	BUDGET	BUDGET	BUDGET	BUDGET	2020 RESTATED	BUDGET	2021 REQUESTED BU	JDGET	2022 PROJECTED	BUDGET
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	%	\$	%	\$	%
REVENUES:											
Rate Revenue											
Residential	102,226,242	107,653,756	113,268,028	119,451,372	126,023,132	5,427,514	5.3%	5,614,272	5.2%	6,183,343	5.5%
Industrial/Commercial/Institutional/Multi-res	112,557,622	118,417,217	123,091,680	128,267,805	133,729,076	5,859,595	5.2%	4,674,464	3.9%	5,176,125	4.2%
Haldimand	2,476,310	2,588,952	2,697,501	2,820,792	2,938,075	112,642	4.5%	108,549	4.2%	123,291	4.6%
Halton	259,590	269,837	281,042	293,885	306,728	10,247	3.9%	11,204	4.2%	12,843	4.6%
Raw Water	125,000	128,750	132,613	136,591	140,689	3,750	3.0%	3,863	3.0%	3,978	3.0%
Non-Metered	580,000	880,000	910,000	940,000	970,000	300,000	51.7%	30,000	3.4%	30,000	3.3%
Private Fire Lines	1,850,000	1,924,000	2,000,960	2,080,998	2,165,000	74,000	4.0%	76,960	4.0%	80,038	4.0%
Hauler / 3rd Party Sales	1,225,000	1,400,000	1,442,000	1,485,260	1,515,739	175,000	14.3%	42,000	3.0%	43,260	3.0%
Overstrength Agreements	2,892,902	3,210,510	3,306,825	3,406,030	3,474,151	317,608	11.0%	96,315	3.0%	99,205	3.0%
Sewer Surcharge Agreements	5,806,726	6,224,456	6,411,190	6,603,525	6,735,596	417,730	7.2%	186,734	3.0%	192,336	3.0%
Sub-Total Utility Rates	229,999,392	242,697,478	253,541,839	265,486,258	277,998,186	12,698,086	5.5%	10,844,360	4.5%	11,944,420	4.7%
Non-Rate Revenue											
Local Improvement Recoveries	275,850	275,850	275,850	275,850	275,850	-	0.0%	_	0.0%	-	0.0%
Permits / Leases / Agreements	1,365,050	1,065,050	1,097,002	1,129,912	1,135,809	(300,000)	(22.0%)	31,952	3.0%	32,910	3.0%
Investment Income	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000	450,000		0.0%		0.0%	-	0.0%
General Fees and Recoveries	921,510	1,066,510	1,098,505	1,131,460	1,183,500	145,000	15.7%	31,995	3.0%	32,955	3.0%
Sub-Total Non-Rate Revenue	3,012,410	2,857,410	2,921,357	2,987,222	3,045,159	(155,000)	(5.1%)	63,947	2.2%	65,865	2.3%
TOTAL REVENUES	233,011,802	245,554,888	256,463,196	268,473,480	281,043,345	12,543,086	5.4%	10,908,307	4.4%	12,010,285	4.7%
NET EXPENDITURES	(0)	_	-	-	-	(0)	0.0%	0	0.0%	-	0.0%

### CITY OF HAMILTON 2021-2030 HAMILTON WATER, WASTEWATER & STORM OPERATING BUDGET

#### COMBINED WATER, WASTEWATER AND STORM

(\$ 000'S)

	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030
					orecast	Forecast	Forecast	Forecast		Forecast	Forecast
							<u> </u>				
OPERATING EXPENDITURES	]										
OPERATING COSTS	86,720	90,652	92,465	92,714	94,557	96,448	98,377	100,344	102,351	104,398	106,486
TERTIARY TREATMENT		-	-	1,600	1,632	1,665	1,698	1,732	1,767	1,802	1,838
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS	86,720	90,652	92,465	94,314	96,189	98,113	100,075	102,076	104,118	106,200	108,324
CAPITAL & RESERVE IMPACTS ON OPERA	TING										
Contributions to Capital											
Water	50,296	50,498	62,234	67,894	68,136	76,637	80,095	69,139	71,923	105,624	112,677
Wastewater	52,673	57,238	46,724	42,673	43,978	55,168	58,611	63,935	79,927	60,178	74,363
Stormwater	15,685	17,633	19,084	23,175	29,390	18,505	22,235	41,405	37,105	36,515	30,805
Sub-total Contributions to Capital	118,654	125,369	128,042	133,742	141,504	150,310	160,941	174,478	188,956	202,317	217,845
Contributions for DC Exemptions							•				
Water	2,240	2,520	2,520	2,520	2,520	2,520	2,520	2,520	2,520	2,520	2,520
Wastewater	4,080	4,590	4,590	4,590	4,590	4,590	4,590	4,590	4,590	4,590	4,590
Stormwater	1,680	1,890	1,890	1,890	1,890	1,890	1,890	1,890	1,890	1,890	1,890
Sub-total Contributions to Capital	8,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000	9,000
Debt Charges											
Water	8,594	9,845	15,214	20,744	25,478	28,475	29,961	29,956	29,401	29,303	27,855
Wastewater	11,514	12,534	20,704	26,711	30,661	32,493	35,644	41,476	46,421	49,464	48,289
Stormwater	3,400	2,491	3,185	4,157	5,128	5,589	5,541	5,543	5,544	5,494	5,414
DC Debt Charges Recoveries	(3,826)	(4,335)	(12,147)	(20,194)	(26,916)	(30,258)	(34,638)	(42,087)	(48,949)	(52,118)	(52,167)
Sub-total Debt Charges	19,682	20,534	26,956	31,417	34,351	36,299	36,509	34,889	32,417	32,143	29,390
Reserve Transfers	(44)	0	0	0	0	0	0	(0)	0	(0)	(0)
Sub-Total Capital & Reserve Impacts on											
Operating	146,292	154,903	163,998	174,159	184,855	195,610	206,449	218,367	230,373	243,459	256,236
TOTAL EXPENDITURES	233,012	245,555	256,463	268,473	281,043	293,722	306,524	320,443	334,491	349,659	364,560
REVENUES	1										
						100 = 10	100 510		454.000	400.000	.=
Residential	102,226	107,654	113,268	119,451	126,023	132,710	139,512	146,824	154,262	162,680	171,134
Industrial/Commercial/Institutional/Multi-res	112,558	118,417	123,092	128,268	133,729	139,190	144,652	150,691	156,731	162,902	168,781
Haldimand	2,476	2,589	2,698	2,821	2,938	3,061 320	3,185 332	3,328 346	3,464 361	3,600 375	3,718 389
Halton Raw Water	260 125	270 129	281 133	294 137	307 141	145	149	154	158	163	168
Non-Metered	580	880	910	940	970	1,000	1,030	1,060	1,090	1,120	1,150
Private Fire Lines	1,850	1,924	2,001	2,081	2,165	2,230	2,297	2,366	2,437	2,510	2,585
Hauler / 3rd Party Sales	1,225	1,400	1,442	1,485	1,516	1,546	1,577	1,609	1,641	1,673	1,707
Overstrength Agreements	2,893	3,211	3,307	3,406	3,474	3,544	3,615	3,687	3,761	3,836	3,912
Sewer Surcharge Agreements	5,807	6,224	6,411	6,604	6,736	6,870	7,008	7,148	7,291	7,437	7,585
Non-Rate Revenue	3,012	2,857	2,921	2,987	3,045	3,106	3,168	3,232	3,296	3,362	3,429
TOTAL REVENUES	233,012	245,555	256,463	268,473	281,043	293,722	306,524	320,443	334,491	349,659	364,560
NET EXPENDITURES	-	•	-	•	•	-	-	-	-		-
Rate Increase	4.11%	4.28%	4.05%	4.29%	4.35%	4.16%	4.00%	4.13%	3.97%	4.33%	4.07%
RESIDENTIAL BILL (200m <sup>3</sup> p.a.)	\$ 752.60 \$	784.80 \$	816.55 \$	851.55 \$	888.55 \$	925.55 \$	962.55 \$	1,002.35 \$	1,042.15 \$	1,087.25 \$	1,131.55
									-	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

# City of Hamilton Water System 2021 Capital Budget Project List (000's)

City Ward	Project Number	Project Description	DC	Gross	Grants	Other	Dev	Reserves	WIP	WIP Other /	WIP	Net	From	Deb
			Debt	Costs	And Subsidies	External Revenue	Charges (Inc Debt)		Reserves	Other Internal	Debt	Cost	Operating	
nnual Projects				050	-	-						050	050	
City Wide	4032114405	Contaminated Soil & Rock Disposal Program		250	-	-	•	-	-	-	-	250	250	
City Wide	4032158001	Consultation and Accommodation		30	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	30	30	
City Wide	5142101099	Engineering Services Staffing Costs - Water		4,700	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,700	4,700	
City Wide	5142160711	PW Capital Water Consumption Program	_	250		-		-		-	-	250	250	
	Sub-Total An	nual Projects		5,230	-	-	-	-		-	-	5,230	5,230	
oordinated - Neti	work Extension	Projects												
15	5142171308	East-West Road Corridor (Waterdown By-Pass)	*	1,200	-	-	1,140	-	-	-	-	60	60	
12	5142171328	Southcote - Garner to Highway 403 Bridge		160	-	-	80	-	-	-	-	80	80	
11	5142171352	Airport Lands - Dickenson Rd - Upper James to Upper centennial PKWY		1,800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,800	1,800	
11	5142180183	Binbrook - Royal Winter/Binhaven to Fletcher	*	630	-	-	630	-	-	-	-	-	-	
	Sub-Total Co	ordinated - Network Extension Projects	_	3,790	-	-	1,850	-		-	-	1,940	1,940	
oordinated - Rep	lacement Projec	cts												
2	5141970012	Sheaffe / Park / Mulberry (Central Neighbourhood (North)) - Coordinated Road		200	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	200	200	
2	5141971312	Sheaffe / Park / Mulberry (Central Neighbourhood (North))		1,240	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,240	1,240	
4	5142071315	Delena / Beland / Dunsmure		1,140	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,140	1,140	
2	5142170004	Strachan - James to east end - Coordinated Road Restoration		430	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	430	430	
13	5142170011	Highway 8 - Bond to Woodleys Lane - Coordinated Road Restoration		830	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	830	830	
4	5142170015	Delena / Beland / Dunsmure - Coordinated Road Restoration		930	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	930	930	
1	5142171303	Marion - Longwood to Dromore		50	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	50	50	
2	5142171304	Strachan - James to east end		600	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	600	600	
8	5142171309	Inverness Court & Arcade Crescent (within Southam Neighbourhood)		150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	150	150	
4	5142171310	Barton - Parkdale to Talbot		1,080	-	-	-	-		-	-	1,080	1,080	
13	5142171311	Highway 8 - Bond to Woodleys Lane		800	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	800	800	
	Sub-Total Co	ordinated - Replacement Projects	-	7,450	-	-	-	-		-	-	7,450	7,450	
oordinated Projec	cts													
City Wide	5141855777	Water infrastructure Analysis - Growth and Economic Opportunities		350	-	200	-	-		-	-	150	150	
City Wide	5142160501	Watermain Rehabilitation/Replacement Coordinated with Development		1,000				-	-	-	-	1,000	1,000	
,		ordinated Projects	-	1,350	-	200	-	-	-	-	-	1,150	1,150	
emolition														
2	5142067420	St. Joseph's Tank Pulsation Dampener (HD002STK)		110	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	110	110	
	Sub-Total De	emolition	_	110	-	-	-	-			-	110	110	
quipment Replace	ement													
City Wide	5141966151	SCADA Maintenance Program		150	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	150	150	
	Sub-Total Eq	uipment Replacement	-	150	-	-	-	-		-	-	150	150	
formation Mana	gement Develo	pment												
City Wide	5142257000	Vertical Asset Management Program		280	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	280	280	
	Sub-Total Inf	ormation Management Development	-	280	-	-	-	-		-	-	280	280	
aster Plan - Hori	izontal Assets													
13, 15	5141796752	PD16 (Waterdown) Trunk Feedermain - PS HD016 to Hwy 5 at Algonquin (W-25) (CAS	H *	430	-	-	322	-	-	-	-	108	108	
		FI OWED)		3,870			3,870							
11	5141996951	Binbrook Feedermain via Fletcher (W-30)	^	3,070	-	-	3,670	-	-	-	-	-	-	
11 11	5142096250	Airport Lands External Water Servicing (Feedermain) (W-27)		7,170		1,481	5,689							

#### City of Hamilton Water System 2021 Capital Budget Project List (000's)

													Financing	Sources
City Ward	Project Number	Project Description	DC Debt	Gross Costs	Grants And Subsidies	Other External Revenue	Dev Charges (Inc Debt)	Reserves	WIP Reserves	WIP Other / Other Internal	WIP Debt	Net Cost	From Operating	Debt
12	5141395354	PD18 (Ancaster) Water Servicing Strategy (W-14) (CASH FLOWED)	*	800		-	400	-			-	400	400	
13	5141595553	PS HD12A (Governors @ Huntingwood) Rebuild with Capacity Upgrade & Standby	*	390	-	-	292	-			-	98	98	-
13	5141695883	Power Installation (ML-0.4) PS HD016 (York and Valley) Capacity Upgrade, Standby Power & Building Expansion (W	/· *	1,400	-	-	1,260	-			-	140	140	-
5	5141795850	Greenhill PS HD04B & HD05A Upgrades (W-28) (CASH FLOWED)	*	14,080		-	10,560	-		- <b>-</b>	-	3,520	20	3,500
	Sub-Total Mo	aster Plan - Vertical Assets		16,670		-	12,512	-			-	4,158	658	3,500
Outstations-Susta	ninable Asset Mo	at (SAM)												
1	5141567273	Main / Whitney Pumping Station Replacement and Decommissioning		250		-	-	-			-	250	250	-
8	5141567520	Stone Church/Garth Water (HDR05) Reservoir		2,975		-	-	-		-	-	2,975	75	2,900
12	5141667421	Glancaster Rd & Hwy 53 Pumping Station (HD018) Upgrades (CASH FLOWED)		5,900		-	-	-			-	5,900	-	5,900
14	5141767650	New Greensville Communal Well		170	-		-	-			-	170	170	-
15	5141895852	Carlisle Water Supply System - Additional Water Storage (CASH FLOWED)		440		-	-	-		-	-	440	440	
4, 10	5141967375	HDR01 Kenilworth and HDR1C Ben Nevis Reservoir Upgrades		1,610		-	-	-		-	-	1,610	1,610	
12	5142067450	Lee Smith Reservoir (HDR00) Upgrades		830		-	-	-			-	830	830	-
15	5142166608	Lynden Water System - Phase 2 Treatment		610		-	-	-			-	610	610	
12	5142167420	HDR18 Glancaster Reservoir Ugrades		440		-	-	-			-	440	440	-
13	5142167421	HDR11 Woodley Lane Reservoir Upgrades		1,600		-	-	-			-	1,600	1,600	-
City Wide	5142167752	Water Outstation Inspections - Asset Management		500		-	-	-			-	500	500	-
	Sub-Total Ou	utstations-Sustainable Asset Mgt (SAM)		15,325		-	-	-			-	15,325	6,525	8,800
Plans/Studies														
City Wide	5142155247	Alterations to the Drinking Water System		150		-	-	-		-	-	150	150	
	Sub-Total Pla	ans/Studies		150		-	-	-			-	150	150	
Plant - Sustainabi	le Asset Mat (SA	LM)												
4	5141567575	High Lift Pumping Station (HLPS) Improvements - Phase 2 (CASH FLOWED)		2,470		-	-	-		-	-	2,470	370	2,100
City Wide	5142066310	WTP Pre-Treatment Isolation Valves		1,650		-	-	-			-	1,650	1,650	-
4	5142166110	Water Treatment Plant - Process Upgrades Phase 2 (CASH FLOWED)		1,378		-	671	-			-	707	707	-
	Sub-Total Pla	ant - Sustainable Asset Mgt (SAM)		5,498			671	-	i			4,827	2,727	2,100
Plant - Water Qua	ality Initiatives	(WOI)												
City Wide	5142169075	City Environmental Lab Improvements Program		275		-	-	-		- 275	-	-	-	
•		ant - Water Quality Initiatives (WQI)		275			-	-		- 275	-	-	-	
Dummina Station		The state of the s												
Pumping Station 10	5142167840	PD9 & PD10 East Stoney Creek Booster Pumping Station		720			_	_			-	720	720	
		imping Station		720			-	_			-	720	720	
Dababilit-ti		r 9												
Rehabilitation Pro City Wide	oject 5142157626	Critical Watermain Inspection Program		600			_	_			_	600	600	
City Wide	5142157627	Pipeline 38/28 Trunkmain Inspection		500			_	_			_	500	500	
1, 2	5142160073	Structural Watermain Lining - Charlton Ave 750mm		150			-	_			-	150	150	
City Wide	5142160073	Watermain CIPP Urgent and Sensitive Crossings		800		-	_	_			-	800	800	
City Wide	51421607750	Unscheduled Valve, Hydrant, Watermain & Misc Water Replace Program		3,000			-	-			-	3,000	3,000	-
City Wide	5142161502	Water Meter - Installation/Replacement/Repair - General Maintenance		3,400		-	-	640		- 550	-	2,210	2,210	
,		habilitation Project		8,450		-	-	640		- 550	-	7,260	7,260	
D/ : 5 :				•								•	•	
Replacement Proje City Wide	fect 5142160080	Valve Replacement Program		1,200			_	_			_	1,200	1,200	
7	5142160060	Edwina - Lawson to Berko & Berko - Edwina to Lawfield		330			_	_			-	330	330	
3	5142161301	Robert - Victoria to Emerald		430		- -	-	-		_	-	430	430	
3	2142101304	NODELL - VICTORIA TO ETHERAID		430		-	-	-		-	-	430	+30	

#### City of Hamilton Water System 2021 Capital Budget Project List (000's)

				(	10 S)								Financing	Sources
City Ward	Project Number	Project Description	DC Debt	Gross Costs	Grants And Subsidies	Other External Revenue	Dev Charges (Inc Debt)	Reserves	WIP Reserves	WIP Other / Other Internal	WIP Debt	Net Cost	From Operating	Debt
3	5142161305	Fraser - Edinburgh to Campbell		160	-			-			-	160	160	
3	5142161306	Afton - Cedar to Balsam		160	-			-		-	-	160	160	
2	5142161307	Mulberry - Bay to James		990	-			-		- 70	-	920	920	
City Wide	5142171074	Contingency for Unscheduled Works Program		180	-			-			-	180	180	
	Sub-Total Rep	placement Project		3,450	-			-		- 70	-	3,380	3,380	
Restorations														
City Wide	5142111101	Road Cut Restoration Program		5,400	-			-		2,110	-	3,290	3,290	
	Sub-Total Res	storations		5,400	-			-		- 2,110	-	3,290	3,290	
Technical Service	s Projects													
City Wide	4031957944	PW Asset Management (PW-AM) System Implementation - CASH FLOW		1,420	-			-			-	1,420	1,420	
City Wide	4032055588	O.Reg. 588/17 Compliance - Asset Management Plan Development		50	-			-			-	50	50	
City Wide	4032155522	State of the Infrastructure - Asset Management		50	-			-			-	50	50	
City Wide	5141555555	Ctiy Wide Groundwater Model		450	-	-	-	-			-	450	450	
City Wide	5142149555	QA-QC Service Contract Program		150	-			-			-	150	150	
City Wide	5142155022	Engineering Consultant Watermain Projects		300	-	-	-	-			-	300	300	
4, 5	5142155122	Woodward-Greenhill Transmission Main Inspection		800	-	-	-	-			-	800	800	
City Wide	5142155556	Mapping Update Program		40	-			-		-	-	40	40	
City Wide	5142157545	Water - Computer Model		170	-			-		-	-	170	170	
City Wide	5142160577	Metallic Watermain Condition Assessment Program		630	-			-		-	-	630	630	
	Sub-Total Ted	chnical Services Projects		4,060	-						-	4,060	4,060	
Upgrade Projects														
2	5142161302	Jackson - Catherine to Walnut		210	-			-			-	210	210	
City Wide	5142162073	Field Data Systems Program		110	-			-			-	110	110	
City Wide	5142162078	Substandard Water Service Replacement Program		2,750	-			-		-	-	2,750	2,750	
	Sub-Total Up	grade Projects		3,070	-			-			-	3,070	3,070	
Vehicles-New														
City Wide	5142151110	Fleet Additions		1,760	-			-		- 600	-	1,160	1,160	
	Sub-Total Vei	hicles-New		1,760	-			-		- 600	-	1,160	1,160	
Water Quality Ini	itiatives (WOI)													
City Wide	5162168777	Chedoke Creek Water Quality Improvements		1,050	-			-			-	1,050	1,050	
-	Sub-Total Wo	ater Quality Initiatives (WQI)		1,050	-			-			-	1,050	1,050	
Watermain Lining														
City Wide	5142160072	Watermain Structural Lining		7,700	-	-				2,770	-	4,930	30	4,90
-	Sub-Total Wo	ntermain Lining		7,700	-			-		- 2,770	-	4,930	30	4,90
Total All Projects		<del>-</del>		103,408	-	1,681	24,914	640		- 6,375	-	69,798	50,498	19,30

\*DC Debt

#### City of Hamilton Wastewater System 2021 Capital Budget Project List (000's)

													Financing	Sources
City Ward	Project Number	Project Description	DC Debt	Gross Costs	Grants And Subsidies	Other External Revenue	Dev Charges (Inc Debt)	Reserves	WIP Reserves	WIP Other / Other Internal	WIP Debt	Net Cost	From Operating	Debt
Annual Projects														
City Wide	4032114405	Contaminated Soil & Rock Disposal Program		250	-	-	-	-			-	250	250	
City Wide	4032158001	Consultation and Accommodation		30	-	-	-	-			-	30	30	
City Wide	5162101099	Engineering Services Staffing Costs - Wastewater		4,700	-	-	-	-			-	4,700	4,700	
City Wide	5162160711	PW Capital Water Consumption Program	_	250	-	=	-	-			-	250	250	
	Sub-Total Ani	nual Projects		5,230	-	-	-	-			-	5,230	5,230	
Building - New C	Construction													
City Wide	5161667421	New Haulage Receiving Station		250	-	-	-	-			-	250	250	
	Sub-Total Bui	ilding - New Construction		250	-	-	-	-			-	250	250	
Computer Softwo	are Purchases													
City Wide	5162157545	Wastewater Computer Model Update & Maintenance		150	-	-	-	145			-	5	5	
	Sub-Total Co	mputer Software Purchases	_	150	-	-	-	145			-	5	5	
Coordinated - Ne	etwork Extension	Proiects												
6, 11		RHBP - Nebo - Rymal to Twenty	*	750	-	-	750	-		-	-	-	-	
	Sub-Total Co	ordinated - Network Extension Projects	-	750	-	-	750	-			-	-	-	
Coordinated - Re	placement Projec													
2	5161971945	Sheaffe / Park / Mulberry (Central Neighbourhood (North))		70	-	-	-	_			-	70	70	
10	5162171025	Dewitt - Highway 8 to Barton		660	-	-	-				-	660	660	
13	5162171311	Highway 8 - Bond to Woodleys Lane		1,650	-	-	-	_			-	1,650	1,650	
		ordinated - Replacement Projects	-	2,380	-	-	-				_	2,380	2,380	
Coordinated Pro														
City Wide	5161855777	Wastewater infrastructure Analysis - Growth and Economic Opportunities		350	-	200	-	-			-	150	150	
	Sub-Total Co	ordinated Projects	-	350	-	200	-	-			-	150	150	
Development Pro	niects													
7	5162180281	Rymal - 150m e/o Massina to 140m easterly		30	-	-	-	-			-	30	30	
	Sub-Total De	velopment Projects	-	30	-	-	-	-			-	30	30	
Equipment Repla														
City Wide	5161966151	SCADA Maintenance Program		150	-	-	-	_			-	150	150	
	Sub-Total Equ	ipment Replacement	-	150	-	-	-	-			-	150	150	
Maintenance Pro														
5, 6	5161968920	Fennell/Greenhill Drop Shaft		350		-	-					350	350	
4	5162068851	Pier 25 Dredging - Windermere Basin		280	-	-	-	_			_	280	280	
4	5162161142	Eastern Sanitary Interceptor (ESI) at Battlefield Creek Trunk		260	-	-	-	_			_	260	260	
		intenance Projects	-	890	-	-	-				_	890	890	
Mastar Dlan Ha														
Master Plan - Ho 5, 9	5161695747	Battlefield Trunk Sewer Twinning (WW-33) (CASH FLOWED)	*	10,500	-	-	10,500	_			_	-	_	
11	5161696452	Airport Lands Dickenson Rd Trunk Sewer (WW-27, WW-26, WW-28) (CASH FLOWED)		20,300	_	_	20,300				_	_	_	
		ster Plan - Horizontal Assets	* -	30,800		-						-	-	
Makaan da Baka - '				•			•							
Network Extension	on Projects 5162180187	Garner Road West - Raymond Road to Hwy 6 - CASH FLOW		4,000	_	-	4,000	_			_	_	_	
14				4,000			4,000		,					
		twork Extension Projects		4,000	-	-	7,000	_		•	_	-	-	
	tainable Asset Mg			0.470								0.470	0.4=0	
13	5161267273	Dundas Wastewater Outstations Upgrades		3,470	-	-	-	-			-	3,470	3,470	

Wastewater System 2021 Capital Budget Project List (000's)

City of Hamilton

										<del>, .</del>			Financing	
City Ward	Project Number	Project Description	DC Debt	Gross Costs	Grants And Subsidies	Other External Revenue	Dev Charges (Inc Debt)	Reserves	WIP Reserves	WIP Other / Other Internal	WIP Debt	Net Cost	From Operating	Debt
12, 13, 15	5161667622	FC001, DC009 & HC011 Wastewater Pumping Stations Upgrades		660	-		330	-			-	330	330	
4	5161767420	Parkdale Avenue HC001 Wastewater Pumping Station Upgrades		250	-			-			-	250	250	
5	5162067065	Eastport Drive SPS (HC017) Upgrades		2,480	-			-			-	2,480	2,480	
15	5162067275	FC001 Elgin Street Sewage Pumping Station		1,700	-		-	-		-	-	1,700	1,700	
12	5162067425	Hillside SPS (DC006) Upgrades		1,100	-			-		-	-	1,100	1,100	
13	5162167420	DC007 McMaster Sewage Pumping Station Upgrades		770	-			-			-	770	770	
City Wide	5162167752	Wastewater Outstation Inspections - Asset Management Program		200	-		-	-			-	200	200	
	Sub-Total Ou	tstations-Sustainable Asset Mgt (SAM)		10,630	-		- 330	-			-	10,300	10,300	
Plant - Sustainab	ole Asset Mgt (SA	M)												
13	5161266213	Dundas WWTP Improvements (CASH FLOWED)		1,650	-			-		-	-	1,650	1,650	
City Wide	5161966102	Woodward WWTP - Expansion (CASH FLOWED)	*	1,750	-		1,750	-			-	-	-	
City Wide	5161966511	Woodward WWTP - Digesters 3 & 5 (CASH FLOWED)		5,750	-			-		-	-	5,750	5,750	
City Wide	5162067420	Main & King CSO Rehabilitation		1,000	-			-			-	1,000	1,000	
City Wide	5162166713	Wastewater Maintenance Capital Program		1,500	-		-	-			-	1,500	1,500	
	Sub-Total Pla	nt - Sustainable Asset Mgt (SAM)		11,650	-		1,750	-			-	9,900	9,900	
Plant - Wastewat	ter Investment N	eeds (WINS)												
City Wide	5160866801	Woodward WWTP - Clean Harbour (CASH FLOWED)	*	69,363	21,892		5,480	12,220		-	-	29,771	1,071	28,70
City Wide	5160966910	Woodward WWTP - Biosolids Management Facility	*	2,770	-		527	-			-	2,243	2,243	
City Wide	5162169075	City Environmental Lab Improvements Program		410	-		-	-		- 410	-	-	-	
City Wide	5162169076	City Environmental Lab - HVAC		120	-		-	-	;	- 120	-	-	-	
	Sub-Total Pla	nt - Wastewater Investment Needs (WINS)		72,663	21,892		6,007	12,220		- 530	-	32,014	3,314	28,70
Rehabilitation Pr	roject													
8	5162061006	Inverness Ave E - Combined Major Trunk Rehabilitation		770	-		-	-			-	770	770	
City Wide	5162160302	Emergency Repairs - Cross Connections Program		500	-		-	-		- 500	-	-	-	
City Wide	5162160390	Wastewater System Lining Program		4,050	-			-		- 960	-	3,090	3,090	
11	5162160391	AEGD Upper James Force Main Downstream Lining		3,500	-			-		-	-	3,500	3,500	
City Wide	5162160522	Sewer Lateral Management Program (WWC)		4,250	-		-	-		-	-	4,250	4,250	
City Wide	5162160533	Trenchless Manhole Rehabilitation		70	-		-	-		-	-	70		
City Wide	5162160574	Capital Programming Sewer Inspection & Assessment		500	-			-		-	-	500	500	
City Wide	5162160575	Mainline Sewer Condition Assessment Program		1,140	-			-		-	-	1,140	1,140	
City Wide	5162160576	Sewer Lateral Condition Assessment Program		540	-			-			-	540	540	
City Wide	5162160577	Mainline Sewer Condition Assessment for Compliance & Regulations		100	-		-	-			-	100	100	
	Sub-Total Rel	habilitation Project		15,420	-			-		- 1,460	-	13,960	13,960	
Repairs														
City Wide	5162160820	Open Cut Repairs for CIPP Program		500	-	•	-	-			-	500	500	
	Sub-Total Rep	pairs		500	-			-			-	500	500	
Replacement Pro	ject													
City Wide	5162161444	Sewer Lateral Replace/Rehab Program		3,600	-		-	-		-	-	3,600	3,600	
City Wide	5162161740	Unscheduled Manhole and Sewermain Replacement Program		610	-		-	-		- 110	-	500	500	
City Wide	5162171015	Sewer Lateral Replacement for Co-ordinated Projects		270	-		-	-		-	-	270	270	
	Sub-Total Rep	placement Project		4,480	-		-	-		- 110	-	4,370	4,370	
Restorations														
City Wide	5162111101	Road Cut Restoration Program		1,800	-	<u> </u>		-			-	1,800	1,800	
	Sub-Total Res	storations		1,800	-			-			-	1,800	1,800	

#### City of Hamilton Wastewater System 2021 Capital Budget Project List (000's)

													Financing	Sources
City Ward	Project	Project Description	DC	Gross	Grants	Other	Dev	Reserves	WIP	WIP Other /	WIP	Net	From	Debt
	Number		Debt	Costs	And	External	Charges		Reserves	Other Internal	Debt	Cost	Operating	
					Subsidies	Revenue	(Inc Debt)							
Technical Service	•													
City Wide	4032055588	O.Reg. 588/17 Compliance - Asset Management Plan Development		50	-		-	-	-			50		
City Wide	4032155522	State of the Infrastructure - Asset Management		50	-	•	-	-	-	-	-	50	50	•
City Wide	5162149555	QA-QC Service Contract Program		150	-			-	-	-		150	150	
City Wide	5162155022	Engineering Consultant Sewermain Projects		300	-		-	-	-	-	-	300	300	-
City Wide	5162155556	Mapping Update Program		40	-		-	-	-		-	40	40	-
City Wide	5162155878	Forcemain Condition Assessment Program		270	-			-	-	270	-	-	-	-
City Wide	5162155880	Inflow & Inflitration Studies and Flow Monitoring Program	*	450	-		226	-	-		-	224	224	-
	Sub-Total Ted	chnical Services Projects		1,310	-		226	-		- 270	-	814	814	
Upgrade Projects	ī													
6, 7	5161655351	Lawfield / Berrisfield Neighbourhoods		170	-			-	-		-	170	170	-
City Wide	5162162073	Field Data Systems Program		110	-			-	-		-	110	110	
City Wide	5162167501	Odour Control Program & Media Replacement		500	-			-	-		-	500	500	
	Sub-Total Up	grade Projects		780	-		-	-			-	780	780	
Wastewater Inve	stment Needs St	rategy (WINS)												
15	5162167275	DC014 First Street (Waterdown) SPS New Swab Launch Chamber		1,540	-			-	-		-	1,540	1,540	-
	Sub-Total Wo	astewater Investment Needs Strategy (WINS)		1,540	-			-			-	1,540	1,540	
Water Quality In	itiatives (WQI)													
City Wide	5161468422	Randle Reef Sediment Remediation (CASH FLOWED)		375	-			-	-		-	375	375	-
	Sub-Total Wo	ater Quality Initiatives (WQI)		375	-		-	-			-	375	375	
Waterfront Initia	ntives													
City Wide	5162055800	Sewer Outfall Monitoring Study		500	-	<u> </u>		-			-	500	500	
	Sub-Total Wo	aterfront Initiatives		500	-		-	-		-		500	500	
Total All Projects	5			166,628	21,892	200	43,863	12,365		- 2,370		85,938	57,238	28,700

Debt

#### City of Hamilton Storm Water Management 2021 Capital Budget Project List (000's)

													Financing	Sources
City Ward	Project Number	Project Description	DC Debt	Gross Costs	Grants And Subsidies	Other External Revenue	Dev Charges (Inc Debt)	Reserves	WIP Reserves	WIP Other / Other Internal	WIP Debt	Net Cost	From Operating	Debt
nnual Projects														
City Wide	4032114405	Contaminated Soil & Rock Disposal Program		250	-	-	-	-		-	-	250		
City Wide	4032158001	Consultation and Accommodation		30	-	-	-	-	• .	-	-	30		
City Wide	5182101099	Engineering Services Staffing Costs - Storm	_	1,100	-	-	-	-		-	-	1,100		
	Sub-Total Ani	nual Projects		1,380	-	-	-	-		-	-	1,380	1,380	
ordinated - Net	work Extension	Projects												
6, 11	5182180584	RHBP - Nebo - Rymal to Twenty	* _	2,000	-		.,			-	-	300	300	
	Sub-Total Cod	ordinated - Network Extension Projects		2,000	-	-	1,700	-			-	300	300	
ordinated - Rep	olacement Projec	rts												
4	5182170010	Barton - Parkdale to Talbot - Coordinated Road Restoration		1,700	-	-	-	-		-	-	1,700	1,700	
13	5182172011	Highway 8 - Bond to Woodleys Lane		2,150	-	-	-	-		500	-	1,650	1,650	
13	5182172205	Glenmorris / Underhill / Sleepy Hollow / Wilmar (York Heights / Hunter NBHD)		30	-	-	-	-	• .	-	-	30	30	
4	5182172210	Barton - Parkdale to Talbot		1,530	-	-		-			-	1,530	1,530	
City Wide	5182174840	Stormwater Analysis for Bridge, Culvert and Ditch Replacement Projects		500	-	-	-	-		-	-	500	500	
	Sub-Total Cod	ordinated - Replacement Projects	-	5,910	-		-	-		- 500	-	5,410	5,410	
ordinated - Upg	arade Projects													
City Wide	5182174680	Storm Sewer Network Planning - Transportation Program		660	-	-		-			-	660	660	
*	Sub-Total Cod	ordinated - Upgrade Projects	-	660	-			-	. ,		-	660	660	
velopment Proj		,												
City Wide	5182180090	Storm Water Management Program		4,000	-		4,000	-			-	-	-	
11	5182180280	Airport Road Storm Sewer - Homestead to Mountaingate		1,360	-		1,360	-			-	-	-	
7	5182180281	Rymal - 150m e/o Massina to 140m easterly		70	-			-			-	70	70	
9	5182180285	SWMP - SM20		1,125	-		1,125	-			-	-	-	
	Sub-Total De	velopment Projects	_	6,555	-		6,485	-		-	-	70	70	
aintenance Proj	ects													
City Wide	5182168651	Windermere Basin Shoreline Rehabilitation		170	-	-	-	-			-	170	170	
City Wide	5182174951	Shoreline Protection Program		1,320	-	-	-	-		1,320	-	-	-	
	Sub-Total Ma	intenance Projects	-	1,490	-		-	-		- 1,320	-	170	170	
erations & Mai	ntenance													
15	5181972940	Evans Road Culvert Twinning		500	-	-		-			-	500	500	
15	5182017040	Highway 97 - Culvert Improvement Project		580	-		-	-			-	580	580	
City Wide	5182160622	SWM Facility Maintenance Program		2,000	-		-	1,654			-	346	346	
0, 11, 12, 13, 14,	5182160722	Municipal Drain Program		700	-	473	-	-		-	-	227	227	
	Sub-Total Op	erations & Maintenance	_	3,780	-	473	-	1,654			-	1,653	1,653	
ıtstations-Susta	inable Asset Mg	t (SAM)												
City Wide	_	Stormwater Infrastructure Criticality Assessment		280	-	-	-	-		-	-	280	280	
	Sub-Total Ou	tstations-Sustainable Asset Mgt (SAM)	-	280	-	-	-	-		-	-	280	280	
grams & Contr	racts T.O M	-												
City Wide	5182117152	Right of Way Drainage Program		1,500	-	-		-			-	1,500	1,500	
City Wide	5182117458	Catch Basin Replacement/Rehabilitation Program		500	-	-	-	-			-	500	500	
•		grams & Contracts T.O.M.	_	2,000	-	-		-			-	2,000	2,000	
habilitation Pro		-												

#### City of Hamilton Storm Water Management 2021 Capital Budget Project List (000's)

													Financing	Sources
City Ward	Project	Project Description	DC	Gross	Grants	Other	Dev	Reserves	WIP	WIP Other /	WIP	Net	From	Debt
	Number		Debt	Costs	And	External	Charges		Reserves	Other Internal	Debt	Cost	Operating	
City Wide	5182160129	Residential Drainage Assistance Program		100	Subsidies	Revenue	(Inc Debt)					100	100	
•	5182160533	Trenchless Manhole Rehabilitation		70						-		70		
City Wide 14	5182180150	Chedmac - Southridge Court to 80m easterly		230				_			_	230		
14		,		890						- 110		780		
	Sub-Total Rei	habilitation Project		030	-	•		-		- 110	•	700	700	
Replacement Pro	-													
City Wide	5181767723	Pumping Stations		1,350	-			-			-	1,350		
13	5182018101	Old Guelph Rd - Culvert Replacement		350	-	•		-			-	350	350	
City Wide	5182117549	Concrete Box Culvert Rehab/Repair - T.O.M.		250	-			-		-	-	250	250	
City Wide	5182117550	Concrete Box Culvert Rehab/Repair - Engineering Services		250	-			-		-	-	250	250	
City Wide	5182161740	Unscheduled Manhole and Sewermain Replacement Program		50	-			-		-	-	50		
	Sub-Total Rep	placement Project		2,250	-						-	2,250	2,250	
SERG														
1	5181260214	SERG - Parkside and Kipling Flood Solutions		1,000	-						-	1,000	1,000	
City Wide	5182155347	Watercourse Erosion Sites Rehabilitation and Mitigation		280	-			-			-	280	280	
City Wide	5182174275	Stormwater Management Facility Improvements		1,000	-						-	1,000	1,000	
	Sub-Total SEF	RG		2,280	-			-			-	2,280	2,280	
Technical Service	es Projects													
City Wide	4032055588	O.Reg. 588/17 Compliance - Asset Management Plan Development		50	-			-		-	-	50	50	
City Wide	4032155522	State of the Infrastructure - Asset Management		50	-			-		-	-	50	50	
City Wide	5182149555	QA-QC Service Contract Program		150	-			-		-	-	150	150	
City Wide	5182155556	Mapping Update Program		40	-			-		-	-	40	40	
	Sub-Total Ted	chnical Services Projects		290	-		-	-		-	-	290	290	
Upgrade Projects														
City Wide	5182162073	Field Data Systems Program		110				-	•		-	110		
	Sub-Total Up	grade Projects		110	-			•			-	110	110	
Total All Projects	5			29,875	-	473	8,185	1,654		- 1,930	-	17,633	17,633	

\*DC Deht

## CITY OF HAMILTON 2021 Rate Program Capital Budget Summary (\$000'S)

	_						Financing	g Source
		Subsidy/		WIP / Other			Contribution	External
	Gross	Other	Development	Internal		Net	From	Borrowings
	Costs	Revenues	Charges	Sources	Reserves	Cost	Operating	(Debentures)
2021 Sustainable Asset Management Strategy (SAM)	T	1	T		1	1		П
	75 550	473	226	6 600	0.400	CE 040	CE 040	
Rehabilitation, Replacement & Upgrade Projects	75,550	_	220	6,600	2,439	65,812	65,812	-
Projects Coordinated with Roads Program or other Areas	18,100	400	-	500	-	17,200	17,200	-
S.E.R.G. Projects	2,280	-		-	-	2,280	2,280	
Treatment Plant/Outstations Projects-SAM	43,383	-	2,751	-	-	40,632	29,732	10,900
Treatment Plant/Outstations Projects-WQI	1,700	-	-	275	-	1,425	1,425	-
Watermain Lining	7,700	-	_	2,770	-	4,930	30	4,900
Sub-Total	148,713	873	2,977	10,145	2,439	132,279	116,479	15,800
Wastewater Investments Needs Strategies (WINS)								
Treatment Plant/Outstations Projects-WINS	74,203	21,892	6,007	530	12,220	33,554	4,854	28,700
Sub-Total	74,203	21,892	6,007	530	12,220	33,554	4,854	28,700
Master Plan								
Horizontal and Vertical Assets	58,940	1,481	53,193	-	-	4,266	766	3,500
Waterfront Inititatives	500	-	· <u>-</u>	-	-	500	500	· -
Sub-Total	59,440	1,481	53,193	-	-	4,766	1,266	3,500
Development Program								
Development\Extension Projects	17,555	_	14,785	_	_ 1	2,770	2,770	_ [
Sub-Total	,	-	14,785		- +		2,770	-
Sup-10tal	17,555	-	14,765	-	-	2,770	2,770	-
Total	299,911	24,246	76,962	10,675	14,659	173,369	125,369	48,000

## CITY OF HAMILTON 2021 - 2030 WATER / WASTEWATER / STORM CAPITAL FINANCING PLAN (\$'s)

	2020	<u>2021</u>	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2021 - 2030
NET EXPENDITURES FORECAST												
WASTEWATER (NET)	69,573,000	85,937,984	74,224,000	43,873,000	43,978,000	55,168,000	58,610,675	65,134,599	79,927,190	60,178,000	74,363,000	641,394,448
WATER (NET)	50,296,000	69,798,000	79,433,865	67,894,186	76,535,842	89,237,230	81,595,000	69,138,571	71,923,359	105,623,716	112,677,376	823,857,145
STORM (NET)	15,685,000	17,632,679	19,084,321	23,175,000	29,390,000	18,505,000	22,235,000	42,605,000	37,105,000	36,515,000	30,805,000	277,052,000
TOTAL NET EXPENDITURES	135,554,000	173,368,663	172,742,186	134,942,186	149,903,842	162,910,230	162,440,675	176,878,170	188,955,549	202,316,716	217,845,376	1,742,303,593
SOURCE OF FINANCING												
DEBT ISSUES	16,900,000	48,000,000	44,700,000	1,200,000	8,400,000	12,600,000	1,500,000	2,400,000	0	0	0	118,800,000
TRANSFER FROM OPERATING	118,654,000	125,368,663	128,042,186	133,742,186	141,503,842	150,310,230	160,940,675	174,478,170	188,955,549	202,316,716	217,845,376	1,623,503,593
TOTAL CAPITAL FINANCING	135,554,000	173,368,663	172,742,186	134,942,186	149,903,842	162,910,230	162,440,675	176,878,170	188,955,549	202,316,716	217,845,376	1,742,303,593
OPERATING BUDGET IMPACT												
TRANSFER FROM OPERATING	118,654,000	125,368,663	128,042,186	133,742,186	141,503,842	150,310,230	160,940,675	174,478,170	188,955,549	202,316,716	217,845,376	1,623,503,593
DC EXEMPTION FUNDING	8,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000	9,000,000	90,000,000
DEBT CHARGES (NET)	19,682,108	20,534,486	26,956,235	31,417,224	34,350,771	36,299,423	36,508,591	34,888,656	32,417,324	32,142,594	29,390,165	314,905,469
TOTAL CAPITAL FINANCING COSTS	146,336,108	154,903,149	163,998,421	174,159,410	184,854,613	195,609,653	206,449,266	218,366,826	230,372,873	243,459,310	256,235,541	2,028,409,062

CITY OF HAMILTON 2021-2030 CAPITAL BUDGET FINANCING PLAN RATE SUPPORTED PROGRAM - AFFORDABLE / UNAFFORDABLE \$(000's)								
Year	Projected Gross Cost	Affordable Gross Cost	Subsidy/ Other Revenue	Dev't Charges	Reserves/ & Internal Sources	FINANCING Contribution From Operating	SOURCES  External Debt	
	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	
2021	299,911	299,911	24,246	76,962	25,334	125,369	48,000	
2022	281,549	264,651	75	66,548	25,286	128,042	44,700	
2023	231,112	224,706	75	68,449	21,240	133,742	1,200	
2024-2030	1,589,840	1,738,384	555	462,098	14,480	1,236,351	24,900	
TOTAL	2,402,412	2,527,652	24,951	674,057	86,340	1,623,504	118,800	

## HAMILTON WATER 2021 RATE SUPPORTED STAFFING SUMMARY

		2020	2021	2021 PROGRAM	2021	2021 REQUESTED vs.
Deptid	Deptid Description	RESTATED	MAINTENANCE		REQUESTED	
510200	Director Hamilton Water	3.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	0.00
510203	WWW Operations Director	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00
510205	Woodward Upgrades	11.00	11.00	1.00	12.00	1.00
510206	Inventory & Fleet Management	7.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00
510210	Customer Service & Community Outreach	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00
510215	Education & Outreach *	5.65	4.65	1.00	5.65	0.00
510220	Service Co-ordination	21.00	21.00	1.00	22.00	1.00
510230	Engineering Systems & Data Collection	9.00	9.00	0.00	9.00	0.00
510240	Compliance & Regulations	8.00	8.00	0.00	8.00	0.00
510250	Laboratory Services	25.00	25.00	0.00	25.00	0.00
510260	Environmental Monitoring & Enforcement	15.00	15.00	1.00	16.00	1.00
510270	Water Distribution (WD) & Wastewtr Collection (WWC)	5.00	5.00	0.00	5.00	0.00
510275	WD & WWC Contracts	26.00	26.00	2.00	28.00	2.00
510280	WD & WWC Construction	20.00	20.00	0.00	20.00	0.00
510285	WD & WWC Maintenance	19.00	19.00	4.00	23.00	4.00
510290	WD & WWC Operations	22.00	22.00	0.00	22.00	0.00
510300	WWW Planning & Capital Director	2.00	2.00	0.00	2.00	0.00
510305	Sustainable Initiatives	7.00	7.00	0.00	7.00	0.00
510310	Plant Operations & Maintenance	6.00	6.00	0.00	6.00	0.00
510320	Plant Maintenance & Technical Services	1.00	1.00	0.00	1.00	0.00
510321	Plant Maintenance	25.00	25.00	3.00	28.00	3.00
510322	Plant SCADA	6.00	6.00	0.00	6.00	0.00
510323	Plant Technical Services	3.00	3.00	0.00	3.00	0.00
510330	Plant Operations	44.00	44.00	0.00	44.00	0.00
510340	Capital Delivery	12.00	12.00	1.00	13.00	1.00
510350	Infrastructure Planning and System Design	19.00	19.00	0.00	19.00	0.00
	Total RATE Supported Staff	325.65	324.65	14.00	338.65	13.00

Note: \* Council approved a 5-year temporary Project Manager - Outreach & Education position in 2016 (Report - PW16054).

#### 2021 Rate Budget - Business Case Summary

DEPARTMENT: Public Works

			2021 IMPACT			A	ANNUALIZED IMPACT	
DIVISION	SERVICE / PROGRAM	DESCRIPTION OF PROGRAM ENHANCEMENT	\$ GROSS \$ NET		\$ NET	FTE Impact		\$ NET
Hamilton Water	Compliance & Regulations	Environmental Enforcement Officer to support the existing Emergency Spills Response Program.	\$ 103,500	\$	13,500	1.00	\$	13,500
Hamilton Water	Capital Delivery	Capital Delivery Technologist to support increased project demands and continuous improvement initiatives.	\$ 103,500	\$	103,500	1.00	\$	103,500
Hamilton Water	Customer Service & Community Outreach	Project Manager - Outreach & Education was approved on a temporary basis in 2016 (Report - PW16054) and requested to report back in 2021  NOTE: Council approved a 5 year temporary assignment and requested we report back in 2021	\$ 117,000	\$	-	1.00	\$	-
Hamilton Water	Customer Service & Community Outreach	Backwater Prevention Officer to support the increased volume of program work.	\$ 139,000	\$	84,000	1.00	\$	84,000
Hamilton Water	Project Management Office	Capital Budget Coordinator to support increased capital project charters and project updates.	\$ 99,000	\$	99,000	1.00	\$	99,000
Hamilton Water	Wastewater Collection	Two Stormwater Technologists to develop and manage the stormwater and drainage program.	\$ 307,000	\$	(330,000)	2.00	\$	(330,000)
Hamilton Water	Water Distribution	Water Distribution Construction Crew (WD Operator, Backhoe OIT, AZ Truck Driver OIT, and Labourer OIT) to support the Substandard Water Service Replacement Program	\$ 1,160,000	\$	-	4.00	\$	-
Hamilton Water	Woodward Upgrades Operational Support	Electrician, Instrumentation Technician, and Millwright required to support Woodward Upgrades Project	\$ 333,000	\$	333,000	3.00	\$	333,000
Divn Subtotal			\$ 2,362,000	\$	303,000	14.00	\$	303,000
DEPARTMENT TO	TAL		\$ 2,362,000	\$	303,000	14.00	\$	303,000

TOTAL NET Impact = net annualized (full year) amount - please state under "Description of Program Enhancement" if other revenue sources will be used to offset the cost of the program change (therefore identify gross cost); also please identify if 2021 calendar (part-year) impact is significantly different due to delayed implementation.



# City of Hamilton SPECIAL SOLE VOTING MEMBER OF THE HAMILTON FARMERS' MARKET MINUTES 20-002

2:30 p.m.

Monday, November 23, 2020

Due to COVID-19 and the Closure of City Hall, this meeting was held virtually.

Present: Mayor F. Eisenberger, Deputy Mayor A. VanderBeek (Chair)

Councillors M. Wilson, J. Farr, N. Nann, S. Merulla, C. Collins, T. Jackson, E. Pauls, J.P. Danko, M. Pearson, B. Johnson,

L. Ferguson, J. Partridge

**Absent:** Councillors T. Whitehead and Clark – Personal

## THE SOLE VOTING MEMBER OF THE HAMILTON FARMERS' MARKET PRESENTS REPORT 20-002, AND RESPECTFULLY RECOMMENDS:

1. Correspondence from Eric Miller, Chair of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Board of Directors with the Hamilton Farmers' Market Governance Review Report, prepared by 2WA Consulting, June 24, 2020 (Item 9.1)

That the correspondence from Eric Miller, Chair of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Board of Directors with the Hamilton Farmers' Market Governance Review Report, prepared by 2WA Consulting, June 24, 2020, be received.

2. Hamilton Farmers' Market Governance Report (CM20010) (City Wide) (Item 9.2)

That staff be directed to prepare a report that provides a preferred governance and operating model for the Hamilton Farmers' Market Corporation, which prioritizes value-for-dollar invested by the Shareholder, role clarity, enhanced governance and the avoidance of any potential conflicts of interest, with the support of an external consultant, at an approximate cost of \$50,000 - \$75,000, to be funded by the Tax Stabilization Reserve, and report back to the Sole Voting Member of the Hamilton Farmers' Market.

## 3. Fee Reductions for Hamilton Farmers' Market Vendors During COVID-19 Pandemic (FCS20077) (City Wide) (Item 13.1)

- (a) That the City of Hamilton, as the Sole Voting Member of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Corporation, direct the Board of Directors of the Hamilton Farmers' Market to adopt the City of Hamilton COVID-19 Occupant Support Framework, as outlined in Confidential Appendix "A" to Report FCS20077;
- (b) That the City of Hamilton, as the Sole Voting Member of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Corporation, direct the Board of Directors of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Corporation to report back on the full financial and operational impact of COVID-19, as part of the 2020 variance reporting and 2021 Budget; and,
- (c) That Report FCS20077, respecting the Fee Reductions for Hamilton Farmers' Market Vendors During COVID-19 Pandemic, and its appendix remain confidential.

#### FOR INFORMATION:

#### (a) APPROVAL OF AGENDA (Item 2)

The Committee Clerk advised of the following change to the agenda:

#### 9. ADDED DISCUSSION ITEM

9.2 Hamilton Farmers' Market Governance Report (CM20010) (City Wide)

The agenda for the November 23, 2020 special meeting of the Sole Voting Member of the Hamilton Farmers' Market was approved, as amended.

#### (b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 2)

There were no declarations of interest.

#### (c) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF THE PREVIOUS MEETINGS (Item 3)

(i) September 14, 2020 (Item 3.1)

The Minutes of the September 14, 2020 meeting of the Sole Voting Member of the Hamilton Farmers' Market were approved, as presented.

#### (d) DELEGATIONS (Item 5)

(i) Jennifer Hompoth, Friends of the Hamilton Farmers' Market, respecting Item 9.1, Correspondence from Eric Miller, Chair of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Board of Directors with the Hamilton Farmers' Market Governance Review Report, prepared by 2WA Consulting, June 24, 2020; and, to present findings of a report conducted by the Organization on Small Businesses in the Hamilton Farmers' Market (Item 5.1)

The delegation request, submitted by Jennifer Hompoth, Friends of the Hamilton Farmers' Market, respecting Item 9.1, Correspondence from Eric Miller, Chair of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Board of Directors with the Hamilton Farmers' Market Governance Review Report, prepared by 2WA Consulting, June 24, 2020; and, to present findings of a report conducted by the Organization on Small Businesses in the Hamilton Farmers' Market, was approved to appear before the Sole Voting Member of the Hamilton Farmers' Market on November 23, 2020.

#### (e) COSENT ITEMS (Item 6)

(i) Resignation Letter from Seth Waterman, Member of the Hamilton Farmer's Market Board of Directors (Item 6.1)

The letter of resignation, submitted by Seth Waterman, Member of the Hamilton Farmer's Market Board of Directors, was received.

#### (f) DELEGATIONS (Item 7)

(i) Jennifer Hompoth, Friends of the Hamilton Farmers' Market, respecting Item 9.1, Correspondence from Eric Miller, Chair of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Board of Directors with the Hamilton Farmers' Market Governance Review Report, prepared by 2WA Consulting, June 24, 2020; and, to present findings of a report conducted by the Organization on Small Businesses in the Hamilton Farmers' Market (Item 7.1)

Jennifer Hompoth, Friends of the Hamilton Farmers' Market, addressed Committee respecting Item 9.1, Correspondence from Eric Miller, Chair of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Board of Directors with the Hamilton Farmers' Market Governance Review Report, prepared by 2WA Consulting, June 24, 2020; and, to present findings of a report conducted by the Organization on Small Businesses in the Hamilton Farmers' Market.

The presentation provided by Jennifer Hompoth, Friends of the Hamilton Farmers' Market, respecting Item 9.1, Correspondence from Eric Miller, Chair of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Board of Directors with the Hamilton Farmers' Market Governance Review Report, prepared by 2WA Consulting, June 24, 2020; and, to present findings of a report conducted by the Organization on Small Businesses in the Hamilton Farmers' Market, was received.

#### (g) PRIVATE & CONFIDENTIAL (Item 13)

Committee moved into Closed Session respecting Item 13.1, pursuant to Section 8.1, Sub-section (k) of the City's Procedural By-law 18-270, as amended, and Section 239(2), Sub-section (k) of the *Ontario Municipal Act*, 2001, as amended, as the subject matter pertains to a position, plan, procedure, criteria or instruction to be applied to any negotiations carried on or to be carried on by or on behalf of the municipality or local board.

#### (h) ADJOURNMENT (Item 14)

There being no further business, the Sole Voting Member of the Hamilton Farmers' Market adjourned at 4:38 p.m.

Deputy Mayor Arlene VanderBeek
Chair, General Issues Committee

Stephanie Paparella
Legislative Coordinator,
Office of the City Clerk

## CITY OF HAMILTON MOTION

Council: November 25, 2020

MOVED BY COUNCIL	LLOR M. WILSON
SECONDED BY COU	NCILLOR
Amendment to sub-sect	tions (c) and (d) to Item 7 of the Audit, Finance and
<b>Administration Committ</b>	tee Report 20-001, respecting Use of External Services for
<b>Tax Assessment &amp; Appe</b>	eals (FCS20005) (City Wide)

WHEREAS, a staff report identifying the level of City involvement in Assessment Appeals will be presented to the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee on December 10, 2020;

WHEREAS, due to workloads and other priorities as a result of COVID-19, the modified Request for Proposals for consultancy firms specializing in Tax Assessment and Appeals will not be finalized until late 2020/early 2021; and

WHEREAS, no consulting costs were incurred in 2020.

#### THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

That sub-sections (c) and (d) to Item 7 of the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee Report 20-001, respecting Use of External Services for Tax Assessment & Appeals (FCS20005) (City Wide), which was approved by Council on January 22, 2020, be *amended* to amend the dates, as follows:

- (c) That staff report back on actual consulting costs incurred in 2020 2021, to determine if a sustainable funding source is required for 2021 2022 and future; and,
- (d) That the consulting costs incurred in 2020 2021 be funded from the Tax Stabilization Reserve. (Account number 110046).

Main Motion as **amended**, to read as follows:

(a) That staff be directed to proceed with a modified Request for Proposals, in adherence to By-law 17-064, Procurement Policy #5.4, for consultancy firms specializing in Tax Assessment and Appeals;

- (b) That the General Manager of Corporate Services or designate be authorized to negotiate and execute all agreements and any ancillary documents required in a form satisfactory to the City of Hamilton (City);
- (c) That staff report back on actual consulting costs incurred in 2020 2021, to determine if a sustainable funding source is required for 2021 2022 and future; and,
- (d) That the consulting costs incurred in 2020 2021 be funded from the Tax Stabilization Reserve. (Account number 110046).

## CITY OF HAMILTON MOTION

Council: November 25, 2020

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR N. NANN	•••
SECONDED BY MAYOR / COUNCILLOR	· • • • •

Amendment to Item 22 of the General Issues Committee Report 14-009, respecting Confidential Report CES14022, Acquisition of Property in Ward 3, which was approved by Council on April 23, 2014

22. Acquisition of Property in Ward 3 (CES14022) (Ward 3) (Item 12.3)

WHEREAS, the final real estate transactions for the acquisition of the lands known municipally known as has 39, 43, 45 and 67 - 81 Lloyd Street, Hamilton are complete; therefore, this resolution may be considered in public; and,

WHEREAS, this amendment is required to correct an administrative oversight;

THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

That Item 22 of the General Issues Committee Report 14-009, respecting Confidential Report CES14022 the Acquisition of Property in Ward 3, be **amended** as follows:

- (a) That sub-section (b)(iii) to Report CES14022, respecting the Acquisition of Property in Ward 3, be amended by deleting the dollar amount of \$3,200,000 and replacing it with the dollar amount of "**\$500,000**", to read as follows:
  - (iii) Ward 3 Capital Reserve

\$3,200,000 **\$500,000** 

- (b) That a new sub-section (vi) be added to sub-section (b) of Report CES14022, respecting the Acquisition of Property in Ward 3, to read as follows:
  - (vi) Parkland Acquisition Reserve (108050) \$2,700,000

Sub-section (b), as **amended**, to read as follows:

(b) That the revised budget for acquisition, demolition and environmental remediation for the subject properties in the amount of \$12,418,475, be approved as follows:

(i) Capital WIP (Brian Timmis relocation) \$2,000,000

(ii) Development Charges \$ 400,000

(iii)	Ward 3 Capital Reserve	\$ 500,000
(iv)	Parkland Dedication Fee Reserve	\$2,600,000
(v)	Area Rated Portion (Wards 1-8)	\$4,218,475
(vi)	Parkland Acquisition Reserve (108050)	\$2,700,000

### CITY OF HAMILTON

#### **NOTICE OF MOTION**

Council: November 25, 2020

#### MOVED BY COUNCILLOR L. FERGUSON.....

#### Bill 229 - Proposed Changes to the Conservation Authorities Act

WHEREAS, the funding for the Hamilton Conservation Authority (HCA) budget is as follows, with the principal funders of the HCA being the City of Hamilton and self generated revenues with the Province contributing 2%:

- Self generated 58%
- City of Hamilton 37%
- Hamilton Conservation Foundation 2%
- Township of Puslinch 1%
- Province 2%

WHEREAS, Bill 229, will remove the HCA's authority to issue stop work orders when catastrophic damage is occurring in a protected area;

WHEREAS, Bill 229, provides the Minister with the authority to make decisions respecting the watershed, without the HCA's watershed data and expertise;

WHEREAS, Bill 229, proposes to permit applicants to appeal a decision to the Local Planning Appeal Tribunal (LPAT), which are currently appealed to the HCA;

WHEREAS, Bill 229, removes citizen appointees who currently provide valuable expertise that Councillors may not have (legal, communications, and environmental expertise etc.) from HCA's current membership (5 (five) Hamilton Councillors; 5 (five) citizens appointed by Hamilton City Council and 1 (one) member appointed by the Township of Puslinch);

WHEREAS, Bill 229, has Municipal Chairs and Vice Chairs rotating to a different municipality every two years, which will result in the appointee from the Township of Puslinch holding an unelected position on the Board as Chair or Vice Chair in perpetuity, while only contributing 1% of the revenue; and

WHEREAS, Bill 229, will remove the HCA's ability to expropriate lands, which is an important last resort tool the HCA has for land acquisition in our watershed;

#### THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

(a) That the Province of Ontario withdraw Schedule 6 of the *Budget Measures Act* (Bill 229);

- (b) That the Province continue to work with Conservation Authorities to find workable solutions to reduce red tape and create conditions for growth;
- (c) That the Province respect the current Conservation Authority / Municipal relationships;
- (d) That the Province embrace their long-standing partnership with the Conservation Authorities and provide them with the tools and financial resources they need to effectively implement their watershed management role; and
- (e) That this resolution be forwarded to the Honourable Doug Ford, Premier of Ontario; Honourable Rod Phillips, Minister of Finance; Honourable Jeff Yurek, Minister of Environment; Honourable John Yakabuski, Minister of Natural Resources and Forestry; Honourable Steve Clark, Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing; local MPP's; Ted Arnott, MPP Puslinch; the local Media; Conservation Ontario.

Authority: Item 17, Public Works Committee

Report 07-011

(TOE02005(b)/FCS02026(b)/PED07248)

CM: September 26, 2007

Ward: 9

**Bill No. 240** 

#### CITY OF HAMILTON BY-LAW NO. 20-

To Repeal and Replace By-law No. 19-112 Imposing a Sanitary Sewer Charge Upon Owners of Land Abutting Upper Mount Albion Road from Centreline of Times Square Boulevard to South Limit of Lot 4, in the City of Hamilton

**WHEREAS** By-law No 19-112 incorrectly set out the costs of the Sanitary Sewer Charge upon owners of land abutting Upper Mount Albion Road from Times Square Boulevard to Columbus Gate;

**WHEREAS** the Council of the City of Hamilton authorized recovering a portion of costs associated with the construction of Sewer Works by approving, on September 26, 2007, Item 17 of Public Works Committee Report 07-011(Report TOE02005b/FCS02026b/PED07248);

**WHEREAS** a developer, 2324780 Ontario Limited, in satisfaction of terms and conditions of subdivision agreement "Central Park", Plan 62M-1250 did construct certain Sewer Works, in the City of Hamilton, as more particularly described in Schedule "A" attached to this By-law; and

**WHEREAS** to the extent that the construction of the said Sewer Works benefits the property owners described in Schedule "A", such works were services or activities that were provided or done on behalf of the City of Hamilton with the express intention that section 391(1)(a) of the Municipal Act, S.O. 2001, c. 25 as amended would apply thereto;

**WHEREAS** the cost of the Sewer Works, including storm and sanitary drain connections, that relate to the benefitting property owners described in Schedule "A" is \$569,055.48, and this amount is to be recovered from all benefitting property owners as set forth in this By-law; and,

**WHEREAS** the said Sewer Charges are imposed pursuant to Part XII of the Municipal Act, S.O. 2001, c. 25 as amended and pursuant to section 14 of The City of Hamilton Act, 1999, S.O., 1999, c. 14, Schedule C as amended:

**NOW THEREFORE** the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

- 1. Sewer Charges are hereby imposed upon the owners or occupants of land who benefit from the construction of the Sewer Works (the "Assessed Owners").
- 2. The Assessed Owner's lands and the respective Sewer Charges are more particularly described in Schedule "A", which Schedule is attached to and forms part of this By-law.

Page 2 of 4

- 3. The Sewer Charges have been established using the approved method for cost apportionment per City of Hamilton Report TOE02005b/FCS02026b/PED07248 (Funding Methodologies for Municipal Infrastructure Extensions Review and Update), establishing a fee of \$14,983.62 based on an apportioned share of the total project cost attributable to each Assessed Owner of an existing residential lot, in addition to the actual cost of \$2,501.82 for each 150mm sanitary drain connection and the actual cost of \$2,501.82 for each 150mm storm drain connection. 26 Upper Mount Albion Road Sewer charge of \$64,370.34 is based on the frontage of their property as a percent of the total frontage of the properties abutting the project. The Sewer Charges shall be indexed in accordance with the percentage change in the composite Canadata Construction Cost Index (Ontario Series) commencing from November 1, 2020, to the date of permit issuance.
- 4. The amount resulting from the application of the Sewer Charges (the "Indebtedness"), shall be collected at the time of permit issuance for any connection to the said Sewer Works, in addition to any applicable permit fee.
- 5. The Assessed Owners have the option of paying the Indebtedness by way of annual payments over a period of 15 years from the date of permit issuance for connection by entry on the tax roll, to be collected in the same manner as municipal taxes. The interest rate utilized for the 15 year payment shall be the City of Hamilton's then-current 15 year borrowing rate (2020 rate 3.00%).
- 6. Notwithstanding Section 5, an Assessed Owner of a parcel described in Schedule "A" may pay the commuted value of the Indebtedness without penalty, but including interest, at any time.
- 7. Should as Assessed Owner sever or subdivide their parcel of land, the Sewer Charges owed to the City of Hamilton, whether the parcel of land is connected or not, and whether or not the Assessed Owner has previously exercised the repayment option set out in Section 5 above, shall be paid forthwith to the City of Hamilton in a lump sum as a condition of the severance or subdivision approval.
- 8. Unpaid Sewer Charges constitute a debt to the City and may be added to the tax roll and collected in the same manner as municipal taxes.
- 9. If any provision or requirement of this By-law, or the application of it to any person, shall to any extent be held to be invalid or unenforceable by any court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the By-law, or the application of it to all persons other than those in respect of whom it is held to be invalid or unenforceable, shall not be affected, and each provision and requirement of this By-law shall be separately valid and enforceable.
- 10. By-law No. 19-112 is repealed.

To Repeal and Replace By-law No. 19-112 Imposing a Sanitary Sewer Charge Upon Owners of Land
Abutting Upper Mount Albion Road from Centreline of Times Square Boulevard to South Limit of Lot 4, ir
the City of Hamilton

Page 3 of 4

		rage 3 01
11. This By-law comes into force on the day	following the date of its passing.	
<b>PASSED</b> this 25 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2020.		
F. Fissultanus	A 11-11-11-1	
F. Eisenberger	A. Holland	
Mayor	City Clerk	

Page 4 of 4

Schedule "A" to By-law No. 20-238

#### **Upper Mount Albion Road**

Sanitary Sewer including Storm and Sanitary Drain Connections on Upper Mount Albion Road from Centreline of Times Square Boulevard to South Limit of Lot 4

#### **Sewer Charges**

Property Address	Sanitary Sewer Charge	Sanitary Drain Connection Charge	Storm Drain Connection Charge	Total Charge
25 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
26 Upper Mount Albion Rd., Existing Residence & Commercial Lands	\$64,370.34	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$69,373.98
29 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
30 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
31 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
32 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
33 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
36 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
37 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
38 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
40 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
41 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
45 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
46 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
50 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
53 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
54 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
55 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
57 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
58 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
60 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
61 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
66 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
70 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
74 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
86 Upper Mount Albion Rd.	\$14,983.62	\$2,501.82	\$2,501.82	\$19,987.26
TOTAL	\$438,960.84	\$65,047.32	\$65,047.32	\$569,055.48

**NOTE:** The City of Hamilton is to pay the portion of the sewer cost fronting the park block abutting Upper Mount Albion Road of \$86,285.38.

Authority: Item 3, General Issues Committee

Report 12-031(a) (FCS12076/PW12085) CM: December 12, 2012

Ward: 10

**Bill No. 241** 

#### CITY OF HAMILTON BY-LAW NO. 20-

To Impose a Sanitary Sewer Charge and Watermain Charge Upon Owners of Lands Abutting Arvin Avenue from McNeilly Road to Approximately 330 metres Westerly, in the City of Hamilton

**WHEREAS** the Council of the City of Hamilton authorized the construction of a sanitary sewer and watermain on Arvin Avenue, from McNeilly Road to approximately 330 metres westerly, in the City of Hamilton, by approving Item 5.1 of the General Issues Committee Report FCS12076/PW12085, (the "Sewer and Watermain Works");

WHEREAS pursuant to the Funding Methodology for Municipal Infrastructure Extension Policy approved by the Council on September 26, 2007 (Item 17 of Public Works Committee Report (TOE02005b/PED07248), the Council of the City of Hamilton also authorized recovering a portion of costs associated with the construction of the Sewer and Watermain Works by imposing a Sanitary Sewer Charge and Watermain Charge on the owners of land who benefit from the Sewer and Watermain Works (the "Sewer and Watermain Charges");

**WHEREAS** the said Sewer and Watermain Charges are imposed pursuant to Part XII of the Municipal Act, S.O., 2001, c. 25 as amended and pursuant to section 14 of The City of Hamilton Act, 1999, S.O., 1999, c. 14, Schedule C amended;

**WHEREAS** the estimated cost of the Sewer and Watermain Works, that relate to the benefitting property owners described in Schedule "A" is \$418,345.17, and this estimated amount is to be recovered from all benefitting property owners as set forth in this By-law;

**NOW THEREFORE** the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

- 1. Sewer and Watermain Charges are imposed upon the owners or occupants of land who benefit from the construction of the Sewer and Watermain Works (the "Assessed Owners").
- 2. The Assessed Owners' lands and the respective Sewer and Watermain Charges are more particularly described in Schedule "A", which Schedule is attached to and forms part of this By-law.
- 3. The Sewer and Watermain Charges have been established using the approved method for cost apportionment per City of Hamilton Report
  - TOE02005b/FCS02026b/PED07248, (Funding Methodology for Municipal Infrastructure Extensions review and Update), establishing a Sewer Charge of

Page 2 of 4

\$357.58 per metre of property frontage attributable to each Assessed Owner of an existing lot and a Watermain Charge of \$274.97 per metre of property frontage attributable to each Assessed Owner of an existing lot. In the instance where subdivision of the lands at 343 McNeilly Road results in the existing dwelling remaining on the land, then cost recovery shall apply only to the frontage on Arvin Ave. The Sewer and Watermain Charges shall be indexed in accordance with the percentage change in the composite Canadata Cost Index (Ontario Series) commencing from the completion date of construction, February 28, 2020 to the date of permit issuance.

- 4. The amount resulting from the application of the Sewer and Watermain Charges (the "Indebtedness"), shall be collected at the time of permit issuance for any connection to the said Sewer and Watermain Works, in addition to any applicable permit fee.
- 5. The Assessed Owners have the option of paying the Indebtedness by way of annual payments over a period of 15 years from the date of permit issuance for connection by entry on the tax roll, to be collected in the same manner as municipal taxes. The interest rate utilized for the 15 year payment shall be the City of Hamilton's then-current 15 year borrowing rate (2020 rate 3.00%).
- 6. Notwithstanding Section 5, an Assessed Owner of a parcel described in Schedule "A" may pay the commuted value of the Indebtedness without penalty, but including interest, at any time.
- 7. Should an Assessed Owner sever or subdivide their parcel of land, the Sewer and Watermain Charges owed to the City of Hamilton, whether the parcel of land is connected or not, and whether or not the Assessed Owner has previously exercised the repayment option set out in Section 5 above, shall be paid forthwith to the City of Hamilton in a lump sum as a condition of the severance or subdivision approval.
- 8. Unpaid Sewer and Watermain Charges constitute a debt to the City and may be added to the tax roll and collected in the same manner as municipal taxes.
- 9. If any provision or requirement of this By-law, or the application of it to any person, shall to any extent be held to be invalid or unenforceable by any court of competent jurisdiction, the remainder of the By-law, or the application of it to all persons other than those in respect of whom it is held to be invalid or unenforceable, shall not be affected, and each provision and requirement of this By-law shall be separately valid and enforceable.

To Impose a Sanitary Sewer Charge and Watermain Charge Upon Owners of Lands Abutting Arvin Avenue from McNeilly Road to Approximately 330 metres Westerly, in the City of Hamilton
Page 3 of 4
10. This By-law comes into force on the day following the date of its passing.
PASSED this 25 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2020.

A. Holland

City Clerk

F. Eisenberger

Mayor

Page 4 of 4

#### Schedule "A" to By-law No. 20-239

Arvin Avenue Sanitary Sewer and Watermain on Arvin Avenue from McNeilly Road to approximately 330m westerly

#### **Sewer and Watermain Charges**

Property Address	Frontage in Metres	Watermain Charge	Sanitary Sewer Charge	Total Charge
375 McNeilly Road.	194.688	\$53,533.36	\$69,616.54	\$123,149.89
Arvin Avenue PIN 173610087 Pt. 4 62R- 19402	66.494	\$18,283.86	\$23,776.92	\$ 42,060.78
Arvin Avenue PIN 173610084 Pts 1&2 62R- 19402	68.046	\$18,710.61	\$24,331.89	\$ 43,042.50
Rear of 967 Barton Street	68.111	\$18,728.48	\$24,355.13	\$ 43,083.61
Rear of 977 Barton Street	66.494	\$18,283.86	\$23,776.92	\$ 42,060.78
Rear of 993 Barton Street	91.460	\$25,148.76	\$32,704.27	\$ 57,853.02
343 McNeilly Road	106.070	\$29,166.07	\$37,928.51	\$ 67,094.58
TOTAL	661.363	\$181,854.98	\$236,490.18	\$418,345.17

Authority: Item 12, Committee of the Whole

Report 01-033 (PD01184) CM: October 16, 2001

Ward: 12

Bill No. 242

#### CITY OF HAMILTON BY-LAW NO. 20-

#### **Respecting Removal of Part Lot Control**

Part of Block 1, Registered Plan No. 62M-1255, municipally known as 16 and 18 Groom Lane; 11, 13, 15, 17, 19, and 21 Pim Lane; and, 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 13, 15, 23, 25, 27, 29, 31, 33, 35, and 37 Dresser Lane, Ancaster

**WHEREAS** the sub-section 50(5) of the <u>Planning Act</u>, (R.S.O. 1990, Chapter P.13, as amended, establishes part-lot control on land within registered plans of subdivision;

**AND WHEREAS** sub-section 50(7) of the *Planning Act*, provides as follows:

"(7) **Designation of lands not subject to part lot control. --** Despite subsection (5), the council of a local municipality may by by-law provide that subsection (5) does not apply to land that is within such registered plan or plans of subdivision or parts of them as are designated in the by-law."

**AND WHEREAS** the Council of the City of Hamilton is desirous of enacting such a by-law with respect to the lands hereinafter described;

**NOW THEREFORE** the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

1. Sub-section 5 of Section 50 of the <u>Planning Act</u>, for the purpose of creating twenty-three (23) lots for townhouse and maisonette dwellings, shown as Parts 20 – 24, 26, 27, 31 – 38, 58 – 63, 76 and 77, inclusive, on deposited Reference Plan 62R-21037, shall not apply to the portion of the registered plan of subdivision that is designated as follows, namely:

Part of Block 1, Registered Plan No. 62M-1255, in the City of Hamilton

- 2. This by-law shall be registered on title to the said designated land and shall come into force and effect on the date of such registration.
- 3. This by-law shall expire and cease to be of any force or effect on the 25th day of November, 2022.

<b>PASSED</b> this 25 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2020.	
F. Eisenberger	A. Holland
Mayor	City Clerk

PLC-18-014 (E)

Authority: Item 12, Committee of the Whole

Report 01-033 (PD01184) CM: October 16, 2001

Ward: 10

**Bill No. 243** 

#### CITY OF HAMILTON

**BY-LAW NO. 20-**

Respecting Removal of Part Lot Control
Part of Block 1 and Block 2, Registered Plan No. 62M-1241, "Foothills of Winona –
Phases 2 and 3" municipally known as 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, 52 and 56 Sauvignon Crescent

**WHEREAS** the sub-section 50(5) of the <u>Planning Act</u>, (R.S.O. 1990, Chapter P.13, as amended, establishes part-lot control on land within registered plans of subdivision;

**AND WHEREAS** sub-section 50(7) of the *Planning Act*, provides as follows:

"(7) **Designation of lands not subject to part lot control. --** Despite subsection (5), the council of a local municipality may by by-law provide that subsection (5) does not apply to land that is within such registered plan or plans of subdivision or parts of them as are designated in the by-law."

**AND WHEREAS** the Council of the City of Hamilton is desirous of enacting such a by-law with respect to the lands hereinafter described;

**NOW THEREFORE** the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

1. Sub-section 5 of Section 50 of the *Planning Act*, for the purpose of creating seven (7) lots for single detached dwellings, shown as Parts 1-5, 9 and 10 inclusive, on deposited Reference Plan 62R-21489, shall not apply to the portion of the registered plan of subdivision that is designated as follows, namely:

Part of Block 1 and Block 2, Registered Plan No. 62M-1241, in the City of Hamilton

- 2. This by-law shall be registered on title to the said designated land and shall come into force and effect on the date of such registration.
- 3. This by-law shall expire and cease to be of any force or effect on the 25th day of November, 2022.

PASSED this 25th day of November, 2020.

F. Eisenberger	A. Holland
Mayor	City Clerk
PLC-20-008	

Authority: Item 6(d), Planning Committee

Report 18-007 (PED18094)

CM: May 9, 2018

Ward: 12

**Bill No. 244** 

#### CITY OF HAMILTON BY-LAW NO. 20-

To Designate To Designate Land Located at 1021 Garner Road East, Ancaster, City of Hamilton as Property of Cultural Heritage Value

**WHEREAS** the Council of the City of Hamilton did give notice of its intention to designate the property mentioned in section 1 of this by-law in accordance with subsection 29(3) of the Ontario Heritage Act, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter 0.18;

**AND WHEREAS** no notice of objection was served on the City Clerk as required by subsection 29(5) of the said Act;

**AND WHEREAS** it is desired to designate the property mentioned in section 1 of this by-law in accordance with clause 29(6) (a) of the said Act.

**NOW THEREFORE** the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

- 1. The property located at 1021 Garner Road East, Ancaster, Ontario and more particularly described in Schedule "A" hereto annexed and forming part of this by-law, is hereby designated as property of cultural heritage value.
- 2. The City Solicitor is hereby authorized and directed to cause a copy of this by-law, together with the statement of cultural heritage value or interest and description of heritage attributes set out in Schedule "B" hereto annexed and forming part of this by-law, to be registered against the property affected in the proper registry office.
- 3. The City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed,
  - to cause a copy of this by-law, together with reasons for the designation, to be served on The Ontario Heritage Trust by personal service or by registered mail;
  - b. to publish a notice of this by-law once in a newspaper having general circulation in the City of Hamilton.

<b>PASSED</b> this 25 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2020	
F. Eisenberger	A. Holland
Mayor	City Clerk

Schedule "A"

То

By-law No. 20-244

#### 1021 Garner Road East (Ancaster) Hamilton, Ontario

PIN: 17565-0521 (LT)

Legal Description:

Part Lot 52, Concession 3, in the Geographic Township of Ancaster, now in the City of Hamilton, as in VM189287, being All of PIN No. 17565-0521 (LT)

Schedule "B" To By-law No. 20-244

1021 Garner Road East

Ancaster, Ontario

### STATEMENT OF CULTURAL HERITAGE VALUE OR INTEREST AND DESCRIPTION OF HERITAGE ATTRIBUTES

#### **1021 Garner Road East, Ancaster (Lampman House)**

#### **Description of Historic Place**

The Lampman House is a one and a half storey stone dwelling that was constructed ca. 1854-1858 in the Neo-Classical architectural style. The dwelling is located along Garner Road within the original settlement lands of the Lampman family (Lot 52, Concession 3, Ancaster Township). The property is addressed as 1021 Garner Road East, Ancaster and is located on the north side of Garner Road East, between Raymond Road and Springbrook Avenue.

#### **Statement of Cultural Heritage Value**

The property at 1021 Garner Road East, Ancaster has cultural heritage value as a stone dwelling built between 1854-1858 by John Lampman and as representative example of Neo-Classical architecture with Georgian and Classical Revival elements. The Lampman House includes decorative quoins, voussoirs, sidelights, and a transom window. The modest, symmetrical plan was typical of Loyalist architecture in Ontario at the time.

The Lampman family were German-American Loyalists who settled in British Canada following the American Revolutionary War. John Lampman and his family were formational members of the establishment of the New Connection Methodist sect in Canada, a Protestant denomination which seceded from the Wesleyan Methodist Church. Some sources indicate that the sect's first meeting in Canada was held in the Lampman House.

The property is significant in its historical associations with the Lampman Family, one of the region's earliest settler families and United Empire Loyalists. Contextually, the property was once part of a much larger parcel of land granted to Matthias Lampman in 1792-93. The Lampman House was also once located adjacent to a frame house built by Peter Lampman in 1896 (since demolished) at 1061 Garner Road East. The property is located along Garner Road East, formerly known as "Methodist Row" and is nearby a number of historic churches forming part of this unique cultural landscape of religious settlement.

#### **Heritage Attributes**

The heritage attributes of the property at 1021 Garner Road East, Ancaster that display its cultural heritage value include:

#### South (Front) Façade:

- Symmetrical three-bay façade profile;
- Limestone rubble walls;
- Sandstone cut quoin corner blocks;
- Roof profile and roofline;
- Westerly chimney;
- Symmetrical windows including sills and stone voussoirs; and,
- Entrance envelope including,
  - Front door;
  - Sidelights; and,
  - Transom window.

#### West, East, and North (Rear) Elevations:

- Limestone rubble walls:
- Sandstone cut quoin corner blocks;
- Roof profile and roofline;
- Stone voussoirs; and,
- All windows, doors, and connections to stone masonry.

Authority: Item 47, Committee of the Whole

Report 01-025 (PD01146) CM: August 22, 2001

Ward: 15

Bill No. 245

#### CITY OF HAMILTON

#### **BY-LAW NO. 20-**

#### To Permanently Close and Sell Block 156 and Block 157 on Plan 62M-1183

**WHEREAS** sections 8, 9 and 10 of the *Municipal Act, 2001* authorize the City of Hamilton to pass by-laws necessary or desirable for municipal purposes, and in particular by-laws with respect to highways; and

**WHEREAS** section 34(1) of the *Municipal Act, 2001* provides that a by-law permanently closing a highway does not take effect until a certified copy of the by-law is registered in the proper land registry office; and

**WHEREAS** highways to be closed by by-law are declared to be surplus to the needs of the City of Hamilton under the Sale of Land Policy By-law; and

**WHEREAS** by execution of a Subdivision Agreement dated September 14, 2011 between the City of Hamilton and LIV Developments Ltd., the City has authorized and agreed to the closure and conveyance of Block 156 and Block 157 on Plan 62M-1183, when deemed by the City to no longer be required for road purposes; and

**WHEREAS** notice to the public of the proposed sale of the part of the road allowance has been given in accordance with the requirements of the Sale of Land Policy By-law.

**NOW THEREFORE** the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

- 1. The part of the road allowance, in the City of Hamilton, described as Block 156 and Block 157 on Plan 62M-1183, City of Hamilton, is permanently closed.
- 2. The soil and freehold of the part of the road allowance permanently closed under section 1 is to be sold to LIV Developments Ltd. for the sum of two dollars (\$2.00) pursuant to the terms of the Subdivision Agreement dated September 14, 2011 between City of Hamilton and LIV Developments Ltd. registered as Instrument No. WE819199.

3.	This by-law comes into force Registry Office (No. 62).	e on the	date	of i	ts registration	on in	the	Land
PASS	<b>ED</b> this 25 <sup>th</sup> day of November	·, 2020.						
F. Eis	enberger -		Hollan					_

Authority: Item 47, Committee of the Whole

Report 01-025 (PD01146) CM: August 22, 2001

Ward: 15

**Bill No. 246** 

#### CITY OF HAMILTON

#### **BY-LAW NO. 20-**

### To Permanently Close and Sell a Portion of Mosaic Drive being Parts 16 and 17 on Plan 62R-20684

**WHEREAS** sections 8, 9 and 10 of the *Municipal Act, 2001* authorize the City of Hamilton to pass by-laws necessary or desirable for municipal purposes, and in particular by-laws with respect to highways; and

**WHEREAS** section 34(1) of the *Municipal Act, 2001* provides that a by-law permanently closing a highway does not take effect until a certified copy of the by-law is registered in the proper land registry office; and

**WHEREAS** highways to be closed by by-law are declared to be surplus to the needs of the City of Hamilton under the Sale of Land Policy By-law; and

**WHEREAS** by execution of a Subdivision Agreement dated February 24, 2017 between the City of Hamilton and LIV Developments Ltd., the City has authorized and agreed to the closure and conveyance of a certain portion of Mosaic Drive being Parts 16 and 17 on Plan 62R-20684, when deemed by the City to no longer be required for road purposes; and

**WHEREAS** notice to the public of the proposed sale of the part of the road allowance has been given in accordance with the requirements of the Sale of Land Policy By-law.

**NOW THEREFORE** the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

- 1. The part of the road allowance, being Mosaic Drive, in the City of Hamilton, described as Parts 16 and 17 on Plan 62R-20684, City of Hamilton, is permanently closed.
- 2. The soil and freehold of the part of the road allowance permanently closed under section 1 is to be sold to LIV Developments Ltd. for the sum of two dollars (\$2.00) pursuant to the terms of the Subdivision Agreement dated February 24, 2017 between City of Hamilton and LIV Developments Ltd. registered as Instrument No. WE1194381.

To Permanently Close and Sell a Portion of Mosaic Drive being Parts	16 and 17 on Plan 62R-
20684	

Page 2 of 2

3.	This by-law comes into force on Registry Office (No. 62).	the date of its	registration in	the Land
PASS	<b>ED</b> this 25 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 202	0.		
F. Eise Mayor	enberger	A. Holland City Clerk		

Authority: Item 2, Audit, Finance &

Administration Committee Report 20-012 (FCS20094) CM: November 25, 2020

Ward: City Wide

Bill No. 247

#### CITY OF HAMILTON

#### **BY-LAW NO. 20-**

## To Authorize the Temporary Borrowing of Monies to Meet Current Expenditures Pending Receipt of Current Revenues for 2021

**WHEREAS** the Council for the City of Hamilton deems it necessary to pass and enact a by-law to authorize the temporary borrowing of monies by the City to meet current budget expenditures for the year 2021 pending receipt of current revenues; and,

**WHEREAS** section 407(1) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, provides as follows:

"At any time during a fiscal year, a municipality may authorize temporary borrowing, until the taxes are collected and other revenues are received, of the amounts that the municipality considers necessary to meet the expenses of the municipality for the year and of the amounts, whether or not they are expenses for the year, that the municipality requires in the year"; and,

**WHEREAS** Section 407(2) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, imposes certain limitations on the amounts that may be borrowed at any one time.

**NOW THEREFORE** the Council of the City of Hamilton hereby enacts as follows:

- 1. (a) The City of Hamilton is hereby authorized to borrow from a Bank or person by way of Promissory Notes or Bankers Acceptances from time to time a sum or sums of monies not exceeding at any one time the amounts specified in subsection (2) of the *Municipal Act, 2001* to pay off temporary bank overdrafts for the current expenditures of the City for the year 2021, including amounts for sinking funds, principal and interest falling due within such fiscal year and the sums required by law to provide for the purposes of the City.
  - (b) The amount of monies that may be borrowed at any one time for the purposes of subsection (1) of the *Municipal Act*, 2001, together with the total of any similar borrowings that have not been repaid, shall not, except with the approval of the Ontario Municipal Board, exceed the prescribed percentages of the total of the estimated revenues of the City as set forth in the estimates adopted for the year, which percentages are set out in section 407 of the *Municipal Act*, 2001 as it may be amended from time to time.
- 2. (a) Until estimates of revenue of the City for the 2021 year are adopted, borrowing shall be limited to the estimated revenues of the City as set forth in

estimates adopted for the next preceding year.

- (b) The total estimated revenues of the City, including the amounts levied for Education purposes, adopted for the year 2021 are One Billion, Nine Hundred Million Dollars (\$1,900,000,000).
- 3. All sums borrowed pursuant to the authority of this By-law, together with any and all similar borrowings in the current year and in previous years that have not been repaid shall, together with interest thereon, be a charge upon the whole of the revenues of the City for the current year and for all preceding years, as and when such revenues are collected or received.
- 4. The Treasurer shall, and is hereby authorized and directed to, apply in payment of all sums borrowed pursuant to this By-law, together with interest thereon, all of the monies thereafter collected or received for the current and preceding years, either on account or realized in respect of taxes levied for the current year and preceding years or from any other sources which may lawfully be applied for such purpose.
- 5. That the Mayor and failing such person, the Deputy Mayor of the City Council and failing such person, the City Manager, together with the Treasurer or any one of the Temporary Acting Treasurers be authorized and directed to sign and execute the aforesaid Promissory Notes and Bankers Acceptances, hypothecations, agreements and such other documents, writings and papers which shall give effect to the foregoing.
- 6. This By-law shall come into force and effect on the 1st day of January 2021 and shall remain in force and effect until December 31, 2021.

City Clerk

□ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □ □	- A Halland
F. Eisenberger	A. Holland

PASSED this 25th day of November, 2020

Mayor

**Authority:** Item 2, Audit, Finance & Administration

Committee

Report 20-012 (FCS20094) CM: November 25, 2020

Ward: City Wide

**Bill No. 248** 

#### CITY OF HAMILTON BY-LAW NO. 20-

#### To Authorize an Interim Tax Levy for 2021

**WHEREAS** the Council for the City of Hamilton deems it necessary to pass a by-law to levy on the whole of the assessment for each property class in the local municipality rateable for a local municipality purpose, a sum not to exceed that which would be produced by applying the prescribed percentage (or 50 percent if no percentage is otherwise prescribed) of the total amounts billed to each property for all purposes in the previous year on the properties that, in the current year, are in the property class as provided for in Section 317 of the *Municipal Act*, 2001; and,

**WHEREAS** Section 317 of the *Municipal Act, 2001*, also authorizes a Municipal Council, by by-law, to adjust the interim taxes on a property if the Council is of the opinion that the Interim Levy on a property is too high or too low in relation to its estimate of the total taxes which will be levied on the property in 2021.

**NOW THEREFORE** the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

1. The interim tax levies shall be levied and collected upon the whole of the rateable property categories in Columns 1 and 2, shown below:

Column 1 Class Residential	Column 2 Class Code RT
Farmlands Awaiting Development	C1/R1/M1
Multi-Residential	MT
New Multi-Residential	NT
Commercial	CT
Commercial Excess Land / Small-scale on Farm	CU/C7
Commercial Office Building / Excess Land	DT/DU
Commercial Parking Lot / Vacant Land	GT/CX
Commercial Shopping Centre / Excess Land	ST/SU
New Commercial	XT
New Commercial Excess Land / Small-scale on Farm	YU/X7
New Commercial Office Building / Excess Land	YT/ZU
New Commercial Shopping Centre / Excess Land	ZT/ZU
Industrial	IT
Industrial Excess / Vacant Land / Small-scale on Farm	IU/IX/I7
New Industrial	JT
New Industrial Excess / Vacant Land / Small-scale on Farm	JU/JX/J7
Industrial Large / Excess Land	LT/LU

Column 1	Column 2
Class	Class Code
New Industrial Large Industrial / Excess Land	KT/KU
Landfills	HT
Pipeline	PT
Farmland	FT
Managed Forest	TT
Rail Right-of-way	WTCN
Rail Right-of-way	WTCP
Utility Right-of-way	UT
Shortline Railway Right-of-way	BT

2. The interim tax levy shall become due and payable in two instalments as allowed under Section 342(1)(a) of the *Municipal Act*, 2001, as follows:

Fifty percent of the interim levy, rounded, shall become due and payable on the 26th day of February 2021 or 21 days after an interim tax bill is mailed out, whichever is later, and the balance of the interim levy shall become due and payable on the 30th day of April, 2021 and non-payment of the amounts due on the dates stated, in accordance with this section, shall constitute default

- 3. That when payment of any instalment or any part of any instalment of taxes levied by this by-law is in default, penalties and where applicable interest, shall be imposed respectively in accordance with City of Hamilton policies.
- 4. Section 342(1) (b) of the *Municipal Act, 2001* allows for alternative instalment due dates to spread the payment of taxes more evenly over the year. Therefore, notwithstanding the payable dates provided for in section 2, the interim tax levy for those on a 12-month pre-authorized automatic withdrawal payment plan shall be paid in 6 equal instalments due and payable on or after the first or fifteenth day of each month January to June, inclusive. For those on the 10-month pre-authorized automatic withdrawal payment plan, the interim levy shall be paid in 5 equal instalments due and payable on or after the first day of each month February to June, inclusive. The pre-authorized payment plans shall be penalty and interest free for as long as the taxpayer is in good standing with the terms of the plan agreements.
- 5. The interim tax levy rates shall also apply to any property added to the assessment roll after this by-law is enacted.
- 6. This by-law shall come into force and effect on the 1st day of January 2021.

**PASSED** this 25th day of November, 2020.

lland Clerk

Authority: Item 31, Planning &

Economic Development Committee Report 06-005

CM: April 12, 2006

Ward: 1

Bill No. 249

#### CITY OF HAMILTON

#### **BY-LAW NO. 20-**

## To Amend Zoning By-law No. 05-200 (Hamilton), respecting lands located at 22 Cannon Street East, Hamilton

**WHEREAS** the *City of Hamilton Act*, 1999, Statutes of Ontario, 1999 Chap. 14, Sch. C. did incorporate, as of January 1, 2001, the municipality "City of Hamilton";

**WHEREAS** the Council of The Corporation of the City of Hamilton passed Zoning By-law No. 05-200 (Hamilton) on the 25th day of May 2005.

**WHEREAS** the Council of the City of Hamilton, in adopting Item 31 of Report 06-005 of the Planning and Economic Development Committee at its meeting held on the 12th day of April 2006, recommended that the Director of Development and Real Estate be authorized to give notice and prepare by-laws for presentation to Council, to remove the "H" Holding Provision from By-laws where the conditions have been met; and,

**AND WHEREAS** this By-law is in conformity with the Urban Hamilton Official Plan **NOW THEREFORE** the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

- 1. That Map No. 910 and 911 of Schedule "A" Zoning Maps of Zoning By-law No. 05-200, as amended by By-law No. 18-114, is hereby amended by changing the zoning from the Downtown Central Business District (D1, H21) Zone to the Downtown Central Business District (D1) Zone, on the lands, the extent and boundaries of which are shown on a plan hereto annexed as Schedule "A".
- 2. That no building or structure shall be erected, altered, extended, or enlarged, nor shall any building or structure or part thereof be used, nor shall any land be used, except in accordance with the Downtown Central Business District (D1) Zone.
- 3. The Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to proceed with the giving of notice of the passing of this By-law, in accordance with the *Planning Act*.

## To Amend Zoning By-law No. 05-200 (Hamilton), respecting lands located at 22 Cannon Street East, Hamilton

Page 2 of 2

<b>PASSED</b> this 25 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 20	20.	
F. Eisenberger	A. Holland	
Mayor	Clerk	

ZAD-20-035

Authority: Item 14, Committee of the Whole

Report 01-003 (FCS01007) CM: February 6, 2001 Ward: 1,2,3,4,7,10,13,15

**Bill No. 250** 

#### CITY OF HAMILTON BY-LAW NO. 20-

## To Amend By-law No. 01-218, as amended, Being a By-law To Regulate On-Street Parking

**WHEREAS** Section 11(1)1 of the Municipal Act, S.O. 2001, Chapter 25, as amended, confers upon the councils of all municipalities the power to enact by-laws for regulating parking and traffic on highways subject to the Highway Traffic Act;

**AND WHEREAS** on the 18th day of September, 2001, the Council of the City of Hamilton enacted By-law No. 01-218 to regulate on-street parking;

**AND WHEREAS** it is necessary to amend By-law No. 01-218, as amended.

**NOW THEREFORE** the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

1. By-law No. 01-218, as amended, is hereby further amended by adding/deleting from the identified Schedules and Sections noted in the table below as follows:

Schedule	Section	Highway	From	То	Adding/ Deleting
3- Thru Hwys, NPA	E	Aberdeen Avenue	Dundurn Street South	Queen Street South	Adding

Schedule	Section	Highway	Side	Location	Duration	Times	Days	Adding/ Deleting
6 - Time Limit	E	Milton	East	commencing 122 feet north of Barton to Myler	1 hr	8 am - 6 pm	Mon - Sat	Deleting
6 - Time Limit	E	Simcoe	Both	Ferguson to 95.7m easterly	1 hr	Anytime	Anyday	Deleting
6 - Time Limit	Ε	Simcoe	North	from 15m west of Wellington to 28m westerly	3 hr	8 am - 5 pm	Mon - Fri	Deleting
6 - Time Limit	С	Dundas Street East	North	95.5 metres west of Pirelli Street to 17.5 metres west thereof	2 hr	Anytime	Anyday	Adding
6 - Time Limit	С	Dundas Street East	North	135.7 metres west of Pirelli Street to 40 metres west thereof	2 hr	Anytime	Anyday	Adding
6 - Time Limit	E	Sheridan Lane	Both	Main Street West to Lower Horning Road	3 hr	Anytime	Anyday	Adding

## To Amend By-law No. 01-218, as amended, Being a By-law to Regulate On-Street Parking

Page 2 of 4

6 - Time Limit	E	Simcoe Street East	North	Ferguson Avenue North to 65 metres east thereof	1 hr	Anytime	Anyday	Adding
6 - Time Limit	E	Simcoe Street East	South	Ferguson Avenue North to 53 metres east thereof	1 hr	Anytime	Anyday	Adding
6 - Time Limit	Ε	Simcoe Street East	North	15 metres west of Wellington Street North to 41 metres west thereof	3 hr	8 am - 5 pm	Mon - Fri	Adding

Schedule	Section	Highway	Side	Location	Times	Adding/ Deleting
8 - No Parking	E	East 38th	West	Fennell to 104 feet south	Anytime	Deleting
8 - No Parking	E	Essling	West	from the south curb line of Essling (north leg) to a point 69 feet southerly	Anytime	Deleting
8 - No Parking	Ε	East 27th Street	West	98 metres south of Fennell Avenue East to 6 metres thereof	Anytime	Adding
8 - No Parking	Ε	East 38th Street	West	Fennell to southerly end	Anytime	Adding
8 - No Parking	Ε	Emperor Avenue	South	27 metres east of Brigade Drive to 6 metres east thereof	Anytime	Adding
8 - No Parking	E	Essling Drive	South	38 metres east of Antoinette Court to 6 metres easterly	Anytime	Adding
8 - No Parking	E	Essling Drive	South & West	55 metres east of Antoinette Court to 33 metres east thereof	Anytime	Adding
8 - No Parking	E	Fusilier Drive	East	22 metres north of Bonaparte Way to 6 metres north thereof	Anytime	Adding
8 - No Parking	В	Governor's Road	Both	Ridgewood Boulevard to 615 metres west thereof	Anytime	Adding
8 - No Parking	F	Lakeview Drive	Both	North Service Road to Thomas Court	2:00 a.m. to 7:00 a.m.	Adding
8 - No Parking	F	Springstead Avenue	East	Pebble Valley Avenue to Sedgebrook Avenue	Anytime	Adding

Page 3 of 4

					r age e er r	
Schedule	Section	Highway	Side	Location	Times	Adding/ Deleting
12 - Permit	E	Milton Avenue	East	54 metres north of Barton Street to 6 metres north thereof	Anytime	Deleting
12 - Permit	E	Albany Avenue	South	38.5 metres west of Cope Street t 6 metres west thereof	O Anytime	Adding
12 - Permit	E	Cavell Avenue	West	44.8 metres south of Beechwood Avenue to 6 metres south thereof	Anytime	Adding
12 - Permit	E	Cavell Avenue	East	43.5 metres south of Beechwood Avenue to 6 metres south thereof	Anytime	Adding
12 - Permit	E	Huron Street	North	37 metres west of Stirton Street to 5.6 metres west thereof	Anytime	Adding
12 - Permit	E	Ivon Avenue	East	70 metres south of Roxborough Avenue to 6 metres south thereof	Anytime	Adding
12 - Permit	E	Ivon Avenue	West	65 metres south of Roxborough Avenue to 6 metres south thereof	Anytime	Adding
12 - Permit	E	Milton Avenue	East	37.2 metres north of Barton Street to Myler Street	Anytime	Adding
12 - Permit	E	Paling Avenue	West	43.2m north of Barton Street to 6 metres north thereof	Anytime	Adding
12 - Permit	E	Reid Avenue North	West	26.7 metres south of Glengrove Avenue to 5 metres south thereof	Anytime	Adding
Schedule	Section	Highway	Side	Location	Times	Adding/ Deleting
13 - No Stopping	E	Simcoe	North	from 43m west of Wellington to 51m westerly	Anytime	Deleting
13 - No Stopping	E	Simcoe	South	Wellington to 109.6m westerly	Anytime	Deleting
13 - No Stopping	F	Carpenter Avenue	North	Eastdale Boulevard to Kingswood Drive	8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m., Monday to Friday	Adding
13 - No Stopping	С	Dundas Street East	North	113 metres west of Pirelli Street to 22.7 metres west thereof	Anytime	Adding
13 - No Stopping	E	East 27th Street	West	92 metres south of Fennell Avenue East to 6 metres south thereof	Anytime	Adding
13 - No Stopping	E	Simcoe Street East	North	56 metres west of Wellington Street North to 69 metres	Anytime	Adding

west thereof

South

Wellington Street North to

137 metres west thereof

Anytime

Adding

13 - No

Stopping

**East** 

Ε

Simcoe Street

## To Amend By-law No. 01-218, as amended, Being a By-law to Regulate On-Street Parking

Page 4 of 4

Schedule	Section	Highway	Side	Location	Times	Adding/ Deleting	
14 - Wheelchair LZ	E	Murray St. E.	North	Mary to 12.6m westerly	8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. Tuesday & Thursday	Deleting	
		, including all		e in this By-law, in all oth s thereto, as amended			
	<ol> <li>This By-law shall come into force and take effect on the date of its passing and enactment.</li> </ol>						
PASSED this 25th day of November, 2020.							
F. Ei	senberger	A. Holla City Cler		A. Holland City Clerk		•	

Mayor

Bill No. 251

#### CITY OF HAMILTON

#### BY-LAW NO. 20-

To Confirm the Proceedings of City Council at its meeting held on November 25<sup>th</sup>, 2020.

THE COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF HAMILTON ENACTS AS FOLLOWS:

DAGGED (L. OEth L. CAL

1. The Action of City Council at its meeting held on the 25<sup>th</sup> day of November 2020, in respect of each recommendation contained in

Board of Health Report 20-007 – November 16, 2020,

Public Works Committee Report 20-011 – November 16, 2020,

Planning Committee Report 20-014 – November 17, 2020,

General Issues Committee Report 20-019 – November 18, 2020,

Special Hamilton Enterprises Holding Corporation Shareholder Report 20-002 – November 18, 2020,

Audit, Finance & Administration Committee Report 20-011 – November 19, 2020, Emergency & Community Services Committee Report 20-010 – November 19, 2020,

General Issues Committee (Rate Budget) Report – November 23, 2020, and

Sole Voting Member of the Hamilton Farmers' Market Report 20-002 0 November 23, 2020

considered by City of Hamilton Council at the said meeting, and in respect of each motion, resolution and other action passed and taken by the City Council at its said meeting is hereby adopted, ratified and confirmed.

2. The Mayor of the City of Hamilton and the proper officials of the City of Hamilton are hereby authorized and directed to do all things necessary to give effect to the said action or to obtain approvals where required, and except where otherwise provided, the Mayor and the City Clerk are hereby directed to execute all documents necessary in that behalf, and the City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to affix the Corporate Seal of the Corporation to all such documents.

PASSED this 25 <sup>th</sup> day of November, 2020.	
F. Eisenberger	A. Holland
Mayor	City Clerk