



City of Hamilton PLANNING COMMITTEE ADDENDUM

Meeting #: 21-016
Date: October 5, 2021
Time: 9:30 a.m.
Location: Due to the COVID-19 and the Closure of City Hall (CC)

All electronic meetings can be viewed at:

City's Website:
<https://www.hamilton.ca/council-committee/council-committee-meetings/meetings-and-agendas>

City's YouTube Channel:
<https://www.youtube.com/user/InsideCityofHamilton> or Cable 14

Lisa Kelsey, Legislative Coordinator (905) 546-2424 ext. 4605

Pages

6. DELEGATION REQUESTS

- *6.3. Patrick Harrington respecting 398 Wilson St. E. (Item 7.5) (For today's meeting)
- *6.4. John Allan respecting 398 Wilson St. E. (Item 7.5) (For today's meeting)
- *6.5. James MacLeod respecting 398 Wilson St. E. (Item 7.5) (For today's meeting)
- *6.6. Adrian Hornich respecting 398 Wilson St. E. (Item 7.5) (For today's meeting)

3

7. CONSENT ITEMS

- 7.5. Hamilton Municipal Heritage Committee Report 21-007

- *7.5.a. Written Submissions:
- (i) David and Donna Molnar
 - (ii) Alexandra Bojcevski
 - (iii) Barb Russell-Morse
 - (iv) Sandra Starr
 - (v) Katie Deverson
 - (vi) Rowen Baker
 - (vii) Sandy Price
 - (viii) Mary Vrabel
 - (ix) Gail Moffatt
 - (x) John Olmsted
 - (xi) Graham and Linda Clements
 - (xii) Jan King
 - (xiii) Don Currie
 - (xiv) Patricia Cole-Stever
 - (xv) David Starr
 - (xvi) Paul White
 - (xvii) Simon Hardcastle
 - (xviii) Caroline Reid
 - (xix) Maxine Morris
 - (xx) Andrea MacArthur
 - (xxi) Wendi Van Exan

9. PUBLIC HEARINGS / DELEGATIONS

- 9.3. Application to Amend the Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 05-200 for Lands Located at 1411 and 1415 Upper Wellington Street, Hamilton (PED21187) (Ward 7)

- *9.3.a. Registered Delegations:
- (i) Carlo Silvestri

12. NOTICES OF MOTION

- *12.1. Municipal Law Enforcement Weekly Updates to Council

Speaking Notes—Planning Committee October 5,2021

Jim MacLeod

1. Today Planning Committee deals with the future of Ancaster Village. Bold words, but the truth.
2. Your decision is not just about the fate of Phillip House at 398 Wilson Street East. It is about the streetscape that defines Ontario's third oldest community—Ancaster 1793

It is obvious Phillip House would have disappeared overnight with its neighbours save for this designation.

3. The Ancaster Wilson Street Secondary Plan was developed after commendable consultation with the community. I will simply say that the core of this Plan is preservation of the unique character of Ancaster with a primary focus on the Village Core. Design guidelines preserve the Village and I am not aware of any other secondary plans that have this detail. This is unique, and needs your careful consideration.

The underpinning of a decision on Phillip House should be a careful read of the Secondary Plan and the special attention it pays to the Village Core.

4. The C5a zoning for the Village Core is unique in Hamilton as it requires a specific height—9 meters—and spacing between buildings. This preserves the three dimensional character of the heritage buildings in the Core—you see the side of the building as well as the front. The Ancaster Village Core is VERY different from Dundas, for example, where the buildings touch each other. The Ancaster zoning in the Village Core reflects that—it is the streetscape of a 1793 village rather than a late 1800s community we also consider heritage.
5. The Wilson streetscape east of Phillip House has already largely been destroyed or is under threat. Three years ago there were 12 unique buildings between Academy Street and Rousseaux Street, a distance of about 2 blocks.

Today there are 8 left.

Two more have been identified for demolition in a proposed development, one may or may not survive as part of that development, and this Committee is considering whether the most important one of all can be removed.

When the developers are done about 60% of the streetscape in two blocks of the Village Core will be gone. *(See note below for details)

6. The heritage designation of Phillip House is “in place”. If it is moved the heritage designation ends and the building has no protection in a new location.

Speaking Notes—Planning Committee October 5,2021

Jim MacLeod

The 1978 Public Notice designating 398 Wilson Street is more powerful than any words I can craft.

I quote “The use of stone as a construction material relates the building to several other important buildings on Wilson Street. For these reasons the building is of great importance to the Wilson Street streetscape”

The takeaway? “Great importance to the Wilson Street streetscape”.

Will it even survive a move? Please take a careful look at the state of the stone work before answering this.

7. Much has been made about soil contamination migrating from the adjacent lot.

A critical question: was the soil **beneath** Phillio House sampled, or does this concern rest totally on estimations? It strikes me before a decision as critical as the one you face is made that this is a basic question; one easily answered.

In addition, has the air quality been sampled in Philippo House?

If there is concern about air quality, what remediation has been considered?

My understanding is that the City has flexibility to consider situations like this and exemptions have been granted in Dundas.

8. This decision is a footnote to a developer for a demolition plan creating the largest piece of development property in the Wilson Street Secondary Plan catchment area.

To the community.....it is the key to whether there will be a true Village Core, or vast lengths of new construction that might sort of look historical..... if this Committee holds the developer to the Official Plan. .

9. If a new building wraps around Phillip House, in accordance with the setbacks in the zoning, and had some careful design, this could be the crown jewel of the Village Core.

The Ancaster Village Core has suffered too much heritage demolition because no one thought anyone would tear down pristine heritage buildings like Brandon House or the Marr House or try to move a designated building like Philippo House.

If you own this building and have as your goal the preservation of Phillip House in place to protect the streetscape there are ways to do it.

Speaking Notes—Planning Committee October 5,2021

Jim MacLeod

If you own this building and want it out of the way no doubt you can come up with justification.

Please, follow the recommendation of staff and the Heritage Committee and ask this applicant to do better for Ancaster and take the path to preserve the diminished streetscape.

*NOTE: Status of properties referenced in Paragraph 5
Wilson Street East by Civic Address

- 462—Brandon House—Demolished (heritage--not designated)
- 454—Identified for demolition by developer (heritage--not designated)
- 450-- Identified for demolition by developer (heritage--not designated)
- 442—May be incorporated into above development (heritage—not designated)
- 436—Occupied—business/residential
- 430—Occupied—business (heritage—not designated)
- 426—Occupied—residential multi tenant (recent reno)
- 420—Occupied—business (heritage—not designated)
- 412—Demolished—business—mid century design--In operation until demolition
- 406—Demolished—residential (heritage—not designated)
- 400—Demolished--business (heritage—not designated)
- 398—Philippo House—application to remo

07.5 (a) (i)

From: David Molnar

Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 6:16 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: re: proposed move of Marr House, Ancaster

Dear members of Hamilton Planning Committee,

I understand that you will soon be considering a request to move the Marr House on Wilson Street in Ancaster as part of a redevelopment proposal for that property. Please note my very strong opposition to moving that edifice. As a resident of Ancaster since 1975, my wife and I have watched helplessly as numerous landmark buildings which define the character of Ancaster have been demolished in the name of greed and profit, with virtually no consideration for the residents of this community who chose this place as our home because of what it was and what it represented. Our Town is literally under siege by developers and builders who are caught up in the frenzy of the current real estate market and the damage done now by replacing significant, historic, landmark buildings in Ancaster with run of the mill developments will remain and will negatively impact Ancaster forever. Our concerned citizens are badly outnumbered as we attempt to fend off countless development proposals which endanger the character of our historic community. In a worse case scenario, the existing Marr building should be left in it's present location and incorporated into an appropriate redevelopment plan for that property.

I respectfully request that the Planning Committee reject this insidious effort to circumvent historic property protection legislation and help us to preserve the historic nature of our main street.

Thank you in advance for your consideration and your work on behalf of local residents.

David and Donna Molnar

Ancaster, ON

07.5 (a) (ii)

From: Alexandra Bojcevski
Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 4:26 PM
To: clerk@hamilton.ca
Subject: URGENT- Marr house

Hello,

I am writing to express my opposition to relocating Marr house on Wilson Street.

This historical building is such an important part of old Ancaster and should be preserved in its current location, for all to see and enjoy off Wilson Street.

Please ensure this building stays put!

Alexandra Bojcevski

07.5 (a) (iii)

From: Barb Morse

Date: September 6, 2021 at 7:48:01 AM EDT

To: "Ferguson, Lloyd" <Lloyd.Ferguson@hamilton.ca>

Cc: Kathy Bishop <kathy.bishop@hamilton.ca>

Subject: Re: Marr House

Dear Mr Ferguson

Thank you for your quick response to my email.

I appreciate that any involvement with the Marr House would in deed have to go through a comprehensive heritage assessment and process. That is good. However. Even if the committee declares that it would not do irreparable harm to move Marr House, it ignores the whole issue of SHOULD developers move Marr House.

Marr house is on Wilson street for all to see. It should not be removed from daily public view. Out of sight. Out of mind. It will be gone from our heritage and future landscape of old Ancaster. Please, this must not be allowed to happen.

If the public can no longer see Marr house, who will be in charge of its care and up keep? It will be ignored by the developers. It will be forgotten by the building owners and fall into disrepair. Who would enforce them to comply with maintaining Marr House? We have seen this scenario happen before. Please ensure that we don't make past mistakes.

I wonder if developers could get the green light to move Marr House, who would monitor this move to prevent a catastrophic event where Marr house gets damaged and is then declared unsafe so it must be torn down. What then? The developer saves the money need to move the house and it's no longer a "problem" standing in the way of their project. This is a real worry as we have seen this happen before.

Those who ignore history are doomed to repeat it. I hope we have all learned from the Brandon House situation.

Please share these thoughts and perspectives with any and all up coming meetings regarding Marr House.

Thank you again, Mr Ferguson. As you can tell, preserving Ancaster is important to me and to many more.

Thank you again for taking the time to consider and reflect on my concerns.

Sincerely,
Barb Russell-Morse

Sent from my iPhone

On Sep 6, 2021, at 6:17 AM, Ferguson, Lloyd <Lloyd.Ferguson@hamilton.ca> wrote:

The application is going through a comprehensive Heritage process and will take a few months.

Councillor Lloyd Ferguson CET, CIM, GSC
Ward 12 | Ancaster
905-546-2704
<http://www.hamilton.ca>

-----Original Message-----

From: Barb Morse
Sent: Sunday, September 5, 2021 6:19 PM
To: Ferguson, Lloyd <Lloyd.Ferguson@hamilton.ca>
Cc: Bishop, Kathy <Kathy.Bishop@hamilton.ca>
Subject: Marr House

Dear Mr Ferguson

It has come to my attention that developers are proposing to attempt to move Marr House from its current location on Wilson street to pave their way to put up a 7 story development.

NO NO NO
DO NOT ALLOW THIS TO HAPPEN !!!!

This historic/heritage building is fragile and must be preserved. So much of traditional Ancaster is being lost to intensification that we are losing our history and losing the small, home town village lifestyle that we love so much about life here in Ancaster. If we continue on our current path, we will lose this quality of life forever.

We have lost the Brandon House. We could lose the house at 15 Church street to a developer who was able to skirt over 21 by-law variances in an effort to build an oversized condo/townhouse development on a site that is far too small for the proposed development. This perspective is true, that is, if you care about the feel of the existing community of tax payers versus the hoped for profits for a developer. Why should a developer's wishes be more important than the wishes of current residents??

At what point do we put our foot down and just say NO. Some things are worth saving and preserving. This old stone building has great historical value.

Do not allow our precious Ancaster to become another Brampton or such place where over-crowding and bulldozing of the old ways is considered acceptable.

Do not enable developers who have no long term vested interest or responsibility to our town and the health of our community call the shots. Developers are in the game to win and make their profits. THEY DO NOT CARE ABOUT THE QUALITY OF OUR LIFESTYLE HERE IN ANCASTER !! They do not care that their intensification will reduce our air quality. They do not care that their multi-units will exponentially increase traffic grid lock. They do not care about our environment, green spaces or wetlands. They do

not care about over crowding. They do not care about our quality of lifestyle. They only care about circumventing the by-laws so they can proceed with their plans, regardless of the consequences and long term impacts of their projects. They won't remain here to help clean up the environment. They won't stick around to help widen the 403 hill to help the morning commute. They will use us and take away from us. They will make their money and then leave us to our sorry fates. **DO NOT LET THEM HAVE THIS POWER OVER US.**

Developers will pay to check the boxes needed to pave their way through all the hoops to gain their amendments to existing by-laws. And once you grant them their amendments they will do what they want and **NO ONE WILL ENFORCE THEM TO COMPLY.**

As my elected official, you must fight for me and you must fight to preserve our Ancaster. The foxes are in the hen house. What will you do to protect it?? Because, as you and I both know, once it's gone, it's gone forever.

Please do not let them attempt to move the MARR HOUSE and please continue to oppose rampant development within our Ancaster. We do not have the road and hyway infrastructure to support this rapid, current growth rate. We are full!

I have lived in Ancaster my entire life. And at 59 years old, I hardly recognize my beloved town. Don't let Ancaster die on your watch.

Please know that I write this with passion because I am afraid that I no longer really have a voice in what happens in my town. How much should the average person have to do to preserve my way of life?

Please share this email with all persons who will be present during public hearings regarding the developers attempts to "move" Marr House.

Thank you for taking the time to read my concerns.

Sincerely
Barb Russell-Morse

07.5 (a) (iv)

From: Sandra Starr

Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 10:20 AM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca; Ferguson, Lloyd <Lloyd.Ferguson@hamilton.ca>

Subject: Marr House , Ancaster

Please forward this message to all members of the City Planning Committee

Hello City Planning Committee,

I support the Marr House remaining where it is. Marr House is one of the very few remaining buildings on Wilson Street dating from the early era when Ancaster Village was first established, beginning at the end of the 18th century. It is a crucial link with the historic origins of Ancaster. Beside it until recently there had been a contemporaneous frame building, the Marr House - dated similarly to the Marr-Philippo House and closely associated with it - which was suddenly demolished without notice and without acknowledgment of its value to the Village by these same developers.

Developers propose to move the Marr-Phillipo House to an out-of-sight position at the rear of the lot - that is, if it survives the move, which is doubtful. If it survives, in its new location it will be lost to view, away from Wilson Street and hidden to the rear of a massive condominium development which is proposed on that large lot, **but most importantly and unknown to most other than the developers is that it loses its heritage-designated building status and associated protection**. According to plans presented by the developers to two local voluntary organizations, which were reported in the Ancaster News, the use of the Marr-Phillipo House in that new position will be restricted only to condominium residents, as an amenity. In their public presentations they threatened Ancaster residents that they will not go ahead with their plans if they are not allowed to move the Marr-Phillipo House.

This is another step in the destruction of Ancaster's unique position as the second earliest established village in Upper Canada.

The Marr-Phillipo building is remarkably well-preserved, but it is fragile. Moving it clearly represents a significant cost to the developers, which raises concerns about its ultimate preservation. Moving it will deprive Ancaster of another precious landmark, similar to the loss of the Brandon House over two years ago now.

We ask that you maintain Marr House's heritage designation, which can only be accomplished by keeping it where it is and has always been for over 180 years.

Respectfully,
Sandra Starr

Ancaster Resident

07.5 (a) (v)

From: Katie Deverson
Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 1:59 PM
To: clerk@hamilton.ca
Subject: Relocation of Marr House

Hi,

My name is Katie Deverson and I am a lifetime resident of Ancaster, raising a third generation of my family in this town. I am writing to formally oppose the relocation of Marr House from its current location on Wilson street. I believe it is of critical importance to protect the heritage buildings in our town. Not only does this highlight the character and history of our town, it limits the building of high density housing in the downtown core which many residents are opposed to including myself. The demolition of Brandon house was a significant historical loss in this town and I refuse to watch the remaining history crumble under the weight of development pressure. I urge you to protect this building and respect the heritage of our town.

Katie

Katie Deverson

07.5 (a) (vi)

From: Rowen Baker

Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 1:43 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: MARR-PHILLIPO HOUSE ANCASTER

We wish to register our opposition to the proposed movement of the above Heritage house.

This house is a key part of the historic streetscape of the Village of Ancaster. It is essential that it remain where it is, so its significance can be widely seen and appreciated by all.

To consider moving it would be a major blow to the village core and be just another step in the destruction in the heritage and streetscape of this old established community.

R. H. Baker

Ancaster

07.5 (a) (vii)

From: Sandy Price
Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 1:10 PM
To: clerk@hamilton.ca
Subject: Marr House, Ancaster

To whom it may concern:

I have read that you will be discussing/voting on the fate of the Philip Marr house which is currently located on Wilson Street in the "historic" area of Ancaster. I have already expressed my concern to council regarding the fate of this building if the developers are allowed to attempt to move it. I sincerely hope that you will stand up to the pressure of the developer and vote no to this proposal.

Here are my reasons. I have learned that if there is an attempt to move a building from its current site this will result in that building losing its protection of its heritage designation. Take a moment to think about that! You will be negating the efforts of the group of local volunteers responsible for ensuring that the Marr house received its designation of a heritage building. I also understand that it is not an easy process to have a building designated as being historical.

According to current legislation the act of moving this building means that it will have been seen by law to have been demolished. It will lose its heritage designation and become vulnerable to demolition.

My understanding is that the developers will then not be obligated to ensure that the building survives the move. Your decision on this request will set a precedence. Why would any future developer make the effort to preserve any historical building if you can just apply to move it?

This answers my question re: what happens if this historical building does not survive the move. Will the developers be responsible for ensuring that the Marr house survives the move intact? Apparently not.

Why is this even an issue? At one point in time developers were expected to try to blend in with the current streetscape and bylaws of our town. Take a look at The Barracks and the plaza where the Olive Board is located. Developers made an effort to blend in with the streetscape a few years ago but the current generation of developers appear to be all about cramming inappropriate projects in spaces where beautiful, historic buildings once stood as a reminder of our heritage.

Oh wait! I forgot! The planning board is not obligated to actually visit any of the areas where the decisions that they make will affect local residents. Apparently the voice of the people who reside in an area is not as important as the developers who come in with plans that are contrary to the current streetscape and as a result demand many variances.

So let me see if I have this straight. You are deciding the fate of a designated historical building in the historical area of Ancaster? If the request is granted the developers will not be required to preserve this historical building since the very act of moving the building nullifies its historical designation. The request is coming from developers, not the tax paying citizens of Ancaster who are currently being bombarded with a large number of new building projects.

If the Marr house is able to survive the relocation procedure, the proposed new location for the building is inappropriate. It will not blend in with the houses already in that area. It will be totally out of place. I beg you to say no to the request to move the Marr house. Take a step back and actually consider the wishes of the current residents of this area.

Sandy Price
Ancaster, Ontario

07.5 (a) (viii)

From: Mary Vrabel

Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 1:03 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: application to relocate Marr House, 398 Wilson Street E, Ancaster

City of Hamilton Planning Committee,

Re: Wilson Street Ancaster Inc. — which comprise 392, **398**, 406 and 412 **Wilson St. E.** and 15 Lorne Ave.

,

Application to relocate 398 Wilson St. E, Ancaster, ON

As a resident of Hamilton, I request the committee,

1. Do not approve the application to relocate 398 Wilson St. E. The property know as The Marr House is an important heritage-designated building. If moved from its current location, it will be deemed to have been demolished.
2. Businesses benefit from locating in designated heritage preserved areas. Demolishing such buildings is economically flawed.
3. Remediation of The Marr House could use "in situ bio remediation". This technology is used elsewhere in the province for difficult sites thus allowing this heritage building to remain in it's current location if this is an issue for remediation and if the City of Hamilton grants public ERASE funds for the private site alteration.

In addition please note:

1. Ministry of Environment should be apprised of the situation and there should be an investigation of the areal extent of the contamination. If hydrocarbons have migrated laterally to beneath the Marr House, then it's quite possible that these contaminates have migrated to beneath adjacent properties(ie: both sides of Wilson Street E). The developer, Wilson Street Ancaster Inc., as owner of the source of contamination is also responsible for remediation of everywhere contamination may have migrated from 392,398,406,412 Wilson E to other locations.
2. "Wilson Street Ancaster Inc. are planning to tap the city's environmental remediation and site enhancement (ERASE) grant program to help defray the costs of an estimated \$1.6-million brownfield cleanup.", HamiltonNews.com, July 4,2019

07.5 (a) (ix)

From: Gail Moffatt
Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 1:01 PM
To: clerk@hamilton.ca
Subject: Marr House on Wilson Street Ancaster

To Clerk and Mayor and Councillors

I wish to formally protest the move of The Marr House from its present location on Wilson Street, Ancaster.

I attended the recent Heritage Committee Meeting, virtually, as well as submitting a letter at that time.

The Marr House is a cornerstone cementing the beautiful heritage area of Ancaster.

It is a cornerstone jewel FRONTING Wilson Street. It is very visual. It should NOT be relocated.

In addition to its present critical location...a move could damage it and possibly end in its demolition.

A move would require remediation of the site.

No move and the remediation would not be necessary and the building could be restored to use as a residence, a retail store or meeting facility. And the house would retain its historic designation!

Ancaster is beautiful and still retains historic character. Please do not let it be destroyed. Please vote to NOT move the house. Help the residents of Ancaster preserve her. Please.

Gail Moffatt

07.5 (a) (x)

From: John Olmsted

Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 2:01 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Cc:

Subject: Planning Committee Meeting Tuesday re Marr House on Wilson

Hello,

As a lifelong resident of the Village of Ancaster on Academy St., I strenuously object to the attempt to move the Marr house. I say attempt because this house will not survive the move, which the developer is counting on. The walls are not buttressed and there were no tie bolts installed when the house was built. It is one of the remaining Middleton stone mason buildings, as the Brandon house was destroyed by developers last year.

Its historic value is in its present location as a tie-in to the Stone Hotel on the corner of Academy and Wilson Streets. It was designated (fortunately) historic in its present location, and should not be moved under ANY circumstances. Anaster's developers should be held to the current zoning restrictions, and not allowed to deviate at all!

We must remain vigilant to prevent what is left of Ancaster's heritage!

PLEASE, do not approve the moving of Marr house.

John Olmsted

07.5 (a) (xi)

From: Graham Clements
Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 11:27 AM
To: clerk@hamilton.ca
Subject: Marr house

We are fortunate to live at ## Academy St in the village of Ancaster and surrounded by beautiful stone buildings that were commonplace in this area.

Slowly over the past twenty years we have seen numerous stone homes demolished for future development. This to me is almost criminal as we will never be able to replicate that part of Canadian architecture.

We strongly recommend that engineers from our City make a detailed report to the feasibility of moving the Marr house and the potential of collapse.

Using professionals I moved a framed wood farmhouse 300' in the Jerseyville area. This is a stone building with a crumbling foundation.

Tearing down the Brandon House was a total disgrace to our City, so please, let's not make the same mistake.

There are numerous examples where a heritage structure becomes the focal point to a new complex, and I'm sure we have experienced architects in our area that would be pleased to take that on as a challenge.

If we lived in England or Italy this wouldn't even be an issue. It stays put !!

Sincerely,
Graham and Linda Clements

07.5 (a) (xii)

From: Jan King

Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 11:12 AM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Marr- Phillippo House

I love Ancaster and the privilege I have of being a resident here. The heritage of this community is what makes it so valuable. Please do not allow developers to change the the location of the Marr-Phillippo building. We need to work hard to preserve these gems from our past. We need to ensure developers honor our heritage. Hamilton is so fortunate to have a historical community such as Ancaster. I oppose the moving of the Marr-Phillippo House.

Regards

Jan King

07.5 (a) (xiii)

From: Don Currie

Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 2:21 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Opposed to Marr House Relocation

To The City Planning Committee

As a long time resident of Ancaster, I am opposed to the relocation of Marr House from its Wilson Street location.

Thank you.

Don Currie

07.5 (a) (xiv)

From: Patricia Cole-Stever

Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 8:11 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Regarding the MARR House on Wilson St. Ancaster

To the City of Hamilton Planning Committee

Please include this email in your Tuesday, October 5/21 meeting regarding the fate of the Marr House in Ancaster.

I would like to add my voice of OPPOSITION to the **removal, re-location** or **demolition** of the MARR House on Wilson St., in Ancaster. I do not support any of this. Leave the Marr House in its current location and task the developer/ current owner with the proper and perpetual care and maintenance of it. Please add mine to the no doubt large number of oppositions you've already received regarding this issue, thank you.

Regards,

Patti Cole-Stever

07.5 (a) (xv)

From: Starr, David

Sent: Sunday, October 3, 2021 11:22 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Marr House , Ancaster

Hello City Planning Committee,

I support the Marr House remaining where it is. Marr House is one of the very few remaining buildings on Wilson Street dating from the early era when Ancaster Village was first established, beginning at the end of the 18th century. It is a crucial link with the historic origins of Ancaster. Beside it until recently there had been a contemporaneous frame building, the Marr House - dated similarly to the Marr-Philippo House and closely associated with it - which was suddenly demolished without notice and without acknowledgment of its value to the Village by these same developers.

Developers propose to move the Marr-Phillipo House to an out-of-sight position at the rear of the lot - that is, if it survives the move, which is doubtful. If it survives, in its new location it will be lost to view, away from Wilson Street and hidden to the rear of a massive condominium development which is proposed on that large lot, **but most importantly and unknown to most other than the developers is that it loses its heritage-designated building status and associated protection**. According to plans presented by the developers to two local voluntary organizations, which were reported in the Ancaster News, the use of the Marr-Phillipo House in that new position will be restricted only to condominium residents, as an amenity. In their public presentations they threatened Ancaster residents that they will not go ahead with their plans if they are not allowed to move the Marr-Phillipo House.

This is another step in the destruction of Ancaster's unique position as the second earliest established village in Upper Canada.

The Marr-Phillipo building is remarkably well-preserved, but it is fragile. Moving it clearly represents a significant cost to the developers, which raises concerns about its ultimate preservation. Moving it will deprive Ancaster of another precious landmark, similar to the loss of the Brandon House over two years ago now.

We ask that you maintain Marr House's heritage designation, which can only be accomplished by keeping it where it is and has always been for over 180 years.

Respectfully,

Sandra Starr

07.5 (a) (xvi)

From: Paul White

Sent: Monday, October 4, 2021 9:11 AM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Relocating the Marr House

I wish to submit a comment regarding the Marr House, Wilson Street, Ancaster relocation proposal.

I do not support the proposal as it amounts to the demolition of yet another historical building in our community. Our architectural heritage has been neglected for too long, and allowing this proposal to proceed will mean yet another community asset will be lost. I wish the powers that be could see their way to be creative with city planning and stop the loss of things that make our cities livable.

Thank you,

Paul White,

Dundas resident, Ancaster supporter

07.5 (a) (xvii)

From: Simon Hardcastle

Sent: Monday, October 4, 2021 9:38 AM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Cc:

Subject: Marr House - Wilson street

Good morning

As a resident of Lodor Street in Ancaster, I am writing to inform you of my support to keep the Heritage designated Marr House at its current location, and do not approve of its relocation.

We are lucky that Ancaster has a building dating back to the 1840's. It was designated as a Heritage building for a reason. The only reason for its current removal by the developer is because the building is in its way to make profit.

Canada is a young country and the need to preserve buildings from developers is very important for future generations. Merely believing we can just tear them down and build them elsewhere because they are in our way for development does not set a good precedent for all heritage buildings.

Simon Hardcastle

07.5 (a) (xviii)

From: Caroline Reid

Sent: Monday, October 4, 2021 9:58 AM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Marr House On Wilson - Ancaster - Meeting Today Oct 4, 2021

Hello

Please accept this email in your considerations of the Marr House. Change is upon us and there is room for that while respecting and protecting that which has come before us. If something has already been designated a heritage home, we must respect it . It should rest in its glory for all to appreciate. It has been part of this community before many of us have ever thought about the Village of Ancaster. Moving it to the back (like a back closet) would not only jeopardize the structure but it will also diminish the value of it and show disregard for the rules that have been put in place and those of us who value it. . Once all the heritage sites are damaged, destroyed or disrespected what else is there? Imagine if Rome, Greece, Egypt or other communities took the same approach.

The developers of the said lands, upon doing their due diligence were aware of what was on the land. They purchased it and were expected to work with it, not to change the rules or destroy it.. They are not above the rules of the community.

We constantly see rules in place that are disregarded or challenged. We have already seen the destruction of the Brandon House while we all managed the developing pandemic. Please consider the value that our heritage homes have to our community and be the voice for us who do not want to see it damaged, moved or disrespected. These talented developers, engineers and planners can work within their skill set to conserve it and still benefit from their plans.

There is room for us all. Please help save the Marr House where it is.

Thank you.

Caroline

07.5 (a) (xix)

From: Maxine Morris

Sent: Monday, October 4, 2021 10:47 AM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Marr House Ancaster

As a 35 year + resident and taxpayer of Ancaster I am writing to voice my disapproval of any relocation of the Heritage designated Marr House on Wilson street. We need to preserve our historical village streetfronts not destroy them.

Maxine Morris-Zecchini

07.5 (a) (xx)

From: andreamac000

Sent: Monday, October 4, 2021 11:48 AM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Cc:

Subject: The Mar House Ancaster

I am writing concerning the Mar House on Wilson in Ancaster. It has come to my attention that if the Mar House is moved it will lose it's Heritage protection as it will be deemed demolished. Leaving it vulnerable to that fate. This is an important heritage property.

We are a young county we do not have chateaus, or castles with moats. Our heritage is in a few heritage homes left by the original immigrants to this country.

Please consider this in making your decision. Let's leave something of the past for the future!

Thank you

Andrea MacArthur

07.5 (a) (xxi)

From: Wendi Van Exan

Sent: Monday, October 4, 2021 12:33 PM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Subject: Planning committee meeting tuesday Oct 5

Hello

I hope I am not too late to add my thoughts ahead of the planning committee meeting

Regarding the Marr House on Wilson st in Ancaster I wish to let the committee know that we are very much against the moving of this Heritage Building. We all know that any movement would probably destroy the building. As well if it is moved it will be deemed demolished and then lose any protection it has as a heritage building. This would then allow the developers (who are showing no regard for the heritage of this village) to demolish it.

Ancaster has already, as you know, seen many heritage buildings destroyed and we can't let this happen again. This is very important.

Thank you for adding this to the member's correspondence ahead of tomorrow's meeting

Wendi and Richard Van Exan

CITY OF HAMILTON

NOTICE OF MOTION

Planning Committee: October 5, 2021

MOVED BY COUNCILLOR J. FARR.....

Municipal Law Enforcement Weekly Updates to Council

WHEREAS, Municipal Law Enforcement provides weekly updates to Council respecting enforcement activities; and

WHEREAS, These updates include weekly Covid - 19 enforcement, CCMLE Concerns Received for 19 different Bylaws to date, Current LBS Enforcement Priorities and Hotspots and Parks Penalties list.

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED:

That Municipal Law Enforcement staff be requested to include Encampment Enforcement in these weekly updates, to include: tickets issued, calls for enforcement/complaints, and the number of encampment sites (including the number of tents at each site) in each of the six step process and the expedited process that follows the six step process.