

City of Hamilton

AUDIT, FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATIONCOMMITTEE REVISED

Meeting #: 24-009 Date: May 2, 2024 Time: 9:30 a.m. Location: Council Chambers Hamilton City Hall 71 Main Street West

Tamara Bates, Legislative Coordinator (905) 546-2424 ext. 4102

1. CEREMONIAL ACTIVITIES

2. APPROVAL OF AGENDA

(Added Items, if applicable, will be noted with *)

3. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING

4.1 April 18, 2024

5. COMMUNICATIONS

*5.1 Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024

To be received and referred to the consideration of Item 10.1, *Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024* as it relates to the *Development Charges Act, 1997* (FCS24034) (City Wide)

*5.1.a Watson & Associates Economists Ltd. respecting the Assessment of Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024.

Referred from Council, April 24, 2024

*5.1.b Watson & Associates Economists Ltd. respecting the Assessment of Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 and the Proposed Provincial Planning Statement, 2024

Members of the public can contact the Clerk's Office to acquire the documents considered at this meeting, in an alternative format.

*5.2 Development Charges By-Law

Recommendation: To be received and referred to consideration of Item 8.1, Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-Laws

- *5.2.a Colleen Wicken *5.2.b Christiane de Savigny *5.2.c Rose Janson and Family *5.2.d Marie Covert *5.2.e Elizabeth Watson-Morlog *5.2.f Mary Love *5.2.g Heather Vaughan *5.2.h J. Williams *5.2.i Catherine Thomas *5.2.j Verena Walter *5.2.k Lyn Folkes *5.2.1 Steve Chalastra *5.2.m Jan W. Jansen *5.2.n Don Brown *5.2.0 Candy Venning
- *5.2.p Monica McCrory
- *5.2.q Jane Wright
- *5.2.r Bernice McRae
- *5.2.s Patricia (Pat) Wilson, The Wilson Financial Group
- *5.2.t Karijn de Jong
- *5.2.u Luigia DeDivitiis and Allan Buck

Members of the public can contact the Clerk's Office to acquire the documents considered at this meeting, in an alternative format.

- *5.2.v Wendy Leigh-Bell and E. Robert Ross
- *5.2.w Nonni ller
- *5.2.x Theresa McQuaig
- *5.2.y Teresa Gregorio
- *5.2.z Erica Hall
- *5.2.aa Elizabeth Knight
- *5.2.ab Steven Dejonckheere, Slate Asset Management
- *5.2.ac Toni Wodzicki, Broccolini
- *5.2.ad Robert Howe, Goodmans LLP

6. DELEGATION REQUESTS

- *6.1 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws Final Report (for today's meeting)
 - *6.1.a Michelle Diplock, West End Home Builders' Association
 - *6.1.b Amanda C. Stringer, Realtors Association of Hamilton Burlington
 - *6.1.c Greg Dunnett, Hamilton Chamber of Commerce
 - *6.1.d Veronica Green, Slate Asset Management
 - *6.1.e Steven Dejonckheere, Slate Asset Management

7. DELEGATIONS

8. STAFF PRESENTATIONS

- 8.1 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide)
 - *8.1.a 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) REVISED

9. CONSENT ITEMS

10. DISCUSSION ITEMS

Members of the public can contact the Clerk's Office to acquire the documents considered at this meeting, in an alternative format.

- 10.1 Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 as it Relates to the Development Charges Act, 1997 (FCS24034) (City Wide)
- 10.2 Proposed Amendments to Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule (FCS24008/HUR24002) (City Wide) (Outstanding Business List Item)
- 10.3 Capital Project Closing Report as of September 30, 2023 (FCS23095(a)) (City Wide)

11. MOTIONS

12. NOTICES OF MOTION

13. GENERAL INFORMATION / OTHER BUSINESS

- 13.1 Amendments to the Outstanding Business List
 - 13.1.a Items Considered Completed and To Be Removed
 - 13.1.a.a Fair Wage Policy Review

Added April 6, 2023 (Audit, Finance and Administration Committee Report 23-005, Item (h)(i)) Addressed as Item 10.2 on today's agenda

14. PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

- 14.1 Closed Minutes of Previous Meetings
 - 14.1.a Closed Minutes April 18, 2024

Pursuant to Section 9.3, Sub-sections (a) and (f) of the City's Procedural By-law 21-021, as amended, and Section 239(2), Sub-sections (a) and (f) of the Ontario Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, as the subject matter pertains to the security of the property of the City or a local board and advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

15. ADJOURNMENT

Page 5 of 341



AUDIT, FINANCE AND ADMINISTRATION COMMITTEE MINUTES 24-006

9:30 a.m. April 18, 2024 Council Chambers Hamilton City Hall

Present: Councillors T. Hwang (Chair), J. Beattie, C. Kroetsch, M. Spadafora, A. Wilson, and M. Wilson

Absent with

Regrets: Councillors B. Clark and M. Tadeson – Personal

THE FOLLOWING ITEMS WERE REFERRED TO COUNCIL FOR CONSIDERATION:

1. Report of the 2023 Remuneration and Expenses as Required under Section 284 of the Municipal Act (FCS24019) (Item 9.1)

(Spadafora/Kroetsch)

That Report FCS24019, respecting Report of the 2023 Remuneration and Expenses as Required under Section 284 of the Municipal Act, be received.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 6 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson YES - Ward 2 Councillor Cameron Kroetsch YES - CHAIR - Ward 4 Councillor Tammy Hwang ABSENT - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark YES - Ward 10 Councillor Jeff Beattie ABSENT - Ward 11 Councillor Mark Tadeson YES - Ward 13 Councillor Alex Wilson YES - Ward 14 Councillor Mike Spadafora

2. Auditor General Reporting of Serious Matters to Council (Case #73367) (AUD24003) (Item 14.2)

(Spadafora/A. Wilson)

That Report AUD24003, respecting Auditor General Reporting of Serious Matters to Council (Case #73367), be received and remain confidential.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 6 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson YES - Ward 2 Councillor Cameron Kroetsch YES - CHAIR - Ward 4 Councillor Tammy Hwang ABSENT - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark YES - Ward 10 Councillor Jeff Beattie ABSENT - Ward 11 Councillor Mark Tadeson YES - Ward 13 Councillor Alex Wilson YES - Ward 14 Councillor Mike Spadafora

FOR INFORMATION:

(a) CHANGES TO THE AGENDA (Item 2)

The Committee Clerk advised of the following changes to the agenda:

14. PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL

14.2(a) Auditor General Reporting of Serious Matters to Council (Case #73367) (AUD24003) - Presentation

(Beattie/Kroetsch)

That the agenda for the April 18, 2024 Audit, Finance and Administration Committee meeting, be approved, as amended.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson YES - Ward 2 Councillor Cameron Kroetsch YES - CHAIR - Ward 4 Councillor Tammy Hwang ABSENT - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark YES - Ward 10 Councillor Jeff Beattie ABSENT - Ward 11 Councillor Mark Tadeson YES - Ward 13 Councillor Alex Wilson ABSENT - Ward 14 Councillor Mike Spadafora

(b) DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Item 3)

There were no declarations of interest.

(c) APPROVAL OF MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING (Item 4)

(i) Approval of Minutes of the Previous Meeting (Item 4.1)

(Kroetsch/Beattie)

That the following Minutes be approved, as presented:

- (1) February 22, 2024
- (2) April 4, 2024 Special.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 5 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson YES - Ward 2 Councillor Cameron Kroetsch YES - CHAIR - Ward 4 Councillor Tammy Hwang ABSENT - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark YES - Ward 10 Councillor Jeff Beattie ABSENT - Ward 11 Councillor Mark Tadeson YES - Ward 13 Councillor Alex Wilson ABSENT - Ward 14 Councillor Mike Spadafora

(d) PRIVATE AND CONFIDENTIAL (Item 14)

(i) Closed Minutes – February 22, 2024

(Kroetsch/A. Wilson)

That the Closed Minutes of the February 22, 2024 meeting be approved, as presented.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 6 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson YES - Ward 2 Councillor Cameron Kroetsch YES - CHAIR - Ward 4 Councillor Tammy Hwang ABSENT - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark YES - Ward 10 Councillor Jeff Beattie ABSENT - Ward 11 Councillor Mark Tadeson YES - Ward 13 Councillor Alex Wilson YES - Ward 14 Councillor Mike Spadafora

(Beattie/Kroetsch)

That the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee move into Closed Session, pursuant to Section 9.3, Sub-sections (a) and (f) of the City's Procedural By-law 21-021, as amended, and Section 239(2), Sub-sections (a) and (f) of the Ontario Municipal Act, 2001, as amended, as the subject matter pertains to the security of the property of the City or a local board and advice that is subject to solicitor-client privilege, including communications necessary for that purpose.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 6 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson YES - Ward 2 Councillor Cameron Kroetsch YES - CHAIR - Ward 4 Councillor Tammy Hwang ABSENT - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark YES - Ward 10 Councillor Jeff Beattie ABSENT - Ward 11 Councillor Mark Tadeson YES - Ward 13 Councillor Alex Wilson YES - Ward 14 Councillor Mike Spadafora

(ii) Auditor General Reporting of Serious Matters to Council (Case #73367) (AUD24003) (Item 14.2)

For further disposition of this matter, refer to Item 2.

(iii) Auditor General Reporting of Serious Matters to Council (Case #73367) (AUD24003) - Presentation (Added Item 14.2(a))

(A. Wilson/Spadafora)

That the presentation from Charles Brown, Auditor General, respecting Auditor General Reporting of Serious Matters to Council (Case #73367) in closed session, be received and remain confidential.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 6 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson YES - Ward 2 Councillor Cameron Kroetsch YES - CHAIR - Ward 4 Councillor Tammy Hwang ABSENT - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark YES - Ward 10 Councillor Jeff Beattie ABSENT - Ward 11 Councillor Mark Tadeson YES - Ward 13 Councillor Alex Wilson YES - Ward 14 Councillor Mike Spadafora

For further disposition of this matter, refer to Item 2.

(e) ADJOURNMENT (Item 15)

(Kroetsch/Beattie)

That, there being no further business, the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee, be adjourned at 10:27 a.m.

Result: Motion CARRIED by a vote of 6 to 0, as follows:

YES - Ward 1 Councillor Maureen Wilson YES - Ward 2 Councillor Cameron Kroetsch YES - CHAIR - Ward 4 Councillor Tammy Hwang ABSENT - Ward 9 Councillor Brad Clark YES - Ward 10 Councillor Jeff Beattie ABSENT - Ward 11 Councillor Mark Tadeson YES - Ward 13 Councillor Alex Wilson YES - Ward 14 Councillor Mike Spadafora

Respectfully submitted,

Councillor Tammy Hwang, Chair Audit, Finance and Administration Committee

Tamara Bates Legislative Coordinator Office of the City Clerk

Page 11 of 341



April 11, 2024

To Our Municipal Clients:

Re: Assessment of Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024

On behalf of our many municipal clients, we are writing to inform you of the Ontario Legislature's proposed changes to the *Development Charges Act* (D.C.A.) under Bill 185 (*Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act*) and to Ontario Regulation 82/98 under the D.C.A. These proposed changes are with respect to:

- The definition of eligible capital costs (to include certain studies);
- The removal of the mandatory phase-in of charges;
- The process for minor amendments to development charge (D.C.) by-laws;
- A reduction of time for the D.C. rate freeze related to site plan and zoning by-law amendment planning applications;
- Modernizing public notice requirements; and
- Implementation of the Affordable Residential Unit exemptions.

Further details with respect to these proposed changes are provided below.

With respect to changes to the *Planning Act* arising from Bill 185, Watson will be preparing a subsequent letter summarizing the changes.

1. Revised Definition of Capital Costs

On November 28, 2022, the Province enacted Bill 23, *More Homes Built Faster Act*, which included a number of discounts, exemptions, and reductions to D.C.s. As part of this legislation, the definition of capital costs (subsection 5 (3) of the D.C.A.) was amended to remove studies, including D.C. background studies.

Bill 185 proposes to reverse the capital cost amendments of the *More Homes Built Faster Act* (Bill 23) by reinstating studies as an eligible capital cost. The following paragraphs are proposed to be added to subsection 5 (3) of the D.C.A.:

- 5. Costs to undertake studies in connection with any of the matters referred to in paragraphs 1 to 4.
- 6. Costs of the development charge background study required under section 10.



The proposed amendment will allow municipalities to fund studies, consistent with bylaws passed prior to the *More Homes Built Faster Act* (Bill 23). This will allow for the funding of master plans, D.C. background studies, and similar studies that inform the capital costs of the D.C. background study.

2. Removal of the Mandatory Phase-in

The *More Homes Built Faster Act* (Bill 23) required the phase-in of charges imposed in a D.C. by-law over a five-year term. D.C. by-laws passed after January 1, 2022, were required to phase-in the calculated charges as follows:

- Year 1 of the by-law 80% of the charges could be imposed;
- Year 2 of the by-law 85% of the charges could be imposed;
- Year 3 of the by-law 90% of the charges could be imposed;
- Year 4 of the by-law 95% of the charges could be imposed; and
- Years 5 to 10 of the by-law 100% of the charges could be imposed.

Bill 185 proposes to remove the mandatory phase-in of the charges. It is proposed that this change would be effective for D.C. by-laws passed after Bill 185 comes into effect.

For site plan and zoning by-law amendment applications that were made prior to Bill 185 receiving Royal Assent, the charges payable will be the charges that were in place on the day the planning application was made (i.e., including the applicable mandatory phase-in).

Note, the Bill also proposes to allow minor amendments to D.C. by-laws that include these phase-in provisions. As provided in further detail below, these amendments would not require the preparation of a D.C. background study or undertake the statutory public process, and the amendments would not be subject to Ontario Land Tribunal appeal. This provision will only be available for a period of six months after Bill 185 takes effect.

3. Process for Minor Amendments to D.C. By-laws

Section 19 of the D.C.A. requires that a municipality must follow sections 10 through 18 of the D.C.A. (with necessary modifications) when amending D.C. by-laws. Sections 10 through 18 of the D.C.A. generally require the following:

- Completion of a D.C. background study, including the requirement to post the background study 60 days prior to passage of the D.C. by-law;
- Passage of a D.C. by-law within one year of the completion of the D.C. background study;
- A public meeting, including notice requirements; and
- The ability to appeal the by-law to the Ontario Land Tribunal.



Bill 185 proposes to allow municipalities to undertake minor amendments to D.C. bylaws for the following purposes without adherence to the requirements noted above (with the exception of the notice requirements):

- 1. To repeal a provision of the D.C. by-law specifying the date the by-law expires or to amend the provision to extend the expiry date (subject to the 10-year limitations provided in the D.C.A.);
- 2. To impose D.C.s for studies, including the D.C. background study; and
- 3. To remove the provisions related to the mandatory phase-in of D.C.s as discussed in section 2 of this letter.

Minor amendments related to items 2 and 3 noted above may be undertaken only if the D.C. by-law being amended was passed after November 28, 2022, and before Bill 185 takes effect. Moreover, the amending by-law must be passed within six months of Bill 185 taking effect.

Notice requirements for these minor amending by-laws are similar to the typical notice requirements, with the exception of the requirement to identify the last day for appealing the by-law (as these provisions do not apply).

4. Reduction of D.C. Rate Freeze Timeframe

Bill 108, *More Homes, More Choices Act, 2019*, which received Royal Assent on June 6, 2019, provided several changes to the D.C.A. including the requirement to freeze the D.C.s imposed on certain developments. This applied to developments that were subject to a site plan and/or a zoning by-law amendment application. The D.C. rate for these developments is "frozen" at the rates that were in effect at the time the site plan and/or a zoning by-law amendment application was submitted (subject to applicable interest). Once the application is approved by the municipality, if the date the D.C. is payable^[1] is more than two years from the approval date, the D.C. rate freeze would no longer apply.

Bill 185 proposes to reduce the two-year timeframe to 18 months and move this timeframe from being identified in O. Reg. 82/98 to being identified in the D.C.A. Transition provisions are included that require the two-year D.C. "freeze" for site plan and zoning by-law amendment applications that were approved prior to Bill 185 receiving Royal Assent to remain in effect.

^[1] In the case of Rental Housing and Institutional development, once the application is approved by the municipality, if the date the first building permit is issued is more than two years after the date of approval, the D.C. rate freeze would no longer apply.



Note that the streamlined process for minor amending by-laws does not appear to include the ability to amend D.C. by-laws to meet this legislative change.

5. Other Proposed Changes

Along with the proposed legislative changes outlined in Bill 185, the Province has identified related proposed regulatory changes regarding modernization of the public notice requirements. In addition, the Province has noted that implementation of the Affordable Residential Unit exemption will occur on June 1, 2024.

5.1 Modernizing Public Notice Requirements

The D.C.A. sets out the requirements for municipalities to give notice of public meetings and of by-law passage. These requirements are prescribed in sections 9 and 10 of O. Reg. 82/98 and include giving notice in a newspaper of sufficiently general circulation in the area to which the by-law would apply. The proposed regulatory changes would modernize public notice requirements by allowing municipalities to provide notice on a municipal website if a local newspaper is not available.

5.2 Implementing the Affordable Residential Unit Exemption

The More Homes Built Faster Act (Bill 23) identified an exemption for Affordable Residential Units. This exemption was subsequently revised through Bill 134, *Affordable Homes and Good Jobs Act, 2023*, which received Royal Assent on December 4, 2023. The exemption is summarized as follows:

- Affordable Rental: Where the rent is no greater than the lesser of the income based affordable rent^[1] set out in the Affordable Residential Units Bulletin and the average market rent identified in the Affordable Residential Units Bulletin.
- Affordable Owned Unit: Where the price of the residential unit is no greater than the lesser of the income-based affordable purchase price^[2] set out in the Affordable Residential Units Bulletin and 90% of the average purchase price identified in the Affordable Residential Units Bulletin.

^[1] Based on the 60th percentile of gross annual incomes for renter households in the applicable local municipality and where the rent is equal to 30% of the income of the household.

^[2] Based on the 60th percentile of gross annual incomes for households in the applicable local municipality and where the purchase price would result in annual accommodation costs equal to 30 per cent of the income of the household.



The Provincial Backgrounder has indicated that this exemption will come into force on June 1, 2024, and that the Affordable Residential Units Bulletin will be posted on Ontario.ca.

Note, no commentary has been provided on the Attainable Unit exemption at this time.

6. Summary Comments on the Proposed Amendments

Many of these changes to the D.C.A. appear positive for municipalities by assisting in ensuring that growth pays for growth to the extent possible. This is achieved by allowing for the inclusion of growth-related studies that will allow municipalities to appropriately plan for additional development. Furthermore, the removal of the mandatory phase-in provisions ensures discounts to D.C.s are not provided to development and redevelopment that municipalities do not aim to incentivize. The reduction in the D.C. rate freeze timeline helps to ensure development that is not proceeding quickly does not receive D.C. discounts. Additionally, the ability to make minor amendments to D.C. by-laws to align with the legislative changes without onerous administrative requirements will assist municipalities in aligning policies with the amended legislation quickly. Modernizing the public notice requirements further assists municipalities in areas where there is no local newspaper.

With respect to the implementation of the Affordable Residential Unit exemption on June 1, 2024, as stated in previous correspondence, while it is an admirable goal to create additional affordable housing units, further D.C., community benefits charge, and parkland exemptions will continue to provide further financial burdens on municipalities to fund these exemptions.

Watson will be providing a submission through the Environmental Registry of Ontario on these legislative changes. Watson will also be seeking an opportunity to speak as a delegation to the Standing Committee, if possible, to provide our comments on behalf of our municipal clients. We will continue to monitor the progress of Bill 185 through the legislature and will continue to keep our clients informed of any changes. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours very truly,

WATSON & ASSOCIATES ECONOMISTS LTD.

Daryl Abbs, MBE, PLE, Managing Partner Andrew Grunda, MBA, CPA, CMA, Principal Jamie Cook, MCIP, RPP, PLE, Managing Partner Peter Simcisko, BA (Hons), MBE, Managing Partner Sean-Michael Stephen, MBA, Managing Partner Jack Ammendolia, BES, PLE, Managing Partner

Page 17 of 341



April 24, 2024

To our Municipal clients:

Re: <u>Assessment of Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 and</u> <u>the Proposed Provincial Planning Statement, 2024</u>

On behalf of our many municipal clients, we are writing to inform you of the Ontario Legislature's proposed changes to the *Planning Act* under Bill 185 (*Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act*) and the proposed Provincial Planning Statement, 2024 (PPS, 2024). The primary focus of this letter is to provide our assessment of the proposed PPS, 2024 and its potential impacts on growth management in Ontario. The proposed PPS, 2024 was released in coordination with Bill 185 on April 10, 2024, for a 30-day comment period. The comment period on the proposed PPS, 2024 ends on May 12, 2024 (the deadline was extended by two days after the release of the French version of the proposed PPS, 2024 is approved, all municipal decisions would be required to be consistent with the PPS, 2024 under subsections 3 (5) and 3 (6) of the *Planning Act*.

1. Proposed Planning Act Changes

With respect to the proposed changes to the *Planning Act* under Bill 185, we have identified the following key impacts as they broadly relate to growth management in Ontario.

Upper-Tier Municipalities with No Planning Responsibilities to Come into Effect on July 1, 2024, for the Regional Municipalities of Halton, Peel, and York

- The Province introduced the concepts of "upper-tier municipalities without planning responsibilities" and "upper-tier municipalities with planning responsibilities" to the *Planning Act* as part of Bill 23. "Upper-tier municipalities without planning responsibilities" includes a list of seven upper-tier municipalities comprising all the upper-tier municipalities in the Greater Toronto Area, as well as the County of Simcoe, the Region of Niagara, and the Region of Waterloo. Bill 185 builds upon this and amends the *Planning Act* to implement changes to certain upper-tier municipalities, "upper-tier municipalities without planning responsibilities."
- Under Bill 185, the Region of Halton, the Region of Peel, and the Region of York will become "upper-tier municipalities without planning responsibilities" on July 1, 2024. The County of Simcoe, the Region of Durham, the Region of Niagara, and the Region of Waterloo will become "upper-tier municipalities without planning



responsibilities" at a future date to be named by proclamation of the Lieutenant Governor.^[1]

Upon the review of Bill 23, Watson & Associates Economists Ltd. (Watson)
previously expressed concerns with these significant changes to regional
planning. We anticipate that there will continue to be a strong need for impacted
upper-tier municipalities to address regional growth management coordination
efforts (e.g., coordination of regional growth forecasts and regional urban land
needs assessments, assessment of regional infrastructure needs and review of
cross-jurisdictional issues) working with their area municipalities.

Elimination of Third-Party Appeal Rights to Include Municipally Approved Official Plans, Official Plan Amendments, Zoning By-Laws and Zoning By-Law Amendments

- As part of Bill 23, the Province amended the *Planning Act* to limit appeals for minor variances, a plan of subdivision, or a consent to sever to the applicant, the municipal authority, the Minister, or a "specified person." "Specified person" is a new term introduced with the intent to focus appeals on a more focused group, including applicants, public bodies, Indigenous communities, and utilities providers. Appeal rights removed include third-party landowners, ratepayers, and other members of the public that are not the applicant, the Minister, an approval authority, a public body, or a "specified person." Under Bill 185, it is proposed that the elimination of third-party appeals would be extended to include municipally approved Official Plans, Official Plan Amendments, Zoning By-laws and Zoning By-law Amendments.^[2]
- Bill 185 proposes to remove appeal rights for "upper-tier municipalities with no planning responsibilities"; these upper-tier municipalities will only be able to provide comments on applications. As a result, utility providers will have stronger tools (including appeal rights) to protect their infrastructure relative to upper-tier municipalities who are responsible for managing and building infrastructure, as well as the associated risks (e.g., financial and public safety).^[3]

Restore Appeal Rights for Privately Initiated Settlement Area Boundary Expansions

 Private-sector applications for a boundary of area of settlement (settlement area expansions) can be appealed to the Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT) provided that it

^[1] Bill 185, Schedule 1, section 1.

^[2] Bill 185, Schedule 12, section 3 (1).

^[3] The Regional Municipality of York, Report of the Commissioner of Corporate Services and Chief Planner for Regional Council on April 25, 2024 – Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 (Bill 185) – Proposed Changes to Planning Act, 1990, Municipal Act, 2001 and Provincial Planning Statement.



is not within the Greenbelt Area. Under the current *Planning Act*, an applicant cannot appeal an Official Plan Amendment or Zoning By-law Amendment application that would expand or alter an in-force settlement area boundary.^[1] It is important to note that this appeal right does not extend to settlement boundary expansions that have received a Minister's decision as part of an Official Plan and Official Plan Amendment. The Minister's decision is still final.

• Permitting appeals may result in more land being designated through OLT decisions than what was identified by municipalities in Official Plans and would potentially have the impact of undermining local growth management objectives established through an Official Plan Review.

A New "Use it or Lose it" Tool for Municipalities to Tackle Stalled Developments

- Proposed changes to the *Planning Act* include a new "use it or lose it" tool for municipalities to tackle stalled developments that have unused servicing capacity allocation (water and sewage servicing). The proposal as part of Bill 185, includes a framework for the municipality to expand the scope of lapsing provisions, including requiring approval authorities to impose a lapsing condition for all draft subdivision/condominium and site plan control approvals.^[2]
 Previously, this was an option for municipalities; now it is a requirement. It should be noted that municipalities can provide for lapsing provisions of previous applications, subject to notice to the owner.^[3]
- The new provisions would provide an incentive for developers/builders to move forward on an approved application. From a growth management perspective, this tool would potentially provide more certainty when determining housing and land supply potential to accommodate growth within the short term.

Create a New "Servicing Management" Tool to Facilitate Infrastructure Servicing Re-Allocation to Make More Efficient Use of Municipal Servicing Capacity

 This bill proposes to create a new municipal servicing management tool that would explicitly authorize municipalities to adopt policies by by-law (if they do not already exist) to establish how water and sewage servicing of an approved development is managed. Furthermore, it would enable municipalities to allocate and reallocate servicing capacity to other projects if the approved development has not proceeded after a specified timeline and the servicing is needed elsewhere in the service area. Should municipalities adopt such a by-law, it would not be appealable to the OLT.^[4]

^[1] Bill 185, Schedule 12, section 6 (4).

^[2] Bill 185, Schedule 12, section 10 (3) and section 12.

^[3] Bill 185, Schedule 4, section 2.

^[4] Bill 185, Schedule 12, section 14.



- Currently, the *Planning Act* already provides municipalities with the authority to enact by-laws to establish an allocation system for water and wastewater servicing for lands that are subject to a draft plan of subdivision. Bill 185 proposes to repeal this provision of the *Planning Act* and give municipalities the authority to pass by-laws to create a policy for water and servicing capacity, which may include the tracking of water and wastewater servicing capacity for approved developments and establishing criteria for the allocation to future development applications.^[1] Bill 185 proposes to replace this policy in the *Planning Act* and to add a new section 86.1 to Part III (Specific Municipal Powers) of the *Municipal Act, 2001*.^[2]
- These changes will empower municipalities to shift servicing allocation that will deliver the development of homes and employment growth opportunities faster. Furthermore, it provides more transparency on the expectations of servicing for future development applications.

Elimination of Parking Standards in Protected Major Transit Station Areas to Provide More Flexibility

 Proposed changes to the *Planning Act* will include prohibiting municipalities from setting parking minimums in Protected Major Transit Station Areas (PMTSAs). This would allow the market and developers the ability to decide the parking requirements in PMTSAs based on market needs.^[3] This could provide opportunities to increase housing yields in PMTSAs and possibly reduce development costs through potentially lower parking requirements.

A New Minister's Zoning Orders (MZO) Framework

 To provide better transparency at the provincial level, the Province has established a framework setting out how requests for zoning orders will be received and considered. The framework includes intake thresholds, submission requirements, and a process for Ministry assessment and decision-making. The intake requirements would need to demonstrate that the MZO delivers on a provincial priority that is supported by an Ontario government ministry and/or is supported by a single-tier or lower-tier municipality through a municipal council resolution or a letter from a mayor with strong mayor powers. Formal input from upper-tier municipal councils is excluded from the intake requirements. Submission requirements that should be provided with an application include a rationale on why the project requires ministerial zoning relief rather than following

- ^[2] Bill 185, Schedule 9 (*Municipal Act, 2001*).
- ^[3] Bill 185, Schedule 12, section 2.

^[1] Based on interpretation by McMillan LLP, Introducing Bill 185, the Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, and an Update on the New Provincial Planning Statement, April 17, 2024.



municipal planning processes; a description of consultation with the public and engagement with Indigenous communities; and information related to how and when servicing (water/wastewater) will be addressed.^[1]

- While the applicant is required to demonstrate that it supports provincial priorities and/or local council support, the MZO framework does not require an applicant to support the need for the application in consideration of existing urban land supply opportunities, the status of other applications within municipalities, or forecast demand for housing within an established planning horizon. Provincial priorities established in the framework are very broad and include addressing housing and economic development opportunities which would not limit many applications, if any.
- We continue to support the recommendations provided to the Province by the Association of Municipalities of Ontario to improve the MZO framework that would include MZOs being used in collaboration with municipalities and use MZOs only in situations of extraordinary urgency.^[2]

Remove the Community Infrastructure and Housing Accelerator Tool from the *Planning Act*

- The proposed changes would include removing the Community Infrastructure and Housing Accelerator (CHIA) tool (brought in under Bill 109) from the *Planning Act.*^[3] Instead of the CHIA tool, municipalities can rely on the new MZO framework that provides clarity on how MZO requests from municipalities will be received and considered going forward.
- Proposed transition rules will be provided to permit CHIA orders that have been made to date to continue functioning as municipal zoning by-laws.

Enhance and Expand Municipal Planning Data Regulation (O. Reg. 73/23) to Include 21 Additional Municipalities (50 Municipalities in Total)

 On April 6, 2023, Ontario Regulation (O. Reg.) 73/23: Municipal Planning Data Reporting (as part of Bill 109), came into effect. This regulation requires 29 municipalities in Ontario to report information on planning matters to the Ministry on a quarterly and annual basis. The 29 municipalities have already provided reporting on a quarterly basis. Under Bill 185, this would be expanded to 50 municipalities.^[4]

^[1] Province of Ontario – Zoning Order Framework, retrieved online: <u>Zoning order</u> <u>framework | ontario.ca</u>, accessed April 19, 2024.

^[2] Association of Municipalities of Ontario, retrieved online: <u>Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to</u> <u>Build More Homes Act, 2024 | AMO</u>, accessed April 19, 2024.

^[3] *Planning Act*, section, 34.1.

^[4] Environmental Registry of Ontario, ERO 019-8368, Proposed Amendments to Ontario Regulation 73/23: Municipal Planning Data Reporting.



- The reporting requirements include preparing a standardized summary table that outlines key statistics on planning applications for each quarterly report (e.g., total number of submissions, decisions) and documenting changes to settlement area boundaries, Employment Area conversions, and major transit station areas (MTSAs) on an annual basis. Providing geospatial data that identifies designated serviced land supply is also required as part of the reporting. The additional 21 municipalities would be required to publish this summary on their respective municipal webpages and update the summary each quarter, beginning October 1, 2024.^[1]
- It is our opinion that this regulation change is a key step forward in setting minimum standards for municipalities in reporting land supply. This also provides an opportunity for the municipalities to build upon these provincial requirements and proactively track and monitor growth, which will better empower municipalities in making informed decisions on planning for growth.

Enhancing and Broadening the Framework for Additional Residential Units

- Under subsection 35.1 (2) of the *Planning Act*, the Minister is authorized to make regulations regarding Additional Residential Units (ARUs) by establishing requirements and standards with respect to a second or third residential unit in a detached house, semi-detached house, or rowhouse, as well as a residential unit in a building or structure ancillary to such a house.
- Bill 185 proposes to broaden provisions to allow the Minister to regulate any ARUs in an existing home (as noted above) or ancillary structure for the purposes of an ARU. If approved, the Minister will have a new regulation-making power to remove zoning barriers to accommodate ARU developments which may include maximum lot coverage and limits on the number of bedrooms allowed per lot.^[2]

2. Proposed Provincial Planning Statement, 2024

In 2023, the Province set in motion consultation on a Provincial Planning Statement (PPS, 2023) that proposes to integrate the Provincial Policy Statement, 2020 (PPS, 2020) and A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (Growth Plan) into a single document. The proposed PPS, 2023 was released for public comment in April 2023 and was introduced as part of Bill 97 – *the Helping Homebuyers, Protecting Tenants Act.* On April 10, 2024, the Province posted another draft of the PPS. Based on a review by Watson, we note that the PPS, 2024 is not significantly different than the previous PPS, 2023. There are, however, more parameters, additional guidance, and strengthening of policies related to the management of growth

^[2] Bill 185, Schedule 12, section 9.

^[1]Ontario Regulation 73/23 filed April 6, 2023, under *Planning Act,* R.S.O. 1990, c. P.13.



relative to the proposed PPS, 2023. Provided below are key highlights of the proposed PPS, 2024 with a key focus on growth management in Ontario. Some of the highlights below include policies that are proposed to be carried forward from the PPS, 2023.

A Flexible Growth Forecast Horizon

Compared to the PPS, 2020, the proposed PPS, 2024 provides a more flexible horizon for planning for growth by providing a planning horizon with a minimum of 20 years and a maximum of 30 years. Similar to the proposed PPS, 2023, "planning for infrastructure, public service facilities, strategic growth areas and employment areas may extend beyond this time horizon."^[1] Based on our interpretation of the proposed PPS, 2024, this would suggest that municipalities are to designate land to accommodate growth over a 20- or 30-year period, with the opportunity to designate additional land beyond the 30-year time horizon for Employment Areas.

Initial Direction on Growth Forecasting

The proposed PPS, 2024 notes that "planning authorities shall base population and employment growth forecasts on Ministry of Finance (MOF) 25-year projections and may modify projections, as appropriate"^[2] (underlining added). It is our interpretation that municipalities are not required to utilize the MOF forecasts and that they are not meant to replace long-term forecasting by municipalities. It is important to note that the MOF population forecasts are provided at the Census division level only, which typically represents upper-tier municipalities, including separated municipalities (e.g., the City of Stratford and the Town of St. Marys are included with the County of Perth Census Division) and large urban single-tier municipalities. The MOF does not provide forecasts at the area municipal level.^[3] Furthermore, the most recent Summer 2023 MOF forecast provides growth estimates to the year 2046. Subsection 2.1.3 of the proposed PPS, 2024 states that urban land needs can be calculated up to 30 years. As such, current MOF forecasts would need to be extended from 2046 to 2054 to accommodate a full 30-year planning horizon. It is our interpretation that the use of the MOF forecasts is not meant to replace long-term forecasting by municipalities but the forecasts are to be used as a starting place in establishing forecasts and testing the reasonableness of alternative regional forecasts and area municipal growth allocations, a practice that Watson currently carries out.

Municipalities within the Greater Golden Horseshoe (GGH) are required to continue to use forecasts issued by the Province through Schedule 3 of the Growth Plan until more

^[1] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.1.3, p. 6

^[2] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.1.1, p. 6

^[3] Census division is the general term for provincially legislated areas (such as municipality, county, region or district) or their equivalents. Census divisions are intermediate geographic areas between the province/territory level and the municipality (Census subdivision).



current forecasts are available to 2051, as informed by guidance provided by the Province.^[2] Forecasts established in Schedule 3 of the Growth Plan and the allocation of growth by lower-tier municipality are to be considered minimum growth forecasts. It is unknown at this time whether this policy of growth forecasts as minimums will be carried forward. We anticipate that future guidance documents will provide direction on this matter.

It should be noted that the proposed PPS, 2024 encourages growth management undertaken by municipalities to be coordinated with adjacent planning authorities when planning is not conducted by an upper-tier municipality.^[3] We envision the need for local municipalities, where planning is not conducted by an upper-tier municipality, to include a consultation process or technical advisory group comprising representatives of adjacent municipalities when conducting Official Plan Reviews and other related comprehensive planning studies.

Minster's Zoning Orders (MZOs) are Considered in Addition to Projected Needs

According to the proposed PPS, 2024, MZOs are to be treated as "in addition to projected needs" over the planning horizon. In planning for MZOs lands, the proposed PPS, 2024 states these lands must be incorporated into the Official Plan and related infrastructure plans.^[4] Since MZO lands are not tied to an assessment of need, it is recommended that when planning for these lands the timing of their buildout is not held to a targeted minimum or maximum planning horizon. As such, it is recognized that full development of MZOs may or may not extend beyond the 30-year maximum planning horizon set out in the proposed PPS, 2024, subject to anticipated economic growth and real estate market demand within the municipality and the broader economic region over the horizon of the plan. It is our opinion that the timing of development regarding approved MZOs should be established through provincial and local phasing policies, municipal servicing plans, and reviewed through regular monitoring.

Providing for an Appropriate Range and Mix of Housing Options

Similar to the proposed PPS, 2023, under subsection 2.1.4 of the proposed PPS, 2024 planning authorities are to:

 a) maintain at all times the ability to accommodate residential growth for a minimum of 15 years through lands which are designated and available for residential development; and

^[2] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.1, p. 6; and Environmental Registry of Ontario, ERO 019-8462: Review of proposed policies for a new provincial planning policy instrument.

^[3] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 6.2.10, p. 36.

^[4] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.1.1, p. 6.



b) maintain at all times where new development is to occur, land with servicing capacity to provide at least a three-year supply of residential units available through lands suitably zoned, including units in draft approved registered plans.

We recommend that where planning authorities have established minimum targets for intensification and redevelopment, these targets are considered in the assessment of proposed PPS, 2024 policy 2.1.4. a) and b).

Subsection 2.1.5 of the proposed PPS, 2024 identifies that where planning is conducted by an upper-tier municipality, the land and unit supply maintained by the lower-tier municipality shall be based on and reflect the allocation of population and units by the upper-tier municipality. This policy emphasizes the need for urban land and housing needs to be assessed at the local municipal level within two-tier planning systems.

Anticipated Guidance Documents on Growth Forecasting and Land Needs

We anticipate that the Province will release a guidance document on projecting growth and associated land requirements.^[5] On March 12, 2024, the Province re-opened a proposal on Environmental Registry Ontario (ERO) for A Proposed Approach to Update the Projection Methodology Guideline.^[6] This proposal was initially posted in June 2021 following the release of the PPS, 2020. As noted in the ERO proposal summary, the last provincial guidance document on growth projections and land needs for the entire Province was provided in 1995. The 1995 Projection Methodology has been generally used by Watson as a source of best practice for growth forecasting. Since 1995, the Province released a Land Needs Assessment Methodology for the GGH with a few updates.^[7] This document has since been used as a best practice for projecting growth and urban land needs across the GGH.

It should be noted that the Province has not yet updated the document entitled, "Proposed Approach to Implementation of the Proposed Provincial Planning Statement" which accompanied the proposed PPS, 2023 in April 2023.

^[5] The ERO 019-2346 proposal summary notes that "Guidance for projecting population and related land requirements may be updated after finalization of the proposed Provincial Planning Statement to reflect final policy direction and considering feedback received."

^[6] Environmental Registry of Ontario, ERO 019-2346, A Proposed Approach to Update the Projection Methodology Guideline.

^[7] The last update to the methodology came into effect on August 28, 2020. The proposed PPS plans to combined both the PPS and the Growth Plan and if approved, this document would no longer be in force.



No Significant Policy Change and Approach to Planning for Affordable Housing

The proposed PPS, 2024 carries forward a similar definition of affordable housing as established in the PPS, 2020. The definition of affordable housing in the proposed PPS, 2024, however, is based on the municipality instead of the regional market area as defined in the PPS, 2020. The definition of affordable housing was notably missing in the proposed PPS, 2023. Additionally, the proposed PPS, 2024 carries forward the requirement of "establishing and implementing minimum targets for the provision of housing that is affordable to low- and moderate-income households."^[9] The proposed PPS, 2024 does not address the issue of attainable housing, an issue that was also lacking in the PPS, 2020.

Settlement Areas Remain Focus of Growth and Development

The proposed PPS, 2024 identifies that settlement areas shall be the focus of growth and development. Within settlement areas, where applicable, growth should be focused in Strategic Growth Areas (SGAs), including Major Transit Station Areas (MTSAs), and that planning authorities shall support general intensification and redevelopment to promote the achievement of complete communities. Planning authorities are encouraged to establish and implement minimum targets for intensification and development within built-up areas, based on local conditions. Planning authorities are also encouraged to establish density targets for designated growth areas, based on local conditions. Large and fast-growing municipalities are encouraged to plan for a target of 50 residents and jobs per gross hectare in designated growth areas. Large and fast-growing municipalities are identified in Schedule 1 of the proposed PPS, 2024.

Based on our experience, all large and fast-growing municipalities are anticipated to achieve average densities in designated growth areas above 50 residents and jobs per gross hectare. Accordingly, it is recommended that this density target is considered a minimum.

Identifying New Settlement Areas and Settlement Area Boundary Expansions

According to the proposed PPS, 2024, Settlement Area Boundary Expansion (SABE) is allowed at any time and without the requirement of a Municipal Comprehensive Review or Comprehensive Review, provided that all PPS policies under subsection 2.3.4 are considered.^[10] Furthermore, the policies allow for a simplified and flexible approach for municipalities to undertake a SABE which would require a demonstrated need for urban expansion. It should be noted that the criteria in the proposed PPS, 2024 has been expanded compared to the proposed PPS, 2023. Additionally, the language has

^[9] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.2.1, p. 7.

^[10] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.3.4, p. 7. Under the A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, 2019 and the PPS, 2020, SABEs were permitted only through a Municipal Comprehensive Review.



changed from "should consider" in the proposed PPS, 2023 to "shall consider" in the proposed PPS, 2024. The proposed PPS, 2024 does carry over the concept of demonstrating the need for additional land as identified in the PPS, 2020 which was not included in the proposed PPS, 2023.

While the proposed PPS, 2024 does not require a prescriptive approach to determining the need for expansion as provided in the Growth Plan or the PPS, 2020, it does require municipalities to consider infrastructure needs and the phased progression of growth. Furthermore, for new settlement areas, the proposed PPS, 2024 adds a stand-alone policy requiring municipalities to demonstrate that the infrastructure and public service facilities are planned or available for new settlement areas.^[11]

We recommend that a policy is added to subsection 2.3.2.1 of the proposed PPS 2024 that identifies where planning authorities have established minimum targets for intensification and redevelopment within built-up areas and that implementation of these targets shall be considered prior to identifying the need for new settlement areas.

Planning for Growth in Major Transit Station Areas

Under the proposed PPS, 2024, intensification policies have become less prescriptive compared to the PPS, 2020, with a focus on encouraging rather than setting out requirements. As previously noted, according to the proposed PPS, 2024, planning authorities are encouraged (rather than required) to establish minimum targets for intensification and redevelopment within their respective built-up areas. Targets for intensification are encouraged in MTSAs and all municipalities (i.e., not just large and fast-growing municipalities as identified in the proposed PPS, 2023) shall plan to meet minimum density targets.^[12] Minimum density targets for MTSAs are based on the transit service level:

- a) 200 residents and jobs combined per hectare for those that are served by subways;
- b) 160 residents and jobs combined per hectare for those that are served by light rail or bus rapid transit; or
- c) 150 residents and jobs combined per hectare for those that are served by commuter or regional rail.^[13]

^[11] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.3.2, p. 8.

^[12] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.2.3.1.4, p. 8

^[13] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.2.3.1.4, p. 8



Expanded Scope of Strategic Growth Areas, but No Targets on Density

The proposed PPS, 2024 carries over the concept of SGAs from the proposed PPS, 2023. The concept of SGAs was initially introduced in the Growth Plan. According to the proposed PPS, 2024, SGAs include:

major transit station areas, existing and emerging downtowns, lands adjacent to publicly assisted post-secondary institutions and other areas where growth or development will be focused, that may include infill, redevelopment (e.g., underutilized shopping malls and plazas), brownfield sites, the expansion or conversion of existing buildings, or greyfields. Lands along major roads, arterials, or other areas with existing or planned frequent transit service or higher order transit corridors may also be identified as strategic growth areas.^[14]

The proposed PPS, 2024 expands on the description of SGAs to include a greater range of site areas focused for infill and redevelopment, such as underutilized shopping malls and plazas, suggesting that SGAs may include a range of site sizes, with an expanded focus on non-residential sites. It is important to note that, unlike the proposed PPS, 2023, the proposed PPS, 2024 encourages all municipalities (i.e., not just the large and fast-growing municipalities) to focus growth and development in SGAs to achieve higher density outcomes.

Other than minimum density targets for MTSAs, minimum density targets for other SGAs have not been carried forward from the proposed PPS, 2023 and the Growth Plan. Furthermore, Urban Growth Centres, a component of SGAs set out in the proposed PPS, 2023 and the Growth Plan, have not been carried forward in the proposed PPS, 2024. Instead, the proposed PPS, 2024 provides more simplified direction to plan for downtowns as SGAs.

A Narrow Definition of Employment Area

The proposed PPS, 2024 includes an updated definition of Employment Area based on the amendment of the *Planning Act* on June 8, 2023. The *Planning Act* was amended under subsection 1 (1) to include a new definition of "area of employment." The amendment to the *Planning Act* received Royal Assent as part of Bill 97 on June 8, 2023. The definition change in the *Planning Act* would require proclamation before it becomes in effect.

Under the new definition of Employment Area, municipalities are required to plan for, and protect, industrial uses based on a more narrowly scoped definition of Employment Area and are limited to these uses that are primarily industrial in nature or other uses associated or ancillary to the primary use. Employment Area lands and uses that do not

^[14] Proposed PPS, 2024, definitions, p. 53.



meet the definition of Employment Area should be removed from Employment Areas. Lands that do not meet the Employment Area definition would not be subject to provincial Employment Area protection policies and would allow for opportunities for residential and other non-employment uses.^[15]

In light of the definition change of Employment Area, a key concern for municipalities will be their ability to provide an urban structure that will support employment uses outside of Employment Areas, particularly non-retail commercial and institutional uses (e.g. office uses, training and education, entertainment, wholesale trade and service repair centres). Traditionally, Employment Areas have been regarded as areas protected for key targeted employment sectors, especially those in the export-based sectors.

As previously discussed, municipalities are allowed to forecast beyond a 30-year period for Employment Areas.^[16] Furthermore, it should be noted that the Provincially Significant Employment Zones identified in the Growth Plan are not proposed to be carried forward. The Province has suggested in the PPS, 2024 proposal summary that the policies in the PPS are sufficient for protection for Employment Areas.^[17]

Unlocking Residential Opportunities on Non-Residential Lands and Supporting Mixed-Uses

The proposed PPS, 2024 requires that municipalities unlock more opportunities for housing, stating that municipalities should support redevelopment of commercially designated retail lands (e.g., underutilized shopping malls and plazas) to support mixed-use residential.^[18] Furthermore, the proposed PPS, 2024 notes that Employment Areas that do not meet the definition of Employment Area, referred to as "employment outside of Employment Areas" should support a diverse mix of land uses, including residential uses.^[19] These lands generally would include office business parks, commercial and institutional lands, and employment lands that do not meet the definition of Employment Area. It is also suggested that specific industrial, manufacturing, and small-scale warehousing uses that do not require separation from sensitive land uses are to be encouraged to locate in mixed-use areas or SGAs where frequent transit service is available, outside of Employment Areas.^[20] Again, under the proposed policy framework, municipalities are anticipated to face greater long-term challenges regarding their ability to strike a balance in accommodating mixed-use development uses outside of

^[15] Proposed PPS, 2024, definitions, p. 34.

^[16] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.1.3, p. 6.

^[17] Environmental Registry of Ontario, ERO 019-8462, Review of Proposed Policies for a New Provincial Planning Policy Instrument, Proposal Summary, Section 2.

^[18] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.4.1.3, p. 9.

^[19] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.8.1.3, p. 13.

^[20] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.1.8.2, p. 11.



Employment Areas, especially with increasing market pressure to accommodate residential development.

Employment Area Conversions Referred to as Removals of Employment Areas

The proposed PPS, 2024 carries forward similar policies on conversions provided in the proposed PPS, 2023. Under the proposed PPS, 2024, municipalities are provided with greater control over Employment Area conversions (now referred to as Employment Area removals) with the ability to remove lands from Employment Areas at any time. Previously, under the PPS, 2020 and the Growth Plan, municipalities were required to review changes to designated Employment Areas during a Municipal Comprehensive Review or Comprehensive Review. Under the proposed PPS, 2024, municipalities are required to demonstrate that there is an identified need for the removal and the land is not required for Employment Area uses over the long term. Furthermore, the Employment Area removal requires consideration of the impact of the produced use on the function of the Employment Area and whether existing infrastructure and public facilities can accommodate the proposed use.^[23]

It is important to recognize that the definition change may result in already developed Employment Area lands not meeting the definition. Based on the proposed PPS, 2024 emphasis on supporting mixed uses, going forward, municipalities will need to assess whether existing Employment Areas meet the new provincial definition and identify areas that should transition into mixed-use areas. While municipalities are required to plan Employment Areas according to the new definition, existing uses that were legally established prior to the *Helping Homebuyers, Protecting Tenants Act, 2023* came into force are allowed the continuation of use, regardless of whether the use meets the definition change.^[24]

Planning for Growth in the Rural Area Directed to Rural Settlement Areas

Compared to the PPS, 2020, the proposed PPS, 2024 does not significantly change the direction of growth within rural areas. As noted in the proposed PPS, 2024, in rural areas, rural settlement areas "shall be the focus of growth and development and their vitality and regeneration shall be promoted."^[28] A key update in the proposed PPS, 2024 includes permitting more housing on farms to support farmers, farm families, and farm workers without creating new lots (enhanced policy and criteria supporting additional units).^[29] Unlike the proposed PPS, 2023, the proposed PPS, 2024 does not carry forth policies that would have permitted lot creation in prime agricultural areas.

^[23] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.8.2.4, p. 12.

^[24] *Planning Act*, Schedule 6, section 1 (2).

^[28] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.5.2, p. 11.

^[29] Environmental Registry of Ontario, ERO 019-8462, Review of Proposed Policies for a New Provincial Planning Policy Instrument, Proposal Summary, section 1.



No New Direction on Planning for Rural Employment Areas

The proposed PPS, 2024 identifies that development within rural areas needs to be assessed within the rural context in terms of the scale of servicing and character.^[30] No further direction is provided with respect to development within existing or new Rural Employment Areas. Under subsection 2.2.9.5 of the Growth Plan, the Province provided a framework for Rural Employment Area expansions. The framework identified that expansion of Employment Areas outside settlement areas on rural lands that were designated for employment uses may only be permitted if necessary to support the immediate needs of existing business and if compatible with the surrounding uses.^[31] The proposed PPS, 2024 does not carry forward this policy. Based on the proposed PPS, 2024, it appears that expansion of Rural Employment Areas in the GGH is no longer subjected to the policies that prohibited the creation of new Employment Areas in the rural areas.

New Emphasis in Planning for Public Service Facilities

The proposed PPS, 2024 includes a new definition of public service facilities and requires a greater emphasis on coordination with public service providers, as well as planning for emergency management services, health care institutions, schools and post-secondary institutions.^[32] It is noted that municipalities can plan beyond a 30-year period for public service facilities.^[33]

Consideration of a Student Housing Strategy

The proposed PPS, 2024 recognizes the importance of planning for a post-secondary population, especially in municipalities with a post-secondary institution. This is the first time that provincial planning policy has acknowledged the need to consider student housing needs. The word "student" is not mentioned at all in the PPS, 2020. The proposed policies in the PPS, 2024 would require municipalities to collaborate with publicly assisted post-secondary institutions on the development of a student housing strategy that includes consideration of off-campus housing targeted to students.^[34]

^[30] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.5.2, p. 10.

^[31] A Place to Grow, Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, Office

Consolidation, policy 2.2.9.5, p. 28.

^[32] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 3.1, p. 16.

^[33] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 2.1.3, p. 6.

^[34] Proposed PPS, 2024, policy 6.2.6, p. 35.



3. Summary Comments on the Proposed Amendments and the PPS, 2024

Watson will be providing a submission through the ERO on these legislative changes. We will continue to monitor the progress of Bill 185 through the legislature, including any guidance documents on implementation, and will continue to keep our clients informed of any changes. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us.

Yours very truly,

WATSON & ASSOCIATES ECONOMISTS LTD.

Jamie Cook, MCIP, RPP, PLE, Managing Partner Andrew Grunda, MBA, CPA, CMA, Principal Peter Simcisko, BA (Hons), MBE, Managing Partner Sean-Michael Stephen, MBA, Managing Partner Daryl Abbs, MBE, PLE, Managing Partner Jack Ammendolia, BES, PLE, Managing Partner

Bates, Tamara

Subject:

FW: Development Charges break for developers

From: Colleen Wicken <>
Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 11:27 AM
To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>
Subject: Development Charges break for developers

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

<u>NO to giving</u> <u>ANY</u> DEVLOPERS A

BREAK ON DCs Discounts

Bates, Tamara

Subject:

FW: Please vote for Us!

From: Christiane de Savigny < >
Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 12:03 PM
To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>
Subject: Please vote for Us!

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Hi,

I am citizen of Hamilton and am concerned about the ever rising expenses our city incurs. Of equal importance to me is the environmental destruction around us.

Industry can see the Hamilton area is open and desirable to set up shop. There are a good and talented labor force available, and plenty of brown fields to build on. The developers will profit no matter what, but they should do so without pulling money out of taxpayers pockets. With the money the city saves by cancelling the development charges discounts many more important projects could be funded that would benefit All that live here. As taxpayers, we should have a say in where our money gets spend. I say No to paying for DC!

To summarize:

Eliminate the current 37% discount entirely, with no gradual phase out and no exemptions for industrial expansions.

Industrial developers who build on unserviced farmland should pay higher DCs compared to those that remediate and build on <u>brownfields</u> within the urban area where infrastructure already exists.

Hamilton taxpayers have not been consulted about DCs exemptions, which will transfer significant costs from developers straight to taxpayers. Developers, not taxpayers should pay for growth.

Thank you and please vote against DC discounts on May 2nd.

Sincerely,

Christiane de Savigny
Page 37 of 341

Subject:

FW: Vote regarding Development Charges for AEGD Lands

From: Rose Janson < >
Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 12:47 PM
To: clerk@hamilton.ca
Subject: Vote regarding Development Charges for AEGD Lands

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Hamilton Council

We are very concerned that council has been asked to consider a 37-per-cent discount in development charges for the AEGD lands. Do you want to make it easier for developers to destroy precious wetlands, and then have taxpayers pay for this?

This area, so rich in wetlands, is important for significant wildlife species and for farmland. As the highest point of land between lakes Ontario and Erie, it's also where four watercourses spring forth: the Welland River, Twenty Mile Creek, Ancaster Creek and Tiffany Creek.

The AEGD doesn't fare well under financial scrutiny. Infrastructure will be very costly, for a long time. The road network alone is pegged at \$500 million. Some of these costs will be covered by developers, but there will be a shortfall which must be made up by taxpayers.

Please consider these matters carefully, and vote for maintaining the ecosystems all of life depends on.

Rose Janson and Family

Page 39 of 341

Subject:

FW: Audit, Finance, and Administration Committee meeting, May 2, 2024

From: Marie Covert < >

Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 1:43 PM

To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>
Subject: Audit, Finance, and Administration Committee meeting, May 2, 2024

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Mayor, Clerk, and Councillors,

The issue before the AFAC this coming week gives you the opportunity to do something for taxpayers, for wetlands, and for the environment. A win-win-win situation!

Please give serious consideration to the elimination of the current 37% discount afforded to developers as part of the Development Charges, specifically in the AEGD. It is an old-fashioned, out-of-date way of thinking for this new Hamilton that discourages sprawl. Eliminate the 37% discount entirely, with no gradual phase out and no exemptions for industrial expansion. This is the fairest approach for Hamilton taxpayers, who are paying dearly for everything, as we all know.

Industrial developers who build on unserviced farmland should pay higher DCs compared to those that remediate and build on brownfields within the urban boundary where infrastructure exists. This also encourages developers to do the right thing and make the best choices for climate change and the environment.

Hamilton taxpayers have not been consulted about DC exemptions, which will transfer significant costs from developers, straight to taxpayers. Surely this is not what you want to tell the citizens of Hamilton. You must continue to earn their trust by fighting for them, at every opportunity. Developers, not taxpayers, should pay for growth.

Many thanks for all the hard work and difficult choices which you make constantly to ensure a richer future for this city.

Best Regards, Marie Covert Dundas

Sent from my iPad

Page 41 of 341

Subject:

FW: Airport Adjacent Wetlands and Developers' Discounts

From: Elizabeth Watson-Morlog < > Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 2:09 PM To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor <Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron <Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy <Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>; Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad <Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>; Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike <Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca> Subject: Airport Adjacent Wetlands and Developers' Discounts

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Committee;

You were elected to represent your constituents, not developers. Have you forgotten your role?

I cannot fathom for the life of me, why we are looking to destroy environmentally sensitive lands, to destroy green space, and to build multi-acred warehouses all in the name of 'progress'. We have enough derelict land sitting unused within this city that could be used for warehousing, that green space needs NOT be touched. There is zero reason to develop the proposed lands.

Furthermore, developers 'discounts' merely pad their pockets, leaving the already overburdened tax payer to pick up the costs. Have you seen the hungry children in our schools? Have you seen the face of the 7 year old who is told he can't play soccer, as there is no money to buy cleats or shin pads? Have you seen the child with autism who has no supports as their parent can't afford private therapy? Have you seen the mother who chooses not to fill a needed prescription as feeding her child is more important? Have you talked to parents who have to choose between rent and food? What about the 30 year old who has to move back home to live, as rent costs are untenable?

Are you really prepared to download the infrastructure burden onto these very people, those who have the least? Make no mistake about it. To continue with developer discounts is shameful. Should you vote to continue these, the rich get richer, and the struggling will continue to struggle. Their struggles are escalating into unprecedented mental health issues invading our society at exponential rates.

Look at inside your own consciences and listen to your heart. What is the right thing to do? You all know what it is.

I implore you to have the courage to do what is right. Stop the discounts. Stop the destruction of any more land. Work for the people, those who elected you.

Elizabeth Watson-Morlog Dundas, ON

Page 43 of 341

Subject:

FW: May 2 Decision on DC discounts

From: Mary Love < > Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 2:44 PM To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor <Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron <Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy <Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>; Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad <Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>; Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike <Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca> Subject: May 2 Decision on DC discounts

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Wetlands need a break, not warehouses! These ugly edifices, often full of thousands of returned parcels, are part of rampant consumerism, and the giant, concretized space they require makes vulnerable wetland and farmland here a target for bloated out of province corporations who have absolutely no concern for Hamilton's future viability, only their own next quarter earnings.

Hamilton needs to look out for itself and the future of its citizens! Industrial developers who build on unserviced farmland should pay higher DCs compared to those that remediate and build on brownfields within the urban area where infrastructure already exists.

That land remediation and preservation is surely what the City's ten directives imply, not kowtowing to companies who don't care how much of another city's land they destroy for their personal short-term commercial gain.

Hamilton taxpayers have not been consulted about DCs exemptions, which will transfer significant costs from developers straight to taxpayers. Developers, not taxpayers should pay for growth. And the growth should never be at the expense of farmland, wetlands, nor woodlands. Humans and other beings on these lands need shelter, water, food, and clean air: not industrial development for another's profit at the expense of our irredeemable loss!

Through this week's decision on DCs, your committee has the chance to control unbridled destruction of our city's surrounding wetland and farmland. I urge you to use the powers you do hold to hold industrial developers in check. Hamilton's great grandchildren will need the vulnerable and irreplaceable gifts of Mother Earth that you hold in your hands much more than anyone needs the latest packages from the likes of Amazon.

Everything must be debated and decided through a climate and biodiversity lens. You committed to that when you declared a Climate Emergency: it takes courage to say No to breaks for big business, but that is precisely what your job is in this moment of precarious hope for the future!

Sincerely,

Mary Love Ward 7

Sent from my iPhone

Page 45 of 341

Subject:

FW: Developer discounts

From: Heather Vaughan < >
Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 2:45 PM
To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>
Subject: Developer discounts

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Hello

I have recently learned that developers in Hamilton are getting a discount on Development Charges and the Audit, Finance and Administration committee will vote on this on May 2. Why are we giving discounts for developers who build new roads, which doesn't even pay for the long term upkeep of these roads?? The roads in Hamilton are in ABHORRENT condition, making cycling a nightmare and driving a car a bumpy and potentially dangerous ride when drivers swerve to avoid the massive potholes. If we can't even afford to prioritize upkeep of these roads why are we giving a discount to developers who want to build new roads, and pave over precious wetlands that will then not be able to house sensitive species and prevent flooding.

Please ELIMINATE the current 37% discount entirely, with no gradual phase-out and no exemptions for industrial expansions.

Industrial developers who build on unserviced farmland should pay higher DCs compared to those that remediate and build on <u>brownfields</u> within the urban area where infrastructure already exists!!!

Hamilton taxpayers have not been consulted about DCs exemptions, which will transfer significant costs from developers straight to taxpayers. Developers, not taxpayers should pay for growth.

Thank you

Heather Vaughan

Page 47 of 341

Subject:

FW: Vote No to Discounts of Development Charges

From: Jacqueline Williams < >
Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 2:49 PM
To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>
Subject: Vote No to Discounts of Development Charges

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

We should not be allowing development on environmentally important wetlands and farmland PERIOD.

We should absolutely NOT be providing discounts of development charges to developers who will build job poor, automated warehouses on these sensitive lands. So doing would significantly burden taxpayers now and forever.

Instead, redevelop existing industrial lands (eg. Stelco) where infrastructure already exists which will provide property tax income, good jobs and clean up the environment.

Please vote NO.

Thank you, J. Williams

Page 49 of 341

Subject:

FW: Re discounts for developers developing wetlands

From: Catherine Thomas < >
Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 3:28 PM
To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>
Subject: Re discounts for developers developing wetlands

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

I am very concerned to hear not only that are developers to get the go ahead to develop warehouses on wetlands, which need to be conserved due to climate changes and wildlife habitat preservation, but alarmed that there is a staff proposal to give developers a discount on development charges - this means that taxpayers would be subsidising a development that most of the local population is against!

As a local taxpayer I urge you to please vote against this discount proposal

Catherine Thomas

Hamilton ON

Page 51 of 341

Subject:

FW:

From: Verena Walter < >
Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 3:57 PM
To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

please

Eliminate the current 37% discount entirely, with no gradual phase out and no exemptions for industrial expansions.

Industrial developers who build on unserviced farmland should pay higher DCs compared to those that remediate and build on <u>brownfields</u> within the urban area where infrastructure already exists.

Hamilton taxpayers have not been consulted about DCs exemptions, which will transfer significant costs from developers straight to taxpayers. Developers, not taxpayers should pay for growth.

In disappointment,

Verena Walter

Sent from my iPhone

Page 53 of 341

Subject:

FW: Development Charges/Climate Emergency

From: Lyn Folkes < >
Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 4:42 PM
To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>
Subject: Development Charges/Climate Emergency

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Council Members and members of Hamilton's Audit, Finance and Administration committee (for your next meeting on this topic),

I just received the following from SaveOur Streams Hamilton:

"Hamilton is currently giving a big discount on Development Charges (DCs) to industrial developers who want to build warehouses on Hamilton wetlands." and I know that, "If developers don't have to pay them, then taxpayers do!" and, "On May 2, 2024 will vote on whether or not to continue giving industrial developers discounts to pave <u>1300 acres of wetlands and farmland near Hamilton Airport</u>. The AEGD was rezoned in 2015 for industrial use. A terrible decision that should be reversed."

I hope Council will not allow any discounts to industry to be dumped onto us - the cost of living is quickly increasing along with our global average temperatures! If you vote NO on this then that would be the smarter choice and I thank you very much for supporting Hamilton taxpayers. But I'm writing this letter in case you don't:

Although many climate initiatives have been introduced in Hamilton, I am still terribly distraught about the lack of attention being paid to the climate emergency when it comes to the province forcing bad planning decisions on our city.

If this 'forever damaging our future' warehouse-development discount is approved, this is just another example of how Hamilton, Ontario and Canada are showing the world exactly how backwards we are behaving compared to the rest of the developed world in regard to the global climate emergency. We need to vote NO to giving support to developers who want to degrade our good city, pave over our few remaining life-saving wetlands, accelerate the climate emergency and dump their costs unfairly onto taxpayers who CAN'T AFFORD THAT ANY LONGER. We're all feeling the pervasive cost of living increases today.

We need to seriously consider our climate in every decision we make now, and it would be incredibly irresponsible if not plain stupid to allow warehouses to be built on top of wetlands, or any natural land or farmlands today for that matter. These giant warehouses are mainly used to store the massive overconsumption of goods by our society that is causing the pollution, waste and loss of natural green space that is fueling climate warming. These warehouses create their own 'desert-like' environments that heat up our atmosphere physically in the space they occupy as well.

All of these facts lead to an acceleration of GHG emissions, poorer air and water quality, and heat in our atmosphere. And our atmosphere is already warming faster than ever seen before in human history. We are literally harming ourselves - that's why it's a global climate 'emergency.'

So, I ask you, "Is paving over wetlands and other cooling natural habitats (including meadows which are also valuable for a stable climate), a good idea if this kind of massive development will serve to accelerate the most dangerous emergency in our lives?

Is it smart to fill-in wetlands when our drinking water supply is at threat, including Lake Ontario which can go toxic with increasing temperatures mixed with the pollution we keep dumping into it. Is it smart to destroy natural habitats that are already so fragmented and struggling to support the wildlife that should be able to live here? Nevermind rare species, we could lose most of our native fauna if we don't act like we're in an emergency. Etc., etc.

We need our farmland which is still disappearing rapidly around Hamilton. Urban Sprawl continues because our province is blatantly ignoring the climate emergency. I'm so ashamed of Ontario today. We need to work much harder to stop these incredible damaging developments from happening here.

If any more mega-warehouses are approved here, it will be an international embarrassment for us and the beginning of the end for Hamilton residents' health. We need to move towards a path of healing our world, and healing Ontario from the terribly unthoughtful and destructive plans of the PC Ford government.

This literally makes me feel sick. I'd move but Hamilton is my home town and it needs me today -- but it needs you more. PLEASE don't let these warehouses destroy what we need to survive into this accelerating climate chaos across Canada.

We can't afford to ignore the climate emergency like Ontario continues to do. I'm terrified and if you approve these warehouses and give these destructive companies discounts that I will be forced to pay for, I think we are all in very serious trouble.

My family's lives are in your hands today. This is the moment that is the most important turning point in our lives. Will you help us mitigate this quickly warming climate that will throw all our lives into continual suffering much sooner than you think?

Or will you dangerously pretend it's not happening like the PC Party?

In reality, the PCs are fast becoming the equivalent of Darth Vader in our world. Please don't let the PC's allow you to ignore the most important part of this decision:

It will significantly add to the problem of our warming climate if we allow these warehouses to be built in our city.

Don't turn a blind eye - you know that Hamiltonians have voted against urban sprawl and this is just an extension of that same problem.

In Ontario, we must save every bit of our Greenbelt that is left, build housing within our existing boundaries and stop supporting fossil fuel-driven mega-projects like urban sprawl, new major highways, and warehouses that promote overconsumption and excessive use of fossil fuel transport systems (including lots of air flights!).

We have to make smarter decisions based on what's healthiest for our planet and human health today. Giant warehouses will only help to hurt our society, and every one of us in Hamilton.

I don't want my money used to hurt my family, PLEASE! No developer discounts unless the project helps with the climate emergency in a significant way. We need housing, I understand that, but we don't need more 'STUFF' stored in warehouses. Save Garner Marsh - all the wetlands are important today to conserve our water quantity and quality. We need clean water, we don't need more hectares of non-pervious, flood-promoting surfaces like these warehouses create.

This is a bad idea in SO many ways.

Please think very hard about this decision and act in the taxpayers' best interest - no discounts to wealthy companies, no mega-warehouses in Hamilton. Go stand in the middle of the parking lot at a giant

warehouse for an hour on a hot day at noon this summer, and then tell me these huge impervious surfaces don't add heat and pollutants to the air we have to breathe.

Our well-being is far more fragile than people realize - our physical and mental health and our democracy are all at risk today mainly due to poor provincial management. Let's not make that any worse please.

Thank you,

Lyn Folkes, retired environmental scientist B.E.S., M.E.S. Univ of Waterloo, Ontario

Page 57 of 341

Subject:

FW: AEGD developer charges

From: Steve Chalastra < > Sent: Sunday, April 28, 2024 4:52 PM To: Steve Chalastra < > Subject: AEGD developer charges

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Councillors,

As a mostly progressive council, I assume you are all aware of the significance of wetlands in general and the Garner Marsh in particular.

It is the last original wetland in the headwaters and surrounded by valuable farmland that produces much of our local fruits and vegetables.

Like all wetlands, it acts as a regulator to help minimize flooding as well as being a sanctuary for all types of birds, amphibians, reptiles, and insects.

And yet the encroachment of the AEGD into the marsh and surrounding farmland is one more step in the destruction of these incredibly important natural habitats. As if the Ford government hasn't already done enough by eviscerating the OWES system and our conservation areas.

What do you value most, this irreplaceable feature we're so lucky to have or yet more warehouses and concrete parking lots for the benefit of companies like Amazon that fly in goods at the expense of the environment (air freight creates almost 50 times more greenhouse gases than lake shipping for every tonmile), destroying our local bricks-and-mortar commercial infrastructure in the process?

To add insult to injury, it beggars belief that you would even consider discounting development charges to subsidize this sort of destruction.

If warehouses are to be built, surely it would be more sensible to locate them in Hamilton's industrial port lands where residential housing would be problematic?

Sincerely, Steve Chalastra

Page 59 of 341

Subject:

FW: concerns City of Hamilton Audit Finance and Adinistration Commitee meeting May 2

From: janwillem jansen < > Sent: Monday, April 29, 2024 4:54 AM To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor <Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron <Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy <Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>; Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad <Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>; Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike <Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>

Subject: concerns City of Hamilton Audit Finance and Adinistration Commitee meeting May 2

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Councillors, Members of the Audit Finance and Adinistration Commitee,

As a concerned resident I urge you to say NO to the further destruction of our wetlands and streams so, on May 2 please vote against giving discounts to developers to pave over these precious areas! Thank you Jan W. Jansen, Dundas Sent from my iPhone

Page 61 of 341

Subject:

FW: Development Plans for AEGD lands

From: Don Brown <>
Sent: April 28, 2024 9:06 PM
To: clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor <<u>Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca</u>>; Wilson, Maureen
<<u>Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca</u>>; Kroetsch, Cameron <<u>Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca</u>>; Nann, Nrinder
<<u>Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca</u>>; Hwang, Tammy <<u>Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca</u>>; Francis, Matt
<<u>Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca</u>>; Jackson, Tom <<u>Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca</u>>; Pauls, Esther <<u>Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca</u>>;
Danko, John-Paul <<u>John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca</u>>; Clark, Brad <<u>Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca</u>>; Beattie, Jeff
<<u>Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca</u>>; Tadeson, Mark <<u>Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca</u>>; Cassar, Craig <<u>Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca</u>>;
Wilson, Alex <<u>Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca</u>>; Spadafora, Mike <<u>Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca</u>>; McMeekin, Ted
<<u>Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca</u>>

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Hamilton Council

Please heed the warnings of those who have learned the importance of wetlands when it comes to their ability to mitigate the damage caused by global warming. The Earth will look after itself. It's us we need to be concerned about.

We look to you for leadership in economics: discounting charges for developing wetlands and then asking taxpayers to pay for the destruction makes no sense.

Yours for the love of who we are.

Don Brown

Hamilton

"If we surrendered to Earth's intelligence, we could rise up like trees"....Rainer Maria Rike"

"We were meant to love one another; and when that relationship is broken, to work towards mending it". "The Reason You Walk". 2015. Wab Kinew, current NDP Premier of Manitoba

Page 63 of 341

Subject:

FW: No Thanks! - Development Charge discounts on Wetlands?

From: Candy Venning < >
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2024 9:30 AM
To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>
Subject: No Thanks! - Development Charge discounts on Wetlands?

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Hamilton has always built upon the strength in its ability to manufacture and distribute via the Port and existing industrial districts.

BUT

Are all of those spaces full? - has every existing brownfield already been developed? A quick drive along Barton east and around Stelco ect. says no - no it hasn't!

Why would we then allow discounted growth on previously undeveloped land?

As a voter and taxpayer, I'm frankly alarmed that the City would consider this at all, especially since growth is supposed to pay for itself. That's why DCs exist. They should be paid by developers to cover the cost of infrastructure in and around new developments, such as roads, sewers, streetlights and water mains. Most of Hamilton's remaining wetlands are in the Airport Employment Growth District – AEGD – where new roads alone will cost the city at least half a BILLION dollars. If a developer wants to build, they should contribute financially to the infrastructure that accompanies that development. Note that the upfront costs of paying for infrastructure that the city is allowed to collect, don't even come close to covering the lifetime costs of sprawl infrastructure which always fall to the taxpayer in the long run.

Kindly **eliminate the current 37% discount** entirely, with no gradual phase out and no exemptions for industrial expansions.

Industrial **developers who build on unserviced farmland should pay higher DCs** compared to those that remediate and build on <u>brownfields</u> within the urban area where infrastructure already exists.

Hamilton taxpayers have not been consulted about DCs exemptions, which will transfer significant costs from developers straight to taxpayers. **Developers, not taxpayers, should pay for growth.**

Candy Venning

property owner, taxpayer, voter

Subject:

FW: Development Charges

From: Monica McCrory < > Sent: Monday, April 29, 2024 11:00 AM To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor <Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron <Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy <Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>; Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad <Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>; Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike <Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca> Subject: Development Charges

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Hi there,

As representatives of the people I really hope you are listening to the residents of your elected areas.

I can't imagine many residents would be in favour of having their taxes increase so developer's taxes can decrease.

Developers should only be given such incentives possibly if they are utilizing already vacant buildings and spaces which is helping to revitalize the city or if they are building actual affordable housing. They should actually have to pay more if they are destroying wetlands and other environmental sensitive areas.

I know homeowners that already have flooding issues now that the Amazon warehouse and other buildings have been built on upper James and Dickinson area.

Please vote to scrap this discount!

Regards,

Monica (Hamilton resident)

Page 67 of 341

Subject:

FW: No Development Charge discounts for warehouses

From: J Wright < > Sent: Monday, April 29, 2024 11:06 AM

To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor <Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron <Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy <Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>; Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad <Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>; Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike <Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>

Subject: No Development Charge discounts for warehouses

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Mayor and Councillors,

I am writing with concern about your upcoming consideration of development charges for industrial warehouses in the Hamilton airport area.

I do not agree that these enterprises should be afforded discounted development charges.

Taxpayers should not have to pay for this.

It is upsetting to me that Hamilton continues to support development on wetlands and agricultural land. The people of Hamilton have spoken eloquently about their concern for our unique and valuable natural environment.

The airport industrial area plan needs to be re-thought. Times have changed.

Please do not vote for any measures that would support industrial development on lands properly considered urban sprawl.

We need our wetlands. We need to preserve the important Garner marsh.

We do not want to pay for the ruin our natural environment.

I am asking that you vote against discounted development charges for industrial enterprises in the airport environs.

With respect for the important work that you do,

Jane Wright Hamilton, ON

Page 69 of 341

5.2(7) of 341

Bates, Tamara

Subject:

FW: Say 'NO' to discount...

From: Bernice McRae <>
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2024 11:25 AM
To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>
Subject: Say 'NO' to discount...

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

PLEASE SAY 'NO' to giving a big discount on Development Charges (DCs) to industrial developers who want to build warehouses on Hamilton wetlands.

Bernice McRae Hamilton, On

http://www.bahai.org/

"Each challenge we encounter, becomes a moment of learning and an opportunity to witness the power of unity and perseverance," by Khosrow Rezai, Baha'i

Page 71 of 341

Subject:

FW: Development on and near wetlands

From: Pat Wilson < >
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2024 11:40 AM
To: Pat Wilson < >
Subject: Development on and near wetlands

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Please stop making the average taxpayer responsible for costs that should be borne by the developers who will profit. It is time Hamilton stepped up and said ENOUGH! Why encourage further warehouse development adding to the taxpayers already high burden to build these properties? Never mind the ongoing costs maintain and service them in perpetuity. What about the storm water issue? Will they be required to use permeable pavement. Stop giving the developers a break, they have the ability to build these costs into the price of their new structures, just like homebuilders do.

Just say NO to maintaining the status quo. Start with raising the cost back to where there were and build in a series of increases until the land is built out.

Patricia (Pat) Wilson CFP The Wilson Financial Group

Mutual funds, approved exempt market products and/or exchange traded funds provided through Investia Financial Services Inc. To UNSUBSCRIBE from receiving commercial electronic messages from Pat Wilson and The Wilson Financial Group, please reply to this email with "UNSUBSCRIBE" in subject line. To unsubscribe from receiving commercial electronic messages from Investia Financial Services Inc., click <u>here</u>
Page 73 of 341

Subject:

FW: scrap discounts to industrial dcs!

From: Karijn de Jong < >

Sent: Monday, April 29, 2024 2:17 PM

To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>
Subject: scrap discounts to industrial dcs!

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Hello,

I am writing as a concerned Hamiltonian in regards to an upcoming vote that could have a huge impact on saving fragile ecological systems and be a big win for both the environment upon which we rely, as well as us tax-paying citizens!

Developers need more incentive to make smart choices for the environment, and money talks! Incentive to build on brownfields within existing infrastructure and urban bounds is what we need to encourage. Taxpayers shouldn't have to pay for industry's poor choices--the current 37% discount for industrial expansions needs to be eliminated entirely, with no gradual phase out, and no exemptions.

Do the right thing! Say no to discounts for industrial warehouse development on Hamilton wetlands!

Sincerely and in appreciation,

Karijn de Jong

Page 75 of 341

Subject:

FW: Development Charges

From: luigia DeDivitiis < >
Sent: Monday, April 29, 2024 5:22 PM
To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

We were disappointed to learn that Hamilton is encouraging industrial development on our virgin wetlands near the John C. Munro Airport. We were beyond disappointed to learn that the council has "doubled down" on this poor decision by giving these industrial developers a 37% discount on development charges. The required infrastructure must be built and paid for by Hamilton. If the developers do not pay the full cost then we the Hamilton taxpayer will have to pay the difference as well as pay for the future maintenance costs. I encourage the Audit, Finance and Administration committee members to immediately eliminate this 37% discount on the development charges.

Further, I ask the Committee to amend the development charges so that the developers who build on virgin farmland pay higher development charges than the developers who build on city greenfields.

Thank you for taking the time to read this message and consider our requests.

Luigia DeDivitiis and Allan Buck

Page 77 of 341

Subject:

FW: development charges

From: E. Robert Ross < >

Sent: Monday, April 29, 2024 10:52 PM

To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear City Council and Staff:

I am writing to express my dismay at the city plan to offer development charge discounts to developers. This would encourage the further destruction of wetlands and other green space. It is common knowledge

that building on unserviced land is less economical than building on serviced land and will increase our infrastructure deficit. The cost to maintain

infrastructure built to service low density residential areas, has caused our infrastructure deficit, necessitating the frequent emergency repairs of existing

infrastructure due to our inability to replace and repair aging existing infrastructure in a timely fashion.

Why then, would we (the taxpayers) take on the building and maintenance costs of more infrastructure?

We know that because the storm and sewage pipes are common, the volume of stormwater runoff together with human waste overwhelms the sewage treatment plant every time it rains, causing untreated sewage to run into the lake.

Besides the common pipes, we know that heavy rain events, and too much paved land cause the frequent pollution. Paved land has been rendered

impermeable to rain water and adds oily residues to the storm water runoff.

Why pave over even more land, especially wetlands?

We know that due to the climate change crisis, (declared by the city), the frequency with which we will experience heavy rain events will increase.

Finally, we know that wetlands are the biggest absorbers of rain water during these events.

The city must preserve its green space, wetlands, in order to prevent augmentation of the infrastructure deficit, prevent flooding,

pollution of Lake Ontario with untreated sewage, and the destruction of our precious wetlands, and the wealth of biodiversity contained therein.

There is simply no justification for the destruction of wetlands - the key to our climate change resiliency. The citizenry of Hamilton have made it

abundantly clear that they are not in favour of extending the urban boundary and the destruction of sensitive areas of biodiversity.

Sincerely,

Wendy Leigh-Bell

E.Robert Ross

Ward 1 Hamilton, Ontario

Subject:

FW: No to Industrial Developer Discounts -No to Wetland Destruction!

From: Nonni Iler < >

Sent: Monday, April 29, 2024 11:53 PM

To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor
<Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron
<Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy
<Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>;
Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad
<Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>;
Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike
<Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>
Subject: No to Industrial Developer Discounts -No to Wetland Destruction!

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

To the City of Hamilton's AF&A committee,

Drop the discount!

Taxpayers should not be expected to pay for industrial developmental charges to support warehouse builds on farmland and wetlands.

37% is ridiculous! 1% is ridiculous! Developers do not need incentives to build!

Many, many of us are opposed to sprawl, the destruction of wetland habitat and farmland.

Please vote 'NO' to continuing to give industrial developers discounts.

Sincerely, Nonni ller

Please - Reduce, Re-use & Recycle

Page 81 of 341

Subject:

FW: May 2 Audit, Finance and Administration committee vote

From: Theresa McCuaig < > Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2024 12:04 AM To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor <Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron <Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy <Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>; Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad <Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>; Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike <Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca>

Subject: May 2 Audit, Finance and Administration committee vote

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Mayor Horwath, City Clerk, and Councillors:

On May 2, please end the current discount on Development Charges that industrial developers receive for paving our wetlands and unserviced farmland. Raise the industrial development rate to \$41.48 per square foot of gross floor area, particularly to deter wetland loss around the airport. Kindly do not phase out the current discount gradually, nor exempt expansions of existing warehouses.

Hamilton already has many industrial buildings on brownfields in its North End that could be readily converted to warehouses at minimal cost.

Infrastructure already exists at these former industrial sites. Frankly, Hamilton home owners cannot tolerate yet another rise in the property tax levy or water rates to bolster the profits of private companies.

Paving wetlands exacerbates run-off, pollutes and diverts the watershed, compacts the soil to the point of sterility, and kills wildlife. We should reuse the North End, which has already been despoiled, and protect the raw land around the airport.

Thank you very much for your attention. My entire family will be following the outcome of the May 2 vote with great interest.

Sincerely,

Theresa McCuaig Hamilton, ON

Page 83 of 341

Subject:

FW: May 2 Meeting and Vote

From: Teresa G < >

Sent: Tuesday, April 30, 2024 10:40 AM

To: Bates, Tamara <<u>Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca</u>>; <u>clerk@hamilton.ca</u>; Office of the Mayor

<<u>Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca</u>>; Wilson, Maureen <<u>Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca</u>>; Kroetsch, Cameron

<<u>Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca</u>>; Nann, Nrinder <<u>Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca</u>>; Hwang, Tammy

<<u>Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca</u>>; Francis, Matt <<u>Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca</u>>; Jackson, Tom

<Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>; Pauls, Esther < Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul < John-

<u>Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca</u>>; Clark, Brad <<u>Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca</u>>; Beattie, Jeff <<u>Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca</u>>; Tadeson,

Mark <<u>Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca</u>>; Cassar, Craig <<u>Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca</u>>; Wilson, Alex

<<u>Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca</u>>; Spadafora, Mike <<u>Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca</u>>; McMeekin, Ted

<<u>Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca</u>>

Subject: May 2 Meeting and Vote

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Hello,

I am a lifelong citizen of Hamilton and I am concerned about discounts for warehouse developers in this city. I'm asking for council to vote no on May 2 to a continuation of these tax discounts.

It is deeply upsetting that the city gives tax discounts to developers who want to build on wetlands. These areas need to be protected, not destroyed. The current 37% discount should be eliminated entirely with no exemptions for industrial expansion.

Industrial developers who build on unserviced farmland should pay higher DCs compared to those that remediate and build on brownfields inside the urban area where infrastructure already exists.

I and all other Hamilton taxpayers have not been consulted about DCs exemptions, which will transfer significant costs from developers straight to taxpayers. Developers, not taxpayers should pay for growth.

Thank you

Teresa Gregorio

Page 85 of 341

Subject:

FW: Development Charges for green space

From: Erica Hall < > Sent: Wednesday, May 1, 2024 1:50 AM To: Bates, Tamara <Tamara.Bates@hamilton.ca>; clerk@hamilton.ca; Office of the Mayor <Officeofthe.Mayor@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Kroetsch, Cameron <Cameron.Kroetsch@hamilton.ca>; Nann, Nrinder <Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca>; Hwang, Tammy <Tammy.Hwang@hamilton.ca>; Francis, Matt <Matt.Francis@hamilton.ca>; Jackson, Tom <Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca>; Pauls, Esther <Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca>; Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Clark, Brad <Brad.Clark@hamilton.ca>; Beattie, Jeff <Jeff.Beattie@hamilton.ca>; Tadeson, Mark <Mark.Tadeson@hamilton.ca>; Cassar, Craig <Craig.Cassar@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Alex <Alex.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Spadafora, Mike <Mike.Spadafora@hamilton.ca>; McMeekin, Ted <Ted.McMeekin@hamilton.ca> Subject: Development Charges for green space

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Hi Everyone,

I'm asking you all to please vote to end the reduction of development charges on green space. We need to grow food and keep our wetlands for the future health of the people in our region and beyond. If companies really want to build on green space, they should not get a discount to do it.

The land surrounding the airport was designated industrial before Covid and climate change was noticeable. Both of these things indicate relying on an airport as a source of income is a terrible idea.

If you can't stop development of the land at least make it less affordable to develop. I understand you have competition with other municipalities for the tax dollars these companies will provide but if not now, in the future, we will need the farm land, carbon sinks and runoff protection this green space offers, much more than tax \$\$. I'm sure you've heard the saying, "you can't eat money." Please, think hard about that!

Also, at a time when many people can't afford a roof over their heads, the last thing those fighting to keep their head's covered is the larger tax bill the DC discounts cause.

Sincerely,

Erica Hall

Page 87 of 341

Subject:

FW: \$71M in City-granted DC exemptions passed on to taxpayers

From: Elizabeth Knight < >
Sent: Wednesday, May 1, 2024 9:25 AM
Subject: \$71M in City-granted DC exemptions passed on to taxpayers

External Email: Use caution with links and attachments

Dear Councillors, Mayor and Clerk,

Please add to the Audit and Finance meeting agenda, my objection to continuing the City's practice of giving industrial developers a 37% exemption on development charges.

The staff report says the City budgeted \$93.3M in losses between the Province's and the City's DC exemptions. Now that the Province is considering a reversal, the report says there will be a "positive impact" if the City goes ahead with City-granted DC exemptions to the tune of **\$71M**?

Councillors who vote for a DC bylaw for Hamilton which includes industrial developer DC exemptions of any kind are voting to transfer a portion of that total lost revenue straight to taxpayers. Therefore, as a Hamilton taxpayer, I do not support a 37% exemption, nor a gradual phase out of that exemption over 10 years for industrial development on greenfields. Let industrial developers build on already contaminated areas and provide them a grant to remediate the land. This would be a net win for Hamilton.

Don't fall for the fear mongering narrative of billion dollar multinational corporations who threaten that they will build elsewhere. They won't. They will stay, they will build and either they will pay the DCs or taxpayers will.

Thank you Elizabeth Knight

Page 89 of 341

City of Hamilton, Office of the Auditor General 50 Main Street East, 3rd Floor Hamilton, ON L8N 1E9

May 1, 2024

Chair and Members Audit, Finance and Administration Committee,

Slate Asset Management appreciates the careful consideration of the delegations heard to-date and believes staff's suggested policy revisions to the development charge by-laws proposed are effective in addressing some of the concerns that have been expressed. Slate supports staff's recommendations; however, even as proposed by the latest staff report, the increase to development charges is significant and Slate continues to share concerns with our industry colleagues regarding the quantum of and rationale presented to justify these rates. Once in effect, Hamilton will have some of the highest development charge and property tax rates in Ontario. The impact of these costs on future investment to the City should not be underestimated.

Slate urges the City to consider additional amendments to the proposed policy including:

- Expansion the existing 37% exemption beyond manufacturing strictly outlined in NAICS codes 31 33. Specifically, the following NAICS codes should receive the exemption in addition to uses already identified by staff:
 - o 1114 Greenhouse, Nursery and Floriculture Production.
 - o 2211 Electric Power Generation, Transmission and Distribution.
 - Codes 4811-4832, 4851, 4881 4883 and 4889 which allow for port, rail, air and highway transportation and logistics related development.
 - o 51 Information uses which would include a range of media, creative, telecom and data uses.
 - o 5417 Scientific Research and Development Services.
- Provision for an additional year of 37% exemption for warehousing to support instream projects.

Slate believes the above changes would strike a manageable balance without dramatically stifling growth and development. Furthermore, with diligent consideration of which uses receive an exemption, we can encourage a mix of healthy industries that will make Steelport and the surrounding communities more diverse and successful.

Sincerely,

S. Deju-

Steven Dejonckheere Senior Vice President Slate Asset Management

steven@slateam.com

Page 91 of 341

5.2(ac) of 341

BROCCOLINI

May 1, 2024

Audit, Finance and Administration Committee City of Hamilton 71 Main Street West Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5

Dear Chair and Members of the Committee:

Re: City of Hamilton Development Charges Review Final Report (FCS23103(b))

While Broccolini appreciates the staff recommendation to phase out the industrial discount, we along with the Hamilton Employment Landowners Group do not believe that this will mitigate the drastic impact the increase in development charges will have on economic investment and the future development of employment lands in Hamilton. If it were to be eliminated altogether it would lead to a grinding halt on any employment development applications in Hamilton that are non-manufacturing related.

Hamilton has long been an attractive market for economic growth and investment within the GTHA due to a strong labor pool and relative cost competitiveness compared to municipalities in the GTA. A large amount of recent economic investment and internal growth in Hamilton is not from speculative development (build it and they will come), but rather from companies making long-term investments in Hamilton with design-build projects (custom builds). These end users for design-build projects are typically seeking to own their facilities and not rent them. Their decision to locate and invest in Hamilton is almost always driven by economics first and foremost, with qualitative elements being secondary to cost. Broccolini and the Hamilton Employment Landowners Group are still concerned about the impact the proposed phase-out will have on the development of employment lands in Hamilton.

Note that without the proposed phase-out, Hamilton's employment DCs would be the second highest in Ontario, significantly reducing the impact of the City's competitiveness to attract employment development, and the feasibility of many types of industrial development, not just in the manufacturing sector.

We greatly appreciate your consideration.

Tarlesto

Toni Wodzicki Director, Real Estate Development

Page 93 of 341

5.2(ad) of 341

Barristers & Solicitors

Bay Adelaide Centre, West Tower 333 Bay Street, Suite 3400 Toronto, Ontario M5H 2S7

Telephone: 416.979.2211 Facsimile: 416.979.1234 goodmans.ca

Direct Line: +1 (416) 597-5158 rhowe@goodmans.ca

Goodmans

May 1, 2024

Our File No.: 240838

Via Email

Audit, Finance and Administration Committee City of Hamilton 71 Main Street West Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5

Dear Chair and Members of Committee:

Re: City of Hamilton Development Charges Review Final Report (FCS23103(b))

We are solicitors for the Hamilton Employment Landowners Group, a group of major employment landowners in the City of Hamilton that are cooperating in their review of the City's proposed development charge update, which includes:

- 1. First Gulf and Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada
- 2. Hopewell Development
- 3. Panattoni Development Company
- 4. The Beedie Group Developments
- 5. Alba Developments
- 6. Broccolini
- 7. Nicola Institutional Realty Advisors
- 8. Slate Asset Management
- 9. Fengate Asset Management

The Hamilton Employment Landowners Group all have active proposals for new industrial development in the City. While the group appreciates the staff recommendation to phase out the industrial discount, we do not believe that this will materially mitigate the drastic impact the increase in development charges will have on future development of employment lands in Hamilton. As noted in the Staff Report:

... the record pace of industrial construction value realized over the last couple of years may not be sustained in Hamilton beyond 2024.

Page 95 of 341

Goodmans

As also noted by staff, many neighbouring municipalities offer industrial development charges at a much lower rates. For example Brantford's non-residential development charge is \$10.97 per square foot and Burlington's non-residential development charge is \$19.11 per square foot.

The removal of the industrial discount will materially impact the City's competitiveness to attract employment development, and the feasibility of many types of industrial development, not just in the manufacturing sector. Despite recent investment in Hamilton's industrial sector being in the form of speculative development (build it and they will come), historically Hamilton has been a design-build destination (custom building construction) for companies making long-term investments in Hamilton to suit specialized needs, which are not necessarily manufacturing related. Furthermore, these companies for design-build projects are typically seeking to own their facilities and not rent them. Their decision to locate and invest in Hamilton is almost always driven by economics first and foremost, with qualitative elements being secondary to cost. Although the proposed phase-out may be beneficial in the near term, the long-term removal or the prospect of eliminating the industrial discount in its entirety will have a significant impact on economic investment in Hamilton.

The Hamilton Employment Landowners' Group is also very concerned about the calculation of the development charges in the Background Study and Addendum. The Group retained a team of consultants to review the Background Study and supporting information, including Keleher Planning & Economic Consulting, MGM Consulting (servicing engineers), and BA Group (transportation planners and engineers). Written comments were provided to the City from each of the consultants. Responses were provided by the City last week. Although we have not had much time to review the responses, we were disappointed that for the most part the high-level responses we received provided very little substance or new information that could be used to satisfy the concerns raised. The Group's consultants believe that the assumptions and calculations in the Background Study and Addendum result in proposed development charges that are inflated and that do not comply with the requirements of the legislation.

Many of the concerns identified relate to a lack of background information or analysis to support the assumptions and calculations made. For example:

1. The residential and non-residential growth forecasts used in the Background Study do not match the "service target" levels of population and employment used to determine the need for water, wastewater and road services. The City's consultants have indicated these differences are addressed by making a "provisional" allocation to post period benefit. However, no explanation has been provided in respect of how this provisional allocation was calculated, despite our requests.

Goodmans

- 2. Neither the Background Study nor supporting information provided in response to questions on the issue has substantiated the floor space per worker assumptions used to determine employment growth.
- 3. No analysis or backup information is provided to support the replacement costs of facilities used to calculate the historic service level standards.
- 4. No analysis or backup information is provided to support the estimated capital costs of new library, and parks and recreation facilities.
- 5. New potential public works facilities are funded without any assessment of the need for such services.
- 6. The benefit to existing development allocations of certain parks and recreation services has not been justified.
- 7. With respect to the development charge for roads:
 - (a) Certain projects have been moved to the post period, which the Group believes may be required sooner, without justification.
 - (b) Assumptions are made regarding costs to be funded by developers as local services, with no justification for the amounts calculated through such assumptions.
 - (c) The development charge funds several categories of generic service categories (e.g., development road urbanization, sidewalks, signals, land acquisition) with no capital program or justification of estimated capital costs.
 - (d) The Background Study assumes that new cycling and other active transportation facilities in rural areas have no benefit to existing development, without any reasonable justification.
 - (e) Grade separations are funded without any justification regarding location or scope, and with no allocation of benefit to existing development, whereas such facilities clearly have operational and safety benefits to existing development.
 - (f) The Highway 5/6 interchange project has no allocation to existing benefit, whereas it will clearly have operational and safety benefits to existing development.

Goodmans

Page 4

(g) Various road components that clearly benefit existing development have no allocation of benefit to existing development (e.g., durable pavement markings, traffic controller cabinet replacements, sidewalks, signals, transit shelters, etc.).

The Hamilton Employment Landowners' Group does not believe the quantum of the increase in the development charge is warranted. The Group urges Council to request staff to revise the calculations in the Background Study to address the concerns raised by the Group's consultants and to recommend an increase that is fair and reasonable and in accordance with the legislation.

Yours truly,

Goodmans LLP

Robert Howe cc: client 1405-6405-7356

Subject: FW: Webform submission from: Request to Speak to a Committee of Council

Submitted on Thu, 04/25/2024 - 10:22

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Committee Requested

Committee Audit, Finance & Administration Committee

Will you be delegating in-person or virtually? In-person

Will you be delegating via a pre-recorded video? No

Requestor Information

Requestor Information Michelle Diplock West End Home Builders' Association 1112 Rymal Road East Hamilton, ON. L8W3N7 <u>michelle@westendhba.ca</u>

Preferred Pronoun she/her

Reason(s) for delegation request Delegation regarding 8.1 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws - Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) for May 2, 2024

Will you be requesting funds from the City? No

Will you be submitting a formal presentation? Yes

Page 99 of 341

Subject: FW: Webform submission from: Request to Speak to a Committee of Council

Submitted on Thu, 04/25/2024 - 15:26

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Committee Requested

Committee Audit, Finance & Administration Committee

Will you be delegating in-person or virtually? In-person

Will you be delegating via a pre-recorded video? No

Requestor Information

Requestor Information Amanda C Stringer Realtors Association of Hamilton Burlington 505 York Blvd Hamilton, Ontario. L8R 3K4 <u>amandas@rahb.ca</u> 9055298101

Reason(s) for delegation request DC Exemptions

Will you be requesting funds from the City? No

Will you be submitting a formal presentation? No

Page 101 of 341

Subject: FW: Webform submission from: Request to Speak to a Committee of Council

Submitted on Mon, 04/29/2024 - 09:19

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Committee Requested

Committee Audit, Finance & Administration Committee

Will you be delegating in-person or virtually? In-person

Will you be delegating via a pre-recorded video? No

Requestor Information

Requestor Information Greg Dunnett Hamilton Chamber of Commerce 120 King St. W., Plaza Level Hamilton, Ontario. L8P 4V2 G.Dunnett@HamiltonChamber.ca

Preferred Pronoun he/him

Reason(s) for delegation request Speak to the Final Report of the 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-Laws.

Will you be requesting funds from the City? No

Will you be submitting a formal presentation? No

Page 103 of 341

Subject:

FW: Webform submission from: Request to Speak to a Committee of Council

Submitted on Mon, 04/29/2024 - 14:25

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Committee Requested

Committee Audit, Finance & Administration Committee

Will you be delegating in-person or virtually? Virtually

Will you be delegating via a pre-recorded video? No

Requestor Information

Requestor Information Veronica Green Slate Asset Management 121 King Street W Suite 200 Toronto, ON. M3H 5T9 <u>veronica@slateam.com</u>

Reason(s) for delegation request

Downtown Community Improvement Area Exemption Staff Recommendation

• A Downtown Hamilton Community Improvement Project Area (CIPA) discretionary exemption for residential development, limited to the height restrictions Council approved through the Downtown Secondary Plan, be 40% in year one (June 1, 2024 to May 31, 2025); 35% in year two (June 1, 2025 to May 31, 2026); 30% in year three (June 1, 2026 to May 31, 2027); 32% in year four (June 1, 2027 to May 31, 2028); 10% in year five (June 1, 2028 to May 31, 2029); and 0% thereafter.

To reaffirm support for the gradual phase in and communicate the requirement to keep DCs low.

Will you be requesting funds from the City? No

Will you be submitting a formal presentation? No

Page 105 of 341

Subject:

FW: Webform submission from: Request to Speak to a Committee of Council

Submitted on Wed, 05/01/2024 - 10:45

Submitted by: Anonymous

Submitted values are:

Committee Requested

Committee Audit, Finance & Administration Committee

Will you be delegating in-person or virtually? In-person

Will you be delegating via a pre-recorded video? No

Requestor Information

Requestor Information Steven Dejonckheere Slate Asset Management 121 King Street Suite 200 Toronto, ON. M5H 3T9 <u>steven@slateam.com</u>

Preferred Pronoun he/him

Reason(s) for delegation request To provide feedback regarding the proposed development charge by-law revisions and staff's report on the subject.

Will you be requesting funds from the City? No

Will you be submitting a formal presentation? No

Page 107 of 341



8,1(a) - REVISED^{of 341} CITY OF HAMILTON CORPORATE SERVICES Financial Planning, Administration and Policy

то:	Mayor and Members Audit, Finance and Administration Committee
COMMITTEE DATE:	May 2, 2024
SUBJECT/REPORT NO:	2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws - Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide)
WARD(S) AFFECTED:	City Wide
PREPARED BY:	Carolyn Paton (905) 546-2424 Ext. 4371
SUBMITTED BY:	Kirk Weaver Acting Director, Financial Planning, Administration and Policy Corporate Services Department
SIGNATURE:	for ulem

RECOMMENDATION(S)

- (a) That the 2024 City of Hamilton Development Charges Study, as amended, prepared by Watson & Associates Economists Ltd., and dated December 21, 2023, amended March 28, 2024, be approved;
- (b) That, whenever appropriate, the City of Hamilton requests that grants, subsidies and other contributions be clearly designated by the provider as being to the benefit of existing development (or new development, as applicable);
- (c) That all the growth capital projects listed in the City of Hamilton Development Charges Background Study, prepared by Watson & Associates Economists Ltd., and dated December 21, 2023, amended March 28, 2024, be approved, in principle, subject to annual capital budget approvals;
- (d) That, having considered the matters in Report FCS23103(b) including the changes incorporated into the 2024 Development Charges By-law, attached hereto as Appendix "A" of Report FCS23103(b), no further meeting under s.12 of the *Development Charges Act, 1997* is required;
- (e) That Appendix "A" attached to Report FCS23103(b) respecting a single 2024 Development Charges By-law, prepared in a form satisfactory to the City Solicitor and including the following discretionary exemption policies, be passed and enacted:
SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 2 of 30

- A Downtown Hamilton Community Improvement Project Area (CIPA) discretionary exemption for residential development, limited to the height restrictions Council approved through the Downtown Secondary Plan, be 40% in year one (June 1, 2024 to May 31, 2025); 35% in year two (June 1, 2025 to May 31, 2026); 30% in year three (June 1, 2026 to May 31, 2027); 20% in year four (June 1, 2027 to May 31, 2028); 10% in year five (June 1, 2028 to May 31, 2029); and 0% thereafter;
- A Downtown Hamilton Community Improvement Project Area (CIPA) discretionary exemption for non-industrial development (other than Class A Office) industrial development and the non-residential component of mixed-use development, limited to the height restrictions Council approved through the Downtown Secondary Plan, be 40%;
- (iii) A Downtown Hamilton Community Improvement Project Area (CIPA) discretionary exemption for Class A Office, limited to the height restrictions Council approved through the Downtown Secondary Plan, be 70%;
- (iv) A reduced rate discretionary exemption be provided for manufacturing (Employment North American Industry Classification System (code 31-33), as well as, for production and artists' studios at a 37% discount;
- (v) A reduced rate discretionary exemption be provided for industrial development (other than manufacturing) at a 37% discount in Year 1 (June 1, 2024 to May 31, 2025) with a reduction in the exemption of 5% per year until completely phased out;
- (vi) An industrial expansion (detached building), 50% expansion of existing gross floor area exemption, be applied only to industrial businesses with primary economic activity identified as manufacturing (employment North American Industry Classification System (N.A.I.C.S.) code 31-33);
- (vii) A 100% Development Charge discretionary exemption for adaptive re-use of a protected heritage property;
- (viii) A 50% Development Charge discretionary exemption for redevelopment of an existing residential development for the purpose of creating residential facilities within an existing building and that the credit applicable, when the original building was a Residential Facility or Lodging house, be 100% of the applicable Residential Facility Rate or Lodging House Rate;
- (ix) A discretionary exemption for non-industrial developments included within a Community Improvement Project Area (CIPA) or Business Improvement Area (BIA) and for office developments (excluding medical clinics) as follows:

Empowered Employees.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 3 of 30

- (i) 1st 5,000 square feet at 50% of the non-industrial charge;
- (ii) 2nd 5,000 square feet at 75% of the non-industrial charge; and
- (iii) 10,000 square feet or larger at 100% of the non-industrial charge;
- (x) A 100% discretionary Development Charge exemption for bona fide farming / agricultural use;
- (xi) A 100% discretionary Development Charge exemption for places of worship exempt from property taxes;
- (xii) A discretionary transition policy in which the Development Charge Rate at the time of a complete building permit application apply if the building permit is issued within six months of the next rate increase;
- (xiii) A 100% discretionary Development Charge exemption for Farm Labour Residences;
- (xiv) A 100% discretionary Development Charge Exemption for City Housing Hamilton;
- (xv) A 100% discretionary Development Charge Exemption for Parking, other than Commercial Parking;
- (xvi) A Temporary Building or Structure Exemption;
- (xvii) A discretionary Deferral Policy for Non-residential Development, a Mixed Use Development, a Residential Facility, a Lodging House or an Apartment Dwelling only;
- (xviii) A discretionary Environmental Remediation and Site Enhancement (ERASE) Deferral Agreement Policy;
- (xix) A discretionary Public Hospitals Deferral Policy;
- (xx) A discretionary Post-Secondary Deferral Policy;
- (f) That the Local Service Policy, as included in the 2024 City of Hamilton Development Charges Background Study, as amended, be approved, adopted and implemented effective June 1, 2024;
- (g) That revisions to Section L.2.4 of the Comprehensive Development Guidelines and Financial Policies, to align with the Local Service Policy, attached as Appendix "C" be adopted and approved; and

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 4 of 30

(h) That, where public stormwater management facilities have been provided at the cost of a developer, as a condition of development approval and the said facilities are deemed to be permanent and part of an ultimate solution, "credits for services in-lieu" for the related stormwater component of the Development Charge will be applied for any unbuilt units upon the said facilities being included in the Development Charge Background Study and any applicable addendum(s).

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of the 2024 Development Charges Background Study (DCBS) and By-law is to ensure that the City can continue collecting Development Charges (DCs) from net new development across the City to fund growth related capital infrastructure needs in accordance with the *Development Charges Act, 1997, as amended* (DC Act). The City's existing 2019 Development Charges (DC) By-law 19-142 is set to expire on June 12, 2024. Approval of the new 2024 DC By-law (Appendix "A" to Report FCS23103(b) is required to levy DCs after this date. The new DC By-law will be in effect June 1, 2024.

Staff was directed to undertake a new DCBS in 2021 through Report FCS21085, "2023 Development Charges Background Study – Procurement Policy 11 Request". Since that time, staff and consultants have worked to compile and release the information required to be included in a DCBS per the DC Act. The 2024 DC By-law and the draft By-laws were released to the public on December 21, 2023.

The DCBS initially recommended the use of separate DC By-laws for each service in response to the mandatory phase-in requirements in the DC Act that were introduced via the *More Homes Built Faster Act, 2022* (Bill 23). However, Bill 185, *Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024*, under consideration by the Legislature, seeks to reverse this requirement. Staff is now recommending one DC By-law. More detail is included in the Analysis and Rationale for Recommendations section of Report FCS23103(b).

An Addendum to the December 21, 2023 Development Charge Background Study (Addendum), prepared by Watson and Associates Economists Ltd. (Watson) was released to the public on April 2, 2024. The Addendum includes revisions to capital costs related to water, wastewater, stormwater and services related to a highway. In addition, the Addendum being recommended through Report FCS23103(b), includes an update to the City's Local Service Policy (LSP). As a result of these revisions, a recalculation of the DC rates has been undertaken. The result is a decrease in the calculated DC rates compared to the DCBS release on December 21, 2023. Table 1 sets out the new proposed rates.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 5 of 30

	SCHEDULE OF D	EVELOPMENT CH	ARGES RESIDENTIAL			NON-RESIDENTIAL	
Service/Class of Service	Single and Semi- Detached Dwelling	Other Multiples	Apartments - 2 Bedrooms+	Apartments - Bachelor and 1 Bedroom	Residential Facility	(per sq.ft. of Gross Floor Area)	
City Wide Services/Class of Service:							
Services Related to a Highway	18,103	13,512	11,099	6,876	5,636	13.3	
Public Works (Facilities and Fleet)	1,335	996	818	507	416	0.8	
Transit Services	1,601	1,195	982	608	498	0.9	
Fire Protection Services	1,151	859	706	437	358	0.6	
Policing Services	1,018	760	624	387	317	0.6	
Parks and Recreation	11,065	8,259	6,784	4,203	3,445	0.9	
Library Services	2,061	1,538	1,264	783	642	0.18	
Long-term Care Services	231	172	142	88	72	0.04	
Child Care and Early Years Programs	-	-	-	-	-	0.0	
Provincial Offences Act Services including By-Law Enforcement	52	39	32	20	16	0.0	
Public Health Services	42	31	26	16	13	0.0	
Ambulance	325	243	199	123	101	0.0	
Waste Diversion	346	258	212	131	108	0.03	
Total City Wide Services/Class of Services	37,330	27,862	22,888	14,179	11,622	17.6	
Urban Services							
Wastewater Facilities	7,125	5,318	4,368	2,706	2,218	4.5	
Wastewater Linear Services	10,630	7,934	6,517	4,038	3,310	6.7	
Water Services	6,856	5,117	4,203	2,604	2,135	4.3	
Combined Sewer System							
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	9,553	7,130	5,857	3,629	2,974	0.0	
Separated Sewer System							
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	22,741	16,974	13,942	8,638	7,080	4.7	
GRAND TOTAL RURAL AREA	37,330	27,862	22,888	14,179	11,622	17.67	
GRAND TOTAL COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM	71,494	53,361	43,833	27,156	22,259	33.31	
GRAND TOTAL SEPARATED SEWER SYSTEM	84,682	63,205	51,918	32,165	26,365	38.0	

Table 1Residential and Non-Residential 2024 Development Charge Rates

The DC rates in Table 1 are in 2023 dollars and will be indexed when the 2024 DC By-law comes into effect on June 1, 2024. More detail is included in the Analysis and Rationale for Recommendations section of Report FCS23103(b).

The City of Hamilton (City) held two open houses to receive feedback on the 2024 DCBS and the proposed 2024 DC By-law. An in-person open house was held on January 23, 2024 from 7:00 to 9:00 pm and a virtual session on January 24, 2024 from 10:00 am to 12:00 pm. In addition to the open houses, the City held a statutory public meeting as required by the DC Act at the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee meeting on February 22, 2024 to receive input on the proposed DC rates and related DC policies that will be applied throughout the City. Staff has received other input and consulted with any interested parties with each public release of information. All feedback received from the variety of opportunities provided were considered in staff recommendations included in Report FCS23103(a).

The current DC By-law (19-142) contains several discretionary DC policies, some with full or partial exemptions. The foregone DC revenue that results from these exemptions is funded by existing tax and ratepayers through allocations in both the Rate and Tax Capital Budgets.

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully. OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner. OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees. An extensive review of the City's DC exemption policies was carried out by Watson as part of the DCBS process. Report FCS23103(b) recommends the discretionary policies (including exemptions) to be contained in the 2024 DC By-law which is anticipated to be in force June 1, 2024. Table 2 provides a comparison of the City's current polices, recommendations from Watson and the recommendations from staff. The rationale for the staff recommendations is included in the Analysis and Rationale section of Report FCS23103(b).

Table 2Recommended Discretionary Exemption PoliciesDowntown CIPA, Industrial and Farm Help Houses



Alternatives for Consideration – See Page 27

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: Passing of the 2024 DC By-law will enable the City to continue to levy a DC, generally at building permit issuance, for new development, net new development, redevelopment or change of use to recover a portion of the capital costs for infrastructure incurred by the City to service the increased needs arising from development.

All figures presented are inclusive of the DCBS Addendum.

Table 3 outlines the gross expenditures related to servicing growth over the next 10 years and the portion that can be recovered through DCs.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 7 of 30

Table 3Net Costs to be Recovered from Development Charges

Net Costs to be recovered from development charges	\$ 2,386,966,257
Grants, subsidies and other contributions	\$ 631,928,900
Other deductions	\$ 153,260,402
Post planning period benefit	\$ 379,320,827
Benefit to existing development	\$ 1,162,295,003
Less:	
Total gross expenditures planned over the life of the by-law	\$4,713,771,389

Staff has estimated the cost of the recommended disretionary DC exemption portfolio at an annualized cost of \$71.9 M. This cost estimate is based on the proposal contained in Bill 185 removing the legislated phase-in of DC rates and the pace of growth expereinced 2020-2023 versus the growth forecased in the DCBS. An overview of the financial implications of Bill 185, if enacted, and discretionary DC exemption impacts on the DC exemption financing strategy included in the 2024 Tax and Rate Budgets will be included in the 2025 Budget Outlook Report. As indicated in Table 2 of Appendix "B" to Report FCS23103(b), the City budgeted \$93.3 M for DC exemptions in 2024, the estimated annualized cost of staff's recommendations is \$71.9 M (assuming no phase-in). Therefore, a positive impact is anticipated in future financing plans.

- Staffing: None
- Legal: The proposed By-law has been reviewed by Legal Services. Once approved, the By-law is subject to a 40-day appeal period. Any appeals to the By-law will require further involvement from Legal Services' staff.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The City's existing DC By-law 19-142 expires end of day June 12, 2024. A new DC By-law must come into effect by end of day June 12, 2024 for the City to continue collecting DCs. If DCs are not collected for a period of time, the costs associated with growth-related capital projects would have to be funded from other sources, such as, property taxes and rate user fees. Over the 2021-2023 period, the City collected an average of \$101.7 M in DCs and exempted an average of \$56.1 M in DCs.

Staff was directed to undertake a new DCBS through Report FCS21085, "2023 Development Charges Background Study – Procurement Policy 11 Request", approved by Council on October 27, 2021. Since that time, staff and consultants have worked to compile and release the information required to be included in a DCBS per the DC Act. The DC By-law, attached as Appendix "A" to Report FCS23103(b) is drafted to be in force as of June 1, 2024 and to repeal DC By-law 19-142 at the same date.

Since the passing of the 2019 DC By-law, the Province has released numerous pieces of legislation affecting development charges, including:

- More Homes, More Choice Act, 2019 (Bill 108);
- Plan to Build Ontario Together Act, 2019 (Bill 138);
- COVID-19 Economic Recovery Act, 2019 (Bill 197);
- Better for People, Smarter for Business Act, 2020 (Bill 213);
- More Homes for Everyone Act, 2022 (Bill 109);
- More Homes Built Faster Act, 2022 (Bill 23);
- Affordable Homes and Good Jobs Act, 2023 (Bill 134); and
- Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 (Bill 185), not yet in force.

Staff brought forward reports to Council detailing the changes and to obtain endorsement of municipal comments for consultations conducted by the Province as legislation was released.

The City completed a Development Charges Update Study in 2021 and amended the 2019 DC By-law via By-law 21-102 to reflect some of these legislative changes arising from Bill 108 and Bill 138. Other changes from these Acts took effect when the legislation passed and did not require a By-law update.

The *More Homes Built Faster Act, 2022* (Bill 23), introduced multiple and significant changes to the DC Act including the phase-in of charges imposed in a DC By-law over a five-year term. Any DC By-laws passed after January 1, 2022, were required to phase-in the calculated charges as follows:

- Year 1 of the By-law 80% of the charges could be imposed;
- Year 2 of the By-law 85% of the charges could be imposed;
- Year 3 of the By-law 90% of the charges could be imposed;
- Year 4 of the By-law 95% of the charges could be imposed; and
- Years 5 to 10 of the By-law 100% of the charges could be imposed.

Bill 185, *Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024* introduced in the legislature on April 10, 2024, proposes to eliminate some of the provisions contained in Bill 23, including the statutory phase-in of DC rates for DC By-laws passed on and after January 1, 2022. Other changes related to Bill 185 are detailed in staff Report FCS24034.

As part of the Federal Government 2024 Budget, released on April 16, 2024, \$6 B over 10 years was announced to Infrastructure Canada to launch a new Canada Housing Infrastructure Fund. \$1 B is expected to flow directly to municipalities, with the remaining \$5 B flowing through agreements with the Provinces. Included in the \$5 B section of the budget, was an action to freeze DCs for three years at the April 2, 2024 DC rates.

It is unclear at this time (1) whether the Province of Ontario will enter into an agreement, (2) how this freeze would be implemented, (3) timing of implementing a freeze, (4) whether indexing would be permitted and (5) how the financial gap between calculated DC rates and the April 2, 2024 DC rates would be funded. Therefore, this announcement has not been factored into the recommendations of Report FCS23103(b). Staff will report back to Council on this announcement as more details become available.

Committee and Sub-Committee Reports and Presentations

Throughout the DCBS process, members of Council have been engaged through updates or to provide direction at key milestones. Below is a summary of the major committee and sub-committee meeting since 2021.

Audit, Finance and Administration Committee - October 21, 2021

 2023 Development Charges Background Study – Procurement Policy 11 Request (Report FCS21085)

Development Charges Stakeholders Sub-Committee Meeting - April 13, 2023

 2024 Development Charges Background Study and By-law Update (Report FCS23040)

General Issues Committee Meeting - June 14, 2023

 Development Charges Exemptions Sustainable Funding Strategy (Report FCS23064)

Development Charges Stakeholders Sub-Committee Meeting - September 18, 2023

 Presentation delivered by Watson & Associates Economists Ltd. – 2024 Development Charges Background Study and By-law

Development Charges Stakeholders Sub-Committee Meeting • November 9, 2023

- 2024 Development Charges Background Study and By-law Update (Report FCS23040(a))
- Exemption Policy 2024 Development Charges Background Study and By-law Update (Report FCS23103)
- Area Specific and Local Service Policies 2024 Development Charges Background Study and By-law Update (Report FCS23104)

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 10 of 30

Release of 2024 Development Charges Background Study – December 21, 2023

Audit, Finance and Administration Committee Meeting – February 22, 2024

- 2024 Development Charges Background Study and By-law Update Open House Feedback (Report FCS23103(a))
- Public meeting as required under Section 12 of the DC Act

Release of Addendum to the 2024 Development Charges Background Study – April 2, 2024

Audit, Finance and Administration Committee Meeting (Special) - April 4, 2024

- 2024 Development Charges Background Study and By-law Update Open House Feedback (Report FCS23103(a))
- Motion passed directing staff to review and analyze several discretionary exemptions to be included in Report FCS23103(b)

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

DCs are fees imposed on development and redevelopment projects to support the capital costs of growth-related infrastructure that is needed to service new residential and non-residential populations within a municipality. The DC Act requires that the City's 2024 DC By-law expires a maximum of 10 years from the date it comes into effect (was no more than five years when the 2019 DC By-law was adopted). The last DCBS and DC By-law for the City of Hamilton was completed in 2019.

The DC Act outlines the requirements for a municipality to pass a DC By-law. Municipalities are required to undertake a background study no less than every 10 years. This requirement has not changed with Bill 185.

The DC policy included in the recommended 2024 DC By-law, attached as Appendix "A" to Report FCS23103(b), encompasses the direction obtained through previous Council decisions.

Table 4 to Report FCS23103(b) outlines the requirements of the municipality under the DC Act and the associated dates related to the adoption of the 2024 DC By-law.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 11 of 30

Requirements Under t	he DC Act
Requirement	Date
2024 Development Charges Background Study released	December 21, 2023
Public Meeting advertisement placed in Hamilton Spectator at least 20 days prior to the public meeting	January 17, 2024
Public Meeting at least two weeks after proposed DCBS and By-law are made available to the public	February 22, 2024, held at Audit, Finance and Administration Committee
Addendum released	April 2, 2024
Addendum advertisement placed in Hamilton Spectator	April 8, 2024
Council considers passage of By-law at least 60 days after the DCBS is made available to the public	May 2, 2024, held at Audit, Finance and Administration Committee
Newspaper and written notice given of By-law passage within 20 days after By-law passage	Forthcoming
Last day for By-law appeal within 40 days after By-law passage	Forthcoming
City makes available Development Charges pamphlet within 60 days after By-law passage	Forthcoming

Table 4Requirements Under the DC Act

Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024

On April 10, 2024, the Province of Ontario introduced the *Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024* (Bill 185). Bill 185 contains several proposed amendments to the DC Act detailed in Schedule 6 of the Bill. Changes proposed through Bill 185 are detailed in staff Report FCS24034.

Bill 185 proposes the removal of the mandatory phase-in of DC rates over five years which has implications for the 2024 DC By-Law. Given the uncertainty around the timing for final passage of Bill 185 and the need for the City to pass a new by-law prior to the expiry of the current By-law, the draft 2024 DC By-law has been prepared to abide by the legislation in effect (i.e., the phase-in will apply until it is eliminated by the Province as anticipated through Bill 185). The DC By-Law will not require amendment to eliminate the phase-in but may be required to facilitate other changes to the DC Act through Bill 185.

Empowered Employees.

GO Transit

In addition to City DC By-law 19-142, the City also has the GO Transit DC By-law (By-law 11-174) which will remain in effect. The GO Transit DC By-law is applied in addition to City DCs. The GO Transit DC By-law collects funds to support the Metrolinx system. The City collects the DCs and remits them annually to Metrolinx. The GO Transit DCs will be indexed effective July 6, 2024, in accordance with the GO Transit DC By-law. Table 5 illustrates the current GO Transit DC rates and the rates that will take effect July 6, 2024. Note that GO Transit DCs are not applicable to non-residential development.

INIELI O	inx (GO Transit) DC Rates	>	
Residential DCs (\$ per unit unless otherwise stated)	GO DCs Current (July 6, 2023 – July 5, 2024)	GO DCs July 6, 2024 – July 5, 2025	Increase Due to Indexing
Single / Semi	357	386	29
Townhouse / Other Multiple	256	277	21
Apartment (2+ bedrooms)	221	239	18
Apartment (1 bedroom)	148	160	12
Residential Facility (\$ per bed)	116	126	10

Table 5 Metrolinx (GO Transit) DC Rates

While DC By-laws are required to be updated through a legislated study process, the Province of Ontario has passed several regulations which have enabled GO Transit DC by-laws to remain in force without a study. Most recently, O. Reg 538/22 on November 25, 2022, under the *Metrolinx Act, 2006*, has enabled GO Transit DC By-laws to remain in force until December 31, 2025. During the extension period, the Ministry of Transportation was to undertake a broader review of the framework governing municipal contributions to GO Transit. To date, the City has not received communications regarding the future of GO Transit as it relates to DC collections.

Education Development Charges

In addition to City DCs and GO DCs, the City is required to collect Education DCs (EDCs) on behalf of the school boards. EDC By-laws are passed by each of the school boards. The City does not have influence on the EDCs.

Both school boards will have new EDC rates coming into effect on July 6, 2024. At the time of writing, staff has not received the draft rates from either board.

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

Approach to DC Consultation Period

The 2024 DCBS and Draft DC By-laws were released to the Public via the City's website on Thursday December 21,2023 following Council direction through Report FCS23040(a) approved by Council on November 22, 2023. A Communication Update to Council, informing Council of the release was issued on December 21, 2023. The City's social media channels were also utilized to communicate the release of the DCBS and interested parties were notified.

Various staff divisions and sections were involved in the creation of the DCBS and recommendations for the DC Policies. As soon as any information was released publicly, staff from the appropriate divisions worked to review and validate all enquiries, concerns and feedback received. Where appropriate, amendments to the DC By-law and Background Study have been implemented.

Internal

- Planning and Economic Development Department
- Public Works Department
- Healthy and Safe Communities Department
- City Manager's Office
- Corporate Services Department Legal and Risk Management Services
- Corporate Services Department Office of City Clerk
- Hamilton Police Service
- Hamilton Public Library
- Development Charges Stakeholders Sub-Committee (includes representatives from Hamilton-Halton Homebuilders Association, Hamilton-Burlington Real Estate Board, Hamilton Chamber of Commerce and two representatives of the public)
- Development Industry Liaison Group
- Audit, Finance and Administration Committee

External

- Watson & Associates Economists Ltd. (Watson)
- GMBlueplan
- Arcadis IBI Group
- Scheckenberger & Associates Ltd. (Ron Scheckenberger) in association with WSP
- The City received feedback and correspondence through the City's email address: DCBackgroundStudy@hamilton.ca

OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.

OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.

Open Houses

The City held two open houses to receive feedback on the 2024 DCBS and the proposed 2024 DC By-law. An in-person open house was held on January 23, 2024 from 7:00 pm to 9:00 pm and a virtual session on January 24, 2024 from 10:00 am to 12:00 pm. Notifications of these meetings were sent to Council, the Developers Industry Liaison Group (DILG), relevant community stakeholders and posted on LinkedIn, "X" (formerly Twitter), and Hamilton.ca. Both open houses were well attended by members of the development community representing both residential and non-residential interests. Representatives from Planning and Economic Development, Financial Planning, Administration and Policy and Watson & Associates Economists Ltd. were in attendance.

Statutory Meeting (see Recommendation (d))

As required by the DC Act, the City of Hamilton held a statutory public meeting at the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee meeting on February 22, 2024 to discuss proposed DC rates, as well as, DC policies that will be applied throughout the City. This meeting was advertised in the Hamilton Spectator on January 17, 2024, posting on the City's website (hamilton.ca), "X" (formerly Twitter), LinkedIn and interested community stakeholders. There were 16 registered delegates and 55 written submissions included in the February 22, 2024, Audit, Finance and Administration Committee meeting agenda. Subsequently, 10 written submissions were received and added to the February 28, 2024 Council Agenda. These 10 items were ultimately received at the March 27, 2024 Council meeting due to cancellation of the February 28, 2024 Council meeting. In addition, one registered delegate and two written submissions were included in the April 4, 2024, Audit, Finance and Administration Committee meeting agenda.

Several requests for additional information relating to the DCBS were received through the City's email at <u>DCBackgroundStudy@hamilton.ca</u>. Individual responses were compiled with input from the relevant consultants and City staff.

Table 6 presents a summary of the nature of concerns from the community.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 15 of 30

Table 6Summary of Community Input

AGAINST ELIMINATION OF EXEMPTIONS

Residential – Downtown CIPA – Against Elimination of 40% Residential Exemption

- High interest rates which translate to high financing costs are impacting development
- Increasing construction costs are resulting in higher unit prices
- Lower demand is resulting in slow housing sales
- A reduction in exemptions will further negatively impact desire for development in the downtown
- Concerned about the long-term negative impacts on downtown development
- Impact on housing commitment and not receiving due funding from Federal Government

Non-Residential – Against Elimination of Industrial Reduced Rate Exemption / Industrial Building Expansion (Detached) DC Exemption

- High interest rates which translate to high financing costs are impacting development
- Hamilton's ability to compete in industrial development market as it relates to surrounding municipalities
- A reduction in exemptions will further negatively impact desire for development
- Productivity of land needs to be considered.

Other

• Farm labour residences should be considered fully exempt

IN FAVOUR OF ELIMINATION OF EXEMPTIONS

- Suggestion to increase DC rates for farmers who build on unserviced farmland where there is no existing infrastructure.
- Developers who are successful in expanding outside the urban boundary should pay
- Taxpayers should not have to pay for developer or provincial shortfalls
- The desire to reduce tax burden on residents during recent budget deliberations. This is their chance to ensure developers pay for growth and not taxpayers

An Addendum to the 2024 DCBS was released on April 2, 2024. The Addendum includes housekeeping changes, as well as, LSP changes recommended by Planning and Economic Development staff. A Communication Update was released on April 2, 2024. While no further statutory public meeting was required, a notice regarding the Addendum with the opportunity to provide feedback was communicated with the community. This included an advertisement in the Hamilton Spectator on April 10, 2024, posting on the City's website (hamilton.ca), "X" (formerly Twitter), LinkedIn and communications to interested community stakeholders.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 16 of 30

ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION(S)

The three priorities for the City of Hamilton's Council term (2022-2026) are: Sustainable *Economic and Ecological Development, Safe & Thriving Neighbourhoods, and Responsiveness & Transparency.* While all three priorities are integral to the City's success, building infrastructure that supports development through DC rates assists in achieving the goal of Sustainable Economic and Ecological Development.

DCs are fees imposed on development and redevelopment projects to help pay for the capital costs of growth-related infrastructure that is needed to service new residential and non-residential populations within a municipality.

The purpose of the DCBS and DC By-law is to ensure that the City can continue collecting DCs from net new development across the City to fund growth related capital infrastructure needs in accordance with the DC Act.

DC By-laws

The DCBS initially recommended the use of separate DC By-laws for each service in response to the mandatory phase-in requirements in the DC Act that were introduced via the *More Homes Built Faster Act, 2022* (Bill 23). The current version of the DC Act could be interpreted such that any amendment to a DC By-law would create a new DC By-law and re start the mandatory phase-ins (i.e., year one of the amending By-law would require a maximum of 80% of the calculated rate being imposed). Bill 185 proposes to remove the mandatory phase-in requirements. As the financial risk associated with having one DC By-law is now viewed as low, staff is recommending one 2024 DC by-law versus one for each service. One DC by-law reduces the administrative complexity related to City staff and developer use.

Bill 185 had implications on the 2024 DC By-law. Bill 185 proposes the reversal of the mandatory five-year phase-in of DC rates under new DC By-Laws which was previously enacted through Bill 23. The 2024 DC By-law has been drafted such that, if the mandatory phase-in requirements are repealed from the DC Act, they will not be applicable through the City's 2024 DC By-law.

Bill 185 further proposes the reduction of the Site-Plan / Zoning By-Law Amendment lock-in period from two years to 18 months from the date of approval in order to expedite building processes. The 2024 DC By-law has been drafted to refer to the DC Act so that current legislation in effect for each development (either two years or 18 months) will be applied.

Staff worked with Legal Services and made a few other changes from the separate DC By-laws for each service drafted in December 2023 to the recommended 2024 DC By-law attached as Appendix "A" to Report FCS23103(a). Those changes are summarized below:

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 17 of 30

- Consolidated 16 By-laws into one By-law, including repeal of 2019 DC By-law
- Edited discretionary DC Exemption Policies to align with staff recommendation as summarized in Table 2
- Some sections edited for clarity and to ensure language works with Bill 185 proposals
- Added definitions as needed (e.g., Manufacturing Facilities, Farm Labour Residences, Local Service Policy)

DC Exemptions (see Recommendation (e) (i) – (e) (xx))

A municipality may choose to impose less than the calculated DC rate but must express so through the DC By-law and cannot make up the lost revenues by increasing the DC for other types of development. Due to the state of the housing market at present, staff's recommendation on exempting DCs in certain circumstances is intended to take in to account current economic realities respecting the state of the housing market, as well as, Council's priority and funding opportunities with Senior Levels of Government.

In addition to statutory exemptions (e.g., residential intensification, non-profit housing, discounts for rental), the City's DC By-law has historically contained discretionary DC policies with full or partial exemptions. The foregone DC revenue is funded by existing tax and rate payers. An allocation of funding and multi-year financing plan for both statutory and discretionary exemptions was approved in the 2024 Budget.

Watson undertook a thorough review of the City's DC Exemption Policies. Initially, Watson recommended eliminating the Downtown CIPA Residential and Industrial reduced rate exemptions. After feedback was received from community stakeholders, a further comprehensive review of market feasibility, best practices in comparator municipalities and historical performance of current exemptions led to Watson revising their initial recommendations to the policy identified in Table 7. Staff has considered Watson's recommendations and is recommending an alternative set of discretionary exemptions, also illustrated in Table 7. The rationale for staff's recommendations is explained in more detail below.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 18 of 30

Table 7Summary of Staff Recommended Exemption Policies– Downtown CIPA and Non-Residential



Rationale for Staff Recommended Exemptions

Residential Exemption in the Downtown Hamilton Community Improvement Project Area (see Recommendation (e) (i) – (e)(iii)

Staff are recommending a slower phase out of the exemption for residential development in the Downtown Hamilton CIPA. Staff's recommendation is shown in Table 8 below in comparison to that recommended by Watson:

Table 8Watson's Recommendations compared to Staff Recommendations

		Downtown CIPA Residential Exemption				
	Jun 1,	Jun 1,	Jun 1,	Jun 1,	Jun 1,	Jun 1, 2029
	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	and thereafter
Watson's	20%	15%	10%	5%	0%	0%
Recommendation						
Staff's	40%	35%	30%	20%	10%	0%
Recommendation						

Staff's recommendation is intended to take into account current economic realities respecting the current state of the local housing market, Council's priorities and leveraging funding opportunities with Senior Levels of Government respecting near term housing supply. Specifically, the following have informed staff's recommendation:

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully. OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner. OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged

Empowered Employees.

• Economic Realities

Through public and stakeholder feedback received as part of consultation on the DCBS, the current housing market has been identified as being in a weakened state, particularly with respect to higher-density development in the Downtown. This is a result of the rapid rises in interest rates resulting in softened pre-sales for new development. This, combined with other significant development costs, risk and financial lending requirements needed to facilitate higher density development, have resulted in projects becoming unfeasible with evidence of projects either being cancelled or placed on hold.

This feedback has been corroborated through consultations and feedback from staff in the Economic Development Division and in recent industry market reports. A recent report by the Canadian Mortgage and Housing Corporation published on April 4, 2024 projected a decline in housing starts in 2024 before seeing a recovery in 2025 and 2026 as a result of higher interest rates.(source: https://www.cmhc-schl.gc.ca/media-newsroom/news-releases/2024/lower-housing-starts-forecast-2024)

 Housing Supply as a Council Priority and Funding Opportunity with Senior Levels of Government

City Council has identified housing supply and affordability as a priority and approved various initiatives and efforts to support new housing creation including updates to the City's Official Plan and Zoning By-law, evaluation of City-owned lands for housing and continued infrastructure improvements. In addition to City efforts, both the Provincial and Federal governments have prioritized housing supply as central policy initiatives. This focus has resulted in a number of funding opportunities to municipalities intended to support new and expedited housing unit creation, as well as, fund necessary supporting infrastructure.

The Downtown represents a significant area of opportunity for new housing supply in support of these housing targets and commitments given the scale and density of current planning permissions and the continued presence of significantly underutilized properties available for redevelopment in relatively short order where financially feasible.

Key funding commitments of note, that the City has entered into, tied to housing supply include:

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 20 of 30

- The Canadian Mortgage and Housing Corporation's (CMHC) Housing Accelerator Fund under which the City has entered into an agreement to incentivize the creation of 2,675 net new housing units above the City's fiveyear historical Building Permit average by December 2026. To support this target, the Housing Accelerator Fund will provide the City annual payments until March 2027 totalling \$93.5 M to fund various CMHC approved City incentive programs and initiatives intended to meet the housing target. It is important to note that the City's fourth and final payment under this program, representing approximately \$20 M, is contingent on the City demonstrating significant progress towards meeting the net new housing unit target.
- The Province's Building Faster Fund which provides the City with funding for meeting annual provincial housing targets established for the City. For 2023, the City received approximately \$17.6 M for exceeding the 2023 housing target. The Building Faster Fund is a three-year program with the potential for further funding in 2024 and 2025 tied to meeting/exceeding housing targets.

Staff's recommended approach continues to seek an overall phase-out of the current Downtown Residential CIPA exemption, but at a slower pace than recommended by Watson. This approach is intended to provide a meaningful incentive to support new housing creation and supply in the downtown. The approach takes into consideration the current economic realities for higher-density development in the near term and the current City commitments and opportunities to leverage potential funding opportunities with senior levels of government tied to increased housing supply.

Industrial Reduced Rate Exemption (See Recommendations (e)(iv) – (e)(vi))

Staff is recommending that a reduced rate apply for manufacturing development, consistent with Watson's recommendation. However, staff is also recommending that the City phase out the existing reduced rate for other industrial development instead of an immediate removal of the reduced rate.

		Industrial (other than Manufacturing)							
	Jun 1,	Jun 1,	Jun 1,	Jun 1,	Jun 1,	Jun 1,	Jun 1,	Jun 1,	Jun 1,
	2024	2025	2026	2027	2028	2029	2030	2031	2032+
Watson's	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
Recommendation									
Staff's	37%	32%	27%	22%	17%	12%	7%	2%	0%
Recommendation									

Table 9Watson's Recommendations compared to Staff Recommendations

OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees. Based on current market conditions, the regional competitiveness landscape and the desire to fulfil ambitious strategic priorities of increasing industrial and commercial tax base, square footage and construction value and growing key sectors of the economy, staff has recommended a continuation of the 37% exemption to DCs for manufacturing (NACS codes 31-33). Staff are recommending the gradual phase out of the exemption for all other industrial development.

Industrial real estate saw a significant boom during the COVID-19 pandemic with the increase in e-commerce, supply chain constraints and demand for manufactured goods. In late 2023 / 2024, industrial real estate demand started to normalize in the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (GTHA) and greater southern Ontario regions. There are several development projects currently underway across Southern Ontario, projecting on the demand of the last couple of years that is no longer present. As a result, lease and rental rate increases realized over the last few years are beginning to fall back.

In addition, the higher interest rate environment, tighter capital markets and increase in land values and construction costs, has already slowed the pace of industrial development activity in Hamilton. While 2024 should be another decent year for industrial construction in Hamilton, this is a result of projects that have been in the development pipeline for the last couple of years. Moving forward, the pipelines for 2025 and 2026 appear far less robust. In summary, the record pace of industrial construction value realized over the last couple of years may not be sustained in Hamilton beyond 2024.

The continued growth of the industrial market is reliant on occupiers and companies coming from other markets and Hamilton needs to be able to provide opportunities at a price point that is less than offerings in the Greater Toronto Area (GTA) for a company to be able to rationalize locating in Hamilton. While the City's Industrial property tax competitiveness has been improving, taxes for the industrial property class in Hamilton are still higher than the overall average.

Whether through exemptions or discounts provided within their DC by-laws or through Community Improvement Plan and grant programs, some of our neighbouring municipalities offer industrial DCs at a much lower rate.

The 2022 - 2026 Council Priorities include Priority 1: *Sustainable Economic & Ecological Development* that includes outcomes to reduce the burden on residential taxpayers and facilitate the growth of key sectors. The Economic Development Action Plan 2021 - 2025 includes growing business and investment as a key priority and several stretch targets aimed at achieving this goal including: adding seven million square feet of new Industrial / Commercial space; generate a total of \$2.5 B in Industrial / Commercial construction value; and increase new gross commercial / industrial assessment by 1.5 % per year.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 22 of 30

Hamilton also has employment density and growth targets to achieve to keep pace with residential growth targets. Stagnation in the industrial sector with continued residential growth would put further pressure on the residential tax base and put Hamilton in the realm of bedroom community status.

Development on Airport Lands (Responds to section (b)(ii) of the April 4, 2024 AF&A Motion)

The following information is provided in response to a motion passed at the April 4, 2024 special meeting of the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee requesting a comparison of DCs applicable to local airports.

The trigger for DCs on the City's airport is established within the lease agreement with the City and its tenant, Tradeport International Corporation (Tradeport). The City and Tradeport have established a process for collecting fees that are consistent with DC fees for building structures on Tradeport operated lands. Through this process, the rates applied would include all eligible discounts or exemptions. Upon review of exemption / grant policies of surrounding airports (Region of Waterloo and the City of London), the Non-Residential DC for Industrial Buildings on airport lands in the Region of Waterloo is discounted by 60%. The City of London provides a grant for the following industrial uses:

- Targeted Industrial A 100% grant for advanced manufacturing (Renewable and Clean Technology, Automotive, Agri-Food/Food Processing, and Defence and Aerospace), Life and Health Sciences, Information Technology and Digital Media, and Research and Development.); and
- Non-Targeted All other industrial developments (excluding the targeted industries noted above) would be eligible for 50% exemption grant up to a maximum of \$250,000.

Local Service Policy (see Recommendations (f) and (g))

Section 59.1(1) and (2) of the Act, "No Additional Levies", prohibits municipalities from imposing additional payments or requiring construction of a service not authorized under the DC Act. Municipalities, therefore, implement LSPs which propose what will be included in the DC and what will be required by developers as part of their development agreements.

The existing LSP has been subdivided based on the location of development as follows:

 Within the Urban Boundary as set out in the Official Plan Amendment (OPA) 167 as adopted by Council on June 8, 2022 and without the Minister modifications approved on November 4, 2022 ("Council adopted Urban Boundary") – traditional local service policy requirements (current) remain unchanged;

Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engag Empowered Employees.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 23 of 30

- Outside the Council-adopted Urban Boundary the developer be responsible for:
 - All roads, linear water and wastewater infrastructure (external to urban boundary) required to service the development including potential oversizing costs;
 - Certain linear water / wastewater works that are oversized (post-period benefit), within the Urban Boundary to accommodate flows;
 - Development would be required to pay:
 - municipal-wide DCs; and
 - water / wastewater treatment DCs (if they will be serviced with municipal water and wastewater).

Changes to the LSP included in the Addendum are recommended to provide additional clarity to DC eligible projects and reflect new standards approved by Council since adoption of the 2019 DC Background Study including the Complete Streets Guidelines (2018) and the Airport Employment Growth District (AEGD) Transportation Master Update 2023.

The LSP currently identifies land for all local, collector and arterial roads (net of applicable oversizing) as direct developer responsibility as per the Financial Policies for Development. Recent approval of the Complete Streets Guidelines and the AEGD Transportation Master Plan Update have resulted in wider road allowances for non-residential roads, relative to residential roads.

The current Financial Policies for Development does not distinguish between the width of residential and non-residential roads; both require the developer to be responsible for up to 26m road allowance. Given that land for road allowances up to the collector designation is a direct developer contribution, the impact on the DC is not proportional for non-residential collector roads which are generally wider than residential collector roads. As such, to align with the plans listed above, the responsibility for non-residential roads has been updated in Appendix "C" to Report FCS23103(b) such that the developer is responsible for up to 32m of road allowance.

Indexing of Development Charge Rates

The City's 2024 DCBS was prepared in 2023 and, therefore, the calculated DC rates are in 2023 dollars. Section 49 of the City's 2024 DC By-law, attached as Appendix "A" to Report FCS23103(b), contains the indexing provision for DCs. The DC Act prescribes that Statistic's Canada Construction Cost Index (non-residential building) (CANSIM Table 18-10-0276-02 (Toronto), formerly 18-10-0135-01/CANSIM 327-0058) is to be used to index DC rates. The index for 2024 was 8.25%. Tables 10 and 11 illustrate the residential (combined and separated sewer) indexed DC rates that will be in effect as of June 1, 2024.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws -Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) - Page 24 of 30

Table 10 Residential City DC Rates for Combined Sewer System					
	City DCs	City DCs			
Residential DCs	per 2024	Indexed	Increase		
(\$ per unit unless	DCBS	(Jun 1, 2024 –	Due to		
otherwise stated)	(2023\$)	May 31, 2025)	Indexing		
Single / Semi	71,494	77,388	5,894		
Townhouse/Other Multiple	53,361	57,761	4,400		
Apartment (2+ bedrooms)	43,833	47,445	3,612		
Apartment (1 bedroom)	27,156	29,396	2,240		
Residential Facility (\$ per bed)	22,259	24,094	1,835		

General Note: The City DCs above do not include Special Area Charges which are not subject to annual indexing.

Residential City DC Rates for Separated Sewer System					
	City DCs	City DCs	Increase		
Residential DCs	per 2024	Indexed	Due to		
(\$ per unit unless	DCBS ¹	(Jun 1, 2024 –	Indexing		
otherwise stated)	(2023\$)	May 31, 2025)			
Single / Semi	84,682	91,663	6,981		
Townhouse/Other Multiple	63,205	68,417	5,212		
Apartment (2+ bedrooms)	51,918	56,197	4,279		
Apartment (1 bedroom)	32,165	34,818	2,653		
Residential Facility (\$ per bed)	26,365	28,539	2,174		

Table 11

General Note: The City DCs above do not include Special Area Charges which are not subject to annual indexing.

Table 12 illustrates the Non-Residential City DC rates for developments in combined sewer system areas.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws -Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) - Page 25 of 30

Non-Residential City DC Rates for Combined Sewer System					
Non-Residential DCs (\$ per sq. ft.)	City DCs per 2024 DCBS (2023\$)	City DCs Indexed (Jun 1, 2024 – May 31, 2025)	Increase Due to Indexing		
Full rate	33.31	36.05	2.74		
Reduced rate ^[2]	20.99	22.51	1.52		
New Non-Industrial ^[1]					
(i.e., Commercial, Institutional)					
1 st 5,000 sq. ft.	16.70	18.07	1.37		
2 nd 5,000 sq. ft.	24.99	27.04	2.05		
10,000+ sq. ft.	33.31	36.05	2.74		

Table 12

General Note: The City DCs above do not include Special Area Charges which are not subject to annual indexing.

^[1] New non-industrial developments within a CIPA or BIA and new office development (medical clinic excluded) receive reduced stepped rates as illustrated above. Staff calculated the reduced stepped rates based on the full rate per the 2024 DCBS.

^[2] The reduced rate is for Artist Studios, Production Studios, and Manufacturing Facilities as defined in the 2024 DC By-law. Staff calculated the reduced rate based on the full rate per the 2024 DCBS.

Table 13 illustrates the Non-Residential City DC rates for developments in separated sewer system areas.

Non-Residential City DC Rates for Separated Sewer System					
		City DCs			
	City DCs	Indexed	Increase		
Non-Residential DCs	per 2024 DCBS	(Jun 1, 2024 –	Due to		
(\$ per sq. ft.)	(2023\$)	May 31, 2025)	Indexing		
Full rate	38.06	41.19	3.13		
Reduced rate ^[2]	23.98	26.14	2.16		
New Non-Industrial ^[1]					
(i.e., Commercial, Institutional)					
1 st 5,000 sq. ft.	19.08	20.64	1.56		
2 nd 5,000 sq. ft.	28.55	30.90	2.35		
10,000+ sq. ft.	38.06	41.19	3.13		

Table 13

General Note: The City DCs above do not include Special Area Charges which are not subject to annual indexing.

^[1] New non-industrial developments within a CIPA or BIA and new office development (medical clinic excluded) receive reduced stepped rates as illustrated above. Staff calculated the reduced stepped rates based on the full rate per the 2024 DCBS.

^[2] The reduced rate is for Artist Studios, Production Studios, and Manufacturing Facilities as defined in the 2024 DC By-law. Staff calculated the reduced rate based on the full rate per the 2024 DCBS.

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully.

OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.

OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 26 of 30

The City's DC Pamphlet will be posted on the City's DC website and made available to the public. The Pamphlet provides a summary of the current rates and provisions contained within the DC By-law. Special Area Charges, GO Transit DCs and educational DCs are also outlined, where applicable.

With respect to the communication strategy for DC indexing, staff will provide communication to the members of the Development Industry Liaison Group (DILG). Also, along with the DC pamphlet, staff will be providing notice of the DC indexing rate on the City's DC website. The City's social media accounts will be utilized to provide notice as well.

Transition Policy (see Recommendation (e)(xi))

DCs are payable upon building permit issuance with some exceptions.

Effective January 1, 2020, the Province implemented exceptions that are detailed in Report FCS21025, presented at the March 25, 2021 meeting of the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee. The rate payable is legislated through the DC Act and is either the rate in effect on the date of building permit issuance or the rate that was in effect at site plan or site-specific zoning by-law amendment application date plus interest to the building permit issuance date. Interest is calculated according to the City's DC Interest Policy. The interest rate is capped at average prime of the five major banks plus one percent, adjusted quarterly, consistent with the maximum as per the DC Act.

For developments already in progress and nearing permit issuance and where a site plan or site-specific zoning by-law amendment application is not applicable, a transition policy was included as part of DC By-law 19-142 (as amended) and is included in the recommended 2024 City DC By-law. The transition policy allows for the DC rates, in effect on the date of building permit application, to be paid if all the following criteria are met:

- The permit application must be a complete application as per requirements outlined by the Building Services Division;
- The permit must be issued within six months of the effective date of the first rate increase following application; and
- The permit must not be revoked after the date of a rate increase.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

There are alternatives to the staff recommendations that Council may consider. A change to discretionary DC policies can be incorporated by staff between the May 2, 2024 meeting of the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee meeting and when the 2024 DC By-law is presented to Council for adoption at its meeting of May 8, 2024. Should Council seek to make changes to the Capital Project lists included in the DCBS, that change would necessitate that an Addendum to the DCBS be prepared by Watson and staff would need to return to Committee for approval on May 16, 2024, before a revised DC By-law could be considered at the May 22, 2024 meeting of Council.

Alternative 1: Council could choose not to pass a 2024 DC By-law

- Financial The City would no longer be able to levy a DC to recover some of the capital costs associated with growth that the City will incur to service the increased needs arising for new development, net new development, redevelopment or change of use in developments. Over the 2021 2023 period, the City collected an average of \$101.7 M in DCs and exempted and average of \$56.1 M in DCs.
- Staffing The Planning and Economic Development Department of the City may experience an increase in development applications and building permit applications due to not having DCs payable. Additional full time staff equivalents may be needed to support any increase in volumes.
- Legal None.
- Pros May result in more developments moving forward.
- Cons The City would not be able to collect DCs. This would negatively impact on the City's ability to fund critical infrastructure. Any amounts that are not collected as a result of having no DC by-law would need to be funded by property tax and rate payers.

Alternative 2: Council can establish discretionary Development Charges policies

Section 2 of Appendix "B" presents Council with several discretionary DC exemption scenarios that staff could be directed to adopt in lieu of the staff recommendations. Each option contains a brief introductory explanation of the option, as well as, the total financial impact of adopting that option.

Financial Financial impacts for all options are included in Appendix "B".

Staffing None

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 28 of 30

- Legal Legal would need to incorporate any changes in direction into the DC By-law in advance of Council adopting the By-law.
- Pros Some of the alternative discretionary exemption scenarios result in lower DC exemption costs which would reduce the amount the City needs to levy on property tax and rate payers.

Other alternatives provide more exemptions to the development community which may result in developments proceeding to development earlier or staying in the City.

Cons Some of the alternative discretionary exemption scenarios result in higher DC exemption costs which would need to be levied to property tax and rates payers or drawn from reserves.

Other alternative scenarios result in providing fewer exemptions to the development community which, given the economic challenges with development, may delay developments from proceeding.

Alternative 3: Council could remove discretionary exemptions from DC By-law in favour of a Community Improvement Plan (CIP) Grant Program

Under Section 28 of the *Planning Act*, municipalities with enabling policies in their Official Plans may adopt a Community Improvement Plan(s) for the purposes of providing grants and / or loans to property owners to support physical improvements / development within specific geographic areas as deemed appropriate by City Council.

In addition to loans and tax increment grants, a Community Improvement Plan may also be used to provide grants wholly or partially equivalent to payable DCs or other City imposed development fees and charges. Such programs currently exist for various purposes in Niagara Region, London, Windsor among others.

As establishing a CIP Grant Program will take several months, it may be necessary to maintain exemptions desired by Council within the DC By-law until such time as a CIP is adopted by Council.

- Financial If discretionary DC exemptions are replaced like for like with a grant program, then the total cost remains the same. Additional costs would be required to fund the administration of a grant program.
- Staffing Staffing will be required to implement and support the program. Specifics would need to be assessed through the establishment of the program.
- Legal Legal Services would need to support the establishment and administration of a grant program.

OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 29 of 30

Pros	Providing DC reductions / exemptions via a grant program as opposed to within the DC By-law has advantages such as:				
	 Provides greater flexibility to apply additional criteria or requirements for eligibility versus an "as-of-right" requirement when embedded in the DC By-law; Allows the City greater flexibility to modify grants / programs in response to evolving market trends; Allows Council to review each development and eligibility on a case-by-case basis, if desired; and Allows Council to establish an upper limit on the dollar amount of exemptions that can be provided in any given year. 				
Cons	Implementing this change, at this stage in the process, could be viewed negatively by the development community who seek stability and predictability in the development process.				
	A CIP approach also has disadvantages including:				
	 Requiring additional staffing to administer and monitor the program, as well as, to evaluate applications subject to program/grant criteria; Additional time and resources required for approval of grant applications via Committee / Council, unless otherwise delegated to staff; 				
	 Less certainty for the development community and property owners as to the potential applicability of a Development Charge grant; and Would require funds to be budgeted for the anticipated level of grants 				

Alternative 4: Council could direct staff not to proceed with LSP road change

annually.

The current Financial Policies for Development does not distinguish between the width of residential and non-residential roads as both require the developer to be responsible for up to 26m road allowance. To align with the Complete Streets Guidelines and the AEGD Transportation Master Plan Update, the responsibility for non-residential roads has been updated such that the developer is responsible for up to 32m of road allowance.

Specifically, Council would need to direct that Project number 91 in Table 5-12 of the Addended DCBS be reduced \$4.7 M, such that the DC rates in effect would be those outlined in Appendix "F" to the Addendum.

SUBJECT: 2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws – Final Report (FCS23103(b)) (City Wide) – Page 30 of 30

- Financial If the LSP change is not adopted, the direct developer responsibility will be lower for non-residential roads which leads to \$4.7 M being put back into the DC calculation and the DC rates being higher than the Staff Recommendation as outlined in the Addendum to the 2024 DCBS.
- Staffing None
- Legal None
- Pros None
- Cons A disconnect would continue to exist between the Complete Streets Guidelines and the AEGD Transportation Master Plan Update versus the City's LSP.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix "A" to Report FCS23103(b) – 2024 Development Charge By-law

Appendix "B" to Report FCS23103(b) – 2024 Development Charges Discretionary Exemptions Policy Options

Appendix "C" to Report FCS23103(b) – Comprehensive Development Guidelines and Financial Policies Manual 2019

CP/LG/dt

Authority: Item , Report CM: Ward: City Wide

Bill No.

CITY OF HAMILTON

BY-LAW NO. 24-XXX

Being a By-Law respecting development charges on lands within the City of Hamilton

WHEREAS the *Development Charges Act, 1997,* S.0.1997, c.27 (hereinafter referred to as the "Act") authorizes municipalities to pass a By-law for the imposition of development charges against land to pay for increased capital costs required because of increased needs for services arising from development of the area to which the said By-law applies;

WHEREAS the City of Hamilton (herein referred to as the "City"), as required by section 10 of the Act, has undertaken and completed a development charge background study regarding the anticipated amount, type and location of development; the increase in needs for services; estimated capital costs to provide for such increased needs, including the long term capital and operating costs for capital infrastructure required for the services;

WHEREAS as required by section 11 of the Act, this By-law is being enacted within one year of the completion of the said development charge background study, titled *Development Charges Background Study*" prepared by Watson & Associates, dated December 21, 2023, as amended by the Addendum to the December 21, 2023 Development Charges Background Study prepared by Watson & Associates Economists Ltd., dated March 28, 2024;

WHEREAS in advance of passing this By-law the Council of the City (herein referred to as "Council") has given notice of and held a public meeting on February 22, 2024 in accordance with section 12 of the Act regarding its proposals for this development charges By-law;

WHEREAS Council, through its Audit, Finance and Administration Committee, has received written submissions and heard all persons who applied to be heard no matter whether in objection to, or in support of, the said By-law;

WHEREAS Council intends that development related 2023 – 2031 capacity will be paid for by development charges;

WHEREAS Council, at its meeting of May 8, 2024, has adopted and approved the said background study, as amended, and the development charges and policies recommended by the General Manager of the Finance and Corporate Services Department to be included in this By-law and determined that no further public meetings are required under section 12 of the Act; and,

WHEREAS Council approved report FCS23103(b) respecting *"2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-laws - Final Report",* thereby updating its capital budget and forecast where appropriate and indicating that it intends that the increase in the need for services to service anticipated development will be met.

NOW THEREFORE the Council of the City of Hamilton enacts as follows:

- 1. In this By-law,
 - (a) "Act" means the *Development Charges Act, 1997, S.O. 1997, c.27.*
 - (b) "Adaptive Reuse" means the alteration of an existing Building on a Protected Heritage Property for compliance of its continuing or resumed use(s) with current Building Code requirements; or, for compliance of its proposed new use(s) with current building code requirements; or, for ensuring its structural integrity; or for optimizing its continued, resumed or new use(s); while maintaining the cultural heritage value or interests of the subject building; and in compliance with the conditions of any Heritage Permit required for the subject alterations.
 - (c) **"Affordable Housing Project"** means a Residential Unit that meets the criteria set out in subsection 4.1(2) or 4.1(3) of the Act.
 - (d) **"Agricultural Land"** means land which is zoned for an Agricultural Use in the zoning By-law of the predecessor municipality in which the land is located, and any subsequent amendment or replacement thereof, and used for a bona fide Agricultural Use.
 - (e) **"Agricultural Use"** means the use of Agricultural Land and Buildings by a Farming Business outside of the Urban Area for apiaries, fish farming, dairy farming, fur farming, the raising or exhibiting of livestock, or the cultivation of trees, shrubs, flowers, grains, sod, fruits, vegetables and any other crops or ornamental plants including storage of related equipment, excluding:
 - (i) Residential Uses, including Farm Labour Residences;
 - (ii) non-agriculture uses, including but not limited to banquet halls, Retail Greenhouses and retail stores;
 - (iii) distilleries, wine production facilities, breweries and any retail space, restaurant or other uses associated therewith; and,
 - (iv) Cannabis Production Facilities.
 - (f) **"Apartment Building"** means a Building containing three or more Residential Units where the Residential Units are connected by an interior corridor but does not include a Residential Facility Dwelling or a Lodging House.
 - (g) **"Apartment Residential Unit"** means a Residential Unit within an Apartment Building.

- (h) **"Artist Studio"** means a non-residential Building, or any part thereof, used as a workplace of an artist and shall include but not limited to a painter, sculptor or photographer.
- (i) **"Attainable Residential Unit"** has the meaning ascribed to it in the Act.
- (j) "Background Study" means the Development Charges Background Study prepared by Watson & Associates Economists Ltd., dated December 21, 2023, as amended by the Addendum to the December 21, 2023 Development Charges Background Study prepared by Watson & Associates Economists Ltd., dated March 28, 2024, as adopted by Council;
- (k) **"Back-to-back Townhouse Dwelling"** means a building containing four or more Residential Units vertically by a common wall, including a rear common wall, that do not have rear yards.
- (I) **"Back-to-back Townhouse Residential Unit"** means a Residential Unit within a Back-to-back Townhouse Dwelling.
- (m) **"Bedroom"** means a habitable room seven square metres or more, including a den, study, or other similar area, but does not include a living room, dining room or kitchen.
- (n) **"Board of Education"** means a board as defined in subsection 1(1) of the *Education Act 1997*, S.O. 1997, c.E.2.
- (o) **"Building"** means any structure or building as defined in the Building Code but does not include a vehicle.
- (p) **"Building Code"** means Ontario Regulation 332/12 made under the *Building Code Act, 1992*, S.O. 1992, c.23.
- (q) **"Business Improvement Areas**" or **"BIAs**" means the following business improvement areas approved by By-law 14-153 as amended:
 - (i) Ancaster BIA
 - (ii) Barton Village BIA
 - (iii) Concession Street BIA
 - (iv) Downtown Hamilton BIA
 - (v) Dundas BIA
 - (vi) International Village BIA
 - (vii) King West BIA
 - (viii) Locke Street BIA

- (ix) Main West Esplanade BIA
- (x) Ottawa Street BIA
- (xi) Stoney Creek BIA
- (xii) Waterdown BIA
- (xiii) Westdale BIA
- (r) "By-law" means, unless the context requires otherwise, this City of Hamilton By-law Number 24-XXX, including with all Schedules hereto, as amended from time to time.
- (s) "Cannabis" means:
 - (i) a cannabis plant;
 - (ii) any part of a cannabis plant, including the phytocannabinoids produced by, or found in, such a plant, regardless of whether that part has been processed or not;
 - (iii) any substance or mixture of substances that contains or has on it any part of such a plant; and
 - (iv) any substance that is identical to any phytocannabinoid produced by, or found in, such a plant, regardless of how the substance was obtained.
- (t) **"Cannabis Plant"** means a plant that belongs to the genus Cannabis.
- (u) "Cannabis Production Facilities" means a Building, or part thereof, designed, used, or intended to be used for one or more of the following: growing, cultivation, propagation, production, processing, harvesting, testing, alteration, destruction, storage, packaging, shipment or distribution of cannabis where a licence, permit or authorization has been issued under applicable federal law but does not include a Building or part thereof solely designed, used, or intended to be used for retail sales of cannabis.
- (v) **"Class A Office Development"** means an Office Development with a minimum of 20,000 square feet of Gross Floor Area.
- (w) "Class of Services" means a grouping of services combined to create a single service for the purposes of this By-law and as provided in section 7 of the Act.
- (x) **"Combined Sewer System"** shall mean the area within the City of Hamilton that is depicted as the Combined Sewer System in Schedule "I".

- (y) **"Commercial Parking"** means a Building, or part thereof, used for the parking of motor vehicles for compensation, but shall not include any parking spaces provided for Residential, Non-residential or Mixed Use Development required or permitted by the applicable City Zoning By-law.
- (z) **"Communications Establishment"** means a Building, or any part thereof, used for the broadcasting and production of information through various media, and shall include but not be limited to print, television, radio and electronic media and which may include facilities for the printing or broadcasting of information but shall not include a call centre.
- (aa) **"Community Improvement Project Areas"** or "**CIPAs"** means the following community improvement project areas approved by By-law 21-163 as amended:
 - (i) Ancaster Commercial District CIPA
 - (ii) Barton Village Commercial District CIPA
 - (iii) Binbrook Commercial District CIPA
 - (iv) Strategic Commercial Corridors CIPA
 - (v) Concession Street Commercial District CIPA
 - (vi) Downtown Hamilton Commercial District CIPA (Downtown CIPA)
 - (vii) Dundas Commercial District CIPA
 - (viii) Locke Street Commercial District CIPA
 - (ix) Mount Hope / Airport Gateway CIPA
 - (x) Ottawa Street Commercial District CIPA
 - (xi) Stoney Creek Commercial District CIPA
 - (xii) Waterdown Commercial District CIPA
 - (xiii) Westdale Commercial District CIPA
- (bb) "Council" means the Council of the City of Hamilton.
- (cc) **"Development"** means the construction, erection, or placing of one or more buildings or structures on land or the making of an addition or alteration to a building or structure that has the effect of increasing the size or usability thereof or any development requiring any of the actions described in section 14 and includes redevelopment such as the conversion of the use of a building or structure to another use.

- (dd) **"Development Charge"** or **"Development Charges"** means the charges imposed by this By-law against land to pay for increased capital costs required because of increased needs for services arising from development of the area to which this By-law applies.
- (ee) **"Existing Industrial Building"** shall have the same meaning as that term is defined under the Regulation, but, for clarity, shall only include Buildings for which a final inspection by a City building inspector has been conducted and passed, resulting in a finalized building permit.
- (ff) **"Existing Manufacturing Facility"** shall have the same meaning as Manufacturing Facility, but, for clarity, shall only include Buildings for which a final inspection by a City building inspector has been conducted and passed, resulting in a finalized building permit.
- (gg) **"Farm Labour Residence"** means a Residential Development constructed on Agricultural Land for the Farming Business operating thereon and not attached to any other Building, with sleeping, cooking, living and sanitary facilities, and used for seasonal, interim or occasional accommodations by full-time farm labourers. For greater certainty, a primary residence or year round residence shall not be considered a Farm Labour Residence.
- (hh) "Farming Business" means a business operating on Agricultural Land with a current Farm Business Registration Number issued pursuant to the *Farm Registration and Farm Organizations Funding Act*, 1993, S.O. 1993, c.21, and assessed in the Farmland Realty Tax Class by the Municipal Property Assessment Corporation.
- (ii) **"Full Kitchen"** means a kitchen which contains a fridge, stove and sink.
- (jj) **"Garden Suite**" has the same meaning as it has in subsection 39.1(2) of the *Planning Act*.
- (kk) **"Grade"** means the average level of proposed or finished ground adjoining a Building at all exterior walls.
- (II) "Gross Floor Area" means:
 - (i) in the case of a Non-residential Development, the total area of all Building floors above Grade measured between the outside surfaces of the exterior walls or between the outside surfaces of exterior walls and centre line of firewalls dividing the non-residential use from another non-residential use, and includes the floor area of a mezzanine; or
 - (ii) in the case of a Mixed Use Development including both Residential Uses and Non-residential Uses, the total area of the Non-residential Use portion including all Building floors above Grade measured between the outside surfaces of the exterior walls or between the outside surfaces of exterior walls and the centre line of firewalls dividing a Non-residential Use and a Residential Use; or

- (iii) in the case of a Live / Work Unit, the total area of the Non-residential Use portion of the unit including all Building floors above Grade measured between the outside surfaces of the exterior walls or between the outside surfaces of exterior walls and the centre line of firewalls dividing the Live / Work Unit from any other Live / Work Unit, Residential Unit, Non-residential Use or Mixed Use Development.
- (mm) **"Hangar"** means a covered or enclosed Building used for housing and repairing aircraft within one thousand (1000) metres of an aerodrome as that term is defined in the *Aeronautics Act*, R.S.C., 1985, c.A-2. For the purposes of this By-law, hangars will be considered an industrial development.
- (nn) **"Industrial Development"** means a Building used, designed or intended for use for,
 - (i) a Manufacturing Facility, or for storing or distributing something;
 - (ii) office, administrative, clerical, management, consulting, advisory or training purposes, if they are, carried out with respect to Manufacturing, storage or distributing of something, and are at the site which the Manufacturing, storage or distribution takes place; and
 - (iii) any use inside the Urban Area, that would, except for its location inside the Urban Area, be considered an Agricultural Use under this By-law.

Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, for the purpose of this By-law, Industrial Development also includes a warehouse, a Hangar and Cannabis Production Facilities but not a Communications Establishment, a Self-Storage Facility and warehouse club.

- (oo) **"Institutional Development"** has the meaning ascribed to it in section 11.1 of the Regulation.
- (pp) "Live / Work Unit" means a Building, or part of thereof, which contains, or is intended to contain, both a Residential Unit and Non-residential areas and which is intended for both Residential Use and Non-residential Use concurrently and shares a common wall or floor with or without direct access between the Residential and Non-residential areas.
- (qq) **"Local Board"** means any municipal service board, municipal business corporation, transportation commission, public library board, board of health, police services board, planning board, or any other board, commission, committee, body or local authority established or exercising any power under any act with respect to the affairs or purposes of the City, excluding a school board, a conservation authority, any municipal business corporation not deemed to be a local board under O. Reg 168/03 under the *Municipal Act*, 2001, S.O. 2001, c.25.
- (rr) **"Local Service Policy"** means the Local Service Policy attached as Appendix "E" in the Background Study.
- (ss) **"Lodging House"** means a building that is used or designed to provide four or more lodging units, which may share common areas of the building other than the lodging unit and do not appear to function as a single housekeeping unit and does not include a Residential Facility.
- (tt) **"Lodging Unit"** means a room or set of rooms located in a lodging house designed or intended to be used for sleeping and living accommodation, which:
 - (i) is designed for the exclusive use of the resident or residents of the unit;
 - (ii) is not normally accessible to persons other than the resident or residents of the unit; and,
 - (iii) may contain either a bathroom or Full Kitchen but does not contain both for the exclusive use of the resident or residents of the unit.
- (uu) **"Lot"** means a lot, block or parcel of land which can be legally and separately conveyed pursuant to section 50 of the *Planning Act* and includes a development having two or more lots consolidated under a single ownership.
- (vv) **"Manufacturing"** includes the terms manufacturing, producing and processing, and means the transfiguration of materials or substances into new products, where the establishment occupying the Building is classified in industry sector Manufacturing, code 31-33 of the North American Industry Classification System (NAICS) Canada 2022 Version 1.0.
- (ww) **"Manufacturing Facility"** means a Building, or part thereof, used, designed, or intended for use for, or in connection with Manufacturing and shall also include the following:
 - (i) Research and development in connection with manufacturing;
 - (ii) Retail sales by a manufacturer of something they manufactured if the retail sales are at the site which the manufacturing takes place; and,
 - (iii) Office, administrative, clerical, management, consulting, advisory or training purposes, if they are, carried out with respect to manufacturing, and are at the site which the Manufacturing takes place.
- (xx) **"Medical Clinic"** means a Building, or part thereof, which is used by health professionals for the purpose of consultation, diagnosis and / or treatment of persons and shall include but not be limited to laboratories, dispensaries or other similar facilities, but shall not include overnight accommodation for in-patient care resulting from surgery.

- (yy) **"Mixed Use Development"** means a Building used, designed or intended for use for both Residential and Non-residential Uses.
- (zz) **"Mobile Home"** means a Building recognized in the Building Code as a "Mobile Home" in accordance with the standard for mobile homes in CSA Z240.2.1 "Structural requirements for Manufactured Homes" or CSA A277 "Procedures for Factory Certification of Buildings".
- (aaa) "Multiple Unit Dwelling" means a Building consisting of two or more Residential Units attached by vertical and / or horizontal wall or walls other than a Single Detached Dwelling, Semi-detached Dwelling, Apartment Dwelling, Stacked Townhouse Dwelling, Residential Facility Dwelling or Lodging House. Multiple Unit Dwelling includes, but is not limited to, Townhouse Dwelling, Back-to-back Townhouse Dwelling, and the portion of a Live/Work Unit intended to be used exclusively for living accommodations for one or more individuals.
- (bbb) **"Municipal Boundary"** means the municipal boundary as identified in Schedule "F."
- (ccc) "Non-industrial Development" means any non-residential Building which is not an Industrial Development and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, Non-industrial Development includes commercial and retail buildings, Office Development, Class A Office Development, Institutional Development, the portion of a Live / Work Unit that is not intended to be used exclusively for living accommodations for one or more individuals, a hospital that is approved under *Public Hospitals Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 40, and R.R.O. 1990, Regulation 964 as a public hospital, Short Term Accommodation, a Self-Storage Facility, a Retail Greenhouse, a Place of Worship, a Medical Clinic, an Artist Studio, a Production Studio and Commercial Parking.
- (ddd) **"Non-residential Development"** or **"Non-residential Use"** is any development other than a Residential Development or Residential Use.
- (eee) "Occupants" means the residents of a Residential Facility.
- (fff) **"Office Development"** means a Building, or part thereof, in which management, clerical, administrative, consulting, advisory or training services are offered or performed, but shall not include a Medical Clinic or any part of an Industrial Development

- (ggg) **"Place of Worship"** means a Building, or any part thereof, owned and occupied by a church or religious organization used for religious services, ceremonies or other religious practices, or for the purposes of a the burial or entombment of the remains of deceased individuals and which is which is or would be classified as exempt from taxation in accordance with subsection 3(1)3 of the *Assessment Act*, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter A.31, but does not include a Building or any part thereof, owned by a church or religious organization and used for any other purpose including without limiting the generality of the foregoing any uses which generate revenue for the church or religious organization.
- (hhh) "Planning Act" means the Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 13.
- (iii) **"Previous Use"** has the meaning ascribed to it in section 34.
- (jjj) **"Production Studio"** means a Building, or any part thereof, used for the creation and production of motion pictures or audio or video recordings and the associated warehousing, prop and set design and storage or used for digital media uses such as animation studio, and associated software development and processing, but shall not include the mass reproduction of film.
- (kkk) **"Protected Heritage Property"** means a property that is designated under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, subject to a Heritage Easement under Part II of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, subject to a Heritage Easement under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*, or subject to a covenant or agreement on title held between the property owner and a conservation authority or level of government in the interest of conserving built heritage.
- (III) "Redevelopment" means the construction, erection or placing of one or more Buildings on land where all or part of a Building has previously been or will be, in accordance with a demolition agreement entered into with the City, demolished on such land, or changing the use of a Building from a Residential Development to a Non-residential Development or from a Non-residential Development to a Residential Development, or changing a Building from one form of Residential Development to another form of Residential Development or from one form of Non-residential Use to another form of Non-residential Use and including any development or redevelopment requiring any of the actions described in section 14.
- (mmm) "Regulation" means Ontario Regulation 82/98 under the Act.
- (nnn) **"Rental Housing"** has the meaning as ascribed to it in section 1 of the Act.
- (000) "Residential Development" or "Residential Use" means:
 - (i) a Single Detached Dwelling;
 - (ii) a Semi-detached Dwelling;
 - (iii) a Residential Facility;

- (iv) a Lodging House;
- (v) a Mobile Home;
- (vi) a Multiple Unit Dwelling;
- (vii) a Stacked Townhouse Dwelling;
- (viii) an Apartment Dwelling;
- (ix) Garden Suite; or
- (x) the portion of a Mixed-use Development comprised of any Residential Units and any areas intended to be used exclusively by the residents of the Residential Units,

but does not include any Buildings used or designed to be used for use as Short Term Accommodation.

- (ppp) "Residential Facility" means a Building or part thereof containing four or more rooms or suites of rooms designed or intended to be used for sleeping and living accommodation that have a common entrance from street level and:
 - (i) where the Occupants have the right to use, in common: halls, stairs, yards, common rooms and accessory buildings;
 - (ii) which may or may not have exclusive sanitary facilities for each occupant;
 - (iii) which does not have exclusive Full Kitchen facilities for each occupant; and
 - (iv) where support services such as meal preparation, laundry, housekeeping, nursing, respite care and attendant services may be provided at various levels,

and excludes any part of the Building used or occupied for uses not exclusively related to the Occupants.

- (qqq) **"Residential Unit"** means a room or suite of rooms used, or designed or intended for use by one or more persons living together as a single housekeeping unit in which culinary and sanitary facilities are provided for the exclusive use of such person or persons.
- (rrr) **"Retail Greenhouse"** means a Building, that is made primarily of translucent building material, used, designed or intended to be used for the sale and display of plants products grown or stored therein gardening supplies and equipment, or landscaping supplies and equipment.

- (sss) **"Self-Storage Facility"** means a Building primarily used in renting or leasing space for self-storage. These Buildings provide secure space (rooms, compartments, lockers, containers or outdoor space) where clients can store and retrieve their goods.
- (ttt) **"Semi-detached Dwelling"** means a Building consisting of two Residential Units attached by a vertical wall or walls, each of which has a separate entrance or access to grade.
- (uuu) **"Separated Sewer System"** means the area within the City of Hamilton that is contained inside the Urban Area and outside the area depicted as the Combined Sewer System in Schedule "I".
- (vvv) **"Services"** means services designated in Schedules "A" through "E" of this By-law; or designated in an agreement under section 44 of the Act.
- (www) **"Short Term Accommodation"** means a Building designed or used or designed or intended for use as a temporary rental sleeping accommodation for travellers and shall include but not be limited to a motel, motor hotel, hotel or an apartment hotel.
- (xxx) **"Single Detached Dwelling"** means a Building containing one Residential Unit and not attached to another Building, whether or not the Single Detached Dwelling is situated on a single lot.
- (yyy) **"Special Area Development Charge"** or **"Special Area Development Charges"** means the charges imposed by this By-law against land to pay for increased capital costs required because of increased needs for the Services arising from development of the area to which this By-law applies.
- (zzz) **"Stacked Townhouse Dwelling"** means a Building containing four or more Residential Units which are horizontally and vertically separated in a split level or stacked manner, where each Residential Unit egresses directly outside to grade (no egress to a common corridor).
- (aaaa) **"Stacked Townhouse Residential Unit"** means a Residential Unit within a Stacked Townhouse Dwelling.
- (bbbb) **"Temporary Building or Structure"** means a non-residential Building without a foundation which is constructed, erected or placed on land for a continuous period of time not exceeding one year, or a like addition or alteration to an existing Building or an existing structure that has the effect of increasing the usability thereof for a continuous period not exceeding one year.
- (cccc) **"Townhouse Dwelling"** means a Building divided vertically into three or more Residential Units, by common walls which prevent internal access between units where each Residential Unit egresses directly outside to grade.

- (dddd) **"Townhouse Residential Unit"** means a Residential Unit within a Townhouse Dwelling.
- (eeee) **"Urban Area A"** means the lands within Urban Area A, identified in Schedule "G" and which are not subject to any expansion resulting from an amendment to the urban boundary in the Urban Hamilton Official Plan.
- (ffff) **"Urban Area B"** means any lands added to the Urban Area as a result of any amendment to the Urban Hamilton Official Plan expanding the Urban Area beyond Urban Area A.
- (gggg) **"Urban Area"** means the area within the City that is identified as the urban area in Schedule E of the Urban Hamilton Official Plan, as amended.
- (hhhh) **"Zoning By-law"** means Zoning By-laws Nos. 05-200, 87-57, 3581-86, 90-145-Z, 464, 6593, 3692-92 and any subsequent City zoning by-law as applicable based on development type and development location within the City.
- 2. Any defined term in the Act or Regulation that has not been defined in section 1 of this By-law, has the meaning given to it in the Act or Regulation.
- 3. The basis of the Development Charges imposed by this By-law is the Background Study and the application and interpretation of this By-law shall be consistent with the Background Study. Recommendations for exemptions in the Background Study not included in this By-law are irrelevant to the application and interpretation of this By-law.
- 4. Any non-residential use which has not been specified in this by-law shall be determined to be either industrial or non-industrial according to where the use falls within Schedule 10 of the Background Study,
 - (a) Primary Industry Employment and Industrial and Other Employment shall be Industrial;
 - (b) Population related Employment and Institutional shall be Non-industrial.
- 5. Any reference in this By-law to any statute, or regulation, City of Hamilton By-law or City of Hamilton official plan or any section of any statute, or regulation, City of Hamilton By-law or City of Hamilton official plan shall, unless otherwise expressly stated, be deemed to be a reference to such statute, regulation, City of Hamilton By-law or City of Hamilton official plan or section as amended, restated or re-enacted from time to time and to any successor statute, regulation, City of Hamilton By-law or City of Hamilton official plan. If any statute, regulation or City of Hamilton By-law is repealed or section thereof is repealed or removed and not replaced, this By-law shall be deemed to not include a reference to such statute, regulation, City of Hamilton By-law or Section.
- 6. Unless otherwise indicated, references in this By-law to sections and schedules are to sections and schedules of this By-law.

7. In this By-law "herein", "hereof", "hereto" and "hereunder" and similar expressions refer to this By-law.

Schedules

8. The following schedules to this By-law form an integral part of this By-law:

Schedule "A": Full Rate City Wide Development Charges

Schedule "B": Full Rate Development Charges for Wastewater Facilities and Linear Services

Schedule "C": Full Rate Development Charges for Water Services

Schedule "D": Full Rate Development Charges for Stormwater Drainage and Control Services

Schedule "E": Full Rate Special Area Development Charges

Schedule "F": Municipal Boundary Map

Schedule "G": Urban Area A and Municipal Boundary Map

Schedule "H": Urban Area and Municipal Boundary Map

Schedule "I": Combined Sewer System Area and Municipal Boundary Map

Schedule "J": Dundas / Waterdown Special Area Development Charge Map

Lands Affected

9. This By-law applies to all land within the City of Hamilton.

Phasing and Amount of Charge

10. The development of land in the City of Hamilton is also subject to City of Hamilton By-law 11-174, as amended, and any additional Development Charges By-laws that may be enacted by the Council of the City of Hamilton.

11.

- (a) Subject to subsection 11(i), for all city wide Services / Classes of Services, where there is Development of land within the Municipal Boundary, the Development Charges payable pursuant to this By-law shall be the Development Charges set out in Schedule "A" to this By-law.
- (b) Subject to subsection 11(i), for wastewater facilities and linear services, where there is Development of land within Urban Area A or Urban Area B, the Development Charges payable pursuant to this By-law shall be the Development Charges set out in Schedule "B" to this By-law.

- (c) Subject to subsection 11(i), for water services, where there is Development of land within Urban Area A or Urban Area B, the Development Charges payable pursuant to this By-law shall be the Development Charges set out in Schedule "C" to this By-law.
- (d) Subject to subsection 11(i), for stormwater drainage and control services, where there is Development of land within the Urban Area, the Development Charges payable pursuant to this By-law shall be the Development Charges set out in Schedule "D" to this By-law.
- (e) Subject to any applicable exemption set out in this By-Law, and subsection 11(i), where there is Development of land within those areas of Dundas and Waterdown delineated on Schedule "J" to this By-law, the Special Area Development Charges payable pursuant to this By-law shall be the Special Area Development Charges as shown on Schedule "E" to this By-law. Special Area Development Charges shall apply in addition to any other Development Charge payable under this By-law.
- (f) Subject to subsection 11(i), where there is Development of land outside of Urban Area A and Urban Area B and, where a connection of a Building in the Development to the wastewater facilities and linear services is proposed, the applicable charge set out in Schedule "B" for Urban Area A shall be applied to the said Development as a Development Charge.
- (g) Subject to subsection 11(i), where there is Development of land outside of Urban Area A and Urban Area B and, where a connection of a Building in the Development to water services is proposed, the applicable charge set out in Schedule "C" for Urban Area A shall be applied to the said Development as a Development Charge.
- (h) Subject to subsection 11(i), where there is Development of land outside of the Urban Area and, where a connection of a Building in the Development to stormwater drainage and control services is proposed, the applicable charge set out in Schedule "D" shall be applied to the said Development as a Development Charge.
- (i) The amount of the Development Charges described in section 11 of this By-law shall be reduced in accordance with any required reduction in subsection 5(8) of the Act as said subsection reads on the date of passage of this By-law and if subsection 5(8) of the Act is amended or repealed after the passage of this By-law, the Development Charges shall be calculated in accordance with any such amendments or repeal to subsection 5(8). Any other reductions and / or exemptions applicable provided in this By-law or otherwise shall be applied to Development Charges net of any required reduction provided for in this subsection 11(i).

Designation of Services / Class of Services

12. All Development of land within the area to which this By-law applies will increase the need for Services / Class of Services.

13. The Development Charges applicable to a Development as determined pursuant to this By-law shall apply without regard to the Services / Class of Services required or used by an individual Development. It is not necessary that the amount of the Development Charges for a particular Development be limited to an increase in capital costs, if any, that are attributable to that particular development.

Approvals for Development

- 14. The Development of land is subject to a Development Charge where the Development requires the following:
 - (a) the passing of a zoning By-law or an amendment thereto under section 34 of the *Planning Act*;
 - (b) the approval of a minor variance under section 45 of the *Planning Act*;
 - (c) a conveyance of land to which a By-law passed under subsection 50(7) of the *Planning Act* applies;
 - (d) the approval of a plan of subdivision under section 51 of the *Planning Act*;
 - (e) a consent under section 53 of the Planning Act;
 - (f) the approval of a description under section 9 of the *Condominium Act* 1998, S.O. 1998, c.19; or
 - (g) the issuance of a permit under the *Building Code Act*, 1992, S.O. 1992, c.23 in relation to a building or structure.
- 15. Where two or more of the actions described in section 14 of this By-law occur at different times, or a second or subsequent building permit is issued resulting in increased, additional or different Development, then additional Development Charges shall be imposed in respect of such increased, additional, or different Development permitted by that action.
- 16. Where a Development requires an approval described in subsections 14(a) to 14(f) of this By-law after the issuance of a building permit and no Development Charges have been paid, then the Development Charges shall be paid prior to the granting of any approval required under subsections 14(a) to 14(f) of this By-law.
- 17. Where a Development does not require a building permit but does require one or more of the approvals described in subsections 14(a) to 14(f) of this By-law, then, notwithstanding sections 36 and 37 of this By-law, Development Charges shall be payable and paid prior to the granting of any approval required under subsections 14(a) to 14(f) of this By-law.
- 18. Nothing in this By-law prevents Council from requiring, in a condition of an approval or an agreement respecting same under section 51 of the *Planning Act* or as a condition of consent or an agreement respecting same under section 53 of the *Planning Act* that the owner, at his or her own expense, shall install such local services related to or within a plan of subdivision, as Council may require, in accordance with the City's applicable Local Services Policies in effect at this time.

Calculation of Development Charges

- 19. A Development Charge imposed pursuant to this By-law shall, subject to any other applicable provision hereof, be calculated as follows:
 - (a) Subject to (i), (ii) and (iii) below, in the case of Residential Development or the residential portion of Mixed Use Development, or the residential portion of a Live / Work Unit, based on the number and type of Residential Units:
 - (i) in the case of a Residential Facility or Lodging House based upon the number of bedrooms;
 - subject to (iii) below, in the case of a Residential Unit containing six or more Bedrooms, the sixth and any additional Bedroom shall be charged at the applicable Residential Facility rate; or
 - (iii) in the case of an Apartment Residential Unit containing six or more Bedrooms, then the following applies: (A) the applicable "apartment 2 bedroom +" rate shall apply to the Residential Unit and the first five Bedrooms; and (B) the applicable Residential Facility rate shall apply to the sixth Bedroom and each additional Bedroom;
 - (b) in the case of Non-residential Development based upon the Gross Floor Area of such Development measured in square feet;
 - (c) in the case of the Non-residential Use portion of a Mixed Use Development, based upon the Gross Floor Area of the Non-residential Use component measured in square feet; and
 - (d) in the case of the Non-residential Use portion of a Live / Work Unit, based upon the Gross Floor Area of the Non-residential Use component of such Development measured in square feet.
- 20. Subject to the provisions of this By-law, Development Charges against land are to be calculated and collected in accordance with the Services and rates set out in Schedules "A" through "E" to this By-law.

Development Charge Applicability

- 21. No Development Charge shall be imposed on any Building owned by and used for the purposes of:
 - (a) the City of Hamilton;
 - (b) a Board of Education;
 - (c) a local board; or,
 - (d) CityHousing Hamilton.

Industrial Development Exemptions

- 22. No Development Charge shall be imposed on one or more enlargements of an Existing Industrial Building, up to a maximum of fifty percent (50%) of the Gross Floor Area of the Existing Industrial Building.
- 23. Where a proposed enlargement exceeds fifty percent (50%) of the Gross Floor Area of an Existing Industrial Building, Development Charges are payable on the amount by which the proposed enlargement exceeds fifty percent (50%) of the Gross Floor Area before the enlargement.
- 24. The cumulative total of the Gross Floor Area previously exempted hereunder shall be included in the determination of the amount of the exemption applicable to any subsequent enlargement.
- 25. Where a subdivision of a lot or parcel of land subsequent to any enlargement previously exempted hereunder results in the existing industrial Building being on a lot or parcel separate from the Development previously, further exemptions, if any, pertaining to the existing industrial Building shall be calculated on the basis of the lot or parcel of land as it exists at the time of said enlargement.

Other Exemptions from Development Charges

- 26. Exemptions or partial exemptions or reductions in accordance with the Act or any other Province of Ontario legislation will be applied.
- 27. Notwithstanding any other provision of this By-law, no Development Charges are imposed under this By-law respecting;
 - (a) a Building, or part thereof, used for parking but excluding a building or part thereof used for Commercial Parking;
 - (b) an Agricultural Use;
 - (c) a Place of Worship;
 - (d) a Temporary Building or Structure, subject to section 35; and,
 - (e) a Farm Labour Residence.

Downtown CIPA Partial Exemption

28. Notwithstanding any other provision of this By-law, the Development Charges payable under this By-law respecting Class A Office Development within the boundaries of the Downtown CIPA shall be reduced by 70% after all credits, partial exemptions and other exemptions are applied under this By-law, for only the portion of the Class A Office Development that is within the height restrictions as shown in Schedule F – Figure 1 of City Zoning By-law 05-200 as it read prior to any amendment thereto applicable to the particular development to which the Development Charges are payable and any Development in excess of said height restrictions shall be subject to the full calculated Development Charge.

29. Notwithstanding any other provision of this By-law, all Non-Industrial Development, Industrial Development and the Non-residential component of Mixed Use Development within the boundaries of the Downtown CIPA as shown in By-law 21-163, as amended, is exempt from 40% of Development Charges payable under this By-law.

The development charges payable under this By-law respecting Non-Industrial Development, Industrial Development and the Non-residential component of Mixed Use Development, other than Class A Office Development, within the boundaries of the Downtown CIPA shall be reduced after all credits, partial exemptions and other exemptions are applied under this By-law, for only the portion of the Building that is within the height restrictions as shown in Schedule F – Figure 1 of City Zoning By-law 05-200 as it read prior to any amendment thereto applicable to the particular development to which the Development Charges are payable and any Development in excess of said height restrictions shall be subject to the full calculated Development Charge.

- 30. Notwithstanding any other provision of this By-law, the Development Charges payable under this By-law respecting all Residential Development within the boundaries of the Downtown CIPA shall be reduced after all credits, partial exemptions and other exemptions are applied:
 - (a) by the percentages;
 - (b) for the time periods;
 - (c) for the types of applications; and;
 - (d) as of the date, identified in the following Table 1:

	Percentage of
Date	reduction (%)
June 1, 2024 to May 31, 2025	40
June 1, 2025 to May 31, 2026	35
June 1, 2026 to May 31, 2027	30
June 1, 2027 to May 31, 2028	20
June 1, 2028 to May 31, 2029	10
June 1, 2029 to May 31, 2034	0

Table 1: Downtown Hamilton CIPA Partial Exemption

The Development Charges payable under this By-law respecting all Residential Development within the boundaries of the Downtown CIPA shall be reduced after all credits, partial exemptions and other exemptions are applied under this By-law, for only the portion of the Building that is within the height restrictions as shown in Schedule F – Figure 1 of City Zoning By-law 05-200 as it read prior to any amendment thereto applicable to the particular development to which the Development Charges are payable and any Development in excess of said height restrictions shall be subject to the full calculated Development Charge.

31. The partial exemptions in sections 28, 29 and 30 shall not apply in addition to the exemptions, partial exemptions or reductions in section 27 or subsections 32(a) to (e). The partial exemptions provided in sections 28, 29 and 30 shall only apply if the amount of exemption is greater than the exemptions, partial exemptions or reductions provided under section 27 or subsections 32(a) to (e), individually or cumulatively. If the exemptions, partial exemptions or reductions under section 27 or subsections 32(a) to (e) are greater, individually or cumulatively, than the partial exemptions which could be provided under sections 28, 29 and 30, no partial exemptions pursuant to sections 28, 29 and 30 shall apply. For the purpose of this section, the Residential Use and Non-residential Use portion of a Mixed Use Development may be viewed as independent of one another and the exemption under this By-law that provides the greatest reduction in Development Charges payable shall be applied to each use.

Other Partial Exemptions

- 32. Notwithstanding any other provision of this By-law, the Development Charges payable under this By-law respecting the following types of Development will be partially exempt from Development Charges under this By-law in the manner and to the extent set out below:
 - (a) for any Non-industrial Development other than an expansion, within the boundaries of the CIPAs or BIAs, and for any Office Development other than an expansion anywhere in the City, Development Charges shall be imposed as follows:
 - (i) 50% of the applicable Development Charge on the first 5,000 square feet;
 - (ii) 75% of the applicable Development Charge for each square foot in excess of 5,000 square feet and under 10,000 square feet;
 - (iii) 100% of the applicable Development Charge on the amount of Development exceeding 10,000 square feet.

Where Development has been exempted pursuant to this subsection, the exemption set out in subsection 32(b) below does not apply to any subsequent expansion on such Development.

- (b) the initial 5,000 square feet of Gross Floor Area of an Office Development expansion, whether attached or unattached to an existing Office Development, shall be exempted from the payment of Development Charges provided that:
 - (i) the office development has not had the exemption in subsection 32(a) previously applied to it under this By-law;
 - (ii) the Office Development has not been the subject of any exemptions or partial exemptions from the payment of Development Charges under any other Development Charges By-laws which are no longer in force;
 - (iii) where unattached to an existing Office Development, the expansion must be situated on the same site as the existing Office Development; and,

- (iv) where, subsequent to an unattached expansion exempted hereunder, the Lot is further subdivided such that the original existing Office Development and the unattached expansion thereof are no longer situated on the same Lot, further exemptions pursuant to this section, if any, shall only be calculated on the basis of the Office Development and the Lot as they existed on the date of the first exemption.
- (c) Redevelopment of an existing Residential Development for the purpose of creating Residential Facilities or Lodging Houses within the existing building envelope is exempt from 50% of the Development Charge otherwise payable pursuant to this By-law.
- (d) Redevelopment of an existing Residential Facility or Lodging House for the purpose of creating additional bedrooms in a Residential Facility or Lodging House within the existing building envelope shall be exempt from 50% of the Development Charge payable pursuant to this By-law. Notwithstanding anything else contained in this By-law, save and except subsection 33(e) and subsection 34(d), the credit applicable to any such Redevelopment shall be based on 100% of the applicable Residential Facility rate or Lodging House rate according to sections 51 and 53 for the said redevelopment within the meaning of section 34 of this By-law.
- (e) the Adaptive Reuse of the part of a building on a Protected Heritage Property that contains:
 - (i) heritage attributes that are the subject of designation under Part IV of the Ontario Heritage Act;
 - (ii) features subject to a Heritage Easement under Part II of the *Ontario Heritage Act*;
 - (iii) features subject to a Heritage Easement under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*; or
 - (iv) features subject to a covenant or agreement on title held between the property owner and a conservation authority or level of government in the interest of conserving,

is exempted from Development Charges.

- (f) The Development Charge for a Production Studio, Artist Studio and Manufacturing Facility shall be reduced by 37%.
- (g) The Development Charge for an Industrial Development other than a Manufacturing Facility shall be reduced:
 - (i) by the percentages;
 - (ii) for the time periods;
 - (iii) for the types of applications; and;

(iv) as of the date, identified in the following Table 2:

Date	Percentage of reduction (%)
June 1, 2024 to May 31, 2025	37
June 1, 2025 to May 31, 2026	32
June 1, 2026 to May 31, 2027	27
June 1, 2027 to May 31, 2028	22
June 1, 2028 to May 31, 2029	17
June 1, 2029 to May 31, 2030	12
June 1, 2030 to May 31, 2031	7
June 1, 2031 to May 31, 2032	2
June 1, 2032 to May 31, 2034	0

Table 2: Development Charges Reduction for an Industrial Developmentother than a Manufacturing Facility

- (h) No Development Charge shall be imposed on one or more Manufacturing Facilities on the same lot or parcel of land as one or more Existing Manufacturing Facilities but not attached thereto, up to a maximum of fifty percent (50%) of the combined Gross Floor Area of the Existing Manufacturing Facilities.
- (i) Where lands are merged or otherwise added to a lot or parcel of land after July 16, 2018, the exemption in subsection 32(h) shall only be available to Development on the lot or parcel of land as it existed as of July 16, 2018 and the exemption in subsection 32(h) shall not apply to any Development on lands that were merged with or added to a lot or parcel of land after July 16, 2018.

Rules with Respect to Redevelopment – Demolitions

- 33. In the case of the demolition of all or part of a Building:
 - (a) a credit shall be allowed against the Development Charges otherwise payable pursuant to this By-law, provided that a building permit has been issued for the Redevelopment within five years of the issuance date of the demolition permit on the same land and may be extended by the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services either for Developments located outside the Urban Area or for Developments where it has been determined by the General Manager of Planning and Economic Development that significant development delays were not the responsibility of the developer, or may be otherwise extended by Council;
 - (b) the credit shall be calculated at the time Development Charges are due for the Redevelopment as follows:
 - (i) for the portion of the Building used for Residential Uses, by multiplying the applicable Development Charge under section 11 of this By-law by the number, according to type, of the Residential Units that have been or will be demolished as supported by a demolition agreement; and

- (ii) for the portion of the Building used for Non-residential Uses, by multiplying the applicable Development Charge under section 11 of this By-law, according to type of Non-residential Use, by the Gross Floor Area that has been or will be demolished as supported by a demolition agreement;
- (c) without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the credit for the demolished building shall be reduced or eliminated by the amount of any exemption, partial exemption or other reduction provided under this By-law applicable to the demolished use as if the demolished Building were being assessed as new development under this By-law;
- (d) without limiting the generality of the foregoing, no credit shall be allowed for demolished Buildings, or parts thereof, that would have been exempted pursuant to subsection 32(e); and
- (e) the amount of any credit pursuant to this section shall not exceed, in total, the amount of the Development Charges otherwise payable pursuant to this By-law with respect to the Redevelopment.

Rules with Respect to Redevelopment – Conversions

- 34. Where an existing Building is converted in whole or in part from one use (hereinafter referred to in this section as the "Previous Use") to another use,
 - (a) the amount of Development Charges payable shall be reduced by the amount, calculated pursuant to this By-law at the current Development Charges rates in respect of the Previous Use;
 - (b) the Previous Use shall be the use as confirmed through the City's Building Division and related permit records. If such records are not available, the Previous Use shall be determined using property tax records or such other City records as may be available;
 - (c) for greater certainty, and without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the credit for the converted building shall be reduced or eliminated by the amount of any exemption, partial exemption or other reduction provided under this By-law applicable to the Previous Use as if the converted Building were being assessed as new development under this By-law; and
 - (d) the amount of any credit pursuant to this section shall not exceed, in total, the amount of the Development Charges otherwise payable pursuant to this By-law with respect to the Redevelopment.

Temporary Buildings or Structures

35. Where an application is made for the issuance of a permit under the Building Code in relation to a Temporary Building or Structure, the Chief Building Official, or his or her delegate, may, as a condition of the issuance of the said permit, require that the owner of the land enter into an agreement with the City pursuant to section 27 of the Act and sections 39 to 45 of this By-law and submit security satisfactory to the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services and the City Solicitor, to be realized upon in the event that the Temporary Building or Structure remains on the land for more than one year, or any other time as may be set out in the said agreement or security, from the date of the construction or erection thereof. A Temporary Building or Structure that has not been removed or demolished by the first anniversary of its construction or erection on the land, or by the date specified in an agreement, shall be deemed not to be, nor ever to have been, a Temporary Building or Structure and Development Charges under this By-law shall become due and payable forthwith and the City may draw upon any security as payment for the Development Charges payable.

Collection of Development Charges

- 36. Subject to the provisions of sections 38 to 45, Development Charges are payable at the time a building permit is issued with respect to a Development.
- 37. Despite section 36, a Development Charge in respect of any part of a Development that consists of a type of Development set out in subsection 26.1(2) of the Act, is payable in accordance with section 26.1 of the Act, including interest as per the City's Development Charge Interest Policy FPAP-DC-002 as may be revised from time to time, for so long as section 26.1 of the Act remains in force and effect.

Prepayment or Deferral Agreements

38. For developments under subsection 26.1(2) of the Act only, the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services may authorize in writing, in accordance with section 27 of the Act, and subject to the eligibility criteria as set out by the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services, an agreement with a person to permit, on such terms as the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services may require, the payment of the Development Charges as early as building permit issuance.

- 39. Save as otherwise specified in this By-law, and for Non-residential Development, a Mixed Use Development, a Residential Facility, a Lodging House or an Apartment Dwelling only, the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services may authorize in writing, in accordance with section 27 of the Act, and subject to the eligibility criteria as set out by the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services, an agreement with a person to permit, on such terms as the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services may require, including the payment of interest by such person, and for an initial term no longer than five years, the payment of the Development Charge before or after it is otherwise payable under this By-law. The General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services may, on such terms as the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services may require, including the payment of interest by such person, extend the initial term by no more than two years.
- 40. Notwithstanding section 39 above, for any Development that has been approved by the City for an ERASE Redevelopment Grant, or any successor thereof, the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services may authorize in writing, in accordance to section 27 of the Act, an agreement with a person to permit, on such terms as the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services may require, without interest, the payment of a portion or all of the Development Charge after it is otherwise payable under this By-law for an amount not to exceed the amount of the approved ERASE Grant and for a period of time not to exceed the date on which the final payment of the approved ERASE Redevelopment Grant will be made.
- 41. Notwithstanding section 39 above, the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services may, relating to a Development that consists of one building that requires more than one building permit, authorize in writing, in accordance with section 27 of the Act, an agreement to permit, on such terms as the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Service may require, including the payment of instalments related to subsequent building permits and interest by such person and for a term no longer than five years, the payment of the Development Charge after it is otherwise payable under this By-law.
- 42. Notwithstanding section 39 above, Council may authorize an agreement with a hospital that is approved under *Public Hospitals Act*, R.S.O. 1990, c. P. 40, and R.R.O. 1990, Regulation 964 as a public hospital to permit, on such conditions as Council may require, including the payment of interest, and for a term no longer than 10 years, the payment of the Development Charge after it is otherwise payable under this By-law.
- 43. Notwithstanding section 39 above, Council may authorize an agreement with a university or other post-secondary school offering a degree or diploma recognized by the Province of Ontario, on such conditions as Council may require, including the payment of interest, and for a term no longer than 30 years, the payment of the Development Charge after it is otherwise payable under this By-law.
- 44. The General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services shall have the authority to execute any agreements authorized by sections 38 to 43 and any ancillary or subsidiary documentation related to any such agreement or necessary to give effect to the authority delegated in sections 38 to 43.

45. The General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services shall be authorized to direct the City Solicitor to commence legal proceedings and enter into agreements to ensure the collection of amounts deferred under sections 39 to 43 of this By-law and under section 27 of the Act and the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services shall be authorized to execute any such agreements and ancillary documentation.

Credit for Services-in-lieu Agreement

46. In accordance with sections 38, 39, 40 and 41 of the Act, a person may perform work that relates to a service to which this By-law applies, in return for a credit towards the Development Charges payable by the said person, by way of an agreement. No such credit shall exceed the total Development Charges payable by the person.

Front-Ending Agreements

47. Council may authorize a front-ending agreement in accordance with the provisions of Part III of the Act, upon such terms as Council may require, in respect of the Development of land.

Administration of By-law

48. This By-law shall be administered by the Corporate Services Department of the City of Hamilton.

Indexing

- 49. The Development Charges set out in Schedule "A" through "D" of this By-law shall be adjusted annually without amendment to this By-law by the percentage change during the preceding year, as recorded in the Statistics Canada's Building Construction price index, by type of building (non-residential building) (Table 18-10-0276-02) for the City of Toronto, as may be amended or replaced from time to time. This adjustment shall take place as follows:
 - (a) the initial adjustment shall occur on June 1, 2024 at 12:01am, and
 - (b) thereafter, adjustment shall be made each year on June 1.

Reserve Fund Report

50. The General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services shall, in each year prior to June 30 thereof, commencing June 30, 2025 for the 2024 year, furnish to Council a statement in respect of the reserve funds required by the Act for the Services / Classes of Services to which this By-law relates, for the prior year, containing the information as required by the Act and Regulation.

When Amount of Development Charge is Determined

- 51. The amount of a Development Charge payable under this By-law shall be determined in accordance with section 26.2 of the Act and other provisions in the Act providing when the amount of the Development Charge is determined.
- 52. Interest on the total amount of Development Charges determined shall be charged as permitted by the Act to the date of building permit issuance in accordance with the City's Development Charge Interest Policy FPAP-DC-003, as may be revised from time to time.
- 53. Where subsection 26.2(1)(a) and (b) of the Act do not apply, the Development Charges shall be calculated in accordance with the Act subject to the following reduction, if applicable. The Development Charge rates payable shall be the rates in effect on the date a complete building permit application is received and accepted by the City's Chief Building Official, provided that the permit is issued within 6 months of the effective date of the first Development Charge rate increase following said building permit application. Where the said building permit is lawfully revoked by the Chief Building Official on or after the date of the said Development Charge rate increase, any subsequent application for a building permit on the lands or site will be subject to the Development Charge rate in effect on the date of building permit issuance. For the purposes of this section, a "complete application" means an application with all information and plans required as per the Ontario Building Code.

Overpayments and Underpayments

- 54. Refunds or partial refunds of Development Charges that have been paid will be made without interest, including cases where a permit is cancelled and where the City has made an error in the calculation of the Development Charges which resulted in an overpayment to the City.
- 55. Additional payment of Development Charges shall be made where there has been an error in the calculation of the Development Charges which resulted in an underpayment of Development Charges. The amount of the difference between the corrected amount of Development Charges payable and the Development Charges paid shall be payable on demand and unpaid amounts will be added to the tax roll for the property in accordance with section 32 of the Act.

General

56. This By-law may be referred to as the *"City of Hamilton Development Charges By-law, 2024."*

Date By-law Effective

57. This By-law shall come into force and take effect at 12:01 a.m. on June 1, 2024.

Date By-law Expires

58. This By-law expires ten years after the date on which it comes into force or be repealed on such earlier expiry date as Council may determine.

By-law Registration

59. A certified copy of this By-law may be registered in the Land Titles Office as against title to any land to which this By-law applies.

Headings for Reference Only

60. The headings inserted in this By-law are for convenience of reference only and shall not affect the construction or interpretation of this By-law.

Severability

61. If, for any reason, any section or subsection of this By-law is held invalid, it is hereby declared to be the intention of Council that all the remainder of this By-law shall continue in full force and effect until repealed, re-enacted or amended, in whole or in part or dealt with in any other way.

Repeal

62. By-law 19-142, as amended, is hereby repealed effective as of the date and time of this By-law coming into effect.

Non-binding Nature

63. Nothing in this By-law shall be construed so as to commit or require the City or its Council to authorize or proceed with any specific capital project at any specific time.

PASSED this _____, ____,

A. Horwath Mayor J. Pilon City Clerk

SCHEDULE A, TO BY-LAW <mark>24-XXX</mark> FULL RATE CITY WIDE DEVELOPMENT CHARGES – EFFECTIVE JUNE 1, 2024

			RESIDENTIAL			NON-RESIDENTIAL
Service/Class of Service	Single and Semi- Detached Dwelling	Other Multiples	Apartments - 2 Bedrooms+	Apartments - Bachelor and 1 Bedroom	Residential Facility	(per sq.ft. of Gross Floor Area)
City Wide Services/Class of Service:						
Services Related to a Highway	18,103	13,512	11,099	6,876	5,636	13.31
Public Works (Facilities and Fleet)	1,335	996	818	507	416	0.80
Transit Services	1,601	1,195	982	608	498	0.96
Fire Protection Services	1,151	859	706	437	358	0.69
Policing Services	1,018	760	624	387	317	0.61
Parks and Recreation	11,065	8,259	6,784	4,203	3,445	0.95
Library Services	2,061	1,538	1,264	783	642	0.18
Long-term Care Services	231	172	142	88	72	0.04
Child Care and Early Years Programs	-	-	-	-	-	0.00
Provincial Offences Act Services including By-Law Enforcement	52	39	32	20	16	0.03
Public Health Services	42	31	26	16	13	0.01
Ambulance	325	243	199	123	101	0.06
Waste Diversion	346	258	212	131	108	0.03
Total City Wide Services/Class of Services	37,330	27,862	22,888	14,179	11,622	17.67

SCHEDULE B, TO BY-LAW <mark>24-XXX</mark> FULL RATE DEVELOPMENT CHARGES FOR WASTEWATER FACILITIES AND LINEAR SERVICES – EFFECTIVE JUNE 1, 2024

			RESIDENTIAL			NON-RESIDENTIAL
Service/Class of Service	Single and Semi- Detached Dwelling	Other Multiples	Apartments - 2 Bedrooms+	Apartments - Bachelor and 1 Bedroom	Residential Facility	(per sq.ft. of Gross Floor Area)
Urban Area A						
Wastewater Facilities	7,125	5,318	4,368	2,706	2,218	4.53
Wastewater Linear Services	10,630	7,934	6,517	4,038	3,310	6.75
Total Wastewater Services - Urban Area A	17,755	13,252	10,885	6,744	5,528	11.28
Urban Area B						
Wastewater Facilities	7,125	5,318	4,368	2,706	2,218	4.53
Wastewater Linear Services	-	-	-	-	-	0.00
Total Wastewater Services - Urban Area B	7,125	5,318	4,368	2,706	2,218	4.53

SCHEDULE C, TO BY-LAW 24-XXX FULL RATE DEVELOPMENT CHARGES FOR WATER SERVICES – EFFECTIVE JUNE 1, 2024

				RESIDENTIAL			NON-RESIDENTIAL
	Service/Class of Service	Single and Semi- Detached Dwelling	Other Multiples	Apartments - 2 Bedrooms+	Apartments - Bachelor and 1 Bedroom	Residential Facility	(per sq.ft. of Gross Floor Area)
Urban Area A Water Services Urban Area B		6,856	5,117	4,203	2,604	2,135	4.36
Water Services		-	-	-	-	-	0.00

SCHEDULE D, TO BY-LAW 24-XXX FULL RATE DEVELOPMENT CHARGES FOR STORMWATER DRAINAGE AND CONTROL SERVICES – EFFECTIVE JUNE 1, 2024

			RESIDENTIAL			NON-RESIDENTIAL
Service/Class of Service	Single and Semi- Detached Dwelling	Other Multiples	Apartments - 2 Bedrooms+	Apartments - Bachelor and 1 Bedroom	Residential Facility	(per sq.ft. of Gross Floor Area)
Combined Sewer System						
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	9,553	7,130	5,857	3,629	2,974	0.00
Separated Sewer System						
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	22,741	16,974	13,942	8,638	7,080	4.75

SCHEDULE E, TO BY-LAW <mark>24-XXX</mark> FULL RATE SPECIAL AREA DEVELOPMENT CHARGES – EFFECTIVE JUNE 1, 2024

			RESIDENTIAL			NON-RESIDENTIAL
Service/Class of Service	Single and Semi- Detached Dwelling	Other Multiples	Apartments - 2 Bedrooms+	Apartments - Bachelor and 1 Bedroom	Residential Facility	(per sq.ft. of Gross Floor Area)
Special Area Development Charges	1,931	1,441	1,884	734	601	1.07

Page 171 of 341

SCHEDULE F, TO BY-LAW 24-XXX MUNICIPAL BOUNDARY MAP



SCHEDULE G, TO BY-LAW 24-XXX URBAN AREA A AND MUNICIPAL BOUNDARY MAP



SCHEDULE H, TO BY-LAW 24-XXX URBAN AREA AND MUNICIPAL BOUNDARY MAP



SCHEDULE I, TO BY-LAW 24-XXX COMBINED SEWER SYSTEM AREA AND MUNICIPAL BOUNDARY MAP



SCHEDULE J, TO BY-LAW <mark>24-XXX</mark> DUNDAS / WATERDOWN SPECIAL AREA DEVELOPMENT CHARGE MAP



2024 Development Charges Discretionary Exemptions Policy Options

The purpose of Appendix "B" to Report FCS23103(b) is to outline a range of discretionary Development Charge (DC) exemption policy options. Section 1 of Appendix "B" outlines the DC exemption policies recommended by staff through Report FCS23103(b). Section 2 of Appendix "B" outlines the impact of a selection of policy options available to Council based on feedback received throughout the DC Background Study process.

Section 1: Staff Recommendations

Table 1 summarizes the staff recommendations for a few of the discretionary DC exemption policies recommended through Report FCS23103(b). These discretionary exemptions have been highlighted as they have received the most discussion throughout the DC Background Study process.

Staff estimates the annualized cost of the recommended discretionary DC exemptions proposed through Report FCS23103(b) at \$71.9 M. This estimate assumes that the DC rate phase mandated by the *Development Charges Act, 1997* (DC Act), will be reversed as proposed through the *Cutting Red Tape to build More Homes Act, 2024* (Bill 185). Staff Report FCS24034, "Bill 185, *Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024* as it relates to the *Development Charges Act, 1997*", provides additional information on Bill 185.

Discretionary Exemption Type	Recommended Discretionary DC	Cost of Discretionary Exemption ^[1]
Downtown Community Improvement Project Area Exemption for Residential Development	(e)(i) A Downtown Hamilton Community Improvement Project Area (CIPA) discretionary exemption for residential development, limited to the height restrictions Council approved through the Downtown Secondary Plan, be 40% in year one (June 1, 2024 to May 31, 2025); 35% in year two (June 1, 2025 to May 31, 2026); 30% in year three (June 1, 2026 to May 31, 2027); 32% in year four (June 1, 2027 to May 31, 2028); 10% in year five (June 1, 2028 to May 31, 2029); and 0% thereafter;	Accounts for \$6.1 M of the annualized \$71.9 M DC exemption cost estimate.

Table 1 Staff Recommended Discretionary DC Exemptions

Appendix "B" to Report FCS23103(b) Page 2 of 8

Discretionary Exemption Type	Recommendation	Cost of Discretionary Exemption ^[1]
Reduced Rate for Industrial and Manufacturing Development	 (e)(iv) A reduced rate discretionary exemption be provided for manufacturing (Employment North American Industry Classification System (N.A.I.C.S.) (code 31-33), as well as, for production and artists' studios at a 37% discount; (e)(v) A reduced rate discretionary exemption be provided for industrial development (other than manufacturing) at a 37% discount in Year 1 (June 1, 2024 to May 31, 2025) with a reduction in the exemption of 5% per year until completely phased out; 	Accounts for \$9.7 M of the annualized \$71.9 M DC exemption cost estimate.
Detached Industrial 50% Expansion Exemption	(e)(vi) An industrial expansion (detached building) 50% expansion of existing gross floor area exemption be applied only to industrial businesses with primary economic activity identified as manufacturing (employment North American Industry Classification System (N.A.I.C.S.) code 31-33);	Accounts for \$454 K of the annualized \$71.9 M DC exemption cost estimate.
Farm Labour Residences	(e)(xii) A 100% discretionary Development Charge exemption for Farm Labour Residences	Accounts for \$150 K of the annualized \$71.9 M DC exemption cost estimate.

[1] The cost of each discretionary exemption has been estimated with the assumption that the statutory phase-in of DC rates will be removed from the DC Act, as proposed through Bill 185.

Table 2 illustrates the funding for DC Exemptions that has been approved and forecasted in the 2024 Tax and Rate Capital Budgets, as well as, an estimate of the cost of the staff recommended policy as outlined in Table 1. Adoption of the discretionary DC exemptions recommended by staff would result in a lower estimated cost than the amount considered in the 2024 Budget as a result of (1) the staff recommendation assuming removal of the phase-in through Bill 185 and (2) DC rates not increasing as significantly as expected in Staff Report FCS23064, "DC Exemptions Sustainable Funding Strategy". The 2024 Budget relies on transfers from reserve and transfers from other funding sources to fund the cost of DC Exemptions. An overview of the financial implications of Bill 185, if enacted, and impacts on financing strategy included in the 2024 Tax and Rate Budgets will be included in the 2025 Budget Outlook Report.

Appendix "B" to Report FCS23103(b) Page 3 of 8

	Budget Conside		
	DC Exemption	DC Exemptions	Total
	Tax Budget ^[1]	Rates Budget	i Otai
2024 Budget (1 year)	\$55.6 M	\$37.7 M	\$93.3 M
	(\$23.1 M levy,	(\$20.2 M user rates,	
	\$32.5 M Reserves	\$17.5 M Reserves and	
	and other sources)	other)	
2024 – 2033 Budget	\$61.5 M	\$42.4 M	\$103.9 M
10 year - annualized	(\$54.2 M levy, \$7.3	(\$38.9 M user rates,	
	M Reserves and	\$3.5 M Reserves and	
	other sources)	other)	
Staff recommendation 10	\$39.3 M	\$32.6 M	\$71.9 M
year – annualized			
Staff recommendation if	\$47.0 M	\$39.0 M	\$86.0 M
phase-in is not removed			
10 year – annualized			

Table 2 Budget Considerations

[1] Amounts exclude previously approved funding dedicated towards eliminating the backlog of historically unfunded DC Exemptions

Section 2: Policy Option Alternatives

Section 2 of Appendix "B" to Report FCS23103(b) details various DC discretionary exemption scenarios that staff could be directed to adopt in lieu of the staff recommendations. Only one direction related to each exemption may be directed. For example, staff could be directed to implement scenario 1a. Staff could not be directed to implement scenarios 1a and 1b. Council may also choose to implement the staff recommendation and not to direct any of these alternatives.

1. Downtown Community Improvement Project Area (CIPA) Exemption for Residential Development

Historically, an exemption for residential development in the downtown CIPA has been provided. The 2019 DC By-Law included a phase-down of the exemption from 70% beginning in 2019 to 40% in 2021 onwards.

a. No Downtown CIPA Exemption for Residential Development

This option is consistent with the draft DC by-laws released for public consultation in December 2023. In this scenario, residential development in the Downtown CIPA would not receive a discretionary exemption in the 2024 DC By-law.

Appendix "B" to Report FCS23103(b) Page 4 of 8

Table 1a Scenario 1a Cost Assessment versus Staff Recommendation (ANNUALIZED)

	l otal Impact
No Downtown CIPA Exemption for	\$6.1 M decrease in DC
Residential Development	exemption cost estimate
	versus staff recommendation

b. Watson's Revised Recommendation – 20/15/10/5/0

Through the DC public consultation process, Watson & Associates Economists Ltd. (Watson) updated their DC Exemption Analysis and presented a revised recommendation at the February 22, 2024 DC Public Meeting held at the City's Audit, Finance and Administration Committee meeting.

Table 1b

Scenario 1b Cost Assessment versus Staff Recommendation (ANNUALIZED)

	Total Impact
Watson's revised recommendation –	\$3.8 M decrease in DC
20/15/10/5/0	exemption cost estimate
	versus staff recommendation

c. Maintain 40% Exemption

This option is consistent with the DC exemption that is currently provided to residential development withing the Downtown CIPA.

Table 1c

Scenario 1c Cost Assessment versus Staff Recommendation (ANNUALIZED)

	Total Impact
Maintain current 40% Exemption	\$10.7 M increase in DC
	exemption cost estimate
	versus staff recommendation

2. Industrial Rates

Historically, a partial exemption of DC rates for industrial developments has been provided.

a. No Exemption for Industrial (Including Manufacturing)

This option is consistent with the draft DC by-laws released for public consultation in December 2023. In this scenario, industrial development would not receive a discretionary exemption in the 2024 DC By-law.

Appendix "B" to Report FCS23103(b) Page 5 of 8

Table 2a Scenario 2a Cost Assessment versus Staff Recommendation (ANNUALIZED)

	Total Impact
No Exemption for Industrial (including	\$9.7 M decrease in DC
Manufacturing)	exemption cost estimate
	versus staff recommendation

b. Watson's Revised Recommendation – Manufacturing

Through the DC public consultation process, Watson updated their DC Exemption Analysis and presented a revised recommendation at the February 22, 2024 DC Public Meeting held at the City's Audit, Finance and Administration Committee Meeting. The revised recommendation was to provide a 37% exemption for manufacturing developments, but not for other industrial.

Table 2b

Scenario 2b Cost Assessment versus Staff Recommendation (ANNUALIZED)

	Total Impact
Watson's revised recommendation –	\$5.1 M decrease in DC
Manufacturing	exemption cost estimate
	versus staff recommendation

c. Maintain 37% Reduction for Industrial

In this scenario, the existing 37% reduction for all industrial development would be maintained.

Scenario 2c Cost Assessment versus Staff Recommendation (ANNUALIZED)

	Total Impact
Maintain current 37% Reduction for all	\$5.1 M decrease in DC
Industrial	exemption cost estimate
	versus staff recommendation

3. Farm Labour Residences

In this scenario, an exemption for Farm Labour Residences would not be included in the 2024 DC By-law.

Table 3 Scenario 3 Cost Assessment versus Staff Recommendation (ANNUALIZED)

	Total Impact
Farm Labour Residences	\$150 K decrease in DC
	exemption cost estimate
	versus staff recommendation
Appendix "B" to Report FCS23103(b) Page 6 of 8

4. Non-Profit Child Care Centres

This scenario is prepared in response to a motion passed at the April 4, 2024 special meeting of the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee. In this scenario, the City would include an exemption in the 2024 DC By-law for non-profit Child Care Centres operating under the *Child Care and Early Years Act, 2014*.

Hamilton's child care community-based space allocation under the Canada Wide Early Learning and Care system is 1,433 spaces. This growth is incremental and the 1,433 spaces expect to be open and operational by the end of 2026. The 1,433 spaces will be approved under a directed growth application process administered by the Children's and Community Services Division and every ward across the City will benefit. It is estimated that approximately 575 of total new daycare spaces would be non-profit.

 Table 4

 Scenario 4 Cost Assessment versus Staff Recommendation (ANNUALIZED)

	Total Impact	
Non-Profit Child Care Centers	\$213 K increase in DC	
	exemption cost estimate	
	versus staff recommendation	

5. Full Rate / Exemption Policy Holds

These scenarios have been prepared in response to a motion passed at the April 4, 2024 special meeting of the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee.

Consistent with all other analysis for DC Exemption scenarios, these scenarios assume that the DC rate phase-in that is currently in the DC Act, will be reversed as proposed through Bill 185.

The motion requested that staff address the impact to the levy in the first year (identified in Tables 5a and 5b), as well as, the long-term financial economic uplift should either of these scenarios be adopted by Council.

The economic uplift of these rate and policy freezes can be considered in terms of development proceeding at a faster pace, employment as a result of development and the property tax assessment realized from growth.

Generally, growth will assist in achieving the City's growth targets. If development proceeds at a faster pace than it otherwise would, due to lower DCs, then progress towards these targets may be front loaded. As well, construction of buildings will have an immediate impact on maintaining / creating construction-related jobs during development. Subsequently, if development proceeds faster than it otherwise would, the new buildings and population will give rise to maintaining / creating permanent jobs for various employment sectors (maintenance trade sector, retail trade, finance / insurance, food services, etc.).

Appendix "B" to Report FCS23103(b) Page 7 of 8

Alternatively, while new tax revenues will be generated from the new assessment associated with the development, the additional growth will also create the need for additional municipal services. From work undertaken by Watson over many years, residential growth generally creates more municipal expenditures than revenues.

In conclusion, it is challenging to quantify the long-term economic uplift as the long-term impact would be limited to development which proceed under a freeze of DC rates and policy which would otherwise not proceed. Developments which proceed at an earlier date than they otherwise would, could help achieve the City's growth targets faster, bring employment faster and could assist with maintaining construction related employment through 2024 and 2025 while CMHC is forecasting development to decline. The DC rate and policy freeze would be considered an exemption and would be passed along to all tax and rate payers.

a. Maintain Current DC By-law Rates and Exemption Policy for One Year

In this scenario, the City would continue to charge the current DC By-law rates (plus annual indexing) for the first year of the 2024 DC By-law. This would be accomplished via a discretionary exemption applied to all rates in the first year. The City would charge the lesser of the current DC By-law rates and the 2024 calculated DC rates as the City cannot charge more than the calculated DC rate.

This scenario would also maintain the following current DC By-law discretionary exemptions for the first year of the by-law:

- 40% exemption on Residential Development in the Downtown CIPA
- 37% exemption on all Industrial Development
- 50% detached Industrial Expansion exemption

After the first year, it is assumed that the 2024 calculated DC rates and the staff recommended discretionary exemption policies will commence.

Scenario 5a Cost Assessment versus Staff Recommendation				
	Additional Cost in	Additional Cost over	Additional Cost	
	Year One	10 years	Annualized	
Maintaining current DC Rate for	\$17.6 M	\$17.6 M	\$1.8 M	
One year				
Downtown CIPA Residential Impact	(\$3.8 M) ^[1]	\$14.9 M	\$1.5 M	
Industrial Impact	(\$4.5 M) ^[1]	\$8.2 M	\$816 K	
Total	\$9.3 M	\$40.6 M	\$4.1 M	

Table 5a

[1] The impact is negative (i.e., less than the staff recommendation) in the first year because the DC rate would be held at a lower rate and the exemption would be the same %.

b. Maintain Current DC By-law Exemption Policy for One Year

This scenario would move to the 2024 calculated DC rates but maintain the following current DC By-law discretionary exemptions for the first year of the by-law:

- 40% exemption on Residential Development in the Downtown CIPA
- 37% exemption on Industrial Development
- 50% detached Industrial Expansion exemption

After the first year, it is assumed that the staff recommended discretionary exemption policies will commence.

	Additional Cost in Year 1	Additional Cost over 10 years	Additional Cost Annualized
Downtown CIPA	\$0 M	\$18.7 M	\$1.87 M
Residential Impact			
Industrial Impact	\$0 M	\$12.6 M	\$1.26 M
Total	\$0 M	\$31.3 M	\$3.1 M

Table 5b Scenario 5b Cost Assessment versus Staff Recommendation

Note that there is no cost difference in year one because staff's recommendation maintains the existing discretionary exemption policy for the first year of the by-law.



Comprehensive Development Guidelines and Financial Policies Manual 2019



Comprehensive Development Guidelines and Financial Policies Manual

	J.7.3. Lot Grading Certificate	171
	J.8. MULTI-RESIDENTIAL / CONDOMINIUM	172
	J.9. RETAINING WALLS	173
к.	CANADA POST INSTALLATION	174
L.	FINANCIAL POLICIES	176
	L.1. Cost Sharing for Over-sizing of Infrastructures	
	L.1.1. Sanitary Sewers, Storm Sewers and Watermains	
	L.1.2. Roadworks	
	L.2. Cost Sharing for Street Frontage L.2.1. New Roads Servicing Rate	
	L.2.2. City Lands	
	L.2.3. Fencing Adjacent to City Lands	180
	L.2.4. Value of Land for Road Allowances	
	L.2.5. Storm Water Management FacilitiesL.2.6. Availability and Timing of Funding by the City	
	L.3. Cost Recovery Policies L.3.1. Cost Recovery in favour of Proponent	
	L.3.2. Cost Recoveries in favour of the City	
	L.3.3. Cost Recovery on Corner Lots with Daylight Triangle/Radius	
	L.3.4. Payment for Future Urbanization of Existing Rural Roads	
м.	Appendices	193
	M.1. Appendix A - Watermain Hydraulic Report	193
	M.1.1. Appendix A.1 Required Fire Flows	
	M.1.2. Appendix A.2 - Typical Water Hydraulic Analysis Report	
	M.1.3. Appendix A.3 – Watermain Design Brief Requirements	
	M.1.4. Appendix A.4 - Guide to Technical Documents Required for Various ApplicationsM.1.5. Appendix A.5 - Enhanced Comments Table	
	M.1.5. Appendix A.5 - Enhanced Comments Table	
	M.2. Appendix B – Pre-Construction Meeting	
	M.2. Appendix B – Pre-Construction Meeting	204
	M.3. Appendix C – Disconnection of Services	204 207
	M.3. Appendix C – Disconnection of Services M.4. Appendix D – Security Reduction Request	204 207 208
	 M.3. Appendix C – Disconnection of Services M.4. Appendix D – Security Reduction Request M.5. Appendix E – List of Approved Plant Species 	204 207 208 211
	 M.3. Appendix C – Disconnection of Services M.4. Appendix D – Security Reduction Request M.5. Appendix E – List of Approved Plant Species M.6. Appendix F –Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet / Combined Sewer Design 	204 207 208 211 217
	 M.3. Appendix C – Disconnection of Services M.4. Appendix D – Security Reduction Request M.5. Appendix E – List of Approved Plant Species M.6. Appendix F –Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet / Combined Sewer Design M.7. Appendix G – IDF Curves / Design Storms	204 207 208 211 217 219
	 M.3. Appendix C – Disconnection of Services M.4. Appendix D – Security Reduction Request M.5. Appendix E – List of Approved Plant Species M.6. Appendix F –Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet / Combined Sewer Design 	204 207 208 211 217 219 239
	 M.3. Appendix C – Disconnection of Services	204 207 208 211 217 219 239 242
	 M.3. Appendix C – Disconnection of Services	204 207 208 211 217 219 239 242 245
	 M.3. Appendix C – Disconnection of Services	204 207 208 211 217 219 239 242 245 246
	 M.3. Appendix C – Disconnection of Services M.4. Appendix D – Security Reduction Request. M.5. Appendix E – List of Approved Plant Species M.6. Appendix F –Sanitary Sewer Design Sheet / Combined Sewer Design M.7. Appendix G – IDF Curves / Design Storms M.8. Appendix H – Erosion and Sediment Control Inspection Report M.9. Appendix I – Current Streamflow and Rainfall Monitoring. M.10. Appendix J – List of Approved Hydrologic and Hydraulic Models. M.11. Appendix K – Protocol for Repayment of City Share 	204 207 208 211 217 219 239 242 245 245 246 247

L FINANCIAL POLICIES

L1. Cost Sharing for Over-sizing of Infrastructures

The term 'over-sizing' in the context of this policy refers to sewers, watermains and road works whose size has been increased (over-sized) to service multiple upstream or downstream lands and therefore the size is no longer local to the development in which the works are constructed. The term 'over-sizing', the over-sizing limits and over-sizing rates within this policy do not apply to municipal capital improvement projects within the City's Development Charge Background Study.

Contribution by the City towards the cost of over-sized services constructed under Subdivision Agreements within this policy is funded from revenues collected by the City through its Development Charge. Payment by the City for over-sizing shall be determined based on the over-sizing rates within the cost estimate schedules approved by the City for the constructed works. Temporary works are not eligible for over-sizing contribution by the City.

L1.1. Sanitary Sewers, Storm Sewers and Watermains

Residential Development

A Proponent is required to pay the full cost for construction of storm and sanitary sewers, maintenance holes and watermains in residential developments <u>up to and</u> <u>including</u> the following sizes:

SANITARY SEWER	450mm Ø
STORM SEWER	1200mm Ø
WATERMAIN	300mm Ø

For pipes the sizes listed above, the Proponent shall pay the local component of the service cost and the City shall pay the over-size component on a "Flat Rate" basis in accordance with the City's table of rates for over-sized works constructed under Subdivision Agreements, plus applicable overhead fees and HST.

Stipulation

The City's contribution for storm sewer over-sizing shall be applied only to storm sewer systems that provide for drainage and conveyance of runoff arising from storm event designs having a five (5) year return period (minor system). Storm sewers conveying 100 year storm event designs (major system) are not eligible for cost contribution by the City.

Where a Proponent proposes a storm sewer system based on a five (5) year return period (minor system) incorporating large diameter pipes at a shallow depth and grade, where smaller diameter pipes can be utilized at lower depth and steeper grades, then the sewer is not considered over-sized by definition under this policy and therefore is not eligible for cost contribution by the City.

Non-Residential Development

In non-residential development a Proponent is required to pay the full cost for installation of sanitary sewers, maintenance holes and watermains <u>up to and including</u> the following sizes:

SANITARY SEWER450mm ØWATERMAIN300mm Ø

Note

Over-sizing rates shall be adjusted annually by the City at the time of adjustment of the City's Development Charge By-law using the Non-residential Building Construction Price Index for Toronto.

L12. Roadworks

Residential Development

A Proponent is required to pay the full cost for installation of an 8.0 metre wide (local) residential roadway and minimum 1.50 metre wide concrete sidewalk.

The City of Hamilton shall pay for:

1. The portion of a residential road beyond 8.0 metres in width.

Exceptions

- Where an existing local residential road is wider than 8.0 metre and must be extended by development, the Proponent shall pay the full cost for the road extension due to its local road classification; and,
- Where a turning circle is constructed at the intersection of two local roads, there shall be no cost sharing by the City for any portion of the turning circle or land due to the local road classification;
- 2. The portion of base course asphalt which is beyond 80mm in depth and/or Granular "A" base beyond 150mm in depth, and/or Granular "B" base beyond 300mm in depth;
- 3. Lay-bys within or abutting residential subdivision plans, provided the lay-by is mandated by the City for the purpose of servicing a public or community facility. This does not include lay-bys required for private multiple residential sites;
- 4. The portion of the cost for roundabouts constructed on collector roads, which is over and above the cost of a turning circle for local and collector roads; and,
- 5. The full cost of splitter islands required for roundabouts, where the City has paid a portion of the round-about cost.

Non-Residential Development

A Proponent is required to pay the full cost for installation of up to an eleven (11) metre wide non-residential road. The City of Hamilton shall contribute towards the portion of non-residential roads, which is determined to be beyond a local width and/or depth of base course asphalt and/or granular bases.

Notes

Where widening of a road surface is necessary to accommodate traffic requirements specific to a development site, there shall be no contribution by the City toward the additional road cost as the widening is local to the development site only.

For both residential and non-residential roads:

- Contribution by the City toward the cost of newly constructed over-sized roads shall be on a "Flat Rate" basis in accordance with the rates shown in the table of rates for over-sized works constructed under Subdivision Agreements;
- The City shall not contribute toward the cost of extra depth asphalt or granular bases required to compensate for sub-soil conditions and/or method of construction; and,
- Contribution by the City toward the cost of upgrading existing roads shall be in accordance with the City's Development Charges Background study and Local Service Policy

L2 Cost Sharing for Street Frontage

In this policy, aboveground works refers to and includes all of the following:

- Base and surface course asphalt pavement on a granular base;
- Concrete curb and gutter, including sub-drain;
- Sidewalk;
- Catch basins and connections;
- Street lighting; and,
- Utility trenching.

Underground works refers to and includes all of the following:

- Storm and sanitary sewers, including maintenance holes;
- Storm and sanitary private drain connections;
- Watermains, valves and chambers; and,
- Water service connections and hydrants.

L21. New Roads Servicing Rate

The New Roads Servicing Rate is a flat rate representing the average cost of local roads constructed under residential Subdivision Agreements and includes all applicable overheads.

All cost sharing for street frontage by the City for the local component of aboveground works shall be based on the New Roads Servicing Rate. The length of street property frontage and/or flankage, which abut the works, shall be multiplied by the New Roads Servicing Rate and the sum shall be the contribution to be paid by the City as cost sharing for above ground works. This rate shall be adjusted annually by the City at the time of adjustment to the City's Development Charge.

In the case of a cost recovery, where a property owner can demonstrate to the satisfaction of the Senior Director of Growth Management that payment has been previously made to the City for existing road works or part thereof, the New Roads Servicing Rate shall be adjusted accordingly.

L22. City Lands

The City shall contribute toward the cost of aboveground and underground works adjacent to the street property frontage of City land:

i) That has been or will be transferred to the City to satisfy the requirement for parkland dedication under the <u>Planning Act</u>. The City's share of servicing cost for aboveground and underground works shall be paid at the time construction of above and underground works is accepted as complete by the City.

Stipulation

Where a Proponent has initiated a neighbourhood and/or draft plan amendment which results in an increase in park street property frontage, the City's contribution toward above and underground works shall be based on the length of park street property frontage before the amendment;

i) That has been or will be transferred to the City for storm water management ponds, for the portion of street pond frontage beyond the first 8.0 metres in length, where the City has mandated storm pond land with street property frontage.

Stipulation

Where open space lands have been incorporated into the lands of a storm water management pond, the City will not contribute to the underground or aboveground works abutting the street property frontage of the open space portion of the storm pond lands;

ii) Which is vacant and can be developed through a <u>Planning Act</u> application. In this particular case, the Proponent shall pay the initial upfront servicing cost adjacent to the vacant City land and this cost shall be identified under a 'Cost Recovery' schedule of the City's Subdivision Agreement for the front-ending

Proponent. Payment for the works which relate to the City land shall be made at the time of final release of a development or subdivision application on the vacant land or, in the case of underground works, when an application is made for a service connection to the underground works; and,

iv) That is currently used for the operation of the City such as fire halls, public works yards, arenas or community centres. The City's share of underground works shall be paid at the time when an application is made for a service connection to the underground works. The Proponent shall pay the initial upfront servicing cost adjacent to the City land and this cost shall be identified under a 'Cost Recovery' schedule of the City's Subdivision Agreement for the front-ending Proponent.

Stipulation

There shall be no contribution by the City toward the cost of aboveground works as the City facility is considered existing development benefiting from previous road access.

Note

The City's contribution towards the cost of underground works shall be calculated by taking the street property frontage of City land as a percent of the total street property frontage abutting the limits of the underground works for the street abutting the City land and applying that percentage to the total cost of the underground works, including all applicable overhead.

Contribution toward the cost of aboveground works by the City on new roads within development plans shall be based on the New Roads Servicing Rate multiplied by the street property frontage of the City land.

L23. Fencing Adjacent to City Lands

Where a development abuts City land or land to be transferred to the City as a condition of development approval and a Proponent is required to install a fence to separate the developed lands from City lands, the Proponent shall pay the full cost of the fence installation. For lands transferred to the City to fulfil the requirement for parkland dedication under the *Planning Act*, the cost to install a fence separating parkland from development land shall be shared equally between a Proponent and the City based on the cost to install a 1.50 metre high chain link fence.

Delete L.2.4 as written:

L24. Value of Land for Road Allowances

Where a Proponent is required to dedicate more than thirteen (13) metres of land to establish a new road allowance width, measured from the centerline of the road allowance to one side to its ultimate width, the City shall compensate the Proponent

for the value of dedicated land beyond 13 metres in width on that side of the road allowance, for the length of the conveyance.

Daylight triangles and daylight radius curves are not included in the calculation to determine over-dedication of land to establish or widen road allowance.

Land value shall be determined by the City's Real Estate Section and shall be funded from the Development Charge Reserve.

And replace with:

L.2.4. Value of Land for Road Allowances

Where a Proponent is required to dedicate more than thirteen (13) metres of land to establish a new road allowance width for a residential road, and more than 16m for a non-residential road, measured from the centerline of the road allowance to one side to its ultimate width, the City shall compensate the Proponent for the value of dedicated land beyond 13 metres in width on that side of the road allowance for a residential road, and 16m for a non-residential road, respectively, for the length of the conveyance. For clarity, non-residential roads include those roads that are meant to carry mixed traffic and not solely residential traffic.

Daylight triangles and daylight radius curves are not included in the calculation to determine over-dedication of land to establish or widen road allowance.

Land value shall be determined by the City's Real Estate Section and shall be funded from the Development Charge Reserve.

....Revision complete

L25. Storm Water Management Facilities

- Contribution by the City toward the cost of storm water management facilities will be limited to the 'growth related' component of the capital project cost as outlined in the Development Charges Background Study which includes construction, land and applicable overhead.
- Piping and headwalls for the conveyance system to a storm water management facility are not included in the 'growth related' component of the capital project cost and shall be constructed at the expense of the Proponent unless otherwise stipulated by the City's storm water master plan, master drainage plan or watershed/sub-watershed study and development charge background study.
- Storm water management facilities and on-site open watercourse improvements for non-residential development shall be constructed at the expense of the Proponent unless otherwise stipulated by the City's storm

water master plan, master drainage plan or watershed/sub-watershed study and development charge background study.

L26. Availability and Timing of Funding by the City

- Timing of payment for the City's share of servicing costs in any year for works constructed under is subject to availability of funding in the capital budget as approved by the City for that year. <u>Appendix K - Protocol for City Share</u> further outlines the Protocol for Repayment of City Share.
- Any Proponent requesting allocation of funding for the City's share of servicing costs under Development Applications shall do so, in writing to the City's Senior Director of Growth Management, prior to August 1st of previous calendar year. Such requests can apply to completed works or imminently proposed works.
- Any development requiring the City's share of works to be paid beyond the approved Capital Budget amount for that year shall require the approval of City Council. The Senior Director of Growth Management Division may authorize funding to be paid during the year for completed eligible projects not initially allocated funding during the Capital Budget process, subject to the availability of reserved monies funded that year.
- Where the total City's share of servicing cost, before overhead, under the Schedule of Works approved by the City is greater than fifty thousand dollars (\$ 50,000) a public tender process must be carried out by the Proponent to award the contract.

Note

• For all works constructed under development applications where a Proponent increases the size and/or length or alters the routing and/or configuration of works in their own interest, then contribution by the City toward the cost of such works, if applicable, shall apply to only the portion of works required by the City's policies, design criteria standards and specifications.

L3. Cost Recovery Policies

L3.1. Cost Recovery in favour of Proponent

A Proponent is required to pay the initial up-front cost, less City contribution, of all works required to service land to be developed, including the cost of works which may be required through or adjacent to lands of others, except City owned land as described under this policy.

For further clarity, the Proponent is required to install services at their cost up to the limit of the property.

Works Identified for Cost Recovery

A front-ending Proponent's consulting Engineer shall calculate the estimated cost of works which will benefit the lands of others, identify the benefiting lands and the portion of the cost attributable to the benefiting lands. This information shall be included in the City's 'Cost Recovery' schedule for the purpose of recording future cost recovery obligations of the City in favour of a front-ending Proponent against the benefiting lands.

Temporary works are not eligible for inclusion under the City's 'Cost Recovery' obligation and are described as works which will be removed at the time when the benefiting lands or surrounding lands develop or when the ultimate plan is implemented such as the urbanization of a road. In addition, the City reserves the right to disallow any works from inclusion in the City's 'Cost Recovery' schedule which, in the opinion of the City using reasonable judgment, do not benefit the abutting or surrounding lands.

Where a benefiting land owner is required to resurface or reconstruct a road or remove and replace services identified for recovery under the City's 'Cost Recovery' obligation as a result of development of the benefiting lands, then the cost of the removed item shall be excluded from the City's cost recovery calculation against the benefiting lands.

Determination of Cost Recovery Rates

Upon completion of works identified in the City's 'Cost Recovery' schedule, the frontending Proponent's consulting Engineer shall provide the City with a certified progress payment certificate detailing the actual cost of the completed works. The City shall use the costs within the payment certificate to calculate the total cost of the constructed works, less any City share of the construction cost, and determine a rate to be applied to the benefiting lands.

Where the actual cost of the works exceeds the estimated cost as approved by the City, by more than ten percent (10%) then, the rate to be applied to the benefiting lands for the purpose of cost recovery shall be based on the approved estimated cost, plus ten percent (10%).

A copy of the City's calculations shall be provided to the front-ending Proponent's consulting Engineer for review. Upon Agreement by the consulting Engineer and City to the actual costs and recovery rate for the works, the rate for the 'Cost Recovery' shall

be set by the City and applied to the frontage and/or flankage of the benefiting lands. The cost of individual sewer and water service connections shall be based on the actual cost of each connection.

In the instance where development of a benefiting property takes place prior to completion of the works, then the cost calculation to determine a recovery rate shall be based on the unit cost of the incomplete item within the signed tender document for the works.

Cost Recovery for New Development

Where the City receives an application under the <u>*Planning Act*</u> to subdivide or develop land, which has been identified in the City's 'Cost Recovery' schedule of an existing Subdivision/External Works Agreement as benefiting from previously constructed works, the City shall impose a condition requiring the benefiting land owner to pay their proportionate share of the servicing cost for the works, prior to final release of the <u>*Planning Act*</u> application.

New development refers to land, or the portion of land, that when subdivided is vacant. Cost recoveries for new development shall apply to the vacant portion of subdivided land only.

Cost Recovery for Existing Development

Where a Proponent is required to construct sewers and/or watermains within roads or easements that are adjacent to existing development, the City will pass a Fees and Charges By-law in accordance with the provisions under the <u>Municipal Act</u>, for the purpose of assessing and charging existing property owners for their share of the cost of services to an existing dwelling or building in fulfillment of its 'Cost Recovery' obligation to a front-ending Proponent. The City will recover the assessed cost, prior to issuance of a sewer and/or water service permit to connect an existing building or dwelling to the sewer and/or watermain.

There shall be no cost recovery imposed on existing development for enhancements to or urbanization of existing roads carried out by Proponents as these lands have already derived benefit of road access prior to the road improvement.

Existing development refers to land, or the portion of land as determined by the City, exercising reasonable judgment, where a building or dwelling exists prior to construction of municipal works by a front-ending Proponent which services the existing dwelling or building.

All monies collected by the City from existing and new development in fulfillment of its 'Cost Recovery' obligation under the Subdivision/External Works Agreement shall be forwarded to the Proponent named in the Agreement for the works to which the cost recoveries relate.

Exceptions Include:

- Where an existing dwelling or building is located within a lot or block of a plan of subdivision, then for the purposes of cost recoveries, the frontage/flankage of the lot or block upon which the existing dwelling or building is located shall be included in all cost recovery calculations by the City;
- Where an application to develop or subdivide land requires or results in the demolition of an existing building/dwelling then, for the purposes of cost recoveries, the whole of the land subject to the development application shall be considered vacant and referred to as new development;
- The City reserves the option to limit recovery costs for mainline sewers and watermains abutting existing houses or buildings to the equivalent of a minimum sized pipe;
- Where the lands of an existing house have the potential to be subdivided in the future and where a connection to sewers or a watermain is made to the house only, the City reserves the option to apply a flat rate recovery charge for the existing house based on the total recovery amount owed against the lands divided by the potential number of lots that could be created by subdivision of the lands. The flat rate charge shall be paid to the City prior to issuance of a sewer and/or water service permit. The balance of the outstanding cost shall be recovered by the City as a condition of a <u>Planning Act</u> application to subdivide the lands;
- Recovery costs for sewers and watermains identified as municipal capital improvement projects funded partly or wholly by Development Charges abutting existing dwellings or buildings shall be limited to the lesser of either the actual non-growth related portion of the project cost or the sewer/watermain extension flat fee under the City's Tariff of Planning and Growth Management Fees By-law; and,
- There shall be no recovery by the City for the cost of storm sewers installed as part of urbanization of an existing rural road which results in removal of the abutting property's overland storm outlet (ditch).

Limit of 'Cost Recovery' Obligation

The City's obligation to recover servicing costs under the 'Cost Recovery' provision of its Subdivision/External Works Agreements shall be limited to no more than ten (10) years from the date of registration of the subdivision plan which relates to the 'Cost Recovery' works, or in the case of site plan and consent applications, ten (10) years from the date of final release of the application.

L32 Cost Recoveries in favour of the City

Aboveground Works

Where the City has previously paid for construction of aboveground works along 0.30 metre reserves under previous Subdivision Agreements, the City shall recover the cost, less the portion identified as over-sizing, from an abutting landowner prior to removal of the reserve. The City shall multiply the 'New Roads Servicing Rate' in effect at the time of payment by the length of the property frontage/flankage of the lands abutting the 0.30

metre reserve. The sum shall be collected by the City as a recovery for the aboveground works.

Underground Works

In the past where the City has previously paid for construction of underground works (sewers and/or watermains) along 0.30 metre reserves under previous Subdivision Agreements, the City shall recover the cost from an abutting land owner prior to removal of the reserve. The cost to be recovered shall be determined based on the asconstructed cost of the works, less the portion of the cost identified as over-sizing, plus applicable overhead. The as-constructed cost shall be divided by the total frontage of the lands abutting the limits of the underground works in order to determine a recovery rate to be applied to the abutting lands. Cost recoveries along 0.30 metre reserves shall be determined by multiplying the recovery rate of the works by the property frontage/flankage of the lands abutting the reserve and the sum shall be adjusted by the Canada Construction Cost Index (Ontario Series) from the month when the works were accepted by the City as complete to the month when a recovery is made by the City.

L3.3. Cost Recovery on Corner Lots with Daylight Triangle/Radius

Where a corner lot has a daylight triangle or daylight radius thereby reducing the overall length of street property frontage of the lot, then for the purposes of cost recoveries, the length of the frontage and flankage shall be based on the full width (frontage) or depth (flankage) of the lot as if the daylight triangle or daylight radius did not exist.

Municipal Infrastructure

Where it is known that land under a development application is adjacent to works that will be constructed in the future by the City or other Proponents, the City shall collect a security deposit under its Subdivision or Consent Agreement, as the case may be, to secure payment of the Proponent's share of future aboveground and underground works. Security for future aboveground works shall be based on the New Roads Servicing Rate applied to the frontage and/or flankage of the Proponent's lands adjacent to the future works. Security for underground works shall be estimated based on the pipe size of the future underground services.

Following construction of the future works, the City shall invoice the Proponent for the Proponent's share of the actual cost of the works. Upon receipt of payment from the Proponent the City shall release the Proponent's security deposit held under the Subdivision or Consent Agreement.

Street Tree Planting

Where land is subdivided to create single, semi-detached or street town house development, the City shall collect a cash payment from the Proponent for street treeplanting to be carried out by the City at a rate of one tree for the front yard of each lot and unit created and two additional trees along the side yard of each corner lot. The cash payment shall be collected by the City prior to registration of a subdivision plan or prior to execution of a consent agreement by the city.

L34. Payment for Future Urbanization of Existing Rural Roads

Where land is subdivided, adjacent to an existing road of rural cross section which is located within the Urban Area Boundary as defined by the City's Official Plan, the City shall collect a cash payment representing the Proponent's contribution toward the cost to urbanize existing rural roads including local size storm sewer. The requirement to pay toward future road urbanization shall be imposed by the City as a condition of an application to subdivide land. Payment shall be determined by multiplying the New Roads Servicing Rate in effect at the time of payment by the property frontage of the subdivided land which represents new development as defined under this policy and the sum shall be collected by the City prior to final release of the <u>Planning Act</u> application. Development fee tables can be obtained from Planning and Economic Development Department, Growth Management Division.



ECONOMISTS LTD.



City of Hamilton 2024 Development Charges Background Study & By-law

Audit, Finance & Administration Committee Meeting May 2, 2024

Agenda

- Study Process
- Development Charges Overview
- Development Charges Exemptions
- Summary of Changes Addendum #1
- Revised Rates
- Questions from Council

Study Process and Timelines

1

September 2022 to November 2023

Data collection, staff review, D.C. calculations and policy work

2 April 13, September 18, and November 9, 2023 Development Charges Stakeholders Sub-Committee Meeting



December 21, 2023 Release of Background Study and draft by-laws



January 23/24, 2024 Public open house sessions



February 22, 2024 Public Meeting at Audit, Finance & Administration Committee



March 28, 2024 Release of Addendum Report



May 2, 2024 Audit, Finance & Administration Committee considers passage of by-law



June 1, 2024 New D.C. By-law in Effect

9

June 12, 2024 Expiry of Existing D.C. By-law (note: existing by-law will be repealed at the time the new by-law comes into effect) 2



Page 200 of 341

Page 201 of 341

Development Charges Overview

City of Hamilton 2024 Development Charges Background Study & By-law

Overview of the D.C. Calculation





Page 203 of 341



Relationship Between Needs to Service Growth vs. Funding



Changes to the D.C.A.

Bill 185: Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024



- Bill 185 was released on April 10, 2024, and proposes the following changes:
 - Removal of the mandatory phase-in of charges
 - Re-inclusion of studies as an eligible capital cost (not included currently)
 - D.C. rate freeze for site plan/zoning by-law amendment applications: reduction from two years to 18 months
 - Introducing process for minor amendments to D.C. by-laws
 - Modernizing public notice requirements (does not impact the City)
- Note: Once Bill 185 receives Royal Assent, it is expected that the City will undertake a minor amendment to the by-law to add studies as a charge
- Implementation of Affordable Residential Unit exemption (June 1, 2024)

Page 205 of 341

Development Charges Exemptions

City of Hamilton 2024 Development Charges Background Study & By-law

Mandatory D.C. Exemptions/Discounts

- Upper/Lower Tier Governments and School Boards;
- Industrial building expansions (may expand by 50% with no D.C.);
- Development of lands intended for use by a university that receives operating funds from the Government (as per Bill 213);
- Discount for Rental units based on bedroom size;
- May add up to 2 apartments in an existing or new detached, semi-detached, or rowhouse (including in an ancillary structure);
- Add one additional unit or 1% of existing units in an existing rental residential building;
- Affordable inclusionary zoning units
- Non-profit Rental Housing;
- Phase-in of D.C.s;
- Affordable units (Expected to be in force June 1, 2024); and
- Attainable units (to be in force at a later date).

*Amended as per Bill 23 *Expected to be removed as per Bill 185

Exemption Analysis



- Watson undertook a discretionary exemptions review
- Feedback was received on initial exemption recommendations related to industrial and downtown CIPA exemptions
- Revised final recommendations from Watson and staff are provided on the following slides
- These exemptions/discounts can be achieved either through an exemption provided in the by-law or through an equivalent grant through a CIP program
- Note: staff's exemption recommendations and analysis related to Councillor Hwang's motion can be found in staff report FCS23103(b)

Page 208 of 341

Recommended Exemption Policies (Watson)

Exemption Provided	Current Policy	Recommended Policy
Heritage Building	Adaptive reuse of Protected Heritage Property – fully exempt	No change
Redevelopment for Residential Facility	50% discount for redevelopment creating residential facilities within existing building envelope	No change
Stepped Non- Industrial Rates	 Non-industrial development (excluding medical clinics) within a CIPA or BIA: 1st 5,000 sq.ft.: 50% of charge 2nd 5,000 sq.ft.: 75% of charge 10,000+ sq.ft.: 100% of charge 	No change
Non-Industrial Expansion	first 5,000 sq.ft. expansion of office (excluding medical clinic) – fully exempt	No change
Place of Worship	Must be exempt from property tax – fully exempt	No change
Transition Policy	Prior D.C. rates apply if building permit is issued within 6 months of rate increase	No change

Page 209 of 341

Final Staff Recommended Exemption Policies

Exemption Provided	Current Policy		Watson Recommended Policy	Staff Recommended Policy
Downtown CIPA – Non-Residential	70% discount for office development 40% for all other non-residential		No change	No change
Downtown CIPA – Residential	40% discount		20% discount in year 1. Reduce by 5% every year until phased out	40% discount in year 1, 35% year 2, 30% year 3, then reduce by 10% every year until phased out
Industrial Reduced Rate*	~37% discount for all industrial development		37% discount to apply to manufacturing development and Production and Artists Studios only	 37% discount to apply to manufacturing development and Production and Artists Studios All other industrial – 37% discount in year 1. Reduce by 5% every year until phased out
Industrial Building Expansion (Detached)	Industrial building on same lot as an existing building – fully exempt up to 50% of existing gross floor area		Exemption to apply to manufacturing development only	Exemption to apply to manufacturing development only
Farm Labour Residence	No exemption		Fully exempt	Fully exempt

*Industrial reduced rate based on imposing rates for the following services: 100% of wastewater charge, 100% of stormwater charge, and reduced roads charge

Mandatory and Discretionary Exemptions Example – Pre Bill 185

2 Bedroom Apartment Unit Outside Downtown CIPA (within Combined Sewer System Area)







Mandatory and Discretionary Exemptions Example – Post Bill 185

2 Bedroom Apartment Unit Outside Downtown CIPA (within Combined Sewer System Area)

Proposed D.C.

Based on Bill 185, the phase-in would be removed, therefore the mandatory rental discount would increase

Note: additional exemptions may apply if unit meets definition of affordable/attainable

	Current D.C.	Year 1	Year 3	Year 5
Net D.C. Payable for a Rental Unit Pre Bill 185	\$34,042	\$28,053	\$31,560	\$35,066
Net D.C. Payable for a Rental Unit Post Bill 185		\$35,066	\$35,066	\$35,066



Mandatory and Discretionary Exemptions Example – pre Bill 185

2 Bedroom Apartment Unit Within Downtown CIPA (Combined Sewer System Area)





Page 212 of 341

Note: additional exemptions may apply if unit meets definition of affordable/attainable. *Based on staff recommended exemption policy

Mandatory and Discretionary Exemptions Example – Post Bill 185

Year 1

\$16,832

\$21,040

2 Bedroom Apartment Unit Within Downtown CIPA (Combined Sewer System Area)

Proposed D.C.

Year 3

\$22,092

\$24,546

Year 5

\$31,560



Current D.C.

\$20,425

Note: additional exemptions may apply if unit meets definition of affordable/attainable

Net D.C. Payable for a Rental Unit

Pre Bill 185

Net D.C. Payable for

a Rental Unit Post Bill 185

Downtown CIPA discount: 40% discount in year 1, 35% year 2, 30% year 3, then reduce by 10% every year until phased out





Mandatory and Discretionary Exemptions Example – pre Bill 185

Industrial Development (non-manufacturing) (within Separated Sewer System Area)

Proposed D.C. (per sq.ft.) Current D.C. (per sq.ft.) Year 1 Year 3 Year 5 D.C. Rate \$28.01 \$38.06 \$38.06 \$38.06 Less: D.C. Phase-in \$0 -\$7.61 -\$3.81 \$0 (Mandatory) Net D.C. \$28.01 \$30.45 \$34.25 \$38.06 Less: Industrial -\$11.31 -\$6.47 **Reduced Rate** -\$11.27 -\$9.25 (Discretionary)* Net D.C. Payable \$16.70 \$19.18 \$25.01 \$31.59



Mandatory and Discretionary Exemptions Example – post Bill 185

Industrial Development (non-manufacturing) (within Separated Sewer System Area)





- Phase-in no longer applied
- 37% discount reduced by 5% every year until phased out

Mandatory and Discretionary Exemptions Example – pre Bill 185

Industrial Development (manufacturing) (within Separated Sewer System Area)

Proposed D.C. (per sq.ft.) **Current D.C.** (per sq.ft.) Year 1 Year 3 Year 5 D.C. Rate \$28.01 \$38.06 \$38.06 \$38.06 Less: D.C. Phase-in \$0 -\$7.61 -\$3.81 \$0 (Mandatory) Net D.C. \$28.01 \$34.25 \$38.06 \$30.45 Less: Industrial -\$11.31 -\$11.27 -\$12.67 -\$14.08 **Reduced Rate** (Discretionary)* Net D.C. Payable \$16.70 \$19.18 \$21.58 \$23.98




Mandatory and Discretionary Exemptions Example – post Bill 185

Industrial Development (manufacturing) (within Separated Sewer System Area)



- Phase-in no longer applied
- 37% industrial discount applied in all years

Summary of Changes – Addendum Report

City of Hamilton 2024 Development Charges Background Study & By-law

Water and Wastewater Linear Services

Changes to Capital Listing

- Addition of L.R.T. related capital projects
- Removal of projects no longer required for growth
- Reductions for local servicing costs in accordance with the City's Financial Policies



21

Stormwater Services – Separated Sewer System Changes to Capital Listing

- Removal of projects that have been funded
- Recalculation of stormwater credits



Services Related to a Highway

Changes to Capital Listing

- Removal of non-growth related project
- Timing updates to projects
- Updated future pavement widths
- Revised local service policy (L.S.P.) deductions
 - Future right-of-way width assumptions have been updated to reflect A.E.G.D. transportation master plan (see section on proposed L.S.P. revisions)
- Additional major structures and cost updates
- Removal of duplicate active transportation projects to eliminate overlap with road projects



Services Related to a Highway – Local Service Policy Changes to Policy



- Change to L.S.P. and Financial Policies for Development is proposed to reflect new standards:
 - Complete Streets Guidelines
 - A.E.G.D. TMP Update
- Road allowances for non-residential roads are wider than residential roads
 - Current policies do not distinguish between residential and non-residential roads
- Policy revision proposed non-residential roads include a larger base width as local service
 - Results in increase of local non-residential road width from 26m to 32m road allowance.





Page 223 of 341

Revised D.C. Rates

City of Hamilton 2024 Development Charges Background Study & By-law

Residential (Single/Semi Detached) Rates – per unit

Service/Class of Service	Current	Calculated (December 21, 2023 Report)	Calculated (Addendum Report)	80% Phase-in (Year 1)	85% Phase-in (Year 2)	90% Phase-in (Year 3)	95% Phase-in (Year 4)	100% Phase-in (Years 5-10)
Municipal Wide Services/Classes:								
Services Related to a Highway	14,608	22,539	18,103	14,482	15,388	16,293	17,198	18,103
Public Works	1,092	1,335	1,335	1,068	1,135	1,202	1,268	1,335
Transit Services	2,600	1,601	1,601	1,281	1,361	1,441	1,521	1,601
Fire Protection Services	626	1,151	1,151	921	978	1,036	1,093	1,151
Policing Services	711	1,018	1,018	814	865	916	967	1,018
Parks and Recreation*	3,518 6,695	11,065	11,065	8,852	9,405	9,959	10,512	11,065
Library Services	1,554	2,061	2,061	1,649	1,752	1,855	1,958	2,061
Growth Studies**	549	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Long-term Care Services	246	231	231	185	196	208	219	231
Child Care and Early Years Programs	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provincial Offences Act Services including By-Law Enforcement	55	52	52	42	44	47	49	52
Public Health Services	3	42	42	34	36	38	40	42
Ambulance	201	325	325	260	276	293	309	325
Waste Diversion	990	346	346	277	294	311	329	346
Total Municipal Wide Services/Classes	33,469	41,766	37,330	29,864	31,731	33,597	35,464	37,330
Water and Wastewater Urban Area Charges								
Wastewater Facilities	5,491	7,125	7,125	5,700	6,056	6,413	6,769	7,125
Wastewater Linear Services	7,346	10,878	10,630	8,504	9,036	9,567	10,099	10,630
Water Services	6,466	7,323	6,856	5,485	5,828	6,170	6,513	6,856
Total Water and Wastewater Urban Area Services	19,303	25,326	24,611	19,689	20,919	22,150	23,380	24,611
Stormwater Services - Combined Sewer System								
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	5,355	9,553	9,553	7,642	8,120	8,598	9,075	9,553
Stormwater Services - Separate Sewer System								
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	14,192	23,541	22,741	18,193	19,330	20,467	21,604	22,741
Grand Total - City Wide	33,469	41,766	37,330	29,864	31,731	33,597	35,464	37,330
Grand Total - Urban Area - Combined Sewer Sytem	58,127	76,645	71,494	57,195	60,770	64,345	67,919	71,494
Grand Total - Urban Area - Separate Sewer Sytem	66,964	90,633	84,682	67,746	71,980	76,214	80,448	84,682

*Parks & Recreation now combined as one D.C. eligible service

**Growth studies are no longer eligible when a new by-law is passed under Bill 23

Residential (Large Apartment) Rates – per unit

Service/Class of Service	Current	Calculated (December 21, 2023 Report)	Calculated (Addendum Report)	80% Phase-in (Year 1)	85% Phase-in (Year 2)	90% Phase-in (Year 3)	95% Phase-in (Year 4)	100% Phase-in (Years 5-10)
Municipal Wide Services/Classes:								
Services Related to a Highway	8,555	13,818	11,099	8,879	9,434	9,989	10,544	11,099
Public Works	639	818	818	654	695	736	777	818
Transit Services	1,524	982	982	786	835	884	933	982
Fire Protection Services	367	706	706	565	600	635	671	706
Policing Services	416	624	624	499	530	562	593	624
Parks and Recreation*	2,059 3,920	6,784	6,784	5,427	5,766	6,106	6,445	6,784
Library Services	910	1,264	1,264	1,011	1,074	1,138	1,201	1,264
Growth Studies**	322	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Long-term Care Services	145	142	142	114	121	128	135	142
Child Care and Early Years Programs	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provincial Offences Act Services including By-Law Enforcement	31	32	32	26	27	29	30	32
Public Health Services	2	26	26	21	22	23	25	26
Ambulance	119	199	199	159	169	179	189	199
Waste Diversion	579	212	212	170	180	191	201	212
Total Municipal Wide Services/Classes	19,601	25,607	22,888	18,310	19,455	20,599	21,744	22,888
Water and Wastewater Urban Area Charges								
Wastewater Facilities	3,216	4,368	4,368	3,494	3,713	3,931	4,150	4,368
Wastewater Linear Services	4,301	6,669	6,517	5,214	5,539	5,865	6,191	6,517
Water Services	3,787	4,490	4,203	3,362	3,573	3,783	3,993	4,203
Total Water and Wastewater Urban Area Services	11,304	15,527	15,088	12,070	12,825	13,579	14,334	15,088
Stormwater Services - Combined Sewer System								
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	3,137	5,857	5,857	4,686	4,978	5,271	5,564	5,857
Stormwater Services - Separate Sewer System								
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	8,312	14,432	13,942	11,154	11,851	12,548	13,245	13,942
Grand Total - City Wide	19,601	25,607	22,888	18,310	19,455	20,599	21,744	22,888
Grand Total - Urban Area - Combined Sewer Sytem	34,042	46,991	43,833	35,066	37,258	39,450	41,641	43,833
Grand Total - Urban Area - Separate Sewer Sytem	39,217	55,566	51,918	41,534	44,130	46,726	49,322	51,918

*Parks & Recreation now combined as one D.C. eligible service

**Growth studies are no longer eligible when a new by-law is passed under Bill 23

Residential (Small Apartment) Rates – per unit

Page 226 of 341

Service/Class of Service	Current	Calculated (December 21, 2023 Report)	Calculated (Addendum Report)	80% Phase-in (Year 1)	85% Phase-in (Year 2)	90% Phase-in (Year 3)	95% Phase-in (Year 4)	100% Phase-in (Years 5-10)
Municipal Wide Services/Classes:								
Services Related to a Highway	5,853	8,561	6,876	5,501	5,845	6,188	6,532	6,876
Public Works	437	507	507	406	431	456	482	507
Transit Services	1,042	608	608	486	517	547	578	608
Fire Protection Services	251	437	437	350	371	393	415	437
Policing Services	285	387	387	310	329	348	368	387
Parks and Recreation*	1,409 2,682	4,203	4,203	3,362	3,573	3,783	3,993	4,203
Library Services	622	783	783	626	666	705	744	783
Growth Studies**	220	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Long-term Care Services	99	88	88	70	75	79	84	88
Child Care and Early Years Programs	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provincial Offences Act Services including By-Law Enforcement	22	20	20	16	17	18	19	20
Public Health Services	1	16	16	13	14	14	15	16
Ambulance	80	123	123	98	105	111	117	123
Waste Diversion	396	131	131	105	111	118	124	131
Total Municipal Wide Services/Classes	13,407	15,864	14,179	11,343	12,052	12,761	13,470	14,179
Water and Wastewater Urban Area Charges								
Wastewater Facilities	2,200	2,706	2,706	2,165	2,300	2,435	2,571	2,706
Wastewater Linear Services	2,943	4,132	4,038	3,230	3,432	3,634	3,836	4,038
Water Services	2,592	2,782	2,604	2,083	2,213	2,344	2,474	2,604
Total Water and Wastewater Urban Area Services	7,735	9,620	9,348	7,478	7,946	8,413	8,881	9,348
Stormwater Services - Combined Sewer System								
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	2,145	3,629	3,629	2,903	3,085	3,266	3,448	3,629
Stormwater Services - Separate Sewer System					-			
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	5,685	8,942	8,638	6,910	7,342	7,774	8,206	8,638
Grand Total - City Wide	13,407	15,864	14,179	11,343	12,052	12,761	13,470	14,179
Grand Total - Urban Area - Combined Sewer Sytem	23,287	29,113	27,156	21,725	23,083	24,440	25,798	27,156
Grand Total - Urban Area - Separate Sewer Sytem	26,827	34,426	32,165	25,732	27,340	28,949	30,557	32,165

*Parks & Recreation now combined as one D.C. eligible service

**Growth studies are no longer eligible when a new by-law is passed under Bill 23

Non-Residential Rates – per sq.ft.

Page 227 of 341

Service/Class of Service	Current	Calculated (December 21, 2023 Report)	Calculated (Addendum Report)	80% Phase-in (Year 1)	85% Phase-in (Year 2)	90% Phase-in (Year 3)	95% Phase-in (Year 4)	100% Phase-in (Years 5-10)
Municipal Wide Services/Classes:								
Services Related to a Highway	10.92	16.28	13.31	10.65	11.31	11.98	12.64	13.31
Public Works	0.56	0.80	0.80	0.64	0.68	0.72	0.76	0.80
Transit Services	1.32	0.96	0.96	0.77	0.82	0.86	0.91	0.96
Fire Protection Services	0.31	0.69	0.69	0.55	0.59	0.62	0.66	0.69
Policing Services	0.36	0.61	0.61	0.49	0.52	0.55	0.58	0.61
Parks and Recreation*	0.16 0.30	0.95	0.95	0.76	0.81	0.86	0.90	0.95
Library Services	1.36	0.18	0.18	0.14	0.15	0.16	0.17	0.18
Growth Studies**	0.28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Long-term Care Services	0.02	0.04	0.04	0.03	0.03	0.04	0.04	0.04
Child Care and Early Years Programs	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Provincial Offences Act Services including By-Law Enforcement	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Public Health Services	-	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
Ambulance	0.02	0.06	0.06	0.05	0.05	0.05	0.06	0.06
Waste Diversion	0.17	0.03	0.03	0.02	0.03	0.03	0.03	0.03
Total Municipal Wide Services/Classes	15.80	20.64	17.67	14.14	15.02	15.90	16.79	17.67
Water and Wastewater Urban Area Charges								
Wastewater Facilities	2.65	4.53	4.53	3.62	3.85	4.08	4.30	4.53
Wastewater Linear Services	3.53	6.91	6.75	5.40	5.74	6.08	6.41	6.75
Water Services	3.10	4.65	4.36	3.49	3.71	3.92	4.14	4.36
Total Water and Wastewater Urban Area Services	9.28	16.09	15.64	12.51	13.29	14.08	14.86	15.64
Stormwater Services - Combined Sewer System								
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Stormwater Services - Separate Sewer System								
Stormwater Drainage and Control Services	2.93	4.75	4.75	3.80	4.04	4.28	4.51	4.75
Grand Total - City Wide	15.80	20.64	17.67	14.14	15.02	15.90	16.79	17.67
Grand Total - Urban Area - Combined Sewer Sytem	25.08	36.73	33.31	26.65	28.31	29.98	31.64	33.31
Grand Total - Urban Area - Separate Sewer Sytem	28.01	41.48	38.06	30.45	32.35	34.25	36.16	38.06

*Parks & Recreation now combined as one D.C. eligible service

**Growth studies are no longer eligible when a new by-law is passed under Bill 23

Survey of Comparator Municipalities – Single/Semi Detached Page 228 of 341



Survey of Comparator Municipalities – Non-Industrial (per sq.ft.)

Page 229 of 341



Survey of Comparator Municipalities – Industrial (per sq.ft.)



Page 230 of 341

Study Process and Timelines



September 2022 to November 2023

Data collection, staff review, D.C. calculations and policy work



April 13, September 18, and November 9, 2023 Development Charges Stakeholders Sub-Committee Meeting



December 21, 2023 Release of Background Study and draft by-laws



January 23/24, 2024 Public open house sessions



February 22, 2024 Public Meeting at Audit, Finance & Administration Committee



March 28, 2024 Release of Addendum Report



May 2, 2024 Audit, Finance & Administration Committee considers passage of by-law



June 1, 2024 New D.C. By-law in Effect

June 12, 2024 Expiry of Existing D.C. By-law (note: existing by-law will be repealed at the time the new by-law comes into effect) 33



Page 231 of 341

Page 232 of 341





Page 233 of 341



CITY OF HAMILTON CORPORATE SERVICES DEPARTMENT Financial Services and Taxation Division

то:	Chair and Members Audit, Finance and Administration Committee
COMMITTEE DATE:	May 02, 2024
SUBJECT/REPORT NO:	Bill 185, <i>Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024</i> as it Relates to the <i>Development Charges Act, 1997</i> (FCS24034) (City Wide)
WARD(S) AFFECTED:	City Wide
PREPARED BY:	Ailish Brooke (905) 546-2424 Ext. 6875
SUBMITTED BY:	Kirk Weaver Acting Director, Financial Planning, Administration and Policy Corporate Services Department
SIGNATURE:	for upon

RECOMMENDATION(S)

- (a) That Council endorse the proposed submissions and recommendations as provided in Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034 regarding Schedule 6 to proposed Bill 185, *Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024*; and
- (b) That the General Manager, Finance and Corporate Services, be authorized to make further submissions on Bill 185, *Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024* and any associated regulations consistent with the comments and concerns raised in Report FCS24034, as opportunities are provided for comments.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

On April 10, 2024, the Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing introduced Bill 185, *Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024* ("Bill 185"), which proposes to amend 15 Acts that directly impact municipalities. Schedule 6 to Bill 185 pertains to proposed amendments to the *Development Charges Act, 1997* ("DC Act"). The Province of Ontario has opened a comment period for feedback on the proposed changes to the DC Act (ERO 019-8371) which closes on May 10, 2024.

SUBJECT: Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 as it relates to the Development Charges Act, 1997 (FCS24034) (City Wide) – Page 2 of 9

The purpose of Report FCS24034 is to provide an overview of the proposed changes to the DC Act (Appendix "A" to Report FCS24034). In addition, staff recommends Council endorsement of the submission, on behalf of the City of Hamilton, (Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034) of comments to the Province through Recommendation (a) to Report FCS24034.

Bill 185 proposes the reversal of several legislative decisions which came into effect through Bill 23, *More Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022* ("Bill 23"). The proposed amendments to the DC Act include:

- (1) re-defining eligible capital costs to include studies;
- (2) removal of the mandatory five year phase-in of new DC rates;
- (3) simplifying the process to amend DC by-laws passed during a specified period to allow for updates to DC By-laws to reflect the changes in items (1) and (2); and
- (4) decreasing the duration of site plan / zoning by-law amendment rate lock-in from two years to 18 months.

Enactment of these amendments through Bill 185 would have implications to the 2024 DC by-law under consideration via Report FCS23103(b), "2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-Law – Final Report."

The reversals of Bill 23 proposed through Bill 185 represent a substantial advancement in improving the City's capacity to finance growth-related costs without imposing an undue burden on rate and taxpayers. Further amendments aligned with the objectives of Bill 185, as outlined in Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034, would enhance the City's ability to responsibly meet the infrastructure and service needs of the growth and housing objectives set by the Province.

Alternatives for Consideration – Not Applicable

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: There are no financial implications related to the endorsement and submission of comments as recommended through Report FCS24034. Bill 185 would have positive financial implications for the City due to an increased ability to collect DCs. An overview of the financial implications of Bill 185, if enacted, and impacts on financing strategy included in the 2024 Tax and Rate Budgets will be included in the 2025 Budget Outlook Report.

SUBJECT: Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 as it relates to the Development Charges Act, 1997 (FCS24034) (City Wide) – Page 3 of 9

- Staffing: There are no staffing implications related to the endorsement and submission of comments as recommended through Report FCS24034. Passage of Bill 185, as drafted, would not have an impact on required staffing levels.
- Legal: There are no legal implications related to the endorsement and submission of comments as recommended through Report FCS24034. Staff will continue to monitor the progress related to the implementation of Bill 185.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

In the last five years, the Province has enacted various pieces of legislation amending the DC Act (including Bill 108, *More Homes, More Choice Act, 2019;* Bill 138, *Plan to Build Ontario Together Act, 2019;* Bill 197, COVID-19 Economic Recovery Act, 2020; Bill 109, *More Homes for Everyone Act, 2022;* Bill 23, *More Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022,* Bill 134, *Affordable Homes and Good Jobs Act, 2023*) with the intent of facilitating the construction of 1.5 million new homes in Ontario by 2031. The objective of increasing housing availability is shared by the City. These legislative changes have rapidly altered the regulatory landscape of DCs and generally decreased the ability of municipalities to fund growth-related costs while increasing the administrative burden of collecting DCs. Bill 185 represents another significant modification to the DC Act that better positions municipalities to meet the infrastructure needs of growing communities.

Table 1 to Report FCS24034 outlines the legislative changes to the DC Act since 2020 which are proposed for further amendment through Bill 185.

SUBJECT: Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 as it relates to the Development Charges Act, 1997 (FCS24034) (City Wide) – Page 4 of 9

Table Summary of Legislative Amendmo	-	nce 2020			
Relevant to Bill 185, Cutting Red Tap	Relevant to Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024				
Existing Content of the DC Act	Related Legislation and Timing	Bill 185 Proposed Amendment			
DC Rate Lock-In DC rates are locked in at the date of application for a site plan or zoning by-law amendment for two years from the date of approval. Interest is permitted from the date of application to the date of building permit issuance at a prescribed maximum rate.	Bill 108 January 1, 2020 Bill 23 November 28, 2022	Reduce the rate lock-in period from two years to 18 months.			
Removal of Studies as Eligible DC Capital Costs DC rates are calculated based on eligible capital costs. Studies were eliminated as an eligible capital cost which removed municipalities' ability to collect DCs for this purpose.	Bill 23 November 28, 2022	Include studies as an eligible capital cost.			

Five Year Phase-In	Bill 23	Eliminate the
DC By-Laws passed after June 1, 2022 must phase-in DC rates relative to the maximum charge that could be imposed under a by-law. This phase-in requires DCs be discounted according to a prescribed schedule.	November 28, 2022	phase-in.

Note: Table 1 only includes amendments to the DC Act since 2020 which are relevant to Bill 185.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

The purpose of Report FCS24034 is to request Council endorsement of the comments to be provided to the Province in response to the DC Act changes proposed through Bill 185. There are no policy implications or legislated requirements related to the endorsement and submission of comments attached as Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034.

Enactment of Bill 185 has been considered in the drafting of the 2024 DC By-law and related policy recommendations under consideration via Report FCS23103(b), "2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-Law – Final Report."

SUBJECT: Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 as it relates to the Development Charges Act, 1997 (FCS24034) (City Wide) – Page 5 of 9

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

Legal and Risk Management Services, Corporate Services Department staff, were consulted in the development of Report FCS24034.

Planning and Economic Development Department staff were consulted with respect to the City's approach to responding to the Environmental Registry of Ontario (ERO) postings related to changes proposed through Bill 185.

ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION(S)

The purpose of Report FCS24034 is to provide an overview of the proposed changes to the DC Act (Appendix "A" to Report FCS24034) and recommend Council endorsement of comments on behalf of the City of Hamilton (Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034). The Province has provided for consultation on the DC Act Changes proposed through Bill 185 through ERO 019-8371 from April 10, 2024 through May 10, 2024. Staff's draft comments to the Province are attached as Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034 for Council endorsement through Recommendation (a) to Report FCS24034. A separate report led by the Planning Division, Planning and Economic Development Department is expected to be presented to the Planning Committee on May 14, 2024 and will address the other Schedules to Bill 185 which include non-DC related components to Bill 185.

Summary of Key Changes Proposed to the DC Act

Definition of Capital Costs to Include Studies

Bill 185 proposes the definition of eligible capital costs be amended to allow for the inclusion of growth studies, secondary plans, masterplans and the DC Background Study in the DC calculation. This would represent a reversal of the previous legislative decision through Bill 23 which removed the ability for municipalities to include the cost of studies in the DC Calculation. Through the recommendations to Report FCS22085 "Bill 23, Proposed *More Homes Built Faster Act, 2022* as it relates to the *Development Charges Act,*" Council endorsed feedback to the Province which requested that studies remain as an eligible capital cost to avoid the transfer of the financial burden of funding the required studies to property tax and rate payers.

Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034 indicates the City is in support of the proposed amendment through Bill 185 to include studies as an eligible capital cost.

SUBJECT: Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 as it relates to the Development Charges Act, 1997 (FCS24034) (City Wide) – Page 6 of 9

Removal of Five-Year Phase-In of DC Rates

Bill 185 proposes the removal of the mandatory phase-in of DC rates over five years. This would represent a reversal of the previous legislative decision through Bill 23 which enacted the phase-in for DC by-laws passed after January 1, 2022 and required the DCs be discounted by 20% in Year 1, 15% in Year 2, 10% in Year 3 and 5% in Year 4 with the full DC rate applying in Year 5. Through the recommendations to Report FCS22085 "Bill 23, Proposed *More Homes Built Faster Act, 2022* as it relates to the *Development Charges Act,*" Council endorsed feedback to the Province which requested that the phase-in be eliminated as it discounted DCs such that the City would have insufficient DC funding to support growth-related costs.

The removal of the five-year phase-in would initiate a significant shift in the City's forecasted DC collections versus exemptions and better enable the City to support the costs of growth with less reliance on the property tax levy. An overview of the financial implications of Bill 185, if enacted, and impacts on the financing strategy included in the 2024 Tax and Rate Budgets, will be included in the 2025 Budget Outlook Report.

Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034 indicates that the City is in support of the proposed amendment through Bill 185 to remove the five-year phase-in of new DC rates. This proposal represents recognition from the Province that the costs of growth-related infrastructure and services are defined by need and municipalities must have the ability to fund growth-related costs without undue burden being placed on rate and taxpayers.

Simplified DC By-Law Amendment Process

Bill 185 proposes a simplified process to amend DC by-laws passed in the period between the enactment of Bill 23 (November 28, 2022) which removed studies as an eligible capital cost and the date Bill 185 receives Royal Assent. This will allow for the addition of studies as an eligible capital cost and the removal of references to DC rate phase-in. The simplified process would be available for six months following the Royal Assent of Bill 185 which would then be repealed. The simplified by-law amendment process waives the typical requirements associated with the amendment of a DC by-law, including the requirements for an updated DC Background Study, public consultation and the opportunity for appeal of the by-law amendment. Further, Bill 185 proposes the ability for municipalities to utilize the simplified amendment process indefinitely when the purpose of the amendment is only to extend the expiry of a DC by-law.

SUBJECT: Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 as it relates to the Development Charges Act, 1997 (FCS24034) (City Wide) – Page 7 of 9

The 2024 DC Background Study and the DC by-law under consideration via Report FCS23103(b), "2024 Development Charges Background Study, Policies and By-Law – Final Report" was not permitted to include the cost of studies. Additional review following the passage of Bill 185 will be undertaken by staff in collaboration with DC Consultants (Watson & Associates Economists Ltd.) to affirm the appropriate steps to calculate and permit collection for DC studies.

Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034 indicates the City is in support of the proposed amendment through Bill 185 to allow for a simplified process to amend DC by-laws to include the capital costs of studies but requests the addition of a grace period to allow for by-laws passed within three months of Bill 185 receiving Royal Assent to be permitted to benefit from the simplified amendment process.

Reduction of Site Plan / Zoning By-Law Amendment Rate Lock-In Period

Bill 185 proposes the reduction of the site plan / zoning by-law amendment rate lock-in period from two years to 18 months from the date of approval of the application. The Province has indicated that the intent of this proposal would be to expedite building processes and incentivize developers to obtain building permits promptly following approval of a site plan or zoning by-law amendment application.

Staff do not anticipate significant variations in DC collections because of this proposed amendment. However, the proposal adds administrative complexity to the calculation of DCs. Applications submitted prior to the enactment of Bill 185 will be locked-in for two years, whereas applications submitted after Bill 185 receives Royal Assent will be locked-in for 18 months.

Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034 indicates that the City is supportive towards the proposed amendment through Bill 185 to reduce the site-plan / zoning by-law amendment rate lock-in period.

Additional Information

The Province has announced that the DC exemption of affordable housing, introduced through Bill 23 and amended through Bill 134, will come into force on June 1, 2024. This exemption applies to residential units (rented and owned) which meet the definition of affordable housing per the DC Act. The Minister of Municipal Affairs and Housing will publish a Bulletin to determine the dollar threshold for a unit to be considered affordable.

SUBJECT: Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 as it relates to the Development Charges Act, 1997 (FCS24034) (City Wide) – Page 8 of 9

When this exemption was introduced through Bill 23, Council endorsed feedback to the Province through the recommendations to Report FCS22085, "Bill 23, Proposed *More Homes Built Faster Act, 2022,* as it relates to the *Development Charges Act*" and through Report PED23256 "Bill 134, Proposed Affordable Homes and Good Jobs Act, 2023", which rose concerns regarding the level of specificity and localization within the Bulletins. Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034 expands upon the potential challenges of implementing the affordable housing exemption including the process to secure the financial obligation (e.g., registering the obligation on title) and the process for collections in the event of default. Staff will continue to consult with Legal and Risk Management Services to develop a plan for the implementation of the affordable housing exemption and report back to Council as required.

Conclusion

Bill 185 marks a significant amendment to the DC Act, positively shifting the legislative framework to better equip municipalities to manage and provide for the infrastructure needs of growing communities. Bill 185 introduces several beneficial reversals of previous legislation including the re-inclusion of the studies in the definition of capital costs and removal of the mandatory phase-in and the associated simplified by-law amendment process to adjust for each of these reversals. The proposed amendments introduced by Bill 185 represent a substantial advancement in improving the City's capacity to finance growth-related needs without relying as heavily on rate and taxpayers. Further amendments aligned with the objectives of Bill 185 would enhance the City's ability to responsibly meet the infrastructure and service needs of the growth and housing objectives set by the Province.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

Not Applicable.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix "A" to Report FCS24034 – Summary of Changes Proposed to the *Development Charges Act, 1997* through Schedule 6 to the *Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024* (Bill 185)

Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034 – City of Hamilton Staff Submission on the Proposed Changes to the *Development Charges Act, 1997* through Schedule 6 to the *Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024* (Bill 185)

AB/dt

Proposed Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 – Bill 185 Overview of Changes				
Schedule 6 – Deve Proposed Amendment	Iopment Charges Act Explanation of Proposed Amendment	Implications of Proposed Amendment		
Definition of Capital Costs to Include Studies (Subsection 1 (1))	 Proposes the re-inclusion of studies (including growth studies, secondary plans, masterplans and the Development Charges (DC) Background Study) as eligible capital costs which can be factored into the calculation of DCs. This would be a reversal of the previous legislative decision through Bill 23, <i>More Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022</i> to exclude studies from the calculation of DCs. 	 The City will reassume the authority to utilize DC funding for studies. The 2019 DC Background Study allocated collections of \$16.1 M (indexed annually) for general growth studies over a 10-year period. The 2024 DC Background Study did not account for the cost of studies due to the legislation in effect. Following the passage of Bill 185, additional review will be undertaken by staff and DC Consultants (Watson & Associates Economists Ltd.) to affirm the appropriate steps to ensure collection for DC studies. 		
Removal of five-year phase-in of DC rates (Subsection 1 (4))	Proposes the removal of the mandatory phase-in of DC rates over five years. This would be a reversal of the previous legislative decision through Bill 23, <i>More</i> <i>Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022</i> which mandated the phase-in for DC by-laws passed after January 1, 2022.	The City will reassume the ability to charge 100% of the calculated DCs required to fund growth-related capital needs. Note: As the City has not passed a new by-law, this has only affected projections not actual collections. If enacted, an overview of the financial implications of the removal of the rate phase-in will be included in the 2025 Budget Outlook Report.		

Proposed <i>Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024</i> – Bill 185 Overview of Changes						
Schedule 6 – Deve	Schedule 6 – Development Charges Act					
Proposed Amendment	Explanation of Proposed Amendment	Implications of Proposed Amendment				
Simplified DC By-Law Amendment Process (Subsection 2 (1))	Proposes a simplified amendment process for six months for DC by-laws passed during the period where studies were not an eligible capital cost to allow for inclusion of studies or removal of DC phase-in.	The 2024 DC Background Study did not account for the cost of studies due to the legislation in effect. Determination by staff, with support from Watson & Associates Economists Ltd. of the eligible costs for inclusion, would be required.				
	The simplified amendment process waives the typical requirements associated with the passage of an amendment to a DC by-law, including the requirements for an updated DC Background Study, public consultation and the	The draft 2024 DC by-law includes reference to the phase-in section of the DC Act and clearly states that the phase-in will not apply if the section of the DC Act is removed.				
	opportunity for appeal of the amendment.	If a 2024 DC by-law is adopted before Bill 185 receives Royal Assent, the City would be permitted to amend the By-Law following the simplified process to include the cost of DC studies.				
		If a 2024 DC By-Law is not adopted before Bill 185 receives Royal Assent, the City would be required to undergo the full standard amendment process which would include an update to the DC Background Study, public consultations and the opportunity for appeal of the by-law.				

Appendix "A" to Report FCS24034 Page 3 of 3

Overview of Change Schedule 6 – Deve	, Iopment Charges Act	
Proposed Amendment	Explanation of Proposed Amendment	Implications of Proposed Amendment
Reduction of Site plan / Zoning By-Law Amendment Rate Lock-In Period (Subsection 3 (1))	Proposes the reduction of the site plan / zoning by-law amendment rate lock-in period from two years to 18 months from the date of approval.	Significant variation in collections would not be anticipated based on this proposal. However, it may encourage building permits to be pulled at an earlier date. This proposal adds some administrative complexity to the calculation of DCs. Applications submitted prior to the enactment of Bill 185 will be locked-in for two years, whereas applications submitted after Bill 185 receives Royal Assent will be locked-in for 18 months.

Page 245 of 341

Page 246 of 341

Appendix "B" to Report FCS24034 Page 1 of 4



City of Hamilton 71 Main Street West Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5

General Manager, Finance and Corporate Services Corporate Services Department 1st Floor, 71 Main Street West

DRAFT FOR CONSIDERATION OF CITY COUNCIL

May 8, 2024

ERO Number: 019-8371

Minister Paul Calandra Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing 17th Floor, 777 Bay Street Toronto. Ontario M7A 2J3

Dear Minister Calandra:

City of Hamilton Submission on Proposed Development Charges Subject: Act, 1997 Changes through Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024

Thank you for the opportunity to provide comments on Bill 185, Cutting Red Tape to Build More Homes Act, 2024 ("Bill 185"). The City of Hamilton is submitting the following comments as endorsed by City Council on May 8, 2024 in relation to Schedule 6 to Bill 185 which proposes amendments to the Development Charges Act, 1997 ("DC Act") through ERO 019-8371. Comments pertaining to the remaining Schedules to Bill 185 will be submitted under separate letters to the appropriate EROs.

The City is working alongside the Province to increase housing and infrastructure development with the intent of facilitating the construction of 1.5 million new homes in Ontario by 2031. Council has echoed the importance of responsible development and growth through the City of Hamilton's 2022-2026 Council Priorities. Council Priority 1 promotes "Sustainable Economic and Ecological Development" and aims to reduce the burden on residential taxpayers, while Council Priority 2 is to create "Safe and Thriving Neighbourhoods" as measured by increasing the supply of affordable and supportive housing.

The Province has recognized the struggles faced by municipalities following enactment of the More Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022 and is commended for recognizing the municipalities are in need of funding tools to support growing infrastructure and service needs of growing communities. The reversals of components of the More Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022 proposed through Bill 185 represent a substantial advancement in improving the City's capacity to finance growth-related costs without imposing an undue burden on rate and taxpayers. Further amendments aligned with the objectives of Bill 185 would enhance the City's ability to responsibly meet the infrastructure and service needs of the growth and housing objectives set by the Province.

> City of Hamilton ERO:019-8371

The City's comments have been grouped into six categories:

- 1. Capital costs definition
- 2. DC rate phase-in
- 3. DC by-law amendments
- 4. Rate lock-in
- 5. Affordable housing exemption
- 6. Concluding comments

Capital Costs Definition

Bill 185 proposes the definition of eligible capital costs be amended to allow for the inclusion of studies in the DC calculation. This would represent a reversal of the previous legislative decision through Bill 23 which removed the ability for municipalities to include the cost of studies in the DC Calculation. The City provided feedback to the Province through ERO 019-6172 in relation to *More Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022* which requested that studies remain as an eligible capital cost to avoid the transfer of the financial burden of funding the required studies to property tax and rate payers. Studies are essential to ensure that growth can occur and that sufficient infrastructure and services are available to support that growth. The City is supportive of the proposed amendment to allow for the inclusion of studies as an eligible capital cost.

The City's 2024 DC Background Study and by-law are currently being reviewed by Council with the DC Background Study having been prepared in 2023 during the 18-month period where studies were ineligible. Following passage of the by-law, additional analysis and efforts will be required to determine the studies eligible for collection and to amend the DC by-law. The City urges the Province to consider the need for stability in the eligible services and broader DC framework to support municipalities' ability to plan and developers to have clarity on the required DCs.

DC Rate Phase-In

Bill 185 proposes the removal of the mandatory phase-in of DC rates over five years. This would represent a reversal of the previous legislative decision through Bill 23 which enacted the phase-in for DC by-laws passed after January 1, 2022 and required the DCs be discounted by 20% in Year 1, 15% in Year 2, 10% in Year 3 and 5% in Year 4 with the full DC rate applying in Year 5. The City provided feedback to the Province through ERO 019-6172 in relation to *More Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022* which requested that the phase-in be eliminated as it discounted DCs such that the City would have insufficient DC funding to support growth-related costs. The removal of the five-year phase-in would initiate a significant shift in the City's forecasted DC collections versus exemptions and better enable the City to support of the removal of the phase-in.

DC By-Law Amendments

Bill 185 proposes a simplified process to amend DC by-laws passed in the period between the enactment of the *More Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022* (November 28, 2022), which removed studies as an eligible capital cost and the date Bill 185 receives Royal Assent. This will allow for the re-inclusion of studies as an eligible capital cost and the removal of references to DC rate phase-in. The City is supportive of the proposed amendment to provide for a simplified process to amend DC by-laws.

The simplified amendment process would be provided to by-laws passed between Royal Assent of the *More Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022* and Royal Assent of Bill 185. The City recommends the addition of a grace period to allow for by-laws passed within three months of Bill 185 receiving Royal Assent to be permitted to benefit from the simplified amendment process.

DC Rate Lock-In

Bill 185 proposes the reduction of the site plan/ zoning by-law amendment rate lock-in period from two years to 18 months from the date of approval. The Province has indicated that the intent of this proposal would be to expedite building processes and incentivize developers to obtain building permits promptly following approval of a site plan or zoning by-law amendment application. The City does not anticipate significant impacts to DC collections and is supportive towards the proposed amendment to reduce the site-plan / zoning by-law amendment rate lock-in period.

Affordable Housing Exemption

The Province has announced that the DC exemption of affordable housing, introduced through the *More Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022* and amended through the *Affordable Homes and Good Jobs Act, 2023*, will come into force on June 1, 2024. Further to the feedback provided to the Province through ERO 019-6172 in relation to *More Homes, Built Faster Act, 2022* and ERO 019-7669 for the *Affordable Homes Good Jobs Act, 2023*, the City is interested in the opportunity to review and consult on the affordable housing bulletins prior to this section of the DC Act coming into force. The City is interested in evaluating the degree of specificity and localization of the Bulletins and recommends that affordable housing Bulletins are segmented by unit type and that affordable housing thresholds are provided at a highly localized level. The City is also recommending a standardized agreement be developed by the Province to administer the affordable housing exemption.

Concluding Comments

The City continues to support the Province's goal of building 1.5 million homes by 2031 and tackling the affordability crisis affecting Ontarians. Bill 185 marks a significant amendment to the DC Act, positively shifting the legislative framework to better equip municipalities to manage and provide for the needs of growing communities. Bill 185 introduces several beneficial reversals of previous legislation including the re-inclusion of the studies in the definition of capital costs and removal of the mandatory phase-in and the associated simplified by-law amendment process to adjust for each of these reversals. The proposed amendments introduced by Bill 185 represent a substantial advancement in improving the City's capacity to finance growth-related needs without relying as heavily on rate and taxpayers. Further amendments aligned with the objectives of Bill 185 would enhance the City's ability to responsibly meet the infrastructure and service needs of the growth and housing objectives set by the Province.

Yours truly,

To be signed pending endorsement by City Council.

Andrea Horwath Mayor, City of Hamilton



CITY OF HAMILTON CORPORATE SERVICES DEPARTMENT Financial Services and Taxation Division

-and-

CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE Human Resources Division

то:	Chair and Members Audit, Finance and Administration Committee
COMMITTEE DATE:	May 2, 2024
SUBJECT/REPORT NO:	Proposed Amendments to Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule (FCS24008/HUR24002) (City Wide) (Outstanding Business List Item)
WARD(S) AFFECTED:	City Wide
PREPARED BY:	Tina Iacoe (905) 546-2424 Ext. 2796
SUBMITTED BY:	Shelley Hesmer, Acting Director Financial Services and Taxation & Corporate Controller Corporate Services Department
SIGNATURE:	Shelley Heamer
SUBMITTED BY:	Lora Fontana Executive Director, Human Resources
SIGNATURE:	A de la contra de

RECOMMENDATION

- (a) That the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule, attached as Appendix "A" and Appendix "C" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002, be approved;
- (b) That staff be directed to automatically update the Fair Wage Schedule every two years, in a manner consistent with Report FCS24008/HUR24002;
- (c) That staff be directed to report back any updates to the Fair Wage Policy every four years to the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee for consideration; and
- (d) That Outstanding Business Item 23-H be considered complete and removed from the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee Outstanding Business List.

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule (FCS24008/HUR24002) (City Wide) – Page 2 of 10

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this Report is to request Council approval of the updated Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule. The review and proposed changes are the result of a coordinated effort with the Ad-Hoc Fair Wage Committee (the "Ad-Hoc Committee") and includes various revisions throughout the documents to enhance and add clarity to the Policy.

The Total Hourly Compensation Rates listed in Appendix C "Fair Wage Schedule" attached to this Report are based on Wage rates (as defined in the Fair Wage Policy) paid to employees in 2022 as set out in provincially negotiated trade union agreements and provided to the City by the applicable union or association. These Wage rates are then increased by 24 percent (a percentage attributed to Benefits as defined in the Fair Wage Policy) to calculate the Total Hourly Compensation Rate that Contractors are required to pay their Employees at a minimum, for work on a City Construction Contract (as defined in the Fair Wage Policy) over \$500,000 at time of contract award.

Alternatives for Consideration – See Page 9

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: The increase in the Total Hourly Compensation Rates set out in the proposed Fair Wage Schedule will have some inflationary impact on tender prices that the City receives on Construction Contracts over \$500,000. The average in Wage rates from the current Fair Wage Schedule (2016 rates) to the proposed Fair Wage Schedule (2022 rates) is on average 19.03% higher.

It remains very difficult for staff to determine the estimated dollar increase attributable to the proposed increased rates set out in Appendix B to this Report since it is unknown what Contractors actually pay their Employees. Some Contractors have obligations under provincially negotiated trade union agreements for various trades which require Contractors to pay their Employees at the rates set out in those agreements. These rates are usually higher than the rates set out in the City's Fair Wage Schedule and are more current. Other Contractors may not have affiliations with trade associations and therefore would be required to pay their Employees rates in accordance with the Fair Wage Schedule. Since the last Fair Wage Schedule was updated in 2019 (using 2016 rates), the increase from 2016 to 2022 for all the trade classifications is quite considerable:

 *The average hourly Wage set out in the current Fair Wage Schedule is \$28.48. The average hourly Wage set out in the proposed Fair Wage Schedule is \$32.92;

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule (FCS24008/HUR24002) (City Wide) – Page 3 of 10

- Based on the Wages set out in the proposed Fair Wage Schedule, on average Wage rates increase 19.03 percent from 2016 to 2022 per job classification;
- *Maximum dollar increase in Wages from 2016 to 2022 is \$18.67 (Welder Apprentice Term 3);
- *Minimum dollar increase in hourly rate from 2016 to 2022 is approximately \$1.00 (various Apprentice positions);

*this analysis was performed using a direct comparison of job classifications and positions. Any classifications or positions that could not be directly compared were not factored into the analysis.

Using the average percentage increase of 19.03%, staff has estimated that the maximum incremental cost resulting from the increased rates in the proposed Fair Wage Schedule for 2024 may be approximately \$5,173,795. This cost is based on a number of assumptions:

- a) that the total value of Construction Contracts, as defined in the Fair Wage Policy, be consistent with contracts awarded in 2023 at the aggregate amount of \$108,750,286;
- b) that all Contractors and Sub-Contractors pay rates in accordance with the Fair Wage Schedule;
- c) that half the Contractors and Sub-Contractors have obligations under provincially negotiated trade union agreements; and
- d) that labour represents approximately half of the cost of a construction contract.

The \$5,173,795 estimate should be considered as the "worst case scenario".

Since the onset of COVID-19 pandemic, the labour market for trades has been very competitive and many of the trade associations have negotiated significant cost increases in hourly rates. Therefore, staff do not expect the impact of the proposed Total Hourly Compensation Rates to be as significant as the worst-case scenario since many Contractors and Sub-Contractors who do not have obligations under provincially negotiated trade union agreements may be paying their Employees at or around the 2022 rates in order to retain talent.
SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule (FCS24008/HUR24002) (City Wide) – Page 4 of 10

Staffing: There are no staffing implications with this Report.

Legal: There are no legal implications with this Report.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The Fair Wage Policy has deep roots within the City of Hamilton, with the first reference being a Board of Control Report from November 1967 which makes mention of inserting a Fair Wage clause into City contracts to stipulate the wages for bricklayers, masons and foremen. The City's first formal Fair Wage Policy was adopted in September 1993 and since then, has undergone numerous updates and improvements. However, the purpose of the City's Fair Wage Policy has not changed and is essentially based on two principles:

- a) to ensure that Contractors and Sub-Contractors pay their employees reasonable wages and benefits for work performed on City of Hamilton construction contracts; and
- b) to create a level playing field in procurement competitions so that no Contractor or Sub-Contractor secures an unfair competitive advantage over other construction employers by paying wages that are below prevailing norms.

The current Fair Wage Policy was scheduled to be updated in late 2022 however, due to staffing resource challenges within the Procurement Division, staff was not able to hold consultative meetings with the Ad-Hoc Committee and update the rates effective for 2023.

On March 29, 2023 Council approved the following motion:

- (a) That Human Resources and Finance and Corporate Services staff be directed to engage in a review of the Fair Wage Policy and any related schedules through stakeholder meetings for report back to the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee by the end of Q3 2023 with recommendations on next steps; based on stakeholder feedback;
- (b) That the following stakeholder groups be included in the consultation: Christian Labour Association Canada; Electrical Construction Association Hamilton; Hamilton Brantford Ontario Building & Construction Trades Council; Golden Horseshoe General Contractors Association; Greater Hamilton & Niagara Construction Association; Hamilton Halton Construction Association; Mechanical Contractors Association Hamilton Niagara; Labourers' International Union of North America; and Merit OpenShop Contributors Association of Ontario;
- (c) That there be two stakeholder meetings, inviting all stakeholders to each, at the beginning of Q3 2023; and

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully. OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner. OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule (FCS24008/HUR24002) (City Wide) – Page 5 of 10

(d) That members of City Council be permitted to attend the first meeting as guests to hear stakeholder feedback directly, in an observer role only."

This Report outlines the recommendations stemming from those meetings and the proposed changes to both the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

The recommendations within this Report are in accordance with By-Law 20-205, as amended, the City's Procurement Policy.

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

The Ad-Hoc Fair Wage Committee (the "Ad-Hoc Committee") met twice in 2023 to discuss the recommended changes to the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.

On July 31, 2023 the Ad-Hoc Committee met with staff from the Corporate Services Department and Labour Relations Division. Attendees to that meeting were representatives from the Hamilton-Brantford Building Trades Council (HBBT), the Labourer's International Union of North America Local 837 (LIUNA), the Merit OpenShop Contractors Association of Ontario, the Christian Labour Association of Canada (CLAC), the Electrical Construction Association of Hamilton (ECAH), the Mechanical Contractors Association and the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) Local 105. In addition to these attendees, five City Council Members also attended as guests to hear stakeholder feedback directly.

The Ad-Hoc Committee reconvened on December 11, 2023 to review the City's response to the recommendations and the improvements proposed. Attendees to that meeting were representatives from the Hamilton-Brantford Building Trades Council (HBBT), the Labourer's International Union of North America Local 837 (LIUNA), the Merit OpenShop Contractors Association of Ontario, the Christian Labour Association of Canada (CLAC), the Mechanical Contractors Association and the International Brotherhood of Electrical Workers (IBEW) Local 105.

The Manager of Procurement also consulted with the following municipalities with respect to their current fair wage policy: City of Oshawa, the City of Greater Sudbury, City of Vaughan and the City of Toronto. Significant discussions were held with the City of Toronto's Manager of the Fair Wage Office to get a full understanding of their operations and the calculations of their applicable total hourly compensation rates.

ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION(S)

The Fair Wage Policy is applicable to all Construction Contracts over \$500,000 at time of award and requires Contractors and Sub-Contractors to pay their Employees (whether the vendor utilizes a unionized or a non-unionized work force), at a minimum the Total

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule (FCS24008/HUR24002) (City Wide) – Page 6 of 10

Hourly Compensation Rates as stated in the Fair Wage Schedule and as set out in Appendix C to this Report.

The Total Hourly Compensation Rates are calculated using:

- a) "Wages" which are base hourly rates set out in the respective collective agreement (a collective agreement between a contractor who is in a contractual relationship with a union that is recognized by the Ontario Labour Relations Board as the bargaining agent for the relevant workers); and
- b) "Benefits" which is a 24% increase over the Wage rate. Benefits include any nonstatutory payment to an employee or non-statutory premiums or contributions paid to provide benefits to an employee such as a pension plan, Registered Retirement Savings Plan (RRSP), medical plan bonus, retention pay and vacation.

On July 31, 2023, the Ad-Hoc Committee met to discuss their recommended changes to the City's Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule. A number of recommended changes were brought forward by the Committee for the City's consideration, some of which are being proposed in this Report.

Appendix A to this Report includes the proposed Fair Wage Policy. Appendix B to this Report includes the proposed Fair Wage Policy – With Changes utilizing yellow highlight to identify the changes, additions and deletions to the policy. Appendix C to this Report includes the proposed Fair Wage Schedule that includes the trade classifications and the applicable Total Hourly Compensation Rates.

The following is a summary of the significant changes that are being proposed:

Fair Wage Policy – Overall

The proposed Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule amendments include several housekeeping issues throughout the documents which have not been specifically addressed in this Report. These amendments include editing for better clarity and understanding. In addition to this, the formatting of the Fair Wage Policy has been changed using a templated chart form similar to other City policies.

Fair Wage Policy - Section 2. Purpose of the Fair Wage Policy

Section 2 "Purpose of the Fair Wage Policy" is a new section added to the Policy. This section provides the reader with two principles for which the foundation of the Fair Wage Policy is built upon, that being to:

 a) ensure that Contractors and Sub-Contractors pay their Employees reasonable Wages and Benefits for work performed on City of Hamilton Construction Contracts; and

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule (FCS24008/HUR24002) (City Wide) – Page 7 of 10

 b) create a level playing field in procurement competitions so that no Contractor or Sub-Contractor secures an unfair competitive advantage over other construction employers by paying wages that are below prevailing norms.

Fair Wage Policy - Section 4. Definitions

Section 4 was amended to include three new definitions ("Apprentice", "Landscaping Work" and "Substantial Performance") as well as to revise two current definitions ("Benefits" and "Construction Contract"). These changes were made to provide clarity and additional information to the reader for interpretation of the Fair Wage Policy.

Fair Wage Policy Section 5. Responsibilities

Section 5.4.6 was amended to allow for the City to "set-off" any amounts owing by the Contractor for not providing a statement of compliance in accordance with the Fair Wage Policy. This amendment allows the City the ability to withhold money from either the current Construction Contract or any other contract between the City and the Contractor, until the Contractor's sworn statement of compliance is received and deemed to be satisfactory by the City.

Fair Wage Policy Section 8. Inspections and Audits

Section 8.1 was amended to include additional wording that clearly outlines the time limitations for the City's ability to inspect and audit the records of the Contractor or Sub-Contractor.

Fair Wage Policy Section 9. Assurance Reports

Section 9.2 was amended to include additional wording that clearly outlines the time requirements to submit assurance reports to the City by a Contractor or Sub-Contractor.

Fair Wage Policy Section 10. Consequences of Non-Compliance

Similar to section 5.4.6, section 10.1.1 (c) was amended to allow the City to "set-off" any amounts owing by the Contractor for the cost of an inspection or audit.

Section 10.1.2 is a new section added to the Fair Wage Policy. The inclusion of this section specifically states and makes it clear to the reader that where a Sub-Contractor has been found to be non-compliant with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule, the Contractor shall also be deemed to be non-compliant.

Fair Wage Policy Section 11. Complaints

Section 11.3.1 was amended to include additional wording to further clarify that the City will do its best efforts to not disclose the identity of an Initiator of a complaint.

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule (FCS24008/HUR24002) (City Wide) – Page 8 of 10

Fair Wage Policy Section 13. Apprentices

Section 13 is a new section of the Policy regarding Apprentices. It includes the requirement for Apprentices to be properly registered with an approved apprenticeship program in Ontario and sets out the applicable rates to be paid to Apprentices.

Fair Wage Policy Section 14. Fair Wage Schedule and Updates

The current Fair Wage Policy requires:

- a) using a "three-year lag" in the hourly rates used to calculate the Total Hourly Compensation Rates; and
- b) that the Total Hourly Compensation Rates be held for three years following the date of the approval of the Fair Wage Schedule.

Using the current Fair Wage Policy and three-year lag, the Total Hourly Compensation Rates would be calculated using Wages that were applicable in 2021 (three years prior to 2024). The Total Hourly Compensation Rates would then be held and applicable until 2027 (three years after 2024) when the Fair Wage Schedule would be updated again.

Section 14.1 was amended to the following:

- a) utilize a "two-year lag" rather than a three-year lag; and
- b) requires that the Total Hourly Compensation Rates be held for the following two years rather than three years.

With this proposed change, the Total Hourly Compensation Rates would be calculated using Wages that were applicable in 2022 (two years prior to 2024) and the Total Hourly Compensation Rates would then be held and applicable until 2026 (two years after 2024) when the Fair Wage Schedule would be updated again.

This change effectively makes the Fair Wage Schedule and applicable rates to be more current than previously agreed by the Ad-Hoc Committee and approved by Council.

Fair Wage Schedule

The Fair Wage Schedule includes multiple trade classification categories or types of "work" that may be carried out within a Construction Contract. For instance, Road Work, Tunnel Work, Elevator Constructors, Electrical Workers are all trade classifications set out in the Fair Wage Schedule. The proposed Fair Wage Schedule includes three new trade classification categories that were added including Carpenters, Boilermakers and Landscapers. There has also been some changes made to the proposed Fair Wage Schedule, including:

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule (FCS24008/HUR24002) (City Wide) – Page 9 of 10

- a) changes in the sections and description of "work" for trade classifications that were previously associated with the Hamilton and District Heavy Construction Association (HAND). Staff were advised that the HAND recently were accredited with the new Greater Hamilton and Niagara Construction Association's collective agreement therefore changes were made to reflect that collective agreement;
- b) Apprentice rates have been added in any trade classification where possible; and
- c) the proposed Fair Wage Schedule utilizes trade union or association Wage rates applicable in 2022.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

The following options were considered but are not being recommended.

Option A – Eliminate the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule

Council could consider eliminating the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule and allow for a procurement process that relies entirely on competitive wages within the Construction Industry. In this regard, the Ontario Government provides for fair and consistent laws relevant to wages, benefits and other working conditions within various industries, including the construction industry. However, eliminating the City Fair Wage Policy would remove the ability for the City to investigate any complaints and rely on the Ministry of Labour to perform any investigations brought forward. As well, without a Fair Wage Policy, the City could be seen as encouraging Contractors and Sub-Contractors to be extremely competitive with their bid for work, possibly at the expense of paying its Employees below the prevailing norm. For these reasons, this option is not recommended.

Option B– No Change to the Fair Wage Schedule

Council could consider not updating the Fair Wage Schedule and keep the current Total Hourly Compensation Rates set for the next two or three years. By doing so, the Fair Wage Schedule rates would become non-competitive, thereby potentially violating worker rights, as well as applicable labour and wage legislation. Having a fiscally responsible Fair Wage Schedule preserves the City's objective and the Fair Wage Policy purpose to ensure proper compensation for Employees performing work within the construction industry on behalf of the City of Hamilton. Freezing wage rates beyond the three-year cycle could potentially compromise this objective. As such, this option is not recommended.

Option C– Update the Fair Wage Schedule to Reflect Current Wage Rates

Council could consider updating the Fair Wage Schedule and use the most current respective collective agreements' hourly wage rates in the calculation of the Total Hourly Compensation Rates. This option is not being recommended at this time for a number of reasons:

SUBJECT: Proposed Amendments to Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule (FCS24008/HUR24002) (City Wide) – Page 10 of 10

- a) using current respective collective agreements' hourly wage rates could further impact the City's capital budget expenditures for all construction related projects over \$500,000;
- b) using current year rates will be difficult to apply as not all collective agreements applicable to the Fair Wage Policy have been fully ratified and have rates in place for the current year;
- c) the administration of the Fair Wage Policy and the continuous updating of the Fair Wage Schedule will be time consuming for both Procurement and Human Resources staff. Additional staffing resources will be required;
- d) continually updating the Total Hourly Compensation Rates will be difficult for Contractors and Sub-Contractors to keep abreast of. Contractors and Sub-Contractors will be required to verify each time they prepare a bid to determine which Fair Wage Schedule is in effect at the time of bid submission. This may also lead to more instances of non-compliance by both Contractors and Sub-Contractors.

Should Council wish to consider having a Fair Wage Schedule that reflects more current rates, with or without holding those rates applicable for any length of time, Council could direct staff to report back with the feasibility of doing so and the costs associated with this alternative to the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee before the end of 2024.

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 - Proposed Fair Wage Policy Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 - Proposed Fair Wage Policy – With Changes

Appendix "C" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 - Proposed Fair Wage Schedule

Page 260 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 1 of 16

Corporate Services Department	
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 1 of 16	

Hamilton

Approved by Council: Report Number:

Fair Wage Policy	
1. POLICY STATEMENT (formerly section 1)	1.1. Every Contractor and Sub-Contractor shall compensate their Employees in accordance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule on all Construction Contracts with the City. The compensation requirements as set out in the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule are minimum requirements.
2. PURPOSE 2 (new section)	2.1. The purpose of the City's Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule is to:
	 a) ensure that Contractors and Sub-Contractors pay their Employees reasonable Wages and Benefits for work performed on City of Hamilton Construction Contracts; and
	 b) to create a level playing field in procurement competitions so that no Contractor or Sub- Contractor secures an unfair competitive advantage over other construction employers by paying wages that are below prevailing norms.
3. INTREPRETATION	3.1. In this Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule:
(formerly section 2)	 a word defined in or importing the singular number has the same meaning when used in the plural number, and vice versa;
	 b) the provisions shall be read with changes of gender or number as the context may require;
	 c) a reference to any Act, by-law, rule, procedure, regulation or to a provision thereof shall be deemed to include a reference to any Act, by- law, rule, procedure, regulation or provision enacted in substitution or amendment thereof;

d) any reference to an officer of the City shall be construed to mean the person holding that office, the designate or delegate of that person.
 4.1. Capitalized words and phrases used in this Fair Wage

Policy and Fair Wage Schedule shall have the

Page 261 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 2 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 2 of 16

Hamilton

Approved by Council: Report Number:

(formerly section 3)	following meanings, unless expressly stated otherwise.
Apprentice	as defined by the Building Opportunities in the Skilled Trades Act, 2021, means an individual who, pursuant to a registered training agreement, is receiving or is to receive training in a trade that is required as part of an apprenticeship program;
Benefits	shall mean any non-statutory payment to an Employee or non-statutory premiums or contributions paid to provide benefits to an Employee and shall include employer contributions to such items as a pension plan, Registered Retirement Savings Plan (RRSP), medical plan bonus, retention pay or the like and vacation. Benefits do not include legislated payroll deductions such as Canada Pension Plan ("CPP"), Employer Health Tax ("EHT"), Workplace Safety and Insurance Board ("WSIB") or Employment Insurance Canada ("EIC");
City Council	shall mean the Council of the City of Hamilton;
City	shall mean the City of Hamilton, its officers, officials, employees and agents;
Construction Contract	 shall mean any construction work awarded to a Contractor that is: a) an "improvement" to the land as defined in the Construction Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.30; b) has a minimum contract value of \$500,000.00 upon award; and includes: i) the industrial, commercial and institutional sector; ii) the sewers and watermains sector; iii) the roads sector; iv) the heavy engineering sector, and v) any Landscaping Work, c) but does not include: i) the residential sector;

snow removal; and

ii)

Page 262 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 3 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 3 of 16

Hamilton

	 iii) maintenance work performed to prevent the normal deterioration of the land, building, structure or works, or to maintain the land, building, structure or works in a normal, functional state. Construction Contracts that exceed the construction contract threshold of \$500,000.00 solely as a result of change orders are not covered by the Fair Wage Policy.
Contractor	shall mean any person having a Construction Contract with the City but does not include any person that only supplies materials for the Construction Contract;
Employee(s)	shall mean those persons employed by the Contractor or Sub-Contractor who perform work identified in the Fair Wage Schedule, for a Construction Contract with the City;
Fair Wage Policy	shall mean the Fair Wage Policy approved by Council;
Fair Wage Schedule	shall mean the Fair Wage Schedule approved by Council, as amended from time to time. The Fair Wage Schedule stipulates the Total Hourly Compensation Rate for Employees;
Hours of Work	shall mean the regular hours of work per day and week and shall include a provision for the payment of overtime beyond such regular hours, as per the Employment Standards Act, 2000, S.O. 2000, c.41, specifically Part VIII Overtime Pay;
Initiator	shall mean any person who submits a complaint to the City alleging non-compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule by any Contractor or Sub- Contractor performing construction work on a City Construction Contract.
Landscaping Work	 shall mean the installation or repair of: a) retaining walls; b) sidewalk's concrete and or stone pavers; c) fencing; d) grading; and

Page 263 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 4 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 4 of 16

Hamilton Approved by Council: Report Number:

	sod installation and tree planting when that work is required as a component within a larger Construction Contract (not a stand alone sod installation and/or tree planting contract).
Manager of Procurement	shall mean the Manager of Policy and Contracts, Procurement Division, or designate.
Sub-Contractor	shall mean any person performing work on a City Construction Contract for a Contractor, or an agreement with another Sub-Contractor, but does not include any person that only supplies materials for the Construction Contract;
Substantial Performance	as defined in the Construction Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.30;
Total Hourly Compensation Rate	shall mean the aggregate amount of Wages and Benefits as stated in the Fair Wage Schedule;
Wages	shall mean the Employees basic hourly rate, which is paid as earned at the time of undertaking the work.
5. RESPONSIBILITIES	
5.1. General	5.1.1. The following persons are responsible for fulfilling the responsibilities detailed in this Policy as follows:
5.2. City Responsibilities (formerly section 4)	5.2.1. The Director of the Procurement Division shall periodically review the Fair Wage Policy and recommend to the appropriate standing committee of Council any required amendments to the Fair Wage Policy.
	5.2.2. The Director of Employee & Labour Relations will prepare and review the Fair Wage Schedule from time to time and recommend to the appropriate standing committee of Council those amendments to the Fair Wage Schedule.
	5.2.3. The Manager of Procurement shall reference the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule in all City Construction Contracts. The City's Procurement

Page 264 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 5 of 16

Corporate Services Department	
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 5 of 16]

Hamilton

	website will post the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule and Fair Wage Complaint Form.
	5.2.4. The Manager of Procurement will co-ordinate the preparation of an annual report for the appropriate Standing Committee of Council regarding complaints investigated and resulting audits performed pursuant to the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
	5.2.5. The City shall address a written objection to the administration of the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
	5.2.6. The City is not in any way liable, obligated or responsible to any Employee, Sub-Contractor, Contractor or any other person for the payment of any monies not paid by a Contractor or Sub- Contractor in accordance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule, and the City assumes no responsibility to such Employee, Sub-Contractor, Contractor or any other person for the administration and enforcement of the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
5.3. Ad-Hoc Fair Wage Committee (formerly section 5)	5.3.1. The City shall participate in an ad hoc Fair Wage committee ("Committee") to discuss issues and provide a forum for consultation regarding issues related to the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
	5.3.2. The Committee shall meet on an as required basis.
	5.3.3. The Committee, as determined by the City, shall be comprised, as a minimum, of the following members:
	 a) Director of the Procurement Division or designate, b) Director of the Employee & Labour Relations Division or designate, c) A representative from: Hamilton & District Heavy Construction Association, Hamilton-Brantford Ontario, Building and Construction Trades Council,

Page 265 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 6 of 16

Corporate Services Department	
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 6 of 16	F

Approved by Council:
Report Number:Hamilton

	 Labourers' International Union of North America, Christian Labour Association of Canada, and Merit OpenShop Contractors Association of Ontario.
5.4. Contractor and Sub-Contractor Responsibilities (formerly section 6)	 5.4.1. A Contractor shall ultimately be responsible for any violations or non-compliance of the Contractor and Sub-Contractors on its City Construction Contracts. 5.4.2. A Contractor is fully responsible for ensuring that the Contractor and all Sub-Contractors comply with the
	 Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule. 5.4.3. The Contractor or Sub-Contractor shall not be responsible for any increase to the Fair Wage Schedule which occurs after the closing of the Request for Tenders or Request for Proposals for the City Construction Contract awarded to the Contractor.
	5.4.4. At the commencement of the work, the Contractor shall post a copy of the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule in a prominent location at the City Construction Contract site to enable Employees of the Contractor and Sub-Contractor to review. Alternate methods may be considered, and if approved by the Manager of Procurement, shall be in writing prior to the commencement of the Construction Contract.
	5.4.5. After Substantial Performance of the Construction Contract and prior to release of the holdback, for all Construction Contracts with the City, the Contractor shall provide to the City, in a form acceptable to the City, a statutory declaration confirming that the Contractor and its Sub-Contractors complied with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
	5.4.6. The City reserves the right to withhold or set-off from the Contractor, a minimum of FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$5,000.00) from any final payments under the Construction Contract or under any other

Page 266 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 7 of 16

Corporate Services Department	
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 7 of 16	I

Approved by Council: Report Number:

Hamilton

		contract between the City and the Contractor, until the Contractor's sworn statement of compliance is received and deemed to be satisfactory by the City.
6. COMPLIANCE (formerly section 7)	6.1.	A Contractor or Sub-Contractors shall be in compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule when the Employee receives a minimum compensation package that includes Wages and Benefits, where the aggregate amount is not less than the Total Hourly Compensation Rate as set out in the Fair Wage Schedule.
	6.2.	Employees shall be paid on a "pay by pay basis" every pay period in accordance with Fair Wage Schedule. Alternate methods of payment may be considered, and if approved by the Manager of Procurement, shall be in writing prior to the commencement of the Construction Contract. For example any lump sum pay-out made to the Employee to reconcile money owed at the completion of the Construction Contract is not permitted unless approved in writing by the Manager of Procurement prior to implementation.
	6.3.	Any lump sum payment approved by the Manager of Procurement is to be made no later than the date of Substantial Performance of the related Construction Contract.
7. RECORDS (formerly section 8)	7.1.	The Contractor and Sub-Contractor shall keep records of the names, addresses, Wages, Benefits, vacation paid or provided, and hours worked for all of its Employees to demonstrate compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
	7.2.	Unless otherwise approved by the Manager of Procurement, the Contractor and Sub-Contractor shall make these records available for inspection upon request by the City within ten business days.
	7.3.	In any agreement with a Sub-Contractor, the Contractor shall require the Sub-Contractor to:
		 a) provide written acknowledgment prior to the commencement of construction work that the

Page 267 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 8 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 8 of 16

Hamilton

	Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule applies;
	 b) keep records of the names, addresses, Wages, Benefits, vacation paid or provided, and hours worked for all of its Employees to demonstrate compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule; and
	 c) unless otherwise approved by the Manager of Procurement, make these records available for inspection upon request by the City within ten business days.
7.4.	If a Contractor or Sub-Contractor enters into a contract for service with a self-employed independent contractor to perform work identified in the Fair Wage Schedule, the Contractor or Sub-Contractor shall keep the following records to demonstrate that the self-employed independent contractor is not an Employee of the Contractor or Sub-Contractor:
	a) duties and responsibilities of both parties;
	 b) self-employed independent contractor invoices;
	 c) self-employed independent contractor HST registration number;
	 d) self-employed independent contractor Workplace Safety and Insurance Board and insurance certificates; and
	 e) self-employed independent contractor trade certificates or licences which relate to the Construction Contract.
	Should there be concerns regarding the self- employed independent contractor's degree of dependency from the Contractor or Sub- Contractor, further records shall be made available to demonstrate that an employer employee relationship does not exist between the Contractor or Sub-Contractor and a self- employed independent contractor. The guideline issued by Canada Revenue Agency RC4110

Page 268 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 9 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 9 of 16

Hamilton

	"Employee or Self-Employed" may be used to assist in making a final determination.
8. INSPECTIONS AND AUDITS (formerly section 9)	8.1. The City retains the right to inspect and audit the records of the Contractor or Sub-Contractor (as referred to in Section 7. Records) at any time during the period of the Construction Contract and at any time within five (5) years after the date of Substantial Performance of the Construction Contract, regardless if a complaint has been received or not.
 9. ASSURANCE REPORTS (formerly section 10.4 and 10.5) 	9.1. If the Contractor or Sub-Contractor fails to keep accurate records, the Contractor or Sub-Contractor will pay a licensed Public Accountant to provide an assurance report, acceptable to the City, which clearly demonstrates compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
	9.2. Unless otherwise approved by the Manager of Procurement, assurance reports shall be completed and provided to the City within sixty days of City's notification to the Contractor or Sub-Contractor to provide. Failure to provide the assurance reports within the required timeline shall be deemed to be a material non-compliance with the Fair Wage Policy.
10. CONSEQUENCES OF NON- COMPLIANCE	
10.1. General (formerly section 10)	10.1.1. Upon determining that a Contractor or Sub- Contractor is non-compliant with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule, the Manager of Procurement:
	 a) shall advise the Contractor, in writing, that it has been determined that the Contractor or Sub- Contractor is non-compliant and that the Contractor or Sub-Contractor is required to rectify the non-compliance(s) and provide written proof of the same, in a form satisfactory to the City, within ten (10) business days;

Page 269 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 10 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 10 of 16

Approved by Council: Report Number:

	Har	niiton			
and	b				
b)	Contra shortf other	actor in a all in Wa	an amount ges or Ben s that are o	payment which is equ efits, or may otherwise av	ual to the take any

and

c) shall impose upon the Contractor a minimum cost of \$5,000.00 (excluding taxes) for the City inspection, audit or other action as deemed necessary by the City and may deduct or setoff such costs from any payment or payments appropriate) under the Construction (as Contract or under any other contract between the City and the Contractor, or may take any other remedies that are otherwise available at law or in equity. In addition, the Contractor shall be responsible for all the City's costs beyond the minimum cost of \$5,000.00(excluding taxes), which will be payable immediately upon request. 10.1.2. Where a Sub-Contractor has been found to be noncompliant, the Contractor shall also be deemed noncompliant and reported to City Council accordingly. 10.2. First Instance of 10.2.1 Where a Contractor or Sub-Contractor has been

Non-Compliance (formerly section 10.2)	determined to be non-compliant with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule for the first time in a five (5) year period, the Manager of Procurement may require the Contractor or Sub-Contractor to pay for and submit a licensed Public Accountant assurance report, acceptable to the City, which verifies compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule on the next three (3) City Construction Contracts to which the Contractor is awarded or the Sub-Contractor is carried on a Construction Contract.
	10.2.2. Such assurance report shall provide sufficient information and detail to demonstrate compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule

Page 270 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 11 of 16

Corporate Services Department	
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 11 of 16	

Hamilton

	 and shall be submitted after Substantial Performance of the Construction Contract and prior to the release of money owing the Contractor. The City reserves the right to not release part or all of the money owing on a Construction Contract or under any other contract between the City and the Contractor until such assurance report is received and deemed to be satisfactory by the City. 10.2.3. The City reserves the right to treat a first non- compliance as a second or subsequent non- compliance.
10.3. Subsequent Instance of Non- Compliance (formerly section 10.3)	10.3.1. Where a Contractor or Sub-Contractor has been determined to be non-compliant with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule for a second or subsequent time within a five (5) year period from the date of the first determination of non-compliance by the Manager of Procurement, the City, as approved by City Council, may impose a ban and refuse:
	 a) to accept any bid, quotation or proposal from such Contractor or Sub-Contractor, or; b) the use of a Sub-Contractor where the Sub-Contractor was determined to be non-compliant with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedula
	Schedule on any City contract for a period of no less than two (2) years from the date of City Council, approval or as otherwise approved by City Council, save and except any Construction Contract the Contractor may currently have with the City.
	10.3.2. Within five (5) years from the date of the expiration of a ban imposed upon a Contractor or Sub- Contractor for non-compliance with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule, the Manager of Procurement may require the Contractor or Sub- Contractor to pay for and submit a licensed Public Accountant assurance report, acceptable to the City, verifying the previously banned Contractor's or

Page 271 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 12 of 16

Corporate Services Department	վել վե	Appr
Fair Wage Policy		Repo
Page 12 of 16	Hamilton	

Approved by Council:
Report Number:

	Sub-Contractor's compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule on at least three City Construction Contracts where:
	 a) the previously banned Contractor is awarded a Construction Contract or is carried as a Sub- Contractor on a Construction Contract; or
	 b) the previously banned Sub-Contractor is awarded a Construction Contract or is carried as a Sub-contractor on a Construction Contract.
	10.3.3. Any determination of non-compliance with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule during the five- year period may result in a further ban imposed upon the Contractor or Sub-Contractor by City Council on any City Construction Contract.
11.COMPLAINTS	
11.1. Receipt of Complaint (formerly section 11.1 to 11.5)	11.1.1. Any person may submit a complaint to the City, alleging non-compliance with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule, by any Contractor or Sub- Contractor performing construction work on a City Construction Contract. All complaints must be submitted in writing and contain sufficient information in order to investigate the complaint. The Fair Wage Complaint Form may be completed for this purpose
	11.1.2. The complaint must be forwarded to the City's Manager of Procurement via email (procurement@hamilton.ca), regular mail or in person to the Procurement Division, Corporate Services Department, 28 James Street North, 4 th Floor, Hamilton, Ontario, L8R 2K1.
	11.1.3. The complaint may be initiated at any time during the Construction Contract but shall be received by the City no later than 21 calendar days following Substantial Performance of the related Construction Contract.

Page 272 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 13 of 16

Corporate Services Department		
Fair Wage Policy		
Page 13 of 16		

Hamilton

	 11.1.4. Upon receipt of a complaint, the Manager of Procurement will conduct an initial review of the complaint submitted and advise the Initiator of the minimum investigation fee and what the likely cost of the investigation will be. The minimum cost of an investigation will be \$5,000.00 (excluding taxes). The City, at its discretion, may waive the cost of an investigation if an Employee is the Initiator of the complaint. 11.1.5. The Initiator shall confirm to the City in a timely manner whether or not they would like to proceed with the complaint.
11.2. Investigation of the Complaint(formerly section 11.6 to 11.9)	11.2.1. The City shall take such action or investigation as it deems is necessary to determine whether the Contractor or Sub-Contractor involved or named in the complaint is compliant with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule. Such action or investigation, as deemed necessary by the City, to determine compliance or non-compliance shall <u>begin</u> within 30 calendar days of receipt of a completed Fair Wage Complaint Form submitted to the Manager of Procurement.
	11.2.2. Once such action or investigation to determine compliance or non-compliance <u>is completed</u> to the City's satisfaction, the Manager of Procurement shall inform the Initiator and any Contractor or Sub- Contractor involved or named in the complaint of the City's determination of the Contractor's or Sub- Contractor's compliance or non-compliance with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule.
	11.2.3. Whenever a complaint is initiated by a person other than an individual Employee of the Contractor or Sub-Contractor involved or named in the complaint, and said Contractor or Sub-Contractor is found to be compliant with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule with respect to the complaint, the costs associated with the inspections, audits or other action deemed necessary regarding the investigation of the complaint will be borne solely by

Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 14 of 16

Corporate Services Department	վել վե	Appro
Fair Wage Policy		Repor
Page 14 of 16	Hamilton	

	 the Initiator. Until the Initiator has paid the associated costs to the City, the City will not accept any additional complaints from the Initiator. 11.2.4. For the purposes of the City not accepting any additional complaints from the Initiator under the previous section of this Fair Wage Policy, a reference to Initiator shall also include an officer, director, a majority or controlling shareholder, or a member of the Initiator, if a corporation; a partner of the Initiator, if a partnership; any corporation to which the Initiator is an affiliate of or successor to, or an officer, a director or a majority or controlling shareholder of such corporation; and any person with whom the Initiator is not at arm's length within the meaning of the <i>Income Tax Act (Canada)</i>.
11.3. Confidentiality (formerly section 11.10)	11.3.1. The City shall make every effort to safeguard the confidentiality of each Initiator's identity and the City will do its best efforts not to disclose this information. However, this information is subject to the provisions of the <i>Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. M.56.</i> For greater certainty and direction regarding how such issues of confidentiality will be handled and may affect an Initiator's rights, the Initiator should reference the City's policies related to Freedom of Information on the City's website under the Office of the City Clerk at hamilton.ca.
12. APPEALS PROCESS (formerly section 12)	12.1. Contractors, Sub-Contractors or Initiators may feel aggrieved and may seek to dispute the outcome of a Fair Wage complaint process. If anyone wishes to appeal the outcome of a Fair Wage complaint, they shall write to the Director of Procurement Division within five (5) business days of being notified of the Fair Wage complaint outcome and provide a detailed statement outlining the grounds of the appeal and request a meeting with the Director of Procurement.

Page 274 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 15 of 16

Corporate Services Department	
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 15 of 16	

Hamilton

	12.2.	If no resolution satisfactory to both parties has been achieved, the Contractor, Sub-Contractor or Initiator will have three (3) business days from the date of the meeting with the Director of Procurement Division to make a formal written request with the Director of Procurement Division to meet with the General Manager of the Client Department issuing the Construction Contract and the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services regarding their complaint. The General Managers will make the final decision regarding the outcome of the Fair Wage complaint process.
13.APPRENTICES (new section)	13.1.	The City encourages Contractors and Sub- Contractors to hire and train Apprentices under approved apprenticeship programs in Ontario. Apprentices will be assessed based on the appropriate government agency certification criteria and approved program.
	13.2.	Employees reported as Apprentices not properly registered or are utilized at the jobsite in excess of the ration of journeymen permitted under the approved program, must be paid the applicable Total Hourly Compensation Rate for that work. The Contractor or Sub-Contractor shall be required to provide evidence of the Apprentice's registration at any time.
	13.3.	The proper Total Hourly Compensation Rates to be paid to Apprentices are:
		a) as set out in the Fair Wage Schedule; or
		b) where no Apprentice rate or calculation is identified in the Fair Wage Schedule, as specified by a particular industry program in which they are enrolled, expressed as a percentage of the journeyman rate on the wage determination, multiplied by 24%.

Page 275 of 341 Appendix "A" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 16 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 16 of 16



Approved by Council: Report Number:

Hamilton

14. FAIR WAGE SCHEDULE AND UPDATES (formerly section 13)	14.1. Unless otherwise approved by Council, the Manager of Procurement shall update Total Hourly Compensation Rates set out in the Fair Wage Schedule every two years in accordance with the following:		
	 a) based upon the collective hourly wage rates set out in the respective collective agreements from the two-year's preceding the date of the last Fair Wage Schedule update. For example, the updated rates in 2024 shall be based upon the 2022 hourly rates (two years preceding 2024) and shall be held until 2026 (two years after 2024); 		
	 b) calculated by increasing the respective collective agreement's hourly wage rates by no more than 24%; and 		
	c) frozen for the two-year term.		
RELATED DOCUMENTS	The following related documents are referenced in this Policy: <i>1. Fair Wage Schedule</i>		
HISTORY	This policy replaces the former policy named Fair Wage Policy, approved by City Council on June 12, 2019, Report FCS19035/HUR19008		

Page 276 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 1 of 16

Corporate Services Department		
Fair Wage Policy		
Page 1 of 16		

Hamilton A

Fair Wage Policy	<mark>(yello</mark>	ow denotes changes/additions)
1. POLICY STATEMENT (formerly section 1)		Every Contractor and Sub-Contractor shall compensate their Employees in accordance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule on all Construction Contracts with the City. The compensation requirements as set out in the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule are minimum requirements.
2. PURPOSE (new section)	2.1.	The purpose of the City's Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule is to:
		 a) ensure that Contractors and Sub-Contractors pay their Employees reasonable Wages and Benefits for work performed on City of Hamilton Construction Contracts; and
		 b) to create a level playing field in procurement competitions so that no Contractor or Sub- Contractor secures an unfair competitive advantage over other construction employers by paying wages that are below prevailing norms.
3. INTREPRETATION	3.1.	In this Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule:
(formerly section 2)		 a word defined in or importing the singular number has the same meaning when used in the plural number, and vice versa;
		 b) the provisions shall be read with changes of gender or number as the context may require;
		 c) a reference to any Act, by-law, rule, procedure, regulation or to a provision thereof shall be deemed to include a reference to any Act, by- law, rule, procedure, regulation or provision enacted in substitution or amendment thereof;
		 any reference to an officer of the City shall be construed to mean the person holding that office, the designate or delegate of that person.
4. DEFINITIONS	4.1.	Capitalized words and phrases used in this Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule shall have the

Page 277 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 2 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 2 of 16

Hamilton A

(formerly section 3)	following meanings, unless expressly stated otherwise.	
Apprentice	as defined by the Building Opportunities in the Skilled Trades Act, 2021, means an individual who, pursuant to a registered training agreement, is receiving or is to receive training in a trade that is required as part of an apprenticeship program;	
Benefits	shall mean any non-statutory payment to an Employee or non-statutory premiums or contributions paid to provide benefits to an Employee and shall include employer contributions to such items as a pension plan, Registered Retirement Savings Plan (RRSP), medical plan bonus, retention pay or the like and vacation. Benefits do not include legislated payroll deductions such as Canada Pension Plan ("CPP"), Employer Health Tax ("EHT"), Workplace Safety and Insurance Board ("WSIB") or Employment Insurance Canada ("EIC");	
City Council	shall mean the Council of the City of Hamilton;	
City	shall mean the City of Hamilton, its officers, officials, employees and agents;	
Construction Contract	means any construction work awarded to a Contractor of a minimum of \$500,000.00 excluding residential sectors	
	shall mean any construction work awarded to a Contractor that is:	
	a) an "improvement" to the land as defined in the Construction Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.30;	
	 b) has a minimum contract value of \$500,000.00 upon award; and includes: 	
	 i) the industrial, commercial and institutional sector; ii) the sewers and watermains sector; iii) the roads sector; iv) the heavy engineering sector, and v) any Landscaping Work, c) but does not include: 	

Page 278 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 3 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 3 of 16

Hamilton

	 i) the residential sector; ii) snow removal; and iii) maintenance work performed to prevent the normal deterioration of the land, building, structure or works, or to maintain the land, building, structure or works in a normal, functional state. Construction Contracts that exceed the construction contract threshold of \$500,000.00 solely as a result of cohere or determined by the Fair Ware Delivered.
Contractor	change orders are not covered by the Fair Wage Policy.shall mean any person having a Construction Contract
	with the City but does not include any person that only supplies materials for the Construction Contract;
Employee(s)	shall mean those persons employed by the Contractor or Sub-Contractor who perform work identified in the Fair Wage Schedule, for a Construction Contract with the City;
Fair Wage Policy	shall mean the Fair Wage Policy approved by Council;
Fair Wage Schedule	shall mean the Fair Wage Schedule approved by Council, as amended from time to time. The Fair Wage Schedule stipulates the Total Hourly Compensation Rate for Employees;
Hours of Work	shall mean the regular hours of work per day and week and shall include a provision for the payment of overtime beyond such regular hours, as per the Employment Standards Act, 2000, S.O. 2000, c.41, specifically Part VIII Overtime Pay;
Initiator	shall mean any person who submits a complaint to the City alleging non-compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule by any Contractor or Sub- Contractor performing construction work on a City Construction Contract.
Landscaping Work	shall mean the installation or repair of:
	a) <mark>retaining walls;</mark> b) <mark>sidewalk's concrete and or stone pavers;</mark> c) <mark>fencing;</mark>

Page 279 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 4 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 4 of 16

Hamilton

	d) <mark>grading; and</mark>		
	sod installation and tree planting when that work is required as a component within a larger Construction Contract (not a stand alone sod installation and/or tree planting contract).		
Manager of Procurement	shall mean the Manager of <mark>Policy and Contracts,</mark> Procurement Division, or designate.		
Sub-Contractor	shall mean any person performing work on a City Construction Contract for a Contractor, or an agreement with another Sub-Contractor, but does not include any person that only supplies materials for the Construction Contract;		
Substantial Performance	as defined in the Construction Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.30;		
Total Hourly Compensation Rate	shall mean the aggregate amount of Wages and Benefits as stated in the Fair Wage Schedule;		
Wages	shall mean the Employees basic hourly rate, which is paid as earned at the time of undertaking the work.		
5. RESPONSIBILITIES			
5.1. General	5.1.1. The following persons are responsible for fulfilling the responsibilities detailed in this Policy as follows:		
5.2. City Responsibilities (formerly section 4)	5.2.1. The Director of Financial Services the Procurement Division shall periodically review the Fair Wage Policy and recommend to the appropriate standing committee of Council any required amendments to the Fair Wage Policy.		
	5.2.2. The Director of Employee & Labour Relations will prepare and review the Fair Wage Schedule from time to time and recommend to the appropriate standing committee of Council those amendments to the Fair Wage Schedule.		
	5.2.3. The Manager of Procurement shall reference the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule in all City		

Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 5 of 16

			Tage 5 01
Corporate Services Department	սի սի	Approved by Council:	
Fair Wage Policy		Report Number:	
Page 5 of 16			
	Hamilton		

	Construction Contractor The Oit is Decourse (
	Construction Contracts. The City's Procurement website will post the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule and Fair Wage Complaint Form.
	5.2.4. The Manager of Procurement will co-ordinate the preparation of an annual report for the appropriate Standing Committee of Council regarding complaints investigated and resulting audits performed pursuant to the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
	5.2.5. The City shall address a written objection to the administration of the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
	5.2.6. The City is not in any way liable, obligated or responsible to any Employee, Sub-Contractor, Contractor or any other person for the payment of any monies not paid by a Contractor or Sub- Contractor in accordance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule, and the City assumes no responsibility to such Employee, Sub-Contractor, Contractor or any other person for the administration and enforcement of the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
5.3. Ad-Hoc Fair Wage Committee (formerly section 5)	5.3.1. The City shall participate in an ad hoc Fair Wage committee ("Committee") to discuss issues and provide a forum for consultation regarding issues related to the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
	5.3.2. The Committee shall meet on an as required basis.
	5.3.3. The Committee, as determined by the City, shall be comprised, as a minimum, of the following members:
	 a) Director of the Procurement Financial Services Division or designate, b) Director of the Employee & Labour Relations Division or designate, c) A representative from: Hamilton & District Heavy Construction Association,

Page 281 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 6 of 16

Corporate Services Department Fair Wage Policy Page 6 of 16	Hamilton	Approved by Council: Report Number:	
		on-Brantford Ontario,	Building

	 Hamilton-Brantford Ontano, Building and Construction Trades Council, Labourers' International Union of North America, Christian Labour Association of Canada, and Merit OpenShop Contractors Association of Ontario. 	
5.4. Contractor and Sub-Contractor Responsibilities (formerly section 6)	5.4.1. A Contractor shall ultimately be responsible for any violations or non-compliance of the Contractor and Sub-Contractors on its City Construction Contracts.	
	5.4.2. A Contractor is fully responsible for ensuring that <mark>the Contractor and</mark> all Sub-Contractors comply with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.	
	5.4.3. The Contractor or Sub-Contractor shall not be responsible for any increase to the Fair Wage Schedule which occurs after the closing of the Request for Tenders or Request for Proposals for the City Construction Contract awarded to the Contractor.	
	5.4.4. At the commencement of the work, the Contractor shall post a copy of the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule in a prominent location at the City Construction Contract site to enable Employees of the Contractor and Sub-Contractor to review. Alternate methods may be considered, and if approved by the Manager of Procurement, shall be in writing prior to the commencement of the Construction Contract.	
	5.4.5. After Substantial Performance (as defined in the Construction Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. C.30) of the Construction Contract and prior to release of the holdback, for all Construction Contracts with the City, the Contractor shall provide to the City, in a form acceptable to the City, a statutory declaration confirming that the Contractor and its Sub- Contractors complied with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.	

Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 7 of 16

Corporate Services Department	
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 7 of 16	

Hamilton

	5.4.6.	The City reserves the right to withhold or set-off from the Contractor, a minimum of FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$5,000.00) from any final payments under the Construction Contract or under any other contract between the City and the Contractor, until the Contractor's sworn statement of compliance is received and deemed to be satisfactory by the City.
6. COMPLIANCE (formerly section 7)		A Contractor or Sub-Contractors shall be in compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule when the Employee receives a minimum compensation package that includes Wages and Benefits, where the which aggregate amount is not less than the Total Hourly Compensation Rate as set out in the Fair Wage Schedule.
		Employees shall be paid on a "pay by pay basis" every pay period in accordance with Fair Wage Schedule. Alternate methods of payment may be considered, and if approved by the Manager of Procurement, shall be in writing prior to the commencement of the Construction Contract. For example any lump sum pay-out made to the Employee to reconcile money owed at the completion of the Construction Contract is not permitted unless approved in writing by the Manager of Procurement prior to implementation.
		Any lump sum payment approved by the Manager of Procurement is to be made no later than the date of Substantial Performance of the related Construction Contract.
7. RECORDS (formerly section 8)		The Contractor and Sub-Contractor shall keep records of the names, addresses, Wages, Benefits, vacation paid or provided, and hours worked for all of its Employees to demonstrate compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.
		Unless otherwise approved by the City Manager of Procurement, the Contractor and Sub-Contractor shall make these records available for inspection upon request by the City within ten business days.

Page 283 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 8 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 8 of 16

Hamilton

7.3.	In any agreement with a Sub-Contractor, the
	 Contractor shall require the Sub-Contractor to: a) provide written acknowledgment prior to the commencement of construction work that the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule applies;
	 b) keep records of the names, addresses, Wages, Benefits, vacation paid or provided, and hours worked for all of its Employees to demonstrate compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule; and
	c) unless otherwise approved by the City Manager of Procurement, make these records available for inspection upon request by the City within ten business days.
7.4.	If a Contractor or Sub-Contractor enters into a contract for service with a self-employed independent contractor to perform work identified in the Fair Wage Schedule, the Contractor or Sub-Contractor shall keep the following records to demonstrate that the self-employed independent contractor is not an Employee of the Contractor or Sub-Contractor:
	a) duties and responsibilities of both parties;
	 b) self-employed independent contractor invoices;
	 c) self-employed independent contractor HST registration number;
	 self-employed independent contractor Workplace Safety and Insurance Board and insurance certificates; and
	 e) self-employed independent contractor trade certificates or licences which relate to the Construction Contract.
	Should there be concerns regarding the self- employed independent contractor's degree of dependency from the Contractor or Sub- Contractor, further records shall be made available to demonstrate that an employer employee relationship does not exist between the

Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 9 of 16

		1 490 0 01
Corporate Services Department	սի սի	Approved by Council:
Fair Wage Policy		Report Number:
Dego 0 of 16		
Page 9 of 16	Hamilton	

	Contractor or Sub-Contractor and a self- employed independent contractor. The guideline issued by Canada Revenue Agency RC4110 "Employee or Self-Employed" may be used to assist in making a final determination.	
8. INSPECTIONS AND AUDITS (formerly section 9)	8.1. The City retains the right to inspect and audit the records of the Contractor or Sub-Contractor (as referred to in Section 7. Records) at any time during the period of the Construction Contract and at any time within five (5) years after the date of Substantial Performance of the Construction Contract, regardless if a complaint has been received or not.	
9. ASSURANCE REPORTS (formerly section 10.4 and 10.5)	9.1. If the Contractor or Sub-Contractor fails to keep accurate records, the Contractor or Sub-Contractor will pay a licensed Public Accountant to provide an assurance report, acceptable to the City, which clearly demonstrates compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule.	
	9.2. Unless otherwise approved by the Manager of Procurement, assurance reports shall be completed and provided to the City within sixty days of City's notification to the Contractor or Sub-Contractor to provide. Failure to provide the assurance reports within the required timeline shall be deemed to be a material non-compliance with the Fair Wage Policy.	
10.CONSEQUENCES OF NON- COMPLIANCE		
10.1. General (formerly section 10)	10.1.1. Upon determining that a Contractor or Sub- Contractor is non-compliant with the Fair Wage Policy <mark>and or</mark> Fair Wage Schedule, the Manager of Procurement:	
	a) shall advise the Contractor, in writing, that it has been determined that the Contractor or Sub- Contractor is non-compliant and that the Contractor or Sub-Contractor is required to rectify the non-compliance(s) and provide	

Page 285 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 10 of 16

Corporate Services Department
Fair Wage Policy
Page 10 of 16

Hamilton

	written proof of the same, in a form satisfactory to the City, within ten <mark>(10)</mark> business days;
	and
	 b) may withhold making payment to the Contractor in an amount which is equal to the shortfall in Wages or Benefits, or may take any other remedies that are otherwise available at law or in equity;
	and
	c) shall impose upon the Contractor a minimum cost of \$5,000.00 (excluding taxes) for the City inspection, audit or other action as deemed necessary by the City and may deduct or set-off such costs from any payment or payments (as appropriate) under this the Construction Contract or under any other contract between the City and the Contractor owed by the City to the Contractor, or may take any other remedies that are otherwise available at law or in equity. In addition, the Contractor shall be responsible for all the City's costs beyond the minimum cost of \$5,000.00(excluding taxes), which will be payable immediately upon request.
	10.1.2. Where a Sub-Contractor has been found to be non- compliant, the Contractor shall also be deemed non- compliant and reported to City Council accordingly.
10.2. First Instance of Non-Compliance (formerly section 10.2)	 10.2.1. Where a Contractor or Sub-Contractor has been determined to be non-compliant with the Fair Wage Policy and or Fair Wage Schedule for the first time in a five (5) year period, the Manager of Procurement may require the Contractor or Sub-Contractor to pay for and submit a licensed Public Accountant assurance report, acceptable to the City, which verifies compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule on the next three (3) City Construction Contracts to which the Contractor is awarded or the Sub-Contractor is carried on a Construction Contract.

Page 286 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 11 of 16

Corporate Services Department	
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 11 of 16	

Hamilton

	10.2.2. Such assurance report shall provide sufficient information and detail to demonstrate compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule and shall be submitted after Substantial Performance (as defined in the Construction Act, <u>R.S.O. 1990, c. C.30)</u> of the Construction Contract and prior to the release of money owing the Contractor. The City reserves the right to not release part or all of the money owing on a Construction Contract or under any other contract between the City and the Contractor until such assurance report is received and deemed to be satisfactory by the City.
	10.2.3. The City reserves the right to treat a first non- compliance as a second or subsequent non- compliance.
10.3. Subsequent Instance of Non- Compliance (formerly section 10.3)	10.3.1. Where a Contractor or Sub-Contractor has been determined to be non-compliant with the Fair Wage Policy and or Fair Wage Schedule for a second or subsequent time within a five (5) year period from the date of the first determination of non-compliance by the Manager of Procurement, the City, as approved by City Council, may impose a ban and refuse:
	 a) to accept any bid, quotation or proposal from such Contractor or Sub-Contractor, or;
	b) the use of a Sub-Contractor where the Sub- Contractor was determined to be non-compliant with the Fair Wage Policy <mark>and or</mark> Fair Wage Schedule
	on any City contract for a period of no less than two (2) years from the date of City Council, approval or as otherwise approved by City Council, save and except any Construction Contract the Contractor may currently have with the City.
	10.3.2. Within five (5) years from the date of the expiration of a ban imposed upon a Contractor or Sub- Contractor for non-compliance with the Fair Wage

Page 287 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 12 of 16

Corporate Services Department	
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 12 of 16	

Approved by Council: Report Number:

Hamilton

	Policy and or Fair Wage Schedule, the Manager of Procurement may require the Contractor or Sub- Contractor to pay for and submit a licensed Public Accountant assurance report, acceptable to the City, verifying the previously banned Contractor's or Sub-Contractor's compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and Fair Wage Schedule on at least three City Construction Contracts where:
	 a) the previously banned Contractor is awarded a Construction Contract or is carried as a Sub- Contractor on a Construction Contract; or
	b) the previously banned Sub-Contractor is awarded a Construction Contract or is carried as a Sub-contractor on a Construction Contract.
	10.3.3. Any determination of non-compliance with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule during the five- year period may result in a further ban imposed upon the Contractor or Sub-Contractor by City Council on any City Construction Contract.
11.COMPLAINTS	
11.1. Receipt of Complaint (formerly section 11.1 to 11.5)	11.1.1. Any person may submit a complaint to the City, alleging non-compliance with the Fair Wage Policy or Fair Wage Schedule, by any Contractor or Sub- Contractor performing construction work on a City Construction Contract. All complaints must be submitted in writing and contain sufficient information in order to investigate the complaint. The Fair Wage Complaint Form may be completed for this purpose
	11.1.2. The complaint must be forwarded to the City's Manager of Procurement via email (procurement@hamilton.ca), regular mail or in person to the Procurement Division, Corporate Services Department, 28 James Street North, 4 th Floor, Hamilton, Ontario, L8R 2K1.

Page 288 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 13 of 16

Corporate Services Department	դի դի
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 13 of 16	Hamilton

Approved by Council: Report Number:

	11.1.3. The complaint may be initiated at any time during the Construction Contract but shall be received by the City no later than 21 calendar days following Substantial Performance of the related Construction Contract.
	11.1.4. Upon receipt of a complaint, the Manager of Procurement will conduct an initial review of the complaint submitted and advise the Initiator of the minimum investigation fee and what the likely cost of the investigation will be. The minimum cost of an investigation will be \$5,000.00 (excluding taxes). The City, at its discretion, may waive the cost of an investigation if an Employee is the Initiator of the complaint.
	11.1.5. The Initiator shall confirm to the City in a timely manner whether or not they would like to proceed with the complaint.
11.2. Investigation of the Complaint (formerly section 11.6 to 11.9)	11.2.1. The City shall take such action or investigation as it deems is necessary to determine whether the Contractor or Sub-Contractor involved or named in the complaint is compliant with the Fair Wage Policy and or Fair Wage Schedule. Such action or investigation, as deemed necessary by the City, to determine compliance or non-compliance shall begin within 30 calendar days of receipt of a completed Fair Wage Complaint Form submitted to the Manager of Procurement.
	11.2.2. Once such action or investigation to determine compliance or non-compliance <u>is completed</u> to the City's satisfaction, the Manager of Procurement shall inform the Initiator and any Contractor or Sub- Contractor involved or named in the complaint of the City's determination of the Contractor's or Sub- Contractor's compliance or non-compliance with the Fair Wage Policy and or Fair Wage Schedule.
	11.2.3. Whenever a complaint is initiated by a person other than an individual Employee of the Contractor or Sub-Contractor involved or named in the complaint,
Page 289 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 14 of 16

Corporate Services Department	
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 14 of 16	

Approved by Council: Report Number:

Hamilton

	and said Contractor or Sub-Contractor is found to be compliant with the Fair Wage Policy and or Fair Wage Schedule with respect to the complaint, the costs associated with the inspections, audits or other action deemed necessary regarding the investigation of the complaint will be borne solely by the Initiator. Until the Initiator has paid the associated costs to the City, the City will not accept any additional complaints from the Initiator.
	11.2.4. For the purposes of the City not accepting any additional complaints from the Initiator under section 11.8 the previous section of this Fair Wage Policy, a reference to Initiator shall also include an officer, director, a majority or controlling shareholder, or a member of the Initiator, if a corporation; a partner of the Initiator, if a partnership; any corporation to which the Initiator is an affiliate of or successor to, or an officer, a director or a majority or controlling shareholder of such corporation; and any person with whom the Initiator is not at arm's length within the meaning of the <i>Income Tax Act (Canada)</i> .
11.3. Confidentiality (formerly section 11.10)	11.3.1. The City shall make every effort to safeguard the confidentiality of each Initiator's identity and the City will do its best efforts not to disclose this information. However, this information is subject to the provisions of the <i>Municipal Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act, R.S.O. 1990, c. M.56.</i> For greater certainty and direction regarding how such issues of confidentiality will be handled and may affect an Initiator's rights, the Initiator should reference the City's policies related to Freedom of Information on the City's website under the Office of the City Clerk at hamilton.ca.
12. APPEALS PROCESS (formerly section 12)	12.1. Contractors, Sub-Contractors or Initiators may feel aggrieved and may seek to dispute the outcome of a Fair Wage complaint process. If anyone wishes to appeal the outcome of a Fair Wage complaint, they shall write to the Manager Director of Procurement Division within five (5) business days of being

Page 290 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 15 of 16

		raye 10 01 R
Corporate Services Department	սի սի	Approved by Council:
Fair Wage Policy		Report Number:
Page 15 of 16	Hamilton	

	 notified of the Fair Wage complaint outcome and provide a detailed statement outlining the grounds of the appeal and request a meeting with the Manager Director of Procurement. 12.2. If no resolution satisfactory to both parties has been achieved, the Contractor, Sub-Contractor or Initiator will have three (3) business days from the date of the meeting with the Manager Director of Procurement Division to make a formal written request with the Manager Director of Procurement Division to meet with the General Manager of the Client Department issuing the Construction Contract and the General Manager of Finance and Corporate Services regarding their complaint. The General Managers will make the final decision regarding the outcome of the Fair Wage complaint process.
13.APPRENTICES (new section)	13.1. The City encourages Contractors and Sub- Contractors to hire and train Apprentices under approved apprenticeship programs in Ontario. Apprentices will be assessed based on the appropriate government agency certification criteria and approved program.
	13.2. Employees reported as Apprentices not properly registered or are utilized at the jobsite in excess of the ration of journeymen permitted under the approved program, must be paid the applicable Total Hourly Compensation Rate for that work. The Contractor or Sub-Contractor shall be required to provide evidence of the Apprentice's registration at any time.
	 13.3. The proper Total Hourly Compensation Rates to be paid to Apprentices are: a) as set out in the Fair Wage Schedule; or b) where no Apprentice rate or calculation is identified in the Fair Wage Schedule, as specified by a particular industry program in which they are enrolled, expressed as a

Page 291 of 341 Appendix "B" to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 16 of 16

Corporate Services Department	
Fair Wage Policy	
Page 16 of 16	

Hamilton

Approved by Council: Report Number:

	percentage of the journeyman rate on the wage determination, multiplied by 24%.	
14.FAIR WAGE SCHEDULE AND UPDATES	The Fair Wage Schedule shall be automatically updated every three years.	
(formerly section 13)	14.1. Unless otherwise approved by Council, the Manager of Procurement shall update Total Hourly Compensation Rates set out in the Fair Wage Schedule shall be every two years in accordance with the following:	
	 a) based upon the collective hourly wage rates set out in the respective collective agreements from the two-year's preceding the date of the last Fair Wage Schedule update. For example, the updated rates in 2024 shall be based upon the 2022 hourly rates (two years preceding 2024) and shall be held until 2026 (two years after 2024); 	
	 b) calculated by increasing the respective collective agreement's hourly wage rates by no more than 24%; and 	
	c) frozen for the <mark>two</mark> -year term.	
RELATED DOCUMENTS	The following related documents are referenced in this Policy: <i>1. Fair Wage Schedule</i>	
HISTORY	This policy replaces the former policy named Fair Wage Policy, approved by City Council on June 12, 2019, Report FCS19035/HUR19008	

Fair Wage Schedule

Road Work	
Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Excavators, draglines, gradalls, clams (on site), Grader "A", fine grade bulldozer, Pitman type cranes, self-erecting tower cranes, Hydra-lift truck mounted hydraulic cranes, boomtruck.	\$56.42
Clams (yard operation), mechanics, welders.	\$55.43
Curb Machine, self-propelled power drills, hydraulic, etc., bulldozer operators, all front-end loader operators, scrapers (self-propelled), dozer 8l5 type, off highway vehicles and concrete paver, pulverizer, asphalt grinder and asphalt planer, Mixer man on asphalt plant.	\$55.01
Engineers on boilers (with papers), asphalt spreader (self-propelled), asphalt roller, Shuttlebuggy.	\$54.86
Farm and industrial type tractor operators with excavating attachments, rubber-tire backhoes, grader operator 'B', snippers, hydro axe and tree farmer, feller buncher, hydro shear, trenching machines, caisson boring machines under 25 H.P., lubrication unit operator, skid steer loaders, Kubota skid steer loaders, Kubota bobcat type excavators and those less than 65 H.P. and similar types, skidder type equipment with hydraulic and cable attachments.	\$54.68
Boiler fireman (without papers), burnerman on asphalt plant.	\$54.19
Roller Operator (asphalt) 'B', mobile sweeper.	\$53.80
Farm and industrial type tractor, (towed and self- propelled compaction units), grade rollerman, including self-propelled rubber-tired grade rollers.	\$53.49
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 0 - 1,000 Hours: 65% of the current base rate for the machine which they are operating.	
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 1,001 - 2,000 Hours: 75% of the current base rate for their classification.	
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 2,001 - 2,500 Hours: 85% of the current base rate for their classification.	
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 2,500 Hours and all related training: 100% at the rate of pay in the appropriate schedule.	

"Open-Cut" Work for Sewer and Watermain Construction		
Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate	
Engineers operating cranes, clams, excavators, derricks, pile-drivers, gradalls, mobile cranes, self-erecting tower cranes, caisson boring machines 25 H.P. and over, side-booms and similar equipment, Pitman type cranes. Grader Operator "A" and fine grade bulldozer operator, Hydra- Lift truck mounted hydraulic cranes, boom truck drivers.	\$57.20	
Heavy Duty Field mechanics and equipment repair welders.	\$55.90	
Operators of bulldozers, tractors, scrapers, emcos, grader "B", all frontend loaders or similar equipment, farm and industrial tractors with excavating attachments, rubber-tire backhoes, trenching machines, caisson boring machines under 25 H.P., snippers, hydro axe and tree farmer, feller buncher, hydro shear, lubrication unit operator, skid steer loaders, Kubota skid steer loaders, Kubota Bobcat type excavators and those less than 65 H.P. and similar types, skidder type equipment with hydraulic and cable attachments, curb machines and self- propelled power drills, hydraulic, etc., off highway vehicles.	\$55.78	
Servicemen on excavators, compressors, pumps, self-propelled rollers, operators of 5 or more heaters.	\$54.40	
Oilers, greasers, mechanics helpers - Third Year	\$53.90	
Oilers, greasers, mechanics helpers - Second Year	\$52.66	
Oilers, greasers, mechanics helpers - First Year	\$51.42	
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 0 - 1,000 Hours: 65% of the current base rate for the machine which they are operating.		
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 1,001 - 2,000 Hours: 75% of the current base rate for their classification.		
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 2,001 - 2,500 Hours: 85% of the current base rate for their classification.		
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 2,500 Hours and all related training: 100% at the rate of pay in the appropriate schedule.		

"Open-Cut" Work for Sewer and Watermain Construction

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 3 of 31

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Engineers operating all hoists hoisting materials out of shafts, tuggers, and derricks with lifting capacity over 2,000 pounds, self-erecting tower cranes, compressor house set-up man, selfpropelled power drills, hydraulic, etc.	\$57.16
Heavy Duty Field Mechanics.	\$56.17
Engineers operating shaft hoist, tuggers, and derricks, 2,000 pounds or less, compressor operators 500 CFM or over.	\$56.05
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 0 - 1,000 Hours: 65% of the current base rate for the machine which they are operating.	
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 1,001 - 2,000 Hours: 75% of the current base rate for their classification.	
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 2,001 - 2,500 Hours: 85% of the current base rate for their classification.	
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 2,500 Hours and all related training: 100% at the rate of pay in the appropriate schedule.	

Flushing, CCTV Inspection, Hydro Excavation and Air Excavation

Tunnel Work

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Hydro/Air Excavation Tradesperson, Straight Vac Tradesperson	\$44.86
Helper: Hydro/Air Excavation Tradesperson, Straight Vac Tradesperson	\$38.89
CCTV, Combo Flushing, Pipe Cleaning	\$42.43
Hydro/Air Excavation Tradesperson, Straight Vac Tradesperson	\$41.30
Helper: Hydro/Air Excavation Tradesperson, Straight Vac Tradesperson	\$35.70
CCTV, Combo Flushing, Pipe Cleaning	\$41.30
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 0 - 1,000 Hours: 65% of the current base rate for the machine which they are operating.	
Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 1,001 - 2,000 Hours: 75% of the current base rate for their classification.	

Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 2,001 - 2,500 Hours: 85% of the current base rate for their classification.

Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentice/Trainee 2,500 Hours and all related training: 100% at the rate of pay in the appropriate schedule.

Crane and Equipment Rental

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Engineers operating: cranes with a manufacturer's rating of over 164 to 219 tons capacity.	\$61.58
Engineers operating: cranes with a manufacturer's rating of 100 to 164 tons capacity, 1st Class Stationary Engineers, and skyway, climbing, hammerhead and kangaroo and GCI type cranes.	\$60.77
Engineers operating: all convention and hydraulic type cranes, save and except those set out in Article 1.1 above, crawler cranes, clams, shovels, gradalls, backhoes, draglines, dredges - suction and dipper, mobile truck cranes including Galleon and Austin-Western type, and all rough terrain type hydraulic cranes, 15 ton capacity and over boom truck, gantry cranes, creter cranes, side booms, power hoist, mine hoist, chimney hoist, overhead cranes, tower type man and material hoists, piledrivers, caisson boring machines and drill rigs. Heavy duty mechanics, qualified maintenance welders, and 2nd Class Stationary Engineers. Mobile concrete pump 42 metre boom and over. Self- erecting cranes 15 ton capacity and over. Carry Deck cranes 15 ton capacity and over, telescopic belt conveyors.	\$59.71
Boom trucks of 10 ton to less than 15 ton capacity. Self-erecting cranes 10 ton to less than 15 ton capacity. Carry Deck cranes 10 ton to less than 15 ton capacity.	\$59.11
Operators of: bullmoose, Boom trucks of less than 10 ton capacity, air compressor feeding low pressure into air locks, bulldozers (including 815 type), tractors, scrapers, graders, emcos, overhead and front end loaders, industrial tractors with attachments, trenching machines, mucking machines, mobile concrete pumps save and except those set out in Article 1.2 (a) above, side loaders, end booms, mobile pressure grease units, elevators, and Dinky locomotive type engines. 3rd Class Stationary Engineers. Kubota Type Backhoe and Skid Steer Loader. Self-erecting cranes less than 10 ton capacity. Carry Deck cranes less than 10 ton capacity. Spider-type cranes.	\$57.35

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 5 of 31

Operators of: batching and crushing plants, 6" discharge pumps and over, air tuggers, wellpoint systems and all other types of dewatering systems, concrete mixers of one cubic yard and over, fork lifts, portable air compressors over 150 C.F.M., boom trucks, "A" Frames, post hole augers, and off-highway aggregate haulers; gas, diesel or steam driven generators over 50 H.P. (portable). Servicemen and 4th Class Stationary Engineers. Rock trucks, telehandlers, hydrovacs.	\$55.27
Operators of: Rollers on grade work, driver mounted compaction units, concrete conveyors, and concrete pumps. Firemen and attendants for forced air, gas or oil burning temporary heating units of 500,000 BTU or over per hour; or five (5) or more heating units on the same job site; 2nd year mechanic's helper and signalman.	\$52.92
Operators of: Pumps under 6" discharge where three (3) or more pumps are employed on the same job site, and driver mounted power sweeper. 1st year mechanic's helper, truck crane oiler drivers, and oilers.	\$52.07
Engineers operating large cranes 220 to 299 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$1.55
Engineers operating large cranes 300 to 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$3.10
Engineers operating large cranes over 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate	\$4.96
Engineers operating large cranes 750 tons capacity and over - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$6.20
Engineers operating 52 metre boom and over - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$2.48
Engineers operating high pressure stationary concrete pumps and placing booms including pumps working underground - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$2.48

Steel Erection or Mechanical Installations

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Engineers operating: cranes with a manufacturer's rating of over 164 to 219 tons capacity.	\$61.45
Engineers operating: cranes with a manufacturer's rating of 100 to 164 tons capacity, 1st Class Stationary Engineers, and skyway, climbing, hammerhead and kangaroo and GCI type cranes.	\$60.65

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 6 of 31

	0
Engineers operating: all conventional & hydraulic type cranes, save and except those set out in Article 1.1 above, crawler cranes, clams, shovels, gradalls, backhoes, draglines, dredges - suction and dipper, mobile truck cranes including Galleon and Austin-Western type, and all rough terrain type hydraulic cranes, 15 ton capacity and over boom truck, gantry cranes, creter cranes, side booms, power hoist, mine hoist, chimney hoist, overhead cranes, tower type man and material hoists, piledrivers, caisson boring machines and drill rigs. Heavy duty mechanics, qualified maintenance welders, and 2nd Class Stationary Engineers. Self-erecting cranes 15 ton capacity and over. Carry Deck cranes 15 ton capacity and over.	\$59.58
Boom trucks of 10 ton to less than 15 ton capacity. Self-erecting cranes 10 ton to less than 15 ton capacity. Carry Deck cranes 10 ton to less than 15 ton capacity.	\$59.01
Operators of: bullmoose, Boom trucks of less than 10 ton capacity, air compressor feeding low pressure into air locks, bulldozers (including 815 type), tractors, scrapers, graders, emcos, overhead and front-end loaders, industrial tractors with attachments, trenching machines, mucking machines, mobile concrete pumps, side loaders, end booms, mobile pressure grease units, elevators, and Dinky locomotive type engines. 3rd Class Stationary Engineers. Kubota Type Backhoe and Skid Steer Loader. Self-erecting cranes less than 10 ton capacity. Carry Deck cranes less than 10 ton capacity. Spider-type cranes.	\$58.22
Operators of: batching and crushing plants, 6" discharge pumps and over, air tuggers, wellpoint systems and all other types of dewatering systems, concrete mixers of one cubic yard and over, fork lifts, portable air compressors over 150 C.F.M., boom trucks "A" Frames, post hole augers, and off-highway aggregate haulers; gas, diesel or steam driven generators over 50 H.P. (portable). Servicemen and 4th Class Stationary Engineers. Rock trucks, telehandlers, hydrovacs.	\$56.57
Operators of: Rollers on grade work, driver mounted compaction units, concrete conveyors, and concrete pumps. Firemen and attendants for forced air, gas or oil burning temporary heating units of 500,000 BTU or over per hour; or five (5) or more heating units on the same job site; 2nd year mechanic's helper and signalman.	\$53.07
Operators of: Pumps under 6" discharge where three (3) or more pumps are employed on the same job site, and driver mounted power sweeper. 1st year mechanic's helper, truck crane oiler drivers, and oilers.	\$52.04
Engineers operating large cranes 220 to 299 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$1.55
Engineers operating large cranes 300 to 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$3.10
Engineers operating large cranes over 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$4.96

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 7 of 31

Engineers operating large cranes 750 tons capacity and over - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$6.20
Engineers operating highrise placing booms and pumps working underground - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$1.86
Engineers operating mobile concrete pumps 52 metre boom and over - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$2.48

Foundation, Piling and Caisson Boaring

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Engineers operating: cranes with drill attachments, cranes with piling lead attachments, cranes with vibratory hammers, rotary drill rigs with a manufacturer's rated torque of greater than 270 kNm.	\$60.10
Engineers operating: service cranes, including but not limited to, carry deck cranes, self-erecting cranes and spider-type cranes, rotary drill rigs with a manufacturer's rated torque from 190 kNm to 270 kNm, mechanics, churn drills, power mounted drills.	\$58.60
Engineers operating: excavator mounted vibratory hammers, tie back machines, rotary drill rigs with a manufacturer's rated torque of less than 190 kNm, welders, winches of all descriptions	\$57.66
Front-end loaders, bulldozers (including 815 type) and similar equipment, boom trucks, Kubota type backhoe, skid steer loader, rock trucks, hydrovacs, *Excavator, Tractor Loader Backhoe.	\$57.08
Tuggers, forklifts, telehandlers.	\$54.35
Drillers, drillers helpers	\$52.87
Mechanics helpers	\$51.82
Engineers operating large cranes 220 to 299 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$1.55
Engineers operating large cranes 300 to 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$3.10
Engineers operating large cranes over 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$4.96
Engineers operating large cranes 750 tons capacity and over - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$6.20

|--|

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Engineers operating: draglines, cranes including but not limited to Self- erecting Cranes and Carry Deck Cranes. Heavy Duty Mechanics. Spider-type Cranes.	\$57.04
Engineers operating: shovels, backhoes, hoptoes, gradalls and similar equipment, whip hammer, power mounted drills, *fine grade bulldozers.	\$56.44
Welders.	\$56.63
Operators of tractors, scrapers, emcos, graders, overhead loaders, front-end loaders, industrial tractors with excavating attachments, compressor operators. Kubota Type Backhoe and Skid Steer Loader. Rock trucks, Hydrovacs.	\$55.61
Mechanic's helpers and servicemen	\$53.15
Oiler-Grademen and Oiler-Drivers.	\$52.58
Compactors.	\$52.69
Engineers operating large cranes 220 to 299 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$1.55
Engineers operating large cranes 300 to 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$3.10
Engineers operating large cranes over 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$4.96
Engineers operating large cranes 750 tons capacity and over - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$6.20

Hamilton Building and Construction Work

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Engineers operating: cranes with a manufacturer's rating of over 164 to 219 tons capacity.	\$60.12
Engineers operating: cranes with a manufacturer's rating of 100 to 164 tons capacity, 1st Class Stationary Engineers, and skyway, climbing, hammerhead and kangaroo and GCI type cranes.	\$59.56
Engineers operating: all conventional and hydraulic type cranes, save and except those set out in Article 1.1 above, 15 ton capacity and over boom truck, clams, shovels, gradalls, backhoes, draglines, piledrivers, all power derricks, gantry cranes, caisson boring machines (over 25 HP), and similar drill rigs, mine hoists, and all similar equipment working on land or water, overhead cranes, chimney hoists, multiple drum hoists, single drum hoists (over 12 stories), single drum hoists of manual friction and brake type, and all similar equipment, dredges - suction and dipper, hydraulic jacking equipment on vertical slip forms, hydraulic jacking poles, creter cranes, and hydraulic skoopers. heavy duty mechanics, qualified welders and 2nd Class Stationary Engineers and self-propelled hydraulic drills. Self-erecting cranes 15 ton capacity and over. Carry Deck cranes 15 ton capacity and over.	\$58.63
Pitman type cranes of 10 ton to less than 15 ton capacity. Self-erecting cranes 10 ton to less than 15 ton capacity. Carry Deck cranes 10 ton to less than 15 ton capacity.	\$58.03
Operators of: air tuggers used for installation of vessels, tanks, machinery, and for steel erection; side booms on land or water; man and material hoist and single drum hoists 12 stories and under not of a manual friction and brake type; elevators, monorails, bullmoose type equipment of 5 ton capacity or over, air compressor feeding low pressure into air locks, tunnel mole. 3rd Class Stationary Engineer. Self-erecting cranes less than 10 ton capacity. Carry Deck cranes less than 10 ton capacity. Spider-type cranes.	\$57.45
Operators of: bulldozers (including 815 type), tractors, scrapers, graders, emcos, overhead and front-end loaders, side loaders, industrial tractors with excavating attachments, trenching machines, and all similar equipment, mobile concrete pumps, Pitman type cranes under 10 ton capacity, mobile pressure grease units, mucking machines, hydraulically operated utility pole hole digger, and Dinky locomotive type engines. 4th Class Stationary Engineers. Kubota Type Backhoe and Skid Steer Loader. Rock trucks, Hydrovacs.	\$57.24

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 10 of 31

Operators of: batching and crushing plants, 6" discharge pumps and over, wellpoint systems and all similar systems, concrete mixers of 1 cubic yard and over, gas, diesel, or steam driven generators over 50 HP (portable), fork lifts over 8' lifting height, air tuggers except those in Group 1.3, caisson boring machines (25 HP and under), drill rigs, post hole diggers, portable air compressors 150 CFM and over, and concrete pumps. Signalman, telehandlers.	\$54.34
Operators of: boom trucks, "A"Frames, driver mounted compaction units, bullmoose type equipment under 5 ton capacity, fork lifts 8' and under in lifting height and conveyors. Firemen. Permanent automatically controlled elevators on Commercial and Institutional buildings.	\$53.11
Operators of: Pumps under 6" discharge where three (3) or more pumps are employed on the same job site, hydraulic jacking equipment for underground operations, portable air compressors under 150 CFM where attendant is required, and driver mounted power sweepers. Attendants for forced air, gas, or oil burning temporary heating units of 500,000 BTU's or over per hour, or, five (5) or more on the same job site, oilers, oiler-drivers, and mechanics helpers.	\$51.62
2nd Year:	\$49.44
1st Year:	\$46.80
Engineers operating large cranes 220 to 299 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$1.55
Engineers operating large cranes 300 to 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$3.10
Engineers operating large cranes over 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$4.96
Engineers operating large cranes 750 tons capacity and over - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$6.20
Engineers operating highrise placing booms and pumps working underground - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$1.86
Engineers operating mobile concrete pumps 52 metre boom and over - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$2.48

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 11 of 31

Excavation and Site Preparation

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Engineers operating: draglines, cranes including but not limited to Self- erecting Cranes and Carry Deck Cranes. Heavy Duty Mechanics. Spider-type Cranes.	\$57.03
Engineers operating: shovels, backhoes, hoptoes, gradalls and similar equipment, whip hammer, power mounted drill.	\$56.22
Welders.	\$56.63
Operators of: bulldozers (including 815 type), tractors, scrapers, emcos, graders, overhead loaders, front-end loaders, industrial tractors with excavating attachments, compressor operators. Kubota Type Backhoe and Skid Steer Loader. Rock trucks, Hydrovacs.	\$55.39
Mechanic's helpers and Servicemen.	\$53.15
Oiler-Grademen and Oiler-Drivers.	\$52.58
Compactors.	\$52.69
Engineers operating large cranes 220 to 299 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$1.55
Engineers operating large cranes 300 to 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$3.10
Engineers operating large cranes over 499 tons capacity - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$4.96
Engineers operating large cranes 750 tons capacity and over - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$6.20
Engineers operating highrise placing booms and pumps working underground - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$1.86
Engineers operating mobile concrete pumps 52 metre boom and over - rate per hour above the applicable rate:	\$2.48

Apprentices

Work Performed	
----------------	--

Indentured Apprentices (except Tower Crane Apprentices) 0 to 2,000 hours worked: 50% of Licensed Journeyman Base Rate

Indentured Apprentices (except Tower Crane Apprentices) 2,001 to 4,000 hours worked: 65% of Licensed Journeyman Base Rate

Indentured Apprentices (except Tower Crane Apprentices) 4,001 to 6,000 hours worked: 80% of Licensed Journeyman Base Rate

Indentured Tower Crane Apprentices 0 to 2,000 hours worked: 50% of Licensed Journeyman Base Rate

Indentured Tower Crane Apprentices 2,001 to 3,000 hours worked: 75% of Licensed Journeyman Base Rate

Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentices 0 to 1,000 Hours: 50% of the current base rate for the machine which they are operating.

Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentices 1,001 - 2,000 Hours: 60% of the current base rate for their classification.

Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentices 2,001 - 2,500 Hours: 75% of the current base rate for their classification.

Heavy Equipment Operator Apprentices 2,500 Hours and all related training: 100% at the rate of pay in the Collective Agreement.

Concrete Pump Operator Apprentices 0 to 1,200 hours worked: 50% of the hourly wage rate as set out in Article 1.3 and Schedule "A".

Concrete Pump Operator Apprentices 1,201 to 2,000 hours worked: 75% of the hourly wage rate as set out in Article 1.3 and Schedule "A".

Labourers - Sewer Watermain, Roads Building Paving, Parking Lots, Bridge Rehab

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Labourer	\$46.18
Skilled Labourer	\$46.70
Crawler Type Drillman, Powderman	\$49.43
Catch Basin Constructors	\$47.44

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002	
Page 13 of 31	

Asphalt Raker, Pipelayers, Trenchless Tech. Carpenters, Cement Finishers	\$48.10
Man Hole Valve Chamber Constructors	\$47.89
Rodman Reinforcing Installers, Curb-setters, Bricksetters, Stonepaving	\$47.14
Working Foreperson	\$49.62
Watchman Six Shifts Per Week, (10) hours per shift (Weekly)	\$29.87
Traffic Control Person	\$43.23

Labourers - Bridge Building, Underpasses, Overpasses, Pedestrian retaining walls connected with dams etc.

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Labourer	\$46.97
Skilled Labourer	\$47.52
Powderman, Crawler Type Driller	\$51.04
Carpenters	\$48.91
Rodman	\$48.26
Concrete Finisher	\$48.66
Piling Driving (All types Operation)	\$47.64
Working Foreperson	\$50.42
Watchman Six Shifts Per Week, (10) hours per shift (Weekly)	\$29.87
Traffic Control Person	\$42.61

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 14 of 31

Labourers - Mini	ng and Tunn	eling Operation
------------------	-------------	-----------------

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Labourer	\$47.69
Underground Labourer, Pipelayer, Reinforced Concrete Worker, Skilled	\$48.42
Concrete Leader	\$48.72
Pumpman (3" Disc. and Under), Deck Man, Pit Bottom Man, Signal Man	\$48.05
Pile Driving all types and all Operations related thereto	\$48.84
Mucker and Miner Helper, Grout Machine Man, Diamond Drill, Welder	\$49.04
Shield Driver and Mole Driver, Lead Miner	\$49.34
Powderman	\$49.41
Fence Erector	\$49.29
Working Foreperson	\$49.71
Watchman and Dryman	\$47.06

Labourers - Hamilton ICI

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
General Labourer, Form Worker, Concrete Worker, Jackhammer Operator, Vibrator Operator, Forklift Operator, Pump Operator, Compressor Operator, Truck Driver, Barman, Mixerman, Pumpman, Precast Erector, Equipment Operator and Plasterers Tender.	\$41.55
Forklift Operator/Swamper	\$43.41
Foreperson	\$45.71
Apprentice: 70% of applicable rate for the first 800 hours	\$29.09

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 15 of 31

Apprentice: 80% of applicable rate for the next 800 hours	\$33.24
Apprentice: 90% of applicable rate for the next 800 hours	\$37.40

Mason Tenders

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Mason Tender 1 (Labourer performing Mason Tender Duties)	\$43.39
Mason Tender 2 (Mason Tender working as a forklift operator, mixer, brick expiditer and lead scaffold erector)	\$44.31
Red Brick Mason Tender	\$42.73
Refractory Worker	\$42.05
Apprentice: 70% of applicable rate for the first 800 hours	\$30.37
Apprentice: 80% of applicable rate for the next 800 hours	\$34.71
Apprentice: 90% of applicable rate for the next 800 hours	\$39.05

Waterproofing

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Waterproofer	\$41.55
Foreperson	\$45.71
Lead Hand	\$43.64
Apprentice: 70% of applicable rate for the first 800 hours	\$29.09
Apprentice: 80% of applicable rate for the next 800 hours	\$33.24
Apprentice: 90% of applicable rate for the next 800 hours	\$37.40

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 16 of 31

Cement Finishers

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Cement Finisher	\$45.02
Cement Finisher - 1st Year Apprentice (65%) 1000 hours	\$29.26
Cement Finisher - 2nd Year Apprentice (75%) 1500 hours	\$33.77
Cement Finisher - 3rd Year Apprentice (85%) 1500 hours	\$38.25

Plasterers

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Plasterer	\$44.03
Foreperson	\$45.89
Apprentice: 50% of applicable rate for 1st year	\$22.02
Apprentice: 60% of applicable rate for 2nd year	\$26.42
Apprentice: 70% of applicable rate for 3rd year	\$30.83
Apprentice: 85% of applicable rate for 4th year	\$37.42

Precast Erectors

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
General Precast Labourer	\$41.85
Precast Labourer and Finisher	\$43.88
Welder	\$44.00
Working Foreperson	\$47.89

A					000 1
Apprentice	: 65% OI	applicable	rate for	r the first	800 nours

Apprentice: 70% of applicable rate for 800-1600 hours

Apprentice: 75% of applicable rate for 1600-2400 hours

Apprentice: 80% of applicable rate for 2400-3200 hours

Apprentice: 100% of applicable rate after 3500 hours

Marble, Tile, Terrazzo, Cement Masons, Resilient Floor Layers and Their Helpers

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Marble Mason	\$53.27
Terrazzo Tile Mechanic	\$53.05
Base Machine Operator	\$51.60
Terrazzo Helper	\$51.26
Marble Tile Helper	\$51.26
NEW Helper	\$40.61
Apprentice: 50% of journeyperson rate for the first term (1800 hours)	
Apprentice: 65% of journeyperson rate for the second term (1800 hours)	
Apprentice: 80% of journeyperson rate for the third term (1800 hours)	
Apprentice: 90% of journeyperson rate for the fourth term (1800 hours)	

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 18 of 31

Bricklayers, Masons, and Plasterers

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Bricklayers, Masons, and Plasterers	\$56.52
Restoration Masonry	\$49.86
Apprentice 1st Period - 50% of a Journeyperson's rate	
Apprentice 2nd Period - 65% of a Journeyperson's rate	
Apprentice 3rd Period - 80% of a Journeyperson's rate	
Apprentice 4th Period - 90% of a Journeyperson's rate	

Glaziers and Metal Technicians

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Journeyperson Architectural Glass and Metal Technician with Certificate of Qualification	\$48.42
Apprentice 1 (0-2000 hrs) - 55%	\$26.64
Apprentice 2 (2001-3000 hrs) - 60%	\$29.05
Apprentice 3 (3001-4000 hrs) - 65%	\$31.47
Apprentice 4 (4001-5000 hrs) - 70%	\$33.90
Apprentice 5 (5001-6000 hrs) - 75%	\$36.32
Apprentice 6 (6001-7000 hrs) - 80%	\$38.74
Apprentice 7 (7001-8000 hrs) - 85%	\$41.16

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 19 of 31

Elevator	Constructors
----------	--------------

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Elevator Mechanic	\$74.59
Probationary Helper I	\$37.29
Probationary Helper II	\$41.02
Helper I	\$52.20
Helper II	\$55.94
Improver Helper	\$59.67
Adjuster	\$83.91
Mechanic-in-Charge (4-9)	\$83.91
Mechanic-in-Charge (10-19)	\$85.77
Mechanic-in-Charge (20 or more)	\$87.27

Heat and Frost Insulators (Asbestos)

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Journeyperson Insulator	\$58.35
4th Year Apprentice	\$47.83
3rd Year Apprentice	\$40.82
2nd Year Apprentice	\$33.80
1st Year Apprentice	\$26.80
Probationary Insulator	\$32.23

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 20 of 31

Provisional Insulator	\$37.93
Probationary Asbestos Remover	\$29.90
Asbestos Remover Level 1	\$29.90
Asbestos Remover Level 2	\$33.44
Asbestos Remover Level 3	\$32.41

Millwrights

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Journeyperson	\$57.25
Foreperson	\$67.17
Sub-foreperson	\$62.21
Apprentice 0-1999hrs (60%)	\$34.35
Apprentice 2000-3999hrs (70%)	\$40.08
Apprentice 4000-5999hrs (80%)	\$45.81
Apprentice 6000-8000hrs (90%)	\$51.52

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 21 of 31

Plumbers, Steamfitters and Pipefitters

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Journeyperson	\$58.23
Foreperson	\$65.22
Apprentice 1st Term (40%)	\$23.29
Apprentice 2nd Term (50%)	\$29.12
Apprentice 3rd Term (60%)	\$34.94
Apprentice 4th Term (70%)	\$40.76
Apprentice 5th Term (80%)	\$46.58

Welders

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Journeyperson and Welder	\$69.38
Refrigeration Apprentice Term 1	\$27.01
Refrigeration Apprentice Term 2	\$34.24
Refrigeration Apprentice Term 3	\$42.22
Refrigeration Apprentice Term 4	\$50.33
Refrigeration Apprentice Term 5	\$58.32
Welder Apprentice Term 1	\$27.01
Welder Apprentice Term 2	\$42.22
Welder Apprentice Term 3	\$58.32

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 22 of 31

Junior Maintenance Mechanic	\$26.95
Maintenance Mechanic	\$34.24

Electrical Workers

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Journeyperson Electrician	\$59.37
Foreperson	\$68.27
Apprentice 1st Period (40%)	\$23.75
Apprentice 2nd Period (50%)	\$29.69
Apprentice 3rd Period (60%)	\$35.63
Apprentice 4th Period (70%)	\$41.56
Apprentice 5th Period (80%)	\$47.49

Painters – Commercial

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Foremen	\$43.31
Sub-Foremen, Spraymen, and Sandblasters	\$42.07
Swing Stage Men, Paperhangers, Fabric Hangers, and Signwriters	\$41.45
Journeyperson Painter	\$40.83
Apprentice 1 - 1000 hours (The higher of \$18.00 or 50% of journeyperson wages)	\$22.32
Apprentice 1001 - 2000 hours (The higher of \$18.00 or 50% of journeyperson wages)	\$22.32

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 23 of 31

Apprentice 2001 - 4000 hours (55% of journeyperson wages + \$4.15 per hour)	\$27.60
Apprentice 4001 - 6000 hours (65% of journeyperson wagess + \$4.15 per hour)	\$31.68
6001+ Registered Journeyperson (75% of journeyperson wagess + \$4.15 per hour)	\$35.77
6001+ Unregistered Journeyperson (75% of journeyperson wages)	\$30.63
Apprentice Helper (\$11.00 or minimum wage; whichever is greater)	\$18.60

Painters – Industrial

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Foremen	\$50.13
Sub-Foremen, Spraymen, and Sandblasters	\$48.89
Swing Stage Men, Paperhangers, Fabric Hangers, and Signwriters	\$48.27
Journeyperson Painter	\$47.65
Apprentice 1 - 1000 hours (The higher of \$18.00 or 50% of journeyperson wages)	\$23.83
Apprentice 1001 - 2000 hours (The higher of \$18.00 or 50% of journeyperson wages)	\$23.83
Apprentice 2001 - 4000 hours (55% of journeyperson wages + \$4.15 per hour)	\$31.36
Apprentice 4001 - 6000 hours (65% of journeyperson wagess + \$4.15 per hour)	\$36.12
6001+ Registered Journeyperson (75% of journeyperson wagess + \$4.15 per hour)	\$40.88
6001+ Unregistered Journeyperson (75% of journeyperson wages)	\$35.74
Apprentice Helper (\$11.00 or minimum wage; whichever is greater)	\$18.60

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 24 of 31

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Journeyperson: Drywall Finishers (Tapers), Plasterers, Fireproofing Insulators, Acoustic Sprayers, Hazardous Material Workers, Exterior Insulated Finishing Systems Applicators, Exterior Stucco Applicators, Sprayed Polyurethane Applicators, Air/Vapour Barrier Workers, and all other workers performing any work described in Article 3 of Appendix "B" herein the Province of Ontario.	\$51.73
Working Foreperson	\$59.50
Apprentice Drywall Finishers (Tapers) and Plasterers: 1 - 2400 hours worked - 55% of Journeyperson Rate	\$28.46
Apprentice Drywall Finishers (Tapers) and Plasterers: 2401 - 3600 hours worked - 65% of Journeyperson Rate	\$33.63
Apprentice Drywall Finishers (Tapers) and Plasterers: 3601 - 5400 hours worked - 75% of Journeyperson Rate	\$38.80
Non-Certified Journeyperson Drywall Finishers (Tapers) and Plasterers - 90% of Journeyperson Rate	\$46.56
Trainee Hazardous Material Worker: 1 - 900 hours worked	\$23.56
Trainee Hazardous Material Worker: 901 - 3600 hours worked	\$32.00
Trainee Hazardous Material Worker: After 3600 hours worked (Non- Certified Journeyperson)	\$40.61
Apprentice Hazardous Material Worker: 1 - 900 hours worked	\$27.90
Apprentice Hazardous Material Worker: 901 - 2400 hours worked	\$34.35
Apprentice Hazardous Material Worker: 2401 - 3000 hours worked	\$37.20
Apprentice Hazardous Material Worker Certified: After 3000 hours worked (Certified Journeyperson)	\$44.97
Fire Stopping: 1 - 1500 hours worked	\$22.94
Fire Stopping: 1501 - 2400 hours worked	\$25.63
Fire Stopping: 2401 - 3600 hours worked	\$28.76
Fire Stopping: 3601 - 5400 hours worked	\$35.53

Allied Trades

Fire Stopping: After 5400 hours worked (Journeyperson)	\$36.36
Residential Fire Stopping: 1 - 1500 hours worked	\$22.32
Residential Fire Stopping: 1501 - 3600 hours worked	\$24.18
Residential Fire Stopping: 3601 - 5400 hours worked	\$27.28
Residential Fire Stopping: After 5400 hours worked (Journeyperson)	\$31.00
Apprentice Sprayed Polyurethane Applicators, Polypropylene Plastic Sheet Membrane Applicators, and Air/Vapour Barriers: 1 - 1000 hours worked	\$21.08
Apprentice Sprayed Polyurethane Applicators, Polypropylene Plastic Sheet Membrane Applicators, and Air/Vapour Barriers: 1001 - 2000 hours worked	\$23.01
Apprentice Sprayed Polyurethane Applicators, Polypropylene Plastic Sheet Membrane Applicators, and Air/Vapour Barriers: 2001 - 3000 hours worked	\$26.03
Apprentice Sprayed Polyurethane Applicators, Polypropylene Plastic Sheet Membrane Applicators, and Air/Vapour Barriers: 3001 - 3600 hours worked	\$29.77
Apprentice Sprayed Polyurethane Applicators, Polypropylene Plastic Sheet Membrane Applicators, and Air/Vapour Barriers: 3601 - 4000 hours worked	\$30.09
Apprentice Sprayed Polyurethane Applicators, Polypropylene Plastic Sheet Membrane Applicators, and Air/Vapour Barriers: After 4000 hours worked (Journeyperson)	\$37.70
Apprentice Exterior Insulated Finishing System, Exterior Stucco, and Air/Vapour Barriers: 1 - 1200 hours worked	\$21.69
Apprentice Exterior Insulated Finishing System, Exterior Stucco, and Air/Vapour Barriers: 1201 - 2400 hours worked	\$28.92
Apprentice Exterior Insulated Finishing System, Exterior Stucco, and Air/Vapour Barriers: 2401 - 3600 hours worked	\$34.39
Apprentice Exterior Insulated Finishing System, Exterior Stucco, and Air/Vapour Barriers: 3601 - 5400 hours worked	\$40.13
Apprentice Exterior Insulated Finishing System, Exterior Stucco, and Air/Vapour Barriers: After 5400 hours worked (Journeyperson)	\$42.46
Trainee Spray Fireproofer: 1 - 2000 hours worked	\$23.56
Trainee Spray Fireproofer: 2001 - 4000 hours worked	\$26.04

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002	
	Page 26 of 31

Trainee Spray Fireproofer: 4001 - 5400 hours worked	\$28.52
Trainee Spray Fireproofer: After 5400 hours worked	\$31.00
Apprentice Spray Fireproofer: 1 - 900 hours worked	\$24.80
Apprentice Spray Fireproofer: 901 - 2000 hours worked	\$26.42
Apprentice Spray Fireproofer: 2001 - 4000 hours worked	\$33.01
Apprentice Spray Fireproofer: 4001 - 5400 hours worked	\$39.61
Apprentice Spray Fireproofer: After 5400 hours worked (Journeyperson)	\$44.01

Roofers

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Foreperson	\$53.13
Journeyperson	\$52.96
Roofing Assistant	\$44.27
3rd Year Apprentice	\$41.70
2nd Year Apprentice	\$36.82
1st Year Apprentice	\$30.76
Pre-Apprentice	\$24.85
Roofer Material Handler	\$50.72

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 27 of 31

Sheet Metal	
Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Journeyperson	\$56.35
Foreperson A: 3 - 10 workers	\$62.36
Foreperson B: 11 - 20 workers	\$63.85
Foreperson C: over 20 workers	\$65.34
1st Year Apprentice	\$26.68
2nd Year Apprentice	\$28.81
3rd Year Apprentice	\$34.51
4th Year Apprentice	\$40.93
5th Year Apprentice	\$47.73
Sheeter / Decker	\$56.11
Sheeter / Decker Foreperson A: 3 - 10 workers	\$62.12
Sheeter / Decker Foreperson B: 11 - 20 workers	\$63.61
Sheeter / Decker Foreperson C: over 20 workers	\$65.10
Sheeter / Decker Assistant	\$51.88
Material Handler #2	\$37.55
Material Handler #1	\$26.36
Probationary Employee	\$23.05

Ironworkers: Bridge, Structural, Ornamental and Reinforcing Iron	
Workers	

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
GF Foreperson	\$69.42
Foreperson	\$64.79
Base Wage (Journeyperson)	\$57.85
Apprentice 0 - 1000 hrs	\$34.71
Apprentice 1000 - 2000 hrs	\$40.50
Apprentice 2000 - 3000 hrs	\$43.39
Apprentice 3000 - 4000 hrs	\$46.28
Apprentice 4000 - 5000 hrs	\$49.17
Apprentice 5000 - 6000 hrs	\$52.07

Rodmen: Bridge, Structural, Ornamental and Reinforcing Iron Workers

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Foreperson	\$65.41
Sub-Foreperson	\$61.69
Base Wage (Journeyperson)	\$55.49
Apprentice 0 - 500 hrs	\$33.29
Apprentice 500 - 1000 hrs	\$38.85
Apprentice 1001 - 2000 hrs	\$44.39

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 29 of 31

Apprentice 2001 - 3000 hrs	\$49.93
Apprentice 3001 - 4000 hrs	\$52.71

Carpenters

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Acoustic / Drywall	\$53.21
Pre-Apprentice - 55% of journeyperson rate	\$29.26
Apprentice 1st Term - 55% of journeyperson rate (0-1800 hrs)	\$29.26
Apprentice 2nd Term - 60% of journeyperson rate (1801-2700 hrs)	\$31.93
Apprentice 3rd Term - 70% of journeyperson rate (2701-3600 hrs)	\$37.25
Apprentice 4th Term - 80% of journeyperson rate (3601-4500 hrs)	\$42.57
Apprentice 5th Term - 85% of journeyperson rate (4501-5400 hrs)	\$45.22

Carpenters

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Hardwood Floor Layer	\$53.21
Apprentice 1st Term - 55% of journeyperson rate	\$29.26
Apprentice 2nd Term - 60% of journeyperson rate	\$31.93
Apprentice 3rd Term - 70% of journeyperson rate	\$37.25
Apprentice 4th Term - 80% of journeyperson rate	\$42.57
Apprentice 5th Term - 85% of journeyperson rate	\$45.22

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 30 of 31

Carpenters	
Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Resilient Floor and Carpet Layer	\$50.77
Apprentice 1st Term - 50% of journeyperson rate (0-2000 hrs)	\$27.92
Apprentice 2nd Term - 60% of journeyperson rate (2001-3000 hrs)	\$30.45
Apprentice 3rd Term - 70% of journeyperson rate (3001-4000 hrs)	\$35.54
Apprentice 4th Term - 80% of journeyperson rate (4001-5000 hrs)	\$40.61
Apprentice 5th Term - 85% of journeyperson rate (5001-6000 hrs)	\$43.15

Carpenters

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Caulker	\$39.80
Trainee 1st Term (0-1800 hrs)	\$22.22
Trainee 2nd Term (1801-3600 hrs)	\$29.77
Trainee 3rd Term (3601-5400 hrs)	\$33.79
Trainee 4th Term (5401-7200 hrs) Fire Stop Trainee	\$36.68

Appendix 'C' to Report FCS24008/HUR24002 Page 31 of 31

Boilermakers	
Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
General Foreperson	\$72.53
Foreperson	\$68.81
Assistant Foreperson	\$63.85
Journeyperson	\$61.37
4th Year Apprentice (1) (90%)	\$55.23
3rd Year Apprentice (1) (80%)	\$49.09
2nd Year Apprentice (1) (70%)	\$42.95
1st Year Apprentice (1) (60%)	\$36.82
Pre-Apprentice (60%)	\$36.82

Landscapers

Work Performed	Total Hourly Compensation Rate
Labourer	\$25.67
Working Foreperson	\$31.69
Skilled Labourer	\$28.64
Entry Level / Student	\$20.29
Working Foreperson - SOD Installation	\$29.88
Skilled Labourer - SOD Installation	\$26.85
Sod Installer - SOD Installation	\$22.32

Page 323 of 341



CITY OF HAMILTON CORPORATE SERVICES DEPARTMENT Customer Service and POA Division

то:	Chair and Members Audit, Finance and Administration Committee
COMMITTEE DATE:	May 2, 2024
SUBJECT/REPORT NO:	Capital Project Closing Report as of September 30, 2023 (FCS23095(a)) (City Wide)
WARD(S) AFFECTED:	City Wide
PREPARED BY:	Irfan Tanvir (905) 546-2424 Ext. 4090
SUBMITTED BY:	Kirk Weaver Acting Director, Financial Planning, Administration and Policy Corporate Services Department
SIGNATURE:	for ulm

RECOMMENDATION(S)

- (a) That the General Manager, Finance and Corporate Services, be authorized to fund a net amount of \$80,805.96 from the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve (108020) and transfer a net amount of \$2,795,802.34 to other reserves as outlined in Appendix "A" to Report FCS23095(a);
- (b) That the General Manager, Finance and Corporate Services, be directed to close the completed and / or cancelled capital projects listed in Appendix "B" to Report FCS23095(a) in accordance with the Capital Projects Closing and Monitoring Policy;
- (c) That Appendix "C" to Report FCS23095(a), Capital Projects Budget Appropriations for the period covering July 1, 2023 through September 30, 2023, be received as information; and
- (d) That Appendix "D" to Report FCS23095(a), Capital Projects Budget Appropriations of \$250,000 or greater and Capital Project Reserve Funding Requiring Council Approval, be approved.
SUBJECT: Capital Project Closing Report as of September 30, 2023 (FCS23095(a)) (City Wide) – Page 2 of 8

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Report FCS23095(a) presents and recommends closure of the capital projects that have been completed or cancelled as of September 30, 2023. In addition, Report FCS23095(a) provides historical information for capital budget appropriations in accordance with the Capital Projects Monitoring Policy and Capital Projects Budget Appropriation and Work-in-Progress Transfer Policy (Report FCS14031).

Appendix "A" to Report FCS23095(a) summarizes net transfers to and from reserves as a result of completed or cancelled projects for the period covering July 1, 2023 through September 30, 2023, as well as, highlights the net impact on the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve and other capital reserves.

Appendix "B" to Report FCS23095(a) lists the individual projects to be closed. A total of 78 projects with a combined budget of \$110,782,929 are being recommended for closure and are summarized as follows:

- \$12,089,456 relating to 16 completed projects funded from the Capital Levy which result in a net deficit amount of \$80,806 to be contributed from the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve (108020);
- \$17,393,874 relating to 23 completed projects funded from other program specific reserves in a net surplus position of \$1,150,802;
- \$81,299,599 relating to 36 projects completed on or under budget that do not impact reserves; and
- There are also three projects in Appendix "B" to Report FCS23095(a) that are being closed as a result of a process to consolidate annual program projects and better utilize funds through perpetual Project IDs. This has assisted with general equipment purchases while bringing efficiencies in managing multiple annual projects.

All capital projects listed for closure in Appendix "B" to Report FCS23095(a) have been reviewed and determined to be complete, with all revenue and expenditure transactions relating to these projects having been processed. Any funding adjustments necessary to close the projects in accordance with the Capital Projects Closing and Monitoring Policy (Report FCS05044, Report FCS07081(a) and Report FCS14031) are reflected in the amounts presented.

Appendix "C" to Report FCS23095(a) lists the appropriation of funds between capital projects, totaling \$1,417,741 for the period covering July 1, 2023 through September 30, 2023 in accordance with the Capital Projects Budget Appropriation and Work-in-Progress Transfer Policy (Report FCS14031).

Appendix "D" to Report FCS23095(a) lists the capital project(s) budget appropriations of \$250,000 or greater and work-in-progress capital projects requiring funding from

SUBJECT: Capital Project Closing Report as of September 30, 2023 (FCS23095(a)) (City Wide) – Page 3 of 8

reserves that require Council approval. Alternatives for Consideration – Not Applicable

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: As outlined in Appendix "A" to Report FCS23095(a) and summarized in Table 1, a total net deficit of \$80,805.96 is recommended to be funded from the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve as a result of capital project shortfalls.

Net impact to the Unallocated	Capital Levy Rese	erve	\$ (80,805.96
		-	\$ 120,362.24
2020	4042010017	Street Lighting Capital Program	160.56
2020	4032049040	District South - Outside Yard Electrical Upgrades	2,273.67
2019	4661920001	ATMS – Advanced Traffic Management System	2,538.70
2021	3502157210	PeopleSoft Finance Tools Upgrade	4,713.01
2018	4031818444	Bridge 444 - Guyatt Rd - 200m w.o. Hendershot Rd	6,295.46
2011	3381857501	Capital Budget System Upgrade	9,850.00
2016	3541941013		20,066.8
2018	3501857806	Data Centre HVAC	21,573.7
2018	3501957906	IT Strategy - Strategic Theme IT Optimization	22,572.63
Projects returning funds 2017	4031755333	Butternuts on Beckett	30,317.57
		-	\$ (201,168.20
2022	4002220211	and 4 x Type D)	(3,661.82
2022	4662220211	Greenford Wilson & Shaver - Pedestrian Crossovers (4 x Type C	(8,453.99
2022	4662220541	Traffic Signal Modernization - Queenston & Kenora &	(0.452.00
2011	2051255204	Neighbourhood Strategy	(23,682.94
2016	5301785700	Bus Wash Rack Replacement	(35,848.14
2016	5301784710	Automated Passenger Counters	(44,276.44
Projects requiring funds 2017	5301785708	Customer Service Software	(85,244.87
Ducio eta voguiring fundo			
Approved	ProjectID	Description	Surplus/ (Deficit)
Year	Unalloca	ated Capital Levy Reserve (108020)	• • • •
		As of September 30, 2023	
		City of Hamilton Capital Project Closings	
		Table 1	

 * Acronyms: AAF - Audit and Accountability Fund; IPS - Intersection Pedestrian Signals; AEGD - Airport Employment Growth District; P/W or PW= Public Works; SCBA - Self Contained Breathing Apparatus; W= Ward (i.e., W1 Ward 1).

As outlined in Appendix "A" to Report FCS23095(a) and summarized in Table 2, a net total surplus of \$2,795,802.34 in funding will be returned to other reserves for projects that were submitted for closure in a surplus position.

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully. OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner. OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.

SUBJECT: Capital Project Closing Report as of September 30, 2023 (FCS23095(a)) (City Wide) – Page 4 of 8

		Table 2	
		City of Hamilton	
		Capital Project Closings	
		As of September 30, 2023	
	Impact	ting Reserves and Capital Projects	
	•	5	
Year			Surplus/
Approved	Reserve	Description	(Deficit)
Projects requiring funds			
2008	5160867751	Annual Wastewater Outstation - Asset Management	(30,056.3
2014	7901448406	Ice Plant Optimization Arenas	(62,498.6
2020	7902049002	2020 Hamilton City Hall Lighting Upgrade to LEDs	(20,999.5
		-	\$ (113,554.4
Projects returning funds			
2017	5141767420	Carlisle Well Stations System Enhancements	813,310.9
2020	5142061310	Woodward Greenhill Transmission Main Pipeline repair	
		on Summercrest	454,540.6
2015	5181510501	Mathers Drive Bank Erosion Control and Slope	
0004		Stabilization	330,343.9
2021	7402151100	Annual Equipment Replacement	252,805.9
2020	7902049001	2020 Lighting Upgrade to LEDs at Various Recreation	007 750 0
0000	7400054400	Facilities	227,758.9
2020	7402051100	Annual Equipment Replacement	118,996.4
2016	5141667420	Ben Nevis & Dewitt (HD08A) Water Pumping Station	110 000 1
0010	2222222222	Upgrades	118,602.1
2012 2012	3302009300	Ward 3 Capital Reinvestment	100,000.0
	3302009200	Ward 2 Capital Reinvestment	96,771.4
2021	4242109806	Buchanan Pathway Lighting	70,534.5
2021 2015	4242009407 4241509209	McQuesten Farm Walkway	67,448.2
2015	4241509209	Durand Traffic Calming	60,000.0
		Bike Rack Sculptures	55,000.0
2016 2021	7901641609	Macassa BAS Upgrade	54,413.3
2021	5142171074 4942051001	Contingency for Unscheduled Works Program Shop Equipment Replacement	35,625.5 23,253.3
2019			,
2021	4402156002 4241809205	George St Pedestrian Proj	17,502.5
2018	4242309804	Ward 8 William Bethune Park	6,484.8 3 764 6
2023 2018		Ward 8 William Betnune Park Woodward WWTP - South Secondary Clarifiers	3,764.6 2,199.1
2010	5101900012	Woodward WWIF - South Secondary Claffillers	2,199.1
	0	-	\$ 2,909,356.8
Net impact to Other Program	n Specific Reserves	·	\$ 2,795,802.3

Appendix "C" to Report FCS23095(a) details the appropriations between capital projects that have been processed during the period covering July 1, 2023 through September 30, 2023. The list includes transfers that are below \$250,000 and have been approved by either the General Manager (up to \$100,000) or the City Manager (up to \$250,000) or that had been previously approved by Council for amounts over \$250,000.

SUBJECT: Capital Project Closing Report as of September 30, 2023 (FCS23095(a)) (City Wide) – Page 5 of 8

A total of \$1,417,740.57 was moved between capital projects in compliance with the Capital Projects Budget Appropriation and Work-in-Progress Transfer Policy. This includes \$1,000,000 for the Brownfield Pilot project to fund future grants under the Environmental Remediation and Site Enhancement (ERASE) Affordable Housing Grant Program approved via PED23076. Table 3 provides a summary of the transfers.

Table 3	
City of Hamilton	
Capital Project Appropriatio	ns
As of September 30, 2023	3
Transfers by Department	t
Tax Supported Capital Budget	Amount
Planning & Economic Development	1,000,000.00
Public Works - Tax Funded	417,740.57
	\$ 1,417,740.57
Total	\$ 1,417,740.57

Appendix "D" to FCS23095(a) lists all the capital projects requiring Council approval to transfer funds from other capital projects of \$250,000 or greater. The number of projects and the requested transfer amounts are summarized in Table 4.

	Т	able 4				
	City c	of Hamilton				
	Capital Projects Budg	et Appropriation Transfers				
	of \$250,000 or greater requir	ing approval and Reserve Funding				
Projects/ Projects/						
Description	Transfers From	Transfers To		Amount		
Healthy & Safe Communities (Tax Funded)	2	2	\$	1,224,221.19		
Public Works (Tax Funded)	2	3	\$	2,327,416.95		
Public Works (Rate Funded)	1	1	\$	2,729,000.00		
Total			\$	6,280,638.14		

Staffing: N/A

Legal: N/A

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The Capital Project Closing reports are submitted to City Council regularly (normally three times a year) with information and recommendations related to the closure of completed or cancelled capital projects and transfers to and from reserves in

SUBJECT: Capital Project Closing Report as of September 30, 2023 (FCS23095(a)) (City Wide) – Page 6 of 8

accordance with City policies.

Preparation of the Capital Projects Closing Report is the responsibility of the Financial Planning, Administration and Policy Division with the objective of ensuring suitable controls are maintained, projects are appropriately closed and to centralize the function.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS

The submission of the Capital Projects Closing Report is a requirement of the City's Capital Closing Policy (Reports FCS05044 and FCS07081(a)) and Capital Projects Monitoring Policy (Report FCS14031).

The City's Capital Closing Policy states:

- i) That any approved Capital project, whose construction stage has not begun after three years, be closed and be re-submitted to Council for approval.
- ii) That any closing surplus or deficit be distributed as follows:
 - 1. Surplus:
 - a) If funded from a specific reserve, return funds to that reserve.
 - b) If funded from debentures, apply to reduce future debenture requirements.
 - c) If funded from current contribution, apply to the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve or apply to reduce Outstanding Debt.
 - 2. Deficit:
 - a) If funded from a specific reserve, fund from that reserve.
 - b) If funded from debentures, increase future debenture requirements only if no other source of financing is available.
 - c) If funded from current contribution, fund from the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve.

The City's Capital Projects Monitoring Policy and Capital Projects Budget Appropriation and Work-in-Progress Transfer Policy, as amended by Council on May 14, 2014, states:

- i) That a Capital Projects Status Report be submitted by departments three times a year as of June 30, September 30 and December 31.
- ii) That a Capital Projects Closing Report be compiled by Corporate Services Department and submitted to the Audit, Finance and Administration Committee three times a year as of June 30, September 30 and December 31.

SUBJECT: Capital Project Closing Report as of September 30, 2023 (FCS23095(a)) (City Wide) – Page 7 of 8

- iii) That unfavourable project variances be funded according to the Capital Projects Budget Appropriation and Work-in-Progress Transfer Policy. If available funding cannot be found within the limits of the Capital Projects Budget Appropriation and Work in Progress Transfer Policy, a report explaining the variance and recommending a source of funding be submitted to the appropriate Committee of Council for approval.
- iv) Approval authority for the re-appropriation of funds in each financial year be at the same levels as the City's Procurement Policy:
 - 1. Council must approve appropriations of \$250,000 or greater
 - 2. City Manager or designate must approve appropriations greater than \$100,000 and less than \$250,000
 - 3. General Managers or delegated staff be authorized to approve appropriations up to \$100,000.

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

Staff from the following departments, boards and / or agencies submitted the included capital projects for closure:

- Corporate Services Department
- Healthy and Safe Communities Department
- Legislative Department
- Planning and Economic Development Department
- Public Works Department

ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION(S)

Once projects have been determined to be complete or cancelled, they are submitted by departments to Financial Planning, Administration and Policy for inclusion in the Capital Projects Closing Report. These submissions are reviewed by Financial Planning, Administration and Policy to ensure transactions are finalized, all purchase orders are closed, and a funding source is identified, where necessary.

Inactivating completed projects helps to keep the number of active capital projects to a manageable size and eliminates redundant data from reports. More importantly, it ensures that projects that are complete do not unnecessarily tie up budget resources that could be re-directed to other needs / capital projects.

SUBJECT: Capital Project Closing Report as of September 30, 2023 (FCS23095(a)) (City Wide) – Page 8 of 8

Appendix "D" to Report FCS23095(a) includes capital project budget appropriation requests to transfer funds of \$250,000 or greater from other projects and funding from reserves requiring Council approval as per the Capital Projects' Budget Appropriation and Work-in-Progress Transfer Policy and Reserve Policies. The request totals \$6,280,638.14 relating to various projects across Healthy and Safe Communities and Public Works Departments.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

N/A

APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

Appendix "A" to Report FCS23095(a) – Capital Project Closings as of September 30, 2023 – Projects Impacting the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve and Other Sources

Appendix "B" to Report FCS23095(a) – Capital Projects Closing Schedule as of September 30, 2023

Appendix "C" to Report FCS23095(a) – Capital Projects Budget Appropriation Schedule for the Period Covering July 1, 2023 through September 30, 2023

Appendix "D" to Report FCS23095(a) – Capital Projects Budget Appropriations of \$250,000 or greater and Capital Project Reserve Funding Requiring Council Approval for the Period Covering July 1, 2023 through September 30, 2023

IT/dt

Page 332 of 341 Appendix "A" to FC\$23095(a)

Page	1	of	2
------	---	----	---

					Faye
		CITY OF HAMILTON			
		CAPITAL PROJECT CLOSIN	G		
		AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 202	3		
		Projects impacting the Unallocated Capital Levy Re	eserve and Other So	ources	
Year			Surplus/	Reserve	Description
Approved	ProjectID	Description	(Deficit) (\$)		
Projecto Imp	acting the Un	allocated Capital Levy Reserve			
	acting the on	anocated Capital Levy Reserve			
Projects Requ	uiring Funds				
2017	5301785708	Customer Service Software	(85,244.87)	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2016	5301784710	Automated Passenger Counters	(44,276.44)	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2016		Bus Wash Rack Replacement	(35,848.14)	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2011		Neighbourhood Strategy	(23,682.94)	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2022		Traffic Signal Modernization - Queenston & Kenora & Greenford	(8,453.99)	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2022	4662220211	Wilson & Shaver - Pedestrian Crossovers (4 x Type C and 4 x Type D)	(3,661.82)	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
			(201,168.20)		
Projects Retur	rnina Funds		(201,100.20)		
2017		Butternuts on Beckett	30,317.57	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2018	3501957906	IT Strategy - Strategic Theme IT Optimization	22,572.63	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2018		Data Centre HVAC	21,573.79	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2016	3541941013	Program - Firestations Facility Upgrade	20,066.85	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2011		Capital Budget System Upgrade	9,850.00	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2018		Bridge 444 - Guyatt Rd - 200m w.o. Hendershot Rd	6,295.46	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2021		PeopleSoft Finance Tools Upgrade	4,713.01	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2019		ATMS – Advanced Traffic Management System	2,538.70	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2020		District South - Outside Yard Electrical Upgrades	2,273.67	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
2020		Street Lighting Capital Program	160.56	108020	Unallocated Capital Levy
			120,362.24		

Page 333 of 341 Appendix "A" to FCS23095(a)

		CITY OF HAMILTON			
		CAPITAL PROJECT CLOSING			
		AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2023			
Year		Projects impacting the Unallocated Capital Levy Res	erve and Other 3 /Surplus	Reserve	Description
Approved	ProjectID	Description	(Deficit) (\$)	Reserve	Description
••			,,,,		
Projects impa	acting Other	Reserves			
Projects requi	rina funds				
2008		Annual Wastewater Outstation - Asset Management	(30,056.33)	108015	Waterworks Capital Reserve
2014		Ice Plant Optimization Arenas	(62,498.63)	112272	Energy Conservation Initiative Reserve
2020	7902049002	2020 Hamilton City Hall Lighting Upgrade to LEDs	(20,999.50)	112272	Energy Conservation Initiative Reserve
		-	(113,554.46)		
Projects return	ning funds				
2017	5141767420	Carlisle Well Stations System Enhancements	813,310.97	108015	Waterworks Capital Reserve
2020	5142061310	Woodward Greenhill Transmission Main Pipeline repair on Summercrest	454,540.68	108015	Waterworks Capital Reserve
2015	5181510501	Mathers Drive Bank Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization	330,343.91	108015	Waterworks Capital Reserve
2021	7402151100	Annual Equipment Replacement	252,805.95	100031	Hamilton Fire Department - Equipment Replacement Reserve
2020	7902049001	2020 Lighting Upgrade to LEDs at Various Recreation Facilities	227,758.92	112272	Energy Conservation Initiative Reserve
2020	7402051100	Annual Equipment Replacement	118,996.45		Hamilton Fire Department - Equipment
				100031	Replacement Reserve
2016	5141667420		118,602.13	108015	Waterworks Capital Reserve
2012	3302009300	Ward 3 Capital Reinvestment	100,000.00	108053	Ward 3 Special Capital Re-investment
2012	3302009200		96,771.45	108052	Ward 2 Special Capital Re-investment
2021	4242109806	Buchanan Pathway Lighting	70,534.56	108058	Ward 8 Special Capital Re-investment
2021	4242009407	McQuesten Farm Walkway	67,448.26	108054	Ward 4 Special Capital Re-investment
2015	4241509209	Durand Traffic Calming	60,000.00	108052	Ward 2 Special Capital Re-investment
2015	4241509210	Bike Rack Sculptures	55,000.00	108052	Ward 2 Special Capital Re-investment
2016	7901641609	Macassa BAS Upgrade	54,413.37	112272	Energy Conservation Initiative Reserve
2021	5142171074	Contingency for Unscheduled Works Program	35,625.57	108015	Waterworks Capital Reserve
2019	4942051001		23,253.36	110025	Vehicle Replacement - Central Garage
2021	4402156002		17,502.55	108058	Ward 8 Special Capital Re-investment
2018	4241809205		6,484.87	108052	Ward 2 Special Capital Re-investment
2023	4242309804		3,764.64	108058	Ward 8 Special Capital Re-investment
2018	5161966612	Woodward WWTP - South Secondary Clarifiers	2,199.16	108015 (11%)	Waterworks Capital Reserve

Net impact to Other Reserves	2,909,356.80 2,795,802.34	
Total Net impact to the Unallocated Capital Levy Reserve & Other Reserves	2,714,996.38	

Page 334 of 341 Appendix "B" to FCS23095(a)

Page 1 of 3

		CAPIT	CITY OF HAMILTON AL PROJECTS' CLOSING SCHEDULE AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2023				uge i
YEAR APPROVED	PROJECT ID	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED BUDGET (\$)	REVENUES (\$)	EXPENDITURES (\$)	PROJECT SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT) (\$)	% SPENT
DELAYED/CAN	CELLED PROJECTS	3	a	D	c	d = b - c	e=c/a
2016	3542141648	Program - Parking Lot Rehabilitation	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
2016	7102141701	Program - Community Halls Retrofits	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
2019	6302151002	ML & WL - Annual Resident Care Equipment Replacement	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
2023	3622380001	CBC Bylaw Appeal to Ontario Land Tribunal (OLT)	50,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
OTAL DELAYED	CANCELLED PROJECT	TS (4)	50,000.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%
COMPLETED P							
	RVICES DEPARTMENT (
2014	POA and Final Integrat 2051357320	tion Call Handling Review Project - Implementation	2,753,000.00	2,753,000.00	2,753,000.00	0.00	100.0%
		· · · ·					
2011	g, Administration and P 3381857501	olicy Capital Budget System Upgrade	60,227.66	60,227.66	50,377.66	9,850.00	83.6%
·		, , <u> </u>		50,221.001	00,01100	0,000.00	20.070
nformation Tech	nology	IT Stratagy Stratagia Thoma IT Ontimination		250 540 20	007 007 70	00 570 00	04.00/
2018 2018	3501957906 3501857806	IT Strategy - Strategic Theme IT Optimization Data Centre HVAC	250,540.36 475,000.00	250,540.36 475,000.00	227,967.73 453,426.21	22,572.63 21,573.79	<u>91.0%</u> 95.5%
2018	3502157210	PeopleSoft Finance Tools Upgrade	100,000.00	100,000.00	95,286.99	4,713.01	95.3%
amilton Fire Dep 2021 2020	7402151100 7402051100	Annual Equipment Replacement Annual Equipment Replacement	1,199,000.00	1,200,237.00 599,499.99	947,431.05 480,503.54	252,805.95 118,996.45	79.0% 81.2%
ousing Secretari			592,000.00	599,499.99	460,503.54	116,990.45	01.270
2019	6501941100	Human Services Integration	160,000.00	160,000.00	160,000.00	0.00	100.0%
ousing Services	i						
2011	2051255204	Neighbourhood Strategy	3,537,187.93	3,475,987.93	3,499,670.87	(23,682.94)	98.9%
2014	6732041302 6731941011	Social Housing Capital Repairs and Regeneration COCHI Repairs YR2	476,920.98	476,920.98	476,920.98 1,048,922.00	0.00	100.0%
2021 2021	6732041202	CMHC-Rapid Housing Initiative2	12,945,935.00	1,048,922.00 12,945,935.00	12,945,935.00	0.00	100.0%
2021	6732241213	COCHI - Transitional Ops Yr 4	200,000.00	200,000.00	200,000.00	0.00	100.0%
ong Term Care							
2019	6301941002	WL - Dish Room/Physio & Salon Exhaust & Supply	216,057.38	216,057.38	216,057.38	0.00	100.0%
2019	6301941003	WL - Radient Heating Panel/Thermostat Controls	164,549.77	164,549.77	164,549.77	0.00	100.0%
ublic Health Serv 2020	vices 6772051101	Community Dental Bus Replacement	577,300.00	550,000.00	550,000.00	0.00	95.3%
	PARTMENT (Tax Budge		017,000.00	000,000.001	000,000.001	0.00	00.070
ard Budgets							
2012	3302009300	Ward 3 Capital Reinvestment	100,000.00	100,000.00	0.00	100,000.00	0.0%
2012	3302009200	Ward 2 Capital Reinvestment	100,000.00	100,000.00	3,228.55	96,771.45	3.2%
2012	3302009100	Ward 1 Capital Reinvestment	48,604.01	48,604.01	48,604.01	0.00	100.0%
conomic Develo							
2005	9000546102	Marshall Estates	0.00	240,550.17	240,550.17	0.00	0.0%
icensing & By-La	aw Services						
2018	4501955900	Digital Signage Strategy	90,000.00	90,000.00	90,000.00	0.00	100.0%
ourism & Culture	e						
2021	7202058203	Hamilton 175	200,000.00	200,000.00	200,000.00	0.00	100.0%

Page 335 of 341 Appendix "B" to FC\$23095(a) Page 2 of 3

		CAPITAL PROJ	Y OF HAMILTON ECTS' CLOSING SCHEDULE EPTEMBER 30, 2023				
YEAR APPROVED	PROJECT ID	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED BUDGET (\$)	REVENUES (\$)	EXPENDITURES (\$)	PROJECT SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT) (\$)	% SPENT
	EPARTMENT (Tax Bud		а	b	C	d = b - c	e=c/a
orporate Asset N		get)					
2019	4942051001	Shop Equipment Replacement	168,000.00	168,000.00	144,746.64	23,253.36	86.2%
•							
	es & Energy Manageme		405 000 001	040,500,40	400,000,001	(00, 100, 00)	04.00/
2014	7901448406	Ice Plant Optimization Arenas	425,000.00	340,599.40	403,098.03	(62,498.63)	94.8%
2020	7902049002	2020 Hamilton City Hall Lighting Upgrade to LEDs	427,878.40	427,878.40	448,877.90	(20,999.50)	104.9%
2020	7902049001	2020 Lighting Upgrade to LEDs at Various Recreation Facilities	633,000.00	633,000.00	405,241.08	227,758.92	64.0%
2016	7901641609	Macassa BAS Upgrade	60,000.00	60,000.00	5,586.63	54,413.37	9.3%
2016	3541941013	Program - Firestations Facility Upgrade	85,000.00	85,000.00	64,933.15	20,066.85	76.4%
ngineering Servi	ces						
2017	4031755333	Butternuts on Beckett	50,000.00	50,000.00	19,682.43	30,317.57	39.4%
2018	4031818444	Bridge 444 - Guyatt Rd - 200m w.o. Hendershot Rd	31,500.00	31,500.00	25,204.54	6,295.46	80.0%
2020	4032018217	Bridge and Culvert Major Maintenance - Engineering Services	1,445,000.00	1,445,000.00	1,445,000.00	0.00	100.0%
invironmental Sei	4242009407	McQuesten Farm Walkway	230.000.00	230.000.00	162.551.74	67.448.26	70.7%
2021	4242009407	Bike Rack Sculptures	55,000.00	55,000.00	0.00	55,000.00	0.0%
2015							
2021	4402156002	Ryckman's Park Pathway	102,000.00	102,000.00	84,497.45	17,502.55	82.8%
2023	4242309804	Ward 8 William Bethune Park	10,000.00	10,000.00	6,235.36	3,764.64	62.4%
2015	4402149007 4401756701	Cemetery Columbarium North Wentworth Park Lands Expansion June 20, 2017	141,650.20 350,000.00	141,650.20 350,000.00	141,650.20 350,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2017 2019	4401756701	QC/CA - Parks and Cemeteries Material Testing	17,414.96	17,414.96	17,414.96	0.00	100.0%
2019	4242209501	Veevers Park Fencing	42,739.28	42,739.28	42,739.28	0.00	100.0%
2022	4242209301	Veevers Faik Fencing	42,759.20	42,739.20	42,759.28	0.00	100.076
ransit							
2017	5301785708	Customer Service Software	2,400,000.00	2,160,175.21	2,245,420.08	(85,244.87)	93.6%
2016	5301784710	Automated Passenger Counters	2,200,000.00	2,200,000.00	2,244,276.44	(44,276.44)	102.0%
2016	5301785700	Bus Wash Rack Replacement	880,000.00	834,848.08	870,696.22	(35,848.14)	98.9%
2016	5301983100	HSR Bus Replacement Program	15,250,000.00	15,061,994.16	15,061,994.16	0.00	98.8%
2017	5301749700	Garage Door Replacement at 2200 Upper James (MTC)	1,320,000.00	921,872.40	921,872.40	0.00	69.8%
2017	5301783701	Nonrevenue Vehicle Expansion to Accommodate Growth	400,000.00	198,678.84	198,678.84	0.00	49.7%
2017	5301784700	Radio Equipment Replacement	3,000,000.00	2,055,346.44	2,055,346.44	0.00	68.5%
2017	5301785704	Sustainable Network Connections	3,025,000.00	2,375,964.10	2,375,964.10	0.00	78.5%
2018	5301884801	Transit Network Review	800,000.00	616,528.60	616,528.60	0.00	77.1%
2018	5301885801	Exterior Upgrades to MTC	3,900,000.00	2,996,506.09	2,996,506.09	0.00	76.8%
2018	5301885802	Upgrade Operator Seats	455,000.00	249,308.93	249,308.93	0.00	54.8%
renenartation							
ransportation 2022	4662220541	Traffic Signal Modernization - Queenston & Kenora & Greenford	275,000.00	275,000.00	283,453.99	(8,453.99)	103.1%
2022	4662220211	Wilson & Shaver - Pedestrian Crossovers (4 x Type C and 4 x Type D)	220,000.00	220,000.00	223,661.82	(3.661.82)	103.1%
2022	4242109806	Buchanan Pathway Lighting	180,000.00	180.000.00	109,465.44	70,534.56	60.8%
2015	4241509209	Durand Traffic Calming	60,000.00	60,000.00	0.00	60.000.00	0.0%
2015	4241809205	George St Pedestrian Proj	27,000.00	27.000.00	20,515.13	6.484.87	76.0%
2018	4661920001	ATMS – Advanced Traffic Management System	950.000.00	950.000.00	947.461.30	2.538.70	99.7%
2019	4032049040	District South - Outside Yard Electrical Upgrades	175,000.00	175,000.00	172,726.33	2,273.67	98.7%
2020	4042010017	Street Lighting Capital Program	400,000.00	400,000.00	399,839.44	160.56	100.0%
2020	4032110005	Major Road Mill & Pave Program	1,500,000.00	1,500,000.00	1,500,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2021	4242109105	Bumpouts Sanders & Hollywood	40,000.00	40,000.00	40,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2021	4242109105	Curb Extension - MacNab & Barton	154,666.23	154,666.23	154,666.23	0.00	100.0%
2021	4662120525	Pedestrian Crossovers (Type B & C)	200,000.00	200,000.00	200,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2021	4002120323		200,000.00	200,000.00	200,000.00	0.00	100.0%

Page 336 of 341 Appendix "B" to FCS23095(a)

Page	3	of	3
------	---	----	---

						-	
		CAPITAL PROJE	OF HAMILTON CTS' CLOSING SCHEDULE PTEMBER 30, 2023				
YEAR APPROVED	PROJECT ID	DESCRIPTION	APPROVED BUDGET (\$)	REVENUES (\$)	EXPENDITURES (\$)	PROJECT SURPLUS/ (DEFICIT) (\$)	% SPENT
			а	b	c	d = b - c	e=c/a
PUBLIC WORKS D Waterworks Regul	DEPARTMENT (Rate Bu	daet)					
2017	5141767420	Carlisle Well Stations System Enhancements	940.000.00	940.000.00	126.689.03	813.310.97	13.5%
2020	5142061310	Woodward Greenhill Transmission Main Pipeline repair on Summercrest	500,000.00	456,587,72	2.047.04	454,540.68	0.4%
2016	5141667420	Ben Nevis & Dewitt (HD08A) Water Pumping Station Upgrades	3,030,000.00	3.030.000.04	2.911.397.91	118.602.13	96.1%
2021	5142171074	Contingency for Unscheduled Works Program	299,995,62	299,995.62	264,370.05	35,625.57	88.1%
2020	5142061502	Water Meter - Installation/Replacement/Repair - General Maintenance	2,920,000.00	2,920,000.00	2,920,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2021	5142161502	Water Meter - Installation/Replacement/Repair - General Maintenance	7,670,000.00	7,670,000.00	7,670,000.00	0.00	100.0%
astewater Regul	lar Program						
2008	5160867751	Annual Wastewater Outstation - Asset Management	2,655,000.00	1,010,000.00	2,685,056.33	(1,675,056.33)	101.1%
2018	5161966612	Woodward WWTP - South Secondary Clarifiers	4,500,000.00	4,500,000.00	4,497,800.84	2,199.16	100.0%
2020	5162060522	Sewer Regulator Rehabilitation/Replacement	4,216,839.00	4,216,839.00	4,216,839.00	0.00	100.0%
2021	5162160390	Wastewater System Lining Program	8,300,000.00	8,300,000.00	8,300,000.00	0.00	100.0%
2021	5162161444	Sewer Lateral Replace/Rehab Program	7,220,000.00	7,220,000.00	7,220,000.00	0.00	100.0%
torm Sewers Rec	gular Program						
2015	5181510501	Mathers Drive Bank Erosion Control and Slope Stabilization	1,100,000.00	1,100,000.00	769,656.09	330,343.91	70.0%
OTAL COMPLET	ED PROJECTS (74)		110.732.928.78	105.162.125.95	104.092.129.57	1.069.996.38	94.0%

Page 337 of 341

CITY OF HAMILTON CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET APPROPRIATION SCHEDULE						
Appropriated From	FOR THE PERIOD COVERING JULY 1, 2023 THR Description	OUGH SEPTEMBER Appropriated To	2 30, 2023 Description	Amount(\$)		
PLANNING & ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT Economic Development	Soonplan			Anount(y)		
8201703703 8201703704	Annual Commercial District Revitalization Grant Program (as per PED23076) Commercial Property Improvement Grant Program (as per PED23076)	3621755102 3621755102	BrownField Pilot Project (as per PED23076) BrownField Pilot Project (as per PED23076)	500,000.00 500,000.00 1,000,000.00		
Planning & Economic Development (2)				1,000,000.00		
PUBLIC WORKS - TAX BUDGET Engineering Services						
4032114405	Contaminated Soil & Rock Disposal Program	5142371311	CCBF - Morden - Locke To Pearl	59,000.00		
5142161307	Mulberry - Bay to James	5142371311	CCBF - Morden - Locke To Pearl	40,000.00		
4032111022	Kenilworth - Barton to Roxborough	4031818159	Bridge 159 - Regional Rd 56 to 615m s/o Hall Rd	225,000.00 324,000 .00		
Environmental Services						
4400049007 4401955800	Cemetery Columbarium QC/CA - Parks and Cemeteries Material Testing	4402149007 4400055800	Cemetery Columbarium QC/CA - Parks and Cemeteries Material Testing	1,650.20 1,351.09		
4402049107	Park Fencing Program	4242209501	Veevers Park Fencing	739.28		
				3,740.57		
<u>Waste Management</u> 5122190200	Diversion Container Replacement Program	5120055137	Waste Management R & D Program	90,000.00		
3122130200		5120055157	Wase Management N & D Flogram	90,000.00		
Public Works - Tax Budget (7) BUDGET APPROPRIATION (9)				417,740.57 1,417,740.57		

Page 339 of 341

Page 340 of 341 Appendix "D" to FCS23095(a)

Page 1 of 2

Appropriated/ Transferred From	Description	Appropriated/ Transferred To	Description	Amount (\$)	Council Approval / Comments
Healthy & Safe Commu	nities (Tax Budget)				
Reserve#108020 Acct#49412	Unallocated Capital Levy	Project#7642341102 Acct#49412	Station 30 Renovations	812,000.00	Station 30 Renovations - Project #7642341102 was approved in the 2023 Capital Budget for a total of \$688,000, funded from the discretionary block funding shared equally between Fire and Paramedics. The initial Class D estimate for exterior wall cladding and overhead door frame repairs was completed in early 2023 and was estimated at a cost of \$691,700. The Class A estimate completed later in 2023 was estimate at \$1,500,000. This is a request to increase the budget for this project to fund the difference in estimated costs.
Project#7402251100 Acct#49412	Annual Equipment Replacement	Project#7402351100 Acct#49412	Annual Equipment Replacement	412,221.19	Transfer of funds from 2022 to 2023 to consolidate the procurement of the volunteer pager system as well as other pieces of equipment including bunker gear, saws, and hazmat equipment. These items are currently budgeted under both years.
Healthy & Safe Commu	nities (Tax Budget) Total			\$ 1,224,221.19	
Public Works (Tax Budg	get)				
Reserve#108020 Acct#49412	Unallocated Capital Levy	Project#4032319109 Acct#49412	Wilson - Victoria to Sherman - CASH FLOW	1,572,000.00	Through the Budget Process, Council has approved \$6,550,000 (\$2.8M of which is Cash Flowed in 2025) for this project. However, in the process of detailed design for Wilson St., scop was added for the resurfacing of a portion of Ashley St. (\$100K) and the addition of a pedestrian crossing at the intersection of Wilso St. and Emerald St. N. (\$200K) as a request by the Council/residents at the PIC, including the addition of traffic signal infrastructure. It was al determined that additional funds would be required in the roads budget to account for excess soils costs (roughly \$1.1M). In addition, \$172K is required to offset inflation and increased labour costs. These works are LRT related so there is a risk to LRT schedule shou we delay the tendering of this contract.

Page 341 of 341 Appendix "D" to FCS23095(a)

Page 2 of 2

CITY OF HAMILTON CAPITAL PROJECTS BUDGET APPROPRIATIONS OF \$250,000 OR GREATER AND CAPITAL PROJECT RESERVE FUNDING REQUIRING COUNCIL APPROVAL FOR THE PERIOD COVERING JULY 1, 2023 THROUGH SEPTEMBER 30, 2023

Ammunuinte -1/		Annuaniate-1/			
Appropriated/ Transferred From	Description	Appropriated/ Transferred To	Description	Amount (\$)	Council Approval / Comments
Reserve#108020 Acct#49412	Unallocated Capital Levy		CP Minor Mtnc Ward 3 CCBF		Council has previously approved \$2M for this project. However, in the process of detailed design for Sherman Ave. N., scope was added for the full reconstruction of the portion of the road between Wilson Street and Cannon St. E., and the addition of complete streets elements (\$300K). It was also determined that additional funds would be required in the roads budget to account for excess soils costs (roughly \$130K) and the reconfiguration of the intersection of Wilson St. and Cannon St. E. In addition, \$52K is required to offset inflation and increased labour costs. These works are LRT related so there is a risk to LRT schedule should we delay the tendering of this contract.
Project#7102054003 Acct#49412	Alexander Park Community Hub Feasit	Project#4241609110 Acct#49412	Recreation & Community Hub	273,416.95	Alexander Park Community Hub Feasibility was created for the Feasibility phase of the Alexander Park field house project. This appropriation consolidates the balance to Project#4241609110 for design & construction.
Public Works (Tax Budg	et) Total			\$ 2,327,416.95	
Public Works (Rate Bud	get)				
Reserve#108015 Acct#49412	Waterworks Capital	Project#5142371309 Acct#49412	Wilson - Victoria to Sherman	2,729,000.00	Through the Budget Process, Council has approved \$5,000,000 for this project. However, in the process of detailed design for the watermains on Wilson St., scope was added for an additional valve chamber and associated works (\$600K) for operational purposes. It was also determined that additional funds would be required in the watermain budget to account for excess soils costs (roughly \$1.1M), and the replacement of sanitary laterals (\$750K) that will be directly impacted by the watermain
					construction. In addition, \$279K is required to
Public Works (Rate Bud	get) Total			\$ 2,729,000.00	construction. In addition, \$279K is required to offset inflation and increased labour costs. These works are LRT related so there is a risk to LRT schedule should we delay the tendering of this