

MINUTES

**HALTON-HAMILTON SOURCE PROTECTION COMMITTEE
SPECIAL MEETING #4-11
Halton-Hamilton Source Protection Committee
Christ Church, Flamboro
92 Hwy 8, Greensville
June 27, 2011 2:30pm to 4:30pm**

SPC Attendees:			
David Simpson	Susan Fielding (left early)	Glenn Powell	Peter Ashenhurst
Gavin Smuk	Dave Braden	Paul Attack	Nick DiGirolamo
Doug Cuthbert	Teri Yamada		
Regrets SPC/Other Regrets:			
Judi Partridge	Melanie Horton	Kathy Menyes	Bob Edmondson
Barry Lee	Andrea Doherty	Chris Shrive	Turlough Finan
Other Attendees:			
Diane Bloomfield Project Manager HHSPR	Wesley Wright, MOE Liaison	Virginia Bancur Project Assistant HHSPR	
Jean Williams, Conservation Halton	Brian Wright, NP SPA	Beverley Thorpe, CTC	
Rodney Bouchard, Region of Peel	David King, Health Liaison		

ITEM	TOPIC/DISCUSSION	ACTION REQUIRED
	<p>it available for us to review?</p> <p>A. Yes, Peel Region will share this report. The study indicated that Lake Ontario raw water quality is generally good for all scenarios.</p> <p>Q. Why was 100 cfu/100 mL E. Coli used as the threshold for a significant threat?</p> <p>A. This is the recreational water quality standard and typically analysis results are less than this value.</p> <p>Hamilton has requested that Environment Canada's model developed for Hamilton Harbour be used to identify threats to their drinking water supply. This model is more refined with a smaller grid and represents the shoreline and processes better in this area.</p> <p>Q. What is CTC doing? - Bev Thorpe</p> <p>A. An updated proposed assessment report for the Credit Valley SPA is out for public consultation now and will be submitted to the MOE on July 29. Another update will be completed in the fall. They are working with industry representatives whose product could be a threat, e.g., petroleum sector. No direction yet on what the policies will be for IPZ-3 threats. They expect that the Minister of the Environment will use the identified significant threats in IPZ-3s to set Great Lakes targets. Possibly the design of wastewater treatment plant outflows could be regulated to protect the source water better.</p> <p>Q. What is Niagara doing? – Brian Wright</p> <p>A. Want to ensure that the work is complete before they bring it into their assessment report. It will be next round of source protection planning when the plan will be amended.</p> <p>Staff proposed that due to the preliminary nature of the Lake Ontario Collaborative work and its incomplete status for the Halton-Hamilton Region that the work be included in the source protection plans when an amendment is made in 2013 to bring in the Tier 3 water budget results for the Kelso and Campbellville municipal supplies.</p> <p>Doug Cuthbert asked each SPC member what their comfort level was with accepting the Lake Ontario Collaborative work and updating the assessment reports.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Hamilton IPZ-2 should be improved before we proceed with an IPZ-3. Staff have moved forward on defining the IPZ-2 better and have received information from the City of Hamilton and the Hamilton Conservation Authority. The delineation is yet to be completed and will take time. - Knowing that the plans will be updated in the future is good since the current analyses are preliminary. - If we have some information we should include it and just mention that it is preliminary. - Might be better to wait till the work is finished and put it out in 2013. 	<p>copy of the QMRA study.</p>

