

INFORMATION REPORT

TO: Chair and Members
Open for Business Sub-Committee

COMMITTEE DATE: November 28, 2012

SUBJECT/REPORT NO:
Business Licensing Fee Review (PD01104(g)) (City Wide)

SUBMITTED BY:
Tim McCabe
General Manager
Planning and Economic Development
Department

SIGNATURE:

WARD(S) AFFECTED: CITY WIDE

WARD(S) AFFECTED: CITY WIDE

Council Direction:

Planning Committee, on August 14, 2012, considered Report PD01104(f) (*Business Licence Fee Review*) and referred it to the Open for Business Sub-Committee.

Information:

On August 22, 2001, City Council endorsed a cost recovery approach to license fees for the amalgamated City, and established fees which represented full cost recovery at that time.

City Council on March 7, 2012, approved increasing licensing fees to reflect full cost recovery for some categories and partial cost recovery for others, and directed staff to "report back on a phase-in, cost recovery approach for 2013 and onward".

On August 14, 2012, Report PD01104(f), Business License Fee Review was presented to the Planning Committee and, subsequently, referred to the Open for Business Sub-Committee. Discussion at the Planning Committee related to the formula utilized to determine the cost recovery values.

To determine the actual cost recovery for a business licence, the calculation is based on the following:

| Administration Costs Enforcement Costs Court Prosecution Costs | ÷ | Number of Licences Issued | = | Business License Fee |
|--|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|
|--|---|---------------------------------|---|-------------------------|

The details of the cost categories are as follows:

- (a) 1. Administration Overall costs divided by the number of licences issued:
 - . Overhead
 - ii. Salary/Wages
 - iii. IT Costs
 - iv. Infrastructure
 - v. License Expenses
 - 2. Processing:
 - i. Preparation
 - ii. Application Administration
 - iii. Inspections
- (b) Enforcement Costs of enforcement vary per license category as some license types require additional enforcement relative to others:
 - 1. Salaries/Wages
 - Expenses
 - 3. Pro-active Enforcement Costs (Overtime, etc.)
- (c) Prosecution:
 - Salaries/Wages
 - 2. Expenses
- (d) Number of Licences Issued = 7,983 (2011)

The above is based on a complete cost recovery model where all expenses associated with the licence are recovered through the license fee but did not include supplementary costs such as Licensing Tribunals, Licensing By-law updates, technology updates or management/financial support staff.

SUBJECT: Business Licensing Fee Review (PD01104(g)) (City Wide) - Page 3 of 3

Additional revenues are obtained through the year in the form of fines, charges, etc. but are not predictable on a yearly basis. The goal is compliance by license holders whereby there would not be any fines or charges. These revenues cannot be predicted as compliance would reduce fines or charges and we do not control fees for service received or the fines issued by the Court.

It should be noted that without full cost recovery of the Business License Fees, the shortfall would fall onto the general levy.

Seasonal Produce Vendors

At the Planning Committee meeting there was discussion regarding the increase in the Business License Fee for Seasonal Produce Vendors. For clarity, this licensing category does not require a farmer's road side produce stand to be licensed where the produce is sold as part of the farming operation, nor does it cover farm markets for farmers who are vendors. This license category relates to produce sales on private property not associated with a farm operation. This license category is limited to a 45-day license term and would relate to seasonal produce, flowers, pumpkins and Christmas tree sales. The increase in fees is related to the ongoing higher levels of enforcement due to the number of illegal operations.

AF/dt Attach. (1)



CITY OF HAMILTON

PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Parking and By-law Services Division

TO: Chair and Members WARD(S) AFFECTED: CITY WIDE Planning Committee **COMMITTEE DATE:** August 14, 2012 SUBJECT/REPORT NO: Business Licensing Fee Review (PD01104(f)) (City Wide) (Outstanding Business List Item) SUBMITTED BY: PREPARED BY: Tim McCabe Shawn DeJager (905) 546-2424 Ext. 4721 General Manager Marty Hazell (905) 546-2454 Ext. 4588 Planning and Economic Development Department SIGNATURE:

RECOMMENDATIONS

- (a) That the City's User Fee and Charges By-Law be adjusted annually beginning in 2013 in accordance with the five year cost recovery phase-in strategy for Business License Fees, as contained in Appendix "A" to Report PD01104(f);
- (b) That, in addition to the annual adjustments identified in recommendation (a) above, the annual Corporate increase as approved annually by City Council also apply to the 67 partial cost recovery business license categories identified in Appendix "A" to Report PD01104(f);
- (c) That the five year cost recovery phase-in strategy for Business License Fees identified in recommendation (a) above be followed by another full business license fee review in 2018 and every three years thereafter;
- (d) That the annual budget variance associated with the five year cost recovery phase-in strategy in recommendation (a) above be funded first from Departmental surplus, then Corporate surplus and then the Tax Stabilization Reserve, if required;

SUBJECT: Business Licensing Fee Review PD01104(f) (City Wide) - Page 2 of 4

(e) That the item titled Business Licensing Fee Review be identified as completed and removed from the Planning Committee's Outstanding Business List.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2001, City Council endorsed a cost recovery approach to establishing business license fees for the amalgamated City. No further costing reviews were undertaken until early 2012 when City Council approved increasing licensing fees to reflect full cost recovery for some categories and partial cost recovery for the remaining 67 license categories fees. Staff was further directed to "report back on a phase-in, cost recovery approach for 2013 and onward". This Report responds to that direction.

Alternatives for Consideration – See Page 3

FINANCIAL / STAFFING / LEGAL IMPLICATIONS (for Recommendation(s) only)

Financial: The approved 2012 budget included a \$545,000 annual increase to licensing revenues to reflect full cost-recovery under current conditions. However, City Council, on March 7, 2012:

- approved increasing licensing fees to reflect full cost recovery for only some categories;
- directed staff to report back with a strategy for phasing-in the full increases for 2013 and onward for the remaining 67 other license categories; and,
- that the variance (approximately \$412,000) be funded first from Departmental surplus, then Corporate surplus and then Tax Stabilization Reserve, if required.

As the recommended strategy is for five years, the variance will need to be funded each budget thereafter until full cost recovery is obtained.

Staffing: N/A

Legal: In accordance with the provisions of the <u>Municipal Act</u>, 2001 a Municipality may establish license fees to reflect cost recovery (or less) but cannot base the fees solely on revenue generation or in an effort to discourage certain types of businesses.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND (Chronology of events)

On August 22, 2001, City Council endorsed a cost recovery approach to license fees for the amalgamated City, and established fees which represented full cost recovery at that time.

SUBJECT: Business Licensing Fee Review PD01104(f) (City Wide) - Page 3 of 4

City Council on March 7, 2012, approved increasing licensing fees to reflect full cost recovery for some categories and partial cost recovery for others, and directed staff to "report back on a phase-in, cost recovery approach for 2013 and onward".

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

City Council approved a cost recovery approach to Business Licensing Fees in 2001.

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

Corporate Services (Finance and Administration) was consulted in the preparation of this Report.

ANALYSIS / RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

(include Performance Measurement/Benchmarking Data, if applicable)

In Report PD01104(e) titled "Business Licensing Fee Review", a copy of which is attached as Appendix "B" to this Report, staff recommended increasing business license fees to reflect full cost recovery under current conditions (2011). The license fees recommended in that Report reflected cost recovery for administering the various types of business licences including all direct costs associated with administrating and enforcing, but did not include supplementary costs such as Licensing Tribunals, Licensing By-law updates, technology updates, management/financial support staff, etc. Any business license fee cost recovery phase-in strategy needs to be cognizant to the fact that with each year the costs associated with administering and enforcing are likely to increase. Therefore, in staff's opinion, it would be prudent to close the gap in an expedient manner, otherwise full cost recovery may never be achieved. The recommended five year phase-in strategy balances the increases to the remaining 67 business license fees over five years until full cost recovery is obtained in 2017.

It also recommended that the five year phase-in strategy be followed by another full business license fee review in 2018 and, every three years thereafter, or unless deemed necessary based on changing circumstances.

In addition to the recommended five year cost recovery phase-in strategy as identified in Appendix "A" to this Report, it is recommended that the Corporate increases approved annually by Council be applied each year to reduce potential future variances at the next Business License Fee Review in 2018.

SUBJECT: Business Licensing Fee Review PD01104(f) (City Wide) - Page 4 of 4

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

(include Financial, Staffing, Legal and Policy Implications and pros and cons for each alternative)

Council could approve a phase-in strategy over a shorter or longer term timeframe. However, a shorter timeframe would mean larger annual increases in the fees while a longer timeframe to achieve full cost-recovery would increase the potential for variance increases and substantial increases required to bridge the new gap when the next Business License Fee Review is completed.

CORPORATE STRATEGIC PLAN (Linkage to Desired End Results)

Focus Areas: 1. Skilled, Innovative and Respectful Organization, 2. Financial Sustainability, 3. Intergovernmental Relationships, 4. Growing Our Economy, 5. Social Development, 6. Environmental Stewardship, 7. Healthy Community

Financial Sustainability

 Delivery of municipal services in a sustainable, innovative and cost effective manner.

APPENDICES / SCHEDULES

Appendix "A" to Report PD01104(f) - Recommended Five Year Cost Recovery Phasein Strategy for Business License Fees

Appendix "B" to Report PD01104(f) - Report PD01104(e) Business Licensing Fee Review

SDJ/MH/dt

Recommended Five Year Cost Recovery Phase-In Strategy for Business License Fees

| 67 Partial Cost Recovery License Fees | P F | Original roposed ull Cost ecovery Fee* | 25000 | Fee as oproved by committee on Feb 28th** | | ariance to Full Cost Recovery | Li In | 013-2017 cense Fee crease*** per year) |
|--|--------|--|-------|---|----|-------------------------------------|----------|---|
| Accomodations | | | | | | | | |
| Bed and Breakfast | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160,16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Recreational Camping Establishment | \$ | 424.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 263.84 | \$ | 52.77 |
| Mobile | | | | | | | | |
| Hawker/Peddler (motorized vehicle) | \$ | 424.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 263.84 | \$ | 52.77 |
| Seasonal Food Vendor | \$ | 424.00 | \$ | 218.40 | \$ | 205.60 | \$ | 41.12 |
| Sign Posters and Bill Distributor | \$ | 366.00 | \$ | 218.40 | \$ | 147.60 | \$ | 29.52 |
| Limousines (owner) | \$ | 624.00 | \$ | 495.04 | \$ | 128.96 | \$ | 25.79 |
| Limousines (driver) | \$ | 224.00 | \$ | 117.52 | \$ | 106.48 | \$ | 21.30 |
| Taxi Cab Owner (private) (Renewal) | \$ | 624.00 | \$ | 490.88 | \$ | 133.12 | \$ | 26.62 |
| Taxi Cab Owner (private) (New) | \$ | 4,200.00 | \$ | 4,007.12 | \$ | 192.88 | \$ | 38.58 |
| Taxi Cab Owner (transfer) | \$ | 724.00 | \$ | 722.80 | \$ | 1.20 | \$ | 0.24 |
| Taxi Cab Broker | \$ | 1,224.00 | \$ | 633.36 | \$ | 590.64 | \$ | 118.13 |
| Taxi Cab Driver | \$ | 224.00 | \$ | 117.52 | \$ | 106.48 | \$ | 21.30 |
| Building Exterior Cleaner | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 218.40 | \$ | 58.60 | \$ | 11.72 |
| Refreshment Vehicles | | | | | | | | |
| Class A | \$ | 424.00 | \$ | 218.40 | \$ | 205.60 | \$ | 41.12 |
| Class B | \$ | 424.00 | \$ | 218.40 | \$ | 205.60 | \$ | 41.12 |
| Class C | \$ | 374.00 | \$ | 218.40 | \$ | 155.60 | \$ | 31.12 |
| Transient Trader (3 month period) | \$ | 635.00 | \$ | 585.52 | \$ | 49.48 | \$ | 9.90 |
| <u>Services</u> | | | | | | | | |
| Eating Establishments | | | İ | | | | | |
| Bars and Nightclubs | \$ | 366.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 205.84 | \$ | 41.17 |
| Food Premises | \$ | 161.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 0.84 | \$ | 0.17 |
| Restaurant with Liquor Service | Į š | 236.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 75.84 | \$ | 15.17 |
| Public Garage | | | Ť | 100.10 | * | 10.01 | * | 10.17 |
| (A) Buying, Selling, Storing | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| (B1) Combined Engine & Body Work | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| (B2) Engine Work | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| (B3) Body Work | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| (C) Service Station | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| (D) Parking Lot | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| (E) Car Wash Only | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Public Halls | \$ | 435.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 274.84 | \$ | 54.97 |
| Places of Amusement | | | | | | | | |
| Amusement Arcade | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Amusement Rides | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Billiard / Bagatelle Tables | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Bingo Parlour | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Bowling Alley | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Carnival | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Circus | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Motor Vehicle Race Track | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Other | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Proprietary Club | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Roller Skating Rink | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Skateboarding, BMX Bikes | \$ | 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Kennels, Pet Shops | \$ | 266.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 105.84 | \$ | 21.17 |
| Personal Aesthetic Services Facility | \$ | 166.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 5.84 | \$ | 1.17 |
| Personal Wellness Services Establishment | \$ | 166.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 5.84 | \$ | 1.17 |

| 67 Partial Cost Recovery License Fees | Original Proposed Full Cost Recovery Fee* | 20000 | Fee as opproved by committee on Feb 28th** | Variance to Full Cost Recovery | | 2013-2017 License Fee Increase*** (per year) | |
|---|---|-------|--|--------------------------------------|--------|---|-------|
| Used Goods Services | | | | | | | |
| Antique Market/Flea Market | \$ 277.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 116.84 | \$ | 23.37 |
| Auctioneer | \$ 277.00 | \$ | 218.40 | \$ | 58.60 | \$ | 11.72 |
| Pawn Broker | \$ 435.10 | \$ | 308.00 | \$ | 127.10 | \$ | 25.42 |
| Precious Metals & Jewellery Dealers | \$ 435.10 | \$ | 308.00 | \$ | 127.10 | \$ | 25.42 |
| Salvage Yard | \$ 435.10 | \$ | 308.00 | \$ | 127.10 | \$ | 25.42 |
| Second-hand Shop | \$ 635.00 | \$ | 160.16 | \$ | 474.84 | \$ | 94.97 |
| Trade License Contractor | | | | | | | |
| Building Repair | \$ 277.00 | \$ | 197.60 | \$ | 79.40 | \$ | 15.88 |
| Plumber | \$ 277.00 | \$ | 197.60 | \$ | 79.40 | \$ | 15.88 |
| Heating, Ventilation & Air Conditioning | \$ 277.00 | \$ | 197.60 | \$ | 79.40 | \$ | 15.88 |
| Drainage | \$ 277.00 | \$ | 197.60 | \$ | 79.40 | \$ | 15.88 |
| Sprinkler and Fire Protection Installer | \$ 277.00 | \$ | 197.60 | \$ | 79.40 | \$ | 15.88 |
| <u>Trade License Masters</u> | | | | | | | |
| Building Repair | \$ 177.00 | \$ | 97.76 | \$ | 79.24 | \$ | 15.85 |
| Plumber | \$ 177.00 | \$ | 97.76 | \$ | 79.24 | \$ | 15.85 |
| Heating, Ventilation & Air Conditioning | \$ 177.00 | \$ | 97.76 | \$ | 79.24 | \$ | 15.85 |
| Drainage | \$ 177.00 | \$ | 97.76 | \$ | 79.24 | \$ | 15.85 |
| Sprinkler and Fire Protection Installer | \$ 177.00 | \$ | 97.76 | \$ | 79.24 | \$ | 15.85 |
| Other Fees | | | | | | | |
| Taxi Cab Priority list (prior to Sept 30th) | \$ 95.00 | \$ | 74.88 | \$ | 20.12 | \$ | 4.02 |
| Exam/Processing Fee | \$ 50.00 | \$ | 47.84 | \$ | 2.16 | \$ | 0.43 |
| Wheelchair Accessible Taxi Cab | \$ 10.00 | | #N/A | ŀ | #N/A | | #N/A |
| Photo ID Card | \$ 15.00 | \$ | 13.52 | \$ | 1.48 | \$ | 0.30 |
| License Certificate Replacement | \$ 15.00 | | #N/A | l | #N/A | | #N/A |
| License Plate Replacement | \$ 65.00 | | #N/A | | #N/A | | #N/A |
| Appeal to Licensing Committee | \$ 150.00 | | #N/A | | #N/A | | #N/A |
| Daily fee for Spare Taxi Cabs | \$ 25.00 | | #N/A | | #N/A | | #N/A |
| | | | | | | | |

^{*} Note: HST is not included in the Proposed Fees. If HST = "y", HST is collected in addition to the fee.

^{**} Approved by Council March 7, 2012

^{**} Annual Corporate increases (as approved) also applies to the 67 partial cost recovery business license fees.



CITY OF HAMILITON

PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Parking and By-law Services Division

TO: Chair and Members
Planning Committee

WARD(S) AFFECTED: CITY WIDE

COMMITTEE DATE: January 31, 2012

SUBJECT/REPORT NO:

Business Licensing Fee Review (PD01104(e)) (City Wide)

SUBMITTED BY:

Tim McCabe

General Manager

Planning and Economic Development

Department SIGNATUR

PREPARED BY:

Vincent Ormond 905-546-2424 Ext. 1358

Marty Hazell

905-546-2424 Ext. 4588

RECOMMENDATION

That the License Fees contained in Appendix "A" to Report PD01104(e) be approved and added to the City's User Fee and Charges By-law.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

In 2001, City Council endorsed a cost recovery approach to establishing business license fees for the amalgamated City. This Report recommends fee increases to reflect full cost recovery under current conditions.

FINANCIAL//STAFFING://LEGAL IMPLICATIONS (for Recommendation(s)/ronly)

Financial: The proposed license fees, if approved, will increase revenues by approximately \$545,000.00 annually, and this increase is included in the Department's 2012 budget estimates.

Staffing: N/A

SUBJECT: Business Licensing Fee Review (PD01104(e)) (City Wide) - Page 2 of 4 Page 8 of

Legal: In accordance with the provisions of the <u>Municipal Act, 2001</u> a Municipality may establish licence fees to reflect cost recovery (or less) but cannot base the fees solely on revenue generation or in an effort to discourage certain types of businesses.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND (Chronology of events)

In approving Report PD01104(a) on August 22, 2001, City Council endorsed a cost recovery approach to licence fees for the amalgamated City, and established fees which represented full cost recovery at that time. A fee review has not been undertaken since 2001, and now that the operational and enforcement deficiencies in Municipal Law Enforcement (MLE), as identified in the 2007 Operational Review, have been corrected, a true assessment of the costing can be conducted.

Since 2001 Business Licensing Fees have increased annually in accordance with corporate user fee increases, and the 2012 fees identified in Appendix "A" to this report include the 2.2% increase approved by City Council on November 16, 2011.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

This Report maintains the long standing practice of establishing Business Licensing Fees which reflect cost recovery as endorsed by Council in 2001.

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

Legal Services, Finance, Fleet Services and the Hamilton Police Service were consulted in the preparation of this Report.

ANALYSIS / RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

(include Performance Measurement/Benchmarking Data, if applicable)

The 2007 Operational Review of the (former) Standards and Licensing Section, approved by City Council on February 28, 2007, included several recommendations to correct deficiencies in business licensing responsibilities including the need for:

- (a) increased and consistent enforcement,
- (b) proactive inspections and monitoring,
- (c) public awareness; and,
- (d) improved records management.

SUBJECT: Business Licensing Fee Review (PD01104(e)) (City Wide) - Page 3 of 4

Licensing enforcement is now appropriately prioritized in the City's overall by-law enforcement program, with proactive inspections, public awareness and education being fundamental components. As a result, there has been a significant increase in revenue generating capacity and advancement of the Corporate goals respecting Community quality of life and public health and safety.

A licence fee review has not been undertaken since 2001, and now that the operational and enforcement deficiencies in the Municipal Law Enforcement Section, as identified in the 2007 Operational Review, have been corrected, a true assessment of the costing can be conducted.

A comprehensive examination of the current costs associated with administering and enforcing the various types of business licences reveals that the fees do not reflect full cost recovery under current conditions, and that fee increases are required as identified in Appendix "A" to this Report. Staff attempted to compare Hamilton's licence fees to those of other Ontario municipalities however, as not all municipalities use the cost recovery approach and have differing priorities, practices and organizations, a useful comparison could not be undertaken.

For the purpose of the current cost review, staff included all direct costs associated with administrating and enforcing the business licensing program, but did not include supplementary costs such as the Licensing Tribunals, Licensing By-law updates, technology updates, management and financial support staff, etc..

Some significant fee increases are being recommended in this report because:

- (i) a review has not been undertaken for 10 years,
- (ii) significantly more resources have been assigned to licensing since 2007,
- (iii) much more attention is now being assigned to monitoring businesses where the public risk is higher (i.e. Adult Services, Accommodation Services, Mobile Businesses, Service Industry Businesses, Used Goods Businesses and Trades),
- (iv) in recent years Council dealt with specific issues resulting in increased levels of licensing enforcement and/or administration such as:
 - Residential Care Facilities: In 2008/2009, following extensive stakeholder consultation, Council amended Schedule 20 (Residential Care Facilities) of the Licensing By-law 07-170 to address, in part, stakeholder concerns that a more thorough and consistent approach to enforcement was needed;
 - Taxicab inspections: In 2006 a licensed mechanic was assigned to do taxicab inspections at Council's direction; and

SUBJECT: Business Licensing Fee Review (PD01104(e)) (City Wide) - Page 4 of 4

Lodging Homes: More resources are being dedicated to lodging home issues as Council, in 2008, endorsed a Comprehensive Rooming House Strategy Pilot Project to improve the condition of existing lodging homes through inspections, enforcement, education and improved relationships with lodging home operators.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

(include Financial, Staffing, Legal and Policy Implications and pros and cons for each alternative)

Council may direct staff on any of the user fees.

In 2010, <u>The Retirement Homes Act, 2010</u> (RHA) was enacted by the Province. The corresponding regulations, expected to be in place by 2013, will significantly change the level of oversight required by the City. Therefore, Council could consider not increasing the licence fees for Residential Care Facilities at this time, but this would require reducing the 2012 revenue estimates in the 2012 budget by \$24,000.00.

CORPORATE STRATEGIC PLAN (Linkage to Desired End Results)

Focus Areas: 1. Skilled, Innovative and Respectful Organization, 2. Financial Sustainability, 3. Intergovernmental Relationships, 4. Growing Our Economy, 5. Social Development, 6. Environmental Stewardship, 7. Healthy Community

Healthy Community

 an efficient and effective by-law enforcement program is critical to Community quality of life and public safety.

APPENDICES / SCHEDULES

Appendix "A" to Report PD01104(e) - Proposed Licence Fees

VO/dt

| <u>Licensing Fee Review (PD01104(e))</u> Comparison of Current and Proposed Licensing Fees | (C | ty Wide)) App | enc | lix 'A' | ••••• | |
|--|-----------|----------------------|----------|--------------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Service or Activity Provided or Use of City Property | | 2012 Approved Fee | | 012 Proposed Fee ost Recovery) | % Change in | |
| Adult Services | | | | | | |
| Adult Entertainment Parlour | \$ | 4,497.00 | \$ | 5,235.00 | 16.4% | |
| Adult Entertainment Parlor Attendant | \$ | 225.00 | \$ | 435,00 | 93.3% | |
| Adult Entertainment Parlour Operator/Manager | \$ | 1,503.00 | \$ | 1,535.00 | 2.1% | |
| Adult Film Theatre | \$ | 2,964.00 | \$ | 3,235.00 | 9.1% | |
| Adult Video Store Class A | \$ | 2,244.00 | \$ | 3,235.00 | 44.29 | |
| Adult Video Store Class B | \$ | 169.00 | \$ | 335.00 | 98.2% | |
| Body Rub Parlour Owner | \$ | 7,482.00 | \$ | 8,235.00 | 10.1% | |
| Body Rub Parlour Attendant | \$ | 307.00 | \$ | 435.00 | 41.7% | |
| Body Rub Parlour Operator/Manager | \$ | 307.00 | \$ | 1,235.00 | 302,3% | |
| Cigarette/Tobacco Sales | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 377.00 | 144.8% | |
| Accomodations | | | | | | |
| Bed and Breakfast | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% | |
| Lodging House | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 424,00 | 175.3% | |
| Mobile Home Park | \$ | 2,750.00 | \$ | 2,774.00 | 0.9% | |
| per site | \$ | 282.00 | \$ | 286,00 | 1.4% | |
| Recreational Camping Establishment | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 424,00 | 175.3% | |
| Residential Care Facility (4-10 Residents) | \$ | 159.00 | \$ | 585.00 | 267,9% | |
| Residential Care Facility (11 or more Residents) | \$ | 317.00 | \$ | 835.00 | 163.4% | |
| <u>Mobile</u> | | | | | | |
| Mobile Sign leasing or renting | \$ | 225,00 | \$ | 266,00 | 18.2% | |
| Hawker/Peddler (motorized vehicle) | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 424.00 | 175.3% | |
| Seasonal Food Vendor | \$ | 210.00 | \$ | 424.00 | 101.9% | |
| Sign Posters and Bill Distributor | \$ | 210.00 | \$ | 366,00 | 74.3% | |
| Limousines (owner) | \$ | 476.00 | \$ | 624.00 | 31.1% | |
| Limousines (driver) | \$ | 113.00 | \$ | 224.00 | 98.2% | |
| Taxi cab owner (private) (Renewal) | \$ | 472.00 | \$ | 624.00 | 32,2% | |
| Taxl cab owner (private) (New) | \$ | 3,853.00 | \$ | 4,200.00 | 9.0% | |
| Taxl cab owner (transfer) | \$ | 695,00 | \$ | 724.00 | 4.2% | |
| Taxl cab Broker Taxl cab Driver | \$ | 609.00 | \$ | 1,224.00 | 101.0% | |
| Building Exterior Cleaner | \$ | 113,00 | \$ | 224.00 | 98.2% | |
| Refreshment Vehicles | \$ | 210,00 | \$ | 277.00 | 31.9% | |
| Class A | , | 040.00 | • | 101.00 | 404.00 | |
| Class B | \$ | 210.00 210.00 | \$ | 424.00 | 101.9% 101.9% | |
| Class C | \$ | 210.00 | \$ \$ | 424.00 374.00 | 78.1% | |
| Translent Trader (3 month period) | \$ | 563.00 | \$ | 635.00 | 12.8% | |
| Services | | | | | | |
| <u>Eating Establishments</u> | | | | | | |
| Bars and Nightclubs | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 336.00 | 118.2% | |
| Food Premises | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 161.00 | 4.5% | |
| Restaurant with Liquor Service | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 236.00 | 53.2% | |
| Public Garage | | | | | | |
| (A) Buying, Selling, Storing | \$ | 154,00 | \$ | 277,00 | 79.9% | |
| (B1) Combined Engine & Body Work | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% | |
| (B2) Engine Work | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% | |
| (B3) Body Work | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277,00 | 79.9% | |
| (C) Service Station | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% | |
| (D) Parking Lot | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% | |
| (E) Car Wash Only | \$ | 154,00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% | |
| Public Halls | \$ | 154.00 | \$_ | 435.00 | 182.5% | |

| icensing Foo Povious/DD044044-11 | 7~ | 14 VA/! . L . V. A | | | |
|--|----------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|----------------|
| Licensing Fee Review (PD01104(e)) Comparison of Current and Proposed Licensing Fees | (C | ity Wide)) App | er | ndix 'A' | |
| 22panison of ourtent and Proposed Licensing Fees | т- | | _ | 0040 B | |
| Service or Activity Provided or Use of City Property | | 2012 Approved | 1 | 2012 Proposed | % |
| | | Fee | L | Fee | Change |
| | - | | Ц | Cost Recovery) | <u>In</u> |
| Places of Amusement | | | | | |
| Amusement Arcade | \$ | 154,00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% |
| Amusement Rides Billiard / Bagatelle Tables | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | | 79.9% |
| Bingo Parlour | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | | 79.9% |
| Bowling Alley | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | | 79.9% |
| Carnival | \$ \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% |
| Circus | \$ | 154.00 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% |
| Motor Vehicle Race Track | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 277.00 | 79.9% 79.9% |
| Other | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% |
| Proprietary Club Roller Skating Rink | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% |
| Skateboarding, BMX bikes | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% |
| Kennels, Pet Shops | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% |
| Personal Aesthetic Services Facility | \$ \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 266.00 | 72.7% |
| Personal Wellness Services Establishment | \$ | 154,00 154.00 | \$ \$ | 166.00 | 7.8% |
| | Ψ | 104.00 | Φ | 166.00 | 7.8% |
| Used Goods Services | | | | | |
| Antique Market/Flea Market | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 79.9% |
| Auctioneer Roum Broken | \$ | 210.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 31.9% |
| Pawn Broker Precious Metals & Jewellery Dealers | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 435.00 | 182.5% |
| Salvage Yard | \$ | 154.00 | \$ | 435.00 | 182.5% |
| Second-hand Shop | \$ \$ | 154.00 154.00 | \$ | 435.00 | 182.5% |
| | Ψ | 154.00 | ф | 635.00 | 312.3% |
| Trade Licence Contractor Bullding Repair | | | | | |
| Plumber | \$ | 190.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 45.8% |
| Heating, Ventilation & Air Conditioning | \$ | 190.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 45.8% |
| Drainage | \$ \$ | 190.00 | \$ | 277.00 | 45.8% |
| Sprinkler and Fire Protection Installer | \$ | 190.00 190.00 | \$ \$ | 277.00 277.00 | 45.8% 45.8% |
| Trado Lloopeo Master- | | 100100 | Ψ | 277.00 | 40,0% |
| Trade Licence Masters Building Repair | | | | | |
| Dissertion | \$ | 94.00 | \$ | 177.00 | 88.3% |
| Months Man Halland All a min | \$ \$ | 94.00 | \$ | 177.00 | 88.3% |
| Drainage | Ф \$ | 94.00 94.00 | \$ \$ | 177.00 | 88.3% |
| | \$ | 94.00 | φ \$ | 177.00 177.00 | 88.3% 88.3% |
| Other Fees | | | | | 00.070 |
| Tayl cah Priority list (prior to Cont 2011) | _ | | | | |
| Every/Dressessing Fee | \$ | 72.00 | \$ | 95.00 | 31.9% |
| Wheel chair accessible Taxl cab | \$ \$ | 46.00 7.00 | \$ | 50.00 | 8.7% |
| A draging interesting the second seco | \$ | 59.00 | \$ \$ | 10.00 | 42.9% |
| liconos ro instatament for the total | \$ | 59.00 | \$ | 60.00 60.00 | 1.7% |
| Photo ID Cord | \$ | 13.00 | \$ | į. | 1.7% |
| Licence certificate replacement | \$ | 13.00 | φ \$ | 15.00 | 15.4% |
| Linnan wists were to | \$ | 62.00 | Ф \$ | 15.00 | 15.4% |
| Appeal to Licencing committee | \$ | 118.00 | φ \$ | 65.00 | 4.8% |
| Americal conservation of the state of the st | \$ | | Ф \$ | 150.00 | 27.1% |
| Dolly for for an analysis at | Ψ \$ | 1 | Ф \$ | 150.00 | 0.7% |
| Taylook /limited interest success | \$ | 69.00 | | 25.00 70.00 | 19.0% 1.4% |
| 1. | | • | Ψ | 70.00 | 1.4% |
| * Note: HST is not included in the 2011 Approved and 2012 Proposed F | ee | s. If HST = "y", | | | |