CITY OF HAMILTON INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT 2013-16 COMMUNITY AND EMERGENCY SERVICES HOMES FOR THE AGED – ACCOMMODATION FEES AND TRUST FUNDS

		RECOMMENDATION FOR	
#	OBSERVATIONS OF EXISTING SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN
1.	 Power of Attorney (POA) for Property Residents may have appointed a POA for Property who is legally authorized to make decisions regarding the resident's finances and receive financial information. Residents have the option to maintain a Personal Trust Account (PTA) administered by the home. This acts as a bank account to withdraw funds or charge for optional services authorized on the Purchases of Services Agreement. A review of ten residents' PTAs at each Lodge identified: Three PTA statements sent to and two Purchases of Services Agreements signed by a POA for Property without legal documentation supporting the appointment of the individual. One Purchases of Services Agreement signed by and sent to an individual other than the POA for Property on record. 	That legal documentation supporting the appointment of the POA for Property be required on admission and signing of the Purchase of Services Agreement.	Disagreed. Not all residents have assigned a POA for Property on Admission. Residents may request that family members or friends be responsible for ensuring that their finances are managed when they are no longer able. These family members and friends are privy to the transactions through the Personal Trust Accounts and deposit funds into the residents' accounts, as required. The family member or friend cannot withdraw any funds without the POA for Property. On admission, residents capable of making decisions regarding their finances, but who do not have a Power of Attorney for Property, will now be requested to sign a form stating that they give permission to the person of their choice for access to their financial information.

		RECOMMENDATION FOR	
#	OBSERVATIONS OF EXISTING SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN
	Power of Attorney (POA) for Property (Cont'd)		
1.	 PTA statements sent to the residents' billing contacts who, on occasion, differ from the POAs for Property. Without adequate legal documentation supporting the individuals' appointment as POA for Property, residents' confidential financial information may be released to or decisions on behalf of residents made by unauthorized parties. 	That all PTA statements be addressed only to the resident or POA for Property.	Disagreed. The PTA statements are addressed to the billing contacts who may not be the POAs for Property. The billing contact is the person that the resident has chosen to manage his/her finances. The billing contact needs to know what transactions have occurred every quarter and is requested to deposit funds into the PTA for those expenses agreed to on the Purchase of Services Agreement.
	Further, family or friends may make deposits into residents' PTAs. They are provided receipts showing the trust account balances. This results in residents' confidential financial information being released to unauthorized parties.	That resident account balances be blacked out on deposit receipts provided to individuals other than the resident or POA for Property.	Partially disagreed. For the same reasons as noted above, the regular person depositing funds who is either a family member or friend and who does not hold a POA for Property should see the balance to ensure there are sufficient funds for ongoing charges. However, on admission, residents capable of making decisions regarding their finances, but who do not have a Power of Attorney for Property, will be requested to sign a form stating that they give permission to the person of their choice for access to their financial information. Other individuals simply depositing funds for the resident (e.g. as gifts) will not be provided with the trust account balance on the receipt.

		RECOMMENDATION FOR	
#	OBSERVATIONS OF EXISTING SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN
2.	Authorized Services On admission, the Admissions Counsellor enters into Point Click Care (PCC) the authorized optional services allowed to be charged to a resident's PTA from the authorization provided by the signed Purchase of Services Agreement. One resident at Macassa Lodge and three residents at Wentworth Lodge were identified with services authorized / not authorized in PCC that differed from their signed Agreement. In fact, two residents in the sample tested at Wentworth Lodge had charges to their PTA for services that were not authorized on their signed Agreement.	off on the verification of authorizations on the Purchase of Services Agreement against entries in Point Click Care to confirm the accuracy of information entered by	verify (initial) the services indicated on the Purchase of Services Agreement against
	For certain services, a listing of authorized residents is provided to the service provider, using information from PCC. The Business Office Clerk also checks the PCC when service providers request confirmation of resident authorization for services. If this information is not accurate, it can result in unauthorized services being charged to resident accounts.		

		RECOMMENDATION FOR	
#	OBSERVATIONS OF EXISTING SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN
3.	<u>Sequential Ordering</u> Deposits and withdrawals made to/from a resident's PTA are supported by a signed slip or batch. The slips and batches are automatically pre-numbered by Point Click Care, the system used to track PTA balances and transactions.		
	The Business Office Clerk records the sequences on the daily reconciliations. During the month of July, one slip and nine deposit batches at Macassa and two deposit batches at Wentworth were omitted from the recorded sequence listing. However, they were attached as supporting documentation. There was no evidence of review to ensure slips and batches were accounted for in sequential order.	and batches include checking their	Agreed. The month-end review will include the checking of the sequential ordering of slips and batches and will ensure that they match supporting documentation. To be implemented by February 2014.
	In addition, the sequential ordering of withdrawal batches is not consistently recorded or reviewed. These batches are not signed off as evidence of management review for items paid from cash.		Agreed. The sequential ordering of withdrawal batches will be recorded on the daily reconciliation. Has been implemented in January 2014.
	When the sequence of transactions is not reviewed, the risk of fraudulent transactions being posted through PCC to cover misappropriated resident funds increases.	That management signoff on withdrawal batches for items paid by cash as evidence of review.	Agreed. The Business Office Supervisor will sign off on withdrawal batches for items paid by cash. Has been implemented in January 2014.

#		RECOMMENDATION FOR	
#	OBSERVATIONS OF EXISTING SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN
4.	<u>Cash Box Maximums</u> Funds are available for residents requesting withdrawals from their PTAs through the use of a cash box at each Lodge. The Cash Box Maximum policy states that no more than \$2,000 and \$1,500 are to be kept in the cash tray at Macassa and Wentworth Lodges respectively. Cash tray balances exceeded the maximum at Macassa Lodge for 13 days during the test month of July 2013. Excess cash available to clerks increases the risk of misappropriation, lapping or theft of funds.	That the Business Office Supervisor transfer funds exceeding the cash box maximums (as per policy) into the safe.	Agreed. A new practice was introduced in late 2013 whereby the Business Office Clerks email their final closing cash balances daily to the Business Office Supervisor to ensure that the cash boxes do not exceed the maximum as per policy. On occasion, the cash box may exceed the amount if there is, for example, a shopping trip planned for the next day for residents and additional cash may be required. Has been implemented in October 2013.
5.	Security - Personal Trust Deposits Residents or families may place cash or cheques for deposit in the residents' PTAs through the mail slot of the Business Office outside regular business hours. These amounts land on the desk inside the Business Office. Management and maintenance and housekeeping staff all have access to this area outside regular business hours. In addition, at Wentworth, all clerks with a key can access the office after hours. When access is not restricted, the potential for unauthorized entry and misappropriation of cash and cheques is increased.	•	Agreed. The purchase/installation of locked boxes at both locations is currently being investigated. To be completed by March 2014.

		RECOMMENDATION FOR	
#	OBSERVATIONS OF EXISTING SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN
6.	Safeguarding of Cheques Accommodation cheques, whether received at Macassa or Wentworth Lodge, are endorsed when the payment is processed (approximately weekly). Even though the cheques are kept locked up, the potential for loss, theft or misappropriation is increased when cheques are not endorsed when received.	That accommodation cheques are restrictively endorsed immediately upon receipt.	Agreed. Cheques are now endorsed upon receipt. Has been implemented in January 2014.
	Accommodation payments for both Lodges are processed by the Financial Assistant (FA) at Macassa Lodge. Cheque payments for accommodation invoices may be mailed or dropped at the Lodge. When payments are received at Wentworth Lodge, they are not logged and are subsequently placed in interoffice mail to be delivered to the Financial Assistant at Macassa Lodge for processing. When cheques received are not logged and handled by multiple parties, in addition to not being endorsed as noted above, the risk of misappropriation or loss is increased. Additional time may be spent trying to track	cheques received at Wentworth Lodge be kept by the Business Office Clerk. The date the cheques are sent through interoffice mail	Agreed. The Business Office Clerk at Wentworth Lodge will email the FA1 at Macassa a copy of the log when the Business Office at Wentworth is sending the cheques in the interoffice mail to the FA1 to process so that she is informed that the cheques are being sent. The FA1 will use the log as a double check to ensure that she has received all the cheques sent. To be implemented in March 2014.

		RECOMMENDATION FOR	
#	OBSERVATIONS OF EXISTING SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN
7.	Segregation of Duties - Administration The Business Office Supervisor (BOS) reviews the cheque receipts for accommodation payments (as recorded by the Financial Assistant (FA)), prepares the deposit and takes it to the bank. When the BOS is away, the FA prepares and makes the deposit. The FA also prepares invoices, posts payments in Point Click Care and records journal entries in the PeopleSoft accounts.	That accommodation payments and deposits be prepared and reviewed by another staff (independent of the FA) in the absence of the BOS.	Agreed. In the absence of the BOS, another member of the Management Team will sign off on accommodation payments and deposits. To be implemented in February 2014.
	This does not provide adequate segregation of duties as the FA would bill, collect, record and deposit accommodation funds when she fills in for the BOS.		
8.	Segregation of Duties – Personal Trust Accounts A part-time clerk performs monthly reviews of residents' PTAs which include reviewing daily and monthly reconciliations and backup. On occasion, the same part-time clerk fills in for the Business Office Clerk and receives / distributes cash to residents and prepares daily reconciliations. As a result, the part-time clerk could review his/her own reconciliations and transactions at the end of the month. When there is no independent review of daily reconciliations, the clerk has the opportunity to change documentation, conceal shortages and misappropriate funds.	review and signoff on daily	Agreed. The Business Office Supervisor signs off on those daily reconciliations completed by the Lodge Clerk responsible for monthly audits. Has been implemented in December 2013.

		RECOMMENDATION FOR	
#	OBSERVATIONS OF EXISTING SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN
9.	<u>Updated Accommodation Agreements</u> When residents internally transfer from one accommodation type to another (e.g. basic to semi private), the Business Office Supervisor will run a report in PCC of internal transfers and prepare and send an updated accommodation agreement to be signed by the resident or the POA for Property. If a resident does not physically change rooms but the room he/she resides in changes types, it does not show on the PCC report and the BOS will not be alerted to send an updated agreement. One instance was noted of this occurring in the sample	That the BOS review the Monthly Census Report for internal transfers to ensure new agreements are requested for all changes.	Agreed. The Business Office Supervisor will review the Monthly Census Report and the PCC Action Summary report to ensure new Accommodation Agreements are completed, as required. To be implemented in February 2014.
	Updated agreements are required as evidence of the accommodation type and rate agreed to by the resident or his/her representative.		

CITY OF HAMILTON INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT 2013-16 COMMUNITY AND EMERGENCY SERVICES HOMES FOR THE AGED – ACCOMMODATION FEES AND TRUST FUNDS

ADDENDUM

The following items were noted during the course of the audit. Although they do not present internal control deficiencies, they are indicated in this Addendum so management is aware of the issues and can address them appropriately.

Bad Debt Reimbursement

 The Local Health Integration Network (LHIN) will reimburse one half (50%) of eligible bad debt expenses. Bad debts are to be reported on the annual return prepared by the long term care Home. Bad debts were reported for reimbursement on the 2011 annual return - \$10,536 at Macassa and \$71,194 at Wentworth (there were no write offs during 2012 and 2013 has not yet been completed). The 2011 reimbursement was denied by the Ministry in 2013 as the original charges were not billed for the 2011 year (but were approved by Council for write off in 2011).

It is recommended:

That the Business Administrator obtain clarification on the timing of filing bad debts for reimbursement to ensure criteria are met on future submissions.

Management Response:

Agreed. Ministry procedure regarding write-offs was ambiguous and further clarification regarding the timing of filing of bad debts for reimbursement has been obtained. A cost benefit analysis will be undertaken for 2012 returns to determine if amendments are required. Current City practices regarding the reporting of bad debts now reflect Ministry guidelines.

It is recommended:

That the Business Administrator follow up with the Ministry of Health and Long-Term Care to determine if previous annual returns can be amended and bad debts submitted for reimbursement.

Management Response:

Agreed. Amounts reported on the 2011 annual returns were historical prior year amounts and could not be settled with the Ministry of Health and Long Term Care for 50% reimbursement. Years prior to 2012 cannot be amended.