# CITY OF HAMILTON INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT 2012-09 CITY VEHICLE USE, ALLOWANCES & MILEAGE CLAIMS FOLLOW UP

	FOLLOW UP				
	OBSERVATION OF EXISTING	RECOMMENDATION FOR	MANAGEMENT ACTION	FOLLOW UP	
#	SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	PLAN	(JULY 2014)	
1.	Vehicle and Parking Policies City vehicle and parking policies are missing procedures to monitor and oversee department activities and the	That Public Works (Fleet) update the City Vehicle Policy and Human Resources	Public Works, Central Fleet Section will work with the	In Progress. The City Vehicle section of the City's Central Fleet Policy was re-	
	roles and responsibilities for operational management and corporate functions.  Although the <i>City Vehicle Policy</i> describes criteria to assign a corporate vehicle and defines	update the <i>Employee Parking Policy (Downtown)</i> to capture the items identified in the observations. In addition, Payroll should develop additions to both the noted	Committee to revise and update the policies and procedures for assignment of a City vehicle section of the	drafted in September 2013 and circulated for review by the Central Fleet Advisory Committee (CFAC). Changes are underway as a result of the CFAC members	
	personal use, the <i>Policy</i> lacks:  • Documentation of the department's rationale and approval, vehicle specifications and modifications to previous vehicle assignments;	policies to include pertinent taxable benefit implications. All these policies should be reviewed and updated on an annual basis. The reviewer	This revision will include recommendations on the rationale for assignment of a take home vehicle. It will	review. The anticipated completion date is quarter 2, 2015. Service Delivery Review (SDR) findings may also require further policy	
	<ul> <li>Guidelines requiring department management to assess continued vehicle assignment to an employee as well as the cost effectiveness of providing a City vehicle (including gas, maintenance, etc.) as opposed to claiming mileage for use of a personal vehicle;</li> <li>Administrative responsibilities of</li> </ul>	and date should be documented in the policy as evidence that the review occurred. Revised policies should be distributed to and followed by all staff.	responsibilities for approval tracking and monitoring of personal use and outline administrative responsibilities and the allowable uses of City vehicles assigned for take home purposes. The target completion date is December, 2013.	adjustments.	
	<ul> <li>the employee, management, Fleet and Payroll; and</li> <li>Procedures to track and monitor the use of vehicles on a consistent basis in all departments.</li> </ul>		Human Resources: Agreed. Human Resources is able to revise the current <i>Employee Parking Policy (Downtown)</i> to capture changes required. This will be done after consultation with Hamilton Municipal Parking System by quarter 1, 2014. The procedure for paid	In Progress. The Employee Parking Policy has been updated to reflect taxable benefit implications. The Employer Paid Parking Procedure has also been drafted. They are still subject to final approval by SMT.	

FOL	OLLOW UP – JULY 2014					
	OBSERVATION OF EXISTING	RECOMMENDATION FOR	MANAGEMENT ACTION	FOLLOW UP		
#	SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	PLAN	(JULY 2014)		
1.	Vehicle and Parking Policies (Cont'd) The Employee Parking Policy (Downtown) lacks instruction to document and gain approval for employees with paid parking in non-municipal lots.  In addition, both vehicle and parking policies lack reference to the taxable benefit implications, communication with Payroll and the associated forms and information required to complete taxable benefit calculations.		parking in non-municipal parking lots will require some research to determine what currently occurs departmentally in order to establish an effective corporate protocol. Completion expected by quarter 1, 2014.  Payroll: Payroll will work with Fleet and HR to include the pertinent taxable benefit implications in the policies and will also participate in their annual review of their policies. The timing of this is dependent on the work to be done by Fleet and HR.	The anticipated completion date is quarter 4, 2014.		

	OBSERVATION OF EXISTING	RECOMMENDATION FOR	MANAGEMENT ACTION	FOLLOW UP
#	SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	PLAN	(JULY 2014)
2.	Employees are compensated for business-related travel through corporate vehicle assignments, mileage reimbursements, insurance and vehicle allowances, employer paid parking and discounted bus passes (EC Passes). Concurrent receipt of two or more of the above benefits should be prohibited due to:  The nature of the benefits involved (e.g. employees should not receive a vehicle allowance and claim mileage as both compensate for vehicle operating costs); or  Guidance in City policies and procedures (e.g. employees are ineligible for an EC Pass if they also receive employer paid parking).  Employee benefits received in the 2011 calendar year were reviewed and the following discrepancies indicate an inefficient use of resources	That Human Resources ensure employee travel benefit policies disallow concurrent receipt of the following benefits:  Vehicle allowance and vehicle benefit  Vehicle allowance and mileage claim  Vehicle allowance and EC Pass  Vehicle benefit and mileage claim  EC Pass and employer paid parking  EC Pass and vehicle benefit	Agreed. Currently, the employee business travel related policy and related procedures are owned and administered by Finance, Corporate Services. As vehicle allowance, vehicle benefit, EC Pass and employer paid parking are all associated with an employee's compensation package, Human Resources will take the lead on the development of this "travel benefit policy". Human Resources will collaborate with Corporate Services and Public Works in the development of this policy. The target completion date is quarter 1, 2014.	Initiated. The Travel Benefit Policy is being drafted and is slated for review in August 2014 with approval by SMT anticipated in quarter 4, 2014.
	<ul> <li>and lack of oversight:</li> <li>Three employees with assigned City vehicles claimed mileage for their personal vehicles. No documentation accompanied the reimbursement justifying why mileage should be paid out to an employee who has a City vehicle.</li> </ul>	That Payroll generates lists of employees receiving the travel benefit combinations outlined above and forward this information to departmental management to investigate and resolve, as necessary.	Agreed. Payroll will work with IS to develop a report that will capture the recommended benefit combinations. The report generation can then be added to the payroll schedule. The report should be developed by the end of quarter 2, 2013.	Completed. The report indicating employees receiving more than one travel benefit was developed in December, 2013. Starting July 2014, the report is run every month with any discrepancies being sent to departmental management for investigation.

#	OBSERVATION OF EXISTING SYSTEM	RECOMMENDATION FOR STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN	FOLLOW UP (JULY 2014)
2.	<ul> <li>Benefit Comparisons (Cont'd)</li> <li>Four employees held an EC Pass and received employer-paid parking concurrently.</li> <li>One employee was assigned a City vehicle and was provided a discounted bus pass.</li> </ul>			

щ	OBSERVATION OF EXISTING	RECOMMENDATION FOR	MANAGEMENT ACTION	FOLLOW UP
#		STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	PLAN	(JULY 2014)
3.	Paid Parking Eligibility Employees who use their vehicle for City business at least three times per week are eligible for employer paid parking under the Employee Parking Policy (Downtown). The Employer Paid Parking Procedure for Confirming Continued Eligibility contains a trip analysis to evaluate whether employees remain eligible to receive employer paid parking. Employees must use their vehicle for City business a minimum of 126 times per year or ten times per month (where prorating is required) to maintain their eligibility. As this Procedure was approved in September 2011, management will perform an analysis for the 2012 calendar year in 2013.  For testing purposes, the vehicle requirement above (126 times/year) was prorated for nine months for the 373 employees who receive paid parking under the above policy and procedures. Only 36 employees (10%) met or exceeded the required 90 trips to remain eligible. The remaining 337 employees (90%) either had not	That Corporate Services remind staff to report all trips for the 2012 calendar year.	PLAN	FOLLOW UP (JULY 2014)  Completed. The reminder was included in the November 2012 and 2013 Connections bulletin.

#	OBSERVATION OF EXISTING SYSTEM	RECOMMENDATION FOR STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN	FOLLOW UP (JULY 2014)
3.	Paid Parking Eligibility (Cont'd) Unless employees report additional trips for the 2012 calendar year, a number of employees may not meet eligibility criteria for employer paid parking when management carries out their analysis in 2013.			

#	OBSERVATION OF EXISTING SYSTEM	RECOMMENDATION FOR STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	MANAGEMENT ACTION PLAN	FOLLOW UP (JULY 2014)
4.	Insurance Allowances Collective agreement provisions entitle unionized employees to an allowance up to \$200 per year for additional insurance charges incurred to endorse their personal vehicle for business use.  During sample testing, it was found that 13 non-union employees were reimbursed for business use vehicle insurance during the 2011 calendar year.  No clear direction exists as to whether non-union employees are entitled to an insurance allowance.	determine whether insurance allowances should be	policy from Corporate Services indicates that staff can submit a request for re-imbursement	2013, Council approved up to \$200 per year for additional insurance charges incurred for use of a personal vehicle for business purposes to be extended to non-union employees effective

	OBSERVATION OF EXISTING	RECOMMENDATION FOR	MANAGEMENT ACTION	FOLLOW UP
#	SYSTEM	STRENGTHENING SYSTEM	PLAN	(JULY 2014)
5.	Mileage Claims An employee must complete a Statement of Mileage and Other Expenses form in order to be reimbursed mileage for business kilometres driven using their own personal vehicle. The employee enters the number of kilometres driven and to/from locations on the form before it is reviewed and authorized by management.  Internal Audit was not able to assess whether the kilometres claimed for 18 of 46 trips selected for testing were reasonable. The start/end locations specified by employees were not detailed enough to allow verification of distances travelled.  No clear direction exists as to what level of detail is required when filing a mileage claim for approval.	That Corporate Services instruct employees to enter detailed destination addresses on the Statement of Mileage and Other	Agreed. F&A Managers have already been notified of this requirement and have communicated it to operating	Completed. An email notification was sent to all F&A staff in April, 2013 to communicate the requirements of entering detailed destination