

SITE SPECIFIC BOUNDARY REVISIONS SUBMITTED
DURING THE GREENBELT PLAN BOUNDARY CONSULTATION SESSIONS

AREAS SUGGESTED FOR POTENTIAL ADDITION TO THE GREENBELT PLAN

Address	Request for Consideration By Participant	Why Areas Were Not Included for Addition
1166 Garner Road West (a.k.a. Lot 35, Con 4) Ancaster	Consider for addition: Approx. 95 acres adjoining a hydro line The land is farmed There is a river valley near this location, serves as an important wildlife connection between Lake Ontario and Niagara Escarpment. historical homestead be incorporated into the Greenbelt for preservation	This property is located in the urban area and is designated business park. Cultural Heritage staff have been notified of the request to preserve the building and landscape. Information was provided regarding heritage designation through the Ontario Heritage Act.
Waterdown (general), Flamborough	Consider for addition: The fringe of Waterdown needs to be protected from sprawl and unwanted development.	Waterdown is designated as a town and village, where no expansions are proposed through this project except for the lands south of the approved East-West Transportation Corridor as the fragmentation of the lands and will impact the viability of the lands for agriculture.
Elfrida, Glanbrook and Stoney Creek	Consider for addition: Elfrida lands should be protected because they are close to the Niagara Escarpment.	The Elfrida area has been identified as a future Urban Growth Node and is currently under appeal to the OMB. The Elfrida area is physically separated from and not connected to the Niagara Escarpment.
Lands between Area 2 and Upper James, Glanbrook	Consider for addition: Lands being added needs to be comprehensively planned. Consideration for this area is therefore important.	Large area adjacent to the urban area and to a major arterial road. This area contains no major natural heritage system and needs to be developed comprehensively. Lands could be used for employment lands due to the 28 NEF Contour
Bay and lake Shore areas (including Cootes Paradise)	Consider for addition: Participant is an environmental steward would like this location added to Greenbelt.	Cootes Paradise and Bayfront Park protected through official plan policies, zoning by-law regulations and Conservation Authority regulations.

Address	Request for Consideration By Participant	Why Areas Were Not Included for Addition
Winona, Stoney Creek	Consider for addition: Protect Winona by adding it to the Greenbelt.	The Fruitland Winona Area is located within the Urban Area and permits a variety of residential, commercial, etc., through its secondary plan, which has been appealed to the OMB.
Airport Employment Growth District, Ancaster and Glanbrook	Consider for addition: Reduce this area of land to protect agriculture.	Airport lands that have been approved and/or identified in the UHOP will not be proposed for addition to the Greenbelt Plan.

AREAS SUGGESTED FOR REMOVAL FROM THE GREENBELT PLAN

Address	Request for Consideration By Participant	Why Areas Were Not Included for Removal
Safari Road and Kirkwall Road, Flamborough	Consider for removal: Zoning classifications are unfair and tree farms should be allowed to cut their own crops and build farm house.	The intersection of safari road and Kirkwall road is a substantial distance from the existing Urban Area and the whitebelt lands, and is not appropriate for removal from the Greenbelt Plan.
626 Highway 6 North & 654 Highway 6, Flamborough	Consider for removal: Request to remove 626 Hwy6 N & 654 Hwy 6N because there is no significant agricultural impact suggested. Previous mushroom operation is no longer viable.	Rural lands surrounding isolated urban areas (i.e. waterdown and binbrook) are not proposed to be removed from the greenbelt lands
1055 Highway 6 North, Flamborough	Consider for removal: Lands at 1055 Hwy 6 North are no longer suitable for growing crops, farm animals and issues related to septic system.	Subject lands are distant from existing urban areas and whitebelt and are not proposed to be removed from Greenbelt Plan
Westfield Heritage Village – Kirkwall Road, Flamborough	Consider removal: Farmland has been rezoned at Westfield Heritage Village – Kirkwall Rd. Participant indicated the farm is in jeopardy and that flexible uses and buildings be permitted.	Subject lands are distant from existing urban areas and whitebelt and are not proposed to be removed from Greenbelt Plan

Address	Request for Consideration By Participant	Why Areas Were Not Included for Removal
2050 Centre Road, Waterdown	Consider for removal: Request to remove his property from the Greenbelt because the owners are good land stewards and may feel hindered by the Greenbelt policies.	Subject lands are distant from existing urban areas and whitebelt and are not proposed to be removed from Greenbelt Plan
Dundas expansion and East-West Road expansion	Do not consider for removal: Traffic in this area is terrible. Any removal of land from the Greenbelt will make this area more congested.	Area south of the east-west road by-pass is proposed in the staff report. Unclear as to what area is referred to for Dundas expansion.
York Road and Dundas, Dundas	Consider for removal: This location is preferred for removal from the Greenbelt. Widen York Road to accommodate current and future traffic needs because York Road is used access route to 403 from Dundas.	Portion of York Road located within the NEC based on two recent amendments, as such York Road would not be a candidate for removal from the Greenbelt Plan, however NEC re-designation may be possible.
Centre Road, Flamborough	Consider for removal: Request for removal of these lands from the Greenbelt, whole road should be removed from the Greenbelt	Subject lands along Centre Road are distant from existing urban and whitebelt areas and are not proposed to be removed from Greenbelt Plan
925 Regional Road 97, Flamborough	Consider for removal: These lands are adjacent to the former Town of Freelton and should be removed from the Greenbelt.	Subject lands are distant from existing urban and whitebelt areas and are not proposed to be removed from Greenbelt Plan
63 Parkside Drive, Con 4 Part Lot 12 and Part Lot 13, Waterdown	Consider for removal: Remove Lot 12 and 13 from Greenbelt. 10 acres of land is farmable, remainder not suitable since top soil has been stripped. Participant supports the inclusion of this area into Urban Area. This area will be affected by east-to-west corridor therefore remove Lot 12 & 13 from Greenbelt.	Rural lands surrounding isolated urban areas (i.e. Waterdown and Binbrook) are not proposed to be removed from the greenbelt lands. The subject lands are south of the east-west road by-pass, but not in the area proposed to be removed from the Greenbelt Plan in the staff report

Address	Request for Consideration By Participant	Why Areas Were Not Included for Removal
Lands East of Highway 20, Stoney Creek	Consider for removal: A logical location for future development and requested for removal from the Greenbelt.	Lands along highway 20 have been proposed for removal from the Greenbelt Plan as Area 2. Lands further east of the proposed areas for removal are not appropriate as the lands are distant from existing urban and whitebelt areas.
386 Progreston Road, Flamborough	Consider for removal: The Greenbelt boundary cuts through urban lots on the south side of Progreston Road and bisects the property making it difficult to use.	There are no "urban" lots on Progreston Road. Progreston Road is part of the Carlisle Rural Settlement Area. Subject lands are distant from existing urban and whitebelt areas and are not proposed to be removed from Greenbelt Plan.
Wilson Street to Highway 52, Ancaster	Consider for removal: Participant requested that the area from the existing boundary on Wilson St. (north side) be taken out of the Greenbelt as far as Highway #52 and included in the urban boundary. Wilson Street requires additional land for development. Removal of lands is supported to support industrial park.	According to RHOP mapping, the area has a key hydrologic feature. Additionally, Rural lands separated from existing urban areas by natural heritage system features and surrounding isolated urban areas are not proposed to be removed from the Greenbelt Plan.
1165 Trinity Church Road & 4109 Binbrook Road, Glanbrook	Consider for removal: Remove these lands from the Greenbelt. These sites are consistent with and satisfy the criteria for exclusion.	Affected by the 28 and 30 NEF Contour as well as by a Key Hydrologic Stream as identified in the RHOP.
495 Ofield Rd North, Flamborough	Consider for removal: Participant would like this location removed from Greenbelt because area is zoned highway commercial, has gravel parking lot, operates a garden center and is located directly across an industrial center.	Subject lands are distant from existing urban and Whitebelt areas and are not proposed to be removed from Greenbelt Plan.
3727-3737 Highway 6, Glanbrook	Consider for removal: Remove property due to irregular depth, zoned rural commercial & agricultural uses.	Subject lands are distant from urban and Whitebelt areas; separated from existing urban areas by natural heritage system features

Address	Request for Consideration By Participant	Why Areas Were Not Included for Removal
451 Carlisle Road, Flamborough	Consider for removal: Planning Report from Weston Consulting, Landowner requests that their lands be included within the Settlement Area known as Carlisle Rural Settlement Area and removed from the Greenbelt.	Settlement area boundary adjustment is still subject to the policies of the greenbelt plan. Settlement Area boundary adjustments may be done at the time of the municipal comprehensive review.
26 Fourseasons Drive, Stoney Creek	Consider for removal: Landowner request to remove these lands from the Greenbelt.	Lands within the NEP Area are not proposed to be removed from the Greenbelt Plan. Subject lands are within designated Protection Area in the NEP. As such, any changes to the NEP must be made through the Niagara Escarpment Commission.
619 Centre Road, Flamborough	Consider for removal: Remove lands from the Greenbelt to allow cost of effective development.	A portion of the lands are within the urban area, a portion of lands are located in the rural area and is within the protected countryside designation. Lands surrounding isolated urban areas are not proposed to be removed from the Greenbelt Plan.
Trinity Church, south of Golf Club	Consider for removal: Expand Area 4 to include entire area south of Golf Club Road, east of Trinity Church and north of Twenty Mile Creek as these lands meet the criteria.	Affected by the 28 NEF Contour. Lands southeast of the Golf Club and Trinity Church intersection contain a stream and a woodlot. Therefore, these lands are not being considered for removal from the Greenbelt Plan.
Dickenson Road	Consider for removal: Property is incorrectly in the Greenbelt	A portion of properties are located within the natural heritage "finger" along Dickenson. Otherwise properties are located within the Whitebelt or urban area (i.e. Red Hill Business Park South). Most properties on north or south side of Dickenson are affected by 28 NEF Contour.
658 Highway 8, Stoney Creek	Consider for removal: Removal requested for inclusion in Urban	Lands within the NEP Area are not proposed to be removed from the Greenbelt Plan. Any changes to the NEP must be made to the NEC.