

Notice of Objector Response Aggregate Resources Act

Subject: Application for a Category 2 Li	cence under tl	ne Aggregate Resources Act				
Lot: <u>7, 8, 9, 10</u>	Concession	3				
Township of: West Flamborough County of City of Hamilton						
		m) 005 540 0404				
Objector:Name: City of Hamilton		Ph: 905-546-2424				
Address: 71 Main Street West, 5th Floor I	Hamilton, ON L	8P 4Y5				
Further to your letter of February 18, (Date)						
Aggregate Resources Act, I / we, Lafarge Canad	ponent)	oner the following further information to				
address your objections/concerns:						
Please see attached letter.						
As per Section 4.3.3.2 of the Provincial Standard	s under the Act	t, please be advised that after review of this additional				
information you, the objector, have 20 days from	receipt of this I	etter (i.e. Nov 26, 2015) to respond to the Ministry				
		(Date) below, with recommendations that may resolve the				
objections.						
These recommendations must be delivered pers will be deemed that there is no longer a valid obj		istered mail within the above-noted 20-day period or it				
Yours truly,						
Brian Zeman, MHBC Planning (on behalf of Lafar (Name of Applicant or Consultant on behalf of Applicant)	ge)					
Signature: Blian Zuman						
Date: October 30, 2015						
Ministry of Natural Resources	Na	ame of Applicant or Consultant: MHBC Planning				
Office Address: 1 Stone Road West		Idress:113 Collier Street				
Guelph, Ontario N1G 4Y2		Barrie, Ontario L4M 1H2				
		Attention:Brian Zeman				
Attention:Diane Schwier		Attention: Brian Zeman				



KITCHENER WOODBRIDGE LONDON KINGSTON BARRIE BURLINGTON

October 30, 2015

Stephen Robichaud, MCIP, RPP Director, Planning Division City of Hamilton 71 Main Street West, 5th Floor Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5

Dear Mr. Robichaud:

RE:

Letter of Objection to an Application for a Category 2 Quarry Licence under the Aggregate Resources Act – Part of Lots 7, 8, and 9, Concession 3, City of Hamilton (Lafarge Dundas South Quarry Extension) OUR FILE 9526BD

During the Notification and Consultation period under the Aggregate Resources Act for the above-noted quarry application the City of Hamilton submitted an objection letter dated February 18, 2014 outlining concerns with the proposed extension to Lafarge's South Quarry. As a result of your comments and those received from other members of the public and government agencies, Lafarge Canada Inc. has made a number of changes to the application in an effort to resolve the concerns. Throughout this process, we have been in communication with the various agencies and have provided responses to concerns that have been raised.

The City of Hamilton objected based on the following:

 Consideration of the application is premature at this time as the proposed extractive land use is not a permitted use under the Rural Hamilton Official Plan (RHOP) or the Town of Flamborough Zoning By-law;

We understand that the two applications are being reviewed concurrently and that the ARA licence cannot be issued until the zoning is approved. We are writing at this point in the process since we have to conclude the ARA process within a two year timeline, that expires on January 9, 2016.

 Review of the submitted Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment applications have not been completed by the City or relevant commenting agencies, or considered by Council; The OPA and ZBA applications were submitted on November 11, 2013. Lafarge has completed responses to comments received from the City and other commenting agencies during the review process. Please see the attached chronology of agency correspondence.

iii. The City and the Combined Aggregate Review Team (CART) have not completed peer reviews of the various component studies submitted by the proponent;

The City has conducted peer reviews for Hydrogeology, Noise, Air and Blasting. Please see the attached chronology for correspondence related to the above noted peer reviews.

iv. It would be premature for the Ministry of Natural Resources to finalize the Aggregate Licence requirements until detailed site requirements, as identified through the review of the required Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment applications and submitted technical studies, have been provided by the City to be considered for incorporation as conditions to the Licence, if granted by the MNR; and,

As a result of the City of Hamilton's comments and other comments from HCA, MOECC and MNRF the ARA Site Plans have been updated. The updated site plans indicate (in red) where modifications have been made to the schematic diagrams and a corresponding word document (in track changes) outlines the modifications to the site plan notes along with the corresponding agency letters. Due to postal weight restrictions for registered mail, we will be sending a copy of the updated ARA Site Plans as well as the referenced correspondence to you via courier under separate cover.

v. That Hydrogeology, Noise, Vibration, Blasting, Dust, and impacts to Natural Heritage have initially been identified as areas of concern to the City.

The City has conducted peer reviews for Hydrogeology, Noise, Air and Blasting. Please see the attached chronology for correspondence related to the above noted peer reviews and responses to comments received from the City of Hamilton and other agencies with regard to the above noted areas of concern.

As the following chronology indicates, we have responded to the comments received from the City of Hamilton and provided additional information throughout the process. This letter is being sent now, since Lafarge Canada Inc. is required by legislation to conclude the Aggregate Resources Act process by January 9, 2016. As a result, we are now required to proceed with fulfilling Section 4.3.3.2 of the Provincial Standards, under the Aggregate Resources Act. This section requires us to provide you a response to your concerns and advise you, the objector, that you have 20 days from receipt of this letter to respond (no later than **November 26, 2015**) to both the Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry and the applicant at the following addresses with recommendations that may resolve your objection. As noted in the enclosed form, these recommendations must be delivered personally or by registered mail to the below addresses within the above noted 20 day period or it will be deemed that you no longer have a valid objection.

Ministry of Natural Resources and Forestry 1 Stone Road West Guelph, Ontario, N1G 4Y2 Attention: Diane Schwier MHBC Planning 113 Collier Street Barrie, Ontario, L4M 1H2 Attention: Brian Zeman We hope that this information and the previous responses to the City of Hamilton adequately address the concerns during the process. Despite the ARA process concluding Lafarge remains committed to work with the City of Hamilton in an effort to resolve or scope any remaining concerns. If you have any questions please do not hesitate to call the undersigned at 705-728-0045 ext. 226.

Yours truly, MHBC

Brian Zeman, BES, MCIP, RPP

President / Partner

Cc: Heather Travis – City of Hamilton

David Marriott – MNRF Diane Schwier – MNRF

Carol Siemiginowski – Lafarge Canada Inc.

Lafarge Proposed South Quarry Extension Agency Review Chronology for ARA 20 day Objector Response October 30, 2015

Agency / Ministry/Org	Document Date	Status	
	QE Submissions		
ARA Initial Submission to MNRF	October 02, 2013		
City of Hamilton OPA Submission	November 11, 2013		
ARA Formal Submission to Agencies	January 03, 2014		
Ag	ency Comments		
City of Hamilton - Forestry	January 03, 2014		
City of Hamilton – Source Water Protection	January 06, 2014	Response sent to City of	
City of Hamilton – Mike Trink	January 06, 2014	Hamilton February 10, 2015. No further response has been	
City of Hamilton – Natural Heritage	January 09, 2014		
ci. Cil. Il. Chataria Diamina Comments	January 14, 2014 (letter dated	received from the City in relation to this letter.	
City of Hamilton – Strategic Planning Comments	accidentally as Jan 14, 2013)		
City of Hamilton – Public Works	January 23, 2014	Tolquoti to this letteri	
City of Hamilton ARA Letter	February 18, 2014		
City of Hamilton – Blasting Peer Review	June 25, 2014	 Peer Reviewer signed off. Response to City of Hamilton questions submitted August 26, 2014. 	
City of Hamilton – Air Peer Review	September 18, 2014	BCX response to peer review sent January 30, 2015.	
City of Hamilton – Air Peer Review (BCX Response)	July 7, 2015	 BCX response to peer review submitted September 29, 2015. Lafarge believes this addresses all outstanding issues. 	
City of Hamilton – Noise Peer Review	September 18, 2014	 HCG response to peer review submitted January 30, 2015. 	
City of Hamilton – Noise Peer Review (HCG Response)	July 7, 2015	 Response submitted October 14, 2015. Lafarge believes this addresses all outstanding issues. 	
City of Hamilton- Dillon Hydrogeology & Hydrology Peer Review	June 2015	 Response submitted October 23, 2015. Lafarge looks forward to reviewing this response with Dillon and the City. 	
Hamilton Conservation Authority Formal ARA Letter	February 21, 2014	Response submitted to HCA	
Hamilton Conservation Authority OPA Letter	February 21, 2014	 Response submitted to HCA March 20, 2015. 	
Hamilton Conservation Authority ARA follow-up Letter	May 21, 2014	IVIAI CII 20, 2013.	

Hamilton Conservation Authority Water Comments	June 15, 2015	 Response submitted October 23, 2015. Lafarge looks forward to reviewing this response with HCA and Lafarge will keep the City up to date. 	
MNRF ARA Letter • Attached to MMAH March 28 2014 Letter	February 24, 2014	 Response submitted July 10, 2014. Email sent to MNRF address Imperial Oil pipeline approval questions August 26, 2014. 	
MNRF Pipeline Letter	January 8, 2015	 Response submitted February 19, 2015. Additional response sent March 20, 2015. 	
MNRF Monitoring Wells and Access Letter	Phone discussion.	 Response submitted March 20, 2015. 	
MNRF Objector Response follow-up Letter	April 17, 2015	 Response submitted July 16, 2015. 	
MNRF Objector Response Follow-up Letter	August 11, 2015	Response submitted September 22, 2015. MNRF has confirmed all issues are addressed subject to review of the updated South Quarry Extension Site Plans.	
OMAF Letter	February 24, 2014	 OMAF sign off – no further action. 	
MOECC ARA Letter • Attached to MMAH March 28 2014 Letter	February 21, 2014	 Response submitted February 19, 2015. 	
MOECC Email	June 15, 2015	 No response required. 	
MOECC Email	June 29, 2015	 Response submitted October 13, 2015. Lafarge believes this addresses all outstanding issues. 	
MAHH Letter	March 28, 2014	 Response submitted February 19, 2015. Lafarge believes this addresses all outstanding issues. 	
MTCS Letter • Attached to MMAH March 28 2014 Letter	(not dated or signed, received as an appendix to MMAH letter)	No response required. Response addressed as part of MMAH February 19, 2015 response.	
MTO – Traffic Impact Study Review	September 23, 2014	 MTO Satisfied with TIS except trip rates. Response to MTO submitted January 30, 2015. Lafarge believes this addresses all outstanding issues. 	

Appendix "B" to Report PED14024(a) Page 7 of 7

	Agency Meetings		
Hydrology Meeting with CART	April 09, 2014	 MHBC provided Golder Addendum on Figure 49/50 and Greensville Well June 6, 2014. 	
Air, Noise & Blasting Meeting with CART	April 30, 2014	 MHBC provided additional information on blasting, air and noise on June 6, 2014. 	
ESAIEG Meeting	June 12, 2014	 Copy of ESAIEG minutes provided to MHBC November 19, 2014. No follow up required. 	
Hydrogeology Site Visit with CART	July 7, 2014	No follow up required.	
Surface Water Monitoring Meeting with HCA, MOECC, MNRF and City of Hamilton	September 17, 2014	Prepared updated site plan note for SW1B and SW2.	
Hamilton Conservation Authority Meeting	May 6, 2015	 Response submitted to HCA September 29, 2015. Lafarge believes this addresses all outstanding natural heritage issues. 	