

	Current Policy	Proposed Policy	Rationale
Volume 1, Chapter B Communities, Section 3.4 Cultural Heritage Resource Policies	<p>B.3.4.2.12 A cultural heritage impact assessment shall be required by the City and submitted prior to or at the time of any application submission pursuant to the Planning Act where the proposed development, site alteration, or redevelopment of lands (both public and private) has the potential to adversely affect the following cultural heritage resources through displacement or disruption:</p> <p>a) Properties designated under any part of the Ontario Heritage Act or adjacent to properties designated under any part of the Ontario Heritage Act;</p> <p>b) Properties that are included in the City’s Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest or adjacent to properties included in the City’s Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest;</p> <p>c) A registered or known archaeological site or areas of archaeological potential;</p> <p>d) Any area for which a cultural heritage conservation plan statement has been prepared; or,</p> <p>e) Properties that comprise or are contained within cultural heritage landscapes that are included in the Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest.</p> <p>f) may be required by the City and submitted prior to or at the time of any application submission pursuant to the <u>Planning Act</u> where the proposed development, <i>site alteration</i>, or <i>redevelopment</i> of lands (both public and private) has the potential to adversely affect <i>cultural heritage resources</i> that are included in, or adjacent to cultural heritage resources included in, the City’s Inventory of Buildings of Architectural or Historical Interest through displacement or disruption.</p>	<p>Reformat the policy accordingly:</p> <p>3.4.2.12 A cultural heritage impact assessment:</p> <p>a) Shall be required by the City and submitted prior to or at the time of any application submission pursuant to the Planning Act where the proposed development, site alteration, or redevelopment of lands (both public and private) has the potential to adversely affect the following cultural heritage resources through displacement or disruption:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> i. Properties designated under any part of the Ontario Heritage Act or adjacent to properties designated under any part of the Ontario Heritage Act; ii. Properties that are included in the City’s Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest or adjacent to properties included in the City’s Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest; iii. A registered or known archaeological site or areas of archaeological potential; iv. Any area for which a cultural heritage conservation plan statement has been prepared; or, v. Properties that comprise or are contained within cultural heritage landscapes that are included in the Register of Property of Cultural Heritage Value or Interest. <p>b) may be required by the City and submitted prior to or at the time of any application submission pursuant to the Planning Act where the proposed development, site alteration, or redevelopment of lands (both public and private) has the potential to adversely affect cultural heritage resources that are included in, or adjacent to cultural heritage resources included in, the City’s Inventory of Buildings of Architectural or Historical Interest through displacement or disruption.</p>	<p>Formatting error. To be consistent with Rural Hamilton Official Plan.</p>

	Current Policy	Proposed Policy	Rationale
Volume 1, Chapter C City Wide Systems and Designations, Section 3.2 Urban Area General Provisions	3.2.2 The following uses shall be permitted in the Neighbourhoods, Commercial, Mixed Use, and Institutional designations: a) b) c) A <i>small scale</i> residential care facility shall be permitted as-of-right, provided it complies with all applicable policies and the Zoning By-law; and,	Un-italicize the word “small scale”: The following uses shall be permitted in the Neighbourhoods, Commercial, Mixed Use, and Institutional designations: a) b) c) A small scale residential care facility shall be permitted as-of-right, provided it complies with all applicable policies and the Zoning By-law; and,	Remove italics “Small scale” as it is not a defined term within the UHOP glossary, as only defined terms are italicized to alert the reader to review the definition in the Glossary. The policy provides further direction with regards to scale of the residential care facility within the regulations contained within the zoning by-law.
Volume 1, Chapter E Urban Systems/Designations, Section 4.6 Mixed Use – Medium Density Designation	4.6.30 ... shall be permitted on the following properties: (OPA 24) a) 130-136 Kenilworth North; b) 473 Concession Street; and,	Add “and,” to clause a) and delete “; and” and replace with a “.” to clause b). 4.6.30 ... shall be permitted on the following properties: (OPA 24) a) 130-136 Kenilworth North; and , b) 473 Concession Street; and .	OPA 24 deleted clause c) of which was in the original Policy E.4.6.30, however the amendment didn’t revise the formatting of clauses a) and b). Therefore an amendment is required to correct the formatting of the policy.

	Current Policy	Proposed Policy	Rationale
Volume 2 Rymal Road Secondary Plan	<p>5.2.8.2 Costs for the applicable portion of growth related infrastructure and service improvements required to service development within the Secondary Plan area including roads, sanitary, storm and water services shall be paid for by development. To implement this principle and to ensure that such infrastructure is available in a timely manner.</p> <p>5.2.8.3 The City shall update the City's Development Charges By-law to include all improvements required by the development. Until such time as the City updates the Municipal Development Charges By-law, it may consider area specific development charges.</p> <p>5.2.8.4 In addition to development charges referred to in Policy B.5.2.8.3, the City may also employ:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) front-ending agreements, development charge credit agreements and prepayment of development charge agreements under the Development Charges Act; b) public/private partnerships; c) conditions of subdivision approval; d) creation of one foot reserves; e) cost sharing agreements or best effort agreements to recover costs from benefiting landowners; f) any of the above singly or in combination with any others; or, g) any other mechanism the City considers appropriate in the circumstances. 	<p>Amend the formatting of the clauses and sub-clauses so that it reads as follows:</p> <p>5.2.8.2 Costs for the applicable portion of growth related infrastructure and service improvements required to service development within the Secondary Plan area including roads, sanitary, storm and water services shall be paid for by development. To implement this principle and to ensure that such infrastructure is available in a timely manner:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a) The City shall update the City's Development Charges By-law to include all improvements required by the development. Until such time as the City updates the Municipal Development Charges By-law, it may consider area specific development charges. b) In addition to development charges referred to in Policy B.5.2.8.2 a), the City may also employ: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i) front-ending agreements, development charge credit agreements and prepayment of development charge agreements under the Development Charges Act; ii) public/private partnerships; iii) conditions of subdivision approval; iv) creation of one foot reserves; v) cost sharing agreements or best effort agreements to recover costs from benefiting landowners; vi) any of the above singly or in combination with any others; or, vii) any other mechanism the City considers appropriate in the circumstances. 	<p>An error was made while transitioning the secondary plans from the former OP's to the UHOP. The former Township of Glanbrook OP had the same policy reference. It is clear that the policy references, when the Township of Glanbrook OP is compared to the UHOP policies, are the same. As such, the policy should have continued with a set of clauses and sub-clauses which would complete the sentence (as it did in the former Township of Glanbrook OP). Therefore, this amendment is considered a formatting issue.</p>

	Current Policy	Proposed Policy	Rationale
Volume 2 Trinity West Secondary Plan	7.7.7.2 f) vi) vi) The safety and security for all persons in public places including streets, parks, and amenity areas are promoted through the design and sighting of buildings, entrances, walkways, amenity and parking areas to provide visibility and opportunities for informal surveillance.	Delete the second "vi)" reference at the beginning of the sentence: 7.7.7.2 f) vi) vi) The safety and security for all persons in public places including streets, parks, and amenity areas are promoted through the design and sighting of buildings, entrances, walkways, amenity and parking areas to provide visibility and opportunities for informal surveillance.	Formatting error. An amendment is required to remove the duplicate roman numeral bullet vi).