5.21

Fogler, Rubinoff LLP Lawyers

77 King Street West Suite 3000, PO Box 95 TD Centre North Tower Toronto, ON M5K IG8 t: 416.864.9700 | f: 416.941.8852 foglers.com

Reply To:Joel D. FarberDirect Dial:416.365.3707E-mail:jfarber@foglers.comOur File No.06/4423

September 12, 2016

VIA EMAIL

Mayor Fred Eisenberger and Members of Council Hamilton City Hall 2nd Floor – 71 Main Street West Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5

Dear Mayor Eisenberger and Members of Council:

Re: Coordinated Provincial Plan Review – City of Hamilton Comments on May 2016 Draft Plans (Staff Report PED15078(b))

We represent the owners of lands south of Twenty Road, between Upper James to the east and Glancaster to the west, known as the Twenty Road West Landowners Group. Our clients own lands within the AEGD, as well as the Whitebelt lands immediately south of Twenty Road.

We have reviewed Staff Report PED15078(b) and we have been monitoring the Provincial Plan Review. We have previously submitted correspondence dated February 29, 2016, a copy of which is attached hereto.

We are generally supportive of staff recommendations (c) and (d)(ii) in Staff Report PED 15078(b) with respect to the intensification and greenfield density targets. We are of the view that staff have appropriately identified concerns related to the implementation of proposed Growth Plan targets in the context of the City's growth management.

Our clients look forward to a prompt completion of the City's next Municipal Comprehensive Review, which appears to now be substantially jeopardizing the City's growth opportunities.

Yours truly,

FOGLER, RUBINOFF LLP

"Joel D. Farber"

Joel D. Farber JDF/ay cc: Clients



fogler rubinoff

Fogler, Rubinoff LLP Lawyers

77 King Street West Suite 3000, PO Box 95 TD Centre North Tower Toronto, ON M5K IG8 t: 416.864.9700 | f: 416.941.8852 foglers.com

Reply To:Joel D. FarberDirect Dial:416.365.3707E-mail:jfarber@foglers.comOur File No.06/4423

February 29, 2016

VIA EMAIL

City of Hamilton 71 Main St. West Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5

Attention: Joanne Hickey Evans, Manager of Policy Planning

Dear Ms. Hickey-Evans:

Re: CITY OF HAMILTON MUNICIPAL COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW – IMPLEMENTATION OF GROWTH PLAN 2041 TARGETS

We are the solicitors for owners of lands south of Twenty Road, between Upper James to the east and Glancaster Road to the west. As you will recall, certain areas of our client's lands were excluded from the AEGD and now form part of the Whitebelt, being the available growth areas for the City. The total Whitebelt lands within the Twenty Road block are approximately 79 gross ha. located on both the east and west sides of the recently designated future Garth Street corridor extension south of Twenty Road (Areas 4 & 6 shown on the attached Schedule). These Whitebelt lands within the Twenty Road block are not constrained by airport noise and are adjacent to an existing residential community on the north side of Twenty Road.

As you will also recall, at the AEGD Phase 3 hearing, the Board accepted the appellant's evidence that there was insufficient information available to determine the appropriate designations for the Twenty Road Whitebelt lands, and that such decision should be made in the context of the next Municipal Comprehensive Review. As everyone is aware, there are very significant demands for land outside the existing urban boundary to meet the Growth Plan targets for 2041.

Subsequent work completed by Dillon Consulting in connection with the Greenbelt Review demonstrates the need for the Whitebelt lands to meet Hamilton's 2041 growth targets as required by the Growth Plan.

In particular, the Dillon Greenbelt Boundary Review Report (November 2015), indicates that up to 1109 gross ha of land are required to plan for residential growth to 2041. This demand figure



exceeds the available unconstrained Whitebelt lands including our clients' lands (896 gross ha). On the other hand, the supply of Whitebelt lands that is available only for employment (constrained by noise contours) is nearly 3x the projected demand. Furthermore, it is apparent that the residential land supply deficit is likely greater than 200 ha given suitability issues for certain of the Whitebelt lands.

There are no suitability issues for the Twenty Road Whitebelt land. It is apparent that the Whitebelt lands within the Twenty Road block are needed for residential growth and represent an ideal opportunity to round out the existing urban fabric between Twenty Road and the AEGD with a well planned community.

Our previous planning studies have detailed that residential uses are the preferred land use for these lands, whereas the lands have little to no utility for employment uses. It is therefore appropriate that work commence at a City and community level to integrate the subject land into the urban area including through the preparation of a Secondary Plan as part of the Municipal Comprehensive Review process.

We have not yet been advised of the initiation of the City's required Municipal Comprehensive Review. Respectfully, on behalf of the Owners please ensure that we are kept up to date and notified on the City's progress in the City's upcoming process. We intend that these lands will play a substantial role in this planning initiative in terms of designing an appropriate transition to the AEGD by providing a vibrant community south of Twenty Road.

When combined with the AEGD permissions, settlement of the community design for the north part of the Twenty Road block would complete the comprehensive planning for the lands and could kick start the required infrastructure and development planning to open up the block, including the AEGD lands, for development.

We look forward to engaging with City staff to move forward with the completion of planning for the Twenty Road block and we look forward to Staff's support and initiative to complete the work.

Yours truly,

FOGLER, RUBINOFF LLP

"Joel D. Farber"

Joel D. Farber JDF/sz cc: Hamilton City Council Twenty Road Landowner Group letter to joanne hickey evans feb 29 2016 final.docx

