2016 Assessment Growth

General Issues Committee

February 27, 2017





2016 Assessment Growth

- Final 2016 net growth = 0.7% or \$5.8 M in tax revenue
 - Includes both construction / supplementary taxes (increase in assessment) and write-offs / successful appeals (decrease in assessment)

2016 Growth (Gross / Net)						
Increases	\$	8,140,500	1.0%			
Decreases	-\$	2,332,000	-0.3%			
Total	\$	5,808,500	0.7%			



2016 Assessment Growth

- 2016 assessment growth is lower than 2015 even though building-permit activity continues to be high
- Lower than expected growth can be attributed to a variety of factors:
 - MPAC resources focused on reassessment
 - Non-for-profit nursing homes reclassified as Exempt
 - Large appeals in the Industrial property class



2016 Assessment Growth by Ward

	Ch	hange in		Change in		% Ward		% of
	Unv	veighted		Municipal				Total
	Ass	essment		Taxes		Change ¹		Change
Ward 1	\$	9,128,900	\$	160,800		0.3%		0.0%
Ward 2	\$	18,584,800	\$	(28,400)		-0.1%		0.0%
Ward 3	\$	(2,631,700)	\$	(392,400)		-0.9%		0.0%
Ward 4	\$	38,700	\$	(22,600)		0.0%		0.0%
Ward 5	\$	17,252,800	\$	209,700		0.4%		0.0%
Ward 6	\$	4,150,900	\$	57,700		0.1%		0.0%
Ward 7	\$	34,092,500	\$	436,000		0.5%		0.1%
Ward 8	\$ ((10,332,600)	\$	(286,900)		-0.4%		0.0%
Ward 9	\$ 1	06,684,600	\$	1,299,500		3.2%		0.2%
Ward 10	\$	22,789,500	\$	65,800		0.2%		0.0%
Ward 11	\$ 1	95,648,600	\$	2,278,200		3.0%		0.3%
Ward 12	\$	63,137,500	\$	911,300		1.2%		0.1%
Ward 13	\$	4,094,100	\$	59,700		0.1%		0.0%
Ward 14	\$	9,285,700	\$	(88,600)		-0.4%		0.0%
Ward 15	\$	87,326,100	\$	1,148,800		2.1%		0.1%
Total	\$ 5	59,250,400	\$	5,808,500] [0.7%		0.7%

¹% change in respective property class



2016 Assessment Growth by Class

	Change in Unweighted Assessment
Residential	\$ 460,155,000
Multi-Residential	\$ 15,268,300
Commercial	\$ 50,404,900
Industrial	\$ 3,188,800
Other	\$ 30,233,400

	_
Change in	O
Municipal	
Taxes	
\$ 4,805,500	
\$ (3,200)	
\$ 1,033,100	
\$ (427,400)	
\$ 400,500	
_	_

% Class Change ¹
0.9%
0.0%
0.8%
-1.0%
6.1%

% of Total
Total
iotai
Change
0.6%
0.0%
0.1%
-0.1%
0.0%

Total	\$	559,250,400
-------	----	-------------

	\$	5,808,500
--	----	-----------

0	.7%
---	-----

^{0.7%}

Anomalies due to rounding

 Continue reliance on residential growth = 0.6% of total

¹% change in respective property class



Multi-Residential Property Class

- The Multi-Residential Property class had an assessment increase of \$15.3 M but no increase in taxes.
- The majority of the assessment increase is the New Multi-Residential property class (NT) which has a lower tax rate than the broader Multi-Residential class (MT).
- This was offset by loss in assessment and tax revenue in the MT class.

2017 Operating Budget



Commercial Property Class

- The Commercial property tax contributed 0.1% to the overall growth
- Represents \$1.0 M in additional taxes
- Non-for-profit nursing homes were reclassified as Exempt - 0.1% reduction in growth
- Some other notable decreases are:
 - Commercial Plaza / Entertainment Complex in Ancaster
 - Canadian Tire Properties
 - VW Centennial Inc. (VW Dealership)
 - Mercedes / Subaru Dealership in Upper James



Commercial Property Class

- Increases in the commercial property class include:
 - Commercial Plaza on Wilson St., Ancaster
 - Commercial Plaza on Stone Church Rd. East., Stoney Creek
 - Lowe's Companies Canada
 - Honda Dealership in Upper James
 - Hamilton Port Authority tenant changes
 - New tenants in formerly vacant commercial units

2017 Operating Budget



Industrial Property Class

- The Industrial property class reduced the overall growth by -0.1%
- Large appeals include Max Aicher North America, Waterford Sand & Gravel and Lafarge Canada
- The most significant new development is the Orlick Aeropark