

City of Hamilton GENERAL ISSUES COMMITTEE March 28, 2017, 9:30 AM



Thank you for affording me the opportunity to speak today, Mr. Mayor, Deputy Mayor, Councilors, Staff and assembled citizens, in Support of the Submission of **Environmental Assessment of the** Hamilton LRT Project



- The Stinson Community Association voted back in June 2016 and accepted a motion to intentionally support our local BIAs and businesses and offer our support through LRT construction to promote events and shopping local.
- The Stinson Community Association committed to safer neighbourhoods and complete streets.

RAISE 💴 HAMMER

ABOUT PRINCIPLES AUTHORS COMMENTS SUBMISSIONS REGISTER

SPECIAL REPORT: LIGHT RAIL

A Legacy of Missed Opportunity: The Hamilton Rapid Transit Plans That Could Have Been

History shows that today's LRT opportunity for Hamilton could once again pass us by, as so many previous opportunities did. But with leadership, this once-in-a-generation opportunity need not be squandered again.

By Karl Andrus

Published June 23, 2016

- Paper provides a detailed history of the Rapid
 Transit Discussion going back to the procurement of the HSR in 1960
- Outlines the nature of previous debates Look at previous opposition strategy in the debate
- Cost adjusted figures for missed opportunities in the past (Adjusted to 2016\$)
- A good resource for Council on the historical context please review if you have not had the opportunity



Submitted to Council Sept 16[,] 2016

Los

- Was included on the September 28, 2016 Council Agenda (16-018)
- Received by Council and referred to the Light Rail Transit Sub Committee
- Received by the Light Rail Transit Sub-Committee November 29, 2016

The Need for Historical Context



Some of the Dozens and Dozens of reports on Rapid Transit paid for over the years - Source Hamilton Public Library

The Need for Historical Context

- October 1971, responding to the city's request, promised funding of \$238 million (\$1,447,040,000 in 2016 dollars) Plans called for 17.3 miles (28 km) of rapid transit, linking Mohawk Road at Upper James with Burlington Street through downtown. Another line was to run from Mount Albion Road to Highway 2 and Main Street in the West 75% funding from Ontario Government 25% from Local/Regional Government
- December 1981, Downtown to the Mountain Intermediate Capacity Transit System, Elevated, system was installed in Scarborough as RT and Vancouver as SkyTrain and is still running to this day.
 \$111 million (\$285,270,000 in 2016 dollars) 45% funding from Ontario Government, 45% funding Federal Government and 10% Regional/Local

- In February 2013, Council approved asking the Government of Ontario to fund a full B-Line LRT at a cost of \$875,183,183.61 (in 2016 dollars),64 as long as the Province agreed to cover 100 percent of the capital cost
- In May 2015, the Ontario Government committed to full capital funding 100% for Scenario D: McMaster to Queenston Circle, the second best option approved by City Council in *Rapid Ready* - Expanding Mobility Choices in *Hamilton* (PW13014)

100%

Comparison with other RT offers

Kitchener/Waterloo ION LRT \$818M - Cost of Phase 1 construction (~31% from the region - ~37% from Ontario; ~32% from Ottawa

Source Toronto Star – Dec 10, 2015 Unfunded but proposed London BRT \$560 million, with about \$130 million (23%) of that coming from city hall and the rest required from federal and provincial governments. London Free Press Mar 3, 2017





Hurontario LRT \$1.4 billion.EglintonOn April 21, 2015, thebillion CGovernment of Ontario(~47%) \$100% for the line, notgovernmentincluding local capital costsmillion,such as utility relocations,Greatersurface upgrades, andAuthoritylandscaping.contributToronto Star April 21, 2015million

Eglinton West LRT is \$2.47 billion City of Toronto (~47%) \$1.18 billion, federal government \$822.9(~33%) million, Mississauga + Greater Toronto Airports Authority asked to contribute (~20%)\$470 million Toronto Star Nov 2, 2016



Can't we spend the money elsewhere?

Moving Ontario Forward

The government's Moving Ontario Forward plan will support public transit, transportation and priority infrastructure, using dedicated funds of \$31.5 billion. About \$15 billion is available outside the Greater Toronto and Hamilton Area (GTHA) and about \$16 billion is available within the GTHA. Supported by its asset optimization strategy, the government is building the infrastructure that is needed today and tomorrow. These investments will help manage congestion, connect people, and improve the economy and Ontarians' quality of life.

- Money is from the moving Ontario Forward Fund will be returned to fund if unused
- What about more local (non-BRT) Buses Minister Steven Del Duca says local HSR bus needs should come from the provincial gas tax money (Which has been doubled)
- Or we could reject our Plan like Brampton and go to the back of the line for further funding

What about Brampton?

- 6-5 vote, Brampton Council rejected the HMLRT. Some of the 6 Councilors are of the belief that a better alternative route is out there and they could still use the money for it. They literally said "the Province needs Brampton more than Brampton needs the Province.
- Metrolinx wrote to Brampton Council in August 2015 (see attached) warning them that if they said no to the Transit Project Assessment Process (TPAP) approved route for the LRT, they portion of the funds from Steeles Ave to the downtown Brampton GO Station would go back into the Moving Ontario Fund
- Brampton is Starting from Scratch funding their own study from local tax dollars.

- The CEO of Metrolinx at the October 27th Brampton Council meeting told Brampton Council what would happen if they said no to the money. He said it could take 5 to 6 years to complete the necessary studies for other routes and that they shouldn't necessarily count on it for a Queen Street LRT or BRT (this is the Queen Street in Brampton).
- Other cities are looking for Brampton's (or Hamilton's if we are not careful) money
- "I think you've got every metropolitan area in Ontario looking at that \$400 million,(or Hamilton's 1 Billion)" said Cambridge Mayor Doug Craig.

Businesses Might Close!



Businesses Might Close??

"Was there fear and frustration? There was when construction started (in 2014)," said Patti Brooks, executive director of the 450-member Uptown Waterloo Business Improvement Area. "One hundred per cent of the kudos go to our businesses for doing what they needed to do." In the end, she says, "We only had two businesses that closed due to construction."

Waterloo Region's light rail build offers hopeful insights for opponents of London's transit plan By <u>Patrick Maloney</u>, The London Free Press Friday, March 10, 2017 9:37:27 EST AM

- Out of thousands of business affected by the construction of LRT in K/W only 21 have filed a lawsuit claiming losses or damages
- One need only look to Hamilton's own experience with Concession St. construction for an example civic investment/upgrade where minimal businesses are actually lost due to construction. Valuable lessons also learned for Hamilton LRT.

Criticism and Concern or Confusion and Chaos

on rapid transit views

Railways are certainly not one of the

man's land eve-sore typical of New York

We're not bus users

Automantie

The guideway will automat-ically control the vehicle. The traveller will punch his destination and the mini-car will travel at high speed, 100 mph or more, automatically and will be discharged at the appropriate interchange.

driven home, kept at home for local trips, shopping or recreation, or left at a neighborhood pool station if the vehicle is not to be kept all night.

The small engines will be virtually pollution-free and

> It is my belief that maminum has an excellent bus service at the present time and there is no need for an unsightly elevated railway. Should it become necessary to

White elephant

could derail:

Architect questions the real costs of rapid transit tour that there would be virtually no buses travelling up the Mountain accesses if the system is put in."

She said she is concerned that the disabled would not be able to use the system,

All rapid transit possibilities should get 'same consideration costs? COST finds it difficult to understand how the region can be assessing our future transit needs without an impartial, in-depth

gies.

"It will doubtless have bugs if not major design flaws," said Mrs. Kippen

Rapid transit for Hamilton? There's 'no need', reader claims Lorna Laker, It is my belief tions would present a real prob-Based on the current pattern' of u of o

It is my belief **Hamilton**, tions would present a reat prob-lem for the elderly and for mothers travelling with young chil-dren, making it almost impossi-The mini-car will then be ble for many people to use the

> 'Catastrophic' needed until the mid-1990s Politicans do own poll My husband and I feel that the

proposed rapid transit system would have a devastating effect on our community.

Wants rapid transit plebiscite

service other areas at some future da look at what is being done suc-cessfully in European cities ONLY, HAMILTON cessfully in European cities, on Life HAMILITON three, parking and stopping in curb lanes is prohibited and these lanes are used exclusively for buses, which, unhampered by traffic can travel at 50 to 60 kilo-metres per hour between stops. This system depends on high

This system depends on high penalties for obstructing the curb ane and is policed by the bus

Misleading

fact sheet. It presents posiive estimates as facts.

At present, we are being served by an system excellent and adequate bus system and it can easily be expanded if and when necessary.

As the mayor of this city poinstudy of all available technoloted out at regional council, the proposed route goes from no- vised to 445,000 people. to nowhere. where that our Regional Planners should take a new look at the whole concept. in agreement with Hamilton Mayor Bill Powell, who has called this a system from

"nowhere to nowhere".

Edmonton's LRV: Proven technology and relatively low cost.

You've got to make sure you get a transit use between the Mountain public system that's simple and reliable.

and the lower city, regional plan-iys rapid transit would debar old, disabled And residents are not ners believe the system won't be iys rapid transit would debar old, disabled convinced that population be a total waste of tax dollars, destroy and ridership projections neighborhoods, promote urban decay warrant the system in the and actually reduce demand for tran. first place, said Lorna Kipsit service along the overhead corri- pen of South Bend Road, one

Attractive features of our landscap dor. There seems to be a surprising lack of ing. right of way causes congestion and a no awareness regarding the impact of the proposed Rapid Transit System, yet this impact will extend far beyond the properties along the selected route. The real body blow will be the tremendous cost and that will be felt hy every taxnaver in the region. The

streets because "they / whatever street. it on is destroyed."

of 25 residents at the meet-

Rapid transit

The city of Hamilton would be going to needless expense for a rapid transit system. Even though some of the money may come from the provincial government it still comes out of our taxes

The fact that it will disturb residential areas and business o

I have a suggestion in regard to

Suggests

alterna

rapid transi

worries residents Hamilton does not have the ridership nee to support such a system, nor has then "It's misleading in the en any significant growth in the population

ransit route (10

tion period, members of the audience urged that a plebiscite be held to see if

any of the proposed alignments. B. Wilson

The plan originally predicted a regional population of 550,000 by 2001, but has been recently re-

Mrs. Kippen said this While there means estimates of the need for, the cost to subsidize and the economic impact of rapid transit are all altered.

the much talked about rapid transit system being planned for this Dear Editor: beautiful city. Contrary to what you stated in editorial of March 18, I think the "wasteland" would be created in Hamilton by the building of a Rapid Transit System. Even if it were necessary, which it is not, I would certainly not want to see it built on

Wasteland

But Hamilton Mayor Bill Powell said he had received more than 100 letters from people opposed to the system, and added: "Thank God we live in a city where people rise in righteous anger" against the

Irony or Intent?



"Our aim is to delay implementation of a rapid transit system — hopefully forever," said Lorna Kippen, chair man of the coalition, this week hours after formation of the group. Our concern is that when rapid

The coalition — named COST (Coalition on Sensible Transit) — is formed



Lorna Kippen, Chairman,

- After the abandonment of the last Rapid Transit Proposal no intercity new system has been forthcoming until now ~30 Years
- After fighting against Rapid Transit for 4 years CO\$T disappears from the Newspaper archives
- The HSR has seen a decline in inflation adjusted Spending and Ridership
- None of the other systems, ideas or routes proposed in the last debate where ever implemented