

INFORMATION REPORT

то:	Chair and Members Audit, Finance & Administration Committee
COMMITTEE DATE:	August 16, 2017
SUBJECT/REPORT NO:	Transit Five Year Historical Overview (PW17070) (City Wide) (Outstanding Business List Item)
WARD(S) AFFECTED:	City Wide
PREPARED BY:	Nancy Purser (905) 546-2424, Extension 1876
SUBMITTED BY:	Christine Lee-Morrison Acting Director of Transit Public Works Department
SIGNATURE:	

Council Direction:

The Executive Director of Human Resources & Organizational Development and the Director of Transit were directed to report back to the August 16, 2017, Audit, Finance and Administration Committee meeting with respect to details on Transit Levy Enhancements; Transit Ridership Numbers; Transit Year-end Variance Reporting; Transit Service Enhancements all over the past five years as well as an explanation of the Transit Over-time Variance.

Information:

Per Council's request the following table depicts the investments made in Transit since 2012. It should be noted that the figures shown are expenditures net of revenue, therefore indicate the investment made from the levy. The service enhancements include, additional service along Centennial Parkway, increased service on Rymal, Stone Church and the A-Line, and the first two years of the 10 year local transit strategy.

Service enhancements since 2012 amounts to \$7,519,000 gross budget with an accompanying revenue forecast increase of \$6,664,000 due to fare increases leaving a net levy contribution of \$855,000 (see Service Enhancement Total in the table below). As indicated on appendix A, revenues have not met forecast and as a result the levy has funded the shortfall over the period in the amount of approximately \$3,178,000. A fare increase of \$0.15 was implemented on September 1, 2015 and again on

September 1, 2016. More details of the service enhancements are included in Appendix A to Report PW17070.

Over the period 2012 to 2017, the transit maintenance budget increased by 16.2M or 33.9%. In 2017, the transit net levy budget is \$61.2M, an increase of approximately \$13.7M, or 28.8% over 2012.

Transit 5 Year Operating Budget Investments									
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017	Total		
Service Enhancement		\$ 50,000	\$ 450,000	-\$ 270,000	\$ 297,000	\$ 328,000	\$ 855,000		
Maintenance Budget	\$3,300,620	\$4,503,440	\$1,753,020	\$3,357,010	\$2,297,950	\$ 906,690	\$16,118,730		
Total Investment	\$3,300,620	\$4,553,440	\$2,203,020	\$3,087,010	\$2,594,950	\$1,234,690	\$16,973,730		

Variances to budget over the last five years are shown in the table below:

Transit 5 Year Reported Levy Variances										
2012 2013 2014 2015 2016 2017										
Variance to Budget	\$ 0	\$1,823,132	-\$1,110,430	-\$1,621,196	-\$1,581,321	-\$2,499,637				

Variances are due largely to employee related expenses and unrealized revenues due to lower than anticipated ridership, explanations are provided below.

Employee Related Variance: The three main drivers for the current variance in employee related expenses involve (1) wages, (2) wages overtime and (3) sick time. It is Transit Division's practice to budget zero within the sick time account therefore overtime is a reflection of time worked for the most part, as a result of sickness or other absenteeism. Through this practice the total of (1) wages and (2) wages overtime require that there be sufficient budget for the three drivers identified above to avoid an unfavourable variance at year end. While a number of issues have occurred over the last several years putting upward pressure on overtime costs and include rulings from arbitration, collective bargaining language and changes in provincial regulation, it is evident that there has not been enough budget within the overtime account for the last several years to keep pace with the rise in these expenses. Additionally, the data suggests that overtime due to absenteeism has doubled since 2012 and is the root cause of most of the unfavourable variance. The table below also demonstrates an approximate 50% increase in all overtime in the same period. A number of management actions and planned initiatives have been started in the last several months to reduce these expenses and bring absenteeism rates to an acceptable level; it appears that these are having a positive effect as the year end forecast for overtime is showing a slight decline. While overtime will remain a necessary feature of providing transit services staff remain hopeful that expenses in this regard can be reduced to more traditional levels.

SUBJECT: Transit Five Year Historical Overview (PW17070) (City Wide) - Page 3 of 4

		Wages	and OT for pa	st 5 Years		
				Historical	Current	Net
2012	Account	Description	Budget	Accounting	Accounting	Variance
	51101	Wages	23,471,280	21,812,881		
	51106	Wages - Overtime	854,820	1,052,453		1,460,766
2013	51101	Wages	23,993,100	22,972,462		
	51106	Wages - Overtime	854,820	1,289,652		585,806
2014	51101	Wages	24,736,110	24,797,089		
	51106	Wages - Overtime	812,820	1,242,687		(490,847)
2015	51101	Wages	26,226,000	26,070,399		
	51106	Wages - Overtime	816,580	1,459,867		(487,686)
		Change in a	counting pra	ctice as of 201	6	
2016	51101	Wages	27,779,740	27,360,831	24,293,434	
	51106	Wages - Overtime	816,580	1,533,698	4,601,095	(298,209)
*2017	51101	Wages	31,017,380	30,514,642	27,465,181	
	51106	Wages - Overtime	1,316,580	1,524,731	4,574,192	294,587
* Proje	ected					

Transit 5 Year Sick Payments							
		2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017
Short Term Disability	\$	1,101,565	\$1,206,648	\$1,288,200	\$1,396,076	\$1,672,797	\$2,162,630

<u>Transit 5 Year Ridership & Revenue Variance</u>: Revenue is another large contributor to the transit unfavourable year-end variance over the last couple of years, as ridership continues to perform below original expectations.

Transit 5 Year Revenue and Ridership								
	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	2017		
Ridership	21,795,884	21,817,842	22,250,052	21,906,761	21,485,776	21,447,366		
Revenue	270,862	728,190	747,902	-1,019,026	-2,158,926	-980,000		

A review of the data indicates that ridership in Hamilton peeked in 2014. Unfortunately, this same ridership level was used to forecast revenue in the 10 year Local Transit Strategy. A number of events occurred in 2015 including a decline in gas prices, a growth in car share and Uber, Sobi bike service was introduced and a \$0.15 fare increase was implemented. These factors appear to be driving lower than anticipated ridership however, as of June 2017 ridership appears to have stabilized, we will continue to monitor through the remainder of the year.

<u>Capital Investment:</u> The following table shows the capital investment made in Transit from all funding, including the capital levy. The second table illustrates the total investment made in fleet expansion during the 5 year time period.

SUBJECT: Transit Five Year Historical Overview (PW17070) (City Wide) - Page 4 of 4

5 Year Trar	5 Year Transit Capital Investment										
	,	Total Capital									
		Investment		Federal	Provincial	Reserve		Levy			
2012	\$	17,012,490	\$	6,000,000	\$1,792,489	\$ 8,520,001	\$	700,000			
2013	\$	10,961,015			\$ 101,745	\$10,406,275	\$	452,995			
2014	\$	931,209			\$ 231,209	\$ 700,000	\$	-			
2015	\$	18,203,043	\$	6,000,000	\$1,213,414	\$ 513,414	\$	700,000			
2016	\$	34,470,265	\$	6,155,000	\$3,688,680	\$23,381,585	\$	1,245,000			
Total	\$	81,578,022	\$	18,155,000	\$7,027,537	\$43,521,275	\$	3,097,995			

5 Year Transit Fleet Expansion						
Year	Buses Added	Capital Cost				
2012	4	\$ 1,639,300				
2013	0	\$ -				
2014	0	- \$				
2015	13	\$ 7,512,970				
2016	17	\$ 9,109,180				

Staffing: Increases to budgeted FTEs for the 5 year period are shown below.

	5 Year Transit FTE						
Year Total FTE FTE Change							
2012	638.04	_					
2013*	648.04	10.00					
2014*	663.04	15.00					
2015*	705.21	42.17					
2016	704.21	-1.00					

^{* 10} Transportation Planning FTE added in 2013, moved out in 2015

Appendices and Schedules Attached

Appendix A: Details of Five Year Service Enhancements

APPENDIX A REPORT PW17070

S	Summary of Service Enhancements 2012	2 - 2015		
Area	Route	Annualized Hours	Gross Cost	Revenue*
CENTENNIAL PARKWAY	REGIONAL SHOPPING CENTRE TRANSIT SERVICE		\$ 150,000	\$
Council Direction	56 - Centennial	2,879	in in	
2014 TRA	NSIT SERVICE ENHANCEMENT PLAN	Internal Control of the Control of t	\$ 1,190,000	\$
	44 - Rymal	11,416		
ransit Service Enhancement Plan	43 - Stonechurch	2,318		
	20 - A-Line	2,016		
2014 TRANSIT SEF	RVICE ENHANCEMENT PLAN Total	15,750		
10 YE	AR LOCAL TRANSIT STRATEGY		\$ 6,179,000	-\$ 6,664,000
	01 - King	791		
ing / Main / Queenston Corridor	05 - Delaware	11,124	> 22-5-1111 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
	51 - University	8,532		
King/Main/	20,447			
	02 - Barton	13,149		
Lower City	03 - Cannon	4,552		
	04 - Bayfront	156		
	Lower City Total	17,857		
	21 - Upper Kenilworth	2,200		
Mountain to Downtown	22 / 23 / 24 - Upper Ottawa / Gage / Sherman	3,451	and the second of the second	
	25 / 26 - Upper Wentworth / Wellington	1,582	Assessment of the state of the	
	27 - Upper James	696		
	27 / 35 - Upper James / College	3,276	The second second second	
	33 - Sanatorium	3,554		
Mount	ain to Downtown Total	14,759	4	To construct the construct the construction of
	16 / 43 - Ancaster / Stone Church	1,512	· Annual Control of the Control	***************************************
Cross Mountain / Suburban	18 - Waterdown	8,832	,	
	42 - Mohawk East 4 Ice Arena	2,138	Harana and the second of the second	
<u> </u>	44 - Rymal	756		
	ountain / Suburban Total	13,238	.,,,	ļ
10 YEAR LOC	AL TRANSIT STRATEGY Total	66,301		
	Grand Total	84,930	7,519,000	- 6,664,000