ROOM FOR POTENTIAL

A REVIEW OF THE CITY OF HAMILTON'S DOMICILIARY HOSTEL PROGRAM



Presentation to the Emergency and Community Services Committee

September 8, 2011





PRESENTATION OVERVIEW

- Overview of the Program Review Process
 - Context
 - Review of Objectives
 - Overview of Project Approach
- 2. Program Review Report
 - Overview of Format
 - Overview of Key Findings and Recommendations
- 3. Moving Forward



BACKGROUND



Residential Care Facilities

90 Facilities, 3,013 Licensed Beds

Unsubsidized Beds

Residents with sufficient means to pay for their stay

Domiciliary Hostels

62 Facilities, 1,040 Subsidized Beds

Residents without the financial means to pay for their stay

Schedule 20 of the Licensing By-Law, Planning Regulations and Building Standards

Subsidy Agreements with the Community Services Department 80% provincial funding, 20% municipal



OBJECTIVES



- Determine the needs of residents
- Review and assess the current service delivery model
- Propose Program changes to better meet the needs of individuals who require housing with supports
- Assess the funding model and provide alternative funding options





PROJECT APPROACH

Advisory Committee Literature Review

Consultations









CONSULTATIONS



Focus groups

- Residents (50 participants)
- Coalition of Residential Care Facility Tenants(5)
- ▼ Operators (21)
- Housing with Supports Group (8)
- Affordable Housing Flagship Committee (5)

Interviews

- Community service providers (9)
- Neighbourhood association
- Provincial staff (3)
- City staff (9)
- Staff at four comparator municipalities (4)
- Visits to three Domiciliary Hostels



FORMAT OF PROGRAM REVIEW REPORT



KEY FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

- 9 Key Areas of Review
- 29 Recommendations





ROLE OF DOMICILIARY HOSTELS AND THE DOMICILIARY HOSTEL MODEL

Recommendations (#1-3)

- 1. That the City adopt a policy statement recognizing the important role of Domiciliary Hostels and that the City commit to working with various partners to improve the effectiveness of the program
- 2. That housing options be expanded beyond the Current Domiciliary Hostel model
- 3. That a person-centred approach to delivery of services be implemented





RESIDENTS NEEDS

- Recommendations (#4-10)
 Including recommendations that:
 - the City explore ways to improve quality of life
 - monitor the quality of care
 - that residents and operators be informed of and have access to other services, and
 - that the possibility of Resident Support Workers be explored





BENEFITS PROVIDED TO RESIDENTS

- Recommendation (#11)
 - 11. That the City provide additional benefits for Domiciliary Hostel residents and support an increase to the monthly Personal Needs Benefit





TRUSTEESHIPS/ ASSISTANCE WITH FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT

- Recommendations (#12-14)
 Including a recommendation that:
 - the City improve trusteeships and other supports for the management of residents' personal finances





REFERRAL AND PLACEMENT

- Recommendations (#15-16)
 - 15. That a web-based system be established that provides information on the Program and facilities
 - 16. That a feasibility study of a centralized placement process be conducted





CROSS-DEPARTMENT INTEGRATION, COMMUNICATION AND INTERACTIONS WITH OPERATORS & INFRASTRUCTURE POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

- Recommendations (#17-21 & 22-23)
 Including recommendations to:
 - identify a single department in the municipal leadership role
 - o clearly define roles of stakeholders
 - consider creating a one-window approach with a team of municipal staff from various departments
 - strengthen cross-departmental communication and communication with operators
 - improve infrastructure, including information technology and operational policies and procedures



PEOPLE FOCUSED SUBSIDY AND REALLOCATION POLICIES

- Recommendations (#24-25)
- 24. That the City study the impact of reallocating subsidy agreements, explore potential reuse of existing beds, and if appropriate reallocate beds
- 25. That the City establish an evaluation framework for new or expanded subsidy agreements for reallocated beds





FUNDING

- Recommendations (#26-29)
 Including a recommendation that:
 - the City increase per diem funding to \$55, and encourage MCSS to increase the per diem funding it establishes for the program





KEY OBSERVATIONS OUT OF SCOPE

- Some residents and operators expressed concern with Schedule 20 and building standards
- Some operators expressed concern with the City's planning regulations, specific to zoning



MOVING FORWARD

18

IMPLEMENTATION



APPENDIX: MUNICIPAL ADMINISTRATION AND OVERSIGHT

19

Housing and Homelessness Division

Parking and By-Law Services Division, Planning & Economic Development

Public Health Services, Health Protection Division

Emergency Services Department Planning & Economic Development

Community Services Department

Municipal Law Enforcement

Public Health
Inspectors and
Nursing
Inspectors

Fire Safety
Officers

Planning & Building Services

Domiciliary Hostel Service Agreements Licensing By-Law
Schedule 20
Provincial and
Municipal Building
Codes and
Standards

Sections of Schedule 20

Ontario Fire Code

Official Plan and Zoning By-law and Provincial Building Code