Robicheau, Vanessa

Subject:

RE: Fruitland-Winona Secondary Plan

From: James Wagenaar **Sent:** June-03-13 10:30 PM **To:** Robicheau, Vanessa

Subject: Fruitland-Winona Secondary Plan

We are writing in regards to the proposed Fruitland - Winona Secondary Plan.

We went to the presentation, and we feel that the overall development density is far too high for this area.

This was, is, and should remain a lightly developed area in order to reflect the more rural feel of the area that has existed for a long time.

This is NOT the city - there are numerous sections of the existing city of Hamilton that would much better serve as high density population areas .

There are constant articles in the paper about the emptiness of shops and buildings in the downtown area, especially the Barton Street area.

These areas would be able to be renovated / reconstructed to fill the density requirements , and at the same time use existing roads , sidewalks , and public transit .

The proposed housing / population density for Fruitland - Winona is NOT suitable for the area.

If development is necessary, it should only be single family homes, especially on areas that back on to existing homes.

If slightly higher density is required, it should be limited only to two storey townhomes, which should be built only along Barton Street, around the Winona public school, and around / behind

existing and proposed light commercial development.

We do not want high density - AT ALL - ANYWHERE in this area . THIS IS NOT THE CITY . IT SHOULD NOT BE TREATED AS SUCH .

We enjoy the quiet rural feel of the area. We have lived here for 13 years as a family. My wife grew up in this area, and has enjoyed many walks through the quiet streets (and fields) here.

We enjoy the nature that surrounds us every day ,seeing the sunrise and sunset , and seeing the farming (although limited) that still occurs nearby .

If this development were to go ahead as outlined in this plan, it would completely destroy this area.

Noise would become a problem, as well as pollution, traffic congestion, litter in the streets, graffiti on the walls, and increased crime that comes with the amount of people and structures that

would be crammed into this small area.

In conclusion , this development is not right or good for this area and is not wanted by us or any other resident we have spoken to .

James & Melissa Wagenaar & family .