

BUILT HERITAGE INVENTORY FORM

Address 2235 Upper	James Street		Community Gla	anbrook		
Also known as Kinburn Cottage Legal Description CON 2 PT LOT 6 GL GB						
P.I.N. 173970005	•	•				
Heritage Status: ■ Inven HCD (if applicable):		,	,	` ,		
Property Status (Observed): ■ Occupied Building ■ Vacant Building □ Vacant Lot □ Parking Lot						
Integrity: Preserved	/ Intact 🔳 Modified 🛭	☐ Compromised I	☐ Demolished (date)			
Construction Period: ■ Pre 1867 □ 1868-1900 □ 1901-1939 □ 1940-1955 □ 1956-1970 □ Post 1970 Year (if known) ca. 1842 Architect / Builder / Craftsperson (if known) unknown						
Massing: ■ Single-detached □ Semi-detached, related □ Semi-detached, unrelated □ Row, related □ Row, unrelated □ Other						
Storeys: ■ 1 □ 1½ □	12 🗆 2½ 🗆 3 🗆 3	½ 🗆 4 or more	☐ Irregular ☐ Othe	er		
Foundation Construction Material: ■ Stone □ Brick □ Concrete □ Wood □ Other Finish:						
Building Construction Material: ■ Brick □ Frame (wood) □ Stone □ Log □ Other Finish:						
Building Cladding: ☐ Wood ☐ Stone ■ Brick ☐ Stucco ☐ Synthetic ☐ Other Finish:						
Roof Type: ■ Hip □ Flat □ Gambrel □ Mansard □ Gable □ Other Type:						
Roof Materials: ■ Asphalt Shingle □ Wood Shingle □ Slate □ Tile/Terra Cotta □ Tar/Gravel □ Metal □ Other						
Architectural Style / Influ	ence:					
☐ Art Deco / Moderne (1920s-1950s)	☐ Chateau (1880-1940)	☐ Gothic Revival (1830-1900)	☐ Neo-Gothic (1900-1945)	☐ Romanesque Revival (1850-1910)		
☐ Beaux-Arts Classicism (1900-1945)	☐ Craftsman / Prairie (1900s-1930s)	☐ International (1930-1965)	☐ Period Revivals (1900-Present)	☐ Second Empire (1860-1900)		
☐ Brutalism (1960-1970)	☐ Colonial Revival (1900-Present)	☐ Italian Villa (1830-1900)	☐ Post-Modern (1970-Present)	☐ Vernacular		
☐ Bungalow (1900-1945)	☐ Edwardian (1900-1930)	☐ Italianate (1850-1900)	Queen Anne (1880-1910)	☐ Victory Housing (1940-1950)		
☐ Classic Revival (1830-1860)	☐ Georgian / Loyalist (1784-1860)	☐ Neo-Classical (1800-1860)	Regency (1830-1860)	☐ 1950s Contemporary (1945-1965)		
□ Other Regency Cot	tage					

Notable Building Feat	ures:					
Porch: portico	■ Sill(s):	☐ Tower/Spire	☐ Bargeboard	☐ Eaves:		
☐ Verandah:	Lintel(s):	□ Dome	■ Transom	□ Verges:		
☐ Balcony:	☐ Shutters:	☐ Finial	■ Side light	☐ Dormer:		
■ Door(s) : replaced	☐ Quoins:	□ Pilaster	□ Pediment	☐ Chimney:		
□ Stairs:	☐ Voussoirs:	☐ Capital	☐ Woodwork	☐ Parapet:		
☐ Fire wall:	☐ Cornice:	□ Panel	☐ Date stone	☐ Bay:		
■ Windows: Appear to	be wood windows on facade	□ Column	☐ Cresting	Other roof lantern cupo	la	
Notes:						
	ment: □ Yes ■ No Na lential / Commercial) □ T					
				□ Other		
					_	
•	Setback: Shallo			gular □ Other □Corner		
☐ Features (e.g. sto	ne wall, fountain):	■ Struc	ctures (e.g. shed,	outbuilding):		
		Large a	attached additio	n (ca. 1880's) later modified		
Additional Notes: Fai	rly unadorned Regen	cy Cottage with	the exception	of a unique roof lantern.	_	
Related Files:						
• •	g: 1898 Sheet No ition and Research Attac			o 1964 Sheet No		
Surveyed by: Grah	nam Carroll	Date: March	31, 2018	Survey Area: GLAN		
Staff Reviewer: Jeremy Parsons Date: May 3, 2018						

PRELIMINARY EVALUATION

Ph	Physical / Design Value:				
	The property's style, type or expression is: □ rare ■ unique □ representative □ early				
	The property displays a high degree of: □ craftsmanship □ artistic merit				
	The property demonstrates a high degree of: □ technical achievement □ scientific achievement				
His	Historical / Associative Value:				
	The property has direct associations with a potentially significant:				
■	☐ theme ☐ event ☐ belief ■ person ☐ activity ☐ organization ☐ institution				
■	The property yields, or has the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture				
	The property demonstrates or reflects the work or ideas of a potentially significant: □ architect □ artist □ building □ designer □ theorist				
Contextual Value:					
	The property is important in: □ defining □ maintaining ■ supporting the character of the area				
	The property is linked to its surroundings: □ physically □ functionally □ visually □ historically				
	The property is a landmark				
Classification:		Recommendation:			
	Significant Built Resource (SBR)	□ Add to Designation Work Plan			
☐ Character-Defining Resource (CDR)		■ Include in Register (Non-designated)			
■ Character-Supporting Resource (CSR)		□ Remove from Register (Non-designated)			
☐ Inventory Property (IP)		□ Add to Inventory – Periodic Review			
☐ Remove from Inventory (RFI)		☐ Inventory – No Further Review (Non-extant)			
	None	□ No Action Required			
E	aluated by: O-1O	Dato: March 24 2049			
A Secular Section	aluated by: Graham Carroll IHC Advice:	Date: March 31, 2018			
		Date			
	anning Committee Advice:	Date:			
84519	tabase/GIS Update:	AMANDA Update:			

Kinburn Cottage (2235 Upper James St. Mount Hope)

The family associated with the cottage had a long history in Glanford Township. The Thomas Choate (elder) family moved from New Hampshire in 1798. The home was constructed by Choate and occupied by his family continuously until 1955.

By 1841 Thomas had amassed land holdings of 1,284 acres and was prominent in the area as a farmer and community leader. He was involved in the formation of Glanford Township and was often referred to as "the father of Glanford Township". Members of the Choate family where involved in establishing the congregation and the building of St. Paul's Anglican Church in Mount Hope. A building that is significant in the community and remains an active congregation dating from 1851.

After Thomas Choate's death in 1889, his son Asa was the owner of Kinburn Cottage and resided there until his retirement in 1917. Thomas Norman Choate returned to run the family farm and lived in Kinburn Cottage until his death in 1955. The last of the Choate holdings including the Cottage were sold in 1957, bringing to an end of 150 years of the family's presence in Glanford.

Graham Carroll

Source: Glanford: Recollections and Reflections, (1985) Glanford Heritage Society