**TO:** Chair and Members  
Planning Committee  

**COMMITTEE DATE:** May 1, 2018  

**SUBJECT/REPORT NO:** Applications for a Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendment for Lands Located at 8475 English Church Road, Glanbrook (Ward 11) (PED18077)  

**WARD(S) AFFECTED:** Ward 11  

**PREPARED BY:** Elyse Meneray  
(905) 546-2424 Ext. 6360  

**SUBMITTED BY:** Steve Robichaud  
Director, Planning and Chief Planner  
Planning and Economic Development Department  

**SIGNATURE:**  

**RECOMMENDATION**  

(a) That Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment Application RHOPA-17-039, by Willow Valley Holdings Inc. (Owner), for an amendment to the Rural Hamilton Official Plan Schedule D - Rural Land Use Designations to re-designate the lands from Open Space to Rural to permit the creation of two new residential lots, for the lands located at 8475 English Church Road East, Glanbrook, as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED18077, be DENIED on the following basis:  

(i) The proposed Application is not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014) and does not comply with the Provincial Policy Statement airport regulation, agriculture and lot creation policies;  

(ii) The proposed Application does not comply with the Rural Area and Goods Movement policies of the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2017);  

(iii) The proposed Application does not comply with the policies and intent of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan airport development regulation policies and lot creation policies;  

(iv) The proposed development does not represent good planning as it is proposing a sensitive land use within the 35-40 NEF contour and the
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creation of two new lots for non-agricultural purposes in the Rural Hamilton Area.

(b) That **Zoning By-law Amendment Application ZAC-17-082, by Willow Valley Holdings Inc. (Owner)**, for a change in zoning from the Open Space (P4) Zone to the Rural (A2) Zone in order to permit the development of two new residential lots, for lands known as 8475 English Church Road East (Glanbrook), as shown on Appendix “A” to Report PED18077 be **DENIED** on the following basis:

(i) The proposed Application is not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014);

(ii) The proposed Application does not comply with the Rural Area and Goods Movement policies of the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2017);

(iii) The proposed Application does not comply with the policies and intent of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan airport regulation policies, lot creation policies and is not an appropriate use for the area;

(iv) The proposal does not meet the general intent of the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No 05-200.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The applicant has applied for a Rural Hamilton Official Plan (RHOP) Amendment and a Zoning By-law Amendment for a portion of the lands at the Willow Valley Golf Course located at 8475 English Church Road East. The purpose of the applications is to permit the creation of two new residential lots, with the intention of constructing a single detached dwelling on each lot. The applicant, as noted in the Planning Justification Report submitted with the applications, proposes to consolidate two vacant properties zoned Rural (A2) Zone located at 3005 (2.01 ha) and 3065 Upper James Street (2.5 ha) with an abutting 30.1 ha property, zoned Rural (A2) Zone at 2907 Upper James Street which is used as a sod farming operation and is also owned by the applicant. There are no provisions in the Provincial Policy documents or the City’s Official Plans to allow for the applicant’s proposal and as such an Official Plan Amendment Application was submitted to reverse the City’s Consent / Lot Creation policies to give effect to the development course of action proposed by the applicant.

The applications do not have merit and cannot be supported as they are not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014), the Places to Grow Plan (2017) and do not conform to the intent of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan (RHOP) specifically the, airport development and lot creation policies.
Alternatives for Consideration – See Page 18

FINANCIAL – STAFFING – LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: N/A
Staffing: N/A
Legal: As required by the Planning Act, Council shall hold at least one Public Meeting to consider an application for Amendment to the Rural Hamilton Official Plan and Zoning By-law.

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The subject lands at 8475 English Church Road East are part of the Willow Valley Golf Course and located at the southeast corner of the intersection at English Church Road, East and Upper James Street. They are also located to the west of the Airport Employment Growth District Secondary Plan and to the southwest of the Mount Hope Secondary Plan. The subject lands are located in the Airport Influence Area, Primary Airport Zoning Regulation Area and between the 35-40 Noise Exposure Forecast Contours.

The subject lands are located within the “White Belt”, (the rural area outside of the Greenbelt Plan area), in the Rural Hamilton Official Plan. Therefore, Greenbelt policies do not apply. Although, the subject lands are not regulated by Greenbelt Plan policies, there are Rural Area policies in the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe that provide guidance on what should be permitted on rural lands outside of the rural settlement area and as such, the application must conform to the Growth Plan (2017).

Proposal

The applicant is proposing to create two new residential lots fronting onto English Church Road from the subject lands at the Willow Valley Golf Course, as shown on Appendix “B” to Report PED18077. They are proposed to be created through Consent for Severance Applications GL/B-15:65 and GL/B-15:66 which were tabled at the September 17, 2017 Committee of Adjustment meeting. It should be noted that staff did not support the applications, and the Committee determined that the merits of the application should be first considered by City Council through an Official Plan and Zoning By-law Amendment with respect to the principle of land use and lot creation. The first residential lot (shown as Part 1 on Appendix “B” to Report PED18077) is proposed to have a frontage of 62.267 m and an area of .0405 ha and the second proposed residential lot (shown as Part 2 on Appendix “B” to Report PED18077) is an irregular shaped lot with a proposed frontage of 169.8 m and an area of .782 ha.
As stated in the Planning Justification Report submitted with the application, the applicant proposes that, as a condition of creating the two lots, two vacant properties zoned Rural (A2) Zone located at 3005 and 3065 Upper James Street (2.01 ha and 2.5 ha in size) would be consolidated with an abutting 30.1 ha property, zoned Rural (A2) Zone at 2907 Upper James Street. The larger property is used as a sod farming operation, and all of the above mentioned properties are owned by the applicant. This proposal is shown on Appendix “C” to Report PED18077.

The purpose and effect of the proposed Official Plan Amendment to the Rural Hamilton Official Plan is to re-designate the subject lands from “Open Space” to “Rural” to permit the creation of two new residential lots. The purpose and effect of the proposed Zoning By-law Amendment to Zoning By-law No. 05-200 is to change the zoning from the Open Space (P4) Zone to the Rural (A2) Zone in order to permit the development of two new residential lots.

**Previous (2005) Consent for Severance Application**

In 2005, the applicant submitted a Regional (ROPA-05-02) and Local Official Plan (OPA-05-08) Amendment and Zoning By-law (ZAC-05-58) Amendment Applications to allow for the severance and creation of three lots for single detached dwellings on the Willow Valley Golf Course. Two of the proposed lots (8271 English Church Road East and 8321 English Church Road East) had existing dwellings on the property which were being used as a clubhouse and a residence for the groundskeeper. The third proposed lot was vacant. The applications were heard at Planning Committee on May 16, 2006.

Planning Committee agreed to approve the severances for Lot 1 (8271 English Church Road) and Lot 2 (8321 English Church Road) conditional upon the owner agreeing to replace the additional dwelling use with the clubhouse. Planning Committee amended the original application to remove the third lot to be created, as there was no existing dwelling on the lot.

On June 28, 2006, Hamilton City Council approved Official Plan Amendment No. 27 to the former Region of Hamilton-Wentworth Official Plan and Official Plan Amendment No. 55 to the former Township of Glanbrook Official Plan and amended Zoning By-law No. 464 (Glanbrook), for lands located at 8271 and 8321 English Church Road East, which permitted the creation of the two lots for the existing single detached dwellings. In 2006, the two lots were severed (GL/B-06:117 & GL/B-06:118) from the Willow Valley Golf Course. See Appendix “D” and Appendix “E” to Report PED18077 for Committee of Adjustment applications GL/B-06:117 & GL/B-06:118 meeting minutes and staff comments.
Chronology:

September 17, 2017: Committee of Adjustment meeting to consider Consent for Severance Applications GL/B-15:65 and GL/B-15:66. Applications were tabled.

November 10, 2017: Applications for a Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment (RHOPA-17-039) and Zoning By-law Amendment (ZAC-17-082) received.

November 17, 2017: Applications for a Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment (RHOPA-17-039) and Zoning By-law Amendment (ZAC-17-082) deemed complete.

November 24, 2017: Notice of Complete Application was sent to 93 property owners within 120 m of the subject lands.

December 4, 2017: Public Notice Sign posted on site.


April 13, 2018: Circulation of the Notice of Public Meeting mailed to 93 property owners within 120 m of the subject property.

Details of Submitted Application:

Owner/Applicant: Willow Valley Holdings Inc. (c/o Steve Schiedel)

Agent: Fothergill Planning & Development Inc. (c/o Ed Fothergill)

Location: 8475 English Church Road East (see Appendix “A” to Report PED18077)

Property Description:
- Lot Frontage: 680.9 m (English Church Road East)
- Lot Depth: 477 m (irregular)
- Lot Area: 61.2 ha
- Servicing: No municipal services
**EXISTING LAND USE AND ZONING:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject Lands:</th>
<th>Existing Land Use</th>
<th>Existing Zoning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Subject Lands:</td>
<td>Open Space (Willow Valley Golf Course)</td>
<td>Open Space (P4) Zone, Special Exception 164 and 3T (not final and binding)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Surrounding Land Uses:**

- **North**: Residential, Agriculture and Open Space (Cameron Speedway and Amusements)
  - Existing Zoning: Rural (A2) Zone
  - Surrounding Land Uses: Open Space (P4) Zone, Special Exception 160

- **South**: Willow Valley Golf Course
  - Existing Zoning: Open Space (P4) Zone, Special Exception 164 and 3T (not final and binding)

- **East**: Agriculture
  - Existing Zoning: Agriculture (A1) Zone

- **West**: Residential and Willow Valley Golf Course
  - Existing Zoning: Rural (A2) Zone
  - Surrounding Land Uses: Open Space (P4) Zone, Special Exception 164 and 3T (not final and binding)

**POLICY IMPLICATIONS AND LEGISLATED REQUIREMENTS**

The proposed Rural Hamilton Official Plan Amendment (RHOPA-17-039) and Zoning By-law Amendment (ZAC-17-082) were reviewed against the Provincial Policy Statement (2014) and the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2017) and the Rural Hamilton Official Plan.

Two of the most relevant policies in all documents are: land use compatibility of sensitive land uses in proximity to an airport and the residential development in the rural area.

The subject property is located in the rural area in close proximity to the John C. Munro International Airport. The purpose of the application is to create two new residential lots for single-detached dwellings.
Provincial Policy Statement (2014)

The Provincial Policy framework is established through the Planning Act (Section 3) and the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS, 2014). The Planning Act requires that all municipal land use decisions affecting planning matters be consistent with the PPS.

The applications have been reviewed against the policies of the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS, 2014).

Land Use Compatibility

The subject property was identified as being located within the Airport Influence Area, Primary Airport Zoning Regulation Area and the 35-40 Noise Exposure Forecast Contour, as such, the following policies, amongst other, apply to the proposal:

"1.2.6.1 Major facilities and sensitive land uses should be planned to ensure they are appropriately designed, buffered and/or separated from each other to prevent or mitigate adverse effects from odour, noise and other contaminants, minimize risk to public health and safety, and to ensure the long term viability of major facilities.

1.6.9.1 Planning for land uses in the vicinity of airports, rail facilities and marine facilities shall be undertaken so that:

a) their long term operation and economic role is protected; and,

b) airports, rail facilities and marine facilities and sensitive land uses are appropriately designed, buffered and/or separated from each other, in accordance with policy 1.2.6.

1.6.9.2 Airports shall be protected from incompatible land uses and development by:

a) prohibiting new residential development and other sensitive land uses in areas near airports above 30 NEF/NEP;

b) considering redevelopment of existing residential uses and other sensitive land uses or infilling of residential and other sensitive land uses in area above 30 NEF/NEP only if it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the long term function of the airport; and,

c) discouraging land uses which may cause a potential aviation safety hazard."
The proposal is not consistent with the Airport, Rail and Marine policies of the Provincial Policy Statement. The PPS protects airports from incompatible land uses and development by prohibiting new residential development and other sensitive land uses in areas near airports above the 30 NEF contour. This proposal is to create two new residential lots within the 35-40 NEF contour. John C. Munro International Airport staff have advised that any residential development permitted in the area (i.e. within the 35-40 NEF Contour) will be significantly impacted by aircraft noise. This can result in complaints against routine airport operations and the intent of the NEF contour land use policies is to ensure the long term viability of the airport for 24/7 operations. It is better to avoid a potential land use conflict, rather than to try and mitigate it. Therefore, the proposal does not meet the intent of the PPS as the proposed development is not an appropriate distance from the airport to prevent or mitigate adverse effects from noise.

In addition, by allowing for residential development within this area the long-term operation and economic role of the Airport is not being protected.

**Residential Development**

1.1.4.2 In *rural areas*, rural *settlement areas* shall be the focus of growth and development and their vitality and regeneration shall be promoted.

1.1.5.2 On *rural lands* located in municipalities, permitted uses are:

   a) the management or use of resources;

   b) resource-based recreational uses (including recreation dwellings);

   c) limited residential development;

   d) home occupations and home industries;

   e) cemeteries; and,

   f) other rural land uses."

The proposed use is not consistent with Policy 1.1.4.2 which focuses of growth within Rural Settlement Areas. However, Policy 1.1.5.2 does allow for some limited residential development. It is the intent of the PPS to allow municipalities to determine the extent of residential development in the rural area.

**Agriculture and Lot Creation**

The subject property has been identified as a prime agricultural area as such, the following policies, amongst others, apply to the proposal:
2.3.1 *Prime agricultural areas* shall be protected for long-term use for agriculture.

2.3.3.1 In *prime agricultural areas*, permitted uses and activities are: *agricultural uses, agriculture-related uses* and *on-farm diversified uses*.

2.3.4.1 Lot creation in *prime agricultural areas* is discouraged and may only be permitted for:

a) *agricultural uses*, provided that the lots are of a size appropriate for the type of agricultural use(s) common in the area and are sufficiently large to maintain flexibility for future changes in the type or size of agricultural operations;

b) *agricultural-related uses*, provided that any new lot will be limited to a minimum size needed to accommodate the use and appropriate *sewage and water services*;

c) a residence surplus to a farming operation as a result of farm consolidation, provided that:

1. the new lot will be limited to a minimum size needed to accommodate the use and appropriate *sewage and water services*; and

2. the planning authority ensures that new residential dwellings are prohibited on any remnant parcel of farmland created by the severance. The approach used to ensure that no new residential dwellings are permitted on the remnant parcel may be recommended by the Province, or based on municipal approaches which achieve the same objective; and

d) infrastructure, where the facility or corridor cannot be accommodated through the use of easements or rights-of-way.

2.3.4.3 The creation of new residential lots in *prime agricultural areas* shall not be permitted, except in accordance with policy 2.3.4.1(c)."

The proposed use is not consistent with Policy 2.3.1 and 2.3.3.1, which protects prime agricultural areas for long-term use for agriculture and permits only agricultural uses, agricultural-related uses and on-farm diversified uses. Furthermore, the proposed development is not consistent with Policy 2.3.4.3, which does not permit the creation of new residential lots in prime agricultural areas except for a residence surplus to a
farming operating as a result of a farm consolidation in accordance with policy 2.3.4.1 (c).

**Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2017)**

The following applicable policies, amongst others, apply as it relates to the airport:

"3.2.4.2 The Province and municipalities will work with agencies and transportation service providers to:

a) co-ordinate, optimise, and ensure the long-term viability of major goods movement facilities and corridors.

3.2.5.1 In planning for development, optimization, or expansion of existing and planned corridors and supporting facilities, the Province, other public agencies and upper- and single-tier municipalities will:

b) ensure that existing and planned corridors are protected to meet current and projected needs in accordance with the transportation and infrastructure corridor protection policies in the PPS;

3.2.5.2 The planning, location, and design of planned corridors and the land use designations along these corridors will support the policies of this Plan, in particular that development is directed to settlement areas."

The development is not consistent with the Airport policies of the Growth Plan (2017). Therefore, this development conflicts with Policies 3.2.5.1 b) and 3.2.5.2 of the Growth Plan (2017).

**Rural Hamilton Official Plan (RHOP)**

The two most important RHOP policies are the Noise policies and the severance policies. The policies, not above, apply to any residential development in the rural area, regardless of land use designation.

**Airport Influence Area Policies**

The subject lands are identified as Airport Influence Area on Schedule “F” Airport Influence Area, Primary Airport Zoning Regulation Area and between the 35-40 Noise Exposure Forecast Contours on Appendix “D” – Noise Exposure Forecast Contours and Primary Zoning Regulation Area. The following policies, amongst others, apply to the proposed development:
“B.3.6.3.2 Development of noise sensitive land uses, in the vicinity of provincial highways, parkways, arterial roads, collector roads, truck routes, railway lines, railway yards, airports or other uses considered to be noise generators shall comply with all applicable provincial and municipal guidelines standards.

B.3.6.3.8 Development within the vicinity of John C. Munro International Airport shall be in accordance with Section C.4.8 – Airport.

C.4.8.5 The City shall minimize the future conflicts between operation of the Airport and surrounding land uses to ensure:

a) There shall be no negative impact on the long-term operations of the Airport;

b) The opportunities for expansion of airport operations shall not be limited; and,

c) There are no land uses in the vicinity which may cause a potential aviation hazard; and,

d) Development that is noise or land use sensitive to airport operations or will limit the opportunities for expansion of airport operations shall be restricted.

C.4.8.7 All development and redevelopment shall comply with all provincial and municipal standards, criteria and guidelines regarding noise and vibration from air traffic sources, including section B.3.6.3 – Noise, Vibration and Other Emissions.

C.4.8.8 Proposals for development, infill development and redevelopment of residential or other sensitive land use shall comply with the following requirements in Table C.4.8.1 – Requirement for Development in the Vicinity of John C. Munro International Airport, based on all applicable location criteria. Proposals may meet more than one locational criteria and thereby be subject to more than one set of requirements.”
Table C.4.8.1: Requirements for Development in the Vicinity of John C. Munro International Airport

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Locational Criteria</th>
<th>Requirements</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>35 NEF and greater, and/or within the Airport Influence Area</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>a) All new development of residential and other sensitive land uses, including infill development and redevelopment, shall be prohibited.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>b) New land uses which may cause a potential aviation hazard shall be prohibited.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The proposal conflicts with Policy B3.6.3.2 and C.4.8.4 since it is contrary to the PPS and Growth Plan. In addition, it is also contrary to Policies C.4.8.5 and C.4.8.8. The proposed residential use is located between the 35-40 NEF contours and the Airport Influence Area. As per policy C.4.8.8, all proposals for development, infill development and redevelopment of residential or other sensitive land uses shall comply with Table C.4.8.1 – Requirements for Development in the Vicinity of John C. Munro International Airport, based on all applicable location criteria.

The locational criteria applicable for the proposal is 35 NEF or greater and/or within the Airport Influence Area. Therefore, all new development of residential and other sensitive land uses, including infill development and redevelopment shall be prohibited. Furthermore, policy C.3.3.2 (d) states that development that is noise or land use sensitive to airport operations or will limit the opportunities for expansion of airport operations shall be restricted. The proposal is for a sensitive land use and therefore shall be restricted so that there is no adverse effect on the airport or surrounding land uses.

Residential Development — Severance Policies

The following lot creation policies, amongst others apply to the proposed development:

F.1.14.2.1 The following policies apply to all severances and lot additions, including minor lot line adjustments and boundary adjustments in the Agricultural, Rural, Specialty Crop, and Open Space designations, and designated Rural Settlement Areas, as shown on Schedule D – Rural Land Use Designations:

a) Severances that create a new lot for the following purposes shall be prohibited:

i) Residential uses except in accordance with:
1) Policies F.1.14.2.1 b) iii) and F.1.14.2.8, where a dwelling may be severed as a result of a farm consolidation; and,

2) Policies F.1.14.2.1 b) iv) and F.1.14.2.4, where a dwelling within a designated Rural Settlement Area may be severed.

Based on Policy F.1.14.2.1 the creation of non-surplus dwelling farm lots is prohibited.

Land Use Designation

The subject lands are currently designated “Open Space” on Schedule “D” – Rural Land Use Designations of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan (RHOP). The following policies, amongst others, apply to the proposed development:

“C.3.3.1 Lands designated as Open Space on Schedule D – Rural Land Use Designations are public or private areas where the predominant use of or function of the land is for recreational activities, conservation management and other open space uses. These include, but are not limited to parks for both active and passive recreational activities including resource-based recreational and tourism uses, recreation/community centres, pedestrian pathways, trails, bikeways and walkways, seasonal campgrounds, marinas, woodlots, forestry and wildlife management areas, fishing reserves, hazard lands and cemeteries. Ancillary commercial uses may be permitted as defined by section B.3.5.1, Parkland Policies and section C.2, Natural Heritage System policies of this Plan.

C.3.3.2 Open Space designations shall be further refined in Secondary Plans and Rural Settlement Area Plans or identified in an Appendix to this Plan in accordance with Section B.3.5.1 Parkland Policies of this Plan.

The following ancillary uses shall be permitted subject to the following:

a) Ancillary commercial uses such as but not limited to food concessions, recreational equipment rentals and water oriented recreational uses that are complimentary to supporting and in conjunction with a resource-based recreational and tourism use, or recreational/community centre, may be permitted provided they do not interfere with or have any negative impacts on the open space nature of the land; and
b) One ancillary residential dwelling may be permitted in conjunction with a resource-based recreational and tourism use provided it does not interfere with or have any negative impacts on the open space nature of the land.

C.3.3.3 Where land is designated as Open Space and is under private ownership, it is not intended that this land shall necessarily remain so indefinitely, nor shall the Plan be construed as implying these areas are free and open to the general public or shall be purchased by the City.”

The intent of the Open Space designation is to permit Open Space uses. The Open Space designation does not permit residential uses except for an ancillary residential dwelling in conjunction with a resource-based recreational and tourism use provided that it does not interfere with or have any negative impacts on the open space nature of the land. As the proposal is not for an ancillary residential dwelling in conjunction with a resource-based recreational and tourism use, the proposal does not meet the intent of the Open Space policies of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan.

Notwithstanding the designation of the subject lands, residential development is contrary to the Noise and Airport Influence policies. These policies directly implement the provincial policy. Furthermore, the RHOP does not allow severances for residential dwelling lots unless they are related to a farming operation.

City of Hamilton Zoning By-law 05-200

The subject lands are zoned Open Space (P4) Zone – Special Exception 164 in Zoning By-law No. 05-200. The lands are also subject to Special Exception 3T, a pilot project for entertainment on outdoor commercial patios for areas of Downtown Hamilton and for certain lands zoned Open Space (P4) and Settlement Commercial (S2) Zone in the Rural Area. As of writing this Report, Special Exception 3T is under appeal and therefore, not final and binding. Special Exception 164 applies only to 8475 English Church Road and permits the following additional provisions:

a) A clubhouse shall be set back a minimum of 200.0 m from English Church Road East and Upper James Street, and a minimum of 900.0 m from the adjacent livestock building and manure facility on the lands to the east described as 8149 English Church Road East;

b) Minimum yards for all golf tees, fairways, greens and practice areas shall be 30.0 m from any lot line that abuts a public street or a lot used for residential or institutional purposes;
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c) A landscaped area with a minimum width of 10.0 m shall be provided adjacent to
any lot line that abuts a public street or a lot used for residential or institutional
purposes.

The P4 Zone permits Botanical Gardens, Cemetery, Community garden, Conservation,
Golf Course (excluding mini-golf), Nature Centres, Marina, Recreation, Seasonal
Campground and Urban Farms, subject to a set of performance standards. Residential
uses are not permitted, and a Zoning By-law Amendment is required to permit the
residential use on the subject lands.

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

The following Departments and external Agencies provided comments with respect to
the proposed applications:

Niagara Peninsula Conservation Authority (NPCA) advised that current regulations
do not permit development (including but not limited to: structures, septic systems,
ponds and fill) within floodplain areas. The lands to be severed, shown as Part 1 on the
Sketch for Land Severance prepared by A.T.McLaren limited (dated May 1, 2015)
is located outside of any features of interest. NPCA Staff conducted a site visit in
November 2014 to assess Three Mile Creek where the lands shown as Part 2 is to be
severed.

Based on NPCA staff assessment, it was determined that a 3.0 m setback from the
bank of the watercourse to the rear lot line of Part 2 was required. The 3.0 m buffer
should be naturally vegetated to protect the watercourse from future development
proposals on Part 2. Further, the NPCA will require a topographic survey showing the
floodplain elevation of 218.81 m GSC and a building envelope for Part 2 that is located
outside the regulatory floodplain.

The NPCA has no objection to the applications subject to the circulated sketch being
revised to meet the requirements outlined in this letter. NPCA Staff recommend that the
revisions be made prior to the applications being approved in order to ensure that the
appropriate lands are amended.

Should any development and/or site alterations (i.e. grading / fill) be proposed in an
NPCA regulated area a work permit will be required from our office in accordance with
the “Regulation of Development, Interference with Wetlands and Alterations to
Shoreline and Watercourses” (O. Reg. 155/06).

The circulated sketch does not contain the above noted information and should be
revised accordingly in order to meet NPCA’s “Regulation of Development, Interference
with Wetlands and Alterations to Shoreline and Watercourses” (O. Reg. 155/06).
John C. Munro International Airport advised that as per the City of Hamilton By-law #05-196 no sensitive land uses are permitted to be developed within areas exposed to noise disturbance levels greater than the 28 NEF, except where the lands are currently designated Urban. The proposed residential severances falls under Noise Exposure Forecast (NEF) contour 40 and the Airport Influence Area therefore; any residential development permitted in the area will be significantly impacted by aircraft noise and will conflict with the Hamilton Airport Zoning Regulations and the City of Hamilton By-law.

Ministry of Transportation advised that the property is not within the ministry’s permit control area, and therefore permits are not required. In addition, the ministry has no concerns with the Official Plan Amendment or Zoning By-law Amendment.

Transportation Planning (Public Works Department) has identified a future Right-of-Way width for Upper James Street at 45 m from Rymal Road to 150 m north of new Highway No. 6 and a future Right-of-Way width for English Church Road –Collector Roads of 36 m.

Chapter C - City Wide Systems and Designations 4.5 Road Network Functional Classification; Daylighting Triangles 4.5.7 has identified the need for a 15 m by 15 m daylighting triangle for Arterial to Collector or Arterial roads. The BLAST Line corridors need to be protected for future rapid transit.

Recycling and Waste Disposal (Environmental Services Division, Public Works Department) advised that residential developments are eligible for municipal waste collection service and that the following note be added to any future site plan:

“This property is eligible for weekly collection of Garbage, Recycling, Organics, and Leaf and Yard Waste through the City of Hamilton subject to compliance with specifications indicated by the Public Works Department and subject to compliance with the City’s Solid Waste Management By-law 09-067, as amended.”

PUBLIC CONSULTATION

In accordance with the Planning Act and Council’s Public Participation Policy, the proposal was circulated as part of the Notice of Complete Application to 93 property owners within 120 m of the subject lands on November 24, 2017. A public notice sign was posted on the property December 4, 2017. The statutory notice of this Public Meeting was given by publishing of notice in the Hamilton Spectator and additional notice was given by mail that was circulated to 93 property owners within 120 m of the subject lands on April 12, 2018. At the time of writing this Report, no correspondence has been received; however, staff received one phone call from a resident to the north of the subject lands in opposition to the proposal. The caller indicated that the proposal for creating two new residential lots did not comply with the RHOP policies and that a precedent would be set for the area.
Public Consultation Strategy

The applicant held an Open House for area neighbours on May 6, 2015. Notice of the Open House was distributed to 41 properties along English Church Road. Seven neighbours and the local Councillor attended the Open House. The applicant’s Planning Justification Report indicated that there were no major concerns with the proposal.

ANALYSIS AND RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

1. The proposal does not have merit and cannot be supported for the following reasons:

   (i) The proposal is not consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (2014);

   (ii) The proposal does not comply with the policies and intent of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan (RHOP) in regards to airport development and lot creation policies;

   (iii) The proposal does not meet the general intent of Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 05-200; and,

   (iv) The proposed development does not represent good planning as it is recommending the development of a sensitive land use (residential) within the 35-40 NEF noise contours and the creation of two new lots for residential purposes in the Hamilton rural area.

2. The applicant proposes to consolidate two vacant properties zoned Rural (A2) Zone located at 3005 (2.01 ha) and 3065 Upper James Street (2.5 ha) with an abutting 30.1 ha property, zoned Rural (A2) Zone at 2907 Upper James Street. There are no policies in either the PPS or Growth Plan to permit the development scheme proposed. The proposed lot severances on English Church Road East do not comply with the airport development and lot creation policies of the Rural Hamilton Official Plan.

3. The applicant has requested a change in zoning from the Open Space (P4) Zone to the Rural (A2) Zone. Since staff do not support the Official Plan Amendment application and the proposal, staff do not support the associated Zoning By-law Amendment, which would implement the proposed zoning change. Staff are not supportive of a change in zoning because the applicant is recommending the development of a sensitive land use (residential) within the 35-40 NEF noise contours and the creation of two new lots for residential purposes in the Hamilton rural area.
4. City staff advise that should development occur, and based on the topography and site conditions, no development or site alteration should occur within a minimum Vegetation Protection Zone (VPZ) of 15 m, measured from the stable top of bank of the stream. The rear lot line should be revised to pull it outside of the recommended Vegetation Protection Zone. This would create a longer, narrower lot, but there will be sufficient space for a home, septic, driveway and accessory structure.

5. Should development proceed, the applicant shall ensure all future work aligns with the peer review comments and the City’s Hydrogeological Guidelines and Technical Standards for Private Services. As per the City’s Hydrogeological Guidelines, the applicant shall complete hydrogeologic cross sections to demonstrate isolation between surface activities and bedrock aquifer wells within 500 m. The applicant is also encouraged to review MOECC Guideline D-5-4 to ensure that future work conforms with conditions stated in this Guideline.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

1. If the proposed Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendments are approved, staff should be directed to prepare a draft Official Plan and draft Zoning By-law Amendments that implement the proposed Concept Plan attached as Appendix “B” to Report PED18077.

2. Alternatively, if the Official Plan Amendment and Zoning By-law Amendments are denied, the subject lands could be developed in accordance with the Open Space (P4) Zone, which permits such uses as a botanical garden, cemetery, community garden, conservation, golf course (excluding mini-golf), nature centres, recreation, seasonal campground and urban farm.

ALIGNMENT TO THE 2016 – 2025 STRATEGIC PLAN

Community Engagement & Participation

*Hamilton has* an open, transparent and accessible approach to City government that engages with and empowers all citizens to be involved in their community.

Healthy and Safe Communities

*Hamilton is* a safe and supportive city where people are active, healthy, and have a high quality of life.

Our People and Performance

*Hamiltonians have* a high level of trust and confidence in their City government.

OUR Vision: To be the best place to raise a child and age successfully.
OUR Mission: To provide high quality cost conscious public services that contribute to a healthy, safe and prosperous community, in a sustainable manner.
OUR Culture: Collective Ownership, Steadfast Integrity, Courageous Change, Sensational Service, Engaged Empowered Employees.
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APPENDICES AND SCHEDULES ATTACHED

• Appendix “A”: Location Map
• Appendix “B”: Concept Plan
• Appendix “C”: Lot Consolidation Plan
• Appendix “D”: September 17, 2015 Committee of Adjustment Meeting Minutes
• Appendix “E”: September 17, 2015 Committee of Adjustment Staff Comments