Dear Planning Committee members:

In light of additional information that has been released regarding the site plan application at 310 Frances Avenue in Stoney Creek, as a home-owner directly affected by this massive development I have significant concerns regarding the ability of Councillor Pearson to impartially represent my concerns regarding the infrastructure related issues impacted by this build. Her track record in this regard has been historically unreliable.

To date, Councillor Pearson has not publicly declared any conflict of interest regarding this development. It is imperative that the same scenario that occurred with the 257 Millen Road development not reoccur. For historical context: Councillor Pearson attended meetings with the developer, hosted the developer at a public meeting, met with the affected home-owners at their private residences, then declared a conflict-of-interest (ownership of rental property within 120 metre circulation area of the development) during the Planning Committee decision meeting. Her eleventh-hour disclosure was of questionable timing, leaving the residents without representation at a crucial point in the process. In the current situation, the land-owner at 310 Frances, and myself, are both constituents; this situation also raises questions about unbiased representation.

Given the city-wide implications on both zoning, development, and infrastructure costs related to the 310 Frances Avenue site-plan application, Councillor Pearson's conflicts of interests – real or perceived – need to be disclosed immediately on public record to ensure that the Planning Committee, remainder of Council, and residents are not blind-sided at any point during the current process.

Thank v	ou for v	your attention	to this	matter.

Sincerely,

Anna Roberts