May 29, 2019
City of Hamilton
Growth Management Division
71 Main street West, 6th Floor
Hamilton, ON
L8P 4Y5

Attention: Tony Sergi, P.Eng, Senior Director<br>Mike Zegarac, Director Financial Planning/Policy

Subject: SUMMIT PARK PHASE 11 AND PHASE 9 DEVELOPMENT CHARGE REVIEW

Dear Tony:
We are writing to request that the City to grant Development Charge consideration or a transition accommodation for our development on Summit Park Phase 11 and Phase 9 with respect the change in Local Service Policy E1.1 Paragraph 2 where the policy change requires a minimum slope of $0.2 \%$.

Reasons for our request:
-Connection to the Upper Centennial Sanitary Trunk sewer commissioning was 18 months behind schedule and as such we are 18 months delayed in our new home construction and delivery schedule effecting our need to have serviced Summit Park Phase 11. We submitted first submission engineering design in May of 2017 and would have serviced this Phase of Summit Park if we had not been delayed by the late arrival of the trunk sanitary sewer.
-Delays in engineering approvals for Phase 10 also further delayed our need to have serviced Summit Park Phase 11, following similar rationale as in the previous paragraph.
-The approved and installed storm sewer system in Summit Park Phase 10 at the low end of the drainage area and Phase 8 at the high end of the drainage area set the fixed elevations that determine the achievable gradient/slope of the pipes in the system. The minimum of $0.2 \%$ slope is difficult to achieve between the fixed points. Should we not be
able to the minimum $0.2 \%$ slope on the pipe, then this change in policy results in both Phase 11 and Phase 9 being ineligible for oversizing DC contributions. Phase 9 first engineering design submission was also May of 2017.

We have asked A.J. Clarke and Associate to take a hard look at the design of the storm sewer system between the two fixed points. They have assured me that they will endeavor to meet the criteria of the Local Service Policy. This may result in smaller pipe sizes and therefore a more efficient system, in which case there could well be fewer oversized pipes.

We are hereby requesting that Summit Park Phase 9 and Phase 11 both of which have first submission of engineering design submitted to the City in May, 2017 be exempted from the requirements of Local Service Policy E1.1 Paragraph 2 where the policy change requires a minimum slope of $0.2 \%$. However, our engineers be may able to achieve the $0.2 \%$ minimum slope criteria allowing the pipes to be eligible for DC oversizing contribution.

In either case, we ask that these pipes be included in the 2019 Development Charge background study so that the funds are available for payment of oversizing purposes. Schedule is attached.

Yours truly,

## MULTI-AREA DEVELOPMENTS INC.



Aldo De Santis
President

