Pilon, Janet

Subject:

Submission to City Council regarding Planning Committee's recommendation to approve a development application for 468-476 James Street North

From: Carole Kenny Peters Sent: June 11, 2019 4:29 PM To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Cc: Office of the Mayor <<u>mayor@hamilton.ca</u>>; Wilson, Maureen <<u>Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca</u>>; Farr, Jason

<<u>Jason.Farr@hamilton.ca</u>>; Nann, Nrinder <<u>Nrinder.Nann@hamilton.ca</u>>; Merulla, Sam <<u>Sam.Merulla@hamilton.ca</u>>;

Collins, Chad < Chad.Collins@hamilton.ca; Jackson, Tom < Tom.Jackson@hamilton.ca; Pauls, Esther

< <u>Esther.Pauls@hamilton.ca</u>>; Danko, John-Paul < <u>John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca</u>>; Clark, Brad

< Brad. Clark@hamilton.ca>; Pearson, Maria < Maria. Pearson@hamilton.ca>; Johnson, Brenda

<<u>Brenda.Johnson@hamilton.ca</u>>; Ferguson, Lloyd <<u>Lloyd.Ferguson@hamilton.ca</u>>; VanderBeek, Arlene

< Arlene. Vander Beek@hamilton.ca >; Whitehead, Terry < Terry. Whitehead@hamilton.ca >; Partridge, Judi

<Judi.Partridge@hamilton.ca>

Subject: Submission to City Council regarding Planning Committee's recommendation to approve a development application for 468-476 James Street North

Mayor Eisenberger and members of City Council,

I am writing you in regards to Planning Committee's decision on June 4th to approve an 8-storey mixed-us Street North. I have lived at 23 Ferrie Street East for 9 years. I attended and spoke at the statutory public r Committee last week to request the development application be denied approval in its current form. I woulk that you reconsider giving final approval.

I understand that at 8 storeys the proposed development will be significantly taller than is currently permitted plan, Setting Sail, and I feel at that height it does not respect and will not enhance the character of the exist

I live three doors to the east of the proposed development and have viewed drawings and renderings that a distance from my neighbour's property line and house. The development as proposed will dramatically character than a year ago, I submitted written comments to the City planner responsible for the file and indicate appropriate for this site. I wish to convey to you that I still hold that view and that I am not totally opposed to James Street North.

I also wish to convey that the development, which is to include 92 flexible units, will create traffic and parking East and nearby streets such as Hughson Street North. It is unclear to me how the reduced parking required was arrived at, but my observation as a resident is that the vast majority of households in the North End over parking is limited for those without parking on their properties. I can only foresee future difficulties accomm likely arise from the proposed development if an adequate supply of spaces are not provided on site.

For the 9 years that I have lived on Ferrie Street East in the North End, I would describe the area's charact place to live that is near downtown, but not as busy or hectic. I know my neighbours and value the commul block. I think that is worthy of careful consideration in planning decisions such as this. I'm not opposed to c planned and managed to consider impacts on existing residents.

This proposal will be the first significant redevelopment near my home and I'm are told that 468-476 James other developments along James Street North. Given that, I would appreciate if City Council would reconsi

the hope that the proposal might be revised in such in a way as to be more sensitive to the existing charac minimize the development's impact on adjacent residents.

Sincerely,

Carole Peters