From: GCH-CanDisPro [redacted]
Sent: February 5, 2019 4:52 PM
To: Vrooman, Tim <Tim.Vrooman@hamilton.ca>
Subject: File 25T-201902

Dear Mr. Vrooman,

I am a resident living on Hummingbird Lane.

I was reviewing the proposed plan and was concerned whether there will be a direct access to Humminbird Lane from the new proposed street.

As you know, there is a school and the existing traffic with school buses and parents is already very congested. I would hope that this will be considered and that there be NO access to Hummingbird Lane.

Also, will the park that exists now be improved to reflect the additional 54 households?

Thank you.

Grace Hinz

From: [redacted]
Sent: February 11, 2019 7:11 AM
To: Vrooman, Tim <Tim.Vrooman@hamilton.ca>
Subject: New construction by Hummingbird lane

Dear Mr. Vrooman.

My house is on Hummingbird lane and I have learnt about the new construction for 54 more houses. I am deeply concerned about this new subdivision to be accessible from Hummingbird lane. Having the access by Hummingbird, with the school and all children there, can be very dangerous. We already suffer from traffic and adding another access will not be safe.

I hope you can take this into consideration.

Thank you.

Javier Millan

Sunday, February 17th 2019

Gina DiFederico
[redacted]

Dear Mr Vrooman

My name is Gina DiFederico and I reside on Hummingbird Lane.

My main reason why I am writing this letter is that I have concerns of the safety of this community.

Through the twelve(12) years I’ve lived at this residence, the flow of traffic has increased. The proposed plan will only compound and create added volume of traffic on to Hummingbird Lane which would be a safety concern for the neighbourhood, school, buses, cars, and pedestrians alike. In the past, there were near misses of accidents.

Also, there are numerous children in this community that the park is used for their recreational enjoyment. A pathway to the park would be suggested so that there would be safe easy access to the community park from the school could be maintained.

Yours Truly,

Gina DiFederico

Saturday, February 16th, 2019

Jason Mattina

[redacted]

Dear Mr Vrooman,

I am writing in response to the proposed re-development planned for the former Cardinal Heights School lands.

I have some serious concerns on how the proposed access to the new lots will unnecessarily increase the traffic in the school zone around Pauline Johnson Elementary. Drivers already use Bobolink to Hummingbird Lane along Skylark and out Thresher for access to Limeridge Mall. I fear adding another easy way through the community from Cardinal to Hummingbird via “Street A”, will further increase this dangerous trend. Drivers already skip the stop signs at Bobolink and Hummingbird which is in a school zone. They pass school buses offloading and loading students and drive with excessive speed around the school. While none of these issues are a result of the plan, the fact they exist should raise cause for concern when planning to add more access to the area.

I would suggest instead of the proposed “L” shaped road joining Cardinal to Hummingbird a “U” shaped road or crescent connecting Cardinal to Meadowlark be preferred. A crescent would not provide additional access to the school zone beyond what already exists.

Secondly the existing plan does not account for the hundreds of students who use the open field to access the school from the affordable housing located at the east end of Bobolink and students who live further north east of the community. The same route has been used by Pauline Johnson School to access the park located east of the former Cardinal Heights lands. I believe it would be best for the safety of the community and students, if a path were included in the plan to maintain the access which so many use.

Finally the number and size of the lots to be developed is worrisome. 54 new homes on lots half the size of all other lots in the community. Based on the houses surrounding the proposed lands, 36 homes would be more appropriate. Will the infrastructure support that many houses? Where will they park? How will it impact traffic in the area. Has a traffic study been done?

If there is to be a meeting to discuss this development I would like to attend.

Based on the actions of the developer so far, I do not believe they have the best interests of the community in mind. I ask that city planning and bylaw take extra care every step of the way to ensure all laws are being followed.

Sincerely,

Jason Mattina

From: Soos, Rebecca [redacted]
Sent: February 20, 2019 12:54 PM
To: Vrooman, Tim <Tim.Vrooman@hamilton.ca>
Subject: Response to the Notice of Proposed Subdivision at 70 Bobolink Rd. File No. 25T-201902
Importance: High

Feb 20, 2019

RE: Proposed subdivision at 70 Bobolink Rd. File No. 25T-201902

Dear Mr. Vrooman and Members of the Hamilton City Council,

I am submitting this letter on behalf of the Bruleville residents in the area of the proposed subdivision at 70 Bobolink Rd. File No. 25T-201902. A list of residents and signatures will be forthcoming to accompany this letter, however we wanted to ensure the letter with our concerns was submitted before the deadline for responses.

The Bruleville residents' focus is to help make our neighbourhood area a great place to live: safe, valued and community oriented. Our residents are generally families with young children, and lifetime residents who have lived here since the inital stages of building in this neighbourhood. We understand that part of forging a better community is planned growth. The Bruleville residents want the community to grow and thrive; we just want it to be done in a way that protects our investments, our safety, our environment and our quality of life.

We are extremely concerned with the proposed draft plan of subdivision for lands located at 70 Bobolink Road, File No. 25T-201902, (formerly site of Cardinal Heights School) to construct 54 semi-detatched dwelling units and a new municipal road. We have numerous concerns with the physical impact of such a construction project on our established neighbourhood, as well as concerns for the dramatic increase to the population density in such a small, concentrated area.

We have very serious concerns for the safety and well-being of our children due to the increased traffic and population density in an area directly adjacent to Pauline Johnson Elementary School. Hummingbird Lane is currently unable to handle the capacity of traffic that congests the street at bell times, and such a drastic increase to population directly adjacent to the school grounds is only going to exacerbate an already dangerous circumstance. Large numbers of the students walk to the school, and their safety is of upmost concern. We also fear that the degree of increased population directly beside the school will raise other safety concerns: increased foot traffic surrounding the unfenced school playground, the potential for increased crime and vandalism, and the safety of our walking routes and area parks. We also have concerns around overloading of the school from the increase in school aged children that the proposed density of homes will likely bring. Pauline Johnson is a school which has just been renovated in 2018 to accommodate the current student population, amalgamate the elementary and middle schools,

and is currently at maximum capacity; we fear the introduction of larger class sizes and portable classrooms- both of which are a hinderance to quality education for our children.

We have concerns that the current infrastructure of the neighbourhood (roads, water & sewage systems, hydro lines, etc) does not have the capacity to handle such a significant increase in vehicular and pedestrian traffic pertaining to 54 new families in one small space. We are concerned about the safety of our neighbourhood; anticipating drastic increases to walkthrough traffic via the Pinewarbler Drive park entrance through to Limeridge Mall and the Upper Wentworth Street retail area. We have seen historically that the increased use of these walkthroughs directly relates to a higher degree of vandalism and theft of our properties and the park space, evidenced by known drug activities in the area and recently the stabbing death of a Hamilton teen at the park walkthrough in December 2018. We are also concerned with the elimination of valuable greenspace within our area, as well as the height implications of residential structures on such small, narrow lots. A dense occupancy housing project such as this by the very physical space it will take up, will change the look and feel of our established community. There is great concern with the value of the residential property surrounding the development dropping dramatically, which has significant financial implications to our families.

We are asking the Hamilton City Council and Development Planning team to take into account the concerns of the residents of the Bruleville neighbourhood in regards to this application. We understand and expect that development of the property at 70 Bobolink Road is necessary for growth, but feel that the density proposed by this request is more than double the capacity that this lot and the surrounding neighbourhood can support.

We, the undersigned are opposed to the application as proposed.

Thank you,

The Residents of the Bruleville Neighbourhood

(bordered by Mohawk Rd. East, Upper Wentworth St, the Lincoln Alexander Parkway (The Linc), and Upper Wellington St.)
*Individual listing of residents and signatures forthcoming

[Contact: [redacted] ****please do not publish this contact information**.]**

From: Soos, Rebecca [redacted]
Sent: April 15, 2019 11:30 AM
To: Vrooman, Tim <Tim.Vrooman@hamilton.ca>
Subject: RE: Response to the Notice of Proposed Subdivision at 70 Bobolink Rd. File No. 25T-201902
Importance: High

Hi Tim,
My apologies for the delay in responding, I was off work for a few days. Below is the list as I have it today. Most have indicated their support of the letter on our social media site on Facebook, which you are welcome to join:

Here is our member list in support of the letter, and includes those that have provided their addresses, as well as some who have indicated their support via email.
As this has been done digitally for ease of distributing the info and collecting support, I do not have physical signatures. There were many more residents that indicated their support verbally, but were afraid to give their personal information for fear of reprisal from the city or developer.

Name	**Address**
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]

[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	[redacted]
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	
[redacted]	

If you have any other questions, please do not hesitate to email or give me a call. If you prefer, you can use my personal email [redacted], or my cell# [redacted].

Is there any information yet on when the public meeting will be held? We have received notice of a meeting held by the developer, but realize that this is not the official city meeting.

Thanks,

[redacted]