

Paparella, Stephanie

From: Suzanne Mammel <smammel@hhhba.ca>
Sent: January 13, 2020 5:41 PM
To: Paparella, Stephanie; Clark, Brad
Cc: Wilson, Maureen; Farr, Jason; Nann, Nrinder; Merulla, Sam; Collins, Chad; Jackson, Tom; Pauls, Esther; Ward 8 Office; Pearson, Maria; Johnson, Brenda; Ferguson, Lloyd; VanderBeek, Arlene; Whitehead, Terry; Partridge, Judi
Subject: GIC tomorrow - proposed motion Item 11.2

Good evening,

It has been brought to my attention this afternoon that motion 11.2 is being put forward regarding funding mechanisms for infrastructure through the GRIDS 2 process.

Our members have several questions regarding the proposed motion, and would like an opportunity to understand what is being proposed.

Specifically at this time: the amounts being proposed to be taken from DC reserves to cover this study – was it proposed and included as part of the DC background studies and thus included in the quantum of the Development Charge or are these “extras”?

Also: front ending has a number of pros and cons. However, it is not clear “who” will be front ending these works, nor how this will be determined?

We would appreciate having dialogue with staff tasked to carry this out, as we believe it would be beneficial to hear about the experiences that some of our members, myself included, have had, actually carrying such activities out. Lastly, given the potential to change private industry’s financing models, the timing with which projects would have to be brought forward, construction issues, mechanisms for reimbursing front enders, etc., we urge that the terms of reference for studying this be clearly laid out and reviewed with the industry before proceeding.

Thank you for your consideration.

Suzanne Mammel, MBA
CEO, Hamilton-Halton Home Builders’ Association