

COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT

City Hall, 5th floor, 71 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5 Telephone (905) 546-2424, ext. 4221, 3935 Fax (905) 546-4202 E-mail: <u>cofa@hamilton.ca</u>

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING Minor Variance

You are receiving this notice because you are either:

- Assessed owner of a property located within 60 metres of the subject property
- Applicant/agent on file, or
- Person likely to be interested in this application

APPLICATION NO.:	:	HM/A-20:217
APPLICANTS:		Ed. Fothergill on behalf of the owners A. & L. Colalillo
SUBJECT PROPER	RTY:	Municipal address 322 Mt. Albion Rd., Hamilton
ZONING BY-LAW:		Zoning By-law 6593, as Amended by By-law 90-102
ZONING:		"C/S-1162" (Urban Protected Residential) district
PROPOSAL:	mainta Applic	ermit the creation of four new lots (Parts 2 – 5 inclusive) and ain an existing two-family dwelling on Part 1 as per Consent ations HM/B-20:68, HM/B-20:69 and HM/B-20:79, hstanding that;

- Part 1 (containing exiting two-family dwelling):
 - 1. A maximum height of 2.5 storeys shall be permitted instead of the maximum 2.5 storeys and 11.0m height permitted.
 - 2. A minimum 1.2m rear yard shall be permitted instead of the minimum 7.5m rear yard required.

Part 3, 4 and 5 (new single-family dwelling lots):

3. A minimum lot area of 310.0m² shall be permitted for Part 3, Part 4 and Part 5 instead of the minimum 360.0m² lot area required.

Notes for proposed lot containing an existing two-family dwelling:

The applicant shall ensure that a minimum parking space size of 2.7m x 6.0m and a minimum 2.8m access driveway is maintained for each of the two parking spaces proposed; otherwise, further variances shall be required.

The applicant shall ensure that a minimum of 50.0% of the front yard shall be maintained as landscaping; otherwise, further variances shall be required.

The applicant shall ensure that any proposed encroachments conform to the zoning Bylaw; otherwise, further variances shall be required.

This application will be heard by the Committee as shown below:

DATE:	Thursday, February 4 th , 2020
TIME:	1:40 p.m.
PLACE:	Via video link or call in (see attached sheet for
details)	
To be strea	med at www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment
for viewing	purposes only

HM/A-20:217 Page 2

PUBLIC INPUT

Written: If you would like to submit written comments to the Committee of Adjustment you may do so via email or hardcopy. Please see attached page for complete instructions, including deadlines for submitting to be seen by the Committee.

Orally: If you would like to speak to this item at the hearing you may do so via video link or by calling in. Please see attached page for complete instructions, including deadlines for registering to participate.

MORE INFORMATION

For more information on this matter, including access to drawings illustrating this request:

- Visit <u>www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment</u>
- Call 905-546-CITY (2489) or 905-546-2424 extension 4221, 4130, or 3935
- Email Committee of Adjustment staff at <u>cofa@hamilton.ca</u>

DATED: January 19th, 2021.

Jamila Sheffield, Secretary-Treasurer Committee of Adjustment

Information respecting this application is being collected under the authority of the Planning Act, R.S.O., 1990, c. P. 13. All comments and opinions submitted to the City of Hamilton on this matter, including the name, address, and contact information of persons submitting comments and/or opinions, will become part of the public record and will be made available to the Applicant and the general public.









December 16, 2020

Re: Application for Consents to Create Four Lots and Minor Variances 322 Mount Albion Road

You are receiving this correspondence given that you have expressed an interest in the above applications that were tabled at the Committee of Adjustment at their meeting Thursday November 19 to allow the proponent time to respond to questions, concerns and comments arising from those who live in the neighbourhood and have made a submission to the Committee including the signing of the correspondence dated November 12.

I am a professional planning consultant who has been retained to assist Adam Lucas in proceeding through this process.

Since it is impossible to have an in person public gathering, it is more challenging to connect with individuals such as yourself on a matter that I understand and appreciate is of great importance to you and those in your neighbourhood. However, in order to initiate some form of dialogue, I felt it would be helpful to respond to the questions, comments and concerns raised in the correspondence received by the Committee, and hopefully through this exercise eliminate, or possibly mitigate, many of the concerns that have been expressed.

My responses will begin with providing information that was requested regarding the application. It will then follow up with response to a number of concerns that have been expressed with respect to the applications.

This response letter is based on comments from:

- 1. Gina Constantino, November 13
- 2. Anna and Dave Brando and family, November 16
- 3. Mrs. Barcoroli, phone call to Councillors office
- 4. Correspondence of November 12, 2020 with 25 signatures attached. For those who signed the correspondence, we apologize if the spelling of some names in this response is not accurate. Some of the names on the list proved to be a bit difficult to decipher.
- 5. Mr. George Hourtovenko, November 15

Is there a proposed plan for the homes which will eventually be built on the four lots?

While it is not normally a condition of severance in cases such as this, staff have requested the preparation of elevation drawings prior to the approval of the applications. The owner has agreed and prepared preliminary plans which are attached. These plans were based on the expectations of the owner and drafted with the assistance of a professional architect.

They are very preliminary plans at this stage however they do provide helpful information regarding the height and width of the proposed buildings, confirmation that the buildings can be accommodated on the site within existing zoning regulations, and provide some details as to what the new buildings will look like. However, the final design will vary from this detail somewhat.

Form of Development

The development does not include any townhouse units.

Conversion of existing farmhouse

There is no intention to include a proposal to convert the existing farmhouse into apartments.

Increase fire loading and not enough fire break between the properties.

The separation between the proposed buildings complies with existing zoning regulations. Requirements for sufficient fire breaks will be examined in more detail at Building Permit stage. Prior to a Building Permit being issued for any one of the four lots, building drawings will be reviewed to ensure they comply with fire regulations and Ontario Building Code standards.

Throughout the application, it contains the words "further variances shall be required". This raises a lot of uncertainties.

It is uncertain as to where this comment originates. There are no further variances required to implement the consent applications.

Concern regarding on street parking.

Each of the lots is sufficient to accommodate on site parking and will not generate any additional parking demand beyond that already experienced by all of the existing single family dwellings in the area. The proposed drawings include allowances for both 1 and 2 car garages which is the same as homes in the immediately surrounding area. The proposal will have to meet City of Hamilton by-law requirements for size and dimension of parking spaces and number of parking spaces for each new home.

Do the current owners plan to sever and sell the lots for development or plan to build themselves?

The proponents, Adam and Lucas, who grew up in the area, plan to construct two of the new houses on Glen Forest Drive for their own use. They would like to remain in the neighbourhood and will ensure that not only their two dwellings, but also the other dwellings on the site will be designed to a very high standard since they plan to continue living there.

Do the proposed building lots on Glen Forest Drive be quite shallow; will the proposed buildings on these lots fit in with the style of homes on Glen Forest that date back 25-50 years? How will this affect me and those around me? Will the proposed building height be consistent with the existing single detached dwelling?

Yes, these lots will have less depth than those currently on Glen Forest Drive. It is for this reason that care has been taken to ensure that the width of the lots and the frontage and side yard setbacks comply with existing zoning regulations as it is the street face that is most important in terms of neighbourhood perceptions.

The three lots on Glen Forest Drive will have back yards that are not as deep as those on Glen Forest Drive. However the depth of the back yards will not be noticeable form the roadway. This depth is dictated by the desire to retain the existing dwelling at 338 Mt. Albion Road. These lot depths could have been increased to match those in the neighbourhood. However this would have required the demolition of the existing building which the owners would rather retain. They felt that the creation of back yards that are not as deep as others in the neighbourhood was a fair tradeoff in order to preserve the existing dwelling at 338 Mount Albion Road.

As can be seen from the elevation plans, the new dwellings will not look like homes that were built 25-50 years ago. A lot has changed in terms of building design and building codes and expectations since that time. It is not surprising that the new designs are somewhat of a departure from those in the neighbourhood.

The two-storey height of the new homes is not unlike the two-storey homes on Mount Albion Road or the two storey back split design of homes on Glen Forest Avenue.

The question of how the lots will "fit in" with the style of homes on Glen Forest Drive is not a test that the planning policies apply to the consideration of infill severances. Rather the test is one of compatibility, with the definition of compatibility as provided in the City of Hamilton Official Plan as follows:

"Compatibility/compatible: means land uses and building forms that are mutually tolerant and capable of existing together in harmony within an area. Compatibility or compatible should not be narrowly interpreted to mean "same as" or even as "being similar to"." As can be seen, the Official Plan allows some latitude in assessing compatibility. In this case, it is my opinion that the proposal is compatible. While the built form is different than structures that have been built 25-50 years ago, this form of development can exist in harmony with the neighbourhood.

Planners use tests to measure compatibility by assessing lot widths, setbacks, height and built form. In this case, the proposal illustrated by the sketches will comply with all of the existing zoning regulations that also apply to all of the other lots within the neighbourhood.

While the design will be different, the fundamental use of the property will not be different. It will introduce four new families into the Davis Creek neighbourhood which can be accommodated without any disruption to the lifestyle or the strong feeling of community which is evident from the correspondence we have received.

This will continue to remain a strong, vibrant and healthy community as new families move into these homes. As noted, two of the dwellings will be owned and occupied by Adam and Lucas, who are long time residents of the neighbourhood.

The proposed dwellings will be somewhat higher than many of the existing single detached dwellings in the area. However, the height will comply with existing zoning regulations which is the key test for assessing compatibility from a planning perspective.

Instead of squeezing 3 single family dwellings on Glen Forest Drive, perhaps consider 2 single family dwellings/bungalow.

I interpret the comment to read that the suggestion is to create two lots to accommodate single family dwellings/bungalow.

The creation of two lots on Glen Forest Drive instead of 3 would generate a situation which would be out of character with the neighbourhood. It would also open the door to consideration of what are traditionally referred to as monster homes. If the 36 metre frontage on Glen Forest Drive were divided into two parcels 18 metres each, those two lots could accommodate an extremely large home which would meet zoning regulations but would not be at all in character with the neighbourhood. The creation of three lots in this area provides a greater level of certainty that the ultimate development form will be compatible with the neighbourhood.

The main reason we chose this neighbourhood was for its secluded type of environment nestled partway up the escarpment and Red Hill valley. It has all the amenities nearby to raise a family and be a home and included single family homes that included property that supported the aspirations for such families to lay down their roots for generations. This was not what we had envisioned. We have been strong supporters of the neighbourhood at the school, church, and businesses.

Those desirable elements of the neighbourhood will continue and will not be affected by proposed applications. The most significant change will be the addition of four new dwellings whose inhabitants will also be able to share in the enjoyment of the neighbourhood in the same manner other families and others in the neighbourhood have over the last 30 years. They too can enjoy the amenities, lay down their roots for generations and be supportive of the school, church and businesses in the neighbourhood. As noted, two of the homes will be occupied by those who have over their lifetime enjoyed those very amenities they currently share with other neighbours in the area.

The character and desirability of the Davis Creek neighbourhood will continue, albeit perhaps in a way slightly differently than the way initially envisioned with respect to what this property will look like when neighbours pass this site. One will now see new dwellings where in the past they have enjoyed the views of the rear yard of the existing farmhouse property. However, in all other aspects of life within the neighbourhood, I believe it will continue to retain its desirable character and function, which can now be embraced and shared by four more families.

This will set a precedent for land severance in the neighbourhood which cannot be reversed.

The precedent setting nature of such an application has already been set by the establishment of clear planning policies at Provincial and local level which encourage intensification in urban areas. It is a precedent which applies equally to every neighbourhood in the Province. By its very nature, general intensification throughout urban areas generates change.

The Province has determined that currently 40% and shortly thereafter 50% of all new growth within the City of Hamilton has to occur within areas that are already within the development limits of the Official Plan. That means that a significant amount of new growth has to be accommodated in locations throughout the City. There is no area in the City which is exempt from accepting a fair share of new growth.

This direction is also found in Policy B.2.4.1.3 of the City of Hamilton Urban Plan which notes that 40% of the residential intensification target is anticipated to occur within the Neighbours designation. This property is within the Neighbour designation.

The only consistent expectation is that things will not remain the same. The question for planners and decision makers is to what extent is such change reasonable, compatible, and can be accommodated without adversely affecting the character or function of the neighbourhood.

This theme is picked up in the City of Hamilton Urban Official Plan where, in Section B.2.4, the outcome of change resulting form intensification is anticipated. This section also acknowledges that impacts will occur, but at that they must not be unacceptable.

I hope some of this information may be helpful in understanding the proposal not only in terms of the direct change that will be generated for the neighbourhood but also an understanding of how it fits into the broader planning principles at the local and Provincial level. While these planning policy documents may be difficult to read and understand, they do have practical implications for development applications such as this.

Given that we will not have an opportunity to meet to discuss these matters other than at Committee of Adjustment meeting which will be coming up in a short while, I would offer an invitation for anyone who would like to send me a note or give me a call to discuss this matter, please feel free to do so.

We have asked the Committee to bring the matter forward to the next available meeting. I am not sure when that will be.

I suspect you will be notified directly by the Committee when the application will be brought back to the Committee of Adjustment for consideration. If you would like to attend the meeting, which will be held virtually, I would recommend that you contact the Committee secretary directly and ask that you be given an invitation to the meeting which will be held in Zoom format. The Secretary Treasurer can be reached at: <u>Jamila.sheffield@hamilton.ca</u> Phone: 905-546-2424 ext. 4144.

Respectfully Submitted,

FOTHERGILL PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT INC.

E.J. Fothergill, MCIP, RPP President

cc. Adam Colalillo, Owner Lucas Colalillo, Owner Councillor Chad Collins Jamila Sheffield, Secretary of Committee of Adjustment





March 21 10:20am

March 21 8:50am 1.5 hours after sunrise

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

- Confirmation of site latitude and longitude used in shadow drawings: 43.2557° N, 79.8711° W.
- A statement describing how astronomic true north was determined: Astronomic true north was determined by the survey.
- Origin/source of the base plan: Topographic Survey of Part of Lot 35 Concession 2 Geographic Township of Saltfleet in the City of Hamilton by S.D. McLaren O.L.S. 2020and Conceptual Site Plan No. CSP5-1 prepared by T. Johns Consulting Group dated July 30th, 2020.
- Description of all locations, uses of areas not meeting the shadow impact criteria (include a key plan for reference): None.
- Quantification and assessment of the impacted areas that do not meet the shadow impact criteria: None.
- Do shadow impacts from the existing heritage duplex dwelling impact the newly created lots' rear yards for more than a maximum of 3 hours of sun coverage between 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. as measured from March 21st to September 21st : No. The existing dwelling shadow impacts the rear yards as follows: Part 2: 2.5 hours, Part 3: 1 hour, Part 4: 2.5 hours, Part 5: 0.25 hours.
- In Summary, the Sun Shadow impacts from the existing duplex dwelling do not adversely impact the new lots' rear yards for the stated criteria, particularly Part 4. Summary:

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20



TEL: 905-297-0863





March 21 11:50am

March 21 1:20pm Solar Noon

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 2





TEL: 905-297-0863





March 21 2:50pm

March 21 4:20pm

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 3





TEL: 905-297-0863



March 21 5:50pm 1.5 hours before sunset

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 4





5 10

0

TEL: 905-297-0863

25m





September 21 8:36am 1.5 hours after sunrise

September 21 10:06am

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 5





TEL: 905-297-0863





September 21 11:36am

September 21 1:06pm Solar Noon

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 6





TEL: 905-297-0863





September 21 2:36pm

September 21 4:06pm

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 7





TEL: 905-297-0863



September 21 5:36pm 1.5 hours before sunset

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 8





0 5

10

TEL: 905-297-0863

25m



Committee of Adjustment City Hall 5th floor 71 Main Street West Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5

Planning and Economic Development Department Planning Division Phone (905) 546-2424 ext.4221 Fax (905) 546-4202

PLEASE FILL OUT THE FOLLOWING PAGES AND RETURN TO THE CITY OF HAMILTON PLANNING DEPARTMENT.

APPLICATION NO. _

PAID _

D DATE APPLICATION DEEMED COMPLETE

SECRETARY'S

CITY OF HAMILTON COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT HAMILTON, ONTARIO

The Planning Act

DATE APPLICATION RECEIVED

Application for Minor Variance or for Permission

The undersigned hereby applies to the Committee of Adjustment for the City of Hamilton under Section 45 of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O. 1990, Chapter P.13 for relief, as described in this application, from the Zoning By-law.

Name of Owner Adam Colalillo and Lucas Colalillo Telephone No.				
FAX NOE-mail address.				
Address				
Postal Code				
Fothergill Planning & Development Name of Agent <u>c/o Ed Fothergill</u> Telephone No.				
Address				
Postal Code				
te: Unless otherwise requested all communications will be sent to agent, if any.	o the			
Names and addresses of any mortgagees, holders of charges or other encumbrances: Scotiabank - 4 King Street West, 2nd Floor, Toronto, ON				
encumbrances: Scotiabank - 4 King Street West, 2nd Floor, Toronto, ON				
Scotiabank - 4 King Street West, 2nd Floor, Toronto, ON				
Scotiabank - 4 King Street West, 2nd Floor, Toronto, ON				
	FAX NO. E-mail address. Address Postal Code Name of Agent c/o Ed Fothergill Plevelopment Telephone No. FAX NO. E-mail address. FAX NO. FAX NO. Postal Code			

Nature and	extent of relief	applied for:
------------------------------	------------------	--------------

Please see justification report.

7.	Why it is not possible to comply with the provisions of the By-law?
	Please see justification report.

8. Legal description of subject lands (registered plan number and lot number or other legal description and where applicable, street and street number):

	City of Hamil		Part 2 Plan 62R-10830, Township of Saltfleet in the		
9.	PREVIOUS	ISE OF PROPER	RTY		
	Residential	✓ Industr	rial Commercial		
	Agricultural	Vacan	tt		
	Other				
9.1	If Industrial or	Commercial, sp	ecify use		
9.2	2 Has the grading of the subject land been changed by adding earth or other material, i.e. has filling occurred?				
	Yes	No 🗹	Unknown		
9.3	Has a gas sta	tion been located	d on the subject land or adjacent lands at any time?		
	Yes	No 🗹	Unknown		
9.4	Has there bee lands?	en petroleum or o	other fuel stored on the subject land or adjacent		
	Yes	No 🖌	Unknown		
9.5		ave there ever b nd or adjacent la	een underground storage tanks or buried waste on nds?		
	Yes	No 🗸	Unknown		

9.6 Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as an agricultural operation where cyanide products may have been used as pesticides and/or sewage sludge was applied to the lands?

Yes	No 🖌	Unknown

- 9.7 Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as a weapon firing range?
 - Yes ____ No 🖌 Unknown __
- 9.8 Is the nearest boundary line of the application within 500 metres (1,640 feet) of the fill area of an operational/non-operational landfill or dump?

9.9	If there are existin remaining on site PCB's)?	g or previously existing buildings, are there any building materials which are potentially hazardous to public health (eg. asbestos,
	Yes	No 🖌 Unknown
9.10	former uses on the	on to believe the subject land may have been contaminated by e site or adjacent sites?
		No 🖌 Unknown
9.11		did you use to determine the answers to 9.1 to 9.10 above?
	Owner's knowled	ge
9.12	a previous use inv	property is industrial or commercial or if YES to any of 9.2 to 9.10, ventory showing all former uses of the subject land, or if and adjacent to the subject land, is needed.
	Is the previous us	e inventory attached? Yes No
ACM	NOWLEDGEMENT	
remeo reaso	diation of contamina on of its approval to 30/2020	ity of Hamilton is not responsible for the identification and ation on the property which is the subject of this Application – by this Application.
Date		Signature droperty Owner Differonecorran
Duto		
		Adam Colalillo and Lucas Colalillo
	e	Fillit Name of Owner
10.	Dimensions of lan	ids affected:
	Frontage	Mount Albion Road: ±43.62 m, Glen Forest Drive: ±36.462 m
	Depth	<u>+</u> 64.989 m
	Area	±2,649.73 m ²
	Width of street	Mount Albion Road: <u>+</u> 30.6m, Glen Forest Drive: <u>+</u> 20.0 m
11.	Particulars of all b (Specify ground f height, etc.)	uildings and structures on or proposed for the subject lands: loor area, gross floor area, number of stories, width, length,
	Existing: See cond	cept plan.
	-	1
	3	
	Proposed: See co	oncept plan.
	o d	
12.	Location of all bui (Specify distance	ldings and structures on or proposed for the subject lands; from side, rear and front lot lines)
	Existing: See cond	ept plan.
		e e Berne e

Minor Variance Application Form (January 1, 2020)

Page 3

		11.54 ⁽¹)	
Date of acquisition of subj May 1st, 2020	ect lands:		
Date of construction of all 1891	buildings and structur		
Existing uses of the subject			
Existing uses of abutting p	properties: Low Desti	ny Residential	
Length of time the existing >1891	g uses of the subject p		
Municipal services availab	ble: (check the approx	oriate space o	r spaces)
Water			
Sanitary Sewer		Connected	\checkmark
Storm Sewers			
Present Official Plan/Seco Please see justification re	ondary Plan provisions		he land:
Present Restricted Area B	Ny Jaw (Zaping By-Jaw		onlying to the land:
Please see justification re			
Has the owner previously	applied for relief in re	spect of the s	ubject property?
Yes			No
If the answer is yes, desci	ribe briefly.		
s the subject property the 53 of the Planning Act?	e subject of a current a	application for	consent under Secti
Yes			No
The applicant shall attach dimensions of the subject size and type of all buildin where required by the Cor Ontario Land Surveyor.	lands and of all abutt igs and structures on	ing lands and the subject an	showing the location d abutting lands, and



COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT

City Hall, 5th floor, 71 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5 Telephone (905) 546-2424, ext. 4221, 3935 Fax (905) 546-4202 E-mail: <u>cofa@hamilton.ca</u>

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Application for Consent/Land Severance

APPLICATION NUMBER: HM/B-20:68

SUBJECT PROPERTY: 322 Mt. Albion Rd., Hamilton

You are receiving this notice because you are either:

- Assessed owner of a property located within 60 metres of the subject property
- Applicant/agent on file, or
- Person likely to be interested in this application

APPLICANT(S):	Ed Fothergill on behalf of the owners A. & L. Colalillo
PURPOSE OF APPLICATION:	To permit the conveyance of a parcel of land containing a garage (to be removed) and to retain a parcel of land containing an existing 2½ storey dwelling (to remain) for residential purposes
	Severed lands (Parts 3, 4, 5): $39.65m^{\pm} \times 23.75m^{\pm}$ and an area of $951.3m^{2\pm}$
	Retained lands (Parts 1 and 2): 43.62m [±] x 38.87m [±] and an area of 1,699.0m ^{2±}
	This application will be heard in conjunction with Severance Application HM/B-20:69 & HM/B-20:70

The Committee of Adjustment will hear this application on:

DATE:	Thursday, February 4 th , 2021
TIME:	1:40 p.m.
PLACE:	Via video link or call in (see attached sheet for
details)	
To be stream	ned at www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment
for viewing	purposes only

PUBLIC INPUT

Written: If you would like to submit written comments to the Committee of Adjustment you may do so via email or hardcopy. Please see attached page for complete instructions, including deadlines for submitting to be seen by the Committee.

Orally: If you would like to speak to this item at the hearing you may do so via video link or by calling in. Please see attached page for complete instructions, including deadlines for registering to participate. HM/B-20:68 PAGE 2

MORE INFORMATION

For more information on this matter, including access to drawings illustrating this request:

- Visit <u>www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment</u>
- Call 905-546-CITY (2489) or 905-546-2424 extension 4221, 4130, or 3935
- Email Committee of Adjustment staff at <u>cofa@hamilton.ca</u>

DATED: January 19th, 2021

Jamila Sheffield, Secretary-Treasurer Committee of Adjustment

Information respecting this application is being collected under the authority of the Planning Act, R.S.O., 1990, c. P. 13. All comments and opinions submitted to the City of Hamilton on this matter, including the name, address, and contact information of persons submitting comments and/or opinions, will become part of the public record and will be made available to the Applicant and the general public.









March 21 10:20am

March 21 8:50am 1.5 hours after sunrise

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

- Confirmation of site latitude and longitude used in shadow drawings: 43.2557° N, 79.8711° W.
- A statement describing how astronomic true north was determined: Astronomic true north was determined by the survey.
- Origin/source of the base plan: Topographic Survey of Part of Lot 35 Concession 2 Geographic Township of Saltfleet in the City of Hamilton by S.D. McLaren O.L.S. 2020and Conceptual Site Plan No. CSP5-1 prepared by T. Johns Consulting Group dated July 30th, 2020.
- Description of all locations, uses of areas not meeting the shadow impact criteria (include a key plan for reference): None.
- Quantification and assessment of the impacted areas that do not meet the shadow impact criteria: None.
- Do shadow impacts from the existing heritage duplex dwelling impact the newly created lots' rear yards for more than a maximum of 3 hours of sun coverage between 10:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m. as measured from March 21st to September 21st : No. The existing dwelling shadow impacts the rear yards as follows: Part 2: 2.5 hours, Part 3: 1 hour, Part 4: 2.5 hours, Part 5: 0.25 hours.
- In Summary, the Sun Shadow impacts from the existing duplex dwelling do not adversely impact the new lots' rear yards for the stated criteria, particularly Part 4. Summary:

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20



TEL: 905-297-0863





March 21 11:50am

March 21 1:20pm Solar Noon

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 2





TEL: 905-297-0863





March 21 2:50pm

March 21 4:20pm

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 3





TEL: 905-297-0863



March 21 5:50pm 1.5 hours before sunset

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 4





5 10

0

TEL: 905-297-0863

25m





September 21 8:36am 1.5 hours after sunrise

September 21 10:06am

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 5





TEL: 905-297-0863





September 21 11:36am

September 21 1:06pm Solar Noon

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 6





TEL: 905-297-0863





September 21 2:36pm

September 21 4:06pm

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 7





TEL: 905-297-0863



September 21 5:36pm 1.5 hours before sunset

Shadow Impact Study from Existing Duplex Dwelling

Municipal Address: 322 Mount Albion Road, Hamilton Type of Application: Zoning Bylaw Amendment Project No.: 20016 Date: 2020-11-20

Page 8





0 5

10

TEL: 905-297-0863

25m
December 16, 2020

Re: Application for Consents to Create Four Lots and Minor Variances 322 Mount Albion Road

You are receiving this correspondence given that you have expressed an interest in the above applications that were tabled at the Committee of Adjustment at their meeting Thursday November 19 to allow the proponent time to respond to questions, concerns and comments arising from those who live in the neighbourhood and have made a submission to the Committee including the signing of the correspondence dated November 12.

I am a professional planning consultant who has been retained to assist Adam Lucas in proceeding through this process.

Since it is impossible to have an in person public gathering, it is more challenging to connect with individuals such as yourself on a matter that I understand and appreciate is of great importance to you and those in your neighbourhood. However, in order to initiate some form of dialogue, I felt it would be helpful to respond to the questions, comments and concerns raised in the correspondence received by the Committee, and hopefully through this exercise eliminate, or possibly mitigate, many of the concerns that have been expressed.

My responses will begin with providing information that was requested regarding the application. It will then follow up with response to a number of concerns that have been expressed with respect to the applications.

This response letter is based on comments from:

- 1. Gina Constantino, November 13
- 2. Anna and Dave Brando and family, November 16
- 3. Mrs. Barcoroli, phone call to Councillors office
- 4. Correspondence of November 12, 2020 with 25 signatures attached. For those who signed the correspondence, we apologize if the spelling of some names in this response is not accurate. Some of the names on the list proved to be a bit difficult to decipher.
- 5. Mr. George Hourtovenko, November 15

Is there a proposed plan for the homes which will eventually be built on the four lots?

While it is not normally a condition of severance in cases such as this, staff have requested the preparation of elevation drawings prior to the approval of the applications. The owner has agreed and prepared preliminary plans which are attached. These plans were based on the expectations of the owner and drafted with the assistance of a professional architect.

They are very preliminary plans at this stage however they do provide helpful information regarding the height and width of the proposed buildings, confirmation that the buildings can be accommodated on the site within existing zoning regulations, and provide some details as to what the new buildings will look like. However, the final design will vary from this detail somewhat.

Form of Development

The development does not include any townhouse units.

Conversion of existing farmhouse

There is no intention to include a proposal to convert the existing farmhouse into apartments.

Increase fire loading and not enough fire break between the properties.

The separation between the proposed buildings complies with existing zoning regulations. Requirements for sufficient fire breaks will be examined in more detail at Building Permit stage. Prior to a Building Permit being issued for any one of the four lots, building drawings will be reviewed to ensure they comply with fire regulations and Ontario Building Code standards.

Throughout the application, it contains the words "further variances shall be required". This raises a lot of uncertainties.

It is uncertain as to where this comment originates. There are no further variances required to implement the consent applications.

Concern regarding on street parking.

Each of the lots is sufficient to accommodate on site parking and will not generate any additional parking demand beyond that already experienced by all of the existing single family dwellings in the area. The proposed drawings include allowances for both 1 and 2 car garages which is the same as homes in the immediately surrounding area. The proposal will have to meet City of Hamilton by-law requirements for size and dimension of parking spaces and number of parking spaces for each new home.

Do the current owners plan to sever and sell the lots for development or plan to build themselves?

The proponents, Adam and Lucas, who grew up in the area, plan to construct two of the new houses on Glen Forest Drive for their own use. They would like to remain in the neighbourhood and will ensure that not only their two dwellings, but also the other dwellings on the site will be designed to a very high standard since they plan to continue living there.

Do the proposed building lots on Glen Forest Drive be quite shallow; will the proposed buildings on these lots fit in with the style of homes on Glen Forest that date back 25-50 years? How will this affect me and those around me? Will the proposed building height be consistent with the existing single detached dwelling?

Yes, these lots will have less depth than those currently on Glen Forest Drive. It is for this reason that care has been taken to ensure that the width of the lots and the frontage and side yard setbacks comply with existing zoning regulations as it is the street face that is most important in terms of neighbourhood perceptions.

The three lots on Glen Forest Drive will have back yards that are not as deep as those on Glen Forest Drive. However the depth of the back yards will not be noticeable form the roadway. This depth is dictated by the desire to retain the existing dwelling at 338 Mt. Albion Road. These lot depths could have been increased to match those in the neighbourhood. However this would have required the demolition of the existing building which the owners would rather retain. They felt that the creation of back yards that are not as deep as others in the neighbourhood was a fair tradeoff in order to preserve the existing dwelling at 338 Mount Albion Road.

As can be seen from the elevation plans, the new dwellings will not look like homes that were built 25-50 years ago. A lot has changed in terms of building design and building codes and expectations since that time. It is not surprising that the new designs are somewhat of a departure from those in the neighbourhood.

The two-storey height of the new homes is not unlike the two-storey homes on Mount Albion Road or the two storey back split design of homes on Glen Forest Avenue.

The question of how the lots will "fit in" with the style of homes on Glen Forest Drive is not a test that the planning policies apply to the consideration of infill severances. Rather the test is one of compatibility, with the definition of compatibility as provided in the City of Hamilton Official Plan as follows:

"Compatibility/compatible: means land uses and building forms that are mutually tolerant and capable of existing together in harmony within an area. Compatibility or compatible should not be narrowly interpreted to mean "same as" or even as "being similar to"." As can be seen, the Official Plan allows some latitude in assessing compatibility. In this case, it is my opinion that the proposal is compatible. While the built form is different than structures that have been built 25-50 years ago, this form of development can exist in harmony with the neighbourhood.

Planners use tests to measure compatibility by assessing lot widths, setbacks, height and built form. In this case, the proposal illustrated by the sketches will comply with all of the existing zoning regulations that also apply to all of the other lots within the neighbourhood.

While the design will be different, the fundamental use of the property will not be different. It will introduce four new families into the Davis Creek neighbourhood which can be accommodated without any disruption to the lifestyle or the strong feeling of community which is evident from the correspondence we have received.

This will continue to remain a strong, vibrant and healthy community as new families move into these homes. As noted, two of the dwellings will be owned and occupied by Adam and Lucas, who are long time residents of the neighbourhood.

The proposed dwellings will be somewhat higher than many of the existing single detached dwellings in the area. However, the height will comply with existing zoning regulations which is the key test for assessing compatibility from a planning perspective.

Instead of squeezing 3 single family dwellings on Glen Forest Drive, perhaps consider 2 single family dwellings/bungalow.

I interpret the comment to read that the suggestion is to create two lots to accommodate single family dwellings/bungalow.

The creation of two lots on Glen Forest Drive instead of 3 would generate a situation which would be out of character with the neighbourhood. It would also open the door to consideration of what are traditionally referred to as monster homes. If the 36 metre frontage on Glen Forest Drive were divided into two parcels 18 metres each, those two lots could accommodate an extremely large home which would meet zoning regulations but would not be at all in character with the neighbourhood. The creation of three lots in this area provides a greater level of certainty that the ultimate development form will be compatible with the neighbourhood.

The main reason we chose this neighbourhood was for its secluded type of environment nestled partway up the escarpment and Red Hill valley. It has all the amenities nearby to raise a family and be a home and included single family homes that included property that supported the aspirations for such families to lay down their roots for generations. This was not what we had envisioned. We have been strong supporters of the neighbourhood at the school, church, and businesses.

Those desirable elements of the neighbourhood will continue and will not be affected by proposed applications. The most significant change will be the addition of four new dwellings whose inhabitants will also be able to share in the enjoyment of the neighbourhood in the same manner other families and others in the neighbourhood have over the last 30 years. They too can enjoy the amenities, lay down their roots for generations and be supportive of the school, church and businesses in the neighbourhood. As noted, two of the homes will be occupied by those who have over their lifetime enjoyed those very amenities they currently share with other neighbours in the area.

The character and desirability of the Davis Creek neighbourhood will continue, albeit perhaps in a way slightly differently than the way initially envisioned with respect to what this property will look like when neighbours pass this site. One will now see new dwellings where in the past they have enjoyed the views of the rear yard of the existing farmhouse property. However, in all other aspects of life within the neighbourhood, I believe it will continue to retain its desirable character and function, which can now be embraced and shared by four more families.

This will set a precedent for land severance in the neighbourhood which cannot be reversed.

The precedent setting nature of such an application has already been set by the establishment of clear planning policies at Provincial and local level which encourage intensification in urban areas. It is a precedent which applies equally to every neighbourhood in the Province. By its very nature, general intensification throughout urban areas generates change.

The Province has determined that currently 40% and shortly thereafter 50% of all new growth within the City of Hamilton has to occur within areas that are already within the development limits of the Official Plan. That means that a significant amount of new growth has to be accommodated in locations throughout the City. There is no area in the City which is exempt from accepting a fair share of new growth.

This direction is also found in Policy B.2.4.1.3 of the City of Hamilton Urban Plan which notes that 40% of the residential intensification target is anticipated to occur within the Neighbours designation. This property is within the Neighbour designation.

The only consistent expectation is that things will not remain the same. The question for planners and decision makers is to what extent is such change reasonable, compatible, and can be accommodated without adversely affecting the character or function of the neighbourhood.

This theme is picked up in the City of Hamilton Urban Official Plan where, in Section B.2.4, the outcome of change resulting form intensification is anticipated. This section also acknowledges that impacts will occur, but at that they must not be unacceptable.

I hope some of this information may be helpful in understanding the proposal not only in terms of the direct change that will be generated for the neighbourhood but also an understanding of how it fits into the broader planning principles at the local and Provincial level. While these planning policy documents may be difficult to read and understand, they do have practical implications for development applications such as this.

Given that we will not have an opportunity to meet to discuss these matters other than at Committee of Adjustment meeting which will be coming up in a short while, I would offer an invitation for anyone who would like to send me a note or give me a call to discuss this matter, please feel free to do so.

We have asked the Committee to bring the matter forward to the next available meeting. I am not sure when that will be.

I suspect you will be notified directly by the Committee when the application will be brought back to the Committee of Adjustment for consideration. If you would like to attend the meeting, which will be held virtually, I would recommend that you contact the Committee secretary directly and ask that you be given an invitation to the meeting which will be held in Zoom format. The Secretary Treasurer can be reached at: <u>Jamila.sheffield@hamilton.ca</u> Phone: 905-546-2424 ext. 4144.

Respectfully Submitted,

FOTHERGILL PLANNING & DEVELOPMENT INC.

E.J. Fothergill, MCIP, RPP President

cc. Adam Colalillo, Owner Lucas Colalillo, Owner Councillor Chad Collins Jamila Sheffield, Secretary of Committee of Adjustment



COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT

City Hall, 5th floor, 71 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5 Telephone (905) 546-2424, ext. 4221, 3935 Fax (905) 546-4202 E-mail: <u>cofa@hamilton.ca</u>

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Application for Consent/Land Severance

APPLICATION NUMBER: HM/B-20:69

SUBJECT PROPERTY: 322 Mt. Albion Rd., Hamilton

You are receiving this notice because you are either:

- Assessed owner of a property located within 60 metres of the subject property
- Applicant/agent on file, or
- Person likely to be interested in this application

APPLICANT(S):Ed Fothergill on behalf of the owners A. & L.
ColalilloPURPOSE OF APPLICATION:To permit the conveyance of a parcel of land shown as
Part 4 on the attached sketch and to retain two parcels
of land shown as Parts 3 & 5 on the attached sketch
for residential purposes.Severed lands (Part 4):
13.63m[±] x 23.42m[±] and an area of 317.8m^{2±}Retained lands (Part 3):

12.80m[±] x 23.42m[±] and an area of $316.3m^{2\pm}$

Retained lands (Part 5): 13.22m[±] x 23.42m[±] and an area of $317.2m^{2\pm}$

This application will be heard in conjunction with Severance Application HM/B-20:68 & HM/B-20:70

The Committee of Adjustment will hear this application on:

DATE:	Thursday, February 4 th , 2021
TIME:	1:40 p.m.
PLACE:	Via video link or call in (see attached sheet for
details)	
To be stream	ned at www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment
for viewing	purposes only

PUBLIC INPUT

Written: If you would like to submit written comments to the Committee of Adjustment you may do so via email or hardcopy. Please see attached page for complete instructions, including deadlines for submitting to be seen by the Committee.

HM/B-20:69 PAGE 2

Orally: If you would like to speak to this item at the hearing you may do so via video link or by calling in. Please see attached page for complete instructions, including deadlines for registering to participate.

MORE INFORMATION

For more information on this matter, including access to drawings illustrating this request:

- Visit <u>www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment</u>
- Call 905-546-CITY (2489) or 905-546-2424 extension 4221, 4130, or 3935
- Email Committee of Adjustment staff at cofa@hamilton.ca

DATED: January 19th, 2021

Jamila Sheffield, Secretary-Treasurer Committee of Adjustment

Information respecting this application is being collected under the authority of the Planning Act, R.S.O., 1990, c. P. 13. All comments and opinions submitted to the City of Hamilton on this matter, including the name, address, and contact information of persons submitting comments and/or opinions, will become part of the public record and will be made available to the Applicant and the general public.







COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT

City Hall, 5th floor, 71 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5 Telephone (905) 546-2424, ext. 4221, 3935 Fax (905) 546-4202 E-mail: <u>cofa@hamilton.ca</u>

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Application for Consent/Land Severance

APPLICATION NUMBER: HM/B-20:70

SUBJECT PROPERTY: 322 Mt. Albion Rd., Hamilton

You are receiving this notice because you are either:

- Assessed owner of a property located within 60 metres of the subject property
- Applicant/agent on file, or
- Person likely to be interested in this application

APPLICANT(S): Ed Fothergill on behalf of the owners A. & L. Colalillo

PURPOSE OF APPLICATION: To permit the conveyance of a parcel of land for residential purposes and to retain a parcel of land containing an existing 2¹/₂ storey dwelling (to remain) for residential purposes.

Severed lands (Part 2): $14.64m^{\pm} \times 40.66m^{\pm}$ and an area of $515.9m^{2\pm}$

Retained lands (Part 1):

 $28.97 m^{\pm}\,x\,38.87 m^{\pm}$ and an area of 1,183.2 m^{2\pm}

The Committee of Adjustment will hear this application on:

DATE:	Thursday, February 4 th , 2021
TIME:	1:40 p.m.
PLACE:	Via video link or call in (see attached sheet for
details)	
To be strea	med at www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment
for viewing	purposes only

PUBLIC INPUT

Written: If you would like to submit written comments to the Committee of Adjustment you may do so via email or hardcopy. Please see attached page for complete instructions, including deadlines for submitting to be seen by the Committee.

Orally: If you would like to speak to this item at the hearing you may do so via video link or by calling in. Please see attached page for complete instructions, including deadlines for registering to participate. HM/B-20:70 PAGE 2

MORE INFORMATION

For more information on this matter, including access to drawings illustrating this request:

- Visit <u>www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment</u>
- Call 905-546-CITY (2489) or 905-546-2424 extension 4221, 4130, or 3935
- Email Committee of Adjustment staff at <u>cofa@hamilton.ca</u>

DATED: January 19th, 2021

Jamila Sheffield, Secretary-Treasurer Committee of Adjustment

Information respecting this application is being collected under the authority of the Planning Act, R.S.O., 1990, c. P. 13. All comments and opinions submitted to the City of Hamilton on this matter, including the name, address, and contact information of persons submitting comments and/or opinions, will become part of the public record and will be made available to the Applicant and the general public.



