# Risk Assessment: Alternative Voting Methods Identify $\rightarrow$ Categorize $\rightarrow$ Evaluate $\rightarrow$ Respond

The purpose of this document is to list risks considered or assumptions made and ensure enterprise risk management, being a continuous, proactive and systematic process to identify, understand, manage and communicate risks from an organization wide perspective.

# Table of Contents

Descriptions and Definitions	2
Risks applicable to in-person paper-ballot voting options	
Risks applicable to all unsupervised alternative voting options (both vote-by-mail and vote at home)	
Risks applicable specifically to Special Vote-by-Mail as identified in staff report	
Risks applicable only to Vote at Home as identified in staff report	
Risk Impact Scales	
Risk Likelihood Scales	

### **Descriptions and Definitions**

**Risk**: uncertainties that could impact the achievement of objectives. It is measured in terms of impact and likelihood.

Risk categorization:

**Technical:** risk of failure of functionality and performance. Addresses the hardware and software tools and supporting equipment. May be due to capacity, suitability, usability, familiarity, reliability, system support and deliverability.

Financial: budget / cost.

**Reputational**: risk to trust and confidence in municipal systems and administration

Legal: risk where the source or consequence is legal in nature

Service: risk to key City services or service delivery.

Risk description: identify the risk, its triggers, and those impacted by the risk.

Risk evaluation: assessment of the likelihood and impact of an identified risk.

**Risk likelihood**: a qualitative description of probability or frequency (Low/Moderate/High). Matrix found on page 14.

**Risk impact**: the outcome of an event expressed qualitatively or quantitatively, being a loss, injury, disadvantage or gain. Range of possible outcomes associated with a risk (Low/Moderate/High). Matrix found on page 13. While in varying degrees, the risk has been identified as impacting all stakeholders, including the public, candidates, and the corporation, unless otherwise identified.

**Risk management**: the process of identifying, analysing, evaluating, mitigating, communicating and monitoring risks.

Risk options: Mitigate, Avoid, Accept, Transfer.

**Risk response**: identify if the risk can be mitigated, and how, and if a contingency plan is required.

Risk	Risk Description	Risk Categorization	Risk Evaluation	Risk Options	Risk Response
1.	<ul> <li>Voter's List <ul> <li>Accuracy of voters' list, particularly</li> <li>relating to tenant information.</li> <li>Ineligible voters receiving</li> <li>credentials to vote</li> </ul> </li> <li>Eligible voters may not be <ul> <li>included thereby reducing</li> <li>opportunity to vote (principle of enfranchisement)</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M H	Mitigate Avoid Accept Transfer	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>Communication in advance to eligible voters about the voter's list</li> <li>Methods to amend the voters list included at polls</li> <li>Ability for voters to provide identification to vote</li> </ul>
2.	Voter misrepresentation and fraud	<ul> <li>☐ Technical</li> <li>☐ Financial</li> <li>☑ Reputational</li> <li>☑ Legal</li> <li>☑ Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M H	Mitigate Avoid Accept Transfer	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>Require voters to produce identification</li> <li>Where identification is not available, have voters complete a declaration of identity</li> <li>Any reports of fraud will be reported to the Ministry</li> </ul>

Risk	Risk Description	Risk Categorization	Risk Evaluation	Risk Options	Risk Response
3.	Vote Counting Technology Optical scan vote tabulator breaks down or there is an issue with technology during the election	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M H	Mitigate         Avoid         Accept         Transfer	<ul> <li>Mitigation:         <ul> <li>Include language in service and maintenance contract to include provisions of services to assist in the event of a breakdown</li> <li>Paper copy of the vote is available through the physical ballot that can be calculated manually if required</li> <li>Additional tabulator units will be procured to provide back ups for any failures</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
4.	<b>Poll Staffing</b> : Last minute disruptions in staffing at poll locations due to illness or other.	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M H	Mitigate Avoid Accept Transfer	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>Hire additional staffing compliment to ensure replacements are available</li> </ul>

Risks	applicable to in-person paper-ba	llot voting options			
Risk	Risk Description	Risk Categorization	Risk Evaluation	Risk Options	Risk Response
5.	Poll Location Unavailable: For reasons, not under the control of elections staff, the facility identified as a poll location is not available for the voting day as identified on voter cards.	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M H	<ul> <li>Mitigate</li> <li>Avoid</li> <li>Accept</li> <li>Transfer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>identify a location to be used as an emergency location for a voting poll</li> <li>create a communications strategy to inform voters if a location is no longer viable</li> <li>create signage ready to go, to attach to unusable location to redirect voters.</li> </ul>
6.	Pandemic: Due to a pandemic lockdown, polls will be shut due to Provincial restrictions	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M H H	<ul> <li>Mitigate</li> <li>Avoid</li> <li>Accept</li> <li>Transfer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>Depending on the time of notice by the Province, staff may be able to produce a fully vote-by-mail election.</li> <li>The City Clerk could invoke section 53 of the Municipal Elections Act to delay the election – this will likely be a Province wide interruption and will include consultation with the Province and other impacted Municipalities</li> </ul>

Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk
	Description	Categorization	Evaluation	Options	Response
1.	<b>Unsupervised Voting:</b> A loss of transparency in traditional sense with reduced oversight of some components of the voting process by candidates and scrutineers	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M H	<ul> <li>Mitigate</li> <li>Avoid</li> <li>Accept</li> <li>Transfer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>Education and outreach</li> <li>View votes being scanned t increase transparency and openness</li> <li>Audit group review any ballots that cannot scan properly in an open and transparent process.</li> </ul>

Risk	Risk Description	Risk Categorization	Risk Evaluation	Risk Options	Risk Response
2.	<ul> <li>Accuracy of Voter's List: (particularly relating to tenant information)</li> <li>Ineligible voters receiving credentials to vote</li> <li>Eligible voters may not be included thereby reducing opportunity to vote (principle of enfranchisement)</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M M H	Mitigate Avoid Accept Transfer	<ul> <li>Mitigation:         <ul> <li>Require advanced registration to allow for verification of identity and eligibility</li> <li>Verification of elector agains the voters list. Only verified electors would receive a ballot; those not on the list but eligible would be directed to add their information on the voters list</li> <li>Clerk review &amp; cleanse MPAC data within legislative parameters</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
3.	Voter Authentication	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M H	Mitigate Avoid Accept Transfer	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>Require registration in advance to allow for verification of identity and name on voters list</li> <li>Communication strategy to have voters use online registration tool</li> <li>MPAC authenticates ability to vote</li> </ul>

Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk
	Description	Categorization	Evaluation	Options	Response
4.	Voter Coercion: Influence on vote from external source	☐ Technical ☐ Financial ⊠ Reputational ⊠ Legal ☐ Service	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M M H	Mitigate Avoid Accept Transfer	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>Enhanced education for electors</li> <li>Ballots are distributed in a sealed envelope to ensure ballot is received untampered</li> <li>Inclusion of a signed declaration in the ballot when returned to the City</li> </ul>
5.	Court Challenge to Validity of Results	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M M H	<ul> <li>Mitigate</li> <li>Avoid</li> <li>Accept</li> <li>Transfer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>Strong and defensible policies and procedures in place which comply with MEA principles and follow best practices/lessons learned from court challenges</li> </ul>

isk	Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk
	Description	Categorization	Evaluation	Options	Response
6.	Large Volume of Requests:	Technical	Likelihood	Mitigate	Mitigation:
	Large volume of voters request to use alternative methods, requiring greater staff resources and additional funding	<ul> <li>☑ Financial</li> <li>☑ Reputational</li> <li>☑ Legal</li> <li>☑ Service</li> </ul>	⊠ L □ M □ H	Avoid Accept Transfer	<ul> <li>Estimate uptake based on similar municipalities' experiences</li> </ul>
			IMPACT		<ul> <li>Cross-train staff and ensurfamiliarity with all methods</li> <li>Staff will report to Council</li> </ul>
			□ M ⊠ H		with additional funding request to support increas uptake

Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk	Risk
	Description	Categorization	Evaluation	Options	Response
1.	Ballots are lost in the mail	□       Technical         □       Financial         □       Reputational         □       Legal         □       Service	Likelihood	<ul> <li>Mitigate</li> <li>Avoid</li> <li>Accept</li> <li>Transfer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>Staff will work with Canada Post in advance of and during the mail-in ballot window to ensure prioritization of ballots</li> <li>Staff will ensure that ballot envelope marking is clear</li> <li>A process will be developed to address situations where requested vote-by-mail ballots are mailed out by the City and are not received by the elector.</li> <li>Tracking system will enable City to verify that ballots have been received, and conversely, that electors can track to ensure that their return ballots have been received by the City.</li> <li>Staff will work with vendor on process to ensure re-issuance can be handled successfully with full tracing</li> </ul>

Risk	Risk Description	Risk Categorization	Risk Evaluation	Risk Options	Risk Response
2.	Postal Strike occurs during the window for special vote-by-mail	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M H	<ul> <li>Mitigate</li> <li>Avoid</li> <li>Accept</li> <li>Transfer</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Mitigation</li> <li>Drop boxes will be available for return of ballots</li> <li>Staff will pick up ballots where necessary</li> <li>We will work with Canada Post to ensure that any ballots in the mail system will be handled separately</li> <li>Depending on timing of strike, we may have to adjust our communication strategy and may need to cancel vote by mail</li> </ul>
3.	Vote-by-mail vendor system fails	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M H	Mitigate Avoid Accept Transfer	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>Logic and accuracy testing by staff</li> <li>Third party security and threat risk assessment</li> <li>Election administration procedures</li> <li>Vendor Management</li> </ul>

Risk	Risk Description	Risk Categorization	Risk Evaluation	Risk Options	Risk Response
1.	Health and Safety: Staff unable to attend home visit due to health and safety concerns	Technical     Financial     Reputational     Legal     Service	Likelihood Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M H H	Mitigate Avoid Accept Transfer	<ul> <li>Mitigation:</li> <li>Other measures, such as proxy-vote or curb side vote could be explored where a home visit is unsuccessful</li> </ul>
2.	Staff Safety: Risk to staff executing the vote at home solution.	<ul> <li>Technical</li> <li>Financial</li> <li>Reputational</li> <li>Legal</li> <li>Service</li> </ul>	Likelihood L M H IMPACT L M M H	Mitigate Avoid Accept Transfer	<ul> <li>Mitigation: <ul> <li>Staff would work in pairs to ensure staff safety.</li> <li>Staff scheduling would be in place so that the elections team would know where staff are at all times.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>

# Risk Impact Scales

			Risk Impact Scales		
Impact	Very low	Low	Medium	High	Very high
	1	2	3	4	5
Reputation	<ul> <li>Little or no impact on level of trust in City (council and staff)</li> <li>Public reaction minimal - no effect on City's profile</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adverse/negative view of City (council and staff) is limited to a small area/community group.</li> <li>Public reaction contained – City's profile raised within local boundaries</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adverse/negative view of City (council and staff) is held by neighbourhoods/ multiple community groups.</li> <li>Public reaction considerable - City's profile raised within GTA boundaries</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adverse/negative view of City (council and staff) spans ward boundaries/ majority of community groups</li> <li>Public reaction major - City's profile raised within provincial boundaries</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Adverse/negative view of City (council and staff) is community- wide</li> <li>Public reaction severe - City's profile raised within national boundaries</li> </ul>
Operations	<ul> <li>Little or no impact on operations/delivery of all services</li> <li>Minor adjustments required</li> <li>No material service interruption</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Public reaction contained – City's profile raised within local boundaries</li> <li>Minor changes necessary to deliver all services but manageable within complement/ operations.</li> <li>Short term temporary interruption – backlog cleared &lt; 1 day</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Changes necessary to deliver core services require few additional resources</li> <li>Workarounds to deliver service manageable</li> <li>Medium term temporary</li> <li>interruption – backlog</li> <li>cleared by additional</li> <li>resources</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Major changes necessary to deliver core services require some additional resources and time to complete.</li> <li>Workarounds to deliver services complex</li> <li>Prolonged interruption of services – additional resources; performance affected</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Significant changes necessary to deliver core services require numerous additional resources and extended period of time to complete.</li> <li>No alternatives or workarounds to deliver services exist</li> <li>Indeterminate prolonged interruption of services – non- performance</li> </ul>
People (staff & citizens)	<ul> <li>Little or no impact on staff's performance/ morale</li> <li>No injury; scare only</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Isolated performance/ morale issues</li> <li>Minor non-immobilizing injury or trauma not requiring hospital treatment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Performance/ morale issues found within a department</li> <li>Non-immobilizing injury or trauma but requiring hospital treatment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Performance/ morale issues found across departments</li> <li>Immobilizing injury or trauma requiring hospital treatment</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Wide-spread degradation in performance/ morale</li> <li>Work to rule/strike</li> <li>Severe injury or trauma requiring urgent hospital treatment – may be life threatening or fatal</li> </ul>
Service	<ul> <li>Few or no complaints from citizens/community groups</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Intermittent complaints on inefficiencies/level of service from citizens/community groups</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Steady level of complaints on inefficiencies/level of service from citizens/community groups</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Volume of complaints on inefficiencies/level of service exceeds ability to respond</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Public outrage at inefficiencies/level of service demonstrated outside of City facilities</li> </ul>
Financial	- Little or no impact on budget	<ul> <li>Able to accommodate within department budget</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Able to accommodate within corporate budget</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Able to accommodate within existing budget but only with service cuts and/or reserve funds</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Unable to accommodate within budget</li> </ul>
Non- compliance	- Letter received, no impact	<ul> <li>Letter received – increased monitoring</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Suspension or administrative monetary penalty</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Moratorium</li> <li>Suspension and administrative monetary penalty</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Litigation</li> <li>Prosecution</li> <li>Criminal charges</li> <li>Provincial intervention</li> </ul>

### **Risk Likelihood Scales** Risk Likelihood Low/Remote High/Certain Factors 2 3 1 4 5 Best in class/industry Key best practices adopted Few best practices adopted No best practices adopted Below industry standards Not aware of best practices Seen as industry leader in and working -Somewhat effective controls Significant aspects of the risk Very effective controls are not covered by the controls Ineffective controls best practices -Good performance of/ Controls Poor performance of/ Controls cover all aspects Very good performance of/ compliance with controls -Controls not performed compliance with controls compliance with controls of the risk Excellent performance of/compliance with controls Happened once or twice in Happened within last two years Happens frequently/multiple Happens daily/weekly Past Happened once or twice in -corporate history past five to ten years times in the last year experience Rare Unlikely Possibly Likely Almost certain/sure Judgement --

## Risk Likelihood Scales