COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT



City Hall, 5th floor, 71 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5
Telephone (905) 546-2424, ext. 4221, 3935 Fax (905) 546-4202

E-mail: cofa@hamilton.ca

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Application for Consent/Land Severance

APPLICATION NUMBER: HM/B-21:64

SUBJECT PROPERTY: 59 Mountain Park Ave., Hamilton

You are receiving this notice because you are either:

- Assessed owner of a property located within 60 metres of the subject property
- Applicant/agent on file, or
- Person likely to be interested in this application

APPLICANT(S): Owner John Lamparaski

Agent A.J. Clark & Associates c/o R. Ferrari

PURPOSE OF APPLICATION: To permit the conveyance of a parcel of land and to

retain a parcel of land for residential purposes.

To be heard in conjunction with HM/A/-21:270.

Severed lands:

11.71m[±] x 39.42m[±] and an area of 449.30m^{2 ±}

Retained lands:

19.38m[±] x 33.76m[±] and an area of 754.80m² [±]

The Committee of Adjustment will hear this application on:

DATE: Thursday, August 26th, 2021

TIME: 1:20 p.m.

PLACE: Via video link or call in (see attached sheet for

details)

To be streamed at

www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment

for viewing purposes only

PUBLIC INPUT

Written: If you would like to submit written comments to the Committee of Adjustment you may do so via email or hardcopy. Please see attached page for complete instructions, including deadlines for submitting to be seen by the Committee.

Orally: If you would like to speak to this item at the hearing you may do so via video link or by calling in. Please see attached page for complete instructions, including deadlines for registering to participate.

HM/B-21: 64 PAGE 2

MORE INFORMATION

For more information on this matter, including access to drawings illustrating this request:

- Visit <u>www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment</u>
- Call 905-546-CITY (2489) or 905-546-2424 extension 4221, 4130, or 3935
- Email Committee of Adjustment staff at cofa@hamilton.ca

DATED: August 10th, 2021

Jamila Sheffield,
Secretary-Treasurer
Committee of Adjustment

Information respecting this application is being collected under the authority of the Planning Act, R.S.O., 1990, c. P. 13. All comments and opinions submitted to the City of Hamilton on this matter, including the name, address, and contact information of persons submitting comments and/or opinions, will become part of the public record and will be made available to the Applicant and the general public.

20.12 m WIDE MUNICIPAL ROAD

MOUNTAIN PARK AVENUE

(FORMERLY CLIFTON AVENUE STREET ESTABLISHED ACCORDING TO REG'D. PLAN No 384 STREET NAME CHANGED BY BY-LAW No 993-1910, INST. Nos 191 & 115241CD)

PROJECT No 208179R

KEY PLAN

SUBJECT

LANDS

MOUNTAIN PARK AVENUE

ALPINE AVENUE

CONCESSION ST

CONCESSION ST

SKETCH OF

LOTS 7 & 8 & PART OF LOT 9

GEORGE F. WEBB SURVEY

REGISTERED PLAN No. 384

IN THE

CITY OF HAMILTON

SCALE 1: 200

5 10 metres

ATHITHTHAN KANAGANAYAGAM O.L.S.

METRIC

DISTANCES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048.

NOTE:

THIS PLAN IS COMPILED FROM PLANS AND RECORDS ON FILE IN THIS OFFICE AND IS NOT BASED ON AN ACTUAL FIELD SURVEY.

THIS PLAN IS PREPARED TO ACCOMPANY AN APPLICATION TO THE LAND DIVISION COMMITTEE REQUESTING A GRANT OF SEVERANCE AND IS NOT INTENDED FOR REGISTRATION.

CAUTION:

THIS IS NOT A PLAN OF SURVEY AND SHALL NOT BE USED EXCEPT FOR THE PURPOSE INDICATED IN THE TITLE BLOCK

NOTE

PART 1 LANDS TO BE SEVERED PART 2 LANDS TO BE RETAINED

MARCH 10, 2021 DATE



A. J. Clarke and Associates Ltd.

SURVEYORS • PLANNERS • ENGINEERS

25 MAIN STREET WEST, SUITE 300 HAMILTON, ONTARIO, L8P 1H1 TEL. 905-528-8761 FAX 905-528-2289

internet email: ajc@ajclarke.com

© COPYRIGHT, 2021 A. J. CLARKE & ASSOCIATES LTD.

THE REPRODUCTION, ALTERATION OR USE OF THIS REPORT,
IN WHOLE OR IN PART, WITHOUT THE EXPRESS PERMISSION OF
A. J. CLARKE & ASSOCIATES LTD. IS STRICTLY PROHIBITED.



A. J. Clarke and Associates Ltd.
SURVEYORS · PLANNERS · ENGINEERS

July 6, 2021

The City of Hamilton
Committee of Adjustment
Planning and Economic Development Department
71 Main Street West, 5th Floor
Hamilton, Ontario
L8P 4Y5

Delivered via email

Attn: Jamila Sheffield

Secretary-Treasurer, Committee of Adjustment

Re: Severance Application & Minor Variance Application

59 Mountain Park Avenue, City of Hamilton

Dear Ms. Sheffield,

On behalf of our client, John Lamparski, we are pleased to provide you with the enclosed submission in support of an application for consent to sever land and minor variance for the subject lands. Please find the following enclosed materials:

- 1. A cheque in the amount of \$2,860.00 made payable to the City of Hamilton, in payment of the requisite severance application fee;
- 2. A cheque in the amount of \$3,320.00 made payable to the City of Hamilton, in payment of the requisite minor variance application fee;
- 3. One (1) Electronic copy of the signed and executed severance application form;
- 4. One (1) Electronic copy of the signed and executed minor variance application form;
- 5. One (1) Electronic copy of the severance sketch;

The proposed development consists of a severance application to sever one parcel of land from the existing lot at 59 Mountain Park Avenue, in the City of Hamilton. In addition, a minor variance application is also required to facilitate the severance. The proposed severed and retained parcels are identified as Parts 1 & 2 respectively, on the enclosed severance sketch. The existing single-detached dwelling on the subject lands will be retained, and a small addition will be demolished in favor of the proposed development.

The subject lands is designated "Neighbourhoods" within the Urban Hamilton Official Plan (UHOP). Section E.3.0 provides policies for lands designated "Neighbourhoods". The designation is broadly supportive of the development of complete communities that are made up of more than just homes, but include a variety of land uses. The proposal conforms to the applicable policies of the "Neighbourhoods" designation, as it is a permitted use and conforms to the "Neighbourhoods" policies related to function and scale. The subject lands are zoned "C" Urban Protected Residential etc. District in the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 6593.



Severance

Section E.2.4.2.2 of the UHOP outlines evaluation criteria for residential intensification within the "Neighbourhoods" designation. The proposed severance is compatible with adjacent land uses including matters such as shadowing, overlook, noise, lighting, traffic, and other nuisance effects. The surrounding neighbourhood supports a variety of lot sizes with diverse built forms. The general lot frontages and area of the proposed lots are consistent with surrounding lotting patterns. Accordingly, the proposed development satisfies the criteria outlined in Policy E.2.4.2.2.

Further, in accordance with Policy F.1.14.3.1, the proposed lots comply with the applicable policies of the UHOP, are compatible and generally reflect the scale of the neighbourhood, have frontage onto a municipal road and are fully serviced. As such the proposal conforms to the UHOP.

This neighbourhood is characterized by a number of existing single detached dwellings of varying sizes and there is evidence of multiple severances that have taken place along Mountain Park Avenue, including adjacent to the subject lands at 69 Mountain Park Avenue. A number of residential properties on Mountain Park Avenue also contain single detached dwellings with less than 12m frontages. Therefore, the proposed severance is compatible and mutually capable of existing within this neighbourhood. There are no anticipated traffic, noise, lighting impacts or shadowing anticipated to stem from this redevelopment.

In our view, the proposed severance has regard for the matters under Section 51 (24) of the Planning Act, represent good planning and should be approved.

Minor Variance

The following variance is required to facilitate the severance application:

1. To permit a minimum lot width of 11.7m whereas the Zoning By-law requires a minimum lot width of 12m.

The purpose of this analysis is to demonstrate how the variance applied for satisfies the four tests established under Section 45(1) of the *Planning Act*.

Is the proposed variance in keeping with the intent of the Official Plan?

In addition to the designation specific policies of the UHOP, the proposed variance was evaluated against the lot creation policies of F.1.14.3; and against the residential intensification policies of Chapter B.2.4 of the UHOP, and the proposal conforms to the general intent of the UHOP.

Accordingly, the proposed variance is in keeping with the intent of the Official Plan.



Is the proposed variance in keeping with the intent of the Zoning by-law?

The lot frontage regulation set forth in the "C" District regulate the intensity of a permitted use. This is to ensure overdevelopment of lands does not occur, and that development is in keeping with the character of the neighbourhood while being compatible with surrounding development. The proposed variance to lot frontage will allow for lot creation that is in keeping with the character of the area, while also being compatible with adjacent development. A number of single detached dwellings exist with less than 12m lot frontages, namely lots 82 – 94 Mountain Park Avenue. A severance was approved adjacent to the subject lands having a 12m lot frontage and an existing single detached dwelling.

Accordingly, the requested variances are in keeping with the intent of the Zoning By-law.

Is the proposed variance desirable and appropriate?

The general scale and character of the established development pattern varies considerably in the surrounding area. Lot widths vary significantly within the neighbourhood, however the current property is oversized relative to a vast majority of lots within the neighbourhood. The subject neighbourhood is characterized by a diverse built form and scale. The proposal would be appropriate and compatible intensification that is in keeping with the wide variety of established development in the immediate neighbourhood. The proposal will conform to the applicable regulations of the Zoning By-law ("C" District) with respect to building height, setbacks, and massing, and will therefore be consistent with nearby development.

The proposed variances will facilitate a form of residential intensification, consistent with provincial policy direction, that is in keeping with the character of the area and that is compatible with adjacent development.

Accordingly, the proposed variances are considered desirable and appropriate.

Is the proposed variance Minor in nature?

The above test is a measure of impact and whether the impact anticipated from the proposed variances is minor in nature. The lot width of the proposed lot is in keeping with the character of the area and are compatible with adjacent development. There are no anticipated impacts in terms of visual impact, shadowing, overlook, traffic, or otherwise resulting from the proposal and it has been demonstrated that a sufficiently sized dwelling can be situated on the lots being created by the concurrent severance application. The requested reduction of 0.29 metres in minimum lot width is considered minor.

I trust this is satisfactory for your purposes and thank you for your co-operation in this matter. Should you have any questions or require additional information, please do not hesitate to contact our office.



Sincerely,

Ryan Ferrari, BURPI, CPT

A. J. Clarke and Associates Ltd.

Encl.

Copy: John Lamparski (email)



Committee of Adjustment

City Hall, 5th Floor, 71 Main St. W., Hamilton, ON L8P4Y5

Phone: (905) 546-2424 ext. 4221

Email: cofa@hamilton.ca

APPLICATION FOR CONSENT TO SEVER LAND

UNDER SECTION 53 OF THE PLANNING ACT Office Use Only Date Application Date Application Submission No.: File No.: Received: Deemed Complete: APPLICANT INFORMATION **ADDRESS** NAME 1.1, 1.2 Registered John Lamparski Owners(s) Applicant(s)* A.J Clarke and Associates Ltd. c/o Ryan Ferrari Phone: Agent or (same as applicant) Solicitor (same as applicant) E-mail: * Owner's authorisation required if the applicant is not the owner. Owner Applicant Agent/Solicitor 1.3 All correspondence should be sent to 2 LOCATION OF SUBJECT LAND Complete the applicable lines Former Township 2.1 Area Municipality Lot Concession Hamilton Registered Plan N°. Reference Plan N°. Lot(s) Part(s) 384 7,8 Pt Lt 9 Municipal Address Assessment Roll N°. 59 Mountain Park Avenue 2.2 Are there any easements or restrictive covenants affecting the subject land? Yes 🔳 No If YES, describe the easement or covenant and its effect:

3.1 Type and purpose of proposed transaction: (check appropriate box)

a) Urban Area Transfer (do not complete Section 10):

3 PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATION

creation of a new lot

Other: a charge

☐ addition to a lot☐ an easement				ease correction of title
b) Rural Area / Rural Settle	ement Area Tra	ansfer (Section	10 must be	completed):
creation of a new lot creation of a new not creation of a new not (i.e. a lot containing a resulting from a farm coldition to a lot	t on-farm parcel surplus farm dv	(Other: 🗌 a d 📗 a d	charge ease correction of title easement
3.2 Name of person(s), if know or charged: N/A				
3.3 If a lot addition, identify the	If a lot addition, identify the lands to which the parcel will be added:			
4 DESCRIPTION OF SUBJE 4.1 Description of land intende	CT LAND ANI	O SERVICING I	NFORMATI	ON
Frontage (m) +- 11.71	Depth (m) +- 39.42		Area (m² +- 449.3	
Existing Use of Property to be s Residential Agriculture (includes a farm Other (specify)		☐ Industrial ☐ Agricultural	-Related	☐ Commercial ☐ Vacant
Proposed Use of Property to be severed: Residential				
Building(s) or Structure(s): Existing: one (1) two-and-a-half storey single family detached dwelling				
Proposed: Future Single Detached Dwell		amig		
Type of access: (check appropr				
provincial highway right of way municipal road, seasonally maintained other public road municipal road, maintained all year				
Type of water supply proposed: (check appropriate box) publicly owned and operated piped water system privately owned and operated individual well display="block" block" by the private of the property of the private of the privat				
Type of sewage disposal proposed: (check appropriate box) publicly owned and operated sanitary sewage system privately owned and operated individual septic system other means (specify)				
4.2 Description of land intended		d:		
Frontage (m) +- 19.38	Depth (m) +- 33.76		Area (m² +- 754.8	,
Existing Use of Property to be retained: Residential				

Proposed Use of Property to be retained: ☐ Residential ☐ Agriculture (includes a farm dwelling) ☐ Other (specify) ☐ Other (specify)	al-Related	☐ Commercial ☐ Vacant	
Building(s) or Structure(s):			
Existing: one (1) two-and-a-half storey single family detached dwelling			
Proposed: one (1) two-and-a-half storey single family detached dwelling			
Type of access: (check appropriate box) provincial highway municipal road, seasonally maintained municipal road, maintained all year			
Type of water supply proposed: (check appropriate box) publicly owned and operated piped water system privately owned and operated individual well lake or other water body other means (specify)			
Type of sewage disposal proposed: (check appropriate box) publicly owned and operated sanitary sewage system privately owned and operated individual septic system other means (specify)			
4.3 Other Services: (check if the service is available) ☐ electricity ☐ telephone ☐ school bussing		garbage collection	
5 CURRENT LAND USE5.1 What is the existing official plan designation of the subject land?			
Rural Hamilton Official Plan designation (if applicable): N	I/A		
Urban Hamilton Official Plan designation (if applicable) <u>N</u>	leighbourhoods		
Please provide an explanation of how the application conforms with a City of Hamilton Official Plan.			
The application is proposing to sever the existing parcel, intensification of the area while being consistent with the	which will surroundi	assist in the ng neighbourhood.	
The application is proposing to sever the existing parcel, intensification of the area while being consistent with the subject land? 5.2 What is the existing zoning of the subject land? If the subject land is covered by a Minister's zoning order Number? "C"- Urban Protected Residential etc. District 5.3 Are any of the following uses or features on the subject I subject land, unless otherwise specified. Please check tapply.	surrounding, what is the	ng neighbourhood. ne Ontario Regulation nin 500 metres of the	
 5.2 What is the existing zoning of the subject land? If the subject land is covered by a Minister's zoning order Number? "C" - Urban Protected Residential etc. District 5.3 Are any of the following uses or features on the subject land, unless otherwise specified. Please check to 	surrounding, what is the	ng neighbourhood. ne Ontario Regulation nin 500 metres of the	
 5.2 What is the existing zoning of the subject land? If the subject land is covered by a Minister's zoning order Number? "C" - Urban Protected Residential etc. District 5.3 Are any of the following uses or features on the subject I subject land, unless otherwise specified. Please check t apply. 	on the Subject	ne Ontario Regulation in 500 metres of the riate boxes, if any Within 500 Metres of Subject Land, unless otherwise specified (indicate approximate	
intensification of the area while being consistent with the 5.2 What is the existing zoning of the subject land? If the subject land is covered by a Minister's zoning order Number? "C" - Urban Protected Residential etc. District 5.3 Are any of the following uses or features on the subject I subject land, unless otherwise specified. Please check t apply. Use or Feature An agricultural operation, including livestock facility or	on the Subject	me Ontario Regulation in 500 metres of the riate boxes, if any Within 500 Metres of Subject Land, unless otherwise specified (indicate approximate distance)	
intensification of the area while being consistent with the 5.2 What is the existing zoning of the subject land? If the subject land is covered by a Minister's zoning order Number? "C" - Urban Protected Residential etc. District 5.3 Are any of the following uses or features on the subject I subject land, unless otherwise specified. Please check tapply. Use or Feature An agricultural operation, including livestock facility or stockyard	on the Subject	me Ontario Regulation in 500 metres of the riate boxes, if any Within 500 Metres of Subject Land, unless otherwise specified (indicate approximate distance) N/A	

A provincially significant wetland within 120 metres			N/A
A flood plain			N/A
An ir	An industrial or commercial use, and specify the use(s)		
An a	An active railway line N/A		
A mu	unicipal or federal airport		N/A
6		nmercial er (specify	()
6.1	If Industrial or Commercial, specify use N/A		
6.2	Has the grading of the subject land been changed by a has filling occurred? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown	adding ear	th or other material, i.e.
6.3	Has a gas station been located on the subject land or a ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown	adjacent la	ands at any time?
6.4	Has there been petroleum or other fuel stored on the s ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown	ubject lan	d or adjacent lands?
6.5	Are there or have there ever been underground storag subject land or adjacent lands? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown	e tanks or	buried waste on the
6.6	Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as an agricultural operation where cyanide products may have been used as pesticides and/or biosolids was applied to the lands? Yes No Unknown		
6.7	Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as a Yes No Unknown	weapons	firing range?
6.8	Is the nearest boundary line of the application within 50 area of an operational/non-operational landfill or dump Yes No Unknown	00 metres ?	(1,640 feet) of the fill
6.9	If there are existing or previously existing buildings, are remaining on site which are potentially hazardous to pu PCB's)? Yes No Unknown	there any ublic healtl	י building materials ח (e.g., asbestos,
6.10	Is there reason to believe the subject land may have be on the site or adjacent sites? Yes No Unknown	een contar	ninated by former uses
6.11	What information did you use to determine the answers Online Mapping	s to 6.1 to	6.10 above?
6.12	If previous use of property is industrial or commercial or previous use inventory showing all former uses of the sland adjacent to the subject land, is needed. Is the previous use inventory attached? Yes No	r if YES to subject lan	any of 6.2 to 6.10, a d, or if appropriate, the
7 P 7.1 a)	ROVINCIAL POLICY Is this application consistent with the Policy Stateme of the <i>Planning Act</i> ? (Provide explanation)	nts issued	under subsection
	■ Yes		
	The application is proposing to sever the existing parc intensification of the area while being consistent with t	el, which which whe surrour	will assist in the

	b)	Is this application consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)? Yes No (Provide explanation)		
		The application is proposing to sever the existing parcel, which will assist in the intensification of the area while being consistent with the surrounding neighbourhood.		
	c)	Does this application conform to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe? Yes No (Provide explanation)		
		The application is proposing to sever the existing parcel, which will assist in the intensification of the area.		
c	i)	Are the subject lands within an area of land designated under any provincial plan or plans? (If YES, provide explanation on whether the application conforms or does not conflict with the provincial plan or plans.) Yes No		
	e)	Are the subject lands subject to the Niagara Escarpment Plan? ■ Yes □ No		
		If yes, is the proposal in conformity with the Niagara Escarpment Plan? Yes No (Provide Explanation)		
		Located within the Urban Area. As such, no impacts to the escarpment.		
	f)	Are the subject lands subject to the Parkway Belt West Plan? ☐ Yes ■ No		
		If yes, is the proposal in conformity with the Parkway Belt West Plan? Yes		
		N/A		
	g)	Are the subject lands subject to the Greenbelt Plan? ■ Yes □ No		
		If yes, does this application conform with the Greenbelt Plan? ■ Yes □ No (Provide Explanation)		
		It will not provide an adverse effects to the surrounding area.		
8 8.1	 8.1 HISTORY OF THE SUBJECT LAND 8.1 Has the subject land ever been the subject of an application for approval of a plan of subdivision or a consent under sections 51 or 53 of the <i>Planning Act</i>? ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown 			
	If YE	S, and known, indicate the appropriate application file number and the decision made ne application.		
	N/.	Α		
8.2	If this	s application is a re-submission of a previous consent application, describe how it has changed from the original application. Λ		
8.3		any land been severed or subdivided from the parcel originally acquired by the owner		
	of th	e subject land? Yes No		
	If YE	S, and if known, provide for each parcel severed, the date of transfer, the name of		

	the transferee and the land use.		
8.4	How long has the applicant owned the subject land?		
8.5	Does the applicant own any other land in the City?		
9 9.1	OTHER APPLICATIONS Is the subject land currently the subject of a proposed official plan amendment that has been submitted for approval? Yes No Unknown		
	If YES, and if known, specify file number and status of the application.		
9.2	Is the subject land the subject of any other application for a Minister's zoning order, zoning by-law amendment, minor variance, consent or approval of a plan of subdivision? — Yes No Unknown		
	If YES, and if known, specify file number and status of the application(s).		
	File number Status		
10 10.	RURAL APPLICATIONS Rural Hamilton Official Plan Designation(s) Agricultural Rural Specialty Crop Mineral Aggregate Resource Extraction Open Space Utilities Rural Settlement Area (specify)		
	Settlement Area Designation		
	If proposal is for the creation of a non-farm parcel resulting from a farm consolidation, indicate the existing land use designation of the abutting or non-abutting farm operation.		
10	Type of Application (select type and complete appropriate sections) Agricultural Severance or Lot Addition Agricultural Related Severance or Lot Addition Rural Resource-based Commercial Severance or Lot Addition Rural Institutional Severance or Lot Addition Rural Settlement Area Severance or Lot Addition		
	Surplus Farm Dwelling Severance from an Abutting Farm Consolidation (Complete Section 10.4)		
	Surplus Farm Dwelling Severance from a (Complete Section 10.5) Non-Abutting Farm Consolidation		
10.	3 Description of Lands		
	a) Lands to be Severed: Frontage (m): (from Section 4.1) Area (m² or ha): (from in Section 4.1)		
Existing Land Use: Proposed Land Use:			

b) Lands to be Retained:	
Frontage (m): (from Section 4.2)	Area (m² or ha): (from Section 4.2)
Existing Land Use:	Proposed Land Use:
Description of Lands (Abutting Fa a) Location of abutting farm:	arm Consolidation)
(Street)	(Municipality) (Postal Cod
b) Description abutting farm:	
Frontage (m):	Area (m² or ha):
Existing Land Use(s):	Proposed Land Use(s):
c) Description of consolidated farm	(excluding lands intended to be severed for the
surplus dwelling): Frontage (m):	Area (m² or ha):
Tronkago (III).	Area (III of fla).
Existing Land Use:	Proposed Land Use:
d) Description of surplus dwelling la	ands proposed to be severed:
Frontage (m): (from Section 4.1)	Area (m² or ha): (from Section 4.1)
Front yard set back:	-
e) Surplus farm dwelling date of cor	nstruction:
Prior to December 16, 2004	After December 16, 2004
f) Condition of surplus farm dwellin	
Habitable	9. Non-Habitable
	
g) Description of farm from which the (retained parcel):	ne surplus dwelling is intended to be severed
Frontage (m): (from Section 4.2)	Area (m² or ha): (from Section 4.2)
Existing Land Use:	Proposed Land Use:
	Troposed Edita Osc.
Description of Lands (Non-Abuttir	ng Farm Consolidation)
a) Location of non-abutting farm	
(Street)	(Municipality) (Postal Cod
b) Decembring of many chapters of many	
b) Description of non-abutting farm Frontage (m):	Area (m² or ha):
	7 4 5 4 (m 5) 11a).
Existing Land Use(s):	Proposed Land Use(s):
c) Description of surplus dwelling la	unds intended to be severed:
Frontage (m): (from Section 4.1)	Area (m² or ha): (from Section 4.1)
Front yard set back:	
	•
d) Surplus farm dwelling date of cor	
Prior to December 16, 2004	After December 16, 2004
e) Condition of surplus farm dwelling	g:

	☐ Habitable	☐ Non-Habitable
f)	Description of farm from which the se (retained parcel):	urplus dwelling is intended to be severed
	Frontage (m): (from Section 4.2)	Area (m² or ha): (from Section 4.2)
E	xisting Land Use:	Proposed Land Use:
11 OTI	HER INFORMATION	
	Adjustment or other agencies in revie attach on a separate page.	a think may be useful to the Committee of wing this application? If so, explain below or
١	J/A	
12 SKI 12.1The	ETCH (Use the attached Sketch Sheet application shall be accompanied by a	et as a guide) a sketch showing the following in metric units:
(a)	the boundaries and dimensions of any the owner of the subject land;	y land abutting the subject land that is owned by
(b)	the approximate distance between the or landmark such as a bridge or railwa	e subject land and the nearest township lot line ay crossing;
(c)	the boundaries and dimensions of the severed and the part that is intended	subject land, the part that is intended to be to be retained;
(d)	the location of all land previously seve current owner of the subject land;	ered from the parcel originally acquired by the
(e)	the approximate location of all natural barns, railways, roads, watercourses, wetlands, wooded areas, wells and se	and artificial features (for example, buildings, drainage ditches, banks of rivers or streams, eptic tanks) that,
	i) are located on the subject land arii) in the applicant's opinion, may aff	n on land that is adjacent to it, and fect the application;
(f)	the current uses of land that is adjace agricultural or commercial);	nt to the subject land (for example, residential,
(g)	the location, width and name of any re indicating whether it is an unopened r road or a right of way;	pads within or abutting the subject land, oad allowance, a public travelled road, a private
(h)	the location and nature of any easeme	ent affecting the subject land.
13 ACK	NOWLEDGEMENT CLAUSE	
remedia	vledge that The City of Hamilton is not a tion of contamination on the property w of its approval to this Application.	responsible for the identification and hich is the subject of this Application – by
July	5,2021	//// ·
Date	,	Signature of Owner