

Hamilton

10/27/2021

This report does not constitute a rating action.

PRIMARY CONTACT

Julia L Smith
Toronto
416-507-3236
Julia.Smith
@spglobal.com

SECONDARY CONTACT

Hamzah Saeed
Toronto
1-416-507-2527
hamzah.saeed
@spglobal.com

Credit Highlights

Overview

Credit context and assumptions

Supportive institutions and prudent financial management will support the City of Hamilton's creditworthiness as the economy recovers.

-- The city's economic diversification should help it recover as COVID-19 restrictions are lifted.

--We expect the city's management will help maintain its fiscal sustainability through the recovery.

--Hamilton's relationship with the Province of Ontario will remain well balanced and generally supportive.

Base-case expectations

We believe that the budgetary impact of the pandemic will be limited as the economy recovers and support continues.

--We expect Hamilton's budgetary performance will be resilient given cost-containment measures and provincial transfers.

--We forecast sustained operating surpluses and modest after-capital deficits through 2023.

--Despite some new borrowing, we expect Hamilton's debt burden will remain very low and the city's robust liquidity will continue to support its creditworthiness.

S&P Global Ratings' long-term issuer credit and senior unsecured debt ratings on the City of Hamilton, in the Province of Ontario, are 'AA+'. As COVID-19 restrictions ease, we expect that Hamilton's economy will recover largely in line with that of other municipalities in Ontario. We believe Hamilton's strong economic diversification will facilitate the city's recovery. The city will continue to prudently manage expenses while also receiving support from higher levels of government. This will help the city to maintain its robust operating balances and modest after-capital deficits. Furthermore, we expect that this performance will allow Hamilton to sustain its large liquid assets and very low debt.

Outlook

The stable outlook reflects our expectation that, in the next two years, Hamilton's fiscal performance will be largely resilient, supported by prudent policies and a supportive institutional framework. We believe that the city's sound operating surpluses will lead to modest after-capital deficits, which will be partially funded with debt issuance, while allowing the city to maintain a healthy liquidity position.

Hamilton

Downside scenario

Over the next two years, we could lower the ratings if after-capital deficits approach 10% of revenue and cause the city to rely more heavily on debt issuance, increasing the debt burden substantially above 30% of operating revenue for a sustained period; and if we did not believe that the debt burden was mitigated by high operating surpluses.

Upside scenario

Although we view the possibility of an upgrade as remote over the next two years, we could raise the ratings if Hamilton's economy were to grow significantly, causing operating surpluses to rise such that they would fully and consistently finance the city's capital program, leading to structural surpluses after capital spending. This, combined with substantial improvement in our assessment of financial management, supported by stronger budgetary performance, and no deterioration in the other rating factors, could lead us to raise the ratings.

Rationale

Hamilton's economic diversification will facilitate its recovery, supported by prudent financial management.

As social distancing and pandemic-related restrictions ease, we believe that Hamilton's economy will recover in line with the recovery expected across Canada in 2021. We believe Hamilton continues to demonstrate characteristics of a resilient economy, including economic diversification. Although historically rooted in steel production, the city's economy has moved into other sectors, including advanced manufacturing, aerospace, agribusinesses, food processing, life sciences, digital media, and goods transport. We estimate that Hamilton's GDP per capita is approximately in line with that of the national economy at about US\$53,000 in 2021.

We expect the city's management will prudently manage the city's finances through the economic recovery. The city's planning is facilitated by a four-year budget outlook and multiyear business plans. These plans complement Hamilton's thorough and transparent disclosure; long-term financial sustainability plans; long-term operating and spending forecasts; and robust policies for investments, debt, and risk management.

Hamilton's strong management operates in what we deem to be a very predictable and well-balanced local and regional government framework that has demonstrated a high degree of institutional stability. Although provincial governments mandate a significant portion of municipal spending, they also provide operating fund transfers and impose fiscal restraint through legislative requirements to pass balanced operating budgets. Municipalities generally have the ability to match expenditures well with revenues, except for capital spending, which can be intensive. Any operating surpluses typically fund capital expenditures and future liabilities (such as postemployment obligations and landfill closure costs) through reserve contributions.

Budgetary performance will remain stable, and the debt burden will remain very low.

The temporary provision of funding from higher levels of government, along with the cost-containment measures implemented by the city, will continue to mitigate the impact of the pandemic on Hamilton's public finances. We expect operating balances, on average, will remain strong at about 16% of operating revenues from 2021-2023. These surpluses will facilitate capital spending that we expect to average 27% of total spending over the next three years, which will contribute to moderate deficits after capital spending that average 2.6% of total revenues during the same period.

We expect that the city will issue about C\$338 million in debt from 2021-2023. This includes about C\$88 million for City Housing Hamilton's housing projects. As a result, we expect the city's debt burden will rise to just above 30% of consolidated operating revenues by 2023. In our view, the city's debt is mitigated by its very high operating balances. Hamilton's debt consists of long-term debentures, mortgages on City Housing Hamilton properties, and a very small amount related to capital leases. At the same time, we expect interest costs will remain very modest, at much less than 5% of operating revenues throughout the outlook horizon. We also believe the city's exposure to contingent liabilities is limited.

In addition to the city's very low debt burden, Hamilton has exceptionally high internal liquidity levels on which it can draw, complemented by very robust internal cash flow generation, as reflected in very high operating surpluses. By our calculations, the city's average free cash and liquid assets are almost C\$1 billion and will represent about 14x debt service. Beyond internal liquidity,

Hamilton

Hamilton benefits from satisfactory access to external liquidity for refinancing needs, given its proven ability to issue debt into various markets, including that for public debt, and the presence of a secondary market for Canadian municipal debt instruments.

City of Hamilton Selected Indicators

Mil. C\$	2018	2019	2020	2021bc	2022bc	2023bc
Operating revenue	1,641.1	1,656.7	1,696.5	1,734.8	1,788.2	1,821.2
Operating expenditure	1,431.3	1,391.3	1,394.0	1,445.1	1,488.4	1,532.7
Operating balance	209.8	265.4	302.5	289.6	299.8	288.5
Operating balance (% of operating revenue)	12.8	16.0	17.8	16.7	16.8	15.8
Capital revenue	210.0	157.7	130.8	231.0	205.6	164.6
Capital expenditure	408.3	445.2	487.9	624.7	555.9	454.3
Balance after capital accounts	11.5	(22.1)	(54.6)	(104.1)	(50.5)	(1.2)
Balance after capital accounts (% of total revenue)	0.6	(1.2)	(3.0)	(5.3)	(2.5)	(0.1)
Debt repaid	44.1	52.2	48.1	53.9	62.7	49.3
Gross borrowings	110.8	0.0	55.5	31.2	110.0	197.3
Balance after borrowings	78.3	(74.3)	(47.3)	(126.8)	(3.2)	146.8
Direct debt (outstanding at year-end)	484.9	432.7	436.3	413.6	460.9	608.9
Direct debt (% of operating revenue)	29.6	26.1	25.7	23.8	25.8	33.4
Tax-supported debt (outstanding at year-end)	484.9	432.7	436.3	413.6	460.9	608.9
Tax-supported debt (% of consolidated operating revenue)	29.6	26.1	25.7	23.8	25.8	33.4
Interest (% of operating revenue)	0.8	0.8	0.7	0.9	0.8	0.8
Local GDP per capita (\$)	--	--	--	--	--	--
National GDP per capita (\$)	46,453.9	46,326.7	43,258.2	52,948.3	54,410.6	55,679.7

The data and ratios above result in part from S&P Global Ratings' own calculations, drawing on national as well as international sources, reflecting S&P Global Ratings' independent view on the timeliness, coverage, accuracy, credibility, and usability of available information. The main sources are the financial statements and budgets, as provided by the issuer. bc--Base case reflects S&P Global Ratings' expectations of the most likely scenario. C\$--Canadian dollar. \$--U.S. dollar.

Ratings Score Snapshot

Key rating factors	Scores
Institutional framework	2
Economy	1

Hamilton

Financial management	2
Budgetary performance	2
Liquidity	1
Debt burden	1
Stand-alone credit profile	aa+
Issuer credit rating	AA+

S&P Global Ratings bases its ratings on non-U.S. local and regional governments (LRGs) on the six main rating factors in this table. In the "**Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S.**," published on July 15, 2019, we explain the steps we follow to derive the global scale foreign currency rating on each LRG. The institutional framework is assessed on a six-point scale: 1 is the strongest and 6 the weakest score. Our assessments of economy, financial management, budgetary performance, liquidity, and debt burden are on a five-point scale, with 1 being the strongest score and 5 the weakest.

Key Sovereign Statistics

- Sovereign Risk Indicators, Oct. 12, 2021. An interactive version is available at <http://www.spratings.com/sri>

Related Criteria

- Criteria | Governments | International Public Finance: Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside Of The U.S. July 15, July 15, 2019
- General Criteria: Principles of Credit Ratings, Feb. 16, 2011
- Environmental, Social, And Governance Principles In Credit Ratings, Oct. 10, 2021

Related Research

- Institutional Framework Assessments for International Local and Regional Governments, Oct. 6, 2021
- Economic Outlook Canada Q4 2021: Growth Delayed, Sep. 24, 2021
- S&P Global Ratings Definitions, Jan. 5, 2021
- Public Finance System: Canadian Municipalities, May 12, 2020
- Guidance: Methodology For Rating Local And Regional Governments Outside of The U.S., July 15, 2019
- Guidance: Sovereign Rating Methodology, July 8, 2021

Ratings Detail (as of October 25, 2021)*

Hamilton (City of)

Hamilton

Ratings Detail (as of October 25, 2021)*

Issuer Credit Rating	AA+/Stable/--
Senior Unsecured	AA+

Issuer Credit Ratings History

16-Jun-2017	AA+/Stable/--
24-Jun-2016	AA/Positive/--
12-Nov-2008	AA/Stable/--

*Unless otherwise noted, all ratings in this report are global scale ratings. S&P Global Ratings credit ratings on the global scale are comparable across countries. S&P Global Ratings credit ratings on a national scale are relative to obligors or obligations within that specific country. Issue and debt ratings could include debt guaranteed by another entity, and rated debt that an entity guarantees.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.