

**From:** Tammy Felts

**Sent:** Sunday, January 23, 2022 10:57 PM

**To:** DL - Council Only <[dlcouncilonly@hamilton.ca](mailto:dlcouncilonly@hamilton.ca)>; [clerk@hamilton.ca](mailto:clerk@hamilton.ca)

**Subject:** Initiative CI-20-A Zoning By-Law Amendment 1400 Baseline Road, Stoney Creek

To: Alissa Mahood and Council,

As you may recall, last January/February 2021 we undertook and submitted a Petition from the area residents opposing the Official Plan Amendment & Zoning By-law amendment for the city owned land located at 1400 Baseline Road. That previous petition included 62 signatures.

Subsequent to the Petition, a restart button was hit and the residents were provided with a virtual Neighbourhood Meeting which helped the residents learn more about the proposal. Thank you for hosting and conducting that meeting which was very well attended and included many questions. 77 residents registered for the Webinar held in March 2021 which had 59 participants.

In order to provide impartial feedback on the proposal to City Staff & Council, we just recently conducted a Survey of the area residents instead of another Petition. Petitions sometimes do not easily demonstrate whether or not a majority or minority of the citizens have voiced their position. Hence, we went to the property owners/occupants in the immediate vicinity and asked them if they were Opposed, Supportive or Neutral on the proposed amendment to include a higher height limit (specifically 9 storeys) as part of the potential build.

The Survey, complete with signatures and addresses and each person's selection is attached.

Please note:

85 citizens are opposed ( 23 more than a year ago)

0 citizens are supportive

1 citizen was neutral

As we have said many times, the majority of the residents are not opposed to a residential infill development at this location.

We also understand the need to increase the density and the height over what was originally planned for in our Secondary Plan.

As you can read in the Public Submissions in the file, attempts were made to engage and discuss alternative proposals that could potentially be a better use of the land, house the same amount of people, and could have resulted in a Staff Recommendation on February 1st that was mutually agreed upon. Sadly, it appears collaboration was not an option.

On behalf of the residents, we respectfully request that the Planning Committee deny the amendments.

Respectfully;

Tammy Felts, President WCECC #479

&

Residents of the adjacent communities.