# **COMMITTEE OF ADJUSTMENT**



City Hall, 5<sup>th</sup> floor, 71 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5 Telephone (905) 546-2424, ext. 4221, 3935 E-mail: <u>cofa@hamilton.ca</u>

# NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING Consent/Land Severance

# You are receiving this notice because you are either:

- Assessed owner of a property located within 60 metres of the subject property
- Applicant/agent on file, or
- Person likely to be interested in this application

APPLICATION	HM/B-22:73	SUBJECT	70-72 TOM STREET, HAMILTON
NO.:		<b>PROPERTY</b> :	

APPLICANTS: Owner: Roya Dieba Agent: EcoVue Consulting Services c/o Ashlyn Kennedy

**PURPOSE & EFFECT:** To enable the conveyance of parcel of land containing one half of a semidetached dwelling and to retain a parcel of land containing one half of a semi-detached dwelling.

	Frontage	Depth	Area
SEVERED LANDS:	6.97 m <sup>±</sup>	15.85 m <sup>±</sup>	77.65 m <sup>2 ±</sup>
RETAINED LANDS:	7.78 m <sup>±</sup>	16.95 m <sup>±</sup>	64.10 m <sup>2 ±</sup>

Associated Planning Act File(s): N/A

# This Notice must be posted by the owner of any land which contains seven or more residential units so that it is visible to all residents.

This application will be heard by the Committee as shown below:

DATE:	Thursday, August 25, 2022		
TIME:	2:55 p.m.		
PLACE:	Via video link or call in (see attached sheet for details)		
	2 <sup>nd</sup> floor City Hall, room 222 (see attached sheet for		
	details), 71 Main St. W., Hamilton		
	To be streamed (viewing only) at		
	www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment		

For more information on this matter, including access to drawings illustrating this request and other information submitted:

Visit <u>www.hamilton.ca/committeeofadjustment</u>

#### HM/B-22:73

- Visit Committee of Adjustment staff at 5<sup>th</sup> floor City Hall, 71 Main St. W., Hamilton
- Call 905-546-CITY (2489) or 905-546-2424 extension 4221, 4130, or 3935

# PUBLIC INPUT

**Written:** If you would like to submit written comments to the Committee of Adjustment you may do so via email or hardcopy. Please see attached page for complete instructions, <u>including deadlines</u> for submitting to be seen by the Committee.

**Orally:** If you would like to speak to this item at the hearing you may do so via video link, calling in, or attending in person. Please see attached page for complete instructions, including deadlines for registering to participate virtually and instructions for check in to participate in person.



DATED: August 9, 2022

Jamila Sheffield, Secretary-Treasurer Committee of Adjustment Information respecting this application is being collected under the authority of the Planning Act, R.S.O., 1990, c. P. 13. All comments and opinions submitted to the City of Hamilton on this matter, including the name, address, and contact information of persons submitting comments and/or opinions, will become part of the public record and will be made available to the Applicant and the general public, and may include posting electronic versions..

If a person or public body that files an appeal of a decision of The City of Hamilton Committee of Adjustment in respect of the proposed consent does not make written submissions to The City of

# HM/B-22:73

Hamilton Committee of Adjustment before it gives or refuses to give a provisional consent, the Ontario Land Tribunal may dismiss the appeal.

If you wish to be notified of the decision of The City of Hamilton Committee of Adjustment in respect of the proposed consent, you must make a written request to the Secretary-Treasurer of The City of Hamilton Committee of Adjustment by email at <u>cofa@hamilton.ca</u> or by mail through City Hall, 5th floor, 71 Main Street West, Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5.





- i

# SURVEYOR'S REAL PROPERTY REPORT ILLUSTRATING TOPOGRAPHY ON

# PART 1 - PLAN OF SURVEY OF PART OF LOT 1, BLOCK 4 REGISTERED PLAN 42 CITY OF HAMILTON

REGIONAL MUNICIPALITY OF HAMILTON-WENTWORTH SCALE 1 : 200

10 metres 

J.D. BARNES LIMITED C COPYRIGHT

# METRIC DISTANCES AND COORDINATES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE IN METRES AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO FEET BY DIVIDING BY 0.3048. PART 2 - SURVEY REPORT

- DESCRIPTION PART OF LOT 1, BLOCK 4, REGISTERED PLAN 42 REGISTERED EASEMENTS AND/OR RIGHTS-OF-WAY
- NONE
- ZONING COMPLIANCE
- COMPLIANCE WITH ONTARIO BUILDING CODE SETBACK REQUIREMENTS ARE NOT VERIFIED BY THIS SURVEY. - ADDITIONAL REMARKS

PLAN PREPARED FOR RON DIEBA

# NOTES

BEARINGS ARE UTM GRID, DERIVED FROM OBSERVED REFERENCE POINTS A AND B, BY REAL TIME NETWORK (RTN) OBSERVATIONS, UTM ZONE 17, NAD83 (CSRS) (2010.0).

DISTANCES ARE GROUND AND CAN BE CONVERTED TO GRID BY MULTIPLYING BY THE COMBINED SCALE FACTOR OF 0.999690.

FOR BEARING COMPARISONS, A ROTATION OF 2'09'20" COUNTER-CLOCKWISE WAS APPLIED TO BEARINGS ON P1, P2, P3, P4 AND P6.

1					
	INTEGRATION DATA				
	OBSERVED REFERENCE POINTS (ORPs): UTM ZONE 17, NAD83 (CSRS) (2010.0).				
	COORDINATES TO URBAN ACCURACY PER SECTION 14 (2) OF O.REG 216/10.				
	POINT ID	EASTING	NORTHING		
	ORP (A)	590 342.75	4 790 994.33		
	ORP (B)	590 361.36	4 791 004.73		
	COORDINATES	CANNOT, IN THEMSELVES,	BE USED TO RE-ESTABLISH		

CORNERS OR BOUNDARIES SHOWN ON THIS PLAN.

# THE RESULTANT TIE BETWEEN ORP (A) AND ORP (B) IS 21.32 N60'47'05"E

# ELEVATION NOTE

ELEVATIONS SHOWN ON THIS PLAN ARE RELATED TO GEODETIC DATUM AND ARE DERIVED FROM THE ONTARIO MINISTRY OF NATURAL RESOURCES AND FORESTRY BENCHMARK No. 0011922U401 HAVING A PUBLISHED ELEVATION OF 110.299 METERS ABD BENCHMARK No. 07720100049 HAVING A PUBLISHED ELEVATION OF 85.227 METERS

# LOCAL BENCHMARK

CUT-CROSS ON TOM STREET APPROXIMATELY 31 METERS FROM SOUTH-WESTERN CORNER OF THE SUBJECT BOUNDARY ELEVATION=107.41m

# LOCAL BENCHMARK

- DENOTES HYDRO JUNCTION BOX 🗆 HJB
- \* G METER DENOTES GAS METER HP DENOTES HYDRO POLE

BEFORE DIGGING, UNDERGROUND SERVICES SHOULD BE LOCATED ON SITE BY THE RESPECTIVE AGENCIES.

IT IS THE RESPONSIBILITTY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO VERIFY THAT LOCAL BENCHMARKS HAVE NOT BEEN ALTERED OR DISTURBED AND THAT THE RELATIVE ELEVATIONS AGREE WITH THE INFORMATION SHOWN ON THIS PLAN.

PRIMARY CONTOURS ARE AT 1.00m INTERVALS. SECONDARY CONTOURS ARE AT 0.25m INTERVALS.

#### SURVEYOR'S CERTIFICATE I CERTIFY THAT:

1. THIS SURVEY AND PLAN ARE CORRECT AND IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE SURVEYS ACT, THE SURVEYORS ACT AND THE REGULATIONS MADE UNDER THEM. 2. THE SURVEY WAS COMPLETED ON THE 21st DAY OF MARCH, 2022.

N OF ONTARIO JRVEYORS	MARCH 24, 202 DATE		R.S. QUERUBIN ONTARIO LAND SURVE	
MISSION FORM		J.D.BAI	LIMITED	S U R V E Y I N G M A P P I N G G I S
IS NOT VALID AN EMBOSSED IAL COPY HE SURVEYOR	401 WHE	LAND INFORMATIO EELABRATOR WAY, SUITE A 0 875-9955 F: (905) 875-9956	A, MILTON, ON L9T 3C1 www.jdbarnes.com	
dance with 26, Section 29(3).	SG	CHECKED BY: RSQ rawing\22-30-826-00-A.dgn	REFERENCE NO.: 22-3 DATED: MARCH 28, 20	80-826-00-A

3/28/22 PLOTTED:



Phone: (905) 546-2424 ext. 4221 Email: <u>cofa@hamilton.ca</u>

# **APPLICATION FOR CONSENT TO SEVER LAND**

UNDER SECTION 53 OF THE PLANNING ACT

			Office Use Only
Date Application Received:	Date Application Deemed Complete:	Submission No.:	File No.:

# 1 APPLICANT INFORMATION

	NAME	MAILING ADDRESS	
Purchaser*			Phone:
			E-mail:
Registered Owners(s)			
Applicant(s)**			
Agent or Solicitor			Phone:
			E-mail:

\*Purchaser must provide a copy of the portion of the agreement of purchase and sale that authorizes the purchaser to make the application in respect of the land that is the subject of the application. (O. Reg. 786/21)

\*\* Owner's authorisation required if the applicant is not the owner or purchaser.

1.3 All correspondence should be sent to

Purchaser
Applicant

] Owner ] Agent/Solicitor

# 2 LOCATION OF SUBJECT LAND Complete the applicable lines

Lot	Concession	Former Township
		•
Lot(s)	Reference Plan N°	Part(s)
201(3)		1 41(3)
		Assessment Roll N°.
	Lot Lot(s)	

2.2	Are there any easements or restrictive covenants affecting the subject land?
	If YES, describe the easement or covenant and its effect:

<b>3</b> 3.1	<b>PURPOSE OF THE APPLICATION</b> Type and purpose of proposed transaction: (check appropriate box)				
	a) Urban Area Transfer (do not complete Section 1	<u>0):</u>			
	<ul> <li>creation of a new lot</li> <li>addition to a lot</li> <li>an easement</li> </ul>	Other: 🗌 a charge 🔲 a lease 🔲 a correction of title			
	<ul> <li>b) <u>Rural Area / Rural Settlement Area Transfer (Sec</u></li> <li>creation of a new lot</li> <li>creation of a new non-farm parcel</li> <li>(i.e. a lot containing a surplus farm dwelling resulting from a farm consolidation)</li> <li>addition to a lot</li> </ul>	tion 10 must also be completed): Other:  a charge a lease a correction of title an easement			
3.2	Name of person(s), if known, to whom land or interes or charged:	st in land is to be transferred, leased			
3.3	If a lot addition, identify the lands to which the parcel	will be added:			

#### 4 DESCRIPTION OF SUBJECT LAND AND SERVICING INFORMATION 4.1 Description of land intended to be Severed (lease, easement, charge etc.):

Frontage (m)	Depth (m)		Area (m²	
Existing Use of Property to be s  Residential Agriculture (includes a farm of Other (specify)	dwelling)	☐ Industrial ☐ Agricultural-I	Related	<ul><li>☐ Commercial</li><li>☐ Vacant</li></ul>
Proposed Use of Property to be Residential Agriculture (includes a farm of Other (specify)	severed: dwelling)	☐ Industrial ☐ Agricultural-I		Commercial
Building(s) or Structure(s): Existing:				
Proposed: Existing structures to be remove				
Type of access: (check appropriate box)  provincial highway municipal road, seasonally maintained municipal road, maintained all year				
Type of water supply proposed: (check appropriate box)         publicly owned and operated piped water system         privately owned and operated individual well         other means (specify)				

Type of sewage disposal propo	sed: (check appropriate box)		
<ul> <li>publicly owned and operated sanitary sewage system</li> <li>privately owned and operated individual septic system</li> <li>other means (specify)</li> </ul>			
4.2 Description of land intende	d to be <b>Retained (remainder)</b> .		
Frontage (m)	Depth (m)	Area (m² or ha)	
Certificate Request for Retained * If yes, a statement from an Or subject land that is owned by th conveyed without contravening	ntario solicitor in good standing t e owner of the subject land othe		
Existing Use of Property to be r Residential Agriculture (includes a farm Other (specify)	🔄 Industrial	Commercial Related Vacant	
Proposed Use of Property to be retained:         Residential       Industrial         Agriculture (includes a farm dwelling)         Other (specify)			
Building(s) or Structure(s): Existing:			
Proposed:			
Existing structures to be remove	ed:		
Type of access: (check appropr provincial highway municipal road, seasonally n municipal road, maintained a	iate box)	] right of way ] other public road	
Type of water supply proposed:	(check appropriate box)		
<ul> <li>publicly owned and operated</li> <li>privately owned and operated</li> </ul>	d piped water system	] lake or other water body ] other means (specify)	
Type of sewage disposal propo	l sanitary sewage system		
4.3 Other Services: (check if th		garbage collection	
<ul> <li>5 CURRENT LAND USE</li> <li>5.1 What is the existing official plan designation of the subject land? Rural Hamilton Official Plan designation (if applicable):</li> </ul>			
Urban Hamilton Official Pla	n designation (if applicable)		
Diagon provide en explene	tion of how the application confe	arma with a City of Hamilton	

Please provide an explanation of how the application conforms with a City of Hamilton Official Plan.

- 5.2 What is the existing zoning of the subject land? If the subject land is covered by a Minister's zoning order, what is the Ontario Regulation Number?\_\_\_\_\_
- 5.3 Are any of the following uses or features on the subject land or within 500 metres of the subject land, unless otherwise specified. Please check the appropriate boxes, if any apply.

□ Residential       □ Industrial       □ Commercial         □ Agriculture       □ Vacant       □ Other (specify)         6.1       If Industrial or Commercial, specify use		Use or Feature	On the Subject Land	Within 500 Metres of Subject Land, unless otherwise specified (indicate approximate distance)
A sewage treatment plant or waste stabilization plant				
A provincially significant wetland	A lan	d fill		
A provincially significant wetland within 120 metres	A sev	vage treatment plant or waste stabilization plant		
A flood plain	A pro	ovincially significant wetland		
An industrial or commercial use, and specify the use(s)	A pro	wincially significant wetland within 120 metres		
An active railway line	A flo	od plain		
A municipal or federal airport	An in	dustrial or commercial use, and specify the use(s)		
Complete MDS Data Sheet if applicable         6       PREVIOUS USE OF PROPERTY         ☐ Residential       ☐ Industrial       ☐ Commercial         ☐ Agriculture       ☐ Vacant       ☐ Other (specify)         6.1       If Industrial or Commercial, specify use	An ac	ctive railway line		
6       PREVIOUS USE OF PROPERTY         Residential       Industrial       Commercial         Agriculture       Vacant       Other (specify)         6.1       If Industrial or Commercial, specify use	A mu	nicipal or federal airport		
Residential       Industrial       Commercial         Agriculture       Vacant       Other (specify)         6.1       If Industrial or Commercial, specify use	*Com	blete MDS Data Sheet if applicable		
<ul> <li>6.2 Has the grading of the subject land been changed by adding earth or other material, i.e., has filling occurred?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>6.3 Has a gas station been located on the subject land or adjacent lands at any time?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>6.4 Has there been petroleum or other fuel stored on the subject land or adjacent lands?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>6.5 Are there or have there ever been underground storage tanks or buried waste on the subject land or adjacent lands?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>6.6 Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as an agricultural operation where cyanide products may have been used as pesticides and/or biosolids was applied to the lands?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>6.7 Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as a weapons firing range?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>6.8 Is the nearest boundary line of the application within 500 metres (1,640 feet) of the fill area of an operational/non-operational landfill or dump?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>6.9 If there are existing or previously existing buildings, are there any building materials remaining on site which are potentially hazardous to public health (e.g., asbestos, PCB's)?</li> </ul>	6	Residential Industrial Cor		)
has filling occurred?         Yes       No         Unknown         6.3       Has a gas station been located on the subject land or adjacent lands at any time?         Yes       No         Unknown         6.4       Has there been petroleum or other fuel stored on the subject land or adjacent lands?         Yes       No         Unknown         6.5       Are there or have there ever been underground storage tanks or buried waste on the subject land or adjacent lands?         Yes       No         Unknown         6.6         Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as an agricultural operation where cyanide products may have been used as pesticides and/or biosolids was applied to the lands?         Yes       No         Unknown         6.7       Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as a weapons firing range?         Yes       No         Unknown         6.7       Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as a weapons firing range?         Yes       No         Unknown         6.8       Is the nearest boundary line of the application within 500 metres (1,640 feet) of the fill area of an operational/non-operational landfill or dump?         Yes       No         Unknown       If there are existing or previously existing bu	6.1	If Industrial or Commercial, specify use		
<ul> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>Has there been petroleum or other fuel stored on the subject land or adjacent lands?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>Are there or have there ever been underground storage tanks or buried waste on the subject land or adjacent lands?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as an agricultural operation where cyanide products may have been used as pesticides and/or biosolids was applied to the lands?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as a weapons firing range?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as a weapons firing range?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>Is the nearest boundary line of the application within 500 metres (1,640 feet) of the fill area of an operational/non-operational landfill or dump?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>If there are existing or previously existing buildings, are there any building materials remaining on site which are potentially hazardous to public health (e.g., asbestos, PCB's)?</li> </ul>	6.2	has filling occurred?	adding ear	th or other material, i.e.,
<ul> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>Are there or have there ever been underground storage tanks or buried waste on the subject land or adjacent lands?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as an agricultural operation where cyanide products may have been used as pesticides and/or biosolids was applied to the lands?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>Mo Unknown</li> <li>Mo Unknown</li> <li>Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as a weapons firing range?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>Is the nearest boundary line of the application within 500 metres (1,640 feet) of the fill area of an operational/non-operational landfill or dump?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>If there are existing or previously existing buildings, are there any building materials remaining on site which are potentially hazardous to public health (e.g., asbestos, PCB's)?</li> </ul>	6.3		adjacent la	ands at any time?
<ul> <li>subject land or adjacent lands?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>6.6 Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as an agricultural operation where cyanide products may have been used as pesticides and/or biosolids was applied to the lands?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>6.7 Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as a weapons firing range?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>6.8 Is the nearest boundary line of the application within 500 metres (1,640 feet) of the fill area of an operational/non-operational landfill or dump?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>6.9 If there are existing or previously existing buildings, are there any building materials remaining on site which are potentially hazardous to public health (e.g., asbestos, PCB's)?</li> </ul>	6.4		ubject lan	d or adjacent lands?
<ul> <li>cyanide products may have been used as pesticides and/or biosolids was applied to the lands?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> </ul> 6.7 Have the lands or adjacent lands ever been used as a weapons firing range? <ul> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> </ul> 6.8 Is the nearest boundary line of the application within 500 metres (1,640 feet) of the fill area of an operational/non-operational landfill or dump? <ul> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> </ul> 6.9 If there are existing or previously existing buildings, are there any building materials remaining on site which are potentially hazardous to public health (e.g., asbestos, PCB's)?	6.5	subject land or adjacent lands?	e tanks or	buried waste on the
<ul> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>Is the nearest boundary line of the application within 500 metres (1,640 feet) of the fill area of an operational/non-operational landfill or dump?</li> <li>Yes No Unknown</li> <li>If there are existing or previously existing buildings, are there any building materials remaining on site which are potentially hazardous to public health (e.g., asbestos, PCB's)?</li> </ul>	cyanide products may have been used as pesticides and/or biosolids was applied to the lands?			
area of an operational/non-operational landfill or dump? Yes No Unknown 6.9 If there are existing or previously existing buildings, are there any building materials remaining on site which are potentially hazardous to public health (e.g., asbestos, PCB's)?	6.7		weapons	firing range?
remaining on site which are potentially hazardous to public health (e.g., asbestos, PCB's)?	6.8	area of an operational/non-operational landfill or dump		(1,640 feet) of the fill
	6.9	remaining on site which are potentially hazardous to p PCB's)?	-	

- 6.10 Is there reason to believe the subject land may have been contaminated by former uses on the site or adjacent sites?
  Yes No Unknown
- 6.11 What information did you use to determine the answers to 6.1 to 6.10 above?
- 6.12 If previous use of property is industrial or commercial or if YES to any of 6.2 to 6.10, a previous use inventory showing all former uses of the subject land, or if appropriate, the land adjacent to the subject land, is needed.
  Is the previous use inventory attached?
  Yes

# 7 PROVINCIAL POLICY

7.1 a) Is this application consistent with the Policy Statements issued under subsection of the *Planning Act*? (Provide explanation)

Yes	🗌 No
-----	------

- b) Is this application consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement (PPS)?

   Yes
   No
   (Provide explanation)
- c) Does this application conform to the Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe?
- d) Are the subject lands within an area of land designated under any provincial plan or plans? (If YES, provide explanation on whether the application conforms or does not conflict with the provincial plan or plans.)

   Yes
   No
  - e) Are the subject lands subject to the Niagara Escarpment Plan?

If yes, is the	proposal in co	nformity with	the Niagara	Escarpment	Plan?
Yes	No No	-	-	-	
(Provide Exp	lanation)				

f) Are the subject lands subject to the Parkway Belt West Plan?
 ☐ Yes
 ☐ No

If yes, is the	proposal in confo	rmity with the Parkway Belt West Plan?
🗌 Yes	🗌 No	(Provide Explanation)

g) Are the subject lands subject to the Greenbelt Plan? ☐ Yes ☐ No

If yes, does	this application	conform with the Greenbelt Plan?	)
🗌 Yes	🗌 No	(Provide Explanation)	

# 8 HISTORY OF THE SUBJECT LAND

8.1 Has the subject land ever been the subject of an application for approval of a plan of subdivision or a consent under sections 51 or 53 of the *Planning Act*?
Yes No Unknown

If YES, and known, indicate the appropriate application file number and the decision made on the application.

- 8.2 If this application is a re-submission of a previous consent application, describe how it has been changed from the original application.
- 8.3 Has any land been severed or subdivided from the parcel originally acquired by the owner of the subject land? 
  Yes No

If YES, and if known, provide for each parcel severed, the date of transfer, the name of the transferee and the land use.

8.4 How long has the applicant owned the subject land?

8.5	Does the applicant own any other land in the City?	🗌 Yes	🗌 No
	If YES, describe the lands in "11 - Other Information"	' or attach a s	separate page.

### 9 OTHER APPLICATIONS

9.1 Is the subject land currently the subject of a proposed official plan amendment that has been submitted for approval?

If YES, and if known, specify file number and status of the application.

9.2 Is the subject land the subject of any other application for a Minister's zoning order, zoning by-law amendment, minor variance, consent or approval of a plan of subdivision?
 □ Yes □ No □ Unknown

If YES, and if known, specify file number and status of the application(s).

ļ	File number	Status	······
<b>10</b> 10.1	RURAL APPLICATIONS Rural Hamilton Official Plan Designation(s	)	
	Agricultural	Rural	Specialty Crop
	Mineral Aggregate Resource Extraction	Open Space	Utilities
	Rural Settlement Area (specify)		
	Set	tlement Area	Designation

If proposal is for the creation of a non-farm parcel resulting from a farm consolidation, indicate the existing land use designation of the abutting or non-abutting farm operation.

10.2	Type of Application	(select type and	complete appropriate	sections)
------	---------------------	------------------	----------------------	-----------

	,
Agricultural Severance or Lot Addition Agricultural Related Severance or Lot Addition Rural Resource-based Commercial Severance or Lot Addition Rural Institutional Severance or Lot Addition Rural Settlement Area Severance or Lot Addition	(Complete Section 10.3)
Surplus Farm Dwelling Severance from an Abutting Farm Consolidation	(Complete Section 10.4)
Surplus Farm Dwelling Severance from a Non-Abutting Farm Consolidation	(Complete Section 10.5)

# 10.3 **Description of Lands**

a) Lands to be Severed:	
Frontage (m): (from Section 4.1)	Area (m <sup>2</sup> or ha): (from in Section 4.1)
Existing Land Use:	Proposed Land Use:

b) Lands to be Retained:

Frontage (m): (from Section 4.2)	Area (m <sup>2</sup> or ha): (from Section 4.2)
Existing Land Use:	Proposed Land Use:

# 10.4 **Description of Lands (Abutting Farm Consolidation)**

a) Location of abutting farm:

(Street)	(Municipality)	(Postal Code)
b) Description abutting farm:		
Frontage (m):	Area (m² or ha):	
Existing Land Use(s):	Proposed Land Use(s)	:
c) Description of consolidated fa surplus dwelling):	arm (excluding lands intended	to be severed for the
Frontage (m):	Area (m² or ha):	

<b>-</b> • <i>a</i> • • • •	<b>B</b>
Existing Land Use:	Proposed Land Use:

# d) Description of surplus dwelling lands proposed to be severed:

Frontage (m): (from	Section 4.1)	Ar	ea (m² or ha): (from Section 4.1)

Front	t yard	l set	bac	k:		
	•				 	 

# e) Surplus farm dwelling date of construction:

- Prior to December 16, 2004
- After December 16, 2004
- f) Condition of surplus farm dwelling:Habitable
- Non-Habitable

g) Description of farm from which the surplus dwelling is intended to be severed (retained parcel):

Frontage (m): (from Section 4.2)	Area (m <sup>2</sup> or ha): (from Section 4.2)
Existing Land Use:	Proposed Land Use:

# 10.5 **Description of Lands (Non-Abutting Farm Consolidation)**

a) Location of non-abutting farm

(Street) (		cipality)	(Postal Code)
b) Description of non-abutting farm			
Frontage (m):	Are	a (m² or ha):	
Existing Land Use(s):	Propo	osed Land Use(s):	
c) Description of surplus dwelling land	s inten	ded to be severed:	
Frontage (m): (from Section 4.1)	Are	a (m² or ha): (from Se	ection 4.1)
Front yard set back:			
d) Surplus farm dwelling date of const	ruction	:	
Prior to December 16, 2004		After December 16	6, 2004
e) Condition of surplus farm dwelling:			
Habitable		Non-Habitable	
<li>f) Description of farm from which the s (retained parcel):</li>	surplus	dwelling is intended	to be severed
Frontage (m): (from Section 4.2)	Are	a (m² or ha): (from Se	ection 4.2)
Existing Land Use:	Propo	osed Land Use:	

# **11 OTHER INFORMATION**

Is there any other information that you think may be useful to the Committee of Adjustment or other agencies in reviewing this application? If so, explain below or attach on a separate page.

# **12 ACKNOWLEDGEMENT CLAUSE**

I acknowledge that The City of Hamilton is not responsible for the identification and remediation of contamination on the property which is the subject of this Application – by reason of its approval to this Application.

Signature of Owner

Date



311 George St. N. Suite 200 Peterborough, ON K9J 3H3

T 705.876.8340 F 705.742.8343

www.ecovueconsulting.com

# **Planning Justification Report**

Application for Consent 70 and 72 Tom Street, City of Hamilton

Prepared for: Ron Dieba and Roya Dieba

EcoVue Reference No.: 21-2271

Date: July 11, 2022

311 George St. N. Suite 200 Peterborough, ON K9J 3H3

T 705.876.8340 | F 705.742.8343

www.ecovueconsulting.com



# **Table of Contents**

1.0		BACK	GROUND	1
	1.1	DESC	RIPTION OF PROPOSAL AND EXISTING CONDITIONS	1
2.0		POLIC	CY CONSIDERATION	4
	2.1	PLAN	NING ACT	4
	2.2	PROV	INCIAL POLICY STATEMENT (2020)	8
		2.2.1	Settlement Areas	9
		2.2.2	Infrastructure and Public Service Facilities – Sewage, Water and Stormwater	9
		2.2.3	Wise Use and Management of Resources – Natural Heritage	9
		2.2.4	Natural and Human-Made Hazards	. 10
	2.3	GROV	VTH PLAN FOR THE GREATER GOLDEN HORSESHOE (2020)	. 10
3.0		LOCA	L PLANNING DOCUMENTS	11
3.0	3.1		I <b>L PLANNING DOCUMENTS</b> OF HAMILTON OFFICIAL PLAN	
3.0	3.1			12
3.0		CITY ( 3. <i>1.1</i>	OF HAMILTON OFFICIAL PLAN	12 15
3.0		CITY ( 3. <i>1.1</i>	OF HAMILTON OFFICIAL PLAN	12 <i>15</i> 18
3.0		CITY ( 3.1.1 CITY (	OF HAMILTON OFFICIAL PLAN Strathcona Secondary Plan OF HAMILTON ZONING BY-LAW NO. 6593	12 15 18 18
3.0		CITY ( 3.1.1 CITY ( 3.2.1	OF HAMILTON OFFICIAL PLAN Strathcona Secondary Plan OF HAMILTON ZONING BY-LAW NO. 6593 Lot Frontage	12 15 18 18 19
3.0		CITY ( 3.1.1 CITY ( 3.2.1 3.2.2	OF HAMILTON OFFICIAL PLAN Strathcona Secondary Plan OF HAMILTON ZONING BY-LAW NO. 6593 Lot Frontage Lot Area.	12 15 18 18 19 19
3.0		CITY ( 3.1.1 CITY ( 3.2.1 3.2.2 3.2.3 3.2.4	OF HAMILTON OFFICIAL PLAN Strathcona Secondary Plan OF HAMILTON ZONING BY-LAW NO. 6593 Lot Frontage Lot Area. Front Yard, Rear Yard and Side Yard Depth	12 15 18 18 19 19 20



# Table of Figures

Figure 1 - Site Location	2
Figure 2 - Consent Sketch	3
Figure 3 - City of Hamilton Official Plan Schedule 'E-1'	14
Figure 4 – Strathcona Secondary Plan	17
Figure 5 – City of Hamilton Zoning By-law	



# 1.0 BACKGROUND

This Report is being submitted on behalf of our client, Ron and Roya Dieba in support of an application for Consent at the above-noted property. The subject property is located in the northwest portion of the City of Hamilton, in the Strathcona neighbourhood, at 70 and 72 Tom Street. (**Figure 1 – Site Location**).

This report will examine the application in the context of the relevant land use planning policies.

# 1.1 Description of Proposal and Existing Conditions

The applicants, owns a parcel of land located at 70 and 72 Tom Street in the City of Hamilton. The property is approximately 242.50 square metres with approximately 15.21 metres (49.91 feet) of frontage on Tom Street, which runs in an east-west direction between Breadalbane Street and Dundurn Street. The subject lands are located approximately 200 metres south of York Street and 450 metres north of King Street in the Strathcona neighbourhood.

The property currently contains a duplex dwelling; the units are adjacent to each other, with a common wall, and separate entrances. Currently, there is no off-street parking spaces on the property. As such, residents of the two units utilize available street parking.

The applicant is proposing to separate each unit at 70 and 72 Tom Street to create two separate, semidetached units (on separate properties) with a shared "party" wall. In order to facilitate the separation of the lots, an application for Consent is being submitted to the City of Hamilton. The proposed lots are as follows:

- "Severed Lands" located at 72 Tom Street. The severed lands are proposed to be 125.00 square metres (0.03 acres), with 6.97 metres (22.87 feet) of frontage on Tom Street;
- "Retained Lands" located at 70 Tom Street. The retained lands are proposed to be 117.50 square metres (0.03 acres) with 7.78 metres (25.52 feet) of frontage on Tom Street.

A depiction of the proposed Consent can be found in **Figure 2 – Consent Sketch**.



www.ecovueconsulting.com

HORIZ. SCALE: 1:250 PART OF LOT 19, CONCESSION 2 GEOG. TWP. OF BARTON CITY OF HAMILTON





# 2.0 POLICY CONSIDERATION

Land use policies and regulations affecting the subject lands include the Provincial Policy Statement and Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe at the provincial level. At the municipal level, the City of Hamilton Official Plan and the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law 6593 affect the subject lands. In this section of the report, the proposed consent is reviewed in the context of the policies and provisions contained in these documents.

#### 2.1 Planning Act

Section 53(12) of the *Planning Act*, R.S.O, 1990, as amended (Planning Act) states that the approval authority for a consent shall have regard for Section 51(24), which indicates that "*in considering a [consent], consideration should be had, among other matters, to the health, safety, convenience and accessibility for persons with disabilities and welfare of the present and future inhabitants of the municipality"* in which the consent is located.

The proposed severances will be located on a municipally-maintained road and is intended to separate the existing residential units. Furthermore, as detailed throughout this report, the proposed severed and retained lots have regard for overall good planning.

In addition to the matters noted above, 51(24) further elaborates that consideration should be given to the following specific items (excerpts Section 51(24) in *italics*):

(i) The effect of development of the proposed development on matters of provincial interest as referred to in Section 2 of the Act:

Section 2 of the *Planning Act* provides a list of "matters of provincial interest". These matters are discussed in the table below:

Matters of Provincial Interest	Response
(a) the protection of ecological	There are no ecological features or functions on the subject
systems, including natural areas,	lands or in close proximity.



features and functions;	
(b) the protection of the agricultural	The proposed severances will be located within a settlement
resources of the Province;	area and are not in close proximity to agricultural uses.
(c) the conservation and management	The subject lands do not contain natural or mineral resources.
of natural resources and the mineral	
resource base;	
(d) the conservation of features of	The subject lands do not have archaeological potential.
significant architectural, cultural,	
historical, archaeological or scientific	
interest;	
(e) the supply, efficient use and	The proposed lots have two (2) existing residential units which
conservation of energy and water;	will be separated into 2 individual lots through the subject
	consent application. The existing dwellings comply with
	building code and no new development is proposed.
	Additionally, the subject lands are located in an area with
	existing energy and municipal water availability.
(f) the adequate provision and	Both the proposed lots have access to communications, a
efficient use of communication,	publicly maintained road, municipal water servicing, and
transportation, sewage and water	municipal waste management methods.
services and waste management	
systems;	
(g) the minimization of waste;	No new development is proposed and it is anticipated that the
	existing residential dwellings will not produce a large quantity
	of waste. It is further understood that minimization of waste is
	under the purview of municipal waste reduction strategies.
(h) the orderly development of safe	The proposed severance represents orderly development
and healthy communities;	within the community. The proposed severance will take place
	within a settlement area and intends to separate the existing
	residential uses. The existing residential uses conform to the
	character of the surrounding community. Furthermore, the
	lands do not have man-made or natural hazards.
(h.1) the accessibility for persons with	It is understood that the existing residences already comply
disabilities to all facilities, services	with the building code which includes accessibility
and matters to which this Act applies;	requirements. Furthermore, the proposed severed and
	retained lots are located on a municipally maintained road.
(i) the adequate provision and	The proposed development is in proximity to a number of
distribution of educational, health,	educational, health, social, cultural and recreational facilities
social, cultural and recreational	which include Tom Street Park to the west, Hamilton Military



facilities;	Museum and Dundurn Castle to the north, Victoria Park to the
	east, Strathcona Elementary School to the southeast and
	various commercial uses to the south along King Street West.
(j) the adequate provision of a full	The proposed development will separate the existing
range of housing, including affordable	residential dwellings and will retain existing residential uses.
housing;	Although the proposed development does not provide
	additional housing, it retains the existing residential uses and
	thereby maintains the existing housing inventory.
(k) the adequate provision of	N/A
employment opportunities;	
(I) the protection of the financial and	N/A
economic well-being of the Province	
and its municipalities;	
(m) the co-ordination of planning	N/A
activities of public bodies;	
(n) the resolution of planning conflicts	N/A
involving public and private interests;	
(o) the protection of public health and	There are no natural or man-made hazards on the subject
safety;	lands. Access to the subject lands is available via a municipally
	maintained road. Furthermore, it is understood that the existing
	dwellings comply with the building code requirements
	regarding fire protection and any other health and safety
	matters.
(p) the appropriate location of growth	The proposed lots are located within a settlement area with
and development;	residential development in the surrounding area. The lands
	have existing municipal infrastructure for sewage and water,
	and will not result in impacts to natural resources. As such, the
	property is an appropriate location for growth and
(a) the promotion of devicionment that	development.
(q) the promotion of development that	The proposed development is within 30 metres from Dundurn
is designed to be sustainable, to	Street North which has numerous Transit bus stops with.
support public transit and to be	Additionally, the surrounding area consists of sidewalks which
oriented to pedestrians;	can safely accommodate pedestrian traffic. The proposed development will separate the existing
(r) the promotion of built form that,	The proposed development will separate the existing residential uses and will have access to nearby amenities,
(i) is well-designed,	•
(ii) encourages a sense of place, and (iii) provides for public spaces that are	local parks, and a municipally-maintained road.
of high quality, safe, accessible,	
or myn quaity, sale, accessible,	



attractive and vibrant;		
(s) the mitigation of greenhouse gas	The existing residential units are already subject to energy	
emissions and adaptation to a	efficiency requirements under the Building Code which ensure	
changing climate.	minimal impacts to climate change emissions.	

(*ii*) Whether the proposed [consent] is premature or in the public interest:

The proposed severance is not premature and is in the public interest. Furthermore, this report demonstrates that the proposed development is in public interest from a policy perspective.

(iii) Whether the [consent] conforms to the official plan and adjacent plans of subdivision;

As noted herein, the proposal conforms to the City of Hamilton Official Plan.

(iv) The suitability of the land for the purposes for which it is to be subdivided;

The subject lands currently accommodate the existing residential dwellings units.

(v) The number, width, location and proposed grades and elevations of highways, and the adequacy of them;

The subject property is located on Tom Street, which is a local road that can accommodate the severed/retained lands. Given that the uses are existing and that no new development is proposed, it is our opinion that Tom Street can safely accommodate the proposed development.

(vi) The dimensions and shapes of the proposed lots;

The dimension and shape of the proposed severed and retained lots are consistent with nearby lot configurations and meet applicable zoning provisions, as detailed in Section 2.4.2 of this report.

(vii) The restrictions or proposed restrictions, if any, on the land proposed to be subdivided or the buildings and structures proposed to be erected on it, and the restrictions, if any, on adjoining land;

There are no restrictions on the subject lands that will impact the proposed development.



(viii) Conservation of natural resources and flood control;

The subject lands do not contain any natural resources or flood hazards.

*(ix)* The adequacy of utilities and municipal services;

Utilities and municipal services are currently available to the existing units and will not require expansion.

(x) The adequacy of school sites;

The proposed development separates the existing residential dwellings and does not result in an increase in the number of households. Additionally, the subject lands are located within 1 kilometre of an elementary school.

(xi) The area of land, if any, within the proposed subdivision that, exclusive of highways, is to be conveyed or dedicated for public purposes;

No conveyance of land for public purposes is anticipated for the subject application.

(xii) The interrelationship between the design of the proposed plan of [consent] and site plan control matters relating to any development on the land, if the land is also located within a site plan control area designated under subsection 41(2) of the Act;

The proposed development will not be subject to site plan control.

Given the above, it is our opinion that the application is consistent with the provisions included in Section 51(24) of the *Planning Act*.

#### 2.2 Provincial Policy Statement (2020)

The Provincial Policy Statement (PPS) provides a policy framework for land use within the Province of Ontario. It is the responsibility of the local planning authority, in this case, the City of Hamilton, to



uphold the policies of the PPS pertaining to land use planning and development. In particular, the planning authorities must ensure that their decisions are consistent with key provincial interests.

#### 2.2.1 Settlement Areas

The subject property is located within the City of Hamilton settlement area boundary and is therefore subject to Section 1.1.3 of the PPS. It is stated in this Section that "settlement areas shall be the focus of growth and development". It is also stated in Section 1.1.3.2 that "land use patterns within settlement areas shall be based on densities and a mix of land uses which: a) efficiently use land and resources; b) are appropriate for, and efficiently use, the infrastructure and public service facilities which are planned or available, and avoid the need for their unjustified and/or uneconomical expansion;".

This proposed consent, represents an opportunity for intensification within the settlement area boundary on a property with municipal servicing. As such, it is our opinion, that the proposed development is consistent with the settlement area policies of the PPS.

#### 2.2.2 Infrastructure and Public Service Facilities – Sewage, Water and Stormwater

Section 1.6.6 of the PPS outlines policies in regards to sewage, water and stormwater services. According to Section 1.6.6.2, "municipal sewage services and municipal water services are the preferred form of servicing for settlement area...". Within settlement areas with existing municipal sewage services and municipal water services, intensification and redevelopment shall be promoted wherever feasible to optimize the use of the services."

As discussed, the subject property is located within the Hamilton settlement area boundary and is on existing municipal servicing. As such, it is our opinion the proposed consent is consistent with Section 1.6.6 of the PPS.

#### 2.2.3 Wise Use and Management of Resources – Natural Heritage

According to Section 2.1 of the PPS, natural features and areas shall be protected for the long term, and development and site alteration shall not be permitted within significant natural areas or within habitat of endangered and threatened species. Section 2.1.4 of the PPS states that *"[d]evelopment and* 



site alteration shall not be permitted in significant wetlands". Section 2.1.5 goes on to state that [d]evelopment and site alteration shall not be permitted in significant woodlands". Section 2.1.6 of the PPS states that "[d]evelopment and site alteration shall not be permitted in fish habitat except in accordance with provincial and federal requirements". Additionally, Section 2.1.8 states "[d]evelopment and site alteration adjacent lands to the natural heritage features and areas identified in policies 2.1.4, 2.1.5, and 2.1.6 unless the ecological function of the adjacent lands has been evaluated and it has been demonstrated that there will be no negative impacts on the natural features or on their ecological functions".

According to the available Provincial mapping there are no natural heritage features or hydrological features on or adjacent to the subject property. Based on the above, it is our opinion that the application is consistent with Section 2.1 of the PPS.

#### 2.2.4 Natural and Human-Made Hazards

Section 3.1 and 3.2 of the PPS addresses developments that occur within natural and human-made hazards. The subject property does not contain any natural hazards such as steep slopes or floodplain and does not contain any human-made hazards. As such the proposed consent is consistent with Section 3.1 and 3.2 of the PPS.

#### 2.3 Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (2020)

A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe (Growth Plan) is a Provincial growth management plan which directs the majority of development and growth to urban areas that are supported by existing infrastructure, including transit and municipal water and sewer systems. The Growth Plan is administered under the *Places to Grow Act, 2005* and is designed to inform "*decision-making regarding growth management and environmental protection in the GGH [Greater Golden Horseshoe]*". The Plan provides policies for land use planning in the City of Hamilton to 2041.

The City of Hamilton is located within the Greater Golden Horseshoe and therefore, is subject to the Growth Plan.



According to Section 2.2.1.2(a) (Managing Growth):

"the vast majority of growth will be directed to settlement areas that:

- *i)* Have a delineated built boundary;
- *ii)* Have existing or planned municipal water and wastewater systems or;
- *iii)* Are in the Greenbelt Area"

As discussed throughout this report, the proposed severance does not propose any site alteration or additional development. The proposed severance is located on a property within the City of Hamilton settlement area and existing units are servicing with municipal sanitary and water. Therefore, the proposed consent conforms to Section 2.2.1 of the Growth Plan.

Section 2.2.1.4. states that the Growth Plan "... will support the achievement of complete communities that...provide a diverse range and mix of housing options, including additional residential units and affordable housing, to accommodate people at all stages of life, and to accommodate the needs of all household sizes and incomes".

As noted, the proposed severance will separate the existing residences and create two separate, semidetached units (on separate properties) with a shared "party" wall. Although the proposal does not provide additional housing options, it will retain the total number of residential dwellings in the area.

Based on the above, it is our opinion that the proposed consent conforms to the policies of the Growth Plan.

# 3.0 LOCAL PLANNING DOCUMENTS

In addition to demonstrating consistency with Provincial planning documents, it is necessary that the proposal conform to the policies and provisions of the applicable municipal planning documents. This includes the City of Hamilton Official Plan and the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law.



# 3.1 City of Hamilton Official Plan

The subject lands are designated <u>Neighbourhoods</u> on Schedule E-1 to the City of Hamilton Official Plan (CHOP) (**Figure 3**). The <u>Neighbourhoods</u> designation polices can be found in Section 2.6 of Chapter E of the CHOP. According to Section 2.6.2 *"neighbourhoods shall primarily consist of residential uses and complementary facilities and services intended to serve the residents."* 

Lot creation policies for the Urban Area are outlined in Section 1.14.3 of Chapter F in the CHOP. Section 1.14.1 specially states "consents for new lot creation, for both the severed and retained lands, for residential uses in the Neighbourhoods designations shown on Map E-1 – Urban Land Use Designation, shall be provided the following conditions are met:

a) the lots comply with the policies of this Plan, including secondary plans, where one exists;

As noted herein, the proposed lots comply with the policies of the CHOP and as discussed in Section 3.1.1 of this report, the proposed development complies with the applicable policies of the Strathcona Secondary Plan of the CHOP.

b) the lots are in conformity with the Zoning By-law or a minor variance is approved;

As discussed in Section 3.2 of this report, the proposed development complies with all the zoning provisions of the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law.

c) the lots reflect the general scale and character of the established development pattern in the surrounding area by taking into consideration lot frontages, areas, building height, coverage, mass, setbacks, privacy and overview;

The proposed development will separate the two (2) existing dwellings located on the subject lands. The existing uses which consist of two (2) single detached dwellings are in keeping with surrounding low-density residential uses. The lot configurations resulting from the proposed severance will be consistent with the predominant lot configuration of the surrounding area. Additionally, the proposed lots will have adequate setbacks from the neighbouring lots. Furthermore, the proposed development



complies with all the zoning regulations of the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law which is discussed in detail in Section 3.2 of this report.

- a) the lots are fully serviced by municipal and wastewater systems and,
- b) the lots have frontage on a public road."

As noted herein, the proposed development will continue to be serviced by existing municipal and wastewater systems. The subject lands have frontage on to Tom Street, which is a public road that is maintained year-round by the City. Both the proposed lots will continue to have frontage on to Tom Street.

Overall, the application for a consent conforms to the Consent policies of the CHOP.





#### 3.1.1 Strathcona Secondary Plan

Strathcona Secondary Plan is a Secondary Plan to the City of Hamilton Official Plan (CHOP) which provides detailed and community specific guidance to growth and change in the geographic area of Strathcona, Hamilton. Secondary plans identify more detailed land uses, densities, design requirements, and infrastructure requirements and other implementing actions appropriate for the community. These plans are intended to supplement the policy directions and land use designations found in the CHOP.

The Strathcona Secondary Plan does not include policies related to Consents. However, other relevant policies applicable to the proposed development are discussed below.

Section 6.6.4 speaks to general policies and Section 6.6.4.1 (c) states that

[w]hen considering an application for development, the following matters shall be evaluated: i) Compatibility with adjacent land uses including matters such as shadowing, grading, overlook, noise, lighting, traffic and other nuisance effects; ii) The consideration of transition in height to adjacent and existing residential development; and, iii) The height, massing, scale and arrangement of the buildings and structures are compatible with adjacent development and are sympathetic to the character and heritage of the neighbourhood.

The proposed development will retain the existing semi-detached dwellings which are in keeping with the surrounding use and character of the neighbourhood. As detailed in Section 3.2 of this report, the existing setbacks, height and scale are appropriate and will not impact neighbouring uses.

The subject lands are within the Low Density Residential 3 Designation, according to Map B.6.6-1 of the Strathcona Land Use Plan. As such, policies in Section 6.6.5.3 (Low Density Residential 3 Designation) are applicable. Although this section does not speak to land division, it states that the residential density range shall be between 20 - 60 units per net hectare and that the maximum building height shall be 2.5 storeys. As noted herein, the proposed severance does not propose additional buildings or structures and the existing residential density of approximately 30 units per hectare will be



maintained. Furthermore, the height of the existing building is 1 storey which will comply with the maximum height of 2.5 storeys.

As such, the proposed development conforms to the Strathcona Secondary Plan.





#### 3.2 City of Hamilton Zoning By-law No. 6593

The subject property is located in the Urban Protected Residential, One- and Two-Family Dwellings etc.) "D" District (**Figure 4**). As per section 10.1 (i), uses permitted in the permitted in "C" District are permitted in the "D" District and as such, a single-family dwelling is a permitted use.

Lot Regulation	"D" District	Severed Lands	Retained Lands
Lot Area (min)	360 sq.m	125.0 sq.m	117.5 sq. m
Frontage (min)	12 m	6.97 m	7.78 m
Side yard (min)	1.2 m	0 m/0.2 m	0 m/0.64 m
Rear Yard (min)	7.5 m	3.88 m	3.98 m
Front Yard (min)	6.0 m	0m	0.2 m
Height (max)	14 m	4 m	4 m
Required Parking Spaces per unit	2	1	1

#### Table 1 – Zone Provisions

It should be noted that Section 6(4) of the Zoning By-law allows for the sale of one dwelling of a pair of semi-detached dwellings with a reduced (0 m) side yard setback.

As demonstrated in the above-chart there are a number of provisions that do not meet the current zone requirements. As such, it is anticipated that a rezoning will be required as part of a condition of the consent. It is important to note that these deficiencies currently exist on the property and that there will be no new development as a result of this application. The reasons for relief from each of these provisions is as follows:

#### 3.2.1 Lot Frontage

The proposed lot frontages for the severed and the retained lots will be 6.97 m and 7.78 m respectively which do not meet the minimum frontage requirement (12 m) of the "D" District. Given that the proposal



does not propose any future development, and that the subject property can adequately accommodate the existing structures, it is our opinion that the reduced lot frontage meets the intent of the Zoning Bylaw.

# 3.2.2 Lot Area

The required lot area within the D District is 360 square metres, while the proposed lot area for severed and retained lots are 125.0 square metres and 117.5 square metres respectively.

Generally, lot area provisions are intended to ensure that built areas include sufficient space to accommodate the proposed building and their accessory structures while respecting the neighbouring boundaries. As noted herein, the proposed consent will not result in any development or alterations to the existing dwelling. Additionally, the subject property can adequately accommodate the building without creating an impression of massing impact.

# 3.2.3 Front Yard, Rear Yard and Side Yard Depth

The existing dwelling has a front yard depth of 0 metres. The proposed severance will not affect this existing non-complying setback. It is proposed that the deficient front yard will be recognized in the site-specific "D" District exception zone.

The required rear yard setback for "D" District is 7.5 metres, while proposed rear yard setbacks for the severed and the retained lots are 3.88 metres and 3.98 metres respectively. Similarly, the required side yard setback is 1.2 metres while the proposed side yard setbacks for the severed and retained are 0.2 metres and 0.64 metres respectively and 0 metres where the dwellings share a common wall. It should be noted that the proposed consent will not result does not propose new development and that the existing structures can be accommodated on the lots without creating an impression of massing impact and impacting the neighbouring uses. Additionally, as the proposed units share a common wall, one of the side yard setbacks will be 0 metres.



# 3.2.4 Parking Requirements

Section 18A(1)(a) requires two (2) parking spaces per dwelling unit. There are currently no off-street parking spaces provided on site – residents of the dwelling units are required to park on the street. The applicant is proposing to develop a driveway in the front yard for each dwelling unit in order to provide one (1) parking space per dwelling unit. This will be implemented through an Encroachment Agreement with the City of Hamilton as each spot will be located partially within the Tom Street Road allowance. It is anticipated that on-street parking will continue to be an option for additional parking.

It is our opinion that the reduced number of parking spaces per unit is appropriate as the dwellings. As noted, the property owner will improve the current parking situation. Additionally, the location of the property is close to amenities which are within walking distance from the subject lands. Furthermore, the surrounding areas offer multiple options for transit and active transportation as alternatives to private automobiles. As such, it is our opinion that the reduced number of parking spaces for the subject proposal will meet the intent of the CHZBL.

As noted herein, the proposed severance will require several site-specific provisions within a proposed "D" District Zone. It is our opinion that the proposed modified provisions maintain the intent of the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law and are appropriate for the subject lands.





# 3.3 Summary of Policy Considerations

The proposed application is consistent with the provisions set out in the policy and regulations affecting the subject lands and is consistent with the *Planning Act, R.S.O. 1990*, the Provincial Policy Statement, Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, City of Hamilton Official Plan, and the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law.

# 4.0 SUMMARY

This planning report describes the proposed consent and provides an analysis of the proposed consent in the context of the 2020 Provincial Policy Statement, the 2020 A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe, the City of Hamilton Official Plan and the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law.

It is the opinion of the author that:

- The proposed consent is consistent with the policies of the 2020 Provincial Policy Statement and the 2020 A Place to Grow: Growth Plan for the Greater Golden Horseshoe.
- The proposed consent conforms to the City of Hamilton Official Plan.
- The proposed consent conforms to the City of Hamilton Zoning By-law provided the amendment to recognize the zoning deficiencies are approved.
- The proposed consent represents good planning.

Respectfully Submitted,

ECOVUE CONSULTING SERVICES INC.



as. L P

Aditya Srinivas B.Sc. Junior Planner

J Kept Randall B.E.S. MCIP RPP Principal Planner

