

From: Ulrike Cleland

Sent: August 7, 2022 9:34 AM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca; Vrooman, Tim <Tim.Vrooman@hamilton.ca>; Pearson, Maria <Maria.Pearson@hamilton.ca>; prem galagoda; Sylvana Puddu

Subject: Update on applications for amendments to the Urban Hamilton Official Plan, Stoney Creek Zoning Bylaw No. 3692-92, and Hamilton Zoning Bylaw No. 05-200, and Draft Plan of Subdivision for Lands Located at 11, 19, 20, 21, 23, 27 and 32 Lakeside Drive and ...

Dear Sir or Madam.

We, owners and residents of ** and ** Lakeside Drive request clarification and consideration of our concerns.

Firstly, with respect to “Who will be responsible for maintaining the remnant privately owned portions of Lakeside Drive?”

Please clarify what is included in the term “maintain the remnant privately owned portion”. Please clarify how often the “inspection” and “maintenance” will occur and who will be overseeing these commitments.

Under what circumstances will the City deem that the inspection and maintenance of the remnant privately owned portion of Lakeside Drive no longer be required. What is meant by “until such a time”?

Secondly, we have concerns regarding (condition No. 27 of Appendix “G” attached to Report PED 22150) connections to the sanitary sewer on the remnant privately owned portion of Lakeside Drive. The information indicates that sanitary service line extensions up to the front property line will be provided to 2, 4, and 6 but not to 47, 48, and 50 Lakeside Drive. This is unacceptable.

It is discriminatory in that some residents will be provided with this essential service while others are excluded.

The City will establish a situation in which some owners will be able to obtain permits to maintain, improve or update their properties while others will not. Some owners will be required to pay for connecting sanitary services to their properties while others will not. Moreover, no provision is stated which will enable owners of 47, 48 or 50 to attach service line extensions to the sewer trunk line at the turning circle. As such building permits will not be possible.

Moreover, this discriminatory exclusion will create an environmentally unacceptable situation in which some homeowners will be economically forced to continue the use of septic tanks. The cost of connection to sanitary sewers should be equal for all Lakeside Drive homeowners! Instead of creating a prohibitive additional cost for access to sanitary sewers, the City should put itself in the position of encouraging its citizens instead of discouraging environmentally responsible behavior. Not only is this position personally unacceptable, it does not follow moral responsibility, nor provincial guidelines. This aspect of the application is unacceptable.

Please provide us with answers to our concerns before August 9, 2022.

Sincerely,
Glenn and Ulrike Cleland.

Stoney Creek