

# Appendix "H" to Report PED22112(c) Page 1 of 1

SurveyTool:		We want your Feedback!		City of Hamilton's Response to Bill 13 & Bill 109		15-Jun-2020	
Tool Status	Archived	Date of contribution	Contributor Details Login (Screen name)	Survey Response		Which city ward do you live in?	Re
Visitors	65			Tell us your feedback on the Draft Official Plan Changes			
Contributors	12	Jul 06 22 10:51:39 am	Anonymous	anything to speed up timelines is absolutely required. I have watched as a resident committee meeting where literally NO decisions are made except to postpone...this city moves way to slow and we have a ton of land that can be developed			42
Registered	1	Jul 07 22 02:14:14 pm	Anonymous	I am not a planner, so it is difficult to understand all the proposed changes. However, I would like to note that Council is ultimately responsible for all decisions made and I worry that taking them out of the equation could give more power to staff without proper oversight. With respect, staff are not always right and sometimes creativity from some councillors can make for a better decision. Bill 13 will allow the authority to pass to a committee. Isn't the Planning Committee already a committee of Council? Perhaps this means the committee can make the decisions without the decision going to Council, but still keep councillors involved. Re Bill 109, the province seems to be making it more and more difficult for municipalities to do their work within a prescribed timeline. Good planning takes time, requiring consultation with community and partner organizations. This is just a tactic to let developers appeal to the OLT (and get a positive decision). I don't believe application fees should be refunded. If need be, then perhaps a sliding scale of refunds depending on how long the decision has taken might be considered. Again, I'm not a planner so perhaps the proposed changes really are minor enough to not require councillor input although they should still receive a report outlining the decisions.			42
Unverified	0	Jul 11 22 03:58:30 pm	Anonymous	I believe these are positive changes that will help our community have a more direct impact on our built environment and helps promote fairness through more accountability in regards to timeliness.			42
Anonymous	11	Jul 18 22 11:39:30 am	Anonymous	I do not support the draft changes and while I can't comment on them each individually I do think that of particular concern is 1.19.1 and 3. The proposed changes reduce the public's ability for review of changes. Opportunities for review of plans, to seek external stakeholders review, have legal review are next to nonexistent in a 7 day period. Strongly disagree. Doesn't account for people being away for vacation/funerals-whatever the scenario and allows the city to push things through. Given the recent city environmental violations -there needs to be more accountability and opportunity for review not less! "Amendments" are not always accurately described nor do stakeholders have the same right of appeal as they used to. I do not support the proposed amendments.			42
Admin	0	Jul 18 22 12:00:18 pm	Anonymous	Development charges for high density housing is not productive. They are the least costly (per unit) to the city versus than any other type of housing. Medium and high density projects should be encouraged, not discouraged. Either way the city is collecting property tax and it should be set at a level where it can cover the city's expenses. If it is not covering expenses, raise it across the board, not just add charges to the most budget friendly type of housing for the city. This is not equitable.			42
SUBMISSIONS	12	Jul 18 22 01:17:39 pm	Anonymous	I agree with streamlining minor zoning by-law changes, and completely agree with putting an end to urban sprawl and putting new subdivisions in the middle of nowhere, but I think the city has to propose a similar streamline for up-zoning neighbourhoods within the urban boundary and the urbanized parts outside of downtown (ie the mountain north of the Linc, Stony Creek, University area, Dundas), to put an end to low-density residential exclusive zoning within urban spaces and allow for mid-density mixed-use residential-commercial spaces to become the norm for urbanized space. In short, I respect the steps made in the right direction, but I feel larger leaps are in order.			42
		Jul 18 22 03:54:35 pm	Anonymous	I'm not sure if 120 meters is a large enough area to notify the area of a change. Also, is 7 days notice of a Public Mtg. enough? I received this Engage Hamilton notice today July 18th & noticed that the cut off date is tomorrow July 19th, that's not giving anyone enough time to go thru everything or time to process the information, definitely not enough notice!			42
		Jul 18 22 04:02:48 pm	User	The draft official plan looks great. I'm in favour of any tools which will allow better mixed-use neighbourhoods. Right now we get single-family home/car-centric suburbia or 30 story condo towers. There must be something in the middle which offers better community building, better walkability, better tree shade, better infrastructure maintenance cost, etc.		Ward 7	42
Demographics Graphs Below		Jul 18 22 09:18:08 pm	Anonymous	Anything that forces the City of Hamilton to speed up the process of approval is welcome.			43
		Jul 18 22 10:17:56 pm	Anonymous	Seriously? Put it in plan English so citizens can respond.			43
		Jul 18 22 10:59:36 pm	Anonymous	Any changes that make it easier for developers to destroy vital wetlands, forests or scarce field habitats around Hamilton should be refused in the name of the climate emergency. For example, if the provincial changes have been made in order to allow developments in the headwaters of Ancaster Creek then these changes should be illegal and should be fought against continually. Our lives are at stake even if the province wants to pretend nothing's changing! Please continue pushing back and taking what Hamilton knows is the right path forward. This is the worst provincial government we've ever had - they just don't learn, or listen to residents of Ontario. I hope Hamilton Council continues to act smarter than the province and finds ways to bypass their purely money-motivated schemes against our well-being.			43
		Jul 19 22 12:48:32 pm	Anonymous	Do not like the proposed changes, what do you classify as 'minor' nature and how do you regulate what is classified as minor?			43

## Survey Responses Graph

\*special characters like '&' will be removed from options