

#### **ACCESSIBLE TRANSIT SERVICES:**

#### DARTS FLEET MANAGEMENT AND

#### VEHICLE SAFETY AUDIT

Charles Brown, City Auditor and Roger Smith, Fleet Challenge Canada





#### **ACCESSIBLE TRANSIT SERVICES**





Office of the City Auditor

2

FLEET SIZE									
	DARTS	City Marvel	H-Rising	VanKleef					
	<b>54</b>								
KINIVAN									
	14	19	32	23					



Office of the City Auditor

#### What We Did

- Gained an understanding of fleet management processes, specifically municipal transit fleet management.
- Gained an understanding of operational processes and standards regarding fleet management safety inspections, maintenance best practices and common issues.
- Assessed vehicle safety inspection results to determine if they were consistent with industry best practices.
- Analyzed information indicative of whether the City is getting good value on its current contract for accessible transit services with DARTS.
- Obtained insights from experts in the field.



4



# DARTS Fleet Review

## About Fleet Challenge

- A leading fleet management consulting firm Canada and USA
- Clients are municipal, provincial and federal government, 'triple A' corporations
- Since 2005 almost 200 commercial fleet reviews have been completed



## About Fleet Challenge

- No commercial affiliations, **unbiased**, neutral-party reviews
- We provide commercial fleet clients with business analysis and 3<sup>rd</sup> party validation
- Our consultants are **accredited professionals** who complete assignments projects based on their specific expertise



#### **DARTS Fleet Review**

 Between April 5, 2022, and July 29, 2022, Fleet Challenge completed a fleet safety inspection and business practice review of the DARTS operation

• The review was completed on behalf of the City of Hamilton Office of the City Auditor



#### DARTS - Vehicle Safety Inspections

 During the week of May 2, 2022, 39 DARTS and subcontractor vehicles were safety-inspected

 The objective was to determine if DARTS and subcontractor fleets met motor vehicle safety standards of the Ministry of Transportation



#### DARTS Fleet Review - Processes

- Concurrently, we completed in-depth business practice reviews of the ATS, DARTS and subcontractor fleets
- We met with management personnel from each group to learn about their practices, policies, procedures and to review documentation
- The meetings were designed to allow us insights into each operation's business processes and practices and determine contract and insurance compliance

- In the first week, 39 random safety inspections were completed
- 46% of DARTS and subcontractor vehicles failed to meet Ministry of Transportation Ontario (MTO) safety standards
- Safety failures included exhaust, tires, brakes, body, lights, steering, and suspension

For context, a recent Commercial Vehicle and Safety Alliance (CVSA) inspection in 46 Canadian and US jurisdictions, had a failure rate of 14.1% of 9,132 vehicles inspected.



#### Examples of Safety Defects

#### Figure 11. DARTS #410106 Exhaust broken off. Image by FCC Inc.



Figure 12. DARTS Unit #410107 - Large hole rusted in muffler. Image by FCC Inc.





# Examples of Safety Defects

Figure 8. Vankleef #606 bald tire with steel cords exposed. Image by OCA



Figure 7. Vankleef #672 Split inner tie rod boot. Image by AG&R





# Examples - Safety Defects & Incorrect Safety Inspection Documentation

Figure 5. Vankleef #607 rusted hole in body panel. Image by FCC Inc.



Figure 2. H-Rising #HS102 Incorrect safety (trailer) inspection sticker installed. Shown with correct sticker applied later. Image by OCA





 Considering the high incidence of failure in week one, a decision was made to inspect all DARTS and subcontractor vehicles

• On-site support provided by OCA staff to observe, document and provide in-person support for the co-ordination of inspections



• To accelerate the safety inspection campaign, team targeted sixteen daily inspections at two inspection centers

 Target was generally achieved and sometimes exceeded (e.g., on May 20, 2022, twenty-one inspections were completed)



• In all, 202 inspections completed over a 10-week campaign

 The total included re-inspections for vehicles that failed either their first inspections or their second or third re-inspections



 Some vehicles required second and third inspections before receiving a pass evaluation as per MTO safety standards, despite having ample time to address deficiencies and deliver vehicles with acceptable safety levels prior to our inspections

Over the 10-week safety inspection campaign 32% failed first-time inspections



#### DARTS Safety Inspections -10 Week Recap

Inspection Recap	Qty.	Percent
Identified DARTS units*	167	
Units taken out of service/retired**	25	15%
Units awaiting inspection	2	1%
Active units to be inspected	140	
Total inspections (including re-inspections)	202	
Total re-inspections (2nd, 3rd, 4th)	62	31%
Total first-time inspections	140	69%

\* Includes all DARTS units

\*\* Does not include two units retired after the first inspection



#### DARTS Safety Inspections -10 Week Recap

Inspection Campaign Results	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6	Weeks 7 to 10	Overall Total
Total Passes	21	17	63	19	10	6	6	142
Total Fails	18	7	24	8	2	1	0	60
Total	39	24	87	27	12	7	6	202
Percentage of fails - including re- inspections (average weekly):	46%	29%	28%	30%	17%	14%	0%	30%
Percentage of fails- first inspection only (average weekly):	46%	23%	30%	0%	25%	25%	0%	32%



Note: During week 4 there were 4 first inspections and all passed.

#### DARTS Business Practices–Key Findings

 Inadequate quality assurance processes in the DARTS fleet maintenance garage to ensure that repairs and inspections are being completed to industry standards

 Insufficient vigilance by DARTS personnel regarding contractual vehicle safety inspection requirements and maintenance practices of its subcontractors



#### DARTS Fleet Review – Key Findings

 Vehicle safety inspection procedures in place at DARTS and subcontractors have proven to be inadequate as seen by the high vehicle safety inspection campaign failure rate

 There is too much dependency on DARTS drivers to detect and report vehicle mechanical problems between scheduled preventive maintenance (PM) inspections and 6-month MTO safety certifications



- Although DARTS business structure has changed significantly over time, the MOA, which was executed almost ten years ago, remains much the same
- Terminology in the MOA is nebulous: Example, term used: "Certificate of Mechanical Fitness" is a colloquial term open to incorrect interpretation. The program is correctly referred to as the Ministry of Transportation (MTO) Safety Standards Inspection (SSI) program.



- in the ATS-DARTS Master Operating Agreement (MOA) there are no defined requirements regarding new driver recruitment, prehire screening or driver abstracts, other qualifications, or driver's license classifications to qualify as a DARTS driver
- There is no contract language regarding standards of safe driving, provision of safe driver training, professional driver improvements courses (PDICs), consequences of accidents, traffic violations, or accumulated demerit points, nor any provision to obtain driver abstracts at regular intervals

- Procedurally, evidence of some DARTS contractual obligations was absent (example: documentation of Transit subcontractor approval)
- Several irregularities and areas of contractual non-compliance were apparent during our review of the subcontractor fleets

 In consideration of our review, in particular the high rate of initial safety inspections and re-inspection failures, and given past operating practices, DARTS subcontractors seem incapable of maintaining their fleets to the standards of safety required by their contracts with DARTS

#### DARTS Fleet Review – *Recommendations*

- Fleet Challenge Canada prepared a final report detailing our DARTS and subcontractor fleet review
- In our report we make 64 recommendations
- The recommendations have been designed to address the safety and procedural issues identified during the DARTS fleet review and safety inspections processes



#### **Additional Findings**

- With one of the subcontractors there was a related party relationship between them and the garage used to certify vehicles – which could be considered a conflict of interest. The other 2 subs had related party garages but used independent garages for certification
- Evidence that a principal of one of the subs faced prior charges related to fraudulent insurance and safety certificates and was convicted of forgery in 2018. This calls into question the due diligence by DARTS and Transit when the subcontractor was brought on in 2019
- After Council directed that all vehicles had to pass the audit inspection process before being placed into service we found 42 instances of noncompliance



#### **Additional Findings**

- In addition there were instances found of passenger runs by vehicles without an identifying number
- Limited oversight and management of the DARTS contract –for example Transit did not conduct regular, independent, unannounced site visits or inspections
- Transit needs more functional access to Trapeze
- There are no contingency plans to deal with situations where the City may choose to cancel a contract for non-performance



# **AUDIT THEMES**

- **QUALITY ASSURANCE PROCESSES**
- SAFETY AWARENESS AND TRAINING
- CONTRACT OVERSIGHT
- MINIMUM STANDARDS
- QUALIFICATIONS
- INSPECTION PROCEDURES
- DATA MANAGEMENT



30





Office of the City Auditor