

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, May 30, 2022 8:04 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: 306-308 Parkside Drive Waterdown Development. Files ZAC-22-031 and UHOPA -22-017

[REDACTED]

Thank you for your input. Your concerns are noted.

Thank you
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, May 29, 2022 1:15 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: 306-308 Parkside Drive Waterdown Development. Files ZAC-22-031 and UHOPA -22-017

Hello [REDACTED], the City of Hamilton, through consultation with the public in Waterdown, developed and approved the Waterdown Secondary Node Plan. At the time of approval, our understanding was that the Developer of 306 - 308 Parkside Drive property even agreed with the plan.

We recently received notice of a Zoning By-law Amendment requesting variances to the by-law.

I do not agree with the Amendment request received, and request the City honour the approved Secondary Node Plan, a plan designed to protect the core of Waterdown.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2022 1:09 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Waterdown Secondary Node Plan

Please see below

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, May 31, 2022 1:08 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Waterdown Secondary Node Plan

I am a resident [REDACTED] and wish to express my disapproval of amending the latest Secondary Node Plan.

Many of us were involved in the process and felt that the final document was a fair assessment which reflected our views and afforded us some protection of our heritage properties and community. We do not want higher storeys and other changes as being sought by developers who don't live here and don't care how they negatively impact our environment. There has to be more important criteria than how many extra dollars they can squeeze out.

Thank you for allowing my input.

Best regards,

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 1, 2022 8:31 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: 306-308 Parkside Drive, Waterdown

See public comment below for the file.

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 1, 2022 6:02 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: RE: 306-308 Parkside Drive, Waterdown

Good afternoon,
Thank you for your email [REDACTED]. I will be sure to bring your comments and concerns to the Councillor's attention at my earliest opportunity. Take care, [REDACTED]

Kind Regards,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Hamilton City Hall, 71 Main Street West – 2nd Floor, Hamilton, Ontario L8P 4Y5
[REDACTED]

COVID-19 INFORMATION: www.hamilton.ca/coronavirus

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: June 1, 2022 5:54 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: 306-308 Parkside Drive, Waterdown

Dear Sir/Madam,

We live [REDACTED] in Waterdown [REDACTED]. We recently received a notice regarding Urban Hamilton Official Plan Amendment (UHOPA-22-017) and Zoning By-law Amendment (ZAC-22-031).

We have a number of concerns:

1. We ask that the city and developer respect the Secondary Node Plan regarding the height of the proposed development. This was recently approved to protect the core of Waterdown.
2. We ask that the trees that border our property be kept so we can continue to have the privacy we have enjoyed the past 30 years.
3. We also are deeply concerned about the traffic on Parkside as well as on Kelly Street. Traffic is already a nightmare on Parkside especially during the rush hour periods. We wonder about people parking on our street especially in the winter when our street is often just a single lane.

Thank you for listening to our concerns.

Sincerely,

A black rectangular redaction box covering the signature of the sender.

Waterdown, ON

Sent from my Galaxy

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 7:25 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: 306-308 Parkside road, Waterdown.

[REDACTED]

One for the file.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 1, 2022 10:52 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: 306-308 Parkside road, Waterdown.

Hi [REDACTED]. My name is [REDACTED] along with my wife [REDACTED], we live at [REDACTED] and have some concerns about the potential building on the property of 306-308 parkside.

Firstly, from the notice we received we see that some of the town homes would be three story buildings. This is a concern because this would take away from the beauty that is the Waterdown core. This would also take away a lot of privacy from many homes on Kelly st.

Secondly, there are a line of spruce trees that line the back property of those who share a property line with 306-308 park side that from online drawings are removed. These trees add a lot of character and beauty to the neighborhood and would be a shame to see them removed.

Thirdly, there is a major concern for traffic being added to the area. Kelly st is a quiet cul de sac that often has children playing between the homes on Kelly st. With the plan we received in the notice it does not look like there will be enough parking for all the homes that are being built along with any additional parking the church may need. This will likely push parking traffic onto Kelly st.

Thank you.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 7:25 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: 306 Parkside Waterdown.

Another

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Wednesday, June 1, 2022 11:33 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: 306 Parkside Waterdown.

Hello [REDACTED],

The City of Hamilton, through consultation with the public in Waterdown, developed and approved the Waterdown Secondary Node Plan. At the time of approval, our understanding was that the Developer of 306 - 308 Parkside Drive property even agreed with the plan.

We recently received notice of a Zoning By-law Amendment requesting variances to the by-law.

I do not agree with the Amendment request received, and request the City honour the approved Secondary Node Plan, a plan designed to protect the core of Waterdown.

It's time for the City to hear the tax payers and take action to support us. Please be in touch should you wish to follow up.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, June 3, 2022 7:53 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: Waterdown Node and St.James united church

See attached

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, June 2, 2022 9:57 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Waterdown Node and St.James united church

Hi [REDACTED],

In addition to my below email, our neighbourhood has been meeting on this proposed development and attached is a picture of a petition that has been signed so far by those who live on Kelly Street.

This petition is against the amendment request received.

Thanks,

■

On May 25, 2022, at 8:53 AM, ■

■

Thank you for your input. Your concerns will be included in the staff report.

■

Development Planning
Planning and Economic Development Department
71 Main St. W, 5th Floor Hamilton, Ontario, L8P 4Y5
Phone: (905) 546-2424 ext.1024

From: ■
Sent: Tuesday, May 24, 2022 9:40 PM
To: ■
Cc: ■
Subject: Re: Waterdown Node and St.James united church

Hi ■,

I am providing feedback to ZAC-22-031 & UHOPA-22-017 (St.James United Church). We recently received notice of a Zoning By-law Amendment requesting variances to the by-law.

The City of Hamilton, through consultation with the public in Waterdown, developed and approved the Waterdown Secondary Node Plan. At the time of approval, my understanding was that the Developer of 306 - 308 Parkside Drive property even agreed with the plan. The email below from Judi mentions the property was being planned with the Secondary restrictions and guidelines however it appears this is not the case.

I do not agree with the Amendment request received, and request the City honour the approved Secondary Node Plan, a plan designed to protect the core of Waterdown.

The secondary node plan does not allow for 3 story dwellings and therefore this does not comply with the restrictions and guidelines. Why would 3 stories be proposed after the secondary node was just completed?

There is an arborist report however multiple mature walnut trees have already been removed what is the purpose of this enclosed report if it has no bearing?

Is there a transportation plan to support the increased traffic? The density of this proposal does not align with the secondary node another reason why 3 stories were not permitted.

Thanks for reviewing our concerns

[REDACTED]. Waterdown

On Feb 13, 2022, at 2:42 PM, [REDACTED]

Hello [REDACTED]

The activity at 309 Parkside Drive, Waterdown is in response to the technical studies the city requires for the proposed build. The Secondary Plan is on schedule to be presented and approved by Council this spring. Many residents including the Waterdown Mill Street Heritage committee have been actively involved in shaping the Secondary Plan over the past 18-24 months. The Waterdown Secondary Plan also includes a Heritage Built Inventory Plan and Transportation Master Plan for the core area, so it is a complex lengthy project. The proposed affordable housing project by the United Church on the St. James property is also being planned with the Secondary Plan restrictions and guidelines. It is my understanding that once the plan is ready to go out to the public, residents will have opportunity to comment.

With kind regards

[REDACTED]
Thank you~Miigwetch~Nia:wen
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, February 12, 2022 6:56 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Re: Waterdown Node and St.James united church [REDACTED]

Hi [REDACTED],

I wanted to follow up on this email if there are any further updates regarding the initial proposal and the technical feedback from the city. Also any updates on the waterdown node secondary plan?

We have been seeing a lot more activity at the property at 306 Parkside Drive with drilling and soil testing. We along with the community in the waterdown core are very concerned with the type of development that might occur here. I am concerned that a development may be pushed through given the delays in the waterdown node secondary plan.

Thanks,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED], Waterdown ON, L0R2H0

On Sep 29, 2021, at 2:42 PM, [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]

Good Afternoon [REDACTED],

Thank you for your inquiry to the lands located at 306 Parkside Drive. The City has just recently received a formal consultation (FC) application (pre-consultation) which is just an initial proposal to get technical feedback from the City. The City will be reviewing the proposed development and the design from a technical, compatibility, current and future policy perspective, once the review is complete the City will provide the applicant feedback on the development for them to consider before making formal planning application. The review of the proposed development which is within the Waterdown Community Node has just recently begun.

As part of the Waterdown Community Node Secondary Plan Study, the commercial, institutional and residential zoning in this area is under review. Changes may be recommended to align with the goals and directions of the proposed new Secondary Plan.

If the land owners wish to proceed with an actual planning application, we will notify the surrounding residents and there will be several ways for residents to provide feedback to the application. I hope this information has provided some clarity as to the current status of this location. If you have any further questions don't hesitate to reach out anytime. Take care and be well.

Please kindly provide your home address and phone number so that we may be able to contact you with any additional information that is brought to our attention. Thank you for your consideration

Kind Regards,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Hamilton City Hall, 71 Main Street West – 2nd Floor, Hamilton, Ontario
L8P 4Y5
[REDACTED]

COVID-19 INFORMATION: www.hamilton.ca/coronavirus

-----Original Message-----

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: September 27, 2021 7:31 PM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: Waterdown Node and St.James united church

Hi [REDACTED],

My wife and I have lived in the waterdown core for awhile now and have been more and more discouraged by the traffic in the core and much of the development that has been happening. As a result when the waterdown secondary node plan came up we were very interested and provided alot of comments and feedback.

Only recently we heard about the development plans for St.James united church on Parkside [REDACTED]. As a result of this development plan our neighbourhood has been on high alert and have been meeting regularly on how to respond. Further outreach will be made within the waterdown core by this team. Some of the issues are being addressed in the secondary node plan but many are not. Density is a big issue. The proposal for over 40+ residences is not appropriate for the area given the added stress on traffic. There needs to be a limit on how many townhomes can be built there in addition to how close the development can be to other properties. As you know, character, privacy and lot sizes are the reason people have been attracted to the core. The charm of the Victorian Village will be lost with this type of development if our backyards will now have a brick wall of townhomes. I understand housing is an issue therefore I am not against development but rather that of dense development like what has been happening in other areas of waterdown and that currently proposed for St. James united church. We ask that serious and careful attention be made with regards to this property in terms of density, character, distance from neighbouring homes, traffic/parking.

Thanks for the work you do and looking forward to allowing future neighbourhood feedback regarding this property and the development.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2022 8:07 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: ZAC-22-031, UHOPA-22-017

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, June 13, 2022 7:59 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: ZAC-22-031, UHOPA-22-017

Good morning,

Although this email is created beyond the June 10th deadline, I'm hoping you'll consider my concerns regarding the above plan.

My comments are based on what I can actually read on the plan and trust a larger site-plan will be available at the public meeting.

As a resident [REDACTED], our greatest concerns are privacy and traffic within the area. From what I understand, the current trees will be removed which blocks the church lighting and provides privacy [REDACTED]. Since the site-plan doesn't indicate a two or three story, I have concerns also regarding our home privacy. The resident can potentially be parallel to our bedroom and washroom windows. How does the city and builder intend to protect my rights and privacy as a homeowner?

Can we now expect increased noise, bright lighting, a new resident looking down and into my home, no privacy, an impact to the environment with the removal of trees, view of a brick wall and increased traffic to the area?

Once again, I'll express my disappointment in the received site plan and the inability to read it; sizing and lack of AutoCAD legend.

At this time, I would also request that my personal information isn't published on the City of Hamilton's website.

[REDACTED]