

From: Viv Saunders

Sent: July 13, 2021 8:38 AM

To: clerk@hamilton.ca

Cc: Danko, John-Paul <John-Paul.Danko@hamilton.ca>; Farr, Jason <Jason.Farr@hamilton.ca>; Ferguson, Lloyd <Lloyd.Ferguson@hamilton.ca>; Pearson, Maria <Maria.Pearson@hamilton.ca>; Johnson, Brenda <Brenda.Johnson@hamilton.ca>; Collins, Chad <Chad.Collins@hamilton.ca>; Wilson, Maureen <Maureen.Wilson@hamilton.ca>; Partridge, Judi <Judi.Partridge@hamilton.ca>

Subject: Parking By-law Officers - Private Property

Can you please add this correspondence to the next Planning Committee meeting.

Dear Planning Committee Members:

It has recently come to my attention that City of Hamilton parking tickets are being handed out for infractions on private property in my neighbourhood.

Specifically, a condo apartment build that was approved with a significant variance to the standard Stoney Creek parking requirements, post-build has an issue with owners/occupants parking in the visitor spots. They are now enforcing parking violations and tickets, since they are payable to the City of Hamilton, appear to be handled by City of Hamilton parking by-law officers.??

As a taxpayer, I am interested to learn if we have other areas of our city where city resources/POA revenue are subject to parking enforcement issues on private property and/or if we have a city-wide policy? Or is this particular situation a one-off in Ward 10)?

As an advocate for planning decisions that balances the needs of new residents with the City's multi-modal transit goals, I am interested to learn if these past decisions of Council for aggressive reductions in parking requirements, are resulting in a shift of expenditures to other departments?

On the surface it appears to me that reducing parking spots from 1.75 spots per unit to only 1 per housing units (regardless of # of BRs), is too aggressive of a reduction from ~ 1.75 spots per unit in areas where no formal discussions for conventional transit have occurred (as per Transit Staff's recent comments) and/or where conventional transit has not materialized 27 years after first being touted as a future benefit of higher density infill developments.

Hence, I am respectfully requesting Committee review the practices mentioned above.

Thank you,

Viv Saunders