

Bob Maton, PhD (Toronto)

May 1st, 2022

Dear Mayor and Councillors:

The decision by Council earlier this week to fire 574 city employees because they have chosen not to participate in the most potentially harmful medical experiment in human history will no doubt cost the city considerably in taxpayer finances, and further erode council's credibility and trustworthiness in the city. How much exactly will it cost?

Given the emerging science - which is being suppressed by the mainstream media and government institutions - it is not difficult to understand why such a large number of employees would put their jobs and their livelihoods on the line to avoid having a dangerous drug such as these vaccines injected into their bodies.

If you investigate you will find that the evidence for these vaccines' effectiveness is lacking - not only in preventing transmission and infection, as Dr. Richardson has already said on occasion - but in reducing the seriousness of illness as well. Reducing seriousness is the final rationale for requiring the vaccines, and it also has been shown to be a faulty premise.

As recorded in Ontario's official covid incidence data, sorted by vaccination status ([COVID-19 vaccinations data](#) | [COVID-19 \(coronavirus\) in Ontario](#)), the number of covid cases among the vaccinated by unit of population far exceeds those among the unvaccinated. This data is standardized per hundred thousand population.

Among the hospitalized, the data incorporates the "partially vaccinated" within the same "unvaccinated" category as those who are not vaccinated at all, which reduces the number of completely unvaccinated in the hospitalized and ICU categories considerably in the numerator, while proportionally increasing the number of vaccinated and partially vaccinated in the denominator.

Remarkably, there is no category covering those who are completely unvaccinated in the provincial hospitalization database; and there are other criteria which also skew the numbers (see the definitions of the categories in the above reference). Because of this, the incidence of hospitalization as an index of the seriousness of illness among the unvaccinated relative to the vaccinated is considerably less than is shown by the official but misleading data. In fact the vaccines are demonstrably

4.7 (c)

useless in their intended aims of preventing transmission, preventing infection, and reducing the seriousness of illness, as shown by the government's own data. They do not work. If you check the Ontario data at source you will find no support at all for mandated vaccinations as a prophylactic.

Further, the literature is riddled with junk science designed as their starting point to prove that vaccines work. As an example of such biased scientific study, a recent Canadian Medical Association Journal (CMAJ) article indicating that the unvaccinated are the source of infections for the vaccinated - which at least one Hamilton city councillor has referenced as justification for firing the city's 574 employees - is "junk science" according to accredited (and renowned) vaccinologist, immunologist and virologist Byram Bridle, who teaches and researches at the University of Guelph ([Impact of population mixing between vaccinated and unvaccinated subpopulations on infectious disease dynamics: implications for SARS-CoV-2 transmission | CMAJ](#)).

In Professor Bridle's rebuttal he dismisses the CMAJ article as merely another modelling exercise applying assumptions that have nothing to do with real science, while promoting a narrative that creates hostility towards people who are unvaccinated ([Fiction Disguised as Science to Promote Hatred \(substack.com\)](#)). In the CMAJ study, the authors' prime assumption is that natural immunity only provides 20% protection from covid, which is a radical underestimate. But the assumption is determinative of the study's outcome. A more realistic assumption of 80% effectiveness of natural immunity against the virus, when substituted for the 20% number, stands the result on its head. The result of applying the 80% immunity number is more consistent with the actual provincial data which demonstrates significantly better immunity among the unvaccinated than among their vaccinated counterparts. Professor Bridle has called for the retraction of this article by the CMAJ, and for the censuring of those who wrote it as being unqualified to be researching in what is his own field.

Even more troubling, there is growing evidence from accredited researchers ([FDA and Pfizer Knew COVID Shot Caused Immunosuppression \(theepochtimes.com\)](#)) and from the ongoing release of Pfizer's own research (as mandated by a US court, a decision contested by Pfizer), that the vaccines actually cause considerable harm and increase mortality, especially among younger age groups. As the article linked above demonstrates, the vaccines are being found to suppress the body's natural immunity - not only to covid, but to all diseases, including cancer. They have been found to revive deadly organisms in the body that were formerly dormant, kept down by natural immunity which has been undermined since vaccination. And the

4.7 (c)

vaccines are also being found to be the cause of many diseases, miscarriages, and deaths themselves, not the least of which are the myocarditis and pericarditis reported as being at unprecedented levels among youth. These are serious, long-term, and life-limiting illnesses.

Insurance companies and the CDC in the US, where such information is easily available - unlike here in Canada - indicate that the mortality rate among all age groups - but especially among young people between the ages of 25 and 44 - has skyrocketed since the vaccines were introduced. This particular 25-44 age group has reportedly experienced a record-shattering 84% increase in excess deaths across the US since covid vaccinations began. In Canada, it is reported that the age group 0-44 – the healthiest age group otherwise - suffered an increase in mortality of 24%, which appears attributable to the vaccines. ([It's Not Just the US: Excess Deaths Skyrocket Worldwide in 2021 Following Rollout of the Experimental Covid Vaccine - ISRAPUNDIT](#)). Insurance companies of course have a special interest in the outcomes of these vaccines, since their health effects and mortality outcomes affect their bottom line. In Australia, outrage at the “carnage” being inflicted on the Aussie population by the vaccines, hidden by the vaccine companies and government-based medical institutions, is bubbling up in Parliament ([AUSTRALIAN VACCINE STAKEHOLDERS - WE ARE COMING FOR YOU - SENATOR MALCOLM ROBERTS \(bitchute.com\)](#)).

Finally, the city’s decision reinforces the demise of informed consent, which has been medicine’s ethical bedrock for many hundreds of years. City employees should have full information about the vaccines, and they should be allowed the right to choose whether to accept this invasion of their bodies without coercion. The choice of taking the vaccine or being fired from their jobs and being left with no income is coercion.

The vaccine companies have not released full information about the ingredients of their vaccines; they (or preferably, independent researchers), have not conducted randomized control trials over long periods demonstrating their safety and effectiveness; and they have been given immunity from liability for any negative outcomes as a condition for the use of their products. Why?

Physicians without credentials in vaccinology, immunology and virology should not be advising the city on vaccine mandates, especially when their advice results in serious pain and suffering for hundreds of employees, and especially also under conditions where their licences to practice are at stake if their advice varies from the current narrative, as the College of Physicians and Surgeons of Ontario has

4.7 (c)

made clear. A number of physicians who have dissented from the official narrative have been suspended or investigated in Ontario and elsewhere in Canada. The suppression of real science by preventing dissenting opinions from being expressed and explored appropriately will certainly lead to a calamitous outcome, one in which the city appears to be complicit with in its action to fire 574 hard-working and innocent employees. Again, what will be the cost to the city?

The city would be wise to consult with Professor Byram Bridle before proceeding further with an action that will likely cost the taxpayers of Hamilton considerable money while at the same time ultimately costing council in reputation, credibility and trustworthiness. Add this to all the other egregious and costly mistakes that council has made over recent years. Professor Bridle is based in Guelph. He is nearby, and he has said he is available and willing to discuss the merits of dropping the vaccine mandate and to go to court to testify if necessary.

Yours sincerely,

Bob Maton, PhD (Toronto)