Begin forwarded message:

From: Reg Turner

Date: February 26, 2024 at 11:24:54 AM EST

To: mayor@hamilton.ca, ward1@hamilton.ca, ward2@hamilton.ca, ward3@hamilton.ca, ward4@hamilton.ca, matt.francis@hamilton.ca, tom.jackson@hamilton.ca, esther.pauls@hamilton.ca, ward8@hamilton.ca, brad.clark@hamilton.ca, jeff.beattie@hamilton.ca, mark.tadeson@hamilton.ca, ward12@hamilton.ca, ward13@hamilton.ca, mike.spadafora@hamilton.ca, ted.mcmeekin@hamilton.ca

Subject: Stoney Creek Affordable Housing Vote.

My name is Reg Turner and I work at the medical building across the parking lot at 15 mountain ave. Well it's nice to read that a whole 4 days were spend casing the parking lot to determine usage, Ive spent 8 hours a day there for the past 7 years. I also would like to say its pretty insulting declaring to be opposed to building affordable housing on that land as simply "putting parking lots before people".

Every day I witness seniors with mobility issues hobble across that parking. I witness people with respiratory issue with their oxygen tanks struggle to walk across that parking lot to get to the respiratory clinic. And I see people of all ages struggle on crutches and waking boots going to get x rays at the x ray clinic.

I also read in your 4 days you noticed the parking lot was only 80% full. Did you take into account many of these people park on the street because first and foremost they try to get the closest location to the building due to the mobility issues they have?

Also many staff members in the building who help these struggling patient don't have reserved parking. We have to go out every 2 hours and shuffle our cars because the parking authorities wait like vultures and love to ticket the employees who forget to do this.

A no vote isn't a simple black and white issues about putting parking lots before affordable housing. It's about not wanting to make things unnecessarily harder for the elderly and the sick who already have mobility issues getting into their medical appointments.

I'm all for affordable housing but not if it's going to add extra burden to people who are already in burden. Please find another location for this project.