Andrew Furtado 142 Catharine Street North

June,6th,2024

Consent authority.
71 Main St West, Hamilton, Ontario
L8P 4Y5

To the consent authority:

I am writing today to complain about the adjustment to the building located on 147 Mary St, Hamilton. Ever since that building has been renovated and the tenants started living there, the neighbourhood has been flooded with drugs, violence and theft.

This used to be a safe and clean neighbourhood, where I could walk my dog and not have to worry about violent people, or needles lying around especially in the grass areas, or not have to worry about my vehicle being broken into, or having things stolen from my backyard. I understand you guys are trying to help others but making that building bigger to bring more highly addictive and mentally ill will only cause more problems in the neighbourhood. So therefor I disagree with your decision and hope you understand how I and most likely the neighbourhood feels.

June 11, 2024 Meeting.

Committee of Adjustment 71 Main Street West Hamilton, ON L8P 445

re: Application No.: A - 24:102 Subject Property: 121 & 135 Many Street, Mami ton

I oppose all five variances being requested. As stated in the application, the property is approximately 0.26 hectares (0.65 acres) it size, with approximately 72.0 metres of frontage along may St. This parcel of land is too small to builda 10 storey building that well accompodate 156 units and 2 floors of social service. Currently there are people wanty for meals on each side of the building, in front of the building on the street and litter every where. If a 10 storey building brings in more people and sidewalk, frontage and current pavement is covered all the people go then con the street. It is difficult to drive down Many Street by 135 many St. due to the clientel and drug use. There is an elementary school across the park. How sad that children have to avoid Mary Street and take another rowle to school. Portents have to profeet Children from seeing drug overdoses.

6.5.3.4f (Second Variance) To allow a minimum rear yard of 0.5 metre whereas 7.5 metres is required is opposed. Applicant

states that only the first storey of the building will have a 0.5 metre clar setback whereas the second storey will be set back approximately 2.2 metres from the rear lot line, and the remains floors 5.9 metres. The rooftops of do first and second floors will not be accessible to residents and Here will be no windows on the portion of the building with the reduced setback to maintain the privacy of abuttery properties be can bear worker from Good Shepherd now when we are in our backyants with our property lines. What rull we hear will 0.5 metres distance? This is far to close to our property and definitly affects our privacy. Waste Stations right) beside property lines. 3 MELL Noise of cars entering and evisting underground parting ramps beside property lines.

Trees will also be impacted and damaged by building top also be impacted and damaged Dir green environment (trees and vegetation) is important to our well being It protects the air, provides parrier to the noise and gives privacy.

The request for Variance 3 states that the proposed development will overlook a parting area and not a sensitue use. On the contrary a 10 storey building is denying us of light, morning sun and fresh air. It overshadows our beckyards and our privary. A 10th floor

mechanial unit will definitely contribute to > Wax there any follow up on the City of Hamilton Virtual Design Review Panel meeting held on Thursday December 9, 2021 and project 121 # 135 Many Street where a Windstudy and Shadow Street was requested/recommended. > Tight site I not enough space.

> confusion at rear for parking ramp and relocate electrical units. Didoes the proposal use design techniques, such as building set-backs to maximize sunlight to pedestrain areas (B 3.3.3.5) -> This application for so called Minor Variances negatively impacts the near by properties, air quality, noise pollution, green space, trees, sunlight to pedestnar areas. Safety concerns for seniors and children in thes community. Hood Shepherd opened Dorothy Day, a 73 unit Supportive housing building for women on a much larger parcel of land.

I learl & Ray Street Good Shepherd Housing is on much larger parcels of land providing green space, park benches to the residents as it should be. - 121 & 135 Mary Street is too tight of a space for this project and asking for variances to accompable their project is unfair to this Community and neighbourhood.

Submitted by: Mary / Rajic - 140 Cathaine St. N. Hamilton