

---

**From:** Chris Argiropoulos <[redacted]>

**Sent:** Friday, December 27, 2024 10:16 AM

**To:** clerk@hamilton.ca

**Subject:** Notice of Objection - 2251 Rymal Road East, Stoney Creek (Former Elfrida United Church)

December 27, 2024

By email to [clerk@hamilton.ca](mailto:clerk@hamilton.ca)

Hamilton City Hall  
71 Main St. W., 1<sup>st</sup> Floor  
Hamilton, ON L8P 4Y5  
Attention: Office of the City Clerk

Dear Sir or Madam,

Re: Notice of Objection to Designation under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act*  
2251 Rymal Road East, Stoney Creek (Former Elfrida United Church)

I act as lawyer for 2677191 Ontario Inc., the registered owner of property municipally known as 2251 Rymal Road East, Stoney Creek (Former Elfrida United Church) (the "subject property").

My client has received your Notice of Intention to Designate dated November 29, 2024, and hereby formally objects to the designation of the subject property on the following grounds:

1. The subject property does not have design value and/or physical value and it is not rare, unique, representative and/or an early example of a style, type, expression, material and/or construction method.
2. The subject property does not have design value and/or physical value and it does not display a high degree of craftsmanship and/or artistic merit.
3. The subject property does not have design value and/or physical value and it does not demonstrate a high degree of technical and/or scientific achievement.
4. The subject property does not have design value and/or physical value and it does not have direct associations with a theme, event, belief, person, activity, organization and/or

institution that is significant to a community.

5. The subject property does not have historical value and/or associative value and it does not yield, or have the potential to yield, information that contributes to an understanding of a community or culture.
6. The subject property does not have historical value and/or associative value and it does not demonstrate and/or reflect the work or ideas of an architect, artist, builder, designer or theorist who is significant to a community.
7. The subject property does not have contextual value and it not important in defining, maintaining and/or supporting the character of an area.
8. The subject property does not have contextual value and it is not physically, functionally, visually and/or historically linked to its surroundings.
9. The subject property does not have contextual value and it is not a landmark.
10. The current and/or past usage of the property has nullified and/or negated any of the foregoing attributes which are not admitted but specifically denied.
11. The location of the subject property in relation to neighboring properties and their current and/or past use has nullified and/or negated any of the foregoing attributes which are not admitted but specifically denied.
12. The designation of the subject property under Part IV of the *Ontario Heritage Act* will cause economic loss to the registered owner of the property.

Yours very truly,

[Chris Argiropoulos](#)

Hons. B.A., LL.B., J.D.



100 George Street  
Hamilton, ON L8P 1E2

[redacted]