

Public Comment Summary (February 2022) – Proposed Amendments to UHOP & RHOP

Engage Hamilton GRIDS2/MCR Project Page

Table 1 – Growth Management Topic Area

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
1.	February 4, 2022	I think it is very important to ensure that there is no urban boundary expansion. We need to protect our greenspace to ensure we are more resistant to climate change, to ensure greater biodiversity in those areas and to reduce sprawl. There is enough space within the current urban boundary for a great deal of growth to still occur and this should be managed responsibly.	Comment noted.
2.	February 9, 2022	Policies reflect council’s direction. The strength of Hamilton and why it is special is it is a collection of neighbourhoods and communities each with its own character. The policies should build upon this strength and use this planned growth to reinforce and build up these characteristics. The growth will help rebuild our main streets and provide needed population to support stores and schools and other services. These policies should reflect this incredible opportunity to rebuild what is great about Hamilton	Comment noted.
3.	February 10, 2022	I fully support the Growth Management Strategy.	Comment noted.
4.	February 13, 2022	Increase density city wide. No more exclusionary zoning.	Policy changes are planning for increased zoning city wide. Propose to increase permitted uses in low density residential areas and allow for greater as-of-right height in medium and high density residential areas. Increased density targets for the downtown, other nodes and greenfield areas.
5.	February 14, 2022	This is the first information I have received about public input, so I apologize if my comments are late. Just wondering what land/areas have been considered for retirement and long term care settings? These are Essential and in very short	Retirement homes and long term care facilities are permitted in the Neighbourhoods and Mixed Use

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>supply. Thinking outside the box, opening these could be a revenue stream and employment opportunity for the city. If 3-4 building were built together, with a park and paths and benches in the middle, then it also builds a community for aging Hamiltonians. The buildings could offer environmentally friendly options such as self-heating by redirecting heat from other areas (university of Ottawa has some of these buildings). Solar power. Gardens for growing food and client exercise. The city could even rent out commercial space on level 1 for more income. Add day cares to these building for income and inter-generational benefits. Add ice rinks to as many parks as possible to encourage winter fitness. Address the number of vacant buildings on Barton St (incentives to sell). Build housing for the homeless, some like hostels, some like hotels, some like apartments. Clients start in a hostel style and as they demonstrate readiness for more responsibility, they earn opportunities for more space. Also look to offer employment with the City for jobs requiring low skill to enable them to start earning money, also offer free courses/classes on self-help and skill building. Arrange for MH and Addiction support services as needed. More bike lanes. Thanks for listening.</p>	<p>designations, and long term care homes are also permitted in the Institutional designation.</p> <p>The City will be developing Sustainable Building and Development Standards to encourage environmentally friendly building options as per the examples referenced in the comment.</p>
6.	February 15, 2022	<p>Expanding the urban boundary would significantly benefit the city by providing more options that would attract people to move to, build businesses and work in the Hamilton area. Young people with growing families need homes that have space to grow. Most people moving to Hamilton from other cities are looking for houses and town homes, not apartments/condos. Eighty-one percent of the population is not going to want to live in apartments or condos as outlined in the "No Urban Boundary Expansion" scenario. Everyone should have the option to live in single residential dwellings, which cannot be met without the Urban Boundary Expansion. In order for Hamilton to grow and attract economic investment, we need to provide a variety of options for both housing and business. This should include both urban expansion as well as downtown revitalization.</p>	<p>The policies implement the No Urban Boundary Expansion growth scenario as approved by Council.</p>
7.	March 1, 2022	<p>Build up not out! Stop asking the for more feedback when people have already made their decision. Just make a decision which the people want not the developers!</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
8.	March 4, 2022	This is a big improvement over what was previously proposed. I firmly support the No Urban Boundary Expansion and I'm happy to see the adjustments in the City's approach to show how this both possible and beneficial.	Comment noted.
9.	March 4, 2022	Let's please set a precedent and use the available and usable property in the current Hamilton boundary. Renovation and up cycling in our core and lower Hamilton area will help keep Hamilton downtown from becoming desolate and bring it back to life. Environmental impact of continuing to grow is no longer an acceptable discussion when there are viable options in the lower mountain and core of Hamilton city.	Comment noted.
10.	March 4, 2022	A minimum of 80 persons/jobs per hectare, please!	The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
11.	March 4, 2022	I support policy that will allow growth without expanding the urban boundary. Measured infill in low density with sensible 80% intensification in designated greenfield areas within the existing urban boundary. Density should be facilitated by public transit accommodation to eliminate the need for cars.	Comment noted.
12.	March 4, 2022	I'm strongly in support of the "no urban boundary" expansion decision by city council. Specifically, it's important that the city set higher targets for people and jobs per hectare in undeveloped land within the urban boundary to enable more frequent bus service, and avoid car-dependent neighbourhoods.	The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
			densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
13.	March 4, 2022	<p>In other densely populated countries they make sure to create agricultural zones / green belt zones that separate the urban areas from each other. This prevents urban sprawl and concentrates urban development in dense and rigidly defined urban boundaries. If the GHTA had done this then Toronto, Mississauga Oakville and Burlington would not be merged into one gigantic urban sprawl. There is still time for Hamilton to prevent this - we need to make sure that the small centres (Waterdown, Grimsby, Binbrook, Mount Hope, Jerseyville, Greenville, etc.) maintain the agricultural zones that surround them. This way we will not end up like the GTA with 100 Kilometers of non-stop, low-density urban sprawl.</p> <p>Population growth - municipalities in Ontario need to petition the provincial government to allow municipalities to determine their own population growth targets. The Municipal Act needs to be changed to give municipalities the right to determine their own population levels, their own zoning plans, with no appeal to the Ontario Land Tribunal.</p> <p>To this effect, the Ontario Land Tribunal should be abolished and all planning done by the municipality with no appeal to a higher level of government.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>The City is required to plan for the population forecast provided by the Province.</p>
14.	March 4, 2022	<p>I strongly support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur</p> <p>-Support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes + corridors (middle density), + with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods (low density).</p> <p>Please set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare that will be accommodated in ‘designated greenfield areas from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - this is in line with the city’s declaration of a climate emergency: we must avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
			develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
15.	March 5, 2022	For the most part I support the urban plan for growth except it must be explicitly said that the urban boundary is firm and growth into our green areas, farmland and woodlands is prohibited. Also, it is essential that the urban forests be taken into account in any future development. A healthy community exists when there is green space, trees, flowers, shrubs, and all manner of indigenous plants.	Comment noted. The policies do explicitly state that the City’s urban boundary is firm.
16.	March 5, 2022	Protecting our urban boundary and not changing zoning for farmland is priority. We have tons of land to redevelop in the city. With global warming it’s irresponsible to pave over some of the worlds finest farmland. Not to mention supply chain issues. We need to be able to produce food to feed our city	Comment noted.
17.	March 5, 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur. -Support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes + corridors (middle density), + with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods (low density). -Urge the city to set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare (p+j/ha) that will be accommodated in ‘designated greenfield areas’ (undeveloped land within the urban boundary mostly in suburban areas) from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - the minimum density required to support frequent transit service (bus every 10 to 15 minutes). This is critical to avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas. 	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>
18.	March 5, 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur. -Support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes + corridors (middle density), + with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods (low density). 	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		-Urge the city to set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare (p+j/ha) that will be accommodated in 'designated greenfield areas' (undeveloped land within the urban boundary mostly in suburban areas) from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - the minimum density required to support frequent transit service (bus every 10 to 15 minutes). This is critical to avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas.	Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
19.	March 5, 2022	There is no need for Urban Sprawl in Hamilton. There is so much undeveloped and underdeveloped property within our existing infrastructure it's ridiculous to build new infrastructure just to build more homes. Thank you	Comment noted.
20.	March 5, 2022	I am happy to see the direction of these policies and hope they will be well implemented.	Comment noted.
21.	March 5, 2022	NO urban boundary expansion. Intensification of multi-unit housing in the city, particularly the core, will meet growth demands while preserving undeveloped or rural land beyond the boundary.	Comment noted.
22.	March 5, 2022	No new growth until unused areas of the City are utilized	Comment noted.
23.	March 5, 2022	It is imperative that no urban boundary expansion occurs. Growth must achieve 80% intensification through the building of low, middle and high-density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre, in nodes + corridors and with gentle infill in low-density urban neighbourhoods. The city must set targets of at least 80 p+j/ha in designated greenfield areas to properly support frequent transit service and avoid building still more car dependent suburban areas.	Comments noted. The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
24.	March 5, 2022	As a resident of Ancaster I fully support A FIRM URBAN BOUNDARY...NO expansion.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>I believe that an 80% intensification of population within the present boundary is viable.</p> <p>I strongly support the idea of “gentle” infill in low density areas that presently have historic charm and architectural appeal.</p> <p>Further, I strongly believe Hamilton Region could be a “flagship” for retirement living and LTC. We just, need, together, to develop more creativity...reconsider present proposed plans and consider small groupings of homes; larger homes, grouped, to accommodate 6-8 LTC residents. Such plans have been completed in other communities with huge success! Quality of life for these seniors has been increased.</p>	
25.	March 5, 2022	<p>I live in the Perth Park subdivision in Ancaster. In our area we are not allowed to have a tenant rent out part of our house. If we were allowed to rent out part of our house it would make more rentals available. It would also allow seniors to stay in their homes longer. I know the Westdale area has issues with student rentals. If the by-law stipulated the homeowner had to live in the house to have a rental this would eliminate this problem. How many areas have this restrictive by-law. By allowing this new bylaw it would help homeowners and help alleviate sprawl.</p>	<p>Secondary dwelling units are permitted within all single and semi-detached dwellings and street townhouse dwellings throughout the urban area.</p>
26.	March 5, 2022	<p>I Support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur.</p> <p>-Support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes + corridors (middle density), + with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods (low density).</p> <p>-Urge the city to set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare (p+j/ha) that will be accommodated in ‘designated greenfield areas’ (undeveloped land within the urban boundary mostly in suburban areas) from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - the minimum density required to support frequent transit service (bus every 10 to 15 minutes). This is critical to avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
27.	March 5, 2022	<p>As a resident of Hamilton, I</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur. -Support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes + corridors (middle density), + with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods (low density). -Urge the city to set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare (p+j/ha) that will be accommodated in ‘designated greenfield areas’ (undeveloped land within the urban boundary mostly in suburban areas) from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - the minimum density required to support frequent transit service (bus every 10 to 15 minutes). This is critical to avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas. 	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>
28.	March 5, 2022	<p>Keeping the urban boundary as is is essential and doable. Toronto has started with small smart intensification and some builders are emphasising affordability.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
29.	March 5, 2022	<p>I support a plan that includes no urban boundary expansion. Growth should use available resources within the current boundary.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
30.	March 5, 2022	<p>As a strong supporter of a firm urban roundabout (no development expansion into farmland or wetlands beyond the existing Hamilton City limits, I favour the recommendations of City Staff for higher density development in existing urban areas. I would accept fourplexes and carriage houses on the lots where I live in Bonnington, and of course, higher densities around LRT lines and arterial roads.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
31.	March 5, 2022	<p>As a longtime Hamiltonian, I support a firm urban boundary and the planning policy changes that are required. I also support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification within the built up areas by building low, medium and high density neighborhoods particularly focused close to public transportation to reduce the use of cars.</p> <p>Preserve historical buildings and respect cultural heritage and ensure they are used for the benefit of the community and not left empty to deteriorate.</p> <p>Make sure that open spaces are provided for relaxation and play areas for children. I also urge the city to ensure the building of affordable housing and</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>discourage any commitment to the monster homes that are currently replacing 2 modest homes.</p> <p>Keep climate change in mind when assessing locations for new homes. These homes must meet energy and emission standards via the use of green infrastructure and be free of flooding.</p>	
32.	March 6, 2022	<p>We live in an area that’s growing up instead of out, mainly Fruitland Rd and the North Service Rd.</p> <p>We welcome new development here if it means rich open land a few kilometres away, and a halt to sprawl.</p> <p>Increase density of urban areas to AT LEAST 80 p+j/ha!!!</p> <p>But the crushing of cars into the developments cause frustration and safety concerns. By adding density we need to see public transit (The Waterfront Trail is becoming a more hazardous route for cyclists) and (high density urban) forested areas (The tall trees are crucial to the mental health of any community).</p> <p>Increase density of urban areas to AT LEAST 80 p+j/ha !!!</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>
33.	March 6, 2022	<p>I support growth with no urban boundry expansion and support Hamilton's 80% intensification in the built-up urban areas, which will be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton . In other areas where the density is not as high we can add and create a middle denstiy area. It is extremely important that we keep our boundries the same so we protect our greenspace for future generations. We need to make sure we build neighbourhoods that are not as dependant on cars to get around.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
34.	March 6, 2022	<p>I support all policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansion. I encourage the city to consider increasing the density targets in the designated greenfield areas.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
			developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
35.	March 6, 2022	Save existing farmland if we are supposed to "shop locally"	Comment noted.
36.	March 6, 2022	<p>First of all, I think it is very important to support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur.</p> <p>In order to do that, we need to support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes + corridors (middle density), + with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods (low density).</p> <p>The city needs to set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare that will be accommodated in ‘designated greenfield areas’ from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - the minimum density required to support frequent transit service (bus every 10 to 15 minutes). This is critical to avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>
37.	March 6, 2022	I support the no urban boundary expansion. To accommodate this I believe red tape and restrictions around secondary dwelling units (garden suites, basement rentals, etc.) need to be amended. So long as they are deemed safe from a fire perspective barriers to have these should be removed.	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Secondary Dwelling Units (both internal to the dwelling and detached) are permitted throughout the Urban area.</p>
38.	March 6, 2022	As a newcomer to living in Hamilton it is immediately obvious that the city could benefit enormously by actively promoting new medium rise (human scale at around six stories) intensive residential/retail at grade development on its main	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		commercial streets. Areas that look blighted now could be completely turned around.	
39.	March 6, 2022	<p>I Support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur.</p> <p>I Support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes + corridors (middle density), + with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods (low density).</p> <p>I Urge the city to set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare (p+j/ha) that will be accommodated in ‘designated greenfield areas’ (undeveloped land within the urban boundary mostly in suburban areas) from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - the minimum density required to support frequent transit service (bus every 10 to 15 minutes). This is critical to avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>
40.	March 6, 2022	Growth targets are way too far in the future and are way too high...local and world developments going on now will significantly reduce migration and house purchases: interest rate increases, ongoing economic stagnation and decline, and job losses due to COVID and government policies, high unemployment, inflation, declines in oil and gas energy production with no means of replacing them leading to increased prices in all goods and services and loss of competitiveness in manufacturing, carbon tax increases, higher taxes on the middle class, and the world economy in a state of decline due to wars, projections are way too high...	The City is required to plan for the population and job forecasts provided by the Province.
41.	March 6, 2022	Maintain urban boundary. Accommodate growth via good planning within this boundary. Land surrounding this boundary is within Canada’s prime and limited arable regions. Protecting this land to ensure stable, local food supply is a higher security priority than any other plan. Given developing events around the world, this is becoming increasingly evident.	Comment noted.
42.	March 6, 2022	Well done to the City for holding the urban boundary to its present limits! It made me so proud to be a Hamiltonian! Please do NOT bow to pressure from the	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		current Ontario provincial government to continue with ugly and unsustainable urban sprawl	
43.	March 6, 2022	<p>I support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur. I support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes + corridors (middle density), + with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods (low density).</p> <p>I urge the city to set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare (p+j/ha) that will be accommodated in ‘designated greenfield areas’ (undeveloped land within the urban boundary mostly in suburban areas) from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - the minimum density required to support frequent transit service (bus every 10 to 15 minutes). This is critical to avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>
44.	March 6, 2022	I support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions and corresponding high intensification in the urban area.	Comment noted.
45.	March 6, 2022	<p>In order to discourage costly urban sprawl, and promote a more environmentally sustainable and "greener" Hamilton in our traditionally heavy industrialized city, I support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur. I believe there are enough undeveloped or underdeveloped areas in Hamilton, particularly in the lower city where I live, to support the city's commitment to 80% per cent intensification in the built-up area. I agree with groups such as Environment Hamilton who believe this can be achieved by building low, middle and high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes and corridors (middle denisty), with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods.</p> <p>I urge the city to set higher targets for the number of people and jobs per hectare that will be accommodated in designated greenfield areas (undeveloped land within the urban boundary mostly in suburban areas) from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - the minimum density required to support frequent transit</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		service: a bus every 10 to 15 minutes. I support major investments to boost transit service, including tax increases as necessary, to encourage better transit and to reduce the reliance on cars in suburban areas.	
46.	March 6, 2022	Absolutely agree that the boundaries should NOT be increased, we can fill in other areas and build them up with low, medium, and high density projects as stated. There are so many places we can fill in that are empty like parking lots, laneways and underdeveloped lots. I support all these decisions, especially the idea of nodes along transportation routes with higher density in surrounding areas. I was SO proud that our city council decided not to extend the boundaries.	Comment noted.
47.	March 6, 2022	Until EVERY SINGLE lot within city limits is used for various density housing, why are you even considering boundary expansion? Is this the same city that is advocating for a LRT system? An LRT system is ridiculous if we are expanding into farmland. Keep the growth within the city limits, as that is what makes a city an attractive destination for tourists.	Comment noted.
48.	March 7, 2022	-Support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur. - Support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes + corridors (middle density), + with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods (low density). -Urge the city to set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare (p+j/ha) that will be accommodated in ‘designated greenfield areas’ (undeveloped land within the urban boundary mostly in suburban areas) from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - the minimum density required to support frequent transit service (bus every 10 to 15 minutes). This is critical to avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas.	Comments noted. The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
49.	March 7, 2022	I'm so pleased that Hamilton has chosen a firm urban boundary. Set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare (p+j/ha) that will be accommodated in ‘designated greenfield areas’ from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha to support frequent transit service	Comments noted. The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
			DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
50.	March 7, 2022	I support growth within a firm urban boundary. AS a resident downtown, I support the building of low, middle and high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton growth Centre. I feel strongly that "designated greenfield areas" are great opportunities for growth; however I encourage the city to consider raising the p+j/ha for these lands from 60 to at least 80 in order to support better public transit options.	Comments noted. The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
51.	March 7, 2022	Please ensure that no urban boundary expansion occurs. Hamiltonians have spoken out very clearly about their demands to respect wetlands and farmlands and other natural heritage features. Thank you for continuing to support this primary component of the policy.	Comment noted.
52.	March 7, 2022	I support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur. I support the city's commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes + corridors (middle density), + with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods	Comments noted. The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>(low density). I urge the city to set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare (p+j/ha) that will be accommodated in ‘designated greenfield areas’ (undeveloped land within the urban boundary mostly in suburban areas) from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - the minimum density required to support frequent transit service (bus every 10 to 15 minutes). This is critical to avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas.</p>	<p>developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>
53.	March 7, 2022	<p>I firmly support a NO BOUNDARY EXPANSION. We have enough available land to accommodate forecasted growth. It's a forecast, not a fact. We need more investment I transit across the entire city. More bike paths, more benches for people to rest if we want to encourage walking.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
54.	March 7, 2022	<p>I support policy that ensures no boundary expansions will occur and includes a commitment to expand to 80% intensification in the built up urban area (building low, middle and high density neighbourhoods in high density areas, middle density in nodes and corridors and gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods). In designated greenfield, look seriously at expanding the intensification to 80%.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
55.	March 7, 2022	<p>We support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur. We support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle and high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes and corridors (middle density), and with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods. We urge the city to set higher targets for the number of people and jobs per hectare that will be accommodated in ‘designated greenfield areas’ from the proposed 60 people and jobs per hectare to at least 80 - the minimum density required to support frequent transit service (bus every 10 to 15 minutes). This is critical to avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
56.	March 7, 2022	Much of our growth management depends upon understanding Climate Change / Climate Justice which I would give top priority with a 2030 deadline. Population and employment growth will change dramatically with an influx of climate refugees and an increase in population of the over 65 age group. Densification should continue but in a much less dramatic fashion, high rise towers are not the answer. Growth should be mainly within the urban boundary and rural areas should become less car dependent	Comment noted.
57.	March 8, 2022	I support the plan to have no urban boundary expansion and the resulting intensification within the urban boundary	Comment noted.
58.	March 8, 2022	I support the commitment to intensification downtown Central Hamilton and within the existing boundaries of the city. B.2.4.1.3 The revised Official Plan must respect boundaries between the Downtown Urban Growth Centre, Urban Nodes and adjacent neighbourhoods. New intensification on the edges of neighbourhoods adjacent to the Downtown Urban Growth Centre should not exceed the density or height standards of downtown. There must be no export of downtown zoning standards for density and height into surrounding neighbourhoods. The edges of neighbourhoods must not be annexed into the downtown Urban Growth Area. The edges of neighbourhoods should be protected by their own neighbourhood zoning regulations.	Comment noted. The policy revisions are not proposing any changes to the limits of the Downtown Urban Growth Centre (UGC). Downtown zoning only applies to the lands within the Downtown UGC. Appreciate that Residential Zoning for the City is currently being developed.
59.	March 8, 2022	'I endorse the position of City Staff that - 1. Provincial population targets are reality but City boundaries be firm!	Comment noted.
60.	March 8, 2022	We agree with the firm urban boundary, and the proposed policy changes city staff are proposing.	Comment noted.
61.	March 8, 2022	-Support policy changes that ensure no urban boundary expansions occur. -Support the city’s commitment to 80% intensification in the built-up urban area, to be achieved by building low, middle + high density neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre (high density), in nodes + corridors (middle density), + with gentle infill development in low density urban neighbourhoods (low density).	Comments noted. The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>-Urge the city to set higher targets for the number of people + jobs per hectare (p+j/ha) that will be accommodated in 'designated greenfield areas' (undeveloped land within the urban boundary mostly in suburban areas) from the proposed 60 p+j/ha to at least 80 p+j/ha - the minimum density required to support frequent transit service (bus every 10 to 15 minutes). This is critical to avoid building more car-dependent suburban areas.</p>	<p>Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>
62.	March 8, 2022	<p>I am absolutely in favour of NO urban boundary expansion and applaud council for it's decision. 80% infill in the built up area by building height where it's appropriate and middle density in current low density neighbourhoods is good planning. The DGAs should be planned for at least 80 ppl/jobs/ha to support transit. We don't want these areas be become any more car dependent.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.</p>
63.	March 8, 2022	<p>We need to keep our urban boundary firm and the city needs to intensify within it. I am on board with 80% intensification in the built-up areas of Hamilton. Hight density for downtown, middle density in the nodes and corridors, and gentle infill or low density in the suburbs. We need to increase the people and jobs per hectare from 60 to 80 so that all can benefit from frequent and reliable public transit. We can accommodate this by utilizing the designated green fields within the urban boundary, plus we cannot build any more car-dependent suburbs.</p>	<p>Comments noted.</p> <p>The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
			develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
64.	March 8, 2022	I believe in intensification. What I dislike is having a secondary plan, then the developer asks for a variance, the city tweaks a few things, and then it is passed. Vrancor on Queen St. for example. His buildings have too many stories. I hope the city can decrease the amount of stories on these developments. Keep the boundary between urban/rural. When we have filled all available land, then let's look at green fields.	Comment noted.
65.	March 8, 2022	Spread higher density in the majority of single family homes spread across the mountain. Better utilization of the existing city lands. And will help bring down the cost as multiple dwellings units are created. The downtown core will have natural growth.	Comment noted.
66.	March 8, 2022	I support a firm No Urban Boundary expansion policy. I believe Hamilton has a vast amount of unused and poorly used space within the urban boundaries as they are. Build more low rise (under 10 stories) housing, townhouses, and get grants for affordable housing. Explore co-operative housing run by churches and non-profits.	Comment noted.
67.	March 8, 2022	I'm really glad you've decided to freeze the urban boundary and are committing to 80% intensification in built up urban areas. I would like to see higher targets for the number of people and jobs per hectare in designated greenfield areas. At least 80 people/jobs per hectare is what is required to allow for adequate transit service. And transit accessibility is an important aspect of creating an equitable city.	Comments noted. The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
68.	March 8, 2022	It is imperative that no urban boundary expansion occurs. Growth must achieve 80% intensification through the building of low, middle and high-density	Comments noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		neighbourhoods in the Downtown Hamilton Growth Centre, in nodes + corridors and with gentle infill in low-density urban neighbourhoods. The city must set targets of at least 80 p+j/ha in designated greenfield areas to properly support frequent transit service and avoid building still more car dependent suburban areas.	The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
69.	March 8, 2022	The density target for remaining 'designated greenfield areas' within the urban boundary should be a MINIMUM of 80 people & jobs per hectare to support frequent transit service (bus service every 10 to 15 minutes)	Comments noted. The DGA density target of 60 pjh is an average measured across the entire DGA. Much of the DGA is already developed or approved for development at a lower density. Undeveloped lands with no existing approvals will develop at higher densities, including the Fruitland Winona area, which is planned to develop at 70 pjh. Policy has been clarified to reflect this distinction.
70.	March 8, 2022	Ensure that growth occurs within the urban boundary; better use of transit within the core	Comment noted.
71.	March 8, 2022	I fully support no urban boundary expansion and hope many of our new jobs will be in green energy and in creating liveable, walkable neighbourhoods with many different types of housing, including public housing on a more human scale than the current ugly high rises.	Comment noted.

Table 2 – Employment Topic Area

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
1.	February 4, 2022	It is important to ensure that people have safe and relatively easy ways of accessing their employment through either public transit or safe, protected bike lanes. There should also be an increased focus on sustainable employment opportunities, ones are not based solely on fossil fuel burning heavy industry.	Comment noted. Transportation policy updates include prioritizing transit investment for connections to Employment areas
2.	February 9, 2022	Overall promoting growth and jobs in Hamilton is the future. I do not understand the restrictions on office uses in employment areas Seems to me they should be encouraged	Major office uses are defined in the Growth Plan and are required to be located in strategic growth areas with access to frequent transit, like Hamilton’s Downtown Urban Growth Centre
3.	February 13, 2022	Reduce barriers to start and run small businesses. Allow businesses to operate in all neighbourhoods.	Comment noted. Neighbourhoods designation permits commercial and retail.
4.	February 15, 2022	Housing should be encouraged in non-industrial employment areas to potentially reduce VMT, provided that the area of employment use is not reduced (e.g.: replace low-rise employment buildings with mixed-use medium density where the bottom floors remain employment oriented but the upper floors allow residential or small office uses).	Comment noted. Residential uses are not permitted in employment areas through Provincial policy. City’s Business Park designation permits uses that could be incompatible with residential.
5.	February 15, 2022	Good progress has been made with attracting economic investment in the Whitebelt area of our city, such as the Red Hill Business Park, airport business park area and others, increasing the business tax base. To support this, and continue to attract more business investment, there should be more housing opportunities close to new employment lands. This would also be beneficial from an environmental perspective as it would reduce the need for longer commutes.	Comment noted

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
6.	March 1, 2022	No comment.	
7.	March 4, 2022	We should be aiming for higher employment area densities for certain areas. For example, the type of development and jobs that we want in the West Hamilton Innovation District is very different than the AEGD and the densities need to reflect this.	Employment densities by designation are specified in the proposed policies to account for different densities depending on use. See Chapter A – new Table A.3.
8.	March 4, 2022	I feel it is important to ensure that Employment Areas take a strong look at how they fit into existing areas where they meet up with residential areas, and to also strongly consider how traffic/goods flowing through those areas affect congestion, pollution, safety, and livability. Efficiency of movement within the supply chain is integral to ensuring costs remain reasonable, and the effects of inflation due to volatility in energy sectors are reasonable. Building sustainable networks for future generations should be a core goal.	Comment noted. Employment policy updates include revised goal regarding access to major goods movement facilities and corridors to ensure efficiency
9.	March 4, 2022	Employment areas have to be maintained for high-quality jobs and have to be well-served by transit.	Comment noted.
10.	March 4, 2022	I support development that supports living, working and recreation in a neighbourhood. I support the employment land conversions to mixed use higher density commercial, retail where it makes sense. Transit again needs to support this change.	Comment noted.
11.	March 4, 2022	It's important to support existing industrial lands to ensure a strong manufacturing base in Hamilton. In addition, there should be more live/work/play developments that provide employment opportunities for people close to where they live.	Comment noted.
12.	March 4, 2022	The current model of urban development is to have separate zones for each type of development - commercial, industrial, residential. This model is out of date. We need MIXED ZONING: we need to allow a mix of residential, light industrial and commercial all in the same area. This would allow for shorter commutes since people can live work and shop all in the same "zone". It would help to foster a sense of community. It would help to mitigate urban sprawl.	Comment noted. Staff reviewed opportunities for employment land conversion for non-employment uses through the Employment Land Review of the MCR.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>Yes, there are places, such as near noisy airports, where mixed zoning would not work. But for many locations, it is the best way to create a healthy urban environment.</p> <p>Also, instead of having zones as huge swaths of land, in many locations we need to be site specific in zoning. For example, why can't zoning be done block by block, or even building by building?</p> <p>Furthermore, zoning rules must be strictly followed. it is a mockery of city planning to have developers break the rules intentionally because they can just appeal to the OLT.</p>	
13.	March 4, 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - please address employment sprawl by planning for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible in order to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands. - I support more mixed use developments that enable people to live close to where they work. -I support employment land conversions to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future) - I urge you to plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure. 	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates.</p>
14.	March 5, 2022	<p>Hamilton has lots of job opportunities. We need to utilize the infrastructure in place and repurpose old infrastructure for new ideas. For example, green energy production. Start reaching out and welcoming companies that build wind turbines and electric cars. We have lots of industrial waste and that can be repurposed. Also, clear wasteland unusable and reclaim waterfront where possible</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
15.	March 5, 2022	<p>- "employment sprawl" to be addressed by planning for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible in order to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>-Support more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work.</p> <p>-Support staff-proposed ‘employment land conversions’ to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future)</p> <p>-plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure.</p>	
16.	March 5, 2022	<p>-Call for ‘employment sprawl’ to be addressed by urging the city to plan for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible in order to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands.</p> <p>-Support more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work.</p> <p>-Support staff-proposed ‘employment land conversions’ to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future)</p> <p>-Urge the city to plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates.</p> <p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>
17.	March 5, 2022	I am very pleased with these policy updates.	Comment noted.
18.	March 5, 2022	Allocate more city funding to organizations and city teams like the RBG, Gage Park Greenhouse, and community gardens so that they can hire more summer students and seasonal staff. It is important to have a stream of new young people entering horticulture.	Comment noted.
19.	March 5, 2022	Seems to me if you put the right kind of buildings in like areas, the employment issue kind of takes care of itself.	Comment noted.
20.	March 5, 2022	Address employment sprawl by planning for higher density in employment lands where possible and ensure the protection of the natural features within those lands. Include more mixed-use developments that allow people to live close to	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>where they work. I support the staff-proposed 'employment land conversions' to mixed-use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where that makes sense. Please plan for support and access to good public transit and good active transportation infrastructure when planning major employment areas.</p>	<p>Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates.</p> <p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>
21.	March 5, 2022	<p>I believe Hamilton Council should target areas for people and jobs in presently available undeveloped suburbs. The areas should be developed as areas of work, live and play...bike paths, parks, schools, small local stores...e.g., pharmacies, groceries, banks/ATMS.</p> <p>I also feel there should be a creative development of lands near urban transit, especially trains.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Undeveloped designated greenfield areas within the City will be expected to develop with community-related uses (residential, commercial, institutional) at a density of 70 people and jobs per hectare (measured across all designated greenfield areas).</p>
22.	March 5, 2022	<p>I support the Call for 'employment sprawl' to be addressed by urging the city to plan for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible in order to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Support more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work. -Support staff-proposed 'employment land conversions' to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future) -Urge the city to plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure. 	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting.</p> <p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>
23.	March 5, 2022	<p>As a resident of Hamilton, I ...</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Call for 'employment sprawl' to be addressed by urging the city to plan for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible in order 	<p>Comment noted.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands.</p> <p>-Support more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work.</p> <p>-Support staff-proposed ‘employment land conversions’ to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future)</p> <p>-Urge the city to plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure.</p>	<p>Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting.</p> <p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>
24.	March 5, 2022	employment and nearby housing is also ecologically important and the urban planners are capable of balancing this	Comment noted.
25.	March 5, 2022	The city should encourage dual purpose expansion; dwellings that can be used for commerce as well as residential. Cities like Calgary, Vancouver are examples of places where people can run and work in their business, and also have living quarters.	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Mixed use development is currently permitted in multiple designations in the UHOP.</p>
26.	March 5, 2022	I accept the proposed policies from City Staff, and have long felt that sensitive industries should be located where citizens can keep an eye on them, recognizing that some industries present safety threats to nearby residents. It's always great to be able to walk to work, and more industrial developments should enable people to live close to where they work. I support higher industrial densities, which allow for efficient transit options.	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Employment densities by designation are proposed.</p> <p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>
27.	March 6, 2022	In our neighbourhood, there are industrial areas with plenty of usable space to develop high density employment, and high density urban areas, which can be easily accessible by trains. Please push through zoning changes to allow for the greener way of doing business.	Comment noted.
28.	March 6, 2022	We can make sure that there are more jobs in areas (more jobs per hectare of land) where it is possible. We need to make neighbourhoods where people can	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		work close to home and not have to commute as far. Let's protect natural features found within employment lands. We need a mix of live/work/play developments that enable people to live close to where they work. These areas need to be supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure.	Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.
29.	March 6, 2022	I support all policy changes and encourage the city to consider increasing the density targets for number of jobs per hectare wherever possible.	Comment noted.
30.	March 6, 2022	I support having more jobs located in high density areas	Comment noted.
31.	March 6, 2022	<p>To address "employment sprawl", the city needs to plan for more jobs per hectare in employment areas wherever possible in order to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands.</p> <p>Of course, the city should plan for more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work.</p> <p>Of course, the city needs to adopt the staff-proposed 'employment land conversions' to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future)</p> <p>Finally, I urge the city to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting.</p> <p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>
32.	March 6, 2022	N/A	
33.	March 6, 2022	<p>I Call for 'employment sprawl' to be addressed by urging the city to plan for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible in order to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands.</p> <p>I Support more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work.</p> <p>I Support staff-proposed 'employment land conversions' to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more</p>	Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future) I Urge the city to plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure	Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.
34.	March 6, 2022	As above, a period of high unemployment and stagflation is just beginning which will undermine economic projections....	Comment noted.
35.	March 6, 2022	Prioritize employment density and a variety of employment types.	Comment noted.
36.	March 6, 2022	<p>I call for 'employment sprawl' to be addressed by urging the city to plan for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible in order to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands.</p> <p>I support more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work.</p> <p>I support staff-proposed 'employment land conversions' to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future)</p> <p>I urge the city to plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure.</p>	<p>Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting.</p> <p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>
37.	March 6, 2022	I would like to see stronger targets to avoid employment sprawl and protection of green areas.	Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates.
38.	March 6, 2022	<p>I urge the city to address the problem of 'employment sprawl' by planning for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible. This would make more efficient use of employment lands and ensure protection of natural features found within employment lands.</p> <p>I support more live/work/play (mixed use) developments enabling people to live close to where they work. I also support staff-proposed 'employment land conversions' to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where</p>	Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>mixed use development makes more sense --- such as around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton.</p> <p>It's also important for the city to plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent, reliable transit service and good transportation infrastructure.</p>	<p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>
39.	March 6, 2022	<p>Like the idea of more employment density, especially around transportation nodes.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
40.	March 6, 2022	<p>more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work. I hear there is mixed building planned for Wilson St. in Ancaster near Blackbird Restaurant. Like 3 level building - lower level commercial then 2 storeys of living apartments = perfect! More like this!</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
41.	March 7, 2022	<p>-Call for 'employment sprawl' to be addressed by urging the city to plan for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible in order to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands.</p> <p>-Support more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work. -Support staff-proposed 'employment land conversions' to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future) -Urge the city to plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure.</p>	<p>Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting.</p> <p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>
42.	March 7, 2022	<p>More live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work.</p> <p>Frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure.</p>	<p>Mixed use development is currently permitted in multiple designations in the UHOP outside of most designated employment areas.</p> <p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
43.	March 7, 2022	I support the proposed "employment land conversions" in areas like Go stations. With rising climate vulnerability and transportation costs, I urge the City to create live/work/play neighbourhoods throughout the city.	Comment noted.
44.	March 7, 2022	Please look for creative ways to develop new urban communities where the live/work/play concept can be totally implemented. This would be particularly beneficial for those areas in the downtown core where transportation routes, bike lanes, etc. are already part of the infrastructure. When intensification for affordable/deeply affordable housing can be created close to work places and parks, you check so many boxes on the list: climate impact, urban structure/zoning by-laws, infrastructure and transportation. Surely, this should be a priority to address. When you bring good-paying jobs to a neighbourhood, you accomplish all these objectives. Each of these small 'communities' will need grocery stores, pet stores, office supplies and will negate the need for car ownership to drive to the outskirts of Hamilton to find big, box stores and Walmarts.	Downtown Hamilton Secondary Plan permits mixed use development. Affordable housing related policy and potential for inclusionary zoning will be reviewed in later phases of the MCR (Phases 3 & 4)
45.	March 7, 2022	I call for 'employment sprawl' to be addressed and urge the city to plan for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible in order to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands. I support more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work. I support staff-proposed 'employment land conversions' to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future) I urge the city to plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure.	Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting. Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.
46.	March 7, 2022	Diversification of employment needed, accessible transit and bike ways, and pedestrian walk ways.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>Not sure why it takes decades to fill in employment lands. Example MIP is still not full. The Upper James lands south of Twenty Rd has been serviced for decades and are still idle.</p> <p>Barton street has numerous empty 2 story buildings.</p> <p>This can be developed into a live-work community...thinking 4 or 5 story buildings with shops, offices and tenants above.</p> <p>Stop building power centers! 1. They're ugly. 2. They're inefficient use of space (build apartments above these shops! Voila instant demand and you don't have to drive! 3. They don't promote community, only division of people. Same goes for strip malls...inefficient of space.</p>	<p>Development of mixed use buildings is encouraged broadly throughout the urban area through existing policy.</p>
47.	March 7, 2022	<p>On behalf of Greenhorizons Holdings Inc. and The Greenhorizons Group of Farms Ltd., Stovel and Associates Inc. requests that 8474 English Church Road, 2907 Highway 6, 3065 Upper James and 3005 Upper James Street be considered as part of a special study area for future Employment Lands. The locational attributes of these parcels (proximity to the Airport/Amazon Center and existing municipal services) and the size of the lands make it more efficient and cost effective to assemble for future development.</p>	<p>The City's Land Needs Assessment has identified that the City's employment land supply and demand is in balance. No additional employment land is needed over the planning horizon.</p> <p>Employment area lands will be reassessed through future Municipal Comprehensive Reviews.</p>
48.	March 7, 2022	<p>We call for 'employment sprawl' to be addressed by urging the city to plan for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible in order to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands. We support more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work. We support staff-proposed 'employment land conversions' to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future). We urge the city to plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure.</p>	<p>Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting.</p> <p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
49.	March 7, 2022	Employment zones should be developed to include suitable affordable housing, reliable and adequate transit, adoption of a living wage, and integration of services for all residents. Far less emphasis should be given to waste management, and recycling operations. Rather than bringing all scrap materials to Hamilton, industries should be providing sorting/ shredding/ compacting services through mobile teams sent to each municipality in Southern Ontario. Brown field redevelopment should be accelerated, and additional parks and green spaces developed.	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Designated Employment Areas are locations for industry and uses that may not be compatible with residential development.</p> <p>Mixed use development is encouraged broadly throughout other land use designation of the Urban area.</p>
50.	March 8, 2022	I support staff-proposed ‘employment land conversions’ to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations, such as near existing and planned GO stations and along the LRT corridor	Comment noted.
51.	March 8, 2022	I support more live/work/play developments in the downtown area, and suggest that commercial spaces in residential buildings be required to show flexibility of use and size to permit small local businesses that contribute to a lively streetscape and employment opportunities in residential areas.	<p>Downtown Hamilton Secondary Plan permits mixed use development.</p> <p>Specific uses and floor area requirements in mixed use buildings are regulated by the Zoning By-law.</p>
52.	March 8, 2022	- 2. Efforts be made to increase employment opportunities in vicinity of population dense areas	Comment noted.
53.	March 8, 2022	Yes, intensification within the boundary, and with transit in mind, will benefit employees.	Comment noted.
54.	March 8, 2022	<p>-Call for ‘employment sprawl’ to be addressed by urging the city to plan for higher density (more jobs per hectare) in employment areas wherever possible in order to make more efficient use of employment lands and to ensure the protection of natural features found within employment lands.</p> <p>-Support more live/work/play (mixed use) developments that enable people to live close to where they work.</p> <p>-Support staff-proposed ‘employment land conversions’ to mixed use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where mixed use development makes more</p>	Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>sense (e.g., around the new Confederation GO Station in east Hamilton which will have full GO Train service in the future) -Urge the city to plan to ensure major employment areas are supported by frequent & reliable transit service and good active transportation infrastructure.</p>	<p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>
55.	March 8, 2022	<p>The creation of the AEGD was a big mistake and I believe warehousing should be accommodated in the Portlands which has vacant and already destroyed land. Current development proposals in the AEGD are the usual sprawling single story warehouses with masses of surface parking, no green roofs, no underground parking, the bare minimum of environmental protection for the natural heritage in the AEGD, no pervious surfaces. It is a disgrace and warehouses should be near the port, railway, and highways, as the Portlands is. There is a surplus of emp land so definitely convert what is planned to be mixed use. Create more communities where people live and work in the same neighbourhood.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Employment land supply was reviewed through the Land Needs Assessment, which determined that the supply and demand of employment land is roughly in balance.</p>
56.	March 8, 2022	<p>The city needs to plan for higher density, that is jobs per hectare in the employment lands. There needs to be a more efficient use of the land so that we can preserve the natural features contained within them. New developments need to provide residents with the ability to live, work and play close to home. Allow Employment Land Conversions to mixed-use higher density including commercial/retail in areas close to Go stations. Reliable public transportation in these areas is required to assist in creating communities that are not car-dependent.</p>	<p>Comment noted</p> <p>Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting.</p> <p>Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.</p>
57.	March 8, 2022	<p>Garner Rd. marsh is at stake. We have land to develop. Tell the developer to figure out another place to build. We cannot afford to pave over sensitive environments. I also do not like the idea that Ferguson proposed. Building a new marsh somewhere else.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
58.	March 8, 2022	<p>Focus on job creation of high value jobs in the new economy. As we have seen with Health Care centres' of excellence. And embrace manufacturing centres of excellence.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
59.	March 8, 2022	The natural environment must never be sacrificed for "employment". Warehouses do not need to be built on wetlands. Roads and highways do not need to run across farmland. We have lots of brownspace in the city. use that for employment. Also new employers should be encouraged to build along transit routes.	Comment noted.
60.	March 8, 2022	I would appreciate a higher jobs per hectare goal to avoid employment sprawl, as well as mixed use development that would allow for people to live close to where they work. This mixed use development should be high density in order to facilitate walkability for as many people as possible, especially surrounding transit hubs like the go transit stations. We also need to ensure that major employment locations are serviced by frequent and reliable transit to enable active and sustainable transportation.	Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting. Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.
61.	March 8, 2022	Address employment sprawl by planning for higher density in employment lands where possible and ensure the protection of the natural features within those lands. Include more mixed-use developments that allow people to live close to where they work. I support the staff-proposed 'employment land conversions' to mixed-use higher density/commercial/retail in locations where that makes sense. Please plan for support and access to good public transit and good active transportation infrastructure when planning major employment areas.	Employment density targets by designation are specified in the proposed policy updates. Staff will be reporting back on Employment density targets through annual reporting. Transportation policy updates include a goal to connect Employment areas with transit services.
62.	March 8, 2022	The density target for remaining 'designated greenfield areas' within the urban boundary should be a MINIMUM of 80 people & jobs per hectare to support frequent transit service (bus service every 10 to 15 minutes)	Density in Designated Greenfield Areas does not include Employment Area lands.
63.	March 8, 2022	Use hiring practices that support applicants from marginalized communities as well as other worthy candidates.	Comment noted.
64.	March 8, 2022	I want Hamilton to provide well paying jobs that give people more security than workers have now, jobs that are meaningful. It makes me sad to see so many young people stuck in security guard jobs when they could be engaged in socially beneficial occupations such as increasing the availability of home-care for	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		seniors. True security comes from all citizens having a decent standard of living. I support some form of UBI. I totally oppose paving over precious wetlands with warehouses and the like. Green energy and transit jobs assure that our citizens' livelihoods support our survival and that of other animals with whom we share the dish with one spoon.	

Table 3 – Cultural Heritage Topic Area

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
1.	February 4, 2022	It is important for the City of Hamilton to always recognize that we are on borrowed land that was not 'given' to settlers but rather agreed to be shared and then was taken over by settlers. Those of us who are settlers have a great debt to our indigenous communities and it is important that we recognize this regularly and work to build better and stronger relationships that involve partnership with and leadership of indigenous peoples.	Comment noted. Land acknowledgement is included in policy updates.
2.	February 9, 2022	No comments or concerns	Comment noted.
3.	March 4, 2022	Acknowledgement and understanding of indigenous peoples is critical to our growth forward as a society. Their input should also be gathered when considering land development and industry/residential growth.	Comment noted. Land acknowledgement and requirement for indigenous consultation is included in policy updates.
4.	March 4, 2022	Another reason it's important to maintain a firm urban boundary instead of paving everything over.	Comment noted.
5.	March 4, 2022	I support policy that ensures the respect and acknowledgement and inclusion of First Nations people in our city, and that allows for the preservation of significant historical properties.	Comment noted.
6.	March 4, 2022	I support policies that will acknowledge the contribution of First Nations to the city and to preserve heritage properties.	Comment noted.
7.	March 4, 2022	- I support acknowledgement and respect for First Nations, First Nations history in our city, and the commitment to meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities. -I support policies that commit the city to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton.	Comment noted.
8.	March 5, 2022	All great ideas and part of the balance of our communities. We need to remember history so that it doesn't repeat itself. Destroying statues is erasing it. Telling the whole story educates the future generations	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
9.	March 5, 2022	-Support acknowledgement and respect for First Nations, First Nations history in our city, and the commitment to meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities. -Support policies that commit the city to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton.	Comment noted.
10.	March 5, 2022	-Support acknowledgement and respect for First Nations, First Nations history in our city, and the commitment to meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities. -Support policies that commit the city to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton.	Comment noted.
11.	March 5, 2022	There's not enough attention to education on indigenous history to help people get through their prejudices. the racist situation in Hamilton is appalling.	Comment noted.
12.	March 5, 2022	Mandatory approval from Indigenous communities should be required for any development of ecologically or culturally significant land.	Requirement for indigenous consultation on land use planning matters is included in policy updates.
13.	March 5, 2022	We must acknowledge the Indigenous communities and their links to their land. We must embrace their Heritage.	Land acknowledgement is included in policy updates.
14.	March 5, 2022	Development policy must acknowledgement and respect for First Nations people and history in our city and ask that Indigenous communities be meaningfully engaged in conversations about the future of our city. Set policies that support the commitment to preserve heritage properties.	Land acknowledgement and requirement for indigenous consultation is included in policy updates. Policies on heritage preservation are already present in the Official Plans.
15.	March 5, 2022	I support the proposed. However, I wish to add: that houses, buildings of architectural or historic interest be designated and plaques mounted on them. Again, a way of preserving or heritage for future generations.	Policies on heritage preservation are already present in the Official Plans.
16.	March 5, 2022	I Support policies that commit the city to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton. stop taking down heritage buildings and put money to restoration to save a piece of our past.	Comment noted.
17.	March 5, 2022	As a resident of Hamilton, I -Support acknowledgement and respect for First Nations, First Nations history in our city, and the commitment to meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		-Support policies that commit the city to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton.	
18.	March 5, 2022	Urban design should use designers of various cultures, especially indigenous to have buildings it into the land around.	Comment noted.
19.	March 5, 2022	Land acknowledgement could occur in the form of parks, green spaces, with the engagement of indigenous communities. Also, Hamilton is a vibrant multicultural community. Communities should be involved in the design of land use, parks, green spaces. Also, multi-generational family dwellings should be encouraged and supported.	Comment noted. Considered through implementation work on the Urban Indigenous Strategy.
20.	March 5, 2022	The Cultural Heritage of Hamilton requires preservation, not only that of the European settlers, but also that of the Indigenous peoples, who were much better stewards of the natural environment. We must learn from them how to live within the constraints of the natural world.	Comment noted.
21.	March 6, 2022	We borrow the earth from our grandchildren. How far would you go to protect their world? The cultural heritage of the lands we occupy conveys this message at every turn. Need I say more.	Comment noted.
22.	March 6, 2022	We need to support and respect our First Nations people and their history in our city. As a city we need to commit to meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities. It also is vital that we commit as a city to the proper preservation of our heritage properties so future generations can enjoy and learn from them.	Requirement for indigenous consultation is included in policy updates.
23.	March 6, 2022	I support all policy changes that acknowledge and respect First Nations.	Comment noted.
24.	March 6, 2022	I support your updates. It important to do our part with Truth and Reconciliation.	Comment noted.
25.	March 6, 2022	There needs to be more visible and concrete proof that we acknowledge and respect indigenous history in Hamilton.	Considered through implementation work on the Urban Indigenous Strategy.
26.	March 6, 2022	The city needs to acknowledge and respect First Nations history in our city, and commit to meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities. In addition, the needs to commit to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton.	Requirement for indigenous consultation is included in policy updates.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
27.	March 6, 2022	While these are essential goals, what about issues like saving Hamilton's historic buildings? Unlike Toronto Hamilton still has a lot of them and its vital to make sure they are retained.	Policies on heritage preservation are already present in the Official Plans.
28.	March 6, 2022	I Support acknowledgement and respect for First Nations, First Nations history in our city, and the commitment to meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities. I Support policies that commit the city to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton	Comment noted.
29.	March 6, 2022	I agree we need to learn much more about the meaning that natives attribute to the land, how is this (or they) to influence planning? Will the city be asking native groups to become engaged with the city to assist with planning taking into consideration their views and expectations? How will this work? Further, more resources should be added to the inventorying and designating of heritage buildings in the city, the waiting list for heritage buildings to be inventoried and assessed extends well into the 2050s.	Requirement for indigenous consultation is included in policy updates. Policies on heritage preservation are already present in the Official Plans.
30.	March 6, 2022	Consider cultural heritage in policy updated where compatible with environmental protection.	Comment noted.
31.	March 6, 2022	I support acknowledgement and respect for First Nations, First Nations history in our city, and the commitment to meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities. I support policies that commit the city to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton.	Comment noted.
32.	March 6, 2022	The city is making good progress in acknowledging and engaging with First Nations communities. I urge the city to support policies that commit Hamilton to the proper preservation of heritage properties. This is an area where we have sometimes fallen short in terms of losing properties in Ancaster for example, or failing to find viable solutions for Auchmar, for instance.	Comment noted.
33.	March 6, 2022	Very pleased to see the respect that will be given to first nations and the need to involve them in our decision process. We definitely need to preserve our cultural heritage every step of the way.	Comment noted.
34.	March 6, 2022	If we truly believe in striving for reconciliation, then we should follow the voices of our indigenous neighbours and do what they suggest. (period)	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
35.	March 7, 2022	<p>-Support acknowledgement and respect for First Nations, First Nations history in our city, and the commitment to meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities.</p> <p>-Support policies that commit the city to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton.</p>	Comment noted.
36.	March 7, 2022	Respect Indigenous sovereignty	Comment noted.
37.	March 7, 2022	We must work harder to engage our Indigenous communities' in meaningful consultations as we develop the City.	Requirement for indigenous consultation is included in policy updates.
38.	March 7, 2022	<p>The Cultural Heritage of our Indigenous Peoples has been so disrespected and denied, that we need to make amends. We need to acknowledge their cultural spaces and their heritage. While archaeological assessments are often required, the results are ignored. There may be more than 8,000 artefacts discovered in an area less than a block in size and it is determined that the area is insignificant and bulldozing can begin. This is not the way to show respect; it is an insult. Please, when major camps, artefacts, historically significant events are documented, please retain these areas as parks, as dedicated Memorials to the original keepers of this land. These are not the spaces where developers can erect condo towers.</p>	Comment noted.
39.	March 7, 2022	<p>I support acknowledgement and respect for First Nations, First Nations history in our city, and the commitment to meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities.</p> <p>I support policies that commit the city to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton.</p>	Comment noted.
40.	March 7, 2022	Protect our cultural heritage, physical buildings need to be protected and not torn down	Comment noted.
41.	March 7, 2022	I support policies that respect First Nation and their history in Hamilton and the need for meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities. Our heritage properties require a commitment to properly preserve them.	Comment noted.
42.	March 7, 2022	We support acknowledgement and respect for First Nations, First Nations history in our city, and the commitment to meaningful engagement with Indigenous	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		communities. We support policies that commit the city to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton.	
43.	March 7, 2022	Since my children and grandchildren have Indigenous heritage, I find the phrasing of this section offensive and will not comment	Comment noted.
44.	March 8, 2022	Engagement with indigenous communities is critical to understanding land use. For much too long we have overlooked indigenous land rights	Comment noted.
45.	March 8, 2022	-3. Architectural heritage be respected and heritage buildings be listed urgently (to prevent what happened e.g. in Ancaster on Wilson and Rousseau)	Policies on heritage preservation are already present in the Official Plans.
46.	March 8, 2022	Absolutely, respecting the recommendations made in the urban strategy report for indigenous peoples in Hamilton is very important.	Comment noted.
47.	March 8, 2022	Support acknowledgement and respect for First Nations, First Nations history in our city, and the commitment to meaningful engagement with Indigenous communities. -Support policies that commit the city to the proper preservation of heritage properties in Hamilton.	Comment noted.
48.	March 8, 2022	Be very clear about which indigenous groups were consulted and what they say about the draft plan.	Information on indigenous consultation is included in the staff report.
49.	March 8, 2022	We need to respect the First Nations and their history in our community. We need to consult and engage with the Indigenous community in decision-making. We need to strive to preserve our city's heritage properties.	Requirement for indigenous consultation is included in policy updates. Policies on heritage preservation are already present in the Official Plans.
50.	March 8, 2022	I like how Hamilton how celebrated our colonizers, but I can't think of any specific museum or area where I would be able to learn about First Nations, except for a plaque at Princess Point. We need to do better in this area.	Considered through implementation work on the Urban Indigenous Strategy.
51.	March 8, 2022	Old Montreal is a great example of modernizing heritage neighbourhood into a vibrant commercial success. Hamilton needs to incorporate heritage designs if not elements into new growth.	Policies on heritage preservation are already present in the Official Plans.
52.	March 8, 2022	I think the changes are good. Acknowledging and respecting Indigenous Cultural heritage is vital to the process of reconciliation.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
53.	March 8, 2022	I support the city in its efforts to respect and engage with the indigenous communities that currently call this land home as well as those who have historically called it home. I urge that this engagement and respect should be practical and real and not just lip service	Comment noted.
54.	March 8, 2022	Development policy must acknowledgement and respect for First Nations people and history in our city and ask that Indigenous communities be meaningfully engaged in conversations about the future of our city. Set policies that support the commitment to preserve heritage properties.	Requirement for indigenous consultation is included in policy updates. Policies on heritage preservation are already present in the Official Plans.
55.	March 8, 2022	<p>Indigenous knowledge has not been followed respectfully enough concerning our waterways! When Indigenous folks speak of their knowledge, it’s real and you should take off your blinders and look and listen. I am tired of seeing tampons and other debris around the waterfront; it’s time to commit to rebuilding Hamilton’s water infrastructure before we have deaths, and you have more lawsuits. And no more coverups, please!</p> <p>When you are planning HOW to rebuild the water system is the time to listen to Indigenous knowledge keepers; don’t make the same mistakes again.</p> <p>And don’t even think about repairing and bringing back the John A. M. statue! The Indigenous community pleaded with you to take it down, and most of you - shamefully - refused. The community has spoken!</p> <p>The cannons, symbols of state violence, considering who used to stand in between them, should be removed and melted down for an Indigenous artist to make a land defender warrior statue or some such fitting conversion.</p> <p>You should all take the Indigenous Canada course from the U of A on course. Some of the councillors comments during the statue debate were embarrassingly ignorant and extremely hurtful!</p> <p>Ryerson and Kitchener are among the names we should no longer glorify; they should be changed.</p>	Comment noted.

Table 4 – Provincial Plans Topic Area

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
1.	February 9, 2022	These are required. No comments	Comment noted.
2.	February 14, 2022	Protect the greenbelt and increase density within existing built up areas.	Proposed policy revisions plan for increased densities.
3.	February 15, 2022	It is unclear how the provincial plan/policies with regards to affordable housing with a variety of options will be met by the city within the current urban boundary. It appears that there is very limited space for the expected population growth as was pointed out in the City Planning staff recommendations.	Future OP Review phases will provide opportunity to address affordable housing and housing options. In addition, the City is currently consulting on Family Friendly Housing options.
4.	March 1, 2022	I have never seen any public engagement regarding the provincial plan. Would have been nice to have a voice in that.	The Province consulted on the Co-ordinated Provincial Plan Review in 2015.
5.	March 4, 2022	Ford has a lot of nerve ignoring Hamilton citizens' desires for high density housing. He is helping his construction buddies make lots of money at the expense of our future. The way the world is going, we need more farmland, not less, and people can't afford houses. Condos with greenspace are better.	Comment noted.
6.	March 4, 2022	Provincial government should leave local planning in local hands as much as possible while regulating NIMBYism.	Comment noted.
7.	March 4, 2022	I understand the necessity to bring the OP and AB into conformity with the Provincial Plans, unless the Provincial Plan policy changes are regressive and would set us back in our goals for our city.	Comment noted.
8.	March 4, 2022	I support changes to bring municipal plans into conformity with provincial plans.	Comment noted.
9.	March 4, 2022	Municipalities should seek to abolish the OLT, should seek to change the Municipal Act to give cities the power to set all land use policies with no appeal to a higher level of government for land developers.	Comment noted.
10.	March 5, 2022	The whole point of the Growth Plan was to allow for reasonable expansion of urban areas to accommodate the growth that areas such as Hamilton will experience. It is vital to provide a full range of housing opportunities for proper growth to occur. The concept of stuffing all the growth into the existing urban area,	The policy revisions are implementing the Council direction for a No Urban Boundary Expansion growth scenario.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		as adopted by Council, will not do this, and will stultify the dream of people to live in something other than an apartment, since it will drive up the prices of other forms of housing.	
11.	March 5, 2022	The provincial plan to abandon all of the checks and balances in building restrictions, zoning, and the city have any day in what or how big things are built is dangerous. I live in a quiet street and the province has green lighted a 20 story apartment building on the corner of west 5th and stonechurch. The infrastructure cannot withstand this kind of density. West 5th is a narrow two lanes and stonechurch is congested already. If you can't widen the road you shouldn't increase population density. Stonechurch will be gridlock and dangerous.	Comment noted.
12.	March 5, 2022	Municipalities must bring their plans into conformity with provincial plans. There have been changes made to some provincial policies and these must be reflected in the city's official plans.	Comment noted.
13.	March 5, 2022	-Municipalities must bring their plans into conformity with provincial plans. There have been changes made to some provincial policies and these must be reflected in the city's official plans.	Comment noted.
14.	March 5, 2022	The policy sounds good, but in my own experience, staff at bylaw allowed diverting of flood waters by a neighbour onto my yard killing trees and creating a standing water problem. several complaints with no results. so I'm totally skeptical about these goals actually being implemented.	Comment noted.
15.	March 5, 2022	No urban boundary expansion. No development of rural, natural, or ecologically significant land. This includes wetlands, tall grass meadows, the escarpment, etc. Hamilton needs housing in its core.	Comment noted.
16.	March 5, 2022	The provincial plans laid out are so confusing; does anyone even try to read the changes planned? The escarpment should be preserved. End of story	Comment noted.
17.	March 5, 2022	Provincial policies must be reflected within the City of Hamilton official plan.	Comment noted.
18.	March 5, 2022	I feel that the Province has no right to interfere in the “bedroom” of our community! Council and all councillors are elected to represent their constituents' interests	Comment noted.
19.	March 5, 2022	I support Municipalities must bring their plans into conformity with provincial plans. There have been changes made to some provincial policies and these must be reflected in the city's official plans.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
20.	March 5, 2022	As a resident of Hamilton, I feel it is imperative that municipalities must bring their plans into conformity with provincial plans. There have been changes made to some provincial policies and these must be reflected in the city’s official plans.	Comment noted.
21.	March 5, 2022	I realize the province has obscene control over what we can do. It is imperative we are involved in the soon provincial election and make city plans a topic of discussion.	Comment noted.
22.	March 5, 2022	Hamilton needs to stand firm against provincial pressures to expand urban boundaries. Yes, other municipalities around us also face pressures, but Hamilton should not bear the brunt of the need for expansion.	Comment noted.
23.	March 5, 2022	Hamilton is required to show how it will house the projected growth of the Provincial Plans.	Comment noted.
24.	March 6, 2022	Keeping the Niagara greenbelt intact with room above and below for diversification of wildlife is paramount to providing a wildlife highway, and a human refuge from the pressure of urban density. In turn the fields below are prime fruit belt lands which are so rare in Canada. Left intact these same fields bring pollinators to our food, and provide another highway for them to travel on.	Comment noted.
25.	March 6, 2022	-Municipalities have to ensure they bring their plans into conformity with provincial plans. There have been changes made to some provincial policies and these must be reflected in the city’s official plans.	Comment noted.
26.	March 6, 2022	I support all policy changes.	Comment noted.
27.	March 6, 2022	Yes we have to work in tandem with our provincial partners	Comment noted.
28.	March 6, 2022	There have been changes made to some provincial policies and these must be reflected in the city’s official plans.	Comment noted.
29.	March 6, 2022	The proposal to allow 4 storey/4unit buildings in all residential areas is a recipe for disaster. It will actually raise land prices and allow for blockbusting of liveable residential neighbourhoods. Hamilton is to be commended on restricting urban sprawl - hang tight on that!	Staff are not aware of evidence to suggest that land prices will increase.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
30.	March 6, 2022	Municipalities must bring their plans into conformity with provincial plans. There have been changes made to some provincial policies and these must be reflected in the city’s official plans.	Comment noted.
31.	March 6, 2022	Intensification is a positive, but at levels which do not change neighbourhoods and create intolerable traffic. Residents of neighbourhoods should be able to participate - and be heard - in the planning process for new buildings and infrastructure in their neighbourhoods.	Residents are invited to participate in Official Plan and Zoning amendment applications in their neighbourhoods.
32.	March 6, 2022	Again, accommodate growth via good planning within existing urban boundaries since remaining land surrounding these boundaries is part of Canada’s prime and limited arable regions. This must be protected to secure our collective future. The Niagara escarpment should be treated as sacred.	Comment noted.
33.	March 6, 2022	The province should NOT interfere with Hamilton’s growth plan as decided by City Council. Tell the Province to go whistle and to look forward not backward to unsustainable solutions such as urban sprawl.	Comment noted.
34.	March 6, 2022	Municipalities must bring their plans into conformity with provincial plans. There have been changes made to some provincial policies and these must be reflected in the city’s official plans.	Comment noted.
35.	March 6, 2022	I'm opposed to much of the Growth Plan measures that are being promoted by the current provincial government as they encourage suburban sprawl, involve proposed construction of costly and unnecessary major highways like Highway 413 and the Bradford Bypass, and do not ensure robust protection for the Niagara Escarpment and the Greenbelt --- among other concerns that I and a growing number of conservation and environmental organizations have. I will not vote for the current government in the June election and I'm hoping for a new government after the provincial election. I hope our city council will continue to speak up against many of the controversial planning directives coming from Queen's Park at this time.	Comment noted.
36.	March 6, 2022	Doug Ford and the Provincial Conservatives are idiots who are ****ing up Ontario.GET THE **** OUT! I HATE YOU!	Comment noted.
37.	March 7, 2022	Provincial policies must be reflected in the city’s official plans	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
38.	March 7, 2022	Although we must conform to the plans as set out by the Province, I urge the City to continue to educate about and advocate for, the visions of community members who are concerned to preserve the environment, create livable cities and plan for a future that cannot depend on suburban sprawl.	Comment noted.
39.	March 7, 2022	Municipalities must bring their plans into conformity with provincial plans. There have been changes made to some provincial policies and these must be reflected in the city's official plans.	Comment noted.
40.	March 7, 2022	We understand that municipalities must bring their plans into conformity with provincial plans. We understand there have been changes made to some provincial policies and these must be reflected in the city's official plans.	Comment noted.
41.	March 7, 2022	With a provincial election scheduled for June 2022 it would be premature to comment and I think it's important to consider what might happen at the federal level next year.	Comment noted.
42.	March 8, 2022	I hope the city can find ways to preserve the character of Hamilton's downtown family oriented neighbourhoods while meeting the provincial expectations.	Comment noted.
43.	March 8, 2022	-4. Agreeing with the Provincial Government the necessity to adapt for population growth while protection of farmland, natural areas and parks be strongly advocated	Comment noted.
44.	March 8, 2022	Since Hamilton is required to fall in line with provincial plans, the policy changes proposed by city staff should be heeded.	Comment noted.
45.	March 8, 2022	-Municipalities must bring their plans into conformity with provincial plans. There have been changes made to some provincial policies and these must be reflected in the city's official plans.	Comment noted.
46.	March 8, 2022	The City's plans need to conform with provincial plans and changes made to provincial policies need to be reflected in the city's official plans.	Comment noted.
47.	March 8, 2022	Whenever possible please disregard anything that comes from Ford's government. His thinking is obsolete and his reminds me of a used car salesman trying to sell more roads and destroying habitats. This is not 1965!	Comment noted.
48.	March 8, 2022	Province needs to let citizens input be a weighted part of planning decisions. Decision makers who do not know cities or neighbourhoods have no place in planning other than higher level integration of planning policy. Current build big growth policy fuels price escalation that will devastate cities.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
49.	March 8, 2022	The definition of affordable housing must be limited to the first one listed. (cost of housing expenses being no more than 30% of income). 10% less than the average house price would mean homes selling 800-900,000 are affordable. Obviously they are not. Also the section that mention protecting land, air and water should add or include wetlands.	The definition is consistent with the Provincial Policy Statement. The existing policies of Section C.2.0 – Natural Heritage System provide protection for Core Areas including wetlands.
50.	March 8, 2022	Provincial policies must be reflected within the City of Hamilton official plan.	Comment noted.
51.	March 8, 2022	Protect our wetlands from development, and other sensitive areas.	The existing policies of Section C.2.0 – Natural Heritage System provide protection for Core Areas including wetlands.
52.	March 8, 2022	Places like the Holland Marsh should be designated as inviolate, so no one like Ford can ever threaten it again. Ontario relies on it for vegetable production. We don't need more highways, we need more wetlands and more transit! We should revise terms such as the Golden Horseshoe to reflect what I would hope is a new relationship with the land, one that is more Indigenous. Areas should be defined by their wetlands to reflect this new understanding “of what life is really for”, to quote Bob Marley. The Ring of Fire, though further away, is an example of how important names are. If the plans by Rio Tinto to mine the north and crisscross it with highways are allowed, the carbon released by the peat bogs in the process would be disastrous for Ontario's carbon budget and bring irrevocable harm to the many Indigenous communities in the North.	Comment noted.

Table 5 – Housing Topic Area

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
1.	February 4, 2022	Hamilton has a duty to its people to ensure they are safely housed. All of the new developments in Hamilton should have a percentage dedicated to affordable housing to try and help to offset the housing crisis. There needs to be a huge amount of affordable housing created and maintained in these times of housing market price explosions to ensure that no more people lose their housing, and that more affordable housing is always being created. To simply ensure that multiple unit dwellings are providing a mix of unit sizes but not ensure that any units can actually be afforded by individuals in the community is not a good way forward. An increase in supportive housing for those living with mental illness and/or addiction who may have many struggles maintaining housing without support is important as well.	The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies and by-laws to provide additional opportunities for affordable housing in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023. Housing with supports is permitted in Institutional, Neighbourhoods, Commercial and Mixed Use designations in the UHOP.
2.	February 9, 2022	To achieve the intensification planned the residential intensification policies need to be more flexible	Comment noted.
3.	February 10, 2022	Additional Dwelling Units, ancillary to the primary dwelling unit SHOULD be permitted in Rural Zones. Adding an additional dwelling unit in a rural zone is a great way for families to age in place, and build multi-generational housing opportunities in rural Hamilton. Please feel free to reach out to me #####. As part of my Masters in Rural Planning and Development, I researched best-practices in Rural ADU policy, and drafted Bruce County ADU bylaw. I am happy to help contribute my expertise. Bruce County has since adopted (with minor edits) my recommendations, and ADU's (primary, second unit in primary, and third unit accessory to the primary) is permitted in rural zones across Bruce County with the exemption of a few zones (like rural recreational). We account of severance risk etc. Please reach out. https://www.thestar.com/news/canada/2021/05/03/changes-to-bruce-countys-official-plan-would-create-additional-housing-opportunities-on-existing-properties.html	Policies for internal secondary dwelling units have been established for the Rural area. The City is currently investigating the possibility of detached Secondary Dwelling Units for the Rural area.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
4.	February 13, 2022	Increase density and allow at least 5 units of housing on every lot in the city. Remove all barriers towards increasing housing supply so that we can house everyone who wants to live in Hamilton at a price point they can afford.	Proposed policy updates include allowance for 6 units in Neighbourhoods in low density areas near collector or arterial roads.
5.	February 15, 2022	<p>Incentives for homeowners to renovate existing single unit properties into the proposed permitted low-density neighbourhood uses, either through tax-breaks or waived development/permit fees. This would have the effect of encouraging long-term residents to add density and slow the market by reducing the number of transactions when density is added (i.e.: owner retains property and adds rental units vs. owner sells, property redeveloped, property sold again to future owners - 0 sales vs 2).</p> <p>As per the recent provincial housing taskforce report the increased permitted uses should be by-right and limit the need for city and community approvals to limit the ability for NIMBYs to restrict the implementation of density goals. Remember that while community consultation is important, NIMBYs are not representative and are inherently self-serving, and that the future residents a development might add don't get a voice during these consultations.</p>	<p>Comment noted. No new incentives are being proposed at this time.</p> <p>Proposed policy updates include allowance for up to 6 units in Neighbourhoods in low density areas near collector or arterial roads.</p>
6.	March 1, 2022	Love the idea of vacancy tax after a certain time period.	Comment noted.
7.	March 4, 2022	Addressing the issues of homelessness are key to moving forward as a community. We know that it is a multi layered issue, so a multi layered response to address needs is necessary.	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Housing policies have been, and will continue to be, updated in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p>
8.	March 4, 2022	We have as-of-right SDUs and should also remove zoning that calls for single-family (protected) use. For example, south of Aberdeen in the Durand neighbourhood, there are many large homes that would be very suitable as multi-unit dwellings. I'm not a fan of wasteful demolition if it can be avoided -- I think the population of that area could easily double or triple in the next 100 years without	Proposed policy updates include allowance for fourplex units in low density areas of the Neighbourhoods designation, and multiple dwellings up to 6 units near collector or arterial roads.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		demolishing one house if the zoning is changed to allow multi-unit. This would also maintain tree canopy cover in that area, which is extensive.	
9.	March 4, 2022	Increasing housing density and diversity is a must with specific care to provide alternatives that are accessible to the poor and otherwise challenged people who struggle with housing. Tiny house communities should be an option in this modern age. Not just more housing, but livable housing with family friendly spaces.	Comment noted.
10.	March 4, 2022	I support policies to create greater affordable housing and social housing in Hamilton. Specifically, inclusionary zoning around transit stations, community benefits charges to require developers to build affordable housing, additional family friendly housing in the downtown and residential intensification.	<p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies and by-laws to provide additional opportunities for affordable housing in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>
11.	March 4, 2022	Instead of mega tall towers, we need a lot of mid-rise buildings (6 to 8 storeys). This is much more social and less isolating for residents. The proposed huge tower on the waterfront at Pier 8 is just an ego-trip for Kurabawa Architects. It is not needed and will just set a precedent - soon we will have a wall of highrise towers blocking off the waterfront, just like Toronto's ugly waterfront.	Comment noted.
12.	March 4, 2022	<p>- I support the city’s commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan, and urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>- I urge the city to adopt policies that require ‘family friendly’ housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings.</p>	<p>Housing policies have been, and will continue to be, updated in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - I support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability. - I support official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development) 	<p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>
13.	March 5, 2022	<p>Balance is key. It is important to infill communities considering the socioeconomica. For our city public Housing and cheaper units need to infill Ancaster, binbrook, Dundas and water down. More expensive units need to go in lower income areas like downtown and areas with a lot of townhomes. Balance allows for schools that can provide quality education, and allows social services and police to help effectively please add me to the community housing committee.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Proposed policy updates continue to align with provincial policies to develop complete communities, with a range of housing options.</p>
14.	March 5, 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Support the city’s commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan, and urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge. -Urge the city to adopt policies that require ‘family friendly’ housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings. -Support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability. -Support official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development). 	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>
15.	March 5, 2022	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Support the city’s commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan, and urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a 	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>'community benefits charges' policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>-Urge the city to adopt policies that require 'family friendly' housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings.</p> <p>-Support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability.</p> <p>-Support official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development).</p>	<p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>
16.	March 5, 2022	Sounds fine, but is it just words on paper, or pixels? tiny homes as temporary solutions for the homeless, why not?	Comment noted.
17.	March 5, 2022	Hamilton needs more affordable housing, and subsidized housing for lower income individuals and families. The city should be trialing temporary housing, where unhoused people can have more autonomy than in a shelter and prepare for independent life.	Temporary housing, such as modular tiny homes would be considered an emergency shelter and is permitted in various zones, with consideration given to how they would be serviced (water, sewage, power) and designed for safety of occupants.
18.	March 5, 2022	Again, utilize unused areas in current dwelling areas first with a definite mix of unit sizes and types of dwellings to address multiple needs.	Comment noted.
19.	March 5, 2022	<p>Take every opportunity to leverage every city mechanism to ensure more affordable and deeply affordable housing and fulfill the strategic targets set out in the Housing Action Plan. Set out an ambitious inclusionary zoning policy around all major transit station areas and set a community benefits charge policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>Adopt policies that require family-friendly housing in higher density areas and higher density buildings.</p> <p>The proposed residential intensification policies are an important way to provide more housing options and a range of affordability options that must be supported.</p>	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City's Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		Official plan criteria must support the balance between the need for more housing with the building of liveable communities including policies related to green development.	with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.
20.	March 5, 2022	<p>Housing should not be a luxury! I Support the city’s commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan, and urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>-Urge the city to adopt policies that require ‘family friendly’ housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings.</p> <p>-Support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability.</p> <p>-Support official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development).</p>	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>
21.	March 5, 2022	<p>‘As a resident of Hamilton, I</p> <p>-Support the city’s commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan, and urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>-Urge the city to adopt policies that require ‘family friendly’ housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings.</p>	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>-Support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability.</p> <p>-Support official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development).</p>	
22.	March 5, 2022	<p>Mixed use of high risers can include subsidized housing, child care, and education as well as businesses. Tiny houses designed for the homeless is NB in areas appropriate for them. Tiny houses are also NB for intensification for others, as well as the other types of multiple dwellings.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Temporary housing, such as modular tiny homes would be considered an emergency shelter and is permitted in various zones, with consideration given to how they would be serviced (water, sewage, power) and designed for safety of occupants.</p> <p>Detached SDUs are also permitted across the City to add additional rental units to the housing supply.</p>
23.	March 5, 2022	<p>City Housing needs a rework. I live across from a Hamilton Housing Complex. There is a unit that has been empty for a year!! During an affordable housing crisis. Just building more units will not solve the issue. Needs a systemic approach, which includes how City Housing is managed, evaluated, and engages community.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
24.	March 5, 2022	<p>I support the housing related policies of the City Staff. I particularly would like to see affordable housing provided near transit hubs and dwellings with a mix of unit sizes, which would provide a range of affordability, everywhere within the City limits. I also support the official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building livable communities (including policies related to green development).</p>	<p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies for Protected Major Transit Station Areas in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
25.	March 5, 2022	Concerns remain about rental prices: newbuilds with 20% below market rent means a single person with income of \$37,000 paying 30% on rent can still not afford this proposed rent. So who are you targeting and who are you leaving behind in this new vision of Hamilton?	Comment noted. Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan. Future updates will be made in accordance with changes with Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.
26.	March 6, 2022	Providing safe havens is paramount to the growing separation of haves and have nots, especially as our affordable housing crisis looms. Often this will include recently out-on-their-own individuals who need a leg up. Safety is a major concern for the homeless, as is available spaces and reliable sources of support. I think high density urban neighbourhoods are how best to integrate homeless people while spreading them out into safer areas. As well as providing easily accessible forested lots for escaping from pressures.	Comment noted.
27.	March 6, 2022	I support the city’s housing strategy targets as set out in the Housing Acton Plan, I urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to make more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge. We need family friendly neighbourhoods in our high density areas like downtown. We need livable communities with green spaces that allow families to thrive.	The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023. The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.
28.	March 6, 2022	I support all policy changes and encourage the city to take every action possible to increase the availability of affordable housing, especially along transportation corridors.	The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.
29.	March 6, 2022	Who can afford to rent space or be housed in unaffordable million dollar houses or properties? Will landlords who offer affordable rents be subsidized in order to pay monthly expenses on the property?	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
30.	March 6, 2022	<p>The city needs to fulfill its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan. We have to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ , and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>The city needs policies that require ‘family friendly’ housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings.</p> <p>I support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability.</p> <p>We also need official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development).</p>	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>
31.	March 6, 2022	<p>We need more affordable housing. This should be a priority. Multiple dwellings per unit should be flexible as long as safety can be maintained.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
32.	March 6, 2022	<p>Full speed ahead on providing apartments for homeless people.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
33.	March 6, 2022	<p>I Support the city’s commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan, and urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>I Urge the city to adopt policies that require ‘family friendly’ housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings.</p> <p>I Support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability.</p> <p>I Support official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development)</p>	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
34.	March 6, 2022	Secondary Plans should not be changed, especially: 1) where cultural heritage landscapes or heritage-designated areas are concerned; and 2) where entire communities have participated and dedicated resources of time to the development of Secondary Plans. Bureaucratic imposition of new limits on, for example, height and massing, setbacks, number of dwellings on a lot, etc. is not legitimate in a democracy, and will meet with resistance from neighbourhoods and communities. These comprise the daily living conditions of people, and it is vital that they have input into decisions. Councillors are elected to represent their constituents, not to make autonomous decisions until the next election, they need to be responsive to their electorate.	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Changes to Secondary Plans are not proposed as part of this amendment with the exception of updates to implement the City’s Employment Land Review.</p>
35.	March 6, 2022	Agree with plan.	Comment noted.
36.	March 6, 2022	Please listen to housing advocates for new ideas! Tiny houses on the SJAM site is brave and forward thinking.	Temporary housing, such as modular tiny homes would be considered an emergency shelter and is permitted in various zones, with consideration given to how they would be serviced (water, sewage, power) and designed for safety of occupants.
37.	March 6, 2022	<p>I support the city’s commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan, and urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>I urge the city to adopt policies that require ‘family friendly’ housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings.</p> <p>I support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability.</p> <p>I support official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with</p>	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development)	The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.
38.	March 6, 2022	<p>I support the city's commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan and urge the city to do everything it can to provide not only more affordable, but also social housing where the definition of "affordability" is now beyond the means of many economically-pressed people. In addition to a new emphasis on discussing investment in social housing (a good topic in an election year, in my view), the city can move forward now with steps such as an ambitious 'inclusionary zoning" policy around all major transit station areas and a 'community benefits charges' policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>I also urge the city to require 'family friendly' housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings. I support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability. Similarly, I also support official plan criteria to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development).</p>	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>
39.	March 6, 2022	We definitely need some larger units for families and affordable housing. We need to make our city more liveable with more green spaces. Agree that we need to make it easier for houses to be turned into multi-home residences.	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Family friendly housing project by the City will be starting in March 2022.</p>
40.	March 6, 2022	Create new laws that demand houses be built out of materials and finishes that will last at least 10 years. My husband owns a carpentry business and he tells me horror stories of how he is hired to repair aspects of houses less than 2 years old. That is ridiculous from an environmental point of view. We owe our planet better.	Comment noted.
41.	March 7, 2022	-Support the city’s commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan, and urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in	Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge. -Urge the city to adopt policies that require ‘family friendly’ housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings. - Support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability. - Support official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development).</p>	<p>The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>
42.	March 7, 2022	<p>Hamilton needs to prioritize housing people NOW before more people die. Take every opportunity to do everything you can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton.</p>	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan (HHAP) and may be updated if there are changes made to the HHAP.</p>
43.	March 7, 2022	<p>The need for more affordable and subsidized housing is clearly a priority for city planners. I would like to see family friendly housing in downtown Hamilton; (i.e., 600 square feet apartments are not family friendly!) I am also in favour of zoning changes that support the incorporation of laneway housing, duplexes and four-six and eight-plex’s in residential neighbourhoods. This is a gentle way to urbanize our neighbourhoods.</p>	<p>The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.</p> <p>Proposed policy updates include allowance for fourplex units in low density areas of the Neighbourhoods designation, and multiple dwellings up to 6 units near collector or arterial roads.</p>
44.	March 7, 2022	<p>Housing remains one of Hamilton’s most critical requirements as costs skyrocket and affordable housing (either rent or own) has become unattainable. Please support the Housing Action Plan and implement the ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy,</p>	<p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>especially around new and existing major transit stations. By strategically placing the right mix of housing along transportation routes, you can once again meet many of the requirements of the No Urban Boundary Expansion Plan: climate mitigation, easy-access transportation, and affordable housing in a mix of family friendly housing and in higher density buildings.</p>	
45.	March 7, 2022	<p>I support the city’s commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan, and urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>I urge the city to adopt policies that require ‘family friendly’ housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings.</p> <p>I support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability.</p> <p>I support official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development).</p>	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>
46.	March 7, 2022	<p>Enforce rules that developers must incorporate a percentage of apartments or even condos that are affordable. We cannot as a community segregate people. You just create more division when you separate people. We need to create a more empathetic city.</p>	<p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p>
47.	March 7, 2022	<p>Support more affordable and deeply affordable housing close to all major transportation areas. Ensure policies that require family friendly housing in higher density areas, support more housing options and provide liveable communities including important green development.</p>	<p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
			The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.
48.	March 7, 2022	<p>We support the city’s commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan, and we urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable and deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>We urge the city to adopt policies that require ‘family friendly’ housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings.</p> <p>We support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability.</p> <p>We support official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development).</p>	<p>Housing policies updates are in accordance with the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>
49.	March 7, 2022	Until the federal government comes up with a cohesive set of strategies to replace the patchwork of policies implemented over the past 20 years municipalities will continue to flounder. Hamilton as a municipality with a historic union presence could lead the way by supporting community based non-market housing.	Comment noted.
50.	March 8, 2022	Develop mixed housing opportunities along future high density corridors, including along the LRT line.	<p>Transit Oriented Corridors zoning in place along the LRT corridor.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
51.	March 8, 2022	The city must find ways to require family friendly housing to be incorporated into high density developments. All high density developments must also provide affordable units in a variety of sizes.	The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.
52.	March 8, 2022	- 5. Intensification of existing build up areas as well as increased population density in City ‘green areas’ (areas designated for building) be realized as planned; also that creation an increased percentage of affordable multi-person household housing, including rental be realized.	Designated Greenfield Areas are planned to achieve an overall density of 60 people and jobs / hectare, with newer developments anticipated to achieve higher densities.
53.	March 8, 2022	We like the proposed policy changes that make intensification and infilling easier. Also, there must be requirements for more affordable housing, which belongs within the urban boundary to help those without cars.	The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.
54.	March 8, 2022	<p>-STOP developers from going to OLT if the city and residents said NO to building in older neighbourhoods.</p> <p>-Support the city’s commitment to ensure that it fulfills its housing strategy targets as set out in its Housing Action Plan, and urge the city to take every opportunity to do everything it can to provide more affordable + deeply affordable housing in Hamilton. This should include an ambitious ‘inclusionary zoning’ policy around all ‘major transit station areas’ (future LRT stations, existing GO Stations), and a ‘community benefits charges’ policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>-Urge the city to adopt policies that require ‘family friendly’ housing in higher density areas like Downtown Hamilton, and in higher density buildings.</p> <p>-Support the proposed residential intensification policies as an important way to provide more housing options and options that span a range of affordability.</p> <p>-Support official plan criteria designed to balance the need for more housing with the importance of building liveable communities (including policies related to green development).</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Housing policies updates implement the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
55.	March 8, 2022	We NEED affordable and deeply affordable housing. If developers won't build it then we must MANDATE it or the city needs to build it. No more single bed luxury condos for the rich. Regular people need homes. Families need homes. Require 3 bed family homes to be built in rentals and condos for sale. We need inclusionary zoning and much more infill around transportation hubs.	<p>The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p>
56.	March 8, 2022	We need to provide affordable and deeply affordable housing in Hamilton so that every citizen can have a home. These should be situated close to public transit including the LRT and Go stations. The higher density areas must include family-friendly units and not just one-bedroom high-end condos. Intensification within the urban boundary should include a mix of housing types to provide e a variety of affordable pricing creating livable communities with minimal effects on the climate.	<p>Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed with the planning of Major Transit Station Areas in Phase 4 of the MCR.</p> <p>Updates to policies for Low Density areas of Neighbourhoods introduces opportunity for more dwelling units.</p>
57.	March 8, 2022	I agree with allowing homeowners to build tiny houses, or use their garages for housing. I think that housing in urban areas can accommodate 3 story or 4 story housing.	Comment noted.
58.	March 8, 2022	Need full range of housing choices from rooming houses through missing middle multiple family triplexes to mid rise apartment towers. High density does not carry well through from family to elderly.	Comment noted.
59.	March 8, 2022	Bylaws need to change to allow laneway housing and granny suites on existing properties. These changes must be such that making such changes is without undue red tape and expenses. In the downtown area all I see are high rises of 20 stories or higher blighting the skyline for those of us who are residents. The city needs to mandate or incentivize the building of sixplexes, triplexes, 5-6 storey apartments in already built areas with good transit, such as most of the mountain and lower Stoney Creek and west Hamilton.	<p>Internal and Detached Secondary Dwelling Units are permitted throughout the residential areas of the City.</p> <p>Policy amendments to allow up to 6 unit multiple dwellings in low density areas is proposed.</p>
60.	March 8, 2022	I’d like to see as much affordable and deeply affordable housing as possible including family friendly options which are near major transit hubs. This housing should be build in livable, walkable neighbourhoods	Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed with the planning of Major Transit Station Areas in Phase 4 of the MCR.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
61.	March 8, 2022	<p>Take every opportunity to leverage every city mechanism to ensure more affordable and deeply affordable housing and fulfill the strategic targets set out in the Housing Action Plan. Set out an ambitious inclusionary zoning policy around all major transit station areas and set a community benefits charge policy that includes affordable housing as an eligible charge.</p> <p>Adopt policies that require family-friendly housing in higher density areas and higher density buildings.</p> <p>The proposed residential intensification policies are an important way to provide more housing options and a range of affordability options that must be supported. Official plan criteria must support the balance between the need for more housing with the building of liveable communities including policies related to green development.</p>	<p>Housing policies updates implement the City’s Housing and Homelessness Action Plan.</p> <p>The City’s Family friendly housing project will be undertaking community engagement in March 2022.</p> <p>The City will be looking at options for Inclusionary Zoning policies in Phase 4 of the MCR, Q2 2023.</p> <p>The City is currently working on the Community Benefits Charges By-law with a goal of final Council approval in Summer 2022.</p>
62.	March 8, 2022	<p>Council declared a climate emergency in 2019 and has done next to nothing. There is an opportunity to establish requirements for any new housing to be powered by renewable energy only. We also need to have incentives and requirements - over the medium term (5yrs) - for renovations to comply with low-energy and GHG-free energy systems</p>	<p>City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023).</p>
63.	March 8, 2022	<p>Ask that developers set aside part of new builds for geared to income units. Give permits for buildings within the urban boundary. Consider the cost to the environment if people need to commute by car.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
64.	March 8, 2022	<p>Housing for All Geared to Income lists are appallingly long and must be addressed by people friendly intensification No more destroying tent cities!</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>

Table 6 – Climate Related Topic Area

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
1.	February 4, 2022	The city of Hamilton has declared a climate emergency and it is important they act accordingly. To assess what we already have and figure out how best to improve its efficiency and conservation ability as well as to decrease the vulnerabilities is very important. To ensure that all buildings being constructed or renovated within the City are also doing so with the greatest conservation and efficiency in mind is also very important. Make sure that water isn't being wasted, that sewage isn't entering waterways without proper treatment, that greenhouse gasses are reduced during every. single. step. We need to protect this earth that we live on, the living plants and animals on it, including the people, especially the most vulnerable who potentially are unable to protect themselves without assistance from their community.	Comment noted.
2.	February 9, 2022	Cannot Follow the policies Are green building standards planned to be mandatory And if so how does this work with the affordable housing policies of the plan as green building standards result in significant increases to the cost of construction	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023).
3.	February 13, 2022	Prioritize transit oriented development, walkable neighbourhoods and bike lanes.	Comment noted.
4.	February 15, 2022	Consider a tax-break or other incentive for front lawns and gardens to be converted to native species rain gardens. Consider alternative slow clearing methods to limit the usage of road salt, which is catastrophic to the local environment but who's effects are not immediate. Consider all externalized costs. Consider more incentives to creating community food gardens on existing public land (e.g.: reduce the size of the chedoke golf course, convert some area to more inclusive uses like community gardens and a city park with a focus on native species).	Comment noted. Suggested incentives are outside the purview of the Official Plan.
5.	March 4, 2022	These are all key focus issues as we move forward in general as a population. Green space, and the access to it for everyone, are directly related to our overall	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		health and well being. It is important that we keep it top of mind in all future development, and to also loop in discussion with experts in the field. Businesses should not drive climate related policy. This should absolutely come from communities, experts, and indigenous peoples.	
6.	March 4, 2022	We need green building standards for all new development.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023).
7.	March 4, 2022	Climate impacts must be minimized through the policies of the OP. Ensure the completion of the Community Energy and Emissions plan and the Urban Forest Strategy. Climate impacts must be considered in ALL development decisions.	The Community Energy and Emissions Plan (CEEP) will be forthcoming for consultation in Summer 2022. Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
8.	March 4, 2022	These policies must reflect a climate lens and, specifically, the CEEP and Urban Forest Strategy should be included in Hamilton's official plan. As well, new developments must discourage parking and car use and encourage active transportation and renewable energy options such as solar panels and passive house standards.	The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved.
9.	March 5, 2022	Get the water run off system and storm sewers fixed so no more waste water is dumped into Cootes Paradise	Comment noted.
10.	March 5, 2022	Green energy. Conserving greenbelt. Recreate hard line. The city can designate land so province cannot pave over. Invite green companies to build in hamilton and export worldwide	Comment noted.
11.	March 5, 2022	-It is good to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan but city needs to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which	The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton’s official plans.</p> <p>-Urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.”</p>	<p>CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.</p>
12.	March 5, 2022	<p>-Acknowledge that it is good to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan</p> <p>-But urge the city to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton’s official plans.</p> <p>-Urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.”</p>	<p>The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.</p>
13.	March 5, 2022	<p>if these policies aren't just pie in the sky, but actually get implemented, they would be helpful.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
14.	March 5, 2022	<p>Hamilton should be aiming to build new buildings with high SITES ratings. Hamilton needs green roofs, more green space, more trees, and more community gardens to allow citizens to produce their own food and lighten the supply chain demand. Hamilton city should actively promote community gardens as a way to reduce food cost, reduce climate impact, and lighten the burden on our supply chain.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
15.	March 5, 2022	<p>To include the changing climate is a no brainer. This topic should be the absolute first one to be dealt with.</p> <p>Save our city climate, our planet. Use "green" resources more. Think outside the box for a change.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
16.	March 5, 2022	<p>I'm so happy that Climate has been recognized and included in the official plan!!! It is my understanding that a few key plans such as the CEEP & Urban Forest Strategy are still in draft form. I urge you to finalize these and reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton's official plans.</p>	<p>The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		A climate lens must be applied to all planning decisions as per the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction1 of the Nine Directions to Guide Development.	GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.
17.	March 5, 2022	I support the idea that ALL development should be scrutinized with an eye to climate change impact.	Comment noted.
18.	March 5, 2022	<p>I -Acknowledge that it is good to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan</p> <p>-But urge the city to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton’s official plans.</p> <p>-Urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.”-Express support for urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities.</p> <p>-Support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six-plexes on a neighbourhood’s outer edges.</p> <p>-Support higher density targets around ‘major transit station areas’ - but urge city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.</p>	<p>The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved.</p> <p>GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.</p>
19.	March 5, 2022	<p>‘As a resident of Hamilton, I</p> <p>-Acknowledge that it is good to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan</p> <p>-But urge the city to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton’s official plans.</p>	<p>The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved.</p> <p>GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		-Urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.”	
20.	March 5, 2022	Buildings should be built with green rooves as well as greenery around. The building material should be ecologically sound e.g., wood products when possible rather than cement. Wind tunnels around them used for wind turbines, drives and walks designed to allow rain absorbtion, etc. Allowing highrisers to be built with huge windows and no plans for energy development and conservation is ridiculous.	Comment noted. Green roofs and green infrastructure are encouraged through policy updates.
21.	March 5, 2022	Don't focus only on bike lanes!! We need more sidewalks in good repair.	Comment noted.
22.	March 5, 2022	The City has officially recognized the climate crisis, however more ACTIONS are required to reduce fossil fuel use and address the impacts of more extreme weather. The City should encourage District geothermal heating options in new residential, commercial and industrial development, low impact development with green infrastructure and permeable pavements, and energy conservation measures in to the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy Plan, which it needs to compete soon.	Low impact development and green infrastructure are encouraged through policy updates. The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.
23.	March 5, 2022	Under section B.3.2.4.7,Growth Plan Policies: replace : "shall be encouraged" to ' are required to 'utilize locally sourced materials and incorporate water conservation and energy efficient techniques	Encouragement is considered appropriate for this policy as a 'shall' policy would require an Official Plan amendment if local materials are not available.
24.	March 6, 2022	Green energy should be free from taxes, penalties, surcharges etc. in order to create supply and demand in local communities, based on the environments of those communities. Hamilton has plenty of “dirty air” to provide small wind-generated energy grids to new and existing urban areas.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
25.	March 6, 2022	It is good that the issue of climate is starting to be mentioned and addressed as it is a crisis that needs our immediate attention. But we need to make sure we move forward and take action. Let's finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton's official documents. We need to apply a climate lens to all future planning decisions as was agreed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: "Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions." The climate will not wait we must act now!	The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.
26.	March 6, 2022	I support all policy changes and encourage the city to make the assessment of climate impact a mandatory consideration in every aspect of the plan.	Comment noted.
27.	March 6, 2022	The Climate Emergency Declaration is new to me but the statement to "Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation and reduce greenhouse gases" is very powerful and will require more public education.	Comment noted.
28.	March 6, 2022	I am deeply grateful that the need to assess climate impacts is recognized in official plan. This is critically important. I urge the city to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form - and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton's official plans. We need to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: "Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions."	The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.
29.	March 6, 2022	Nothing is more important than tackling our climate emergency. We should be looking at every single choice we make from a climate lens.	Comment noted.
30.	March 6, 2022	I Acknowledge that it is good to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan But I urge the city to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton's official plans.	The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		I also Urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.”	
31.	March 6, 2022	What exactly will be the impacts of a changing climate? I think this needs to be spelled out in much more detail and be articulated clearly with monitoring of climate impacts and goal-setting so that city residents know what to expect from the city in terms of what projects will deal with these impacts and the associated costs. Obviously, this priority works against intensification, since most problematic impacts from climate change increase with intensification, e.g. waste water, storm runoff, drainage from lots, etc. Another example if there is to be more severe weather events, how will building massive towers affect the lives of people living in these neighbourhoods, re wind tunnels, etc.	Updated targets for greenhouse gas emission reductions are provided in policy updates as well as a new policy requiring tracking and reporting of the City’s progress on meeting the targets. Further updates may be implemented through future amendments upon completion of the CEEP and Climate Change Adaptation Plan.
32.	March 6, 2022	Agree with plan but this can only be realized by maintaining urban boundaries.	Comment noted.
33.	March 6, 2022	I acknowledge that it is good to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan. But I urge the city to finalize key municipal plans, including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton’s official plans. I urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.”	The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.
34.	March 6, 2022	I’m pleased to see that global warming is addressed and would like it to apply to all future panning decisions.	Comment noted.
35.	March 6, 2022	It’s good, in fact essential, to see the need to assess climate impacts recognized in the official plan. That said, I urge the city to finalize key municipal plans --- including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) and Urban Forest Strategy, which are both still in draft form --- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton’s official plans. I think the city should do more to	The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		promote these plans to the public, as many people may not be aware of them. Major public forums should be considered as a way to increase the profile of these very worthwhile programs.	Consultation on the CEEP is anticipated for summer 2022.
36.	March 6, 2022	I urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.”	GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.
37.	March 7, 2022	'-Acknowledge that it is good to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan -But urge the city to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton’s official plans. -Urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.”	The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.
38.	March 7, 2022	apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration. SERIOUSLY.	Comment noted.
39.	March 7, 2022	I commend the focus on climate in our official plan. We need to complete plans for more trees, gardens and spaces within the city and to advocate for more innovative energy and emission initiatives.	Comment noted.
40.	March 7, 2022	Thank you for mentioning the Climate Crisis in the Official Plan. As the climate crisis accelerates at an unprecedented pace, it will be necessary to build new housing and infrastructure that adhere to the Climate Emergency Declaration and the 9 Directions to Guide Development. Please finalize CEEP and the Urban Forest Strategy. We have to begin now to provide protection and mitigation against future climate events. Due to the extreme heat of last summer, we know many Hamiltonians died because they had insufficient housing and cooling facilities. These issues must be addressed quickly as heat domes will become more frequent every year and will last longer. Please decide quickly and then act immediately.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
41.	March 7, 2022	<p>It is good to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan</p> <p>I urge the city to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton’s official plans.</p> <p>I urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.”</p>	<p>The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.</p>
42.	March 7, 2022	<p>For a trial period incentivize developers to build low impact housing, businesses.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
43.	March 7, 2022	<p>I urge the city to finalize and commit to the Community Energy & Emissions Plan and Urban Forest Strategy. I'm pleased there is focus on assessing climate impacts in the city's plan but there is a need to view all planning decisions through the Climate Emergency Declaration and Directions to Guide Development Direction #1 - ' Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation'.</p>	<p>The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.</p>
44.	March 7, 2022	<p>We acknowledge that it is good to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan. We urge the city to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton’s official plans.</p> <p>We urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.”</p>	<p>The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.</p>
45.	March 7, 2022	<p>We can no longer talk just about "climate change" but need to understand and incorporate "climate justice" as the first consideration in all future planning. We have to refocus to adopt this goal by 2030. Significant progress on all sections must be made by this date.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
46.	March 8, 2022	Develop public transportation, bicycle and pedestrian access within the urban boundary in favour of motorized private traffic. This should include priority for these modes of transportation when it comes to snow clearing	Comment noted.
47.	March 8, 2022	'- 6. Building permits and zoning bylaws be implemented according to climate mitigation necessities; traffic changes see 'Transportation' below	Comment noted.
48.	March 8, 2022	As the City declared a Climate Emergency, it is essential to look at plans and proposals with extreme weather in mind. Also new buildings must be required to be energy efficient, and built with minimal dependence on (un)natural gas. Green space and tree protection and plantings are very important.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023).
49.	March 8, 2022	'-Acknowledge that it is good to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan -But urge the city to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton's official plans. -Urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: "Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions."	The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.
50.	March 8, 2022	Vancouver and Toronto are miles ahead of us with no more gas installations and mandated green roofs. Why is Hamilton not following suit? We need drastic changes now!! Why is Enbridge expanding near the airport? We need to be stopping gas expansion NOW. What is happening with the CEEP? What is happening with the Urban Forest Strategy? These are crucial to curbing climate change.	The Community Energy and Emissions Plan (CEEP) will be forthcoming for consultation in Summer 2022. Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
51.	March 8, 2022	The city should have the climate crisis as its focus. All decisions should be made to mitigate climate change and buildings standards should be created to reduce greenhouse gas emissions. The city should finalize the Community Energy & Emissions Plan & the Urban Forest Strategy.	The Community Energy and Emissions Plan (CEEP) will be forthcoming for consultation in Summer 2022. Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
52.	March 8, 2022	I have an idea. Why not have a building code/by-law allowing only permeable driveways for new builds. If one wishes to change their drive, they will require a permit at a nominal price. Force parking lots to make their lots permeable.	Low impact development techniques are encouraged by policy updates.
53.	March 8, 2022	Implement more LEED regulations for new builds. Encourage more hybrid work policies to minimize commuting needs. Encourage more neighbourhood centric self sustaining community areas. Move away from the big box shopping and industries.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Hybrid work policies are beyond the purview of the Official Plan. Existing and proposed policies encourage mixed use development.
54.	March 8, 2022	<p>Again, the city needs to incentivize the creation of multi-family dwellings in some of the aging but beautiful three storey mansions in the South West. Co-housing is a climate friendly option, allowing more people to live on the same footprint. A tax break for those who are living in their homes but wanting to create a rental flats should be considered.</p> <p>Also, the city needs to revamp completely its building codes so that all new builds are carbon neutral. No exceptions. Homes, condos, rentals, industry.</p> <p>In addition, more supportive housing must be built. Several non-profits are capable of this, please give them priority. Most of the homeless are mentally ill, addicted or the victims of trauma. They need more than 4 walls, they need psychological and social work supports.</p>	Policy updates permit expanded housing options in neighbourhoods throughout the City. Building Code updates are beyond the purview of the Official Plan
55.	March 8, 2022	Please finish the CEEP and the Urban Forestry Strategy and make reference to them in the official plan. They are key to mitigating climate impacts.	The Community Energy and Emissions Plan (CEEP) will be forthcoming for consultation in Summer 2022. Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
56.	March 8, 2022	I'm so happy that Climate has been recognized and included in the official plan!!! It is my understanding that a few key plans such as the CEEP & Urban Forest Strategy are still in draft form. I urge you to finalize these and reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton's official plans.	The Urban Forest Strategy is referenced in the policy updates. The CEEP will be referenced through a future amendment, once approved. GRIDS 2 Direction #1 has been included in policy updates.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		A climate lens must be applied to all planning decisions as per the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction1 of the Nine Directions to Guide Development.	
57.	March 8, 2022	<p>B.3.2.4.7 (New). This section "encourages" green construction and retrofits. "Encourage" is far too weak a word. Section A.1.2 goes to great lengths to outline the climate crisis, but this subsequent section is far too weak. Encouragement is not going to make the necessary changes fast enough and Hamilton needs to be much more directive. We need to set a timeline beyond which there can no longer be new connections for fossil fuels</p> <p>B.3.3.10.8 On the top of hard surfaces in our city: again, the word "encourage" is used when considering permeable surfaces and green infrastructure practices. These need to be mandated.</p> <p>There is a lot of talk in this section of how to mitigate and adapt to climate change, but there is no statement about the continued plan to build on existing wetlands, specifically in the area south of Garner Rd in Ancaster. When the AEGD lands were approved for development, there was clearly a lack of understanding or attention paid to the climate crisis. Paving these wetlands will have a completely contrary effect to all of the 'green' effort outlined in this Topic Area. The first thing we should be doing is PRESERVING the natural features that are already in place to mitigate climate change. Although all the 'green' initiatives outlined in this document are important and should absolutely be undertaken, they cannot offset what nature is already doing for us.</p>	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Further policy updates to strengthen B.3.2.4.7 and B.3.3.10.8, among other policies, may be forthcoming upon the completion of the Standards, in addition to other ongoing initiatives including the CEEP and Climate Change Adaptation Plan.
58.	March 8, 2022	See my above comment re commuting. Make sure that new builds are held to the best practices for energy efficiency.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards.
59.	March 8, 2022	<p>Actually act like it is an emergency!</p> <p>Work with climate orgs and activists and don't allow them to be criminalized by police and the courts!</p> <p>Trees now in all neighbourhoods. Make Upper James a textbook new pedestrian friendly street as it is now a nightmare for us!</p>	Comment noted.

Table 7– Urban Structure Topic Area

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
1.	February 4, 2022	Make it inconvenient for people to drive their personal vehicles and super convenient to take transit and safely ride bicycles and walk without fear of getting hit by drivers of cars and trucks.	Comment noted.
2.	February 9, 2022	Having trouble understanding. Why are street townhouses not permitted in medium density residential Policy written poorly High density The height restriction re escarpment does not read correctly Does this mean sites adjacent to the escarpment I don't think this is meant to apply to all of Hamilton below the escarpment This whole section needs to be further reviewed and the language tightened up	Staff propose the following updates to Policy E.3.5.2 and E.3.6.7 to provide clarity: “E.3.5.2 Uses permitted in medium density residential areas shall include all forms of multiple dwellings.” “E.3.6.7 For high density residential uses, the maximum height shall be 30 storeys. For high density residential uses below the Niagara Escarpment, building height shall not exceed the height of the top of the Niagara Escarpment, nearest to the development site. Applicants shall demonstrate that the proposed development shall not exceed the height of the top of the Niagara Escarpment through the submission of a height elevation survey depicting the proposed building in profile to the height of the top of the escarpment located nearest to the development site, to the satisfaction of the City.”
3.	February 13, 2022	Remove all density limits within areas well served by transit.	MTSA Planning work in 2023 will address density targets in areas

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
			around the station stops for the LRT route.
4.	February 15, 2022	Simplify the zoning map. The current zoning map is an absolute mess of attempts to micro-manage communities. Clarify the inclusion of local commercial and amend to include required community amenities (grocery, food-service) that are not automobile oriented. Allow for limited levels of local commercial in neighborhoods on local streets with appropriate design (e.g.: corner stores, cafes, with limits on light and noise pollution) - let the location itself determine the appropriate and desired uses rather than control through restrictive zoning. Consider examples in Japanese zoning which allow for ground floor commercial uses with a limited floor area. Hamilton is in a unique position to set an example to other municipalities across North America, be bold. Follow Toronto's example and remove parking minimums city-wide and institute maximums. Appropriately tax large parking facilities and developments with a large % area of parking to discourage car oriented developments and align with climate goals.	Residential Zones are currently being reviewed and will be updated as part of the City's Comprehensive Zoning By-law 05-200. Parking requirements will be reviewed through the Residential Zones project.
5.	February 15, 2022	Young people with growing families want homes that have space to grow. Also, most people moving to Hamilton from other cities are looking for houses and town homes, not apartments, condos, fourplexes, etc. Eighty-one percent of the population is not going to want to live in apartments or condos as outlined in the "No Urban Boundary Expansion" scenario. Everyone should have the opportunity/option to live in single residential dwellings as we believe many of our councilors currently do.	Comment noted.
6.	March 4, 2022	I support the allowance of fourplexes within low density residential areas and the allowance of up to 6 units within low density residential areas on properties in proximity to arterial roads. This distinction should be made clearer in promotional material because I think people might assume up to 6 units are allowed everywhere. You should define what "in proximity means" - Adjacent? Within a certain distance?	Staff propose new Policy E.3.4.6e)to provide clarity on locational requirement for sixplexes: "E.3.4.6 e) <i>Development</i> in areas dominated by low density residential uses shall be designed in accordance with the following criteria: e) For multiple dwellings described by policy E.3.4.3 b), <i>development</i> should

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
			have access to a collector or arterial road from a local road where only a small number of low density residential dwellings are located on that portion of the local road.”
7.	March 4, 2022	Building and sustaining more affordable housing for our city is integral to future growth. People are being priced out of our city now, and I worry about low income families, newcomers, young people, and individuals who struggle to maintain consistent housing. Feeling safe within our community is driven in large part by having a safe space to live and take pride in. Precarious housing only serves to drive up crime rates, mental and physical health issues, and homelessness.	Comment noted. Affordable housing will be considered through policy review in Phases 3 and 4 of the MCR.
8.	March 4, 2022	See comment above re: Mansionville in Durand.	Comment noted.
9.	March 4, 2022	Complete Communities should be the guiding principal, with increased density on the outer edges of neighbourhoods. Affordability to all must be built into the mix, as must transit.	Comment noted.
10.	March 4, 2022	Urban structure policies must support walkable and bikeable communities as well as higher density in transit station areas. In addition, inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges for affordable housing should be a part of new developments.	Comment noted.
11.	March 4, 2022	See above: mixed zoning, lots of mid-rise buildings, no more towers, abolish OLT, all municipal planning done by the city with no appeals by developers.	Comment noted.
12.	March 4, 2022	'I am very pleased to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan - I urge the city to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton’s official plans. - I urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9 Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.”	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
13.	March 5, 2022	This change will change forever the social character of the existing neighbourhoods and will have far reaching social impacts, which I believe have not been properly considered. This is a terrible legacy for this Council to impose on the citizens of Hamilton. Council should re-evaluate their decision in light of this to keep the existing urban areas of the City whole and not destroy the fabric of these communities.	Comment noted. Future review of Residential Zoning permissions in Zoning By-law 05-200 will explore regulations for 4-unit – 6-unit residential dwellings in low density areas.
14.	March 5, 2022	Respect the imprint of neighborhoods. Please remember that the residents of Hamilton should have a say in what happens in their neighborhood. To alleviate housing prices change rules so bidding process is transparent and bids are verified as real people. You should know highest bid when trying to buy home. Blind auction is driving up costs and housing prices.	Comment noted.
15.	March 5, 2022	--support for urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities. -Support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six- plexes on a neighbourhood’s outer edges. -Support higher density targets around ‘major transit station areas’ - but urge city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.	Comment noted. Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023.
16.	March 5, 2022	Express support for urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities. -Support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six- plexes on a neighbourhood’s outer edges. -Support higher density targets around ‘major transit station areas’ - but urge city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.	Comment noted. Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023.
17.	March 5, 2022	More crowding instead of spreading. unavoidable if we are to preserve the environment.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
18.	March 5, 2022	Again, the statements should be seriously considered for human accessibility, changing climate, sightscape, landscape and overall city and public/human health and well being.	Comment noted.
19.	March 5, 2022	I'm so happy that Climate has been recognized and included in the official plan!!! It is my understanding that a few key plans such as the CEEP & Urban Forest Strategy are still in draft form. I urge you to finalize these and reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton's official plans. A climate lens must be applied to all planning decisions as per the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction1 of the Nine Directions to Guide Development.	Comment noted.
20.	March 5, 2022	Make and Keep our waterfront accessible and healthy; clean up the beaches and the water Continue to support community engagement and physical and social activities in neighbourhoods/communities. I support for urban structure policies designed to build 'complete communities' – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities. I Support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six-plexes on a neighbourhood's outer edges. I Support higher density targets around 'major transit station areas' - but urge city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.	Comment noted Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023.
21.	March 5, 2022	As a resident of Hamilton, I -Express support for urban structure policies designed to build 'complete communities' – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities. -Support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six-plexes on a neighbourhood's outer edges. -Support higher density targets around 'major transit station areas' - but urge city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable	Comment noted Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
22.	March 5, 2022	The increase of units in low density residential areas is great don't listen to NYMBYs Large private land around a home is passe and must be changed whether owner likes it or not.	Comment noted.
23.	March 5, 2022	Support fourplex and multiple dwellings to a max of 6 within low density areas. Too much housing is not accessible. I worked in physical rehabilitation. Seniors, people with disabilities are extremely limited as many units/homes are not accessible. Low density, low rise buildings must be accessible. This includes commercial and professional buildings.	Comment noted.
24.	March 5, 2022	I support the proposals of City Staff for more intensification of development at transit hubs, four and six-plexes, and multi-unit structures that are more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, and able to support neighbourhood amenities at lower unit costs (more deeply affordable housing alternatives)	Comment noted.
25.	March 5, 2022	Look to the city of Edmonton's changes in zoning by laws to address intensification which more equitably meets the needs of residents INCLUDING the missing middle	Comment noted.
26.	March 6, 2022	We need buses on the lake side (north side) of the QEW! We need trains out here at Confederation GO! We need grid patterns of new roads to accommodate transit! We need new developments to have adequate parking in less dense urban areas, or areas without transit service! The zoning for these developments is ridiculous and dangerous!	Comment noted.
27.	March 6, 2022	I support urban structure policies that are designed to build 'complete communities' – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities. We need areas where we can have less cars. I support the city's proposal to allow fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods and possibly the use of six plexes on a neighbourhood's outer edges. These are good near transportation hubs but need to be affordable.	Comment noted.
28.	March 6, 2022	I support all policy changes and encourage the city to consider increasing density targets around major transit centres to allow for higher frequency transit services	Comment noted.
29.	March 6, 2022	The city is doing a good job in creating safe spaces for walking and cycling.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
30.	March 6, 2022	<p>I encourage consistent urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities. I support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six-plexes on a neighbourhood’s outer edges. Of course we need higher density targets around ‘major transit station areas’. However, the city has to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.</p>	<p>Comment noted</p> <p>Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023.</p>
31.	March 6, 2022	<p>Make changes to zoning by-laws so they support intensification.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Future review of Residential Zoning for the City’s Comprehensive Zoning By-law 05-200 will be considering regulations that support intensification.</p>
32.	March 6, 2022	<p>I Express strong support for urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities. I Support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six-plexes on a neighbourhood’s outer edges. I Support higher density targets around ‘major transit station areas’ - but urge city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges</p>	<p>Comment noted</p> <p>Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023.</p>
33.	March 6, 2022	<p>Low density neighbourhoods should be left alone re zoning if residents desire that. I agree with removal of density requirements in neighbourhoods with Secondary Plans and where the residents object. Major Transit Station Areas will attract aspiring middle class and younger populations as well as those with poverty challenges. Low income housing should be clustered in these areas to give these demographics a start in life.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
34.	March 6, 2022	Agree with plan.	Comment noted.
35.	March 6, 2022	Please, please, please rev up efforts to get LRT built and functional! A PR campaign along the lines of “short term pain for long term gain” would be helpful.	Comment noted.
36.	March 6, 2022	I support urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities. I support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six-plexes on a neighbourhood’s outer edges. I support higher density targets around ‘major transit station areas’ - but I also urge city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.	Comment noted Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023.
37.	March 6, 2022	I support policies to build 'complete communities' that are higher density and therefore more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable and able to support neighbourhood amenities. Also, I support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods and possible sixplexes on a neighbourhood's outer edges. While I fully support higher density targets around major transit station areas, my support is not unlimited. Such targets should not be taken advantage of developers who want to build excessive high-rise towers of 25 storeys or more --- particularly in the lower city where we should try to restrain the height of towers that begin to block the views of the escarpment which have traditionally been appreciated by many Hamiltonians. The escarpment really helps to "green" Hamilton and it would be an irreplaceable loss if views from the lower city were lost in a sea of concrete towers. Developers should be challenged and encouraged to build moderate and low rise buildings around transit areas. Also, affordable housing should be part of the move to higher density targets, achieved through inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.	Comment noted Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023.
38.	March 6, 2022	I support the planned intensification around transportation nodes. Support fourplex and multiplex conversions.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
39.	March 6, 2022	we need urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities.	Comment noted.
40.	March 7, 2022	-Express support for urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities. -Support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six-plexes on a neighbourhood’s outer edges. -Support higher density targets around ‘major transit station areas’ - but urge city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.	Comment noted Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023
41.	March 7, 2022	Relax bi-laws to allow for responsible intensification. utilize all tools at your disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is available	Comment noted.
42.	March 7, 2022	I am also in favour of zoning changes that support the incorporation of laneway housing, duplexes and four-six and eight-plex's in residential neighbourhoods. This is a gentle way to urbanize our neighbourhoods. Let's include plans to update the housing options for our marginalized population- refresh shelter spaces, look for other housing options so we don't have beggars on the streets, tents in our parks which deter people and families from wanting to live downtown.	Comment noted.
43.	March 7, 2022	I support urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities. I support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six-plexes on a neighbourhood’s outer edges. I support higher density targets around ‘major transit station areas’; I urge the city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.	Comment noted Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023
44.	March 7, 2022	Add more missing middle housing. Stop allowing developers to mislead communities on their development proposals and run rough shod over secondary plans...vrancor is one that does this.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>The Downtown Secondary Plan is for the downtown. Do not allow creep into neighboring areas like Strathcona or Kirkendall. Just because the development is on the opposite side of the Downtown Secondhand does not mean these heights should be allowed. ...note the development at sw corner of Main and Queen and also at no corner of King and Queen were allowed to adopt the Downtown Sec Plan which they do not belong to. Where does it stop!</p>	<p>Future review of Residential Zoning for the City’s Comprehensive Zoning By-law 05-200 will be considering regulations that support appropriate intensification in lower density areas.</p>
45.	March 7, 2022	<p>I'm pleased to see urban structure policies with the all important view to building complete communities. These communities to be higher density, support more transit are walkable and bikeable and can support neighbourhood amenities. Adequate green space also should be a priority in planning the urban structure. Preserve natural features existing in employment lands while allowing fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods and sixplexes in employment areas.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
46.	March 7, 2022	<p>We express support for urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities. We support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six-plexes on a neighbourhood’s outer edges. We support higher density targets around ‘major transit station areas’ - but urge city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.</p>	<p>Comment noted</p> <p>Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023.</p>
47.	March 7, 2022	<p>Intensification should include far greater step back of high rise buildings above the first /street level story and addition of green spaces. Incorporation of colour/ murals and architectural features would alleviate the current claustrophobic feeling in downtown Hamilton. Low density areas should embrace the 15 minute walkability principle with regard to proximity to transit , and other daily needs</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Downtown Hamilton Tall Building Guidelines provide best practices for building step backs and façade design elements.</p>
48.	March 8, 2022	<p>I support urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
49.	March 8, 2022	<p>Especially in high density downtown neighbourhoods, walkability is key. We need to remove truck routes from downtown neighbourhoods and replace them with wide, safe sidewalks and bike lanes as well as public transit.</p> <p>Small scale intensification of up to 4 units on individual properties makes sense, rather than gobbling up neighbourhoods with high density towers which can overwhelm a community.</p> <p>The city must make provision of a variety of affordable family housing a priority.</p> <p>E.3.6.6</p> <p>The city should strictly enforce its upper density target at no greater than 500 units per hectare. There shall be no exceptions.</p> <p>E3.6.8</p> <p>The limit on height of 12 storeys for multiple dwellings that are immediately adjacent to low profile residential uses is a good height. It allows for significant intensification while ensuring compatibility with and viability of surrounding low profile communities.</p> <p>E.4.6.8</p> <p>Where conditions are met to permit additional height of up to 11 additional storeys, angular plane requirements from the edge of adjacent properties to ensure an appropriate transition and stepping back of heights, must be strictly enforced.</p>	Comment noted.
50.	March 8, 2022	-7 Amenities, employment and public transport be supported by adequate and increased population densities	Comment noted.
51.	March 8, 2022	We agree with flexibility about density zoning, as long as the other values in these plans are upheld.	Comment noted.
52.	March 8, 2022	<p>-Acknowledge that it is good to see reference to climate and need to assess climate impacts recognized in official plan</p> <p>-But urge the city to finalize key municipal plans - including the Community Energy & Emissions Plan (CEEP) & Urban Forest Strategy which are both still in draft form- and properly reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton’s official plans.</p> <p>-Urge the city to apply a climate lens to all planning decisions as committed to in the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction #1 of the 9</p>	<p>Comment noted</p> <p>Major Transit Station Areas and Inclusionary Zoning will be reviewed through future phases of the current MCR, anticipated for 2023.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>Directions to Guide Development: “Plan for climate change mitigation and adaptation, and reduce greenhouse gas emissions.” plexes on a neighbourhood’s outer edges. -Support higher density targets around ‘major transit station areas’ - but urge city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.</p>	
53.	March 8, 2022	I love everything about the urban form section. Six plexes on larger roads and semi, tri, quads in neighbourhoods is a smart way to go. We must build more types of housing within every neighbour hood in Hamilton to provide housing for people in their own areas. Definitely have higher densities around transit stations and corridors	Comment noted.
54.	March 8, 2022	The city needs to build complete communities that are high density, walkable, bikeable, and transit-oriented. Ideally, high-density communities should be close to transit stations and should include deeply affordable and affordable homes. Urban areas should be allowed to have four and six plexes.	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Policy updates include permission for four and six-plexes in low density neighbourhoods.</p>
55.	March 8, 2022	I agree with allowing homeowners to build tiny houses, or use their garages for housing. I think that housing in urban areas can accommodate housing beyond a single family dwelling.	Comment noted.
56.	March 8, 2022	Encourage urban structure building blocks of local residential around community streets. Locke, Ottawa, Concession street examples. And local employment will grow. James street north renewal was a promising development until development changed the direction of growth. As a failure Hess Village is a perfect example of leaving growth to investors.	Comment noted.
57.	March 8, 2022	Intensification needs to recognize the need for three to six story dwellings in residential areas with already built homes. The 20-30 story highrises are creeping into residential areas of West Hamilton, increasing road traffic, noise , parking problems and pollution. Also city parks are becoming increasingly crowded. Incentivize the building of 3-6 story rental/condo units along Main and King to the East of James St.	<p>Comment noted.</p> <p>Policy updates include permission for four and six-plexes in low density neighbourhoods.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		And please don't wreck our waterfront the way Toronto wrecked theirs. We don't need 40 story highrises on our waterfront. Just a bunch or penises in the sky.	
58.	March 8, 2022	I support the development of inclusive zoning including four and sixplexes. With deeply affordable housing around major transit routes and hubs	Comment noted.
59.	March 8, 2022	I'm so happy that Climate has been recognized and included in the official plan!!! It is my understanding that a few key plans such as the CEEP & Urban Forest Strategy are still in draft form. I urge you to finalize these and reference commitments to these plans in Hamilton's official plans. A climate lens must be applied to all planning decisions as per the Climate Emergency Declaration and reinforced by Direction1 of the Nine Directions to Guide Development	Comment noted.
60.	March 8, 2022	Make zoning more flexible to allow for more density to be done on human scale.	Comment noted.

Table 8 – Infrastructure Topic Area

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
1.	February 13, 2022	Provide infrastructure for residential solar, electric vehicles and electric/geothermal sources of heating for all homes.	Comment noted.
2.	March 1, 2022	Please make a great effort to remove combined sewer in hamilton.	Outside the purview of the Official Plan. Updates to Infrastructure Master Plans are ongoing.
3.	March 4, 2022	Facilities that promote physical health and well being that are accessible to all consistently across our city is very important to me.	Comment noted.
4.	March 4, 2022	I support adaptive reuse of community facilities. Green infrastructure should be the default position, with increased canopy and reduced solid pavement.	Comment noted.
5.	March 4, 2022	There should be incentives for green developments that support the Urban Forest Strategy and stormwater fees to encourage green infrastructure and low impact development.	Comment noted.
6.	March 4, 2022	See MIXED ZONING. There are hundreds of acres of vacant in Hamilton that are already serviced with sewers, hydro, cables, rail, transit, street lights, sidewalks, etc.... Before we develop any more land that is not serviced (e.g. Garner Road Marsh), we need to develop the land already serviced.	The City’s Comprehensive Zoning By-law allows mixed use zoning across Commercial-Mixed Use, Downtown, and Transit-Oriented Corridor Zones.
7.	March 4, 2022	<p>'I support urban structure policies designed to build ‘complete communities’ – communities that are higher density and, therefore, more transit supportive, walkable/bikeable, able to support neighbourhood amenities.</p> <p>- As well, I support the city proposal to allow up to fourplexes in urban neighbourhoods & possibility of six- plexes on a neighbourhood’s outer edges.</p> <p>- I support higher density targets around ‘major transit station areas’ - but urge city to ensure it is utilizing all tools at its disposal to ensure that deeply affordable/affordable housing is part of the mix - key tools include inclusionary zoning and community benefits charges.</p>	Comment noted.
8.	March 5, 2022	I repeat, for the most part I support the urban plan for growth except it must be explicitly said that the urban boundary is firm and growth into our green areas, farmland and woodlands is prohibited. Also, it is essential that the urban forests be taken into account in any future development. A healthy community exists when there is green space, trees, flowers, shrubs, and all manner of indigenous plants.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
9.	March 5, 2022	Green all the way	Comment noted.
10.	March 5, 2022	-Support adaptive reuse of community facilities - if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses. -Support the recognized need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis - but underscore the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
11.	March 5, 2022	-Support adaptive reuse of community facilities - if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses. -Support the recognized need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis - but underscore the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
12.	March 5, 2022	you'll have to accept a degree of scepticism on these goals, given the history of the City's management of wastewater in recent memory. I can't believe it will be carried through.	Comment noted.
13.	March 5, 2022	Innovative road infrastructure such as permeable pavers, porous concrete, and rubberized asphalt reduce water runoff, and lessen the load on storm water reservoirs. Increasing the space in cities where water can infiltrate is crucial to preventing flooding, reducing water waste, and reducing the amount of harmful chemicals leaching into our bodies of water (lakes, ponds, streams.) We need more green spaces with trees to infiltrate water into the ground, and semipermeable roads	Policies encourage low impact development and green infrastructure.
14.	March 5, 2022	Yep, green infrastructure, improving community service/facilities, reuse if possible all while keeping climate change and public welfare in mind.	Comment noted.
15.	March 5, 2022	If existing community facilities can't be maintained for their current use, I support adaptive reuse.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		Green infrastructure must be utilized to manage the impacts of the climate crisis and this must be an integral part of ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest strategy with a minimum of 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/low impact development.	Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
16.	March 5, 2022	I heartily Support adaptive reuse of community facilities - if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses so that offerings to the public can be increased. -Support the recognized need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis - but underscore the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development. - I heartily support c.2.8.3 is this is to protect our watershed and waterfront..	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
17.	March 5, 2022	As a resident of Hamilton, I -Support adaptive reuse of community facilities - if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses. -Support the recognized need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis - but underscore the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
18.	March 5, 2022	Better control of our rain, waste and drinking water very NB. Plan to make use of waste water rather than let it run raw in overload times	Comment noted.
19.	March 5, 2022	Support the use of schools/school lands which are not being used for high density, low rise affordable (geared to income) housing. Support the use of buildings for multipurposes. Les Chater YMCA is an example of a gym, library, day care, community centre.	Comment noted.
20.	March 5, 2022	Again, I support the proposals of City Staff. More green infrastructure to lessen the impacts of Climate change, perhaps considering a stormwater fee schedule that	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		encourages green infrastructure/ low impact development. I support the adaptive reuse of existing structure when it is no longer viable for its current use. I absolutely would wish to prohibit the extension of municipal water and wastewater services to non-developed lands within the Niagara Escarpment Plan Area.	
21.	March 5, 2022	Growth of the Urban Forest is essential in our efforts to mitigate climate change. Hamilton is on the way to doing this but much more needs to be done including a plan to maintain new plantings.	Comment noted.
22.	March 6, 2022	Take away any restrictions that require extensive application and review for green energy communities and individuals. Make it MANDATORY for new surveys to include green energy as a major source of energy for the entire survey, not an upsell. Make it easy and affordable for the average income family to switch most of the energy use to green.	Comment noted.
23.	March 6, 2022	I Support adaptive reuse of community facilities. if we have facilities that cannot be maintained for their current uses let’s find new ways of using them within the community. I also support the need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis - but underscore the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development. We need to act on the climate crises in all that we do	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
24.	March 6, 2022	I support all policy changes	Comment noted.
25.	March 6, 2022	Urban Forestry Strategy is important for future generations.	Comment noted.
26.	March 6, 2022	It is important to implement adaptive reuse of community facilities if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses. We need green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis. That green infrastructure has to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023).

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.	Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
27.	March 6, 2022	Green infrastructure and planning are crucial to our need to tackle the climate emergency. All-new infrastructure and modifications should mandate that green building choices are at the forefront of decision-making.	Comment noted.
28.	March 6, 2022	<p>I Support adaptive reuse of community facilities - if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses.</p> <p>I Support the recognized need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis -but underscore the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.</p>	<p>City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023).</p> <p>Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.</p>
29.	March 6, 2022	<p>What exactly is green infrastructure? And what are the unintended consequences? For example, green roofs are good but they cause other problems and are very expensive. The 106 wastewater overflow pipes that empty into Lake Ontario should be closed up and other ways found to deal with overflows. There should be incentives for heritage buildings to be reused and repurposed. Need to hear more about optimizing existing infrastructure and community services/facilities to be adaptively reused, especially re costs and unintended consequences. Certainly agree with prohibiting extensions of municipal water and wastewater infrastructure to the Niagara Escarpment and Hamilton Conservation Authority lands.</p>	<p>Definition of green infrastructure is being added to Glossary in keeping with PPS definition. Existing policies encourage protection and reuse of heritage buildings.</p>
30.	March 6, 2022	Agree with plan.	Comment noted.
31.	March 6, 2022	<p>I support adaptive reuse of community facilities - if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses.</p> <p>I support the recognized need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis - but this is underscored by the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.</p>	<p>City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023).</p> <p>Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
32.	March 6, 2022	I support green infrastructure to better manage the impacts of the climate crisis. Green infrastructure should be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like the Urban Forest Strategy, with a minimum 40 per cent urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a long overdue stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/low impact development. A stormwater fee has regrettably been described by some politicians as a rain tax and we can ill afford such narrow-minded thinking any longer! I also support adaptive reuse of community facilities, if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
33.	March 6, 2022	I support the recognized need for green infrastructure because of the climate crisis. Would like to see a 40%+ urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.	Comment noted.
34.	March 6, 2022	We need a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.	Comment noted.
35.	March 7, 2022	-Support adaptive reuse of community facilities - if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses. -Support the recognized need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis - but underscore the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
36.	March 7, 2022	Support adaptive reuse of community facilities - if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses. Support the recognized need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis - but underscore the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
37.	March 7, 2022	Please consider the re-use and re-purposing of existing buildings which could be adapted for housing or community group use. We must conserve and re-use	Existing and proposed policies support adaptive reuse.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>wherever it makes sense, not only to avoid useless landfill but because of the speed of accomplishment when the structure already exists, complete with water, power, sewers, etc. The situation is perfect. Often the old buildings are surrounded by trees and parkland so they contribute to climate mitigation and to the urban canopy cover which needs to be expanded. Note: we also lose an important part of our City's heritage when we use the wrecking ball so frequently.</p>	
38.	March 7, 2022	<p>I support adaptive reuse of community facilities - if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses.</p> <p>I support the recognized need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis - especially the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.</p>	<p>City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.</p>
39.	March 7, 2022	<p>Spend \$ on updating sewer overflow systems</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
40.	March 7, 2022	<p>I support policies that will lead to a 40% urban canopy cover and green infrastructure to better manage climate change. Preventing expansion of wastewater and municipal water to certain lands in the Niagara Escarpment Plan Area is also crucial. Adaptive reuse of existing infrastructure and community services and facilities needs to be in the infrastructure policy.</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>
41.	March 7, 2022	<p>We support adaptive reuse of community facilities - if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses.</p> <p>We support the recognized need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis - but underscore the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.</p>	<p>City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.</p>
42.	March 8, 2022	<p>Solar or green roofs on every new and renovated building</p>	<p>Comment noted.</p>

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
43.	March 8, 2022	- 8. Renovation, improvement and maintainance of existing infrastructure be emphasized over creating new infrastructure	Comment noted.
44.	March 8, 2022	It is not certain which category addresses the preservation of natural heritage lands WITHIN the urban boundary, unless its the C.2.11 Tree and Woodland Protection policy not completed yet. My concerns are with the complete protection of Urban forests, wetlands, streams, creeks, ravines and headwaters within the present urban boundary. For example, there are subdivisions presently built up to the edges of ravines, whereby the setbacks are already established to protect essential wetlands and headwaters. I am presuming, under new intensification targets and by-laws, NONE of this natural heritage will be damaged or lost to intensification, and will continue to be further protected and conserved in their natural states. We cannot afford any wetland loss in Southern Ontario! Please create a strong policy to protect our forests and wetlands. Thank You. Carolanne Forster	Existing policies in the UHOP and RHOP address the protection of natural heritage features. Updates to natural heritage system mapping to occur as part of Phase 2.
45.	March 8, 2022	Absolutely! Green infrastructure, and adapting and optimizing existing infrastructure is the responsible way to proceed.	Comment noted.
46.	March 8, 2022	'-Support adaptive reuse of community facilities - if these facilities cannot be maintained for their current uses. -Support the recognized need for green infrastructure to better manage impacts of the climate crisis - but underscore the need for green infrastructure to be embodied in ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest Strategy with a minimum 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/ low impact development.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
47.	March 8, 2022	Hamilton needs a stormwater fee!! We need green infrastructure! We need to upgrade what we have and not spend \$\$ building new on farm fields. Upgrade what we currently have. In favour on not extending water to NEPA.	Comment noted.
48.	March 8, 2022	Existing city buildings that cannot be maintained for their current uses should be reused for other purposes. The city needs to support and implement green infrastructure and green development standards while providing incentives for such.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
49.	March 8, 2022	Any new development within the urban boundary must pay for the full cost of water lines, roads, sidewalks, lighting, contribute to fire stations. I am so tired of paying taxes so people can live in new homes on previous green fields.	Comment noted.
50.	March 8, 2022	Allow small density and improve existing infrastructure and city services. Bigger is not better. Smarter is better. And follow the slower growth trends natural to vibrant areas of the city.	Comment noted.
51.	March 8, 2022	All infrastructure changes must be examined through a Climate Change lens. Measures to prevent the sewer water from being dumped into Cootes Paradise during extreme storms (which happen all the time).	Comment noted. Updates to Infrastructure Master Plans ongoing.
52.	March 8, 2022	I'd like to see a storm water fee to incentives LID as well as the finalization of the Urban Forestry Strategy. Community facilities which cannot be put to their former uses should be adapted to be reused.	Comment noted.
53.	March 8, 2022	If existing community facilities can't be maintained for their current use, I support adaptive reuse. Green infrastructure must be utilized to manage the impacts of the climate crisis and this must be an integral part of ambitious plans and policies like an Urban Forest strategy with a minimum of 40% urban canopy cover target, a Green Development Standard that includes incentivized actions, and a stormwater fee that incentivizes green infrastructure/low impact development.	City is in the process of developing Sustainable Development and Building Standards. Consultation on the standards will take place at a later date (anticipated for late 2022 or 2023). Work on the Urban Forest Strategy is ongoing.
54.	March 8, 2022	Support best practices for green infrastructure.	Comment noted.
55.	March 8, 2022	Fix the water system with the funds needed, no bandaids	Comment noted.

Table 9 – Transportation Topic Area

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
1.	February 4, 2022	Pedestrians, cyclists and transit users should be the main focus of transportation planning. The efficiency of getting around by car should not be a consideration unless attempting to make it less efficient. Continue to make all bike lanes protected from car traffic, the safer it is, the more people will ride and the less who will drive cars. Make it nice to walk around, take huge thoroughfares like King and Main street and make them two way with big areas reserved for pedestrians, cyclists and busses/LRT. We need to change our car culture to improve the health of the individual and the health of the environment.	Comment noted.
2.	February 13, 2022	Prioritize walking, biking and transit over cars. Make streets safe for people. Add protected bike lanes throughout the city.	Comment noted.
3.	February 15, 2022	Be more firm with goals surrounding complete streets. Remove inclusion of "where appropriate/feasible" in entries about active transportation facilities, do not provide room for opponents to restrict the inclusion of these facilities. ALL future street/road reconstruction should include a mix of uses and an optimization (read as: reduction) of automobile lanes - e.g.: all current 4-lane collectors and arterials should be reimagined as 2+turn lane streets with protected or separated bike lanes (raised cycle tracks at sidewalk level for slower streets, barrier protected at higher speeds - the barriers on York should be the standard), wider sidewalks, and purposeful greenspace (e.g.: urban canopy and water retention uses, not just grass). Remember that active transportation facilities are useless without a complete and robust network. Consider the use of coloured pavement as standard for bike facilities, green pavement to match painted conflict areas is available and would help all users to identify where the facilities are - much like the Dutch make use of red pavement. In conjunction with REQUIRING all future street/road reconstructions to feature mixed use facilities, all intersections should transition to protected designs either during regular scheduled rehabilitation or forced ahead of schedule where required due to usage. The recently published design guide for protected intersections by the City of Ottawa or the Dutch CROW manual should be consulted, don't be afraid to go beyond the designs provided in OTM Book 18 - be a leader not a follower.	Proposed policies are firm regarding complete streets: Policy C.4.2.8(e) – 'shall' incorporate complete streets designs; Policy C.4.3.3 – active transportation 'shall' be accommodated in complete streets design; Policy C.4.5.4 – City 'shall' use a complete streets approach Complete Street Guidelines forthcoming. Parking requirements to be reviewed as part of Residential Zones project.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		Follow Toronto's example and remove parking minimums city-wide and institute maximums.	
4.	March 1, 2022	Consider LRT on the population planning and transportation is well on its way. Otherwise transportation is progressing well and being address is other planning reports.	Comment noted.
5.	March 4, 2022	We need to move into a climate-resilient future and make active transportation an option, including mixed-use neighbourhoods, wider sidewalks, bike lanes, less parking and other amenities for cars.	Comment noted.
6.	March 4, 2022	Rapid transit, increased regular transit with green energy, walking and biking options built in as well as accessible transportation infrastructure. Whatever can be done to reduce single vehicle use, should be done.	Comment noted.
7.	March 4, 2022	Active transportation policies are needed to encourage walking and bicycling. One-way thoroughfares should be discouraged and truck traffic should be diverted to designated streets. Streets and sidewalks should be designed to meet the needs to people with limited mobility.	Existing and proposed policies encourage active transportation.
8.	March 4, 2022	If we lowered the urban speed limit to 40 Km per hour not only would the streets be safer, but we could eliminate lots of STOP signs. Why no 40 Km speed limit across the city on urban streets ?	Speed limits are outside the purview of the Official Plan.
9.	March 4, 2022	I strongly support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health benefits of these forms of mobility. - I support the call for 'urban form' - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates active transportation and easy access to public transit. - As well policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels - are critical for the just society we want and need.	Comment noted.
10.	March 5, 2022	North south LRT line needed on upper james	Comment noted.
11.	March 5, 2022	-Support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health benefits of these forms of mobility. -Support the call for 'urban form' - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		<p>active transportation and easy access to public transit. -Support policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels.</p>	
12.	March 5, 2022	<p>-Support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health benefits of these forms of mobility. -Support the call for ‘urban form’ - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates active transportation and easy access to public transit. -Support policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels.</p>	Comment noted.
13.	March 5, 2022	<p>since the City is obsessed ahead with the soon to be obsolete LRT, I don't expect transit access or use to improve. the LRT will eat up budgets for any improvement in bus routes and frequency, so i don't buy this fantasy.</p>	Comment noted.
14.	March 5, 2022	<p>Hamilton needs more bike lanes. And existing bike lanes in high speed areas should have concrete barriers in certain locations to keep cyclists safe.</p>	Comment noted.
15.	March 5, 2022	<p>Yes, more public transportation to keep down emissions and enhance climate change action while moving the people from where they are to where they want to go as seamlessly and efficiently as possible</p>	Comment noted.
16.	March 5, 2022	<p>Policies must be designed to ensure mobility justice to ensure easy movement for folks of all mobility abilities and all socio-economic levels. I support policies that ensure that Hamilton has an extensive active transportation infrastructure and public transit that recognizes the health benefits of these. I support urban form - including grid-patterned streets that facilitate active transportation and easy access to public transit.</p>	Comment noted.
17.	March 5, 2022	<p>I support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health benefits of these forms of mobility. We need cycling paths that are safe, particularly in the hilly, twisty sections of road in Dundas. -Support the call for ‘urban form’ - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates active transportation and easy access to public transit.</p>	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		-Support policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels.	
18.	March 5, 2022	As a resident of Hamilton, I -Support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health benefits of these forms of mobility. -Support the call for ‘urban form’ - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates active transportation and easy access to public transit. -Support policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels.	Comment noted.
19.	March 5, 2022	I live on a truck route. Walking would be so much more pleasant without the heavy trucks right by my side. Bikes, walking and public transportation are much more important than trucks and cars. Latter should be kept to a minimum and as non polluting as possible	Truck Route Master Plan identifies truck routes.
20.	March 5, 2022	Any development needs to enhance Hamilton as a walking city. Residents should be able to work, shop, have green space and recreation within walking distance. Hamilton is the least walkable city I have lived in (I have lived in 9 Canadian cities). Creating a walkable city also addresses climate change/reducing greenhouse gas. Plans need to be reasonable. For example, bike lanes on the Sydenham Hill meets the needs of a small elite number of cyclists and this is not reasonable. However, sidewalks make sense for hikers.	Comment noted.
21.	March 5, 2022	I support policies which favour the health of people over transportation by the combustion engine - - safe pedestrian, cycling and bus routes which are accessible for all ages and abilities. Let's work towards expanding the LRT routes to service the mountain and other areas to get people out of their cars. Walking, cycling and busing is so much more healthy than taking your car or truck which pollutes the air, water and ground for everyone.	Comment noted.
22.	March 6, 2022	Include Rideshare and public transit as options for the highest density residential neighbourhoods, paid in part by builders of lower density urban neighbourhoods, and city and provincial taxes. It is a very good way of convincing residents to give up their cars, creating some greenspaces and making their neighbourhoods more walkable.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
23.	March 6, 2022	I support the need to have transportation infrastructure that allows for walking, cycling/ public transit this creates healthier neighbours hoods and a healthier city. We need to make sure that transit is affordable and accessible for all our citizens. That includes seniors, people with disabilities etc. It needs to be accessible and affordable.	Comment noted.
24.	March 6, 2022	I support all policy changes	Comment noted.
25.	March 6, 2022	I support all policies that ensure safety of public transit users, pedestrians and cyclists.	Comment noted.
26.	March 6, 2022	We need to ensure that urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure and public transit. There are important personal and societal health benefits of these forms of mobility. I support the call for ‘urban form’ - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates active transportation and easy access to public transit. It is critically important to implement policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities and all socio-economic levels.	Comment noted.
27.	March 6, 2022	Free public transit for every individual making under 100,000 per year. Increased bike lanes with protected barriers. Bike lanes should be complete and connected. Remove parking spots to make driving less appealing to individuals.	Comment noted.
28.	March 6, 2022	Change back at least some of the one way streets.	Comment noted.
29.	March 6, 2022	I Support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health benefits of these forms of mobility. I Support the call for ‘urban form’ - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates active transportation and easy access to public transit. I Support policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels.	Comment noted.
30.	March 6, 2022	Investigate personal transportation modes with smaller buses for costs and efficiency. Keep traffic out of neighbourhoods, especially cut-throughs between major routes. Cost-benefit the options for reducing greenhouse gas emissions	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		before going ahead. Priority transit corridors are useful but excess capacity on under-utilized routes should be eliminated. The bike program in the city is a luxury when there is not enough money to finance ambulances optimally.	
31.	March 6, 2022	Agree with plan.	Comment noted.
32.	March 6, 2022	Please look at bike lanes. I support bike lanes but cycling within the City can be stressful.	Cycling Master Plan identifies existing and proposed bike lanes.
33.	March 6, 2022	I support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health benefits of these forms of mobility. I support the call for ‘urban form’ - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates active transportation and easy access to public transit. I support policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels	Comment noted.
34.	March 6, 2022	I support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health and environmental benefits of these forms of mobility.	Comment noted.
35.	March 6, 2022	I support policies to ensure urban Hamilton has extensive transportation infrastructure for walking, public transit and cycling. The city should move ahead with grid-patterned streets that facilitate active transportation and access to public transit	Comment noted.
36.	March 6, 2022	I support the development of more modes of transportation. Super excited about LRT being built, the payshare bike program being invested in, bike lanes being developed, bus routes being extended to more areas, and more GO trains connecting in a seamless system. We need to find ways to reduce greenhouse gases. Safety for pedestrians needs to be considered further.	Comment noted.
37.	March 6, 2022	The current bike program is great! If we are still pushing for the LRT, then we MUST focus on population growth within our current city limits. Better public transportation would also help our climate crisis. Tourists are attracted to cities with good public transportation systems.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
38.	March 7, 2022	-Support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health benefits of these forms of mobility. -Support the call for ‘urban form’ - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates active transportation and easy access to public transit. -Support policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels.	Comment noted.
39.	March 7, 2022	We need safer cycling options. It's scary out there!!! mobility justice! easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels.	Comment noted.
40.	March 7, 2022	I support all the ways we can incorporate room for walkers, bikers, scooter drivers etc to access the city.	Comment noted.
41.	March 7, 2022	I support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health benefits of these forms of mobility. I support the call for ‘urban form’ - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates active transportation and easy access to public transit. I support policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels.	Comment noted.
42.	March 7, 2022	More bike lanes, more more transit across the city needed	Comment noted.
43.	March 7, 2022	We support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health benefits of these forms of mobility. We support the call for ‘urban form’ - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates active transportation and easy access to public transit. We support policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels.	Comment noted.
44.	March 7, 2022	Complete streets put the needs of the most vulnerable first , starting with persons using a mobility device or having a mobility issue, parents and children, and seniors. Truck routes should not be a priority and be designed to have the least impact on neighbourhoods. Reinvestment in commercial /industrial transit by rail rather than road should be considered.	Policies require complete streets approach.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
45.	March 8, 2022	Priority should be given to public transport and active transportation, over cars and trucks	Comment noted.
46.	March 8, 2022	Please support walking, cycling and public transit and the provision of safe streets. Trucks do not belong downtown. Speed limits on main streets should be reduced.	Comment noted. Truck Route Master Plan identifies designated truck routes.
47.	March 8, 2022	- 9. Public transport and cycling to be the prime methods of transportation within City boundaries so, City planning for intensification nodes and hubs be endorsed for adequate for creation and maintenance of public transport services; as well as safe cycling conditions be expanded	Comment noted.
48.	March 8, 2022	Priority transit corridors must reduce greenhouse gas emissions. We need a modern LRT, and electrification of transit vehicles, including taxis.	Comment noted.
49.	March 8, 2022	-Support policies focused on ensuring urban Hamilton has extensive active transportation infrastructure (walking, cycling), public transit, and that recognize the health benefits of these forms of mobility. -Support the call for 'urban form' - including grid patterned streets - that facilitates active transportation and easy access to public transit. -Support policies designed to ensure mobility justice - easy movement for people of all mobility abilities, and all socio-economic levels.	Comment noted.
50.	March 8, 2022	We need to build the transit before people will move to an area without a car. Transit first, then people will come. Don't wait till the density get high enough to support transit or traffic will be a nightmare. Get rid of area rating.	Comment noted.
51.	March 8, 2022	Policy changes should be made to the Rural Official Plan to stop the expansion of urban Hamilton into Rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
52.	March 8, 2022	I drive a vehicle. If I could pay a dollar to ride the bus, I would use it more often. I am very conscientious of using my car. I live in a walkable neighbourhood. Provide more transit besides downtown Hamilton. Suburbs need transit as well.	Comment noted.
53.	March 8, 2022	Focus on smaller common parking areas that tie into pedestrian and cycling movement and smaller modes of transit. And then for major corridors actually build and support frequent and larger capacity transit. Ensure streets have accessible sidewalks and safe cycling with traffic calming. Allow a few major corridor faster traffic movement including heavier transportation vehicles. Invest in	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		transit that connects local neighborhood nodes and not transit that connects commercial nodes.	
54.	March 8, 2022	Improved transit and incentives to use transit are needed. Also increase the cost of parking.	Comment noted.
55.	March 8, 2022	I support the development of active transportation networks throughout urban Hamilton, which are accessible to those with all types of mobility.	Comment noted.
56.	March 8, 2022	Policies must be designed to ensure mobility justice to ensure easy movement for folks of all mobility abilities and all socio-economic levels. I support policies that ensure that Hamilton has an extensive active transportation infrastructure and public transit that recognizes the health benefits of these. I support urban form - including grid-patterned streets that facilitate active transportation and easy access to public transit.	Comment noted.
57.	March 8, 2022	Make it easy for passengers to connect from one transit point to another. Continue conversion to electric vehicles.	Comment noted.
58.	March 8, 2022	Transit everywhere and make it free, at least for the poor	Comment noted.

Table 10 – Firm Urban Boundary Topic Area

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
1.	February 4, 2022	Yay for a firm Urban Boundary! Protect our greenspace and stop sprawl!	Comment noted.
2.	February 9, 2022	I think these policies should speak to the period of the plan not forever	Proposed revised policy B.2.2.1 speaks to the City’s urban boundary being firm to accommodate growth to the year 2051.
3.	February 13, 2022	No expansion to urban boundaries. Let’s grow and increase density within the land we have already built up.	Comment noted.
4.	February 13, 2022	Your plan reads like a zoning bylaw. A picture is worth 1,000 words. In general, you need an overall policy that addresses all the "sensitivity areas" once. Your plan reads like it was drafted by a committee and has no editor. That said, there are a few things you might consider. Limited infill in rural areas is foolish. You	Comment noted. Limited infill in rural areas is referring to existing vacant lots and limited new lot creation in Rural Settlement Areas. No expansion to the boundary of Rural Settlement Areas is permitted.
5.	February 15, 2022	The urban boundary has already been expanded on the east (Elfrida) and the west (Glancaster) as well as the 'islands' of Binbrook and Mount Hope, yet logical infilling of the proposed remaining hectares of land between these areas is being rejected. Infilling this area would have very minimal impact on the environment and farming lands. There are greater environmental impacts related to people having to commute. It only makes sense to fill in the remaining land that is currently designated as Whitebelt to accommodate the expected increase in population and provide local housing for the existing and planned employment growth for the area. The proposed updates to the Rural Hamilton Official Plan do not align with the provincial Housing Affordability Task Force. Why would the Councilors go against the recommendations of City Planning staff whose job it is to research and recommend the best course of action for city growth?	The proposed policy revisions implement the Council decision for the No Urban Boundary Expansion growth scenario.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
		Can there not be a mix of both intensification and urban boundary expansion to accommodate Hamilton's expected growth?	
6.	March 1, 2022	Invest in rebuilding the downtown core and adding more population in these areas. Build up Barton Street and bring life back to the core.	Comment noted.
7.	March 4, 2022	I support the removal of Elfrida as a future growth node. It's inclusion made little sense for all sorts of planning reasons and it's removal allows for a more consistent approach to sustainable growth.	Comment noted.
8.	March 4, 2022	I absolutely support the firm urban boundary policy, and push towards conservation of our green spaces, wetlands, farmlands, and protected areas.	Comment noted.
9.	March 4, 2022	Firm Urban boundary for future generations. Thank you!	Comment noted.
10.	March 4, 2022	Protect farmland by stopping urban boundary expansion. Facilitate farm viability through policy and programs that assist in the function of rural properties that provide food sources.	Comment noted.
11.	March 4, 2022	I support the decision by city council on a firm urban boundary.	Comment noted.
12.	March 4, 2022	A firm urban boundary, no need for more land with so much serviced vacant land in the city, more density, mixed zoning, abolish OLT, NO APPEAL FOR DEVELOPERS, city sets own population targets not province, city determines zoning rules	Comment noted.
13.	March 4, 2022	- I of course support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
14.	March 5, 2022	As noted above, keeping the "Firm Urban Boundary" will result in destruction of the neighbourhood character of existing communities within the current Urban Boundary. Whereas the proposed urban boundary expansion, as recommended by the City's own consultants, would see the conversion of a relatively small percentage of farmland to provide for future growth. This decision by Council will forever change the nature of Hamilton and leave long lasting negative impacts on the life of its citizens. Please, please reconsider this "Firm Urban Boundary: decision before it's too late.	The proposed policy revisions implement the Council decision for the No Urban Boundary Expansion growth scenario.
15.	March 5, 2022	I support firm permanent boundary. We can never get it back if it's paved over	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
16.	March 5, 2022	-Support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
17.	March 5, 2022	-Support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
18.	March 5, 2022	I hope this is firm and will actually protect the surrounding farms and wildlife.	Comment noted.
19.	March 5, 2022	No urban boundary expansion, no development of rural and natural land. Hamilton has declared a climate emergency, we need to leave the land alone. Hamilton also has a housing crisis, with hundreds of unused plots and lots of land already in its boundary. The core in particular should see intensification, with more subsidized housing.	Comment noted.
20.	March 5, 2022	No Urban Growth until areas not being utilized now are fully utilized. You know from before this is what we want. Use what you have first, then give us a proposal.	Comment noted.
21.	March 5, 2022	The expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton must be prohibited and I support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that ensure that protection!	Comment noted.
22.	March 5, 2022	FIRM URBAN BOUNDARY....NO expansion	Comment noted.
23.	March 5, 2022	I support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton. Make the boundary clear and firm. Existing golf courses, airports and farms are needed to support our community economically and socially.	Comment noted.
24.	March 5, 2022	As a resident of Hamilton, I - Support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
25.	March 5, 2022	If only the province allows us to have what we want. Vote accordingly	Comment noted.
26.	March 5, 2022	Support no urban growth. Contain development within existing boundaries.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
27.	March 5, 2022	I support policy changes in the City's Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton. We need to stop urban sprawl tackling climate change and building a city with affordable housing for all. No need to extend city services like water, sewer, roads, transit, schools and libraries, etc. at a higher cost than replacing the aging infrastructure of the inner city with more efficient infrastructure designed for higher densities.	Comment noted.
28.	March 5, 2022	In full support of this BUT there must be consideration of new building heights in established neighbourhoods. Growth is essential but not at all costs. Developers can find a way and the city needs to be firm about developing and enforcing building bylaws in spite of lobbying pressures.	Comment noted. The proposed policy revisions would increase height permissions for medium and high density residential areas. No changes are proposed to existing height permissions (OP and zoning) in the low density areas. Any future changes through the new Residential Zoning By-law would be subject to consultation.
29.	March 6, 2022	The FIRM URBAN BOUNDARY is worthless unless it's paid for by the drive to change the model.	Comment noted.
30.	March 6, 2022	I Support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton. We need to keep our rural lands and support our farmers and green spaces. Our future depends on it.	Comment noted.
31.	March 6, 2022	I support all policy changes.	Comment noted.
32.	March 6, 2022	I do not support urban sprawl. There needs to be Firm Urban Boundaries. Our green spaces need to be protected.	Comment noted.
33.	March 6, 2022	I agree with the latest updates.	Comment noted.
34.	March 6, 2022	I completely support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
35.	March 6, 2022	We have to maintain our urban boundary if we are serious about tackling the climate crisis. We can't afford to sell off the future generations' crucial farmland.	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
36.	March 6, 2022	Totally in favour of this!	Comment noted.
37.	March 6, 2022	Support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
38.	March 6, 2022	This is good, keep the firm urban boundary.	Comment noted.
39.	March 6, 2022	Maintain urban boundary. Accommodate growth via good planning within this boundary. Land surrounding this boundary is within Canada’s prime and limited arable regions. Protecting this land to ensure stable, local food supply is a higher security priority than any other plan. Given developing events around the world, this is becoming increasingly evident.	Comment noted.
40.	March 6, 2022	Bravo on the firm urban boundary! Money not spent on expanding infrastructure to hideous suburbs should go into refurbishing brownfields. Please also consider densification on the Mountain in older neighbourhoods e.g., laneway housing, adding basement apartments, a reasonable percentage of additional area to existing houses. There are many small houses with big lots that could easily increase density with additions for extra flats/apartments, etc.	Comment noted. Secondary Dwelling Units are permitted as of right throughout the urban area. In addition, proposed policy and zoning changes would see increased permissions for additional units in low density areas.
41.	March 6, 2022	I support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
42.	March 6, 2022	I strongly support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
43.	March 6, 2022	I support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton. In that regard, I believe the policies of Environment Hamilton, Stop Sprawl Hamilton and other groups have very strong public support as reflected in the decisions of Hamilton City Council and now Halton Regional Council --- to name two key municipalities --- to support a firm urban boundary. The province has been given an emphatic message!	Comment noted.
44.	March 6, 2022	Support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton. This is essential. :-)	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
45.	March 6, 2022	We must prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton. We need our greenspace and farmland. It is despicable that canned peaches in the grocery store are coming from Greece or China instead of Niagara. Disgusting that our food is being shipped from halfway around the world instead of our own rich soil. What if other transportation issues arise blocking global trade? We would be foolish to not have enough land to supply our own people. There are so many positive reasons to NOT expand our boundary. The only reason this is even up for debate is because some politicians are being bribed by wealthy developers so THEY can have cheap building and huge profits.	Comment noted.
46.	March 7, 2022	-Support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
47.	March 7, 2022	FIRM BOUNDARY WOOHOOOO!!!!	Comment noted.
48.	March 7, 2022	I support the policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibits the expansion of urban Hamilton onto rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
49.	March 7, 2022	I believe the Firm Urban Boundary Policy is an amazing achievement for Hamiltonians and City Staff and we should all be very pleased at what we have accomplished, as this City leads the way in planning for an inclusive, affordable, livable series of neighbourhoods. Part of our success, however, will be proven through our commitment to work within the Urban Boundary and not allow any 'creep' into our farmlands and the greenbelt. With the ever-increasing cost of gas, the fact that we have market gardens and potato fields in our back yard should make the cost of food so much more affordable for us. We are so fortunate in Hamilton to have wetlands and farmlands and proximity to Nature; we must value these treasures.	Comment noted.
50.	March 7, 2022	I support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
51.	March 7, 2022	100% to a firm urban boundary	Comment noted.
52.	March 7, 2022	I support the city policy that prohibits the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton so that our farmland and natural greenspace are protected and preserved..	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
53.	March 7, 2022	We support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
54.	March 7, 2022	No comment until December 2022	Comment noted.
55.	March 8, 2022	Stay firm on the urban boundary	Comment noted.
56.	March 8, 2022	YES, CONTINUE TO SUPPORT THE FIRM URBAN BOUNDARY, FOR THE SAKE OF A VIBRANT CITY, AND FOR THE PROTECTION OF FARMLAND AND NATURAL HABITATS. Proposed policy changes are mostly ok-	Comment noted.
57.	March 8, 2022	'NO NEW BUILDS ON ANY FARMLAND!!!! -Support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that prohibit the expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton.	Comment noted.
58.	March 8, 2022	I am in full support of a firm urban boundary and am happy to see these changes being made to our OP. Thank you	Comment noted.
59.	March 8, 2022	The firm urban boundary should be enforced at all costs and urban sprawl should never take place on fertile agricultural lands or on natural heritage systems including woodlots, wetlands, hedgerows, waterways, meadows, or forests.	Comment noted.
60.	March 8, 2022	In agreement of the firm urban boundary.	Comment noted.
61.	March 8, 2022	Some flexibility needs to be considered in the "firm" boundary. But suburban islands must be stopped. Future environmental conditions will require farm land for local produce and food supplies. The City that embraces Farms as the centre of growth will benefit. We have seen that reliance on large industry has no future.	Comment noted.
62.	March 8, 2022	I strongly support the Firm No Urban Boundary plan.	Comment noted.
63.	March 8, 2022	Please prohibit future expansion beyond the urban boundary	Comment noted.
64.	March 8, 2022	The expansion of urban Hamilton into rural Hamilton must be prohibited and I support policy changes in the Rural Official Plan that ensure that protection!	Comment noted.

#	Date:	Comment:	Staff Response / Action Required:
65.	March 8, 2022	Keep it firm and fixed!	Comment noted.