

1. As a citizen of Greenville for over 35 years I would like to object to this proposal. I am part of an environmental group here in Greenville.
2. As I understand it this property was farmland and is now being divided into 12 estate lots. We cannot afford to lose farmland as these farms act as a recharge zone for the aquifer. To pave over with roads, homes prevents the rain water from percolating down to the aquifer.
3. Ground water is a precious commodity here in Greenville and we have seen lower and lower well levels over the past many many years. Experiences by residents express the problem of lower groundwater or not at all. In my experience estate home have numerous bathrooms, pools and other heavy ground water use.
4. The area of Taylor Crescent and its residents have ongoing well water issues, with several residents not be able to acquire enough water for laundry.
5. Greenville is designated as a City Water Protection Zone and as community it is incumbent upon us to find other sustainable approaches.
6. The city may deal with applications on a one by one basis but it must start to assess the cumulative effects of allowing more homes, commercial and industrial to use the aquifer. A few bore holes does not mean there is enough water for everyone.
7. There is also a commercial development proposed for 471 Moxley Rd and it will also require the aquifer for the tenants of this project. We know from Environmental Assessment hearings back in the 80's when the 200 acre Lafarge South Quarry was proposed to be a dump for Toronto's garbage the limestone nature of the area and the aquifer that flows thru it was contested on many sides over 7 years of hearings, and reports from experts
8. Add to this climate change and drought conditions that occur more frequently with intensification of the climate the drawn down of the aquifer to the point that wells run dry in our area during drought conditions. Quarries, and Industrial businesses interrupt the flow of water that we need for drinking, watering of livestock and our agricultural needs.
9. We as a city cannot afford to keep approving these developments that rely on groundwater. It must be preserved.
10. We must start to deny these applications that require wells and move to more sustainable solutions to protect this vital resource, like rain collection systems and water storage systems like cisterns. My home has a cistern and many other newer homes in the area deploy these systems. Residents will blame the city and these developments for their loss of water and will then come to the City regardless of the fact that proper owners are responsible for their own wells for a solution.

M. Osborne