

6.1(i)

Robicheau, Vanessa

From: Al [golfguy@bserv.com]
Sent: September 16, 2012 3:14 PM
To: Robicheau, Vanessa
Cc: Johnson, Brenda
Subject: Planning Committee Meeting Sept. 18th, 2012

I wish to speak at the Sept. 18th. 2012 meeting.

I feel this is a very inconvenient time for the public to attend this meeting. Most people have jobs and will have to take time off work or miss the meeting. These meetings should be held in the evening.

I have previously sent an email with some of my concerns.

I wish to add the following.

(1) The wooden fence proposed for the north property line will interfere with my 35 + year old hedge. Depending on the location of the proposed fence it will kill my hedge immediately or deprive it of sunlight and kill it eventually. I am requesting a 2 meter setback for the proposed wooden fence.

(2) I am very surprised the planning department is even entertaining the proposed 11' 6" high wooden fence along the south property line. This is a very open and windy area. Sections of the much shorter wooden fence along the North Service Road west of the proposed fence have already blown down and had to be replaced. A fence over 11 feet high will act like a giant sail and will no doubt blow over at some point. I feel the wooden fence has been proposed for two reasons. (A) it will be much cheaper. (B) a proper cement fence would require an earth berm with the fence on top of it. The slope of the berm would end up at or near the rear foundations of the proposed homes creating no backyard and a possible drainage problem. Who will be responsible for the future maintenance of this fence and insurance coverage for same?

(3) The development plan does not show the location of the existing fire hydrant on Jones Road. If this hydrant has to be moved to allow for the common driveway I do not want the hydrant relocated onto my property.

(4) I feel the contractor is like the camel trying to get its' nose in the tent. The contractor knew how the property was zoned when he purchased it. His initial plan was to build a single family home for his family. Since then he has made three different proposals for the property all of which are not acceptable to me or other existing property owners.

(5) Anything other than a single family home on this property will devalue my property.

(6) If the present proposal is to be approved the only way I would not object to it is if I am assured I can access the proposed common driveway so in the future I too can also create six building lots on my property.

Al Clark
461 Jones Road
Stoney Creek