

---

**From:** Peter Hasek [mailto:peterhasek@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** August 30, 2013 3:50 PM  
**To:** Snelling, Fred; Gallagher, Mary  
**Cc:** Murray, Chris; Merulla, Sam; Ferguson, Lloyd; Bedioui, Ida; Grozelle, Andy  
**Subject:** Delegate Request for Public Works Committee meeting on September 3, 2013

Mary,

I spotted a gap in the ATS Review Sub Committee Terms of Reference that I feel needs to be addressed at the September 3, 2013 Public Works Committee meeting.

The ATS Review Sub Committee Terms of Reference, as currently written, excludes dozens of unionized VETS drivers and inside workers and, therefore discriminates against the hundreds of DARTS clients who ONLY ride with these unionized VETS drivers.

My June 12th communications to City Council made it clear that the newly-formed ATS Review Sub Committee needs to recognize inside and outside workers at both DARTS (CUPE) and VETS (CAW) in order to gain a balanced view of the problems with the City of Hamilton's Accessible Transportation Service. Apparently, the 5-member ATS Review Sub Committee did not get that message: in fact, the Sub Committee specifically excluded VETS unionized workers from the ATS REVIEW process.

So, at the very least, the following amendment should be made to Public Works REPORT 13-001:

### 3. ATS Review - Value for Money Performance Audit

(b). That the Performance Audit, outlined in subsection (a), include consultation with the following stakeholders;

(X) VETS Transportation (owner and unionized inside & outside workers)

## Grozelle, Andy

---

**From:** Peter Hasek [peterhasek@yahoo.com]  
**Sent:** September-03-13 7:00 AM  
**To:** Grozelle, Andy; Bedioui, Ida; Gallagher, Mary; Caterini, Rose  
**Cc:** Merulla, Sam; Ferguson, Lloyd; Duvall, Scott; Whitehead, Terry; Murray, Chris; Hull, Don; Brovac, George  
**Subject:** Re: Terms of Reference for The ATS Review Sub Committee needs more work

### **CORRECTION: CAW-CANADA and its Local 504.**

**Andy,** I am hoping that somehow you can consolidate my two e-mails that **(1)** make a delegate request to Public Works back in August (ask Mary), **(2)** provide my presentation in written form because I am unable to attend to make the presentation in person, and **(3)** make the correction and addition of **CAW (504)** to the list of stakeholders officially recognized by the **ATS Review Sub Committee.**

-peter

---

**From:** Peter Hasek <peterhasek@yahoo.com>  
**To:** Andy Grozelle <Andy.Grozelle@hamilton.ca>; Ida Bedioui <Ida.Bedioui@hamilton.ca>; Mary Gallagher <Mary.Gallagher@hamilton.ca>; Rose Caterini <Rose.Caterini@hamilton.ca>  
**Cc:** Sam Merulla <Sam.Merulla@hamilton.ca>; Lloyd Ferguson <Lloyd.Ferguson@hamilton.ca>; Scott Duvall <Scott.Duvall@hamilton.ca>; Terry Whitehead <Terry.Whitehead@hamilton.ca>; Chris Murray <Chris.Murray@hamilton.ca>; Don Hull <Don.Hull@hamilton.ca>; George Brovac <George.Brovac@hamilton.ca>  
**Sent:** Monday, September 2, 2013 6:17:21 PM  
**Subject:** Terms of Reference for The ATS Review Sub Committee needs more work

Hi Andy & Mary

I see that the Terms of References for the ATS Review Sub Committee is being submitted to the City of Hamilton's Public Works on Tuesday September 3, 2013 contains outdated information.

The **Amalgamated Transit Union (ATU) Local 107** is listed as a the stakeholder union representing the **Veterans Transportation** inside-and-outside workers, which I believe is inaccurate. This group of workers, who provide DARTS minivan service for hundreds of DARTS CLIENTS are, in fact, represented by the **Canadian Auto Workers (CAW) union.**

The current version of T.O.R. is littered with inaccuracies. It needs more than a little bit of "dusting-off" before it is fit for consideration by the **Public Works Committee & City Council.**

It needs careful vetting by the City's Public Works staff, and representatives from both **CUPE** and **CAW** to help capture these kinds of errors in a timely fashion. Please add this communication to the Public Works Agenda for their **September 3, 2013** meeting.

-Peter Hasek

Also, why are **DARTS CUPE** workers recognized as stakeholders, and yet the **DARTS CAW** workers are not recognized?