

INFORMATION REPORT

TO: Chair and Members

Audit, Finance and Administration

Committee

| WARD(S) AFFECTED: CITY WIDE

COMMITTEE DATE: September 9, 2013

SUBJECT/REPORT NO:

Community and Emergency Services – Domiciliary Hostel Program (AUD13028)

(City Wide)

SUBMITTED BY:

Ann Pekaruk
Director, Audit Services

City Manager's Office

SIGNATURE:

PREPARED BY:

Ann Pekaruk 905-546-2424 x4469

Council Direction:

At the Audit, Finance and Administration (A, F&A) Committee meeting of March 25, 2013, staff were directed to report back "with a supplementary report, respecting the status of the incomplete recommendations outlined in Report AUD13008 - Follow up of Audit Report 2010-13 – Domiciliary Hostel Program, at the September 9, 2013 meeting".

Information:

In January, 2013, Internal Audit conducted a follow up exercise to determine if appropriate and timely actions had been taken for the recommendations in Audit Report 2010-13 – Domiciliary Hostel Program. Of the 16 recommendations that management agreed to in the original Report and Addendum, four had been completed, five were in progress, two were initiated and five remained incomplete. These findings were presented to A, F&A on March 25, 2013.

The status of the implementation of the 12 non-complete recommendations was again assessed as part of the Annual Follow Up of Outstanding Audit Recommendations process that was performed in July 2013. Five of these recommendations are now completed, three are no longer applicable and four are in progress, all expected to be completed by the end of 2013. The report attached as Appendix "A" to Report AUD13028 includes the 12 recommendations with the current status of implementation.

SUBJECT: Community and Emergency Services – Domiciliary Hostel Program (AUD13028) (City Wide) - Page 2 of 2



CITY OF HAMILTON INTERNAL AUDIT REPORT 2010-13 COMMUNITY AND EMERGENCY SERVICES DOMICILIARY HOSTEL PROGRAM – FOLLOW UP (2)

| Recommendation | Current Status | Comments | Anticipated Completion Date |
|---|-------------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 1. That procedures detailing the various appeal processes be written and distributed to staff, operators and clients. | Completed | The procedure has been developed and approved. | Not Applicable |
| 2. That procedures be reviewed annually by management to ensure that the documents are current. There should be evidence of this review (sign-off) from management and staff. | Completed | Procedures have been reviewed and approved. Management has implemented a system for tracking the annual review process and to track any other revisions or approvals related to these documents. | Not Applicable |
| 3. That Case Managers be required (by way of a written procedure) to update client files and the RCF database with current income information at least minimally every twelve (12) months. The Manager should monitor adherence to this timeline by performing random file checks on a regular basis. | In Progress | The procedure has been approved. However, files are not being reviewed and updated every 12 months. File review by a Supervisor / Manager has not been occurring. | December 2013 |
| 4. That the funds required to be included in the 'total income' calculation for resident contribution purposes be defined in a business procedure document in the DHP. | Completed | The procedures have been finalized and approved. | Not Applicable |
| 5. That current subsidy agreement contracts be executed and copies placed in the appropriate operator files. Terms of the contract (such as proof of insurance, licenses, etc.) should then be enforced. Any non-compliance could result in non-payment of subsidies. | In Progress | New contracts were sent to all operators in 2013. Contracts have been received back from all but one operator. Tracking was occurring but has temporarily been halted due to a staff vacancy in the program. | July 2013 |
| 6. That client consent forms (PHIPA requirement) be obtained from subsidy clients and stored, along with corresponding PHI, in secure filing cabinets. | No Longer Applicable | An evaluation was performed by management and it was determined that PHIPA legislation does not apply to this program. | Not Applicable |

COMMUNITY AND EMERGENCY SERVICES DOMICILIARY HOSTELS - FOLLOW UP (2) – JULY 2013

| Recommendation | Current Status | Comments | Anticipated Completion Date |
|---|-------------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| 11. That specific details be documented as to the reasons | In Progress | The reasons DHP clients are receiving the | December |
| DHP clients are receiving the transportation amounts that | · · | transportation amounts are not always | 2013 |
| they are being provided. These details should be | | provided. There is no evidence that these | |
| reviewed and updated every 12 months at a minimum or | | details are updated every 12 months or as | |
| as client circumstances change. | | client circumstances change. A Policy | |
| | | document for this area has been drafted and | |
| | | approved. | |
| 12. That MSN transportation be recorded in the client files | No Longer | A policy document has been written for this | Not Applicable |
| and that the Master Transportation List be updated | Applicable | area. However, ODSP refuses to notify the | |
| accordingly. The Case Managers and Special Supports | | program when MSN transportation has been | |
| group should verify there is no duplication of | | approved. ODSP is the jurisdiction of the | |
| transportation costs provided to the client. | 0 | Province. | NI of A collection |
| A1. That passwords be required to be changed regularly | Completed | A strong password configuration has been | Not Applicable |
| by staff. Also, the password configuration on the RCF | | implemented and passwords now expire | |
| database should be changed to require a pre-set | | every six months. | |
| password that contains the characteristics noted above. | In Drograss | The reports have been developed and are | August 2012 |
| A2. That the DHP consider creating the reports noted | In Progress | The reports have been developed and are | August 2013 |
| above in order to better support program workflows. | | currently being refined and finalized in the test version of the RCF database. | |
| A3. That the DHP consider using the RCF Database | No Longer | Management has considered the use of the | Not Applicable |
| billings module as a part of their monthly billings process | Applicable | billings module and has determined its | Not Applicable |
| workflow. | Applicable | usage to not be operationally feasible. | |
| A4. That the DHP determine if there is a need for this | Completed | Management has clarified the use of this | Not Applicable |
| form and either ensure that it is used consistently (if | Completed | form in an approved procedure document. | 140t Applicable |
| required) or eliminate it and change the procedure | | | |
| requirements accordingly. | | | |
| - 1 | | | |