

CITY OF HAMILTON

PLANNING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT Economic Development and Real Estate Division

TO: Chair and Members General Issues Committee	WARD(S) AFFECTED: CITY WIDE
COMMITTEE DATE: March 22, 2011	
SUBJECT/REPORT NO: 2010 Annual Performance Measures (PED	11038) (City Wide)
SUBMITTED BY: Tim McCabe, General Manager Planning & Economic Development Department	PREPARED BY: Neil Everson (905) 546-2424 x2359 Sue Rimac (905) 546-2424 x1011
SIGNATURE:	

RECOMMENDATION

That Report PED11038 respecting Economic Development Performance Measures for 2009 be received.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Council's approval of the Economic Development Governance Report (PED07306) on January 7, 2008, significantly increased both the budget and the staff complement of the City's Planning and Economic Development Department. The budget enhancements were applied to four (4) divisions within the Planning and Economic Development Department; these being: the General Manager's Office, Economic Development and Real Estate, Downtown and Community Renewal, and Planning. Additionally, there were a number of allocations of funding to specific economic development initiatives and the establishment of a new Economic Development Investment Fund.

The approval of this budget enhancement also included direction to staff to develop a number of performance measures and benchmarks for the Department as identified in Report PED08303. In that report and pursuant to Council direction, 2007 was established as the base year and staff was directed to report back to Committee of the Whole every six (6) months. These Performance Measures were deemed necessary in

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Performance Measures (PED11038) (City Wide) – Page 2 of 12

order to track the effectiveness of the new programs and staff resources, and to justify the resulting levy impact with measured value for the taxpayers' dollar.

This Report presents the 2010 Performance Measures at the divisional, departmental and corporate levels. In an effort to reduce the amount of staff resources allocated to compilation of this data, staff aligned these measures as closely as possible to the Focus Areas and SMART Performance Measures identified in City Council's 2008 Strategic Plan as approved by Council on June 10, 2008.

Alternatives for Consideration – Not Applicable

FINANCIAL / STAFFING / LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

Financial: Not Applicable

Staffing: Not Applicable

Legal: Not Applicable

HISTORICAL BACKGROUND

The City of Hamilton's entire Planning and Economic Development Department delivers both "programs" and "services" aimed at growing the local economy. "Programs" refer to specific activities involving the administration, delivery and marketing of economic development-related financial incentives (i.e. Enterprise Zone, Brownfields ERASE, Downtown Residential Loan, Commercial Property Improvement Grant, etc.) offered by the municipality. These programs are relatively easy to quantify in terms of effectiveness and establishing performance targets.

"Services", on the other hand, are less tangible and more difficult to measure because they are generally demand-based and subject to many uncontrollable variables (i.e. economic recession, exchange rates, interest rates, energy prices, changes of government, etc.). Regardless, all of the "services" like business attraction, retention and expansion, business facilitation, planning approvals, and marketing are critical to creating a positive business environment for the City and there is value in assigning Performance Measures.

At the January 7, 2008 Committee of the Whole, City Council approved Report PED07306: **Economic Development: MOVING FORWARD Governance Review, Organizational Change, Engagement with Community and Enhanced Investment Funding** that included two (2) specific recommendations regarding Performance Measures:

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Performance Measures (PED11038) (City Wide) – Page 3 of 12

- g) That the Economic Development and Real Estate Division's Annual Work Plan include benchmarks and performance measures with identified targets of what is intended to be achieved each year and how activities have demonstrated support of Council's strategic priorities.
- h) That the Economic Development and Real Estate Division prepare quarterly progress reports on achievement of targets and identified performance measures.

On September 25, 2008, a draft of the proposed Performance Measures was presented to the City's new Economic Development Advisory Committee. They provided three (3) significant recommendations:

- 1) Reduce the number of Performance Measures to be more realistic and attainable;
- 2) Extend the target dates for the performance measurements beyond annual targets to three (3) years or longer; and,
- 3) Extend the timelines for reporting on performance to Council.

The Advisory Committee felt that a "quarterly" report was too frequent and that there would be insufficient time for programs, initiatives, and a "new" pro-economic development environment to materialize in order to show achievement of these results. Consequently, in Report PED08303, Recommendation (b) was approved by Council that amended Report PED07306, Recommendation (g) to provide semi-annual reporting of the Performance Measures.

At the December 8, 2008 Committee of the Whole meeting, Report PED08303 provided specific detail on Performance Measures, which were approved at the December 10, 2008 Council meeting. At that meeting, Report PED08303 was received with the following amendments:

- Economic Development Performance Measures and Update on 2008 Initiatives and Budget Enhancements (PED08303) (Item 7.4)
 - (a) That the performance measures, benchmarks and identified targets outlined in Report PED08229 be adopted with the following amendments:
 - (i) Under the City of Hamilton Section Add to first bullet point of Taxable Assessment Growth: (Commercial/Industrial growth rate #'s)
 - (b) That the General Manager of Planning and Economic Development report semi-annually, instead of quarterly, on the achievement of these targets as a general indicator of economic performance.

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Performance Measures (PED11038) (City Wide) – Page 4 of 12

(c) That staff bench mark from 2007 with the first report to be presented to the Economic Development and Planning Committee by the end of June, 2009.

After the second report was presented in 2009, Council agreed to have the Economic Development Performance Measures and update occur on an annual basis because of the fact that the majority of the data/statistics for the Performance Measures were only available on a yearly basis. As a result, there was virtually no difference in the statistics between the mid-year and annual reports and further, many of the Performance Measures could only be calculated on the basis of census data, which is released only every four years. Therefore, an annual report on Performance Measures was deemed acceptable by Council.

POLICY IMPLICATIONS

Not Applicable

RELEVANT CONSULTATION

Economic Development Advisory Committee
Downtown and Community Renewal Division
Real Estate Section, Economic Development and Real Estate Division
Strategic Services and Special Projects Division

ANALYSIS / RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION

2010 Performance Measures

The Performance Measures and targets are presented for the 2010 calendar year, and include comparison with 2009, 2008 and the established 2007 base year. Of these proposed Performance Measures listed below, approximately 83% (19 of 23) are identical to the SMART measures approved by City Council on June 10, 2008 as part of the Corporate Strategic Plan. The results for 2010 are presented in the following tables:

City of Hamilton

Taxable assessment growth to exceed 1.5% by 2011.

	lotai				
<u>Year</u>	(\$ million)	Res	Com/Ind	Inst/Gov't	Assess Growth
2007	\$801.7	49%	24%	26%	0.8%
2008	\$818.4	50%	25%	25%	1.0%
2009	\$692.4	41%	47%	12%	1.3%
2010	\$1,096.3	54%	28%	17%	1.3%
	2007 2008 2009	Year (\$ million) 2007 \$801.7 2008 \$818.4 2009 \$692.4	Year (\$ million) Res 2007 \$801.7 49% 2008 \$818.4 50% 2009 \$692.4 41%	Year (\$ million) Res 49% Com/Ind 24% 2008 \$818.4 50% 25% 2009 \$692.4 41% 47%	Year (\$ million) Res 49% Com/Ind 24% Inst/Gov't 26% 2008 \$818.4 50% 25% 25% 2009 \$692.4 41% 47% 12%

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Performance Measures (PED11038) (City Wide) – Page 5 of 12

- Meet established growth targets for 2011 of 540,000 people and 230,000 for GRIDS and Places to Grow.
 - in progress, ongoing.
- Increase the number of community-redevelopment projects and economic development-related initiatives.
 - in progress, ongoing. (i.e. Economic Development Strategy implementation, Marketing Strategy implementation, Social Media, Creative Catalyst, Pan Amgames)
- Increase the employment rate by 3% by the next Census.
 - in progress, data for census to be released 2012/2013.

Planning and Economic Development

Triple amount of shovel ready lands by 2011 to 850 acres.

2007 Shovel Ready 285 acres

2008 Shovel Ready 285 acres

2009 Shovel Ready 250 acres

- excludes 35 acres sold in Ancaster Industrial Park

Phase 5

 ongoing work regarding servicing and land assembly in North Glanbrook Business Park (now the Red Hill

Business Park) and Stoney Creek

2010 Shovel Ready 335 acres, majority in Red Hill Business Park

Increase value of non-residential building permits by 5% by 2011.

2008 (Total \$ value)	2009 (Total \$ value)	2010 (Total \$ value)	<u>)</u>
403,031,887	410,232,504	505,402,639	+23.2%

- Annual Customer Satisfaction Survey 90% approval rating
 - Economic Development Rating by Clients on scale of 1-7, 7 being the best rating.

<u>Grade</u>	% as a Whole 2008	% as a Whole 2009	% as a Whole 2010
1	0	0	0
2	1	0	0
3	4	4	8
4	28	34	18
5	43	49	49
7	21	12	25

(N.B. 2010 Economic Development & Real Estate Division = 92% Approval Rating)

Rural Official Plan

The Rural Official Plan is currently before the Ontario Municipal Board and staff continues to attempt to resolve the appeals.

New Urban Official Plan (OP) by June 2009

The Urban Official Plan was approved by Council on June 29, 2009, and is currently awaiting provincial approval.

Comprehensive Zoning By-law

Industrial zoning was approved by Council May 26, 2010, and is currently under appeal to the Ontario Municipal Board with a hearing scheduled for June 2010. Draft Commercial and Mixed Use Zones, and Rural Zoning have been completed and presented to the public. The zones cannot be brought forward to Committee and Council until the new Urban and Rural Official Plans are approved and any appeals dealt with at the Ontario Municipal Board. Residential zoning is currently being worked on by staff with the intent of public consultation commencing in Q3 2011.

Prepare Detailed Secondary Plans for New Employment Areas.

Hamilton City Council amended the Official Plans for the former Region of Hamilton-Wentworth, the Town of Ancaster, the Township of Glanbrook, the new Rural and Urban Official Plans (awaiting ministerial approval) and passed a zoning by-law to implement the Secondary Plan for the Airport Employment Growth District on October 13, 2011. To meet Hamilton's employment land needs to 2031, the urban boundary was expanded by 830 gross hectares (662 net ha). At full build out, there will be a positive impact on the City's property tax base of nearly \$66M. These planning approvals have been appealed and are presently before the Ontario Municipal Board.

The new Urban OP references a need for a special study/secondary plan for the Bayfront Industrial area. This work is planned for 2011/2012. At this time, there are no plans to undertake secondary plans for any other employment areas.

Celebration of Successes - Annual Report to Community

Economic Development completed 2009 Annual Report, Spring 2010, with the 2010 Annual Report scheduled for release in March 2011.

Increase the Number of Positive Media Reporting.

In progress, working with new corporate media monitoring tool to accurately track coverage. Senior Management Team (SMT) approved the corporate purchase and cost-sharing for a period of one year (August 2010 – August 2011) of the media monitoring service fpInformart (an entry line product). Establish baseline measures for accurate yearly comparisons. Strategic Communications Team to

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Performance Measures (PED11038) (City Wide) – Page 7 of 12

report back to SMT on system's usefulness, ROI and recommendations for enhancements (i.e. look at more robust system) prior to end of contract to determine 2011 – 2012 plans.

Economic Development and Real Estate Division

Increase Number of Brownfields – Number of Council Approved Applications.

2007 2008 2009 2010 2

• Increase Number of New Businesses by 5% per year.

2007 (Total # of Businesses) 2008 (Total # of Businesses) 27,689

2009 (Total # of Businesses) 2010 (Total # of Businesses) % (+1.3%)

Increase Number of New Jobs in Hamilton by 10% per year.
 (Source: Canada Revenue)

2007 (Total # of Jobs) 195.577 * 207.677

2009 (Total # of Jobs) <u>%</u> 2010 (Total # of Jobs) 209,058 (+0.7%) N/A - expecting data end of Q2 2011

Increase Number of Small Business Jobs by 50 per year.

2007 2008 2009 2010 % 810 464 * **725 692 (-4.5%)**

Increase Impact of Film by \$1M per year.

2007 2008 2009 2010 \$12,000,000 \$6,625,000 \$6,075,000 \$4,523,500

^{*} represents Base Year number

^{*} represents Base Year number

^{*} Ontario Government terminals removed

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Performance Measures (PED11038) (City Wide) – Page 8 of 12

Increase Total Gross Farm Receipts by Commodity types by 2% per year.

2007 (\$million) \$231.4 *	2008 (\$million) \$264.9	
2009 (\$million)	2010 (\$million)	<u>%</u>
\$248.0	N/A	- 6. 3

^{*} represents Base Year number

Increase Number of Corporate Calls by 10% per year.

2008 (# of Calls)	<u>2009 (# of Calls)</u>	<u>2010 (# of Calls)</u>	<u>%</u>
253	354	400	12.9%

- Completion of multi-year Economic Development Strategy by December 2009.
 - completed and approved by City Council on June 18, 2010.

Downtown and Community Renewal Division

 Decrease Office Vacancy Rate by 25% in Downtown Core by 2011, using 2008 baseline.

<u>2007</u>	<u> 2008</u>	<u> 2009</u>	<u> 2010</u>	
Unknown	20%	15%	13.2%	

- Downtown and Community Renewal Division is developing and implementing Downtown Office Strategy - completed
- Increase the Number of Residential Units in Downtown by 150 per year starting in 2009.

<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
12	17	2	0

Complete 2 significant Waterfront Developments per year starting in 2009.

<u>2007</u>	<u>2008</u>	<u> 2009</u>	<u>2010</u>
0	0	0	1 (Outdoor Ice Rink)

 Increase in Downtown Property Taxes by \$1.45 million (per year) based on a 2008 baseline.

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Performance Measures (PED11038) (City Wide) – Page 9 of 12

Municipal Taxes:

2008 (Total \$million) 2009 (Total \$million) 2010 (Total \$million) \$25,086,600 \$25,730,600 \$26,531,800

 Assessment
 Budget
 Assessment

 Difference
 Related
 Related

 +\$801,200
 \$706,500
 \$94,700

Assessment Figures:

 2008 DT Assessment
 2009 DT Assessment
 2010 DT Assessment
 Assessment
 Assessment

 \$957,200,600
 \$1,020,696,800
 \$1,086,876,700
 \$66,179,900

- * please refer to Assessment Growth as a Performance Measure in next section
- ** please refer to Job Creation as a Performance Measure in next section

The identification of standard comprehensive Performance Measures and benchmarks for economic development is a complex issue that municipalities, development corporations, provincial and state governments, etc. have wrestled with for decades. More recently, over the last five (5) years, Ontario Municipal Benchmarking Initiatives organization (OMBI) has tried unsuccessfully to create standardized benchmarks for economic development. There are a number of reasons why this is the case. They include the following:

- Uncontrollable factors (i.e. global recession, energy prices, changes of government, etc.)
- Quantitative vs. qualitative measures
- Availability and accuracy of data bases
- The size of a municipality
- Degree of involvement/work by the service delivery organization
- The economic development priorities of the municipality
- The level of funding and resources for the economic development function
- The economic development model employed
- Two-tier or local municipal government model

Assessment Growth as a Performance Measure

At the April 3, 2007 Economic Development and Planning Committee meeting, staff presented a table highlighting the differential in the assessment growth between the former suburbs of the Region of Hamilton-Wentworth and the old City of Hamilton. The negative growth numbers in the old City of Hamilton's non-residential classes were a

^{***} please refer to Business Retention as a Performance Measure in next section

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Performance Measures (PED11038) (City Wide) – Page 10 of 12

direct result of a number of uncontrollable factors such as tax write-offs, successful assessment appeals, demolition of buildings, and the lack of employment lands. Due to the shear size of the former City of Hamilton's non-residential assessment base, the magnitude of successful assessment appeals, etc. had the negative effect of considerably lowering the overall assessment growth performance of the City, even though the suburbs are performing above the Provincial average.

It is because of the uncontrollable nature of assessment growth that few municipalities across Canada employ assessment growth as their primary performance measure. The majority of large urban cities choose to use performance measures that can be specifically attributable to their economic development function. However, Council direction established Non-Residential Assessment growth as a key component in the City's new Strategic Plan. City staff supported this performance measure but recommended that assessment growth targets (net of appeals, demolition, etc.) be established as a responsibility of the corporation as a whole and not just a specific department or division. Further, it should be noted that any gains in the City's assessment does not quickly appear on the assessment rolls. There is at least a one to two year lag from land acquisition through completion of construction and a further delay to the time that MPAC records the new investment and assigns new assessment.

Additionally, Hamilton's non-residential to residential assessment growth ratio has been significantly impacted by the amount of residential growth in the last nine years since amalgamation. However, in 2009 industrial permits increased by 113% and commercial permits by 37% over the previous years, respectively, while residential permits fell due to the recession. Generally, the lack of shovel ready land has influenced the ratio, but in examining total value of Building Permits for that period (2001 – 2009), residential permits accounted for over \$3 billion while non-residential contributed only \$1.6 billion. Therefore, the 2 to 1 increase in residential assessment has a great impact on the ratio.

Job Creation as a Performance Measure

One of the most common measures of performance in economic development is the creation of new jobs across all non-residential classes (industrial, commercial and institutional) and its business attraction, business retention and expansion, and/or small business development efforts that create these new jobs. Even though new job creation is a popular performance measure, the important issue emerging now is quantity vs. quality of employment/new jobs. Specifically, what does a municipality value more -100 jobs at \$10/hour or 20 jobs at \$50/hour? In today's business environment, white collar jobs are no more secure than blue collar jobs. In fact, since September 2008, the loss in manufacturing jobs and the financial sector across North America has been staggering and there is an emerging consensus that this post-recession era will be characterized as a "jobless' recovery. As a result, the cost of labour will continue to be the #1 driver behind the relentless off-shoring and outsourcing of business. The concept of sustainable and "living wage" jobs has now understandably gained prominence.

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Performance Measures (PED11038) (City Wide) – Page 11 of 12

There is no universally accepted definition/measure for a "sustainable job" but staff will continue to investigate this as a performance measure to be included at a future date.

Business Retention as a Performance Measure

Retaining and expanding established businesses in Hamilton was approved by Council as a major focus and a component of the 2007 reorganization of the Planning and Economic Development Department and the 2008 Budget Enhancements. Traditional economic development theory suggests that only 20% of non-residential growth is attributable to new companies moving to a city. Instead, it is the remaining 80% of non-residential assessment growth that originates from business already located in the community. There are many examples in 2010 of staff efforts that have prevented a business from closing, relocating outside the City or alternatively, enabled an expansion of their existing operations in Hamilton. Although these efforts may not have always created new jobs or increased assessment growth (remaining in existing premises or leasing new facilities) – the results of this service is critical to the prosperity of Hamilton and reported on in the Economic Development and Real Estate's Annual Report.

Unemployment Rate as a Performance Measure

Although not identified by Council as a Performance Measure, the monthly unemployment rate is an excellent indicator of the Corporation's economic development efforts. Since the "Great Recession" officially commenced in the fourth quarter of 2008, Hamilton's unemployment rate has not exceeded the provincial average and only on a few occasions was higher than the national figure.

In 2009 and throughout 2010, Hamilton continued to outperform all other Ontario municipalities (with a reasonably sized manufacturing base) and remained consistently below 9% over the last 15 months. Hamilton's unemployment rates may have actually been lower than stated because the unemployment rate is measured federally by "Place of Residence" instead of "Place of Employment". For example, the cities of Brampton, Burlington, Mississauga and the Towns of Milton and Halton Hills witnessed a large increase in vacant industrial space (+ 7 million s.f.) in 2009 and 2010 - all attributable to plant closures or relocations, where many Hamiltonians commuted and worked as employees of these companies. However, with the unemployment rate calculated on a "Place of Residence" basis – Hamilton's unemployment rate was artificially higher than it actually should have been.

ALTERNATIVES FOR CONSIDERATION

Not Applicable

SUBJECT: 2010 Annual Performance Measures (PED11038) (City Wide) – Page 12 of 12

CORPORATE STRATEGIC PLAN

Focus Areas: 1. Skilled, Innovative and Respectful Organization, 2. Financial Sustainability, 3. Intergovernmental Relationships, 4. Growing Our Economy, 5. Social Development, 6. Environmental Stewardship, 7. Healthy Community

Financial Sustainability

- Delivery of municipal services and management of capital assets/liabilities in a sustainable, innovative and cost-effective manner
- Providing value for the 2008 Budget increase

Growing Our Economy

- Newly created or revitalized employment sites
- Competitive business environment
- An improved customer service
- Increasing the Non-Residential Assessment base and creating employment

APPENDICES / SCHEDULES Not Applicable

NE/SR:cMc